



Kent Academic Repository

Kolajo, Yetunde (2026) *Reflective Teaching in Higher Education: the “small shifts” that quietly change everything*. Review of: Advancing pedagogical excellence through reflective teaching practice and adaptation by Kolajo, Yetunde. Advancing pedagogical excellence through reflective teaching practice and adaptation, 26 (6). pp. 832-847.

Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/112329/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2025.2504143>

This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

CC BY-NC-ND (Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives)

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts


If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in **Title of Journal**, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).

Reflective Teaching in Higher Education: the “small shifts” that quietly change everything

By Dr Yetunde Kolajo



If you’ve ever left a lecture thinking “*That didn’t land the way I hoped*” (or “*That went surprisingly well - why?*”), you’ve already stepped into reflective teaching. The question is whether reflection remains a private afterthought... or becomes a deliberate practice that improves teaching in real time and shapes what we do next.

In [*Advancing pedagogical excellence through reflective teaching practice and adaptation*](#), Dr Yetunde Kolajo explores reflective teaching practice (RTP) in a first-year chemistry context at a New Zealand university, asking a deceptively simple question: How do lecturers’ teaching philosophies shape what they actually do to reflect and adapt their teaching?

What the study did

Kolajo interviewed eight chemistry lecturers using semi-structured interviews, then used thematic analysis to examine two connected strands: (1) teaching concepts/philosophy and (2) lecturer–student interaction.

The paper distinguishes between:

- Reflective Teaching (RT): the broader ongoing process of critically examining your teaching.
- Reflective Teaching Practice (RTP): the day-to-day strategies (journals, feedback loops, peer dialogue, etc.) that *make reflection actionable*.

The headline insight: reflection is uneven and often unsystematic

A striking finding is that not all lecturers consistently engaged in reflective practices, and there wasn’t clear evidence of a shared, structured reflective culture across the

teaching team. Some lecturers could articulate a teaching philosophy, but this didn't always translate into a repeatable reflection cycle (before, during, and after teaching). Kolajo frames this using Dewey and Schön's well-known reflection stages:

- **Reflection-for-action (before teaching):** planning with intention
- **Reflection-in-action (during teaching):** adjusting *as it happens*
- **Reflection-on-action (after teaching):** reviewing to improve next time

And here's the bit that will resonate: even where lecturers were clearly committed and experienced, reflection could still become fragmented more like "minor tweaks" than a consistent, evidence-informed practice.

The real engine of reflection: lecturer-student interaction

The article repeatedly returns to one powerful idea: interaction isn't just a teaching technique - it's a reflection tool.

Student questions, live confusion, moments of silence, a sudden "Ohhh!" - these are data. In the study, the clearest examples of reflection happening *during* teaching came from lecturers who intentionally built in interaction (e.g., questioning strategies, pausing for problem-solving).

One example stands out: Denise's in-class quiz is described as the only instance that embodied all three reflection components using student responses to gauge understanding, adapting support during the activity, and feeding insights forward into later planning.

Why this matters right now in UK HE

UK higher education is navigating increasing diversity in student backgrounds, expectations, and prior learning alongside sharper scrutiny of teaching quality and inclusion. In that context, reflective teaching isn't "nice-to-have CPD"; it's a way of ensuring our teaching practices keep pace with learners' needs, not just disciplinary content.

And importantly: the paper doesn't argue for abandoning lectures. Instead, it shows how reflective practice can help lecturers *adapt* within lecture-based structures especially through purposeful interaction that shifts students from passive listening toward more active/constructive engagement (drawing on engagement ideas such as ICAP).

Three "try this tomorrow" reflective moves (small, practical, high impact)

1. **Plan one interaction checkpoint (not ten).**
Add a single moment where you *must* learn something from students (a hinge question, poll, mini-problem, or "explain it to a partner"). Use it as reflection-for-action.
2. **Name your in-the-moment adjustment.**
When you pivot (slow down, re-explain, swap an example), briefly acknowledge it: "*I'm noticing this is sticky - let's try a different route.*" That's reflection-in-action made visible.

3. **End with one evidence-based note to self.**

Not “Went fine.” Instead: “35% missed X in the quiz - next time: do Y before Z.”
That’s reflection-on-action you can actually reuse.

Questions to spark conversation (for you or your teaching team)

- Where does your *teaching philosophy* show up most clearly: content coverage, student confidence, relevance, or interaction?
- What “data” do you trust most: NSS/module evaluation, informal comments, in-class responses, attainment patterns and why?
- If your programme is team-taught, what would a *shared* reflective framework look like in practice (so reflection isn’t isolated and inconsistent)?

If reflective teaching is the intention, this article is the nudge: **make reflection visible, structured, and interaction-led**, so adaptation becomes a habit, not a heroic one-off.

Reference

Kolajo, Y. (2025) ‘Advancing Pedagogical Excellence Through Reflective Teaching Practice and Adaptation’, *Reflective Practice: International and Multidisciplinary Perspectives*. Taylor & Francis. doi: 10.1080/14623943.2025.2504143
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14623943.2025.2504143>
(DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2025.2504143>).