



Kent Academic Repository

Bryar, Rosamund M, Cowley, Dame Sarah Ann, Adams, Cheryll Mary, Kendall, Sally and Mathers, Nigel (2017) *Health visiting in primary care in England: a crisis waiting to happen?* *British Journal of General Practice*, 67 (656). pp. 102-103. ISSN 0960-1643.

Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/61281/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X689449>

This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).

Health Visiting in Primary Care in England: a crisis waiting to happen?

When did you last see a health visitor? When did you last communicate with a health visitor? These seem apt questions given the evidence from a recent survey of health visitors by the Institute of Health Visiting (iHV)¹ which shows great variability in contact between health visitors (HVs) and GPs in England: of 1179 respondents, 23% of HVs saw a GP at least once a week; 33% 1–2 times a month, and 33% less frequently or hardly ever. In this editorial we review the recent history of health visiting and how, in particular in England, we have arrived at the current situation where HVs, once considered essential members of the wider (non-practice employed) primary health care team (PHCT),² are now so detached that at a recent meeting a GP could say that they, HVs, are 'out there somewhere' but where seemed to be a mystery to him and possibly others. **AQ1: where and when was this recent meeting?**

WHERE ARE THE HEALTH VISITORS?

Health visiting began in the era of Victorian philanthropy, gradually being formalised, as a public health profession and service, during the twentieth century. It moved into the NHS in 1974, along with district nursing, community midwifery and public health, which had formerly been delivered through local government. Health visitors began to be attached to general practice at this time, and by 2000 this was the most common form of service organisation. The iHV survey found that in 2016 these arrangements now apply to fewer than half of the HV respondents, with only 28% based in a health centre with GPs and 13% in a GP practice.¹ The rest are indeed 'out there somewhere' based in children's centres, other local authority premises, health centres (where there are no GPs) or even 'mobile workers' on the end of a tablet or mobile phone.

The move away from GP attachment began during a period of retrenchment for HVs, as the workforce shrank by around 20% between 2004 and 2010, despite a rapidly increasing birth rate³ and growth in evidence⁴ about the importance of the early years to future health. While there is no agreement on reasons for this decline three potential explanations are offered. First, regulatory changes led to the qualification and title of 'health visitor' being removed from statute in 2004, which some suggest indicated a lack of support for the role.⁵ Second, survey evidence⁶ implicated the then current guide

"...wider research evidence for the longer-term benefits to health and wellbeing as well as the cost savings to primary care ... suggests that health visiting practice is, and should be, of significant concern to primary care."

to child health surveillance,⁷ known as 'Hall4,' which **reduced the number of required health promotion contacts AQ2: contacts from a HV?** from seven to one, proposing the rest should be optional depending on need and professional judgement. Third, it was suggested that due to lack of evidence of the impact of the role, hard-pressed commissioners redirected funding into other services.⁸

In response to the early years' evidence and the increase in the birth rate, in 2011 the government released a 'Call to Action' and a four-year Implementation Plan to promote and develop the profession and halt the rapid decline in the health visiting workforce in England.⁹ By the time the Implementation Plan ended in March 2015, an additional 3985 health visitors had been recruited (just short of the goal of 4200), amounting to an increase of 49% in the workforce in England with the total number of HVs in England then being at the high point of 12 077 full time equivalents (FTE),¹⁰ including around 1000 employed in non-NHS organisations.

AQ3: SUBTITLE REQUIRED HERE

One of the key goals of the Implementation Plan was to transform the service, while aligning it with the new NHS architecture following the Health and Social Care Act 2012. This involved shifting responsibility for commissioning of health visiting services to local government in England, along with other public health provision, from October 2015. The following month, the annual spending review announced an in-year reduction of 6.2% in the public health budget for 2015–16 followed by further annual cost savings of 3.9% each year until 2020. NHS funding for the existing health visiting workforce was transferred to local councils on a 'lift and shift' basis in October 2015, but as this was not ring-fenced, the service was included in the cost-saving measures, leading to a reduction of at least 988 FTE health visitors,¹¹ with only 9311 FTE health visitors remaining in NHS posts in August 2016. Health visitors employed outside

the NHS are no longer included in routine workforce data, making direct comparisons difficult. Many commissioners, that is, local authorities, have announced reviews or are putting services out to tender. In 2015–16, 13% of local authorities have reported cuts in health visiting and in 2016–17, 56% of local authorities are planning reduced investment in health visiting.¹² Disinvestment by over 50% of local authorities, to take effect from April 2017, seems set to rapidly wipe out any gains made in the Health Visitor Implementation Plan.¹³

What does this relocation of commissioning of HVs and new reduction in numbers mean for primary care? In the 2008 WHO report¹⁴ that re-launched primary care as the focus for healthcare reform, people-centred primary care was identified as the vehicle to achieve service delivery reforms with the **PHCT (AQ4: primary healthcare trust?)** providing the co-ordination of continuous, comprehensive, person-centred care to a defined population networking to other services as necessary. These goals are reiterated in UK documents^{15,16} with working together in networks across organisation boundaries, sharing electronic records emphasised, for example, in 'Our vision of primary care for the future':¹⁵

'Primary care will have at its heart active collaboration between healthcare professionals and the people they care for. ... Community nurses and health visitors will work much more closely with general practices and will share electronic records with them.' [p.54]

Among respondents to the iHV survey the frequency of open text responses concerning the need for shared electronic records demonstrates the value that HVs see would be gained from such shared records. However, reductions in the numbers of HVs, which may be anticipated over the next few years, will make this closer working with

or without shared records more difficult to achieve.

AQ5: SUBTITLE REQUIRED HERE

What then do health visitors contribute to primary care? They are qualified nurses or midwives who have undertaken an additional one year programme either at BSc or MSc level to gain registration with the Nursing and Midwifery Council as Specialist Community Public Health Nurses (Health Visitor). They are the only nurses who have as their main focus prevention and have unique access to families through home visiting enabling them to identify health needs early. As part of the transfer arrangements to local authorities, Public Health England put in place a detailed specification for the health visiting service, including a simplified service model.¹⁷ This '4-5-6' model describes the way health visitors operate at four different levels: to build community capacity, to offer a universal preventive service to all new and expectant parents and their infants, to provide additional support and early intervention (called 'universal plus') where indicated, and to provide continuing support to the few families who need more intensive provision, often in conjunction with other services (called 'universal partnership plus') all underpinned by action on safeguarding as necessary. There are five health reviews specified between pregnancy and school age, which were mandated through a statutory instrument that is due to expire in March 2017. This mandate is currently under review.

Finally, six 'high impact' areas of health visiting work were specified, of key public health interest and where there is good evidence enabling outcomes to be audited and measured. These are: transition to parenthood, perinatal mental health, breastfeeding, healthy weight and activity, reducing hospital attendance and accidents, and the two-year review to include transfer to school and readiness to learn. There is increasingly strong evidence about the impact of the 'first 1001 days of life,' from conception to aged two years,¹⁸ and the impact this period has on future health and wellbeing. Furthermore, there is evidence about which interventions can help improve young children's current and future health¹⁹ and about health visitors' part in delivering these interventions through a proportionately universal service.²⁰

The wider research evidence for the longer-term benefits to health and wellbeing as well as the cost savings to primary care and the NHS across all the high impact areas, suggests that HV practice is, and should be, of significant concern to primary care.¹⁷

Perhaps the areas in which HVs can help in achieving the General Practice Forward View¹⁶ is in enabling practices and federations of practices to connect with their local communities, helping to integrate primary and social care and working on public health initiatives with primary care colleagues. There is now greater scope to achieve this through the new models of care, and lessons learned from the vanguard sites, that are being rolled out as part of the Five Year Forward View.²¹

Effective primary care is based on trusting relationships between practitioners and patients and between the practitioners providing that care. With falling numbers of HVs maintaining and building those relationships this becomes more difficult. Prevention activities in primary care are also being weakened just when there is an overwhelming imperative to focus on prevention and self-care. Primary care needs to take action to avert this crisis!

AQ6: pls check author names and affiliations

REFERENCES

1. Institute of Health Visiting. Working with GPs Survey. 2016. Institute of Health Visiting, London (unpublished) **AQ7: is this a paper document? what is the full correct title?**
2. Pearson P. and Spencer J. (Eds). *Promoting teamwork in primary care: a research based approach*. CRC Press: Oxfordshire, 1997.
3. Office of National Statistics. Birth Summary Tables. 2015. <http://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/livebirths/bulletins/birthsummarytablesendlandandwales/2015> **AQ8: this link leads to Statistical bulletin: Births in England and Wales: 2015 Live births, stillbirths, and the intensity of childbearing measured by the total fertility rate. Correct?**
4. Center on the Developing Child. Harvard University. *The foundations of lifelong health are built in early childhood*. 2010. <http://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/the-foundations-of-lifelong-health-are-built-in-early-childhood/> (accessed 31 Jan 2017).
5. Cowley S., Dowling S., Caan W. Too little for early interventions? Examining the policy-practice gap in English health visiting services and organization. *Primary Health Care Research and Development* 2009; **10** (2): 130–142.
6. Condon L. Child health promotion in the United Kingdom: does health visitors' practice follow policy? *J Adv Nurs* 2009; **62** (5): 551–556.
7. Hall D, Elliman D. *Health for all children. Revised fourth edition*. Oxford: University Press, Oxford, 2006.
8. Bunn F, Kendall S. Does nursing research impact on policy? A case study of health visiting research and UK health policy. *Journal of Research in Nursing* 2011; **16**(2): 169–191.
9. Department of Health. *Health Visitor Implementation Plan 2011–15. A Call to Action. February 2011*. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/213759/dh_124208.pdf (accessed 31 Jan 2017).
10. NHS Information Centre for Health and Social Care [2015] NHS Workforce Statistics Sept 2015: Health Visitor Minimum Data Set <https://data.gov.uk/dataset/health-visitors> **A9: this leads to**

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Rosamund M Bryar

School of Health Sciences, 1 Myddleton Street, London ECTV 0HB.

E-mail: R.M.Bryar@city.ac.uk

Rosamund Mary Bryar,

Professor Emeritus Community and Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, City, University of London and Trustee, Institute of Health Visiting **AQ10: too many refs we will have to cut some text to fit 2 pages - suggest 5 lines from text to cut thanks**

Dame Sarah Ann Cowley,

Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, King's College London and Trustee, Institute of Health Visiting, London.

Cheryll Mary Adams,

Director, Institute of Health Visiting, London.

Sally Kendall,

Professor of Community Nursing and Public Health, Centre for Health Services Studies, University of Kent and Trustee, Institute of Health Visiting, London.

Nigel Mathers,

RCGP Honorary Secretary and Professor of Primary Medical Care, Academic Unit of Primary Medical Care, University of Sheffield, Sheffield.

a page called NHS Workforce - Health Visitors (DATA.GOV.UK) please indicate what the specific report is called and the URL

11. NHS Digital. *NHS Workforce Statistics - August 2016, Provisional Statistics: Organisation tables (xlsx)*. <http://content.digital.nhs.uk/searchcatalogue?productid=23451> (accessed 31 Jan 2017). **AQ11: is this the correct reference and link?**
12. House of Commons Health Committee Public health post 2013. *Second Report of Session 2016–17*. House of Commons, London, 2016. **AQ12: please give the link for this**
13. Harris P. Running on Empty. *Community Prac* 2016; **89** (11): 20–24. **AQ13: cannot find this on pubmed pls check spelling, title etc**
14. World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2008 - primary health care (Now More Than Ever)*. <http://www.who.int/whr/2008/en/> (accessed 31 Jan 2017).
15. Health Education England. *The future of primary care. Creating teams for tomorrow*. Report by the Primary Care Workforce Commission. 2015. https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/WES_The-future-of-primary-care.pdf (accessed 31 Jan 2017).
16. NHS England. *General Practice Forward View*. 2016. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/gp/v/> (accessed 31 Jan 2017).
17. Public Health England. *Best start in life and beyond: Improving public health outcomes for children, young people and families. Guidance to support the commissioning of the Healthy Child Programme 0-19: Health Visiting and School Nursing services*. 2016. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/554499/Service_specification_0-19_commissioning_guide_1.pdf (accessed 31 Jan 2017).
18. Wave Trust. *Conception to age 2 - the age of opportunity*. 2013. <http://www.wavetrust.org/our-work/publications/reports/conception-age-2-age-of-opportunity> (accessed 31 Jan 2017).
19. Axford N, Barlow J, Coad J, et al. *Rapid Review to Update Evidence for the Healthy Child Programme 0-5*. Public Health England, London, 2015. **AQ14: please give the link for this**

20. Cowley S, Whittaker K, Malone M, et al. Why health visiting? Examining the potential public health benefits from health visiting practice within a universal service: A narrative review of the literature. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2015; **52** (1): 465–480.