

Kent Academic Repository

Gough, A.Martin (2008) Writing for Research Students and the Skills Agenda The Open University, Walton Hall. (Unpublished) In: National Postgraduate Conference `Postgraduate Welfare?', 14-16 Aug.2008,

Downloaded from

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/25273/ The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

http://www.npc.org.uk

This document version

UNSPECIFIED

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

Cite as the published version. If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in Title of Journal, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date). If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type

Enquiries

our Take Down policy (available from https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record

NATIONAL POSTGRADUATE COMMITTEE ANNUAL CONFERENCE WORKSHOP 14-16 August 2008

Writing for Research Students and the Skills Agenda

Martin Gough

Lecturer in Higher Education and Academic Practice
Unit for the Enhancement of Learning & Teaching
University of Kent
A.M.Gough@kent.ac.uk

Welfare not just about factors external to study

 Enjoying well-being also includes competence in doing research – being skilled at research

When do you do your "writing up"?

When do you do writing?

Rowena Murray, "snacking" vs "binging"

UK GRAD

Launched in 2003 by Research Councils

www.grad.ac.uk

- The role of the UK GRAD Programme is to support the academic sector to embed personal and professional skills development into research degree programmes (RDP).
- Our vision is for all postgraduate researchers to be fully equipped and encouraged to complete their studies and to make a successful transition to their future careers.
- Now called Vitae

Project funded by the Centre for Excellence in Preparing for Academic Practice (a CETL)

Evaluation of researcher support programmes: assessment within development events, and the attitudes and experiences towards academic careers provision, of early career academics (ECAs)

Researchers: Martin Gough (Kent), Emma Williams (Cambridge), Frederico Matos (Cambridge & UCL), Jon Turner (Edinburgh)

http://www.kent.ac.uk/uelt/academic-practice/support-for-teaching/externally-funded-projects.html

Rugby Team Impact Framework uses: Kirkpatrick, D.L. & Kirkpatrick, J.D. (2006) *Evaluating Training Programmes* (3rd Ed.), Berrett-Koehler Publishers Inc

Impact Level 0: Foundations

Impact Level 1: Reaction

Impact Level 2: Learning

Impact Level 3: Behaviour

Impact Level 4: Outcomes

Evaluating impact of development event

- What / how much have you learnt?
- How good (skilled) are you? (attainment level)
- How can you really find out?

Project Approach = Exploratory

- •Survey analysis PRES + CROS (& STaRSS, RL CROS)
- Review of innovative practice
- •Questionnaires, interviewing, observation, materials analysis
- Intervention
- Workshops

Evaluating impact of development event

- How can you really find out?
- Assessment tasks pros & cons?

Impact Level 0: Foundations

This level relates to investment that leads to development of the infrastructure for training and development activity, such as the employment of additional staff, a larger programme of training workshops and other activities being offered, or training facilities being refurbished. Metrics such as the number of training opportunities offered, the number of researchers participating, or a more specific example such as the number of researcher interactions with industry as the result of a particular training activity, are examples of Level 0 impact measures, i.e. these primarily measure inputs and throughputs.

Impact Level 1: Reaction

This level indicates the reaction of participants to training and development activities. For example, at the end of a workshop participants may be asked what were their views of the experience? What was their view of the training programme as a whole?

Impact Level 2: Learning

This level reflects 'the extent to which participants change attitudes, improve knowledge, and/or increase skill as a result of attending the programme'. For example, does a researcher have a better understanding of how to work effectively within a team as a result of attending a training workshop?

Impact Level 3: Behaviour

This level reflects 'the extent to which change in behaviour has occurred because the participant attended the training programme'. Is the researcher now managing their project and time better as a result of the development activity? How has the researcher applied what they have learnt?

Impact Level 4: Outcomes
This level measures the final results of the training and development activity. Have changes in behaviour resulted in different outcomes? Has the quality of researcher improved? Is there a more highly skilled research workforce?