

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

# Angewandte Chemie

GDCh

International Edition

www.angewandte.org

## Accepted Article

**Title:** Synthesis and Reactivity of a Dialane-Bridged Diradical

**Authors:** Debabrata Dhara, Lukas Endres, Ivo Krummenacher, Merle Arrowsmith, Rian D. Dewhurst, Bernd Engels, Rüdiger Bertermann, Maik Finze, Serhiy Demeshko, Franc Meyer, Felipe Fantuzzi, and Holger Braunschweig

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

**To be cited as:** *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2024**, e202401052

**Link to VoR:** <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202401052>

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

## Synthesis and Reactivity of a Dialane-Bridged Diradical

Debabrata Dhara,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Lukas Endres,<sup>[a,b,c]</sup> Ivo Krummenacher,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Merle Arrowsmith,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Rian D. Dewhurst,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Bernd Engels,<sup>[c]</sup> Rüdiger Bertermann,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Maik Finze,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Serhiy Demeshko,<sup>[d]</sup> Franc Meyer,<sup>[d]</sup> Felipe Fantuzzi,<sup>\*[e]</sup> Holger Braunschweig<sup>\*[a,b]</sup>

- [a] Dr. Debabrata Dhara, Lukas Endres, Dr. Ivo Krummenacher, Dr. Rian D. Dewhurst, Dr. Merle Arrowsmith, Dr. Rüdiger Bertermann, Prof. Dr. Maik Finze, Prof. Dr. Holger Braunschweig  
Institute for Inorganic Chemistry  
Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg  
Am Hubland, 97074, Würzburg, Germany
- [b] Dr. Debabrata Dhara, Lukas Endres, Dr. Ivo Krummenacher, Dr. Rian D. Dewhurst, Dr. Merle Arrowsmith, Dr. Rüdiger Bertermann, Prof. Dr. Maik Finze, Prof. Dr. Holger Braunschweig  
Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron  
Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg  
Am Hubland, 97074, Würzburg, Germany
- [c] Lukas Endres, Prof. Dr. Bernd Engels  
Institute for Physical and Theoretical Chemistry  
Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg  
Emil-Fischer-Str. 42, 97074 Würzburg, Germany.
- [d] Dr. Serhiy Demeshko, Prof. Dr. Franc Meyer  
Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen  
Tammannstraße 4, 37077 Göttingen, Germany.
- [e] Dr. Felipe Fantuzzi  
School of Chemistry and Forensic Science  
University of Kent  
Canterbury, Park Wood Rd, CT2 7NH, United Kingdom.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

**Abstract:** Radicals of the lightest group 13 element, boron, are well established and observed in numerous forms. In contrast to boron, radical chemistry involving the heavier group 13 elements (aluminum, gallium, indium, and thallium) remains largely underexplored, primarily attributed to the substantial synthetic challenges associated with these elements. Herein, we report the synthesis and isolation of planar and twisted conformers of a doubly cyclic alkyl(amino)carbene (CAAC)-radical-substituted dialane. Extensive characterization through spectroscopic analyses and X-ray crystallography confirms their identity, while quantum chemical calculations support their open-shell nature and provide further insights into their electronic structures. The dialane-connected diradicals exhibit high susceptibility to oxidation, as evidenced by electrochemical measurements and reactions with *o*-chloranil and a variety of organic azides. This study opens a previously uncharted class of dialuminum systems, broadening the scope of diradical chemistry and its potential applications.

## Introduction

In 1904, Thiele made a groundbreaking discovery in diradical chemistry by the synthesis of a stable organic diradicaloid (later referred to as 'Thiele's hydrocarbon') from *p*-quinodimethane, marking a significant milestone in radical chemistry.<sup>[1]</sup> Subsequently, by harnessing similar strategies, a plethora of


radicals, diradicals and radicaloids has been reported.<sup>[2,3]</sup> The physical and chemical properties of these compounds have been rigorously investigated from various perspectives, including chemical bond formation in organic chemistry, biological chemistry, and materials chemistry.<sup>[4,5,6]</sup> Although radical chemistry has been extensively explored within the realm of organic compounds, recent advances have opened up an exciting avenue in radical chemistry involving main-group elements as central components.<sup>[7-11]</sup> Traditionally, group 13 elements have primarily been perceived as electron acceptor centers for lone pairs and have been less frequently employed as spin carriers.<sup>[10,12]</sup> However, in recent years, engineering of the steric and electronic factors around the radical center has resulted in the identification of numerous boron-based radicals, diradicals and diradicaloids.<sup>[10,12-24]</sup> These compounds have proven useful in various applications such as chemical sensing, reagents for organic synthesis, polymerization initiators, and as essential elements in extended magnetic systems and beyond.<sup>[12,25]</sup> However, in contrast to the relatively well-explored boron-based diradicals, knowledge regarding the existence and properties of analogous radicals and diradicals involving the heavier group 13 elements remains scarce.<sup>[9,26]</sup>

In 1993 Pörschke and colleagues reported dialane radical anions,  $[\text{Li}(\text{tmEDA})_2][\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}\}_4\text{Al}_2]^{--[27]}$  (TMEDA = *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine), utilizing the steric protection of bulky substituents. Employing a similar approach, Power and Uhl


## RESEARCH ARTICLE

independently reported analogous dialane radical anions,  $[\text{Li}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]^+[\text{Tip}_4\text{Al}_2]^{--}$  and  $[\text{K}(\text{dme})_3][\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}\}_4\text{Al}_2]^{--}$ , respectively (Tip = 2,4,6- $\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$ , DME = 1,2-dimethoxyethane).<sup>[28,29]</sup> Similar digallane radical anions<sup>[30]</sup> were also authenticated by Power and coworkers using kinetic stabilization. In 2005 Sekiguchi *et al.*<sup>[31]</sup> revealed that by introducing sufficiently bulky peripheral groups around the central atom, it becomes possible to isolate mononuclear tricoordinate aluminum- and gallium-centered radical anions where both the unpaired electron and negative charge are centered on aluminum and gallium atoms. In 2014 Aldridge and coworkers were the first to obtain neutral monoradicals based on Ga, In, and Tl, using bulky boryl substituents, however, the aluminum version remained elusive, likely due to the high propensity for disproportionation in aluminum species.<sup>[32]</sup> Monomeric examples of neutral aluminum radicals were first reported by Roesky and coworkers utilizing the  $\pi$ -accepting ability of CAACs where the spin density is mostly borne by the carbene carbon atom.<sup>[33,34]</sup>


## A) Example of aluminum-based diradical



## B) Twisted diradical and planar diborene analogue



## C) This work



**Figure 1.** Selected examples of aluminum-based diradicals (A), boron-based diradicals and diborene (B), and the twisted and planar diradicals based on aluminum, prepared in this work (C) (CAAC = 1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-3,3,5,5-tetramethylpyrrolidin-2-ylidene, Dur = 2,3,5,6-Me<sub>4</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H).

In general, diradicals are even less stable than monoradicals due to their bifunctional nature, enabling the pairing of their electrons through both intermolecular and intramolecular operations.<sup>[35,36]</sup> In 2009 Schnöckel and coworkers synthesized a cyclic aluminum-centered  $\text{Al}_2\text{P}_2$  triplet diradical **I** (Figure 1) that proved too unstable for full characterization, as it readily isomerized to the singlet closed-shell compound **II** (Figure 1), a thermodynamically stable product (Figure 1).<sup>[36]</sup> The radical character is quenched through the formation of an element-element bond. Nevertheless, a similar diradical based on a  $\text{B}_2\text{P}_2$  core is notably stable.<sup>[35]</sup> In 2011

our group reported that twisted diborene congeners (**III**), stabilized by CAAC donors,<sup>[21]</sup> exhibited diradical character, while their planar analogs (**IV**) were conventional diborenes with distinct  $\text{B}=\text{B}$  double bonds.<sup>[37,38]</sup> These observations are similar to those seen in twisted open-shell alkenes compared to planar closed-shell alkenes.<sup>[39,40]</sup> Quantum-chemical calculations suggested that a balance between steric and electronic factors is necessary to stabilize the twisted diradicals over the closed-shell diborenes.<sup>[41]</sup> To our knowledge, there are no known examples of group 13 diradicals with direct element-element bonds other than **III**. Although theoretical calculations have predicted a substantial amount of open-shell singlet diradical character in group 13 dimetallenes  $[\text{RE}=\text{ER} \leftrightarrow \text{RE}^-\text{ER}]$ ,  $\text{E} = \text{Al, Ga, In, Tl}$ ;  $\text{R} = \text{H, Me, } t\text{Bu, Ph}$ ),<sup>[42]</sup> experimental evidence for this phenomenon is yet to be reported.

Herein, we report the isolation of a neutral acyclic dialane with two adjacent CAAC radical units. In the solid state this diradical exists as two conformers: a *trans*-planar and a twisted conformation (Figure 1C), depending upon the solvent of crystallization. In contrast to the boron analogues (**III** & **IV**, Figure 1) both conformations of the diradical dialane system show diradical character, which is confirmed experimentally and theoretically. Both forms of the diradical have strong reducing properties, as exemplified in reactions with *o*-chloranil and organic azides.


## Results and Discussion

The CAAC-stabilized durylaluminum dibromide **1** was synthesized using a modified literature procedure.<sup>[43]</sup> The reaction of  $\text{DurLi}$ <sup>[44]</sup> (Dur = 2,3,5,6-Me<sub>4</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H) with an equivalent amount of  $\text{AlBr}_3$  in a diethyl ether and hexane (1:3) mixture led to the ether-coordinated durylaluminum dibromide  $[(\text{Et}_2\text{O})\text{AlBr}_2\text{Dur}]$ , which was then treated with CAAC (1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-3,3,5,5-tetramethylpyrrolidin-2-ylidene) to form **1** (see the Supporting Information, SI). The formation of both  $[(\text{Et}_2\text{O})\text{AlBr}_2\text{Dur}]$  and **1** was confirmed by solid-state structural determination as well as solution and solid-state NMR spectroscopy. In the solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum of **1** a broad resonance at 236 ppm was assigned to the carbene carbon nucleus, which remained undetected in solution due to the large quadrupolar interaction with the  $^{27}\text{Al}$  nucleus. The diradicals **2a** and **2b** were synthesized by a Wurtz-type coupling reaction (Scheme 1).<sup>[45]</sup> Toluene was added to a solid mixture of **1** and three equivalents of  $\text{KC}_8$  at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$ , the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 6 h, followed by stirring for another 18 h at room temperature, during which it turned from colorless to brown-red to dark violet. An aliquot of the reaction mixture proved NMR-silent, suggesting the formation of radical species. Evaporation of the solvent and extraction of the crude product with pentane yielded a black colloidal solution, which upon slow evaporation inside the glovebox freezer yielded dark-violet crystals of **2a** in 40% yield. The reduction of **1** in hexane with Jones'  $\text{Mg}(\text{I})$  dimer,<sup>[46]</sup> which took 48 h to reach completion, improved the yield to about 60% overall (Scheme 1). Recrystallization of **2a** from HMDSO (hexamethyldisiloxane) exclusively gave rise to the dark violet *trans*-planar conformer **2b**, from which **2a** can be regenerated by recrystallization from pentane. This phenomenon is likely due to the effect of crystal packing arising from intramolecular steric effects and differences in the polarity of the crystallization

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

solvents.<sup>[47]</sup> The formation of the conformers **2a/b** was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements (Figure 2), which showed that twisted conformer **2a** cocrystallizes with one pentane molecule in the solid state, while planar conformer **2b** has no cocrystallized solvent. The Al–Al bond distances in **2a** (2.573(1) Å) and **2b** (2.595(1) Å) are significantly longer than in the NHC-stabilized dialumene [Tip(NHC)Al=Al(NHC)Tip] (2.403(1) Å, Tip = 2,4,6-*i*-Pr<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, NHC = 1,3-diisopropyl-4,5-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene),<sup>[48]</sup> but within the range of distances reported for other Al–Al single bonds.<sup>[49,50]</sup> The Al–C<sub>CAAC</sub> distances in **2a** (Al1–C2 = Al2–C1 = 1.935(1) Å) and **2b** (Al1–C2 = Al2–C1 = 1.956(1) Å) are shorter than in the NHC-stabilized dialumenes (ca. 2.059–2.062 Å)<sup>[48,51]</sup> but closer to the Al–C<sub>CAAC</sub> bond distances (ca. 1.925 Å) of a doubly CAAC-stabilized parent Al(I) hydride<sup>[52]</sup> and CAAC-stabilized aluminum radical (ca. 1.9512 Å).<sup>[33,34,26]</sup> This implies that the Al–C<sub>CAAC</sub> bond distances fall within the range between typical Al–C single and double bond distances, indicating the presence of some degree of multiple bonding here.<sup>[53]</sup> The Al–C<sub>Dur</sub> bond lengths in **2a** (1.986(1) Å) and **2b** (1.990(1) Å) are closer to the Al–C single bond distances observed in the dialumene [Tip(NHC)Al=Al(NHC)Tip] (Al–C<sub>Tip</sub> 2.029(1) Å).<sup>[48]</sup> The dihedral angle C1–Al2–Al1–C2 is 80.5° in **2a** and 180° in **2b**, thus confirming a significant difference in their geometric conformations. Similarly, the dihedral angle C3–Al1–Al2–C4 is 51.27° in **2a** but 180° in **2b**. These observations show that **2b** adopts a fully planar structure, akin to the reported dialumenes<sup>[48]</sup> and most diborenes.<sup>[54]</sup> On the other hand, **2a** is a twisted conformer, reminiscent of the structure seen in the CAAC-stabilized diborane diradical **III**.<sup>[21]</sup>


The dark-violet solutions of **2a/b** were both found to be NMR-silent. Solid-state IR spectra did not show any vibrational bands attributable to Al–H bonding (ca. 1593–1835 cm<sup>−1</sup>),<sup>[51,52]</sup> ruling out the presence of a hydride attached to the aluminum centers. The UV-vis absorbance maximum (489 nm) is identical for both conformers and consistent with the violet color of the compound.



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of **2a** and **2b** from **1** (Dip = 2,6-*i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>; Mg<sup>I</sup> = [({Mes}Nacnac)Mg]<sub>2</sub>, MesNacnac = HC(MeCNMes)<sub>2</sub><sup>−</sup>, Mes = 2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>).

It is worth mentioning here that analogous reductions of NHC-stabilized arylaluminum dihalides with potassium graphite led to a planar dialumene compound with a distinct Al=Al double bond,<sup>[48,51]</sup> a self-stabilized dialumene<sup>[55]</sup> or a C–H activated dialane,<sup>[50]</sup> in contrast to our present results. In the case of analogues with heavier Group 13 elements, the reduction of an NHC-stabilized digallane also led to an NHC-stabilized digallene

which upon oxidation transformed into a digallene-based radical cation and dication.<sup>[56]</sup> The absence of an Al–Al multiple bond evidenced by the structural data and the NMR-silent nature of **2a/b** suggests that they may be triplet or singlet diradicals, captodatively<sup>[57]</sup> stabilized by the strong  $\sigma$  donation and  $\pi$  acceptance of the CAAC ligands.<sup>[53]</sup>



**Figure 2.** A) Molecular solid-state structures of **2a** and **2b**. The structures have been represented with thermal ellipsoids (−100 °C) at the 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms and a cocrystallized pentane molecule in **2a** were omitted for clarity. B) Sawhorse projection view of **2a** and **2b** (all the methyl and aryl groups attached to CAAC and duryl backbone were omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°]: for **2a**: Al–Al2 2.573(1), Al2–C1 = Al1–C2, 1.935(1), Al1–C3 = Al2–C4 1.986(1), C2–Al1–Al2–C1 80.50(8), C3–Al1–Al2–C4 51.27(6), C1–Al2–Al1–C3 114.11(7), Al1–Al2–C1 129.86(4), C1–Al2–C4 123.04(5), Al1–Al2–C4 105.44(4). For **2b**: Al–Al2 2.595(1), Al2–C1 = Al1–C2, 1.956(1), Al1–C3 = Al2–C4 1.990(1), C2–Al1–Al2–C1 180.00(7), C3–Al1–Al2–C4 180.00(7), C1–Al2–Al1–C3 10.56 (7), Al1–Al2–C1 124.17(5), C1–Al2–C4 117.09(6), Al1–Al2–C4 117.94(4).

To probe their diradical nature a toluene solution of **2a/b** was subjected to continuous-wave (cw) X-band EPR-spectroscopic measurements. The room-temperature X-band EPR spectrum displayed a single intense, broad resonance at  $g_{iso} = 2.0029$  without any resolved hyperfine splitting. The EPR spectrum in frozen toluene at 70 K showed a relatively strong half-field transition and partially resolved zero-field splittings in the  $g = 2$  region (Figure 3A), characteristic of a triplet state. The simulated spectrum provides zero-field splitting parameters of  $D = 468$  MHz (0.01561 cm<sup>−1</sup>) and  $E = 23$  MHz (0.00078 cm<sup>−1</sup>). Using the relative intensity of the half-field to the allowed transition, the distance  $r$  between the unpaired spins was estimated to be ca. 5.33 Å, while the point-dipole approximation yielded a value of ca. 5.50 Å.<sup>[58]</sup> From the crystal structure it is evident that this does not match the Al–Al distance (**2a**: 2.573(1) Å; **2b**: 2.595(1) Å) but matches the C<sub>CAAC</sub>...C<sub>CAAC</sub> distance far better (**2a**: ca. 5.41 Å; **2b**: ca. 5.85 Å).




## RESEARCH ARTICLE

This suggests that the two unpaired electrons are delocalized over the Al–C<sub>CAAC</sub>–N  $\pi$  bonding system and mostly concentrated at the CAAC carbene centers. Thus, the system can be described as a dialane connecting two CAAC radicals. The solid-state EPR data for **2a** at 70 K revealed a zero-field splitting parameter of  $D = 445$  MHz ( $0.0155$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) and an  $E$  value of  $13$  MHz ( $0.00045$  cm<sup>-1</sup>), while for **2b**, the corresponding values were  $D = 468$  MHz ( $0.01561$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) and  $E = 23$  MHz ( $0.00078$  cm<sup>-1</sup>), indicating that they exhibit only marginal differences (Figure 3A). It should be noted that, unlike planar diborene **IV**, planar dialane **2b** exhibits diradical character. While an analysis of the EPR signal intensity was not reliable to determine the electronic ground states of the diradicals, magnetic studies of crystalline **2a** and **2b** using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer suggest (see SI) that both conformers have a singlet ground state with a thermally accessible triplet state (**2a**:  $2J = -213$  cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $-0.61$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) vs. **2b**:  $2J = -483$  cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $-1.38$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>)).

To provide further insights into the electronic structures of the isolated diradicals and the unusual experimental findings, quantum chemical calculations were also performed. Compounds **2a/b** could potentially possess three distinct electronic ground states: a closed-shell singlet with Al=Al bonding, an open-shell singlet, or a triplet state. To investigate these possibilities, geometry optimizations were performed using the unrestricted formalism of density functional theory (UDFT), as detailed in the SI. The application of broken-symmetry UDFT calculations for open-shell singlet biradicals is contingent upon several critical considerations. Notably, when the overlap between open-shell orbitals is minimal – indicative of the single electrons being

localized on distinct atomic centers – the inherent inaccuracies of UDFT become negligible.<sup>[59a]</sup> This minimal overlap scenario often obscures UDFT's fundamental limitations in modeling open-shell singlet biradicals due to its enhanced capacity for depicting spin polarization, a feature where restricted DFT methods typically fall short. Nevertheless, it is essential to corroborate these results through further multireference calculations whenever feasible, a step we have also undertaken.

The frontier molecular orbitals of the closed-shell singlet, open-shell singlet, and triplet states of **2a** and **2b** at the (U)DFT level are represented in Figure S44 (SI). For both systems Mayer bond order (MBO)<sup>[59b]</sup> calculations on the lowest singlet states indicate the presence of an Al–Al single bond with a partial Al–C double bond character. The latter is more pronounced in the planar structure **2b** (Al–Al: 0.90; Al–C: 1.17) than in the twisted **2a** (Al–Al: 0.99; Al–C: 1.07). Further analysis of the adiabatic energies reveals that the closed-shell singlet state is considerably higher in energy than the open-shell singlet, differing by 28.3 and 23.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for **2a** and **2b**, respectively. The open-shell singlet states are slightly lower in energy than the triplet states (by 0.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for **2a** and 0.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for **2b**). Furthermore, the computed expectation values of the total spin,  $\langle S^2 \rangle$ , for the open-shell singlet states of **2a** and **2b**, calculated at the UDFT level prior to the annihilation of the primary spin contaminant, stand at 1.004 and 0.997, respectively. These values, hovering around 1, suggest a biradical nature of the systems. This is due to the fact that in single-determinant approaches, an open-shell singlet biradical wave function is effectively a complete mixture of the correct singlet ( $\langle S^2 \rangle = 0$ ) and triplet ( $\langle S^2 \rangle = 2$ ) wave functions.<sup>[59c]</sup>




**Figure 3.** A) Frozen-solution and solid-state X-band EPR spectra of **2a/b** (red line in spectrum of frozen solution indicates simulated spectra, for simulated spectra of solid samples of **2a** and **2b**, see SI. B) Alpha (green) and beta (blue) Mulliken spin densities of **2a** and **2b** in their open-shell singlet ground states, depicting spin polarization. Level of theory: UωB97X-D<sup>[69]</sup>/Def2-TZVP<sup>[70]</sup>/PCM(toluene)<sup>[71]</sup>//UωB97X-D/Def2-SVP.<sup>[70]</sup> Isovalues: 0.003 au. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

## RESEARCH ARTICLE


These findings are confirmed by high-level, state-specific multireference calculations based on the second-order N-electron valence state perturbation theory (NEVPT2) with domain-based local pair natural orbitals (DLPNOs) using reference complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) wave functions, a method collectively known as DLPNO-NEVPT2/CASSCF (see SI).<sup>[60-65]</sup> These calculations demonstrate that both conformers exhibit an open-shell singlet ground state, with a significant biradical character of  $y_0 = 0.82$  (twisted **2a**) and  $y_0 = 0.87$  (planar **2b**).<sup>[66-68]</sup> The singlet-triplet energy gap for both conformers is exceptionally small (**2a**: 0.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>; **2b**: 0.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), making them nearly isoenergetic states. These results align well with the experimental SQUID measurements. Spin density calculations on the open-shell singlet ground states of both conformers reveal electron delocalization spanning from the aluminum center to the CAAC nitrogen (Al–C–N) (Figure 3B).

The spin density is mainly localized on the carbene carbon atoms for both systems (57%), whereas the aluminum and nitrogen atoms contribute only 16% and 20%, respectively (Figure 3B). A similar result was also observed in the case of CAAC-stabilized boron-based diradical systems **III**, wherein the unpaired electrons reside predominantly on the CAAC carbon  $\pi$  orbitals

(50% for R = SBU and 45% for R = SPh).<sup>[21]</sup> The calculated distances between the two spin centers located at the carbene carbon atoms are 5.38 Å and 5.78 Å for **2a** and **2b**, respectively (Figure 3B). These results align with the experimental determination of the distance between the two radical centers (~5.33 Å) obtained from EPR measurements.




**Scheme 2.** Reaction of the diradical **2a/b** with *o*-chloranil and molecular structure of **3**. The solid-state structure has been represented with thermal ellipsoids (–100 °C) at the 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°]: C1–C2 1.415(5), C2–O1 1.351(4), C1–O2 1.333(4), Al1–C1 2.075(4); Al1–C2 1.985(3), O1–Al1 1.817(3), Al1–O2 1.794(3), O1–Al1–O2 91.41(12), C1–Al1–O1 112.20(14), C1–Al1–C2 112.64(14), O2–Al1–C2 116.23(14).



**Scheme 3.** Reactions of the diradical **2a/b** with azides (<sup>Mes</sup>Ter = 2,6-Mes<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, <sup>Ph</sup>Ter = 2,6-Ph<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, *p*-Tol = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

## RESEARCH ARTICLE



**Figure 4.** A) Molecular structures of **4**, **5** and **7** with thermal ( $-100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms along with a pentane molecule (solvent of crystallization of **5**) were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [ $\text{\AA}$ ] and bond angles [ $^{\circ}$ ] for **4**: Al–N1 1.668(3), Al1–C1 2.105(4), Al1–C2 1.973(3), N1–C3 1.366(5); Al1–N1–C3 166.7(3), C1–Al1–N1 112.68(15), C2–Al1–N1 124.01(14), C1–Al1–C2 120.28(14). For **5**: Al1–Al2 2.6007(9), Al1–C1 1.9645(16), N1–C3 1.403(3), Al2–N1 1.828(1), Al1–N2 1.826(1); N1–Al1–N2 89.48(6), Al1–N1–Al2 90.38(8), C1–Al1–Al2–C2 160(5). For **7**: Al1–Al2 2.588(1), Al1–C1 1.944(1), Al2–C2 1.942(1), N1–C3 1.391(3), N2–C4 1.389(1), Al2–N1 1.813(1), Al2–N2 1.814(1); N1–Al1–N2 89.00(6), Al1–N1–Al2 91.09(6), C1–Al1–Al2–C2 169.0(5) Al1–N1–Al2–N2 0.41(6).

Given the ease of oxidation suggested by CV measurements of **2a/b** (see SI), their twofold chemical oxidation was attempted. The reaction of **2a/b** with the mild oxidant *o*-chloranil resulted in the breaking of the Al–Al bond and the oxidation of both aluminum centers, yielding compound **3** (Scheme 2). The solid-state molecular structure of **3** (Scheme 2) shows an aluminum catecholate stabilized by a CAAC unit. Compound **3** was found to be insoluble in benzene and toluene, and unstable in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  and THF. It was marginally stable in  $\text{CHCl}_3$ , therefore its identity was confirmed by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  in addition to solid-state NMR spectra. The solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum showed a  $\text{C}_{\text{CAAC}}$  resonance at 233.1 ppm, slightly upfield compared to that of **1** (236.1 ppm).

A wide range of low-valent aluminum and boron compounds have been shown to react with organic azides, yielding iminoalane and iminoborane products, respectively, often depending upon the steric profiles of the reactants.<sup>[72–77]</sup> The reaction of two equivalents of  $\text{MesTerN}_3$  ( $\text{MesTer} = 2,6\text{-Mes}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ ) with **2a/b** yielded the aluminum imide **4** (Scheme 3). The solid-state structure of **4** (Figure 4) showed an Al–N bond distance of 1.668(3)  $\text{\AA}$ , which is intermediate between the reported Al–N triple bond (1.625(4)  $\text{\AA}$ )<sup>[76]</sup> and double bond (1.705(2)  $\text{\AA}$ ).<sup>[75]</sup> The Al1–C1 bond distance (2.105(4)  $\text{\AA}$ ) is similar to Al– $\text{C}_{\text{CAAC}}$  bond distances reported for other carbene-stabilized aluminum(III) compounds and slightly longer than the Al– $\text{C}_{\text{Dur}}$  distance. Since **4** is unstable in most common organic solvents other than hexane and pentane, its identity was confirmed by solid-state NMR spectroscopy, which showed a  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  carbene (CAAC) resonance at 235.9 ppm. The same reaction with an excess (four equivalents) of  $\text{MesTerN}_3$  led to only a few crystals of the planar, cyclic  $\text{Al}_2\text{N}_2$  species **5** and the linear triazene derivative **6** (Scheme 3). It should be noted that addition of additional  $\text{MesTerN}_3$  to iminoalane **4** led to no further reaction or detection of **5** or **6**.

In order to gain more insight into the reactivity of **2a/b**, it was reacted with less bulky organic azides. Reaction with  $\text{PhTerN}_3$  (Scheme 3,  $\text{PhTer} = 2,6\text{-Ph}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ ) yielded a mixture of the dimeric iminoalane **7** and the triazene derivative **8** (60:40, as determined by NMR spectroscopy), while the reaction with the much smaller 1-azido-4-methylbenzene led to the formation of the triazene derivative **9** along with an unidentified mixture of products (Scheme 3). Varying the reaction conditions (temperature and reactant ratio) did not lead to the formation of a monomeric iminoalane analogous to **4** in either case. Like other dimeric

iminoalanes, **5** and **7** display planar geometries that are nearly perfect squares (Figure 4; for **5**: N1–Al1–N2 89.48(6), Al1–N1–Al2 90.38(8) $^{\circ}$ ; for **7**: N1–Al1–N2 89.00(6) $^{\circ}$ , Al1–N1–Al2 91.09(6) $^{\circ}$ ). The Al–N bond distances (**5**: ca. 1.827  $\text{\AA}$ , **7**: ca. 1.814  $\text{\AA}$ ) are comparable to those reported for other dimeric iminoalanes (ca. 1.810  $\text{\AA}$ ).<sup>[72,73,75,77]</sup>

## Conclusion

We have successfully synthesized, isolated, and characterized a hitherto unknown diradical system based on two CAAC centers connected by an Al–Al unit that exists as two different conformers in the solid state: twisted and *trans*-planar. Quantum chemical calculations in combination with experimental findings establish that both conformers are open-shell singlets in their ground states, with very small singlet-triplet energy gaps. This highly electron-rich diradical shows facile oxidation reactivity with an orthoquinone and a range of azides.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged (BR1149/26-1 and 466954611). D.D. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral fellowship. We thank Mr. Christoph Mahler for helping with mass spectrometry. L.E. thanks the Verband der Chemischen Industrie (VCI) for a Kekulé fellowship. F.F. thanks the University of Kent for financial support. The authors gratefully acknowledge the computing time provided to them on the high-performance computer Noctua2 at the NHR Center PC2. This system is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research and the state government participating based on the resolutions of the GWK for national high-performance computing at universities ([www.nhr-verein.de/unsere-partner](http://www.nhr-verein.de/unsere-partner), accessed on 17 October 2023). Purchase of the SQUID magnetometer was enabled by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation, project number INST 186/1329-1 FUGG) and the Niedersächsische Ministerium für Wissenschaft und Kultur (MWK).



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

Keywords: dialane • diradical • carbene • iminoalane • low-valent aluminum chemistry

**Crystallographic data:** Deposition numbers <https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/services/structures?id=doi:10.1002/anie.202401052>, 2290330 (for [(Et<sub>2</sub>O)AlBr<sub>2</sub>(Dur)]), 2290337 (for **1**), 2290397 (for **2a**), 2290344 (for **2b**), 2290355 (for **3**), 2290373 (for **4**), 2290371 (for **5.pentane**), 2290353 (for **6**), 2290598 (for **7**), 2290364 (for **8**), 2290367 (for **9**), 2290368 (for **10**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures> Access Structures service.

- [1] J. Thiele, H. Balhorn, *Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1904**, 37, 1463–1470.
- [2] M. Abe, *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, 113, 7011–7088.
- [3] a) T. Stuyver, B. Chen, T. Zeng, P. Geerlings, F. D. Proft, R. Hoffmann, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, 119, 11291–1135; b) A. Hinz, J. Bresien, F. Breher, A. Schulz, *Chem. Rev.* **2023**, 123, 10468–10526.
- [4] Z. X. Chen, Y. Li, F. Huang, *Chem* **2021**, 7, 288–332.
- [5] L. A. Pham-Huy, H. He, C. Pham-Huy, *Int. J. Biomed. Sci.* **2008**, 4, 89–96.
- [6] A. Rajca, *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, 94, 871–893.
- [7] K. C. Mondal, S. Roy, H. W. Roesky, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, 45, 1080–1111.
- [8] Z. Feng, S. Tang, Y. Su, X. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2022**, 51, 5930–5973.
- [9] T. Chivers, J. Konu, *Stable and Persistent Radicals of Group 13-17 Elements. Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II*. Elsevier Ltd, 2013, 349–373; doi: 10.1016/b978-0-08-097774-4.00116-9
- [10] Y. Su, R. Kinjo, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2017**, 352, 346–378.
- [11] D. Dhara, P. K. Pal, R. Dolai, N. Chrysochos, H. Rawat, B. J. Elvers, I. Krummenacher, H. Braunschweig, C. Schulzke, V. Chandrasekhar, U. D. Priyakumar, A. Jana, *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, 57, 9546–9549.
- [12] W. Kaim, N. S. Hosmane, S. Žališ, J. A. Maguire, W. N. Lipscomb, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 5082–5091; *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, 121, 5184–5193
- [13] W. Kaim, A. Schulz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984**, 23, 615–616; *Angew. Chem.* **1984**, 96, 611–612
- [14] J. Fiedler, S. Zališ, A. Klein, A. Hornung, W. Kaim, *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, 35, 3039–3043.
- [15] J. D. Hoefelmeyer, F. P. Gabbaï, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 9054–9055.
- [16] C.-W. Chiu, F. P. Gabbaï, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 1723–1725; *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, 119, 1753–1755
- [17] H. Klusik, A. Berndt, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1981**, 20, 870–871; *Angew. Chem.* **1981**, 93, 903–904
- [18] M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 108, 4235–4236.
- [19] H. Braunschweig, V. Dyakonov, J. Oscar, C. Jimenez-Halla, K. Kraft, I. Krummenacher, K. Radacki, A. Sperlich, J. Wahler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51, 2977–2980; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, 124, 3031–3034
- [20] A. Maiti, F. Zhang, I. Krummenacher, M. Bhattacharyya, S. Mehta, M. Moos, K. Lambert, B. Engels, A. Mondal, H. Braunschweig, P. A. Ravat, A. Jana, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, 143, 3687–3692.
- [21] J. Böhne, T. Dellermann, M. A. Celik, I. Krummenacher, R. D. Dewhurst, S. Demeshko, W. C. Ewing, K. Hammond, M. Heß, E. Bill, E. Welz, M. I. S. Röhr, R. Mitrić, B. Engels, F. Meyer, H. Braunschweig, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, 9, 1197; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02998-3>.
- [22] A. Gärtner, M. Arrowsmith, M. Dietz, I. Krummenacher, R. Bertermann, F. Fantuzzi, H. Braunschweig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, 144, 21363–21370.
- [23] M. Dietz, M. Arrowsmith, K. Drepper, A. Gärtner, I. Krummenacher, R. Bertermann, M. Finze, H. Braunschweig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, 145, 15001–15015.
- [24] N. Kumar, R. R. Reddy, N. Eghbarieh, A. Masarwa, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, 56, 13–25.
- [25] P. Renaud, Boron in radical chemistry, in *Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology and Materials*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2012. DOI: 10.1002/9780470971253.rad020
- [26] M. M. Siddiqui, S. Banerjee, S. Bose, S. K. Sarkar, S. K. Gupta, J. Kretsch, N. Graw, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, S. Dutta, D. Koley, H. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.* **2020**, 59, 11253–11258.
- [27] C. Luta, K. R. Pörschke, C. Krüger, K. Hildenbrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1993**, 32, 388–390; *Angew. Chem.* **1993**, 105, 451–453
- [28] R. J. Wehmschulte, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, M. M. Olmstead, H. Hope, B. E. Sturgeon, P. P. Power, *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, 32, 2983–2984.
- [29] W. Uhl, A. Vester, W. Kaim, J. Poppe, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, 454, 9–13.
- [30] X. He, R. A. Bartlett, M. M. Olmstead, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, B. E. Sturgeon, P. P. Power, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1993**, 32, 717–719; *Angew. Chem.* **1993**, 105, 761–762
- [31] M. Nakamoto, T. Yamasaki, A. Sekiguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 6954–6955.
- [32] A. V. Protchenko, D. Dange, J. R. Harmer, C. Y. Tang, A. D. Schwarz, M. J. Kelly, N. Phillips, R. Tirfoin, K. H. Birjumar, C. Jones, M. Kaltsayannis, P. Mountford, S. Aldridge, *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, 6, 315–319.
- [33] B. Li, S. Kundu, A. C. Stückl, H. Zhu, H. Keil, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, B. Schwederski, W. Kaim, D. M. Andrada, G. Frenking, H. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 397–400; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, 129, 407–411
- [34] S. Kundu, S. Sinhababu, S. Dutta, T. Mondal, D. Koley, B. Dittrich, B. Schwederski, W. Kaim, A. C. Stückl, H. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, 53, 10516–10519.
- [35] D. Scheschke, H. Amii, H. Gornitzka, W. W. Schoeller, D. Bourissou, G. Bertrand, *Science* **2002**, 295, 1880–1881.
- [36] P. Henke, T. Pankewitz, W. Klopfer, F. Breher, F. H. Schnöckel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 8141–8145; *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, 121, 8285–8290
- [37] M. Arrowsmith, J. Böhne, H. Braunschweig, M. L. Celik, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 14287–14292; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, 129, 14475–14480
- [38] M. Arrowsmith, J. D. Mattock, J. Böhne, I. Krummenacher, A. Vargas, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, 54, 4669–4672.
- [39] V. Franzen, H.-I. Liebigs Ann. **1961**, 648, 63–68.
- [40] B. Kanawati, A. Genest, P. Schmitt-Kopplin, D. Lenoir, *J. Mol. Model.* **2012**, 18, 5089–5095.
- [41] E. Welz, J. Böhne, R. D. Dewhurst, H. Braunschweig, B. Engels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, 140, 12580–12591.
- [42] J. Moilanen, P. P. Power, H. M. Tuononen, *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, 49, 10992–11000.
- [43] M. A. Petrie, P. P. Power, V. R. Dias, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, K. M. Waggoner, R. J. Wehmschulte, *Organometallics* **1993**, 12, 1086–1093.
- [44] E. Zysman-Colman, K. Arias, J. S. Siegel, *Can. J. Chem.* **2009**, 87, 440–446.
- [45] V. Y. Lee, A. Sekiguchi, *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II Elsevier Ltd. (Second Edition)*, 2013, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097774-4.00113-3>
- [46] A. Stasch, C. Jones, *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, 40, 5659–5672.
- [47] Y. Wang, Quillian, P. Wei, Y. Xie, C. S. Wannere, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, P. v. R. Schleyer, G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 3298–3299.
- [48] P. Bag, A. Porzelt, P. J. Altmann, S. Inoue, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, 139, 14384–14387.
- [49] C. Weetman, H. Xu, S. Inoue, *Recent Developments in Low-valent Aluminum Chemistry. Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. **2020**, DOI: 10.1002/9781119951438.eibc2753.
- [50] D. Dhara, F. Fantuzzi, M. Härterich, R. D. Dewhurst, I. Krummenacher, M. Arrowsmith, C. Prankevicus, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Sci.* **2022**, 13, 9693–9700.
- [51] C. Weetman, A. Porzelt, P. Bag, F. Hanusch, S. Inoue, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, 11, 4817–4827.




## RESEARCH ARTICLE

- [52] S. K. Møllerup, Y. Cui, F. Fantuzzi, P. Schmid, J. T. Goettel, G. Bélanger-Chabot, M. Arrowsmith, I. Krummenacher, Q. Ye, V. Engel, B. Engels, H. Braunschweig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 16954–16960.
- [53] M. Melaimi, R. Jazzar, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 10046–10068; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 10180–10203.
- [54] H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 6271–6277.
- [55] D. Dhara, A. Jayaraman, M. Härterich, R. D. Dewhurst, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 5631–5638.
- [56] Z. Feng, Y. Fang, H. Ruan, Y. Zhao, G. Tan, X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 6769–6774; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 6835–6840.
- [57] Viehe, H. G.; Janousek, Z.; Merenyi, R.; Stella, L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1985**, *18*, 148–154.
- [58] S. S. Eaton, K. M. More, B. M. Sawant, G. R. Eaton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 6560–6567.
- [59] a) J. Grafenstein, E. Kraka, M. Filatov, D. Cremer, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2002**, *3*, 360–394; b) I. Mayer, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2007**, *28*, 204–221; c) P. Schmid, F. Fantuzzi, J. Klopff, N. B. Schröder, R. D. Dewhurst, H. Braunschweig, V. Engel, B. Engels, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 5160–5170.
- [60] V. Bonačić-Koutecký, J. Koutecký, J. Michl, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 170–189; *Angew. Chem.* **1987**, *99*, 216–236.
- [61] B. O. Roos, *Ab Initio Methods Quantum Chem.* Part 2, Vol. 69 (Ed.: K. P. Lawley), John Wiley & Sons, **1987**, pp. 399–445.
- [62] Y. Guo, K. Sivalingam, E. F. Valeev, F. Neese, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *144*, 094111.
- [63] C. Angeli, R. Cimiraglia, J.-P. Malrieu, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2001**, *350*, 297–305.
- [64] C. Angeli, R. Cimiraglia, S. Evangelisti, T. Leininger, J. P. Malrieu, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *114*, 10252–10264.
- [65] C. Angeli, R. Cimiraglia, J. P. Malrieu, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, *117*, 9138–9153.
- [66] K. Yamaguchi, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1975**, *33*, 330–335.
- [67] S. Yamanaka, M. Okumura, M. Nakano, K. Yamaguchi, *J. Mol. Struct.* **1994**, *310*, 205–218.
- [68] M. Nakano, *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2017**, *375*, 47.
- [69] J. D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620.
- [70] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- [71] M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, *117*, 43–54.
- [72] A. Y. Timoshkin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *249*, 2094–2131.
- [73] D. Dhara, A. Jayaraman, M. Härterich, M. Arrowsmith, M. Jürgensen, M.; M. Michel, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300483, doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300483.
- [74] Y. Fan, J. Cui, J.; L. Kong, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2022**, e202201086.
- [75] J. Li, X. Li, W. Huang, H. Hu, J. Zhang, C. Cui, *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 15263–15266.
- [76] J. D. Queen, S. Irvankoski, J. C. Fetting, H. M. Tuononen, P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 6351–6356.
- [77] S. Schulz, A. Voigt, H. W. Roesky, L. Häming, R. Herbst-Irmer, *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 5252–5253.

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

## Table of Contents



- First isolated neutral CAAC-Radical-Substituted Dialane
- Exists as **twisted** and **trans-planar** conformers
- Exceptionally **low singlet-triplet** energy gap
- Highly **electron-rich** system

A stable, dialane-bridged diradical species has been synthesized that exists as two conformers – twisted and planar – depending on the solvent of crystallization. Both conformers have been isolated and thoroughly characterized using various analytical techniques. Their reactivity has been examined with oxidizing agents to demonstrate the reduction capability of diradicals.

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @LabBraunschweig @ICBWuerzburg @Uni\_Wue @DharaChem