



Kent Academic Repository

Elfes, Chris, Denney, Mei Ling, Blow, Carol, Cartwright-Terry, Roisin and Neden, Catherine A. (2021) *Dilemmas and solutions- experiences of a national Family Medicine applied knowledge licensing test during a pandemic*. In: AMEE Virtual conference 2021: Redefining Health Professions Together, 27-30 August 2021.

Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/92025/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

This document version

Presentation

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

CC BY-NC (Attribution-NonCommercial)

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).





Royal College of
General Practitioners

Dilemmas and solutions – experiences of a national Family Medicine applied knowledge licensing test during a pandemic.

C Elfes, ML Denney, C Blow, R Cartwright-Terry, C Neden
RCGP, London.


chris.elfes@rcgp.org.uk

No conflicts of interest to declare




MRCGP - Background information

Fit for independent practice as a GP working across the UK



Challenges and dilemmas

Cancellation of April 2020 exam (1740 candidates)



Decisions taken

Remote testing rejected

- Expectations a resounding 'Yes and now'
- Decision 'No' (for now)
- Justification:
 - Wide-ranging discussions provider(s) and other postgraduate royal colleges
 - IT platforms untested at this scale
 - Unable to pilot due to speed of decision-making
 - Security
 - Connectivity
 - Reasonable adjustments
 - Costs
 - Contingency exam for contingency exam
 - Setting precedents that may not be repeatable
 - Patient safety



Decisions taken

Keyworker status established

- High level agreement with test centre provider
- Academy of Royal Medical Colleges
- Chief Executive Officers (Health Education) of all four Home Nations
- Regulator

This enabled in-person testing to continue

- Priority Level 1 (along with emergency care practitioners) recognising need to support exhausted workforce
- Test centres opened and staffed specifically for MRCGP exam
- New test centres opened e.g. Jersey, Isle of Man, Scotland
- Permission to travel
- Permission for time off from work for education and assessments

Decisions taken

Contingency exam(s)

1. Enable qualification of GPs at the end of training summer 2020*
2. Reduce impact of COVID-19 on standard training timelines
3. Prevent a (deferred) qualification crisis into 2021
4. Avoid over exposure of items, skewed standard setting, team fail
5. Enable candidates reach CCT standard i.e. maintain standard
 - Cancel April 2020 exam (1740 candidates)
 - Emergency July 2020 exam (221 candidates)
 - Deferred April exam held August 2020 (1207 candidates)
 - Revert to standard 3 exams/calendar year from October 2020
 - Regular, pre-booked additional dates over next 12-18 months

Contingency Exam

July 2020

- Use of an exam with standardised standard setting and up-to-date correct question evidence base
- Prioritised, atypical cohort
 - 90% vs 27% re-sitters
 - 6% vs 54% reference group
 - 31% vs 12% declared disability
 - more male
 - more PMQ not UK
 - later into ST3
- Small numbers
 - 221
- Positive stakeholder feedback
- 62 of the re-sitters had previously sat this (randomised) exam > 12 months ago
 - mean scores **lower** on this attempt 137.08 (SD 13.83) vs other re-sitters 139.45 (SD 15.69)

Lessons learned

Communication needs to be

- Quick
- Proactive
- Clear
- Accurate
- Joined up
- Archived
- Regular
- Reaching out to stakeholders



Lessons learned

You are not alone

- Senior management
- Website team
- Comms team
- Exam team
- Regulator
- Academy of Royal Medical Colleges
- Committee of General Practice Education Directors
- Associates in Training
- Lay support
- Test centre provider senior management



Lessons learned

People, not organisations

- Establish who are the key players and make connections
- Weekly scheduled virtual fixed time meetings
- Working together
- Working apart
- Workload
- Resilience
- Efficiency vs inefficiencies of virtual platforms
- Experienced team members
- New team members and training



Take home messages

Keeping it simple

- Stakeholder engagement
- Communicate, communicate, communicate
- Contingency planning is for real
- Cost clarity
- Don't assume what others can/cannot do
- Don't be afraid to change
- ...but don't change because 'everyone else is'
- Do make difficult decisions (with justification)
- Be open to changing plans as more information becomes available
- Document decision making justifications vs reviews
- Share experiences with other exam providers to learn for the future
- Patient safety underpins decision making





Royal College of
General Practitioners

