Appendix A: Characteristics of included studies

					Outcome Measures used in Meta-Analysis	
Source	CBT Target	Methods	Participants	Intervention	(Effect Size)	Follow Up
Studies targeting	ng symptoms of mer	ntal disorder: Childrer	n and Adolescents			
(Sofronoff,	Anxiety	- Randomised	- IG: <i>N</i> = 48; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- 6 week follow
Attwood, & Hinton, 2005)		Controlled Trial - CG: WL	Age, 10.55; Age Range, 9-12 - CG: <i>N</i> = 23; Mean Age, 10.75; Age Range, 9-12	<ul> <li>Child only or Child + Parent sessions (intervention arms pooled to prevent double counting of data)</li> <li>6 x 120 minute sessions</li> <li>Original, manualised program</li> </ul>	- Informant-Report: Spence Children's Anxiety Scale- Parent Report (0.10) - Clinician-Rated: None	ир
			- Country: Australia		- Task-Based: None	
(Chalfant, Rapee, &	Anxiety	Controlled Trial	- IG: <i>N</i> = 28	- Group-based	- Self-Report: Spence	- None
Carroll, 2007)			- CG: <i>N</i> = 19	- 12 x 120 minute sessions	Children's Anxiety Scale (2.64)	
, ,		- CG: WL	- TS: Mean Age, 10.8; Age Range, 8-13	- Adapted 'Cool Kids' program (Lyneham, Abbott, Wignal, & Rapee, 2003)	<ul> <li>Informant-Report:</li> <li>Spence Children's</li> <li>Anxiety Scale- Parent</li> <li>Report (4.27)</li> </ul>	
			- Country: Australia		- Clinician-Rated:	
					Anxiety Disorders	
					Interview Schedule- Child & Parent:	

					Diagnostic Status (2.51)	
					- Task- Based: None	
(Sofronoff,	Anger	- Randomised	- IG: <i>N=</i> 24; Mean	- Group-based (pairs)	- Self-Report: None	- 6 week follow
Attwood, Hinton, & Levin, 2007)		Controlled Trial - CG: WL	Age, 10.79; Age Range, 9-13 - CG: <i>N</i> = 21; Mean Age, 10.77; Age Range, 10-13	<ul> <li>- Parallel parent group</li> <li>- 6 x 120 minute sessions</li> <li>- Original, manualised program (built on Sofronoff et al., 2005)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Informant-Report:</li> <li>Children's Inventory</li> <li>of Anger- parent</li> <li>Report (0.40)</li> <li>Clinician-Rated:</li> <li>None</li> </ul>	up (CBT group only)
			- Country: Australia		- Task-Based: None	
(Reaven <i>et al.,</i>	Anxiety - Pilot study	- IG: <i>N</i> = 10	- Group-based	- Self-Report: Screen	- None	
2009)		- Quasi- experimental	- CG: <i>N</i> = 23	- Multi-family sessions	for Child Anxiety and Related Emotional	
			- TS: Mean Age, 11.8;	- 12 x 90 minute sessions	Disorders- Child	
	- CG:WL	- CG:WL	Age Range, 8-14	- Original, manualised program	Report (0.28)	
			- Country: USA	('Face your Fears')	<ul> <li>Informant-Report:</li> <li>Screen for Child</li> <li>Anxiety and Related</li> <li>Emotional Disorders-</li> </ul>	
					Parent Report (0.86)	
					- Clinician-Rated: None	
					- Task-Based: None	

(Wood <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	Anxiety	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: N= 17; Mean Age, 9.18; Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 23; Mean Age, 9.22; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age Range, 7-11  - Country: USA	<ul> <li>Individual</li> <li>Parental involvement in all sessions</li> <li>16 x 90 minute sessions (approximately 30 minutes with child and 60 minutes with parents/ family)</li> <li>Modified 'Building Confidence' program (Wood &amp; McLeod, 2008)</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children (-0.03)  - Informant-Report: Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children- Parent Report (1.21)  - Clinician-Rated: Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule- Child & Parent: Clinical Severity Rating (2.47)  - Task-Based: None	- Three month follow up (CBT group only)
(Scarpa & Reyes, 2011)	Emotional regulation: Anxiety and Anger	- Pilot study - Randomised - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 5; Mean Age, 5.84; Age Range not reported - CG: <i>N</i> = 6; Mean Age, 5.47; Age Range not reported	<ul> <li>- Group-based</li> <li>- Simultaneous psychoeducational parent group</li> <li>- 9 x 60 minute sessions</li> <li>- Modified manualised program</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: None - Informant-Report: Emotion Regulation Checklist- Emotion Regulation subscale (- 0.09)	- None
			- TS: Age Range, 4.5-7 -Country: USA	used by Sofronoff et al. (2005, 2007) to be developmentally appropriate for younger children	<ul><li>- Clinician-Rated:</li><li>None</li><li>- Task-Based: None</li></ul>	

(Sung <i>et al.</i> , Anxiety 2011)	Controlled Trial Age, 11.33; Age	- IG: <i>N</i> = 36; Mean Age, 11.33; Age Range not reported	- Group-based - 16 x 90 minute sessions	- Self-Report: Spence Children's Anxiety Scale (0.07)	- Three month follow up - Six month	
	(Manualised Social Recreational Program)	(Manualised Social	- CG: <i>N</i> = 34; Mean Age, 11.09; Age Range not reported	- Original, manualised program	- Informant-Report: None	follow up
		- TS: Age Range, 9-16		<ul> <li>Clinician-Rated:</li> <li>Clinical Global</li> <li>Impression- Severity</li> </ul>		
			- Country: Singapore		(0.46) - Task-Based: None	
(Clarke, 2012)	Anxiety	- Cluster	- IG: <i>N</i> = 14; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report: Spence	- Six-eight week
		randomisation - CG: TAU	Age, 12.64; Age Range not reported	- No parental involvement in intervention	Children's Anxiety Scale (0.70)	follow up
			- CG: <i>N</i> = 14; Mean Age, 12.86; Age	- 6 x 60 minute sessions	- Informant-Report: Spence Children's	
			Range not reported - TS: Age Range not	<ul> <li>Adapted 'Exploring Feelings' program (Attwood, 2004)</li> </ul>	Anxiety Scale- Parent Report (0.67)	
			reported		<ul><li>- Clinician-Rated:</li><li>None</li></ul>	
			- Country: UK		- Task-Based: None	
(Cortesi,	Insomnia	- Randomised Controlled Trial	- IG: <i>N</i> = 40; Mean	- Individual	- Self-Report: None	- None
Giannotti, Sebastiani, Panunzi, & Valente, 2012)		- CG: Placebo drug	Age, 7.1; Age Range not reported	<ul> <li>Family sessions (child and parents) + maintenance sessions for parents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Informant-Report:</li> <li>Children's Sleep</li> <li>Habits Questionnaire-</li> <li>Total Score</li> </ul>	

		(Trial also included 'melatonin' condition & 'melatonin + CBT' condition)	- CG: <i>N</i> = 40; Mean Age, 6.3; Age Range not reported - TS: Age Range, 4-10 - Country: Italy	- 4 x 50 minute sessions  - Original program. Unclear whether program was manualised.	(completed by parents; 1.01) - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: Actigraph data- Total sleep time (0.62)	
(Reaven, Blakeley- Smith, Culhane- Shelburne, & Hepburn, 2012)	Anxiety	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: TAU	- IG: N= 24; Mean Age, 10.5; Age Range, 7-13 - CG: N= 26; Mean Age, 10.4; Age Range, 7-14 - Country: USA	<ul> <li>Group-based</li> <li>Multi-family sessions</li> <li>12 x 90 minute sessions</li> <li>Original, manualised program ('Face your Fears'- based on 2009 pilot study)</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: Screen for Child Anxiety and Related Emotional Disorders- Child Report (0.28) - Informant- Report: Screen for Child Anxiety and Related Emotional Disorders-Parent Report (0.45) - Clinician-Rated: Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-Parent: No. of Principal Anxiety Diagnoses (0.60) - Task-Based: None	- Three month follow up - Six month follow up (CBT group only)
(McNally Keehn, Lincoln,	Anxiety	- Pilot study - Randomised - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 12; Mean Age, 11.65; Age Range not reported	- Group-based - 16 x 75 minute sessions	- Self-Report: Spence Children's Anxiety Scale (0.47)	- Two month follow up (CBT group only)

Brown, & Chavira, 2013)			- CG: <i>N</i> = 10; Mean Age, 11.02; Age Range not reported - TS: Age Range, 8-14 - Country: USA	- Adapted 'Coping Cat' Program (Kendall, 1994)	- Informant-Report: Spence Children's Anxiety Scale- Parent Report (0.91) - Clinician-Rated: Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule- Parent: Interference Rating (1.35) - Task-Based: None	
(Storch et al., 2013)	Anxiety	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: TAU	- IG: N= 24; Mean Age, 8.83; Age Range not reported - CG: N= 21; Mean Age, 8.95; Age Range not reported - TS: Age Range, 7-11 - Country: USA	<ul> <li>Individual</li> <li>Parallel parent sessions + parental involvement in some child sessions</li> <li>16 x 60-90 minute sessions</li> <li>Manualised, modular treatment approach (Behavioural Interventions for Anxiety in Children with Autism program- BIACA; Wood &amp; Drahota, 2005)</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale- Total Anxiety: Not included in quantitative synthesis as request for data required to calculate effect size was unsuccessful  - Informant-Report: Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children- Parent Report (0.48)  - Clinician-Rated: Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-	- Three month follow up (CBT treatment- responders group only)

					Highest Clinical Severity Rating (0.89)	
					- Task-Based: None	
(McConachie	Anxiety	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N=</i> 17; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report: Spence	- Three month
		- Randomised - CG: WL	Age, 11.7; Age Range not reported - Paral	- Parallel parent group	Children's Anxiety Scale (0.04)	follow up
			- CG: <i>N=</i> 15; Mean	- 7 x 120 minute sessions	- Informant-Report:	- Six month follow up
			Age, 11.8; Age Range not reported	<ul> <li>Slightly adapted 'Exploring Feelings' program (Attwood,</li> </ul>	Spence Children's Anxiety Scale- Parent	
		- TS: Age Range not reported	2004) for UK use	Report (0.20)		
				- Clinician-Rated: Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-		
			- Country: UK		Parent: Primary diagnosis Clinical	
					Severity Rating (0.43)	
					- Task-Based: None	
(van Steensel,	Anxiety	- Quasi-	- IG: <i>N</i> = 24; Mean	- Individual	- Self-Report: None	- Three month
Dirksen, & Bögels, 2014)		experimental - CG: TAU	Age, 11.0; Age Range not reported	- Parental attendance at all sessions	- Informant-Report: None	follow up
			- CG: <i>N=</i> 25; Mean Age, 10.72; Age	- 15 sessions (length not reported)	- Clinician-Rated:	
			Range not reported	- Modified, combined version of	Clinician-Rated: Anxiety Disorders	
			- TS: Age Range, 8-18	individual and family CBT intervention (Bodden, Dirksen, & Bögels, 2008)	Interview Schedule- Child & Parent: Diagnostic Status (0.44)	

			- Country: The Netherlands		- Task-Based: None	
(Hepburn,	Anxiety	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N</i> = 17; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- None
Blakeley- Smith, Wolff, & Reaven, 2015)	<ul> <li>Quasi- experimental</li> <li>CG: WL (not recruited simultaneously with CBT group)</li> </ul>	Age, 11.53; Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 16; Mean Age, 12.12; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age Range not	<ul> <li>'Telehealth'/ videoconferencing intervention designed for delivery in a small, multi-family group format</li> <li>Parental involvement in all sessions + parent-only time at end</li> </ul>	- Informant-Report: Screen for Child Anxiety and Related Emotional Disorders (0.48) - Clinician-Rated:		
			reported - Country: USA	of sessions (20-30 minutes)  - 10 x 60 minute sessions + 1 'booster' session  - Modified version of 'Face Your Fears' program (Reaven et al., 2009, 2011, 2012)	None - Task-Based: None	
(Storch et al.,	Anxiety	- Randomised	- IG: <i>N</i> = 16; Mean	- Individual	- Self-Report: Revised	- One month
2015)	•	<b>Controlled Trial</b>	Age, 12.75; Age	<ul> <li>Parallel parent sessions +     parental involvement in majority     of adolescent sessions</li> </ul>	Child's Anxiety and follow up of Depression Scales (- treatment	follow up (CBT
		- CG: TAU	Range not reported - CG: N= 15; Mean			treatment- responders
			Age, 12.73; Age Range not reported	- 16 x 60-90 minute sessions		group only)
			- TS: Age Range, 11- 16	<ul> <li>Manualised, modular treatment approach (Developmentally modified version of Behavioural</li> </ul>		
			- Country: USA	Interventions for Anxiety in Children with Autism program-BIACA; Wood & Drahota, 2005)	<ul> <li>Clinician-Rated:</li> <li>Clinician-Rated:</li> <li>Anxiety Disorders</li> <li>Interview Schedule-</li> </ul>	

					Child & Parent: Primary diagnosis Clinical Severity Rating (1.38) - Task-Based: None	
(Wood et al.,	Anxiety	- Randomised	- IG: <i>N</i> = 19; Mean	- Individual	- Self-Report: Revised	- One month
2015)		Controlled Trial	Age, 12.4; Age Range not reported	- Parallel parent sessions + parental involvement in all	Child's Anxiety and Depression Scales (-	follow up (CBT treatment-
		00. 112	- CG: <i>N=</i> 14; Mean	adolescent sessions	0.09)	responders group only)
	Age, 12.2; Age Range not reported - TS: Age Range, 11- 15	Age, 12.2; Age Range	- 16 x 60-90 minute sessions	<ul> <li>Informant-Report:</li> <li>Multidimensional</li> </ul>	group omy)	
		- Manualised, modular treatment approach (Developmentally modified version of Behavioural Interventions for Anxiety in Children with Autism program- BIACA; Wood & Drahota, 2005)	Anxiety Scale for Children- Parent Report (0.71)			
	- Country: USA		- Clinician-Rated: Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule- Child & Parent: Primary diagnosis Clinical Severity Rating (0.39)			
					- Task-Based: None	
Studies targetin	g symptoms of me	ental disorder: Adults				
(Russell,	Obsessive	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N</i> = 12; Mean	- Individual sessions	- Self-Report: Beck	- None
Mataix-Cols, Anson, & Murphy, 2009)	Compulsive Disorder	· _ Ouasi-	Age, 23.8; Age Range not reported	- Mean number of sessions: 27.5; Range: 10-50	Anxiety Inventory (- 0.39; secondary measure)	
		- CG: TAU				

			- CG: N= 12; Mean Age, 32.1; Age Range not reported - TS: Age range not reported - Country: UK	- Treatment not manual or protocol driven	- Informant-Report: None - Clinician-Report: Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (primary measure; -0.31) - Task-Based: None	
(Spek, van Ham, & Nyklíček, 2013)	Depression, anxiety and rumination	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: N= 20; Mean Age, 44.4; Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 21; Mean Age, 40.1; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age range not reported  - Country: The Netherlands	<ul> <li>- Group-based</li> <li>- 9 x 150 minute sessions</li> <li>- Modified version of Mindfulness Based Therapy (Segal, Williams, &amp; Teasdale, 2002)- cognitive elements omitted</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: Symptom Checklist- 90-Revised: Anxiety scale (0.85) - Informant-Report: None - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: None	- None
(Hesselmark, Plenty, & Bejerot, 2014)	Self-esteem, quality of life and sense of coherence	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: AP (Recreational Activity)	- IG: N= 34; Mean Age, 31.9; Age Range not reported - CG: N= 34; Mean Age, 31.8; Age Range not reported - TS: Age Range, 19-53	- Group-based  - 36 x 180 minute sessions  - Original, manualised, modular program	- Self-Report: Rosenberg Self- Esteem Scale (0.07; primary measure) - Informant-Report: None	8-57 months after treatment termination

			- Country: Sweden		<ul><li>- Clinician-Rated: None</li><li>- Task-Based: None</li></ul>	
(Langdon <i>et</i> al., 2016)	Anxiety	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 26; Mean Age, 33.1; Age Range, 20-64 - CG: <i>N</i> = 26; Mean Age, 38.7; Age Range, 17-65	<ul> <li>Predominantly group-based</li> <li>21 x 60 minute group sessions +</li> <li>3 x 60 minute individual sessions prior to group entry for socialisation to model</li> <li>Original manualised program</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (-0.37; secondary measure) - Informant-Report: None - Clinician-Rated:	- Six month follow (CBT group only)
Studios targeting sym	ng symptoms of me	ental disorder: Mixed (A	- Country: UK  Adolescents and Adults)		Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (0.10) - Task-Based: None	

Compulsiv	e Scale
(0.36)	

					- Task-Based: None	
(McGillivray & Evert, 2014)	Depression, anxiety and stress	- Quasi- experimental - CG: WL	- IG: N= 26; Mean Age, 20.27; Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 16; Mean Age, 20.5; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age Range, 15-25  - Country: Australia	- Group-based  - 9 x 120 minute sessions  - Original, manualised program ('Think well, Feel well and Be well')	- Self-Report: Depression Anxiety Stress Scales- Anxiety Score (0.06) - Informant-Report: None - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: None	- Three month follow up - Nine month follow up
(Pahnke, Lundgren, Hursti, & Hirvikoski, 2014)	Stress and emotional distress	- Pilot study - Cluster randomisation - CG: WL	- IG: N= 15; Mean Age, 16.2; Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 13; Mean Age, 16.8; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age Range, 13-21  - Country: Sweden	- Group-based  - School-based. No parental involvement in sessions  - 12 x 40 minute sessions + daily 6- 12 minute mindfulness exercises in classroom  - Modified an Acceptance and Commitment Therapy protocol (Hayes et al., 2003)	- Self-Report: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (-0.38)  - Informant-Report: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire- Teacher Report: Not included in quantitative synthesis as request for data required to calculate effect size was unsuccessful	- Two month follow up

					- Clinician-Rated: None		
					- Task-Based: None		
Studies targetin	g core features of A	SD: Children and Add	<u>olescents</u>				
(Ozonoff &	Theory of Mind	- Quasi-	- IG: <i>N</i> = 5; Mean Age,	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- None	
Miller, 1995) and social skills	experimental	13.8; Age Range, 13- 14	- 14 x 90 minute sessions	- Informant-Report:			
		- CG: No treatment	- CG: <i>N</i> = 4; Mean Age,	- Original program. Unclear	None		
			13.6; Age Range, 11- 16	whether program was manualised	- Clinician-Rated: None		
					- Task-Based: Theory		
			- Country: USA		of Mind Composite (0.64)		
(Provencal,	Social skills and peer relationships			- IG: <i>N</i> = 10; Mean	- Group-based	Not included in	- None
2003)		ionshins	Age, 14.5; Age Range, 12-16	- Concurrent parent sessions	quantitative synthesis as request for data required to calculate effect sizes was		
		- CG: TAU	- CG: <i>N</i> = 9; Mean Age, 14.2; Age Range, 12-	- 25 x 75 minute sessions (weekly for eight months)			
			16	- Original program. Unclear whether program was manualised	unsuccessful		
			- Country: USA				
(Solomon,	Emotion	- Randomised	- IG: <i>N</i> = 9; Mean Age,	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- None	
	recognition, theory of mind		9.7; Age Range, 7-12	- Concurrent parent training	- Informant-Report:		
2004)	and problem	- CG: WL	- CG: <i>N</i> = 9; Mean Age,	- 20 x 75 minute sessions	None		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	solving	olving	9.2; Age Range, 7-11		- Clinician-Rated: None		

			- Country: USA	<ul> <li>Original, modularised program.</li> <li>Unclear whether program was manualised</li> </ul>	- Task-Based: Strange Stories Task (ToM; 0.24)	
(Beaumont & Sofronoff, 2008)	Social skills and emotion recognition	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 26; Mean Age, 9.64; Age Range, 7-11 - CG: <i>N</i> = 23; Mean Age, 9.81; Age Range, 8-11 - Country: Australia	<ul> <li>Individual sessions (computergame based) + group sessions</li> <li>Simultaneous parent training sessions + teacher handouts</li> <li>8 x 120 minute sessions</li> <li>Original, manualised program ('The Junior Detective Training Program')</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: None - Informant-Report: Social Skills Questionnaire- Parent report (1.42) - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: Assessment of Perception of Emotion from Facial Expression (0.07)	- Six week follow up - Five month follow up
(Laugeson, Frankel, Mogil, & Dillon, 2009)	Social skills and friendship quality	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 17; Mean Age, 14.6; Age Range not reported  - CG: <i>N</i> = 16; Mean Age, 14.6; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age range, 13-17  - Country: USA	- Group-based  - Concurrent parent sessions  - 12 x 90 minute sessions  - Manualised program ('Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills'; PEERS).  Adapted from 'Children's Friendship Training' (Frankel & Myatt, 2003)	- Self-Report: Friendship Qualities Scale (0.14) - Informant-Report: Social Skills Rating System: Social Skills Scale (0.81) - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: None	- None

(Frankel <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Social skills	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 35; Mean Age, 8.6; Age Range not reported  - CG: <i>N</i> = 33; Mean Age, 8.5; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age range not reported  - Country: USA	<ul> <li>- Group-based</li> <li>- Concurrent parent sessions</li> <li>- 12 x 60 minute sessions</li> <li>- Manualised program: 'Children's Friendship Training' (Frankel &amp; Myatt, 2003)</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: The Loneliness Scale (0.67)  - Informant-Report: Social Skills Rating System- Assertion Scale (0.40)  - Clinician-Rated: None  - Task-Based: None	- Twelve week follow up (CBT group only)
(Koenig <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	Social skills	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: N= 25; Mean Age, 9.2; Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 19; Mean Age, 9.3; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age range, 8-11  - Country: USA	<ul> <li>- Group-based</li> <li>- 16 x 75 minute sessions</li> <li>- Original, manualised program</li> <li>- Inclusion of peer mentors</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: None - Informant-Report: Social Competence Inventory (0.11) - Clinician-Rated: Clinical Global Impressions Scale- Improvement (2.43) - Task-Based: None	- None
(Lopata <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	Social skills, face- emotion recognition, interest expansion and interpretation of	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 18; Mean Age, 9.39; Age Range not reported - CG: <i>N</i> = 18; Mean Age, 9.56; Age Range not reported	- Group-based  - Weekly parent training groups  - Summer program: 25 whole day sessions (over 5 weeks)  - Manualised program (Lopata et al., 2006, 2008)	- Self-Report: None - Informant-Report: Social Responsiveness Scale (0.69) - Clinician-Rated: None	- None

	non-literal language		- TS: Age range, 7-12		- Task-Based: None	
			- Country: USA			
(Begeer <i>et al.,</i> 2011)	Theory of Mind	ory of Mind - Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 19; Mean Age, 10.3; Age Range, 8-13 - CG: <i>N</i> = 17; Mean Age, 10.3; Age Range, 8-12	<ul> <li>- Group-based</li> <li>- Parental involvement at end of sessions + monthly training for parents</li> <li>- 16 x 90 minute sessions</li> <li>- Manualised program ('Theory of Mind Training', Gevers et al., 2006; Steerneman et al., 1996)</li> </ul>	- Self-Report: Index of Empathy for Children and Adolescents (- 0.17) - Informant-Report: None - Clinician-Rated: None	- None
(DeRosier, Swick, Davis, McMillen, & Matthews, 2011)	Social skills	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: 'Social Skills Group Intervention'- not adapted for ASD (S.S.GRIN; DeRosier, 2002, 2007)	Netherlands  - IG: N= 27; Mean Age, 10.2; Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 28; Mean Age, 9.9; Age Range not reported  - TS: Age Range, 8-12  - Country: USA	- Group-based  - 15 x 60 minute sessions, including 4 joint parent-child sessions  - Manualised program ('Social Skills Group Intervention- High Functioning Autism'; S.S.GRIN-HFA). Adapted from 'Social Skills Group Intervention' (S.S.GRIN; DeRosier, 2002, 2007)	- Task-Based: Theory of Mind test (0.04)  Not included in quantitative synthesis as request for data required to calculate effect sizes was unsuccessful	- None
(Laugeson, Frankel, Gantman,	Social skills	- Quasi- experimental - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 14; Mean Age, 15.0; Age Range not reported	- Group-based - Concurrent parent sessions	- Self-Report: Quality of Play Questionnaire: Host Score (1.07)	- Fourteen week follow up

Dillon, & Mogil, 2012)			- CG: N= 14; Mean Age, 14.3; Age Range not reported - TS: Age range, 12-17 - Country: USA	- 14 x 90 minute sessions  - Modified version of 'Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills' (PEERS; Laugeson et al., 2009)	- Informant-Report: Social Skills Rating System- Parent Report: Social Skills Scale (0.94) - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: None	(CBT group only)
(Thomeer <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	Social skills, face- emotion recognition, interest expansion and interpretation of non-literal language	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: <i>N</i> = 17; Mean Age, 9.24; Age Range not reported - CG: <i>N</i> = 18; Mean Age, 9.39; Age Range not reported - TS: Age range, 7-12	- Group-based  - Weekly parent training groups  - Summer program: 25 whole day sessions (over 5 weeks)  - Manualised program (Lopata et al., 2006, 2008, 2010)	- Self-Report: None - Informant-Report: Social Responsiveness Scale (0.65) - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: None	- Two-three month follow up
(Andrews, Attwood, & Sofronoff, 2013)	Affectionate communication and friendship skills	- Randomised Controlled Trial - CG: WL	- IG: N= 29, Mean Age and Age Range not reported  - CG: N= 29, Mean Age and Age Range not reported  - TS: Mean Age, 9.02; Age Range, 7-12	- Group-based - 5 x 120 minute sessions - Original, manualised program	- Self-Report: None - Informant-Report: Affection for Others Questionnaire for children with Asperger's syndrome (0.43) - Clinician-Rated: None	- Three month follow up (CBT group only)

			- Country: Australia		- Task-Based: None	
(Baghdadli et	Perception of	- Pilot study	- IG: N= 7; Mean Age,	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- None
al., 2013) facial emotions and quality of life	- Randomised	10.7; Age Range not reported	- 20 x 90 minute sessions	- Informant-Report: None		
		- AP: Leisure Activities	<ul><li>- CG: N= 7; Mean Age,</li><li>11.5; Age Range not reported</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Original, manualised program ('Social Skills Training Group- based program; SST-GP)</li> </ul>	- Clinician-Rated: None	
			- TS: Age range, 8-12		- Task-Based: Diagnostic Analysis of	
			- Country: France		Non Verbal Accuracy 2 (DANVA2)- Adult facial expressions (- 0.05)	
(Ichikawa <i>et</i>	Social reciprocity	- Pilot study	- IG: N= 5; Mean Age,	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- None
al., 2013)		- Randomised	5.3; Age Range, 5-5	- Concurrent parental sesssions	- Informant-Report:	
		- CG: WL	- CG: <i>N</i> = 6; Mean Age, 5.2; Age Range, 5-5	- 20 x 120 minute sessions	Strengths and Difficulties	
			, 0 0,	- Original, manualised program	Questionnaire	
			Country- Japan		(-0.44)	
			,		<ul> <li>Clinician-Rated:</li> <li>Interaction Rating</li> <li>Scale (0.08)</li> </ul>	
					- Task-Based: None	
(Koning,	Social skills	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N=</i> 7; Mean Age,	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- None
Magill-Evans, Volden, &		- Randomised	10.99; Age Range not reported	- 15 x 120 minute sessions	- Informant-Report: Social Responsiveness	
Dick, 2013)		- CG: No intervention.		<ul> <li>Original, manualised program, incorporating both structured</li> </ul>	Scale (0.43)	

		Nature of control	- CG: <i>N</i> = 8; Mean Age,	skills building and loosely	- Clinician-Rated:	
		group unclear	11.15; Age Range not reported	structured natural situations with fun activities	None - Task-Based: Child	
			- TS: Age range, 10-12		and Adolescent Social Perception Measure- Emotion score (0.61)	
			Country- Canada			
White <i>et al.</i> ,	Social skills and	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N</i> = 15; Mean	- Individual therapy (up to 13 x 60-	- Self-Report: None	- None
f c	anxiety (included within core features analysis only to prevent	- Randomised	Age, 14.2; Age Range not reported	So	- Informant-Report: Social Responsiveness	
		- CG: WL	- CG: <i>N</i> = 15; Mean Age, 15.0; Age Range		Scale (0.82)	
	double counting of data)		not reported	session	- Clinician-Rated: Developmental	
			- TS: Age range not reported	- Original, manualised, modular program	Disabled Children's Global Assessment Scale (0.17)	
			- Country: USA		- Task-Based: None	
Laugeson,	Social skills	- Quasi-	- IG: <i>N=</i> 40; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report:	- None
Illingsen, anderson,		experimental - CG: AP: Social	Age, 12.68; Age Range not reported	- Teacher-led	Friendship Qualities Scale (0.38)	
ucci, & Bates, 014)		skills curriculum based on 'Super	- CG: <i>N</i> = 33; Mean Age, 12.74; Age Range	- Daily 30 minute sessions x 5 days per week x 14 weeks	- Informant-Report: Social Responsiveness	
		Skills' (Coucouvanis,	not reported	- Manualised program ('PEERS	Scale- Teacher Report (-0.07)	
		2005)	- TS: Age range, 12-14	Curriculum for School-Based Professionals'; Laugeson, 2014), adapted from 'Program for the	- Clinician-Rated:	
			- Country: USA	Education and Enrichment of	None	

				Relational Skills'; PEERS; Laugeson & Frankel, 2010)	- Task-Based: None	
(Schohl <i>et al.</i> ,	Social skills and	- Randomised	- IG: <i>N</i> = 29; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report:	- None
2014) friendship quality	friendship quality	ship quality Controlled Trial - CG: WL	Age, 14.00; Age Range not reported	- Concurrent parent sessions	Friendship Qualities Scale (-0.01)	
			- CG: <i>N</i> = 29; Mean Age, 13.31; Age Range not reported - TS: Age range, 11-16 - Country: USA	- 14 x 90 minute sessions  - Manualised program ('Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills'; PEERS; Laugeson & Frankel, 2010)	- Informant-Report: Social Skills Rating System: Social Skills Scale (0.44) - Clinician-Rated: None - Task-Based: None	
(Wood, Fujii,	Social	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N=</i> 7; Mean Age,	- Individual	- Self-Report: None	- None
Renno, & Van Dyke, 2014)	communication and anxiety	communication anxiety - CG: TAU outcomes eported in Fujii	8.7; Age Range not reported	- Parental involvement in all sessions	- Informant-Report: None	- None
(anxiety outcomes reported in Fujii et al. (2013)	outcomes reported in Fujii		<ul><li>- CG: N= 6; Mean Age,</li><li>8.8; Age Range not reported</li></ul>	- 32 x 90 minute sessions (approximately 30 minutes with child, and 60 minutes with	- Clinician-Rated: Bauminger's Observational	
			- TS: Age Range, 7-11	parents/ family)	Measure of Social	
			Country- USA	- Modified 'Building Confidence' program (Wood & McLeod, 2008)	Communication Behaviour- Positive or Appropriate Interaction with Peers (1.51)	
					- Task-Based: None	

(Yoo <i>et al.</i> , Social skills 2014)	- Randomised Controlled Trial	- IG: <i>N</i> = 23; Mean Age, 14.04; Age Range	- Group-based	- Self-Report: Korean Version of Social Skills	- Three month follow up	
2011,		- CG: WL	not reported	- Concurrent parent sessions	Rating System (-0.23)	Tollow up
	66.112	- CG: <i>N</i> = 24; Mean Age, 13.54; Age Range not reported	<ul><li>- 14 x 90 minute sessions</li><li>- Modified version of 'Program for the Education and Enrichment of</li></ul>	- Informant-Report: Social Responsiveness Scale (0.16)		
			- TS: Age Range, 12-18	Relational Skills' (PEERS; Laugeson & Frankel. 2010)	- Clinician-Rated: Autism Diagnostic Observation	
			- Country: South Korea		Schedule- Reciprocal Social Interaction score (0.62)	
					- Task-Based: None	
(Begeer et al.,	Theory of Mind	Theory of Mind - Randomised and social skills Controlled Trial - CG: WL	<ul> <li>- IG: N= 52; Mean</li> <li>Age, 9.7; Age Range,</li> <li>7-12</li> <li>- CG: N= 45; Mean</li> <li>Age, 9.5; Age Range,</li> </ul>	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- Six month follow up
2015)	and social skills			- 8 x 60 minutes sessions	<ul> <li>Informant-Report:</li> <li>Theory of Mind</li> <li>Behaviour Checklist</li> <li>(0.74)</li> </ul>	
				<ul> <li>Shortened version of ToM training program used in Begeer et al. (2011)</li> </ul>		
			7-12		- Clinician-Rated: None	
			- Country: The Netherlands		- Task-Based: Theory of Mind test (0.64)	
(Freitag <i>et al.</i> , Social 2016)	Social skills	- Randomised	- IG: <i>N</i> = 101; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- Three month
		- Multicentre phase-III trial - CG: TAU	Age, 12.7; Age Range not reported - CG: N= 108; Mean Age, 12.9; Age Range not reported	<ul><li>- 12 x 90 minutes sessions</li><li>- 3 additional parent training sessions</li></ul>	- Informant-Report: Social Responsiveness Scale (0.22)	follow up

			(	- Original, manualised program ('Social Skills Training Autism-	- Clinician-Rated: None	
				Frankfurt'; SOSTA-FRA)	- Task-Based: None	
(Soorya et al.,	Social	ehavioural Controlled Trial	- IG: <i>N</i> = 35; Mean	- Group-based	- Self-Report: None	- Three month
2015)	behavioural impairments and		Age, 10.05; Age Range not reported	- Concurrent parent sessions	- Informant-Report: Social Behaviour Composite (0.48)	follow up (only began part way through trial so
	social cognition	<ul><li>- CG: AP (Facilitated play)</li></ul>	- CG: <i>N</i> = 34; Mean Age, 9.87; Age Range not reported	- 12 x 90 minutes sessions		
		(Facilitated play)		- Original, manualised program ('Seaver Nonverbal	- Clinician-Rated: None	follow up data not available for all
			- TS: Age Range, 8-11	communication, Emotion recognition, and Theory of mind Training'; Seaver-NETT)	NOTIC	participants)
			- Country: USA			
Studies targetir	g core features of AS	D: Adults				
(Turner-	Social interaction	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N</i> = 6; Mean Age,	- Group-based	- Self-Report: Social	- None
Brown, Perry, Dichter,	and social cognition	- Quasi-	42.5; Age Range, 25- 55	- 18 x 50 minute sessions	Communication Skills Questionnaire (-0.11)	
Bodfish, & Penn, 2008)		experimental - CG: TAU	- CG: <i>N=</i> 5; Mean Age, 28.8; Age Range, 27-	<ul> <li>Modified version of Social Cognition &amp; Interaction Training (SCIT; Roberts, Penn &amp; Combs,</li> </ul>	- Informant-Report: None	
			29	2004)	- Clinician-Rated:	
					Social Skills	
			- Country: USA		Performance Assessment (0.19)	
					- None	

(Gantman,	Social skills	- Pilot study	- IG: <i>N=</i> 9; Mean Age,	- Group-based	- Self-Report: Social	- None
Kapp, Orenski, & Laugeson, 2012)		- Randomised	19.9; Age Range not reported	- Concurrent caregiver sessions	and Emotional Loneliness Scale for	
		- CG: WL	- CG: <i>N</i> = 8; Mean Age, 20.9; Age Range not reported - TS: Age Range, 18-23	- 14 x 90 minute sessions	Adults (0.63)	
				<ul> <li>Modified version of 'Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills' (PEERS; Laugeson &amp; Frankel. 2010)</li> </ul>	Social Responsiveness	
					- Clinician-Rated:	
					None	
			- Country: USA		- Task-Based: None	

IG = intervention group; CG = control group; TS = total sample (where group demographics are not reported); WL = waiting list; TAU = treatment as usual; AP = Attention Placebo

Appendix B: Summary of quality assessment

	External	Internal	
Study	Validity	Validity	Key Sources of Bias
			er: Children and Adolescents
Sofronoff et al. (2005)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure
Chalfant et al. (2007)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Sofronoff et al. (2007)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure; Lack of blinded outcome assessment; Drop outs prior to intervention not included in analysis
Reaven et al. (2009): Pilot study	-	+	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation concealment; Participants entered in order of expressed interest- possible confound; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Wood et al. (2009)	+	+	Small sample
Scarpa & Reyes (2011): Pilot study	-	-	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group); Lack of information on allocation procedure; Lack of blinded outcome assessment; Did not adjust for baseline differences between groups
Sung et al. (2011)	+	+	Small sample; No allocation concealment <sup>1</sup> ; Did not adjust for baseline differences between groups
Clarke (2012)	-	-	Small sample; Lack of individual randomisation (cluster); No allocation concealment; Lack of blinded outcome assessment; No formal assessment of anxiety prior to allocation
Cortesi et al. (2012)	+	+	Recruitment method unclear
Reaven et al. (2012)	++	+	Small sample
McNally Keehn et al. (2013): Pilot study	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure
Storch et al. (2013)	+	+	Small sample
McConachie et al. (2014): Pilot study	+	+	Small sample
Van Steensel et al. (2014)	-	+	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation concealment; Lack of blinded outcome assessment; Did not adjust for baseline differences between groups
Hepburn et al. (2015): Pilot study	-	-	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation concealment; Control group not recruited simultaneously with CBT group; Lack of blinded outcome assessment; Did not adjust for baseline differences between groups
Storch et al. (2015)	+	+	Small sample
Wood et al. (2015)	+	+	Small sample
Studies targeting sympto	oms of men	tal disorde	er: Adults
Russell et al. (2009):	-	-	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation
Pilot study			concealment; Lack of blinded outcome assessment;

			CBT group had more severe OCD at baseline- not adjusted for in analysis; Treatment not manualised
Spek et al. (2013)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Hesselmark et al.	_	_	Small sample; Two participants not randomised;
(2014)			No allocation concealment; Lack of blinded
(=== 1)			outcome assessment; High amount of drop outs/
			missing data; Did not adjust for baseline
			differences between groups
Langdon et al. (2016)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of fidelity checks
	ns of men	tal disord	der: Mixed (Adolescents and Adults)
Russell et al. (2013)	+	+	Small sample; No allocation concealment; Possible
,			contamination as crossover between groups and
			unclear when post-assessments were completed
McGillivray & Evert	-	_	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation
(2014)			concealment; Lack of blinded outcome assessment;
(=== :/			Asymptomatic participants included
Pahnke et al. (2014):	+	+	Small sample; Lack of individual randomisation
Pilot study			(cluster); Lack of blinded outcome assessment;
Thor study			Potential for contamination between groups as all
			conducted within same school
Studies targeting core feat	tures of A	SD: Childi	
Ozonoff & Miller	-	-	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group);
(1995)			Non-randomised; No allocation concealment;
(1333)			Recruitment poorly described; Inappropriate
			analysis
Provencal (2003)	_	_	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation
(2000)			concealment; Partial blinded outcome assessment;
			Inappropriate analysis; Did not adjust for baseline
			differences between groups
Solomon et al. (2004)	_	_	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group);
,			Lack of information on allocation procedure; Lack
			of blinded outcome assessment; Inappropriate
			analysis
Beaumont & Sofronoff	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation
(2008)			procedure; Partial blinded outcome assessment
Laugeson et al. (2009)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation
0 ,			procedure
Frankel et al. (2010)	+	+	Small sample; Simple randomisation procedure;
(2020)			Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Koenig et al. (2010)	+	+	Small sample; Simple randomisation procedure; No
(====,			allocation concealment
Lopata et al. (2010)	+	+	Small sample; No allocation concealment; Lack of
10 pa ta et a (10 10)			blinded outcome assessment
Begeer et al. (2011)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation
10800: 00 a.i. (1011)			procedure; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
DeRosier et al. (2011)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation
2 51100161 Ct all (2011)	·	•	procedure; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Laugeson et al. (2012)	_	+	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation
-448c2011 Ct al. (2012)		•	concealment
Thomeer et al. (2012)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation
	•	•	procedure; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
			procedure, Edok or billided outcome dosessinelle

Andrews et al. (2013)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Baghdadli et al. (2013): Pilot study	-	-	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group)
Ichikawa et al. (2013): Pilot study	-	-	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group)
Koning et al. (2013): Pilot study	-	-	Small sample; No allocation concealment
White et al. (2013): Pilot study	+	+	Small sample; Simple randomisation procedure
Laugeson et al. (2014)	-	-	Small sample; Non-randomised; No allocation concealment; Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Schohl et al. (2014)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure; High number of drop outs
Wood et al. (2014): Pilot study	-	-	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group); Lack of information on allocation procedure; Analysis may be problematic
Yoo et al. (2014)	+	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure; Partial blinded outcome assessment
Begeer et al. (2015)	+	+	Lack of blinded outcome assessment
Freitag et al. (2015)	++	++	
Soorya et al. (2015)	++	+	Small sample; Lack of information on allocation procedure; Use of Social Behaviour Composite as primary outcome measure- not validated
Studies targeting core fea	tures of A	SD: Adult	<u>s</u>
Turner-Brown et al. (2008): Pilot study	-	-	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group); Non-randomised; No allocation concealment; Two participants changed groups; Inappropriate analysis
Gantman et al. (2012): Pilot study	-	-	Very small sample (< 10 participants per group); Simple randomisation; No allocation concealment; Lack of blinded outcome assessment; Inappropriate analysis

++ = All or most of the checklist criteria have been fulfilled; where they have not been fulfilled the conclusions are very unlikely to alter; + = Some of the checklist criteria have been fulfilled; where they have not been fulfilled, or not adequately described, the conclusions are unlikely to alter; - = Few or no checklist criteria have been fulfilled and the conclusions are likely or very likely to alter. <sup>1</sup>Allocation concealment refers to the process or technique used to implement randomisation such that it is concealed from clinicians, participants and researchers. This reduces the chances that the randomisation sequence can be predicted. Allocation concealment is not blinding of treatments.

## References

- Andrews, L., Attwood, T., & Sofronoff, K. (2013). Increasing the appropriate demonstration of affectionate behavior, in children with Asperger syndrome, high functioning autism, and PDD-NOS: A randomized controlled trial. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 7(12), 1568-1578.
- Baghdadli, A., Brisot, J., Henry, V., Michelon, C., Soussana, M., Rattaz, C., & Picot, M. (2013). Social skills improvement in children with high-functioning autism: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 22(7), 433-442.
- Beaumont, R., & Sofronoff, K. (2008). A multi-component social skills intervention for children with Asperger syndrome: The Junior Detective Training Program. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49(7), 743-753.
- Begeer, S., Gevers, C., Clifford, P., Verhoeve, M., Kat, K., Hoddenbach, E., & Boer, F. (2011). Theory of mind training in children with autism: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 41(8), 997-1006.
- Begeer, S., Howlin, P., Hoddenbach, E., Clauser, C., Lindauer, R., Clifford, P., . . . Koot, H. M. (2015). Effects and Moderators of a Short Theory of Mind Intervention for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Autism Research*, *8*, 738-748.
- Chalfant, A. M., Rapee, R., & Carroll, L. (2007). Treating anxiety disorders in children with high functioning autism spectrum disorders: A controlled trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *37*, 1842-1857.
- Clarke, C. D. (2012). An Evaluation of a Brief School-Based Cognitive Behavioural Therapy Programme for Children with ASD. (PhD), University of London, London.
- Cortesi, F., Giannotti, F., Sebastiani, T., Panunzi, S., & Valente, D. (2012). Controlled-release melatonin, singly and combined with cognitive behavioural therapy, for persistent insomnia in children with autism spectrum disorders: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of sleep research*, 21(6), 700-709.
- DeRosier, M. E., Swick, D. C., Davis, N. O., McMillen, J. S., & Matthews, R. (2011). The efficacy of a social skills group intervention for improving social behaviors in children with high functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 41(8), 1033-1043.
- Frankel, F., Myatt, R., Sugar, C., Whitham, C., Gorospe, C. M., & Laugeson, E. (2010). A randomized controlled study of parent-assisted Children's Friendship Training with children having autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(7), 827-842.
- Freitag, C. M., Jensen, K., Elsuni, L., Sachse, M., Herpertz-Dahlmann, B., Schulte-Rüther, M., . . . Schad-Hansjosten, T. (2016). Group-based cognitive behavioural psychotherapy for children and adolescents with ASD: the randomized, multicentre, controlled SOSTA—net trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *57*, 596-605.
- Gantman, A., Kapp, S. K., Orenski, K., & Laugeson, E. A. (2012). Social skills training for young adults with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders: A randomized controlled pilot study. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(6), 1094-1103.
- Hepburn, S. L., Blakeley-Smith, A., Wolff, B., & Reaven, J. A. (2015). Telehealth delivery of cognitive-behavioral intervention to youth with autism spectrum disorder and anxiety: A pilot study. *Autism*, 1362361315575164.
- Hesselmark, E., Plenty, S., & Bejerot, S. (2014). Group cognitive behavioural therapy and group recreational activity for adults with autism spectrum disorders: A preliminary randomized controlled trial. *Autism*, 1-12.
- Ichikawa, K., Takahashi, Y., Ando, M., Anme, T., Ishizaki, T., Yamaguchi, H., & Nakayama, T. (2013). TEACCH-based group social skills training for children with high-functioning autism: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *BioPsychoSocial medicine*, 7(1), 1.

- Koenig, K., White, S. W., Pachler, M., Lau, M., Lewis, M., Klin, A., & Scahill, L. (2010). Promoting social skill development in children with pervasive developmental disorders: a feasibility and efficacy study. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(10), 1209-1218.
- Koning, C., Magill-Evans, J., Volden, J., & Dick, B. (2013). Efficacy of cognitive behavior therapy-based social skills intervention for school-aged boys with autism spectrum disorders. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 7(10), 1282-1290.
- Langdon, P. E., Murphy, G. H., Shepstone, L., Wilson, E. C. F., Fowler, D., Heavens, D., . . . Mullineaux, L. (2016). The people with Asperger Syndrome and anxiety disorders (PAsSA) Trial: A pilot multi-centre single blind randomised trial of group cognitive behavioural therapy. *British Journal of Psychiatry Open, 2*, 179-186.
- Laugeson, E. A., Ellingsen, R., Sanderson, J., Tucci, L., & Bates, S. (2014). The ABC's of teaching social skills to adolescents with autism spectrum disorder in the classroom: the UCLA PEERS® Program. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(9), 2244-2256.
- Laugeson, E. A., Frankel, F., Gantman, A., Dillon, A. R., & Mogil, C. (2012). Evidence-based social skills training for adolescents with autism spectrum disorders: The UCLA PEERS program. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(6), 1025-1036.
- Laugeson, E. A., Frankel, F., Mogil, C., & Dillon, A. R. (2009). Parent-assisted social skills training to improve friendships in teens with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 39(4), 596-606.
- Lopata, C., Thomeer, M. L., Volker, M. A., Toomey, J. A., Nida, R. E., Lee, G. K., . . . Rodgers, J. D. (2010). RCT of a manualized social treatment for high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(11), 1297-1310.
- McConachie, H., McLaughlin, E., Grahame, V., Taylor, H., Honey, E., Tavernor, L., . . . Steen, N. (2013). Group therapy for anxiety in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 1362361313488839.
- McGillivray, J., & Evert, H. (2014). Group Cognitive Behavioural Therapy Program Shows Potential in Reducing Symptoms of Depression and Stress Among Young People with ASD. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44, 2041-2051.
- McNally Keehn, R. H., Lincoln, A. J., Brown, M. Z., & Chavira, D. A. (2013). The Coping Cat program for children with anxiety and autism spectrum disorder: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43, 57-67.
- Ozonoff, S., & Miller, J. N. (1995). Teaching theory of mind: A new approach to social skills training for individuals with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 25(4), 415-433.
- Pahnke, J., Lundgren, T., Hursti, T., & Hirvikoski, T. (2014). Outcomes of an acceptance and commitment therapy-based skills training group for students with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder: A quasi-experimental pilot study. *Autism*, *18*(8), 953-964.
- Provencal, S. L. (2003). *The efficacy of a social skills training program for adolescents with autism spectrum disorders.* (PhD), University of Utah.
- Reaven, J. A., Blakeley-Smith, A., Culhane-Shelburne, K., & Hepburn, S. (2012). Group cognitive behavior therapy for children with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders and anxiety: a randomized trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *53*, 410-419.
- Reaven, J. A., Blakeley-Smith, A., Nichols, S., Dasari, M., Flanigan, E., & Hepburn, S. (2009). Cognitive-behavioral group treatment for anxiety symptoms in children with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders a pilot study. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 24(1), 27-37.
- Russell, A. J., Jassi, A., Fullana, M. A., Mack, H., Johnston, K., Heyman, I., . . . Mataix-Cols, D. (2013). Cognitive behavior therapy for comorbid obsessive-compulsive disorder in high-functioning autism spectrum disorders: A randomized controlled trial. *Depression and anxiety, 30*(8), 697-708.

- Russell, A. J., Mataix-Cols, D., Anson, M., & Murphy, D. (2009). Psychological treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder in people with autism spectrum disorders—A pilot study. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics*, 78(1), 59-61.
- Scarpa, A., & Reyes, N. M. (2011). Improving emotion regulation with CBT in young children with high functioning autism spectrum disorders: a pilot study. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, *39*(04), 495-500.
- Schohl, K. A., Van Hecke, A. V., Carson, A. M., Dolan, B., Karst, J., & Stevens, S. (2014). A replication and extension of the PEERS intervention: examining effects on social skills and social anxiety in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(3), 532-545.
- Sofronoff, K., Attwood, T., & Hinton, S. (2005). A randomised controlled trial of a CBT intervention for anxiety in children with Asperger syndrome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 46(11), 1152-1160.
- Sofronoff, K., Attwood, T., Hinton, S., & Levin, I. (2007). A randomized controlled trial of a cognitive behavioural intervention for anger management in children diagnosed with Asperger syndrome. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 37(7), 1203-1214.
- Solomon, M., Goodlin-Jones, B. L., & Anders, T. F. (2004). A social adjustment enhancement intervention for high functioning autism, Asperger's syndrome, and pervasive developmental disorder NOS. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 34(6), 649-668
- Soorya, L. V., Siper, P. M., Beck, T., Soffes, S., Halpern, D., Gorenstein, M., . . . Wang, A. T. (2015). Randomized Comparative Trial of a Social Cognitive Skills Group for Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 54(3), 208-216. e201.
- Spek, A. A., van Ham, N. C., & Nyklíček, I. (2013). Mindfulness-based therapy in adults with an autism spectrum disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 34(1), 246-253.
- Storch, E. A., Arnold, E. B., Lewin, A. B., Nadeau, J. M., Jones, A. M., De Nadai, A. S., . . . Murphy, T. K. (2013). The effect of cognitive-behavioral therapy versus treatment as usual for anxiety in children with autism spectrum disorders: a randomized, controlled trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 52(2), 132-142.
- Storch, E. A., Lewin, A. B., Collier, A. B., Arnold, E., De Nadai, A. S., Dane, B. F., . . . Murphy, T. K. (2015). A randomised controlled trial of cognitive behavioral therapy verus treatment as usual for adolescents with autism spectrum disorders and comorbid anxiety *Depression and anxiety*, 32(3), 174-181.
- Sung, M., Ooi, Y. P., Goh, T. J., Pathy, P., Fung, D. S., Ang, R. P., . . . Lam, C. M. (2011). Effects of cognitive-behavioral therapy on anxiety in children with autism spectrum disorders: a randomized controlled trial. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 42(6), 634-649.
- Thomeer, M. L., Lopata, C., Volker, M. A., Toomey, J. A., Lee, G. K., Smerbeck, A. M., . . . Smith, R. A. (2012). Randomized clinical trial replication of a psychosocial treatment for children with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Psychology in the Schools, 49*(10), 942-954.
- Turner-Brown, L. M., Perry, T. D., Dichter, G. S., Bodfish, J. W., & Penn, D. L. (2008). Brief report: Feasibility of social cognition and interaction training for adults with high functioning autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(9), 1777-1784.
- van Steensel, F., Dirksen, C., & Bögels, S. (2014). Cost-effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral therapy versus treatment as usual for anxiety disorders in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 8(2), 127-137.
- White, S. W., Ollendick, T., Albano, A. M., Oswald, D., Johnson, C., Southam-Gerow, M. A., . . . Scahill, L. (2013). Randomized controlled trial: Multimodal anxiety and social skill intervention for adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43(2), 382-394.

- Wood, J. J., Drahota, A., Sze, K., Har, K., Chiu, A., & Langer, D. A. (2009). Cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety in children with autism spectrum disorders: a randomized, controlled trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 50*(3), 224-234. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01948.x
- Wood, J. J., Ehrenreich-May, J., Alessandri, M., Fujii, C., Renno, P., Laugeson, E., . . . Lewin, A. B. (2015). Cognitive behavioral therapy for early adolescents with autism spectrum disorders and clinical anxiety: A randomized, controlled trial. *Behavior Therapy*, 46(1), 7-19.
- Wood, J. J., Fujii, C., Renno, P., & Van Dyke, M. (2014). Impact of cognitive behavioral therapy on observed autism symptom severity during school recess: A preliminary randomized, controlled trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(9), 2264-2276.
- Yoo, H. J., Bahn, G., Cho, I. H., Kim, E. K., Kim, J. H., Min, J. W., . . . Bong, G. (2014). A Randomized Controlled Trial of the Korean Version of the PEERS® Parent-Assisted Social Skills Training Program for Teens With ASD. *Autism Research*, 7(1), 145-161.