

**Gough, A.Martin (2009) *Assessment within development events as evaluation of researcher support programmes*. In: Vitae researcher development conference 2009, 8-9 Sept.2009, University of Warwick. (Unpublished)**

## **Downloaded from**

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/24475/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

## **The version of record is available from**

<http://www.vitae.ac.uk/CMS/files/upload/Vitaeconference2009-Conference-Report.pdf>

**This document version**  
UNSPECIFIED

## **DOI for this version**

**Licence for this version**  
UNSPECIFIED

## **Additional information**

## **Versions of research works**

### **Versions of Record**

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site.  
Cite as the published version.

### **Author Accepted Manuscripts**

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

## **Enquiries**

If you have questions about this document contact [ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](#) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository/#policies>).

8-9<sup>th</sup> September 2009: Vitae researcher development conference 2009: realising the potential of researchers

***Martin Gough***

Lecturer in Higher Education & Academic Practice  
University of Kent

*CETL Project: Evaluation of researcher support programmes: assessment within development events, and the attitudes and experiences towards academic careers provision, of early career academics (ECAs)*

## **Researchers:**

Martin Gough (Kent),  
Emma Williams (Cambridge),  
Frederico Matos (Cambridge & UCL),  
Jon Turner (Edinburgh)

Other institutions participating:

University of Surrey

Buckinghamshire New University

And....(?)

# Approach = Exploratory

- Survey analysis
- Review of innovative practice
- Questionnaires, interviewing, observation, materials analysis
- Intervention
- Workshops

Rugby Team Impact Framework uses:

Kirkpatrick, D.L. and Kirkpatrick, J.D. (2006) *Evaluating Training Programmes* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.), Berrett-Koehler Publishers Inc

**Impact Level 0: Foundations**

**Impact Level 1: Reaction**

**Impact Level 2: Learning**

**Impact Level 3 Behaviour**

**Impact Level 4: Outcomes**

# Development Event Participants

## Postgraduate Teaching Assistants

(on teacher development programmes)

- Postgraduates on research writing workshop
- ECAs on research abstract writing workshop
- Newer Researchers - on Higher Education  
(presenting their work)

...to date

# Views on Assessment

“I give up my valuable to time to attend your workshop... and you want me to do an assignment as well?!!”

Implicit assessment syndrome

Assessment **for** learning... as well as **of** learning

Pass/Fail dividing line – useful?

– or insensitive (‘romantic’ narrative of submitting yourself to activity for the love of knowledge and learning) ?

# Discussion Questions

Q1. To what extent would the addition of assignment work linked to but outside workshop events across skills and competence development programmes for researchers and early career academics enhance the learning “experience” for participants?

Q1a. Which skill areas/attributes would be enhanceable, which not?

## **Discussion Questions (contd.)**

**Q2. Would participants being assessed help you to understand the attainment level participants are at?**

**Q2a. Or would being assessed help them learn, attain a higher standard?**

**Q3. When is it important to have in assessment an indication of being “good enough”, or not, i.e. pass or fail?**

**Q3a. Is this just appropriate for courses which contribute credits towards a qualification?**

**Q3b. How can generic skills be assessed if not part of a wider/cohesive programme?**