



Kent Academic Repository

O'Grady, Ciaran, Passfield, Louis and Hopker, James G. (2021) *Variability in submaximal self-paced exercise bouts of different intensity and duration.* International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance . ISSN 1555-0265.

Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/87830/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2020-0785>

This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal* , Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).

1 **Variability in submaximal self-paced exercise bouts of**
2 **different intensity and duration**

3
4 **Submission type:** Original Investigation

5
6 **Authors:** Ciaran O’Grady¹, Louis Passfield ^{1,2}, James G.
7 Hopker¹

- 8 1. Endurance Research Group, School of Sport and
9 Exercise Sciences, University of Kent, UK.
10 2. Human Performance Lab, Faculty of Kinesiology,
11 University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

12
13 **Correspondence:**

14 Mr Ciaran O’Grady
15 School of Sport and Exercise Sciences
16 University of Kent at Medway
17 Medway Building
18 Chatham, Kent
19 ME4 4AG
20 England
21 cmao3@kent.ac.uk
22 +44 (0)7904 597368

23
24 **Running head:** Effort-based exercise variability

25
26 Abstract word count: 241

27
28 Text-only word count: 3641

29
30 Number of figures and tables: 4 tables

31
32 References: 52

33

34 ABSTRACT

35 **Purpose:** The use of rating of perceived exertion (RPE) as a
36 training intensity prescription has been extensively used by
37 athletes and coaches. The individual variability in physiological
38 response to exercise prescribed using RPE has not been
39 investigated. **Methods:** Twenty well-trained competitive
40 cyclists (18 = male, 2 = female, $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$: $55.07 \pm 11.06 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)
41 completed 3 exercise trials each consisting of nine
42 randomised self-paced exercise bouts of either 1, 4, or 8
43 minutes at RPE 9, 13, and 17. Within- (WAV) and between-
44 athlete (BAV) variability in power and physiological responses
45 were calculated using coefficient of variation (CV). Total
46 variability (TV) = ratio of WAV and BAV. **Results:** Increased
47 RPE saw higher power, HR, work, $\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{V}CO_2$, $\dot{V}E$, and ΔHHb
48 ($P < .001$), and lower $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$ and $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$ ($P < .001$). At RPE 9,
49 shorter durations resulted in lower $\dot{V}O_2$ ($P < .05$), and $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$
50 decreased and ΔHHb increased as duration increased ($P < .05$).
51 At RPE 13, shorter durations resulted in lower $\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{V}E$, and
52 $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ($P < .001$), higher power, HR, ΔHHb ($P < .001$) and
53 $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$ ($P < .05$). At RPE 17, power ($P < .001$) and $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$ (P
54 $< .05$) increased as duration decreased. As intensity and
55 duration increased, WAV and BAV in power, work, HR, $\dot{V}O_2$,
56 $\dot{V}CO_2$, and $\dot{V}E$ decreased, and WAV and BAV in NIRS
57 increased. **Conclusions:** Self-paced intensity prescriptions of
58 high effort and long durations result in greatest consistency on
59 both a within-athlete and between-athlete basis.

60

61 **KEYWORDS:** endurance training, individual variability,
62 effort-based training, cycling training, measurement error

63

64 INTRODUCTION

65 Perception of effort is defined as the intensity of subjective
66 effort, stress, discomfort, and fatigue which is felt during
67 exercise or physical activity^{15,34}. The common method of
68 measuring perception of effort is the rating of perceived
69 exertion (RPE) scale⁴ which is believed to be influenced by
70 factors such as fatigue, effort, strain, discomfort, and/or pain⁴⁹.
71 It has been demonstrated that increased RPE is associated with
72 increases in oxygen consumption, metabolic acidosis,
73 ventilation, and heart rates^{14,39}. The RPE scale is commonly
74 used to record RPE whilst an individual is exercising³¹ but can
75 also be used as a tool to prescribe exercise intensity in the so-
76 called '*production mode*' which provides an exercise intensity
77 continuum that exercising individuals can use to regulate their
78 work rate or resistance^{4,36}.

79 When using RPE in production mode, it is unclear whether
80 both the intensity of the RPE anchor and the duration of the
81 work bout would influence the accuracy and reliability of the
82 exercising individual to adjust their work rate or resistance to
83 maintain a specified RPE level, or anchor. The reproducibility
84 of this approach to exercise prescription has been investigated
85 involving blind ⁵, child ¹⁷, and healthy participants ²¹. It has
86 been shown that when exercise intensity was prescribed using
87 RPE in production mode during both low and high levels of
88 exertion there is no difference in reliability in children when
89 used with, or without, an anchoring protocol involving
90 familiarisation with a low and high RPE workload before
91 investigation ⁵⁰. Increased reliability using RPE in production
92 mode after a series of trials has been demonstrated in blind men
93 and women (maximal oxygen uptake [$\dot{V}O_{2max}$]; 5.2pp at RPE 9,
94 and 6.8pp at RPE 11)⁵ and children aged 7-10 years old (power
95 output; 9.5pp in boys, 13pp in girls)¹⁷ which may indicate a
96 learning effect of using the scale in this manner. Nevertheless,
97 in a large study of 2,560 Caucasian men and women, healthy
98 individuals are able to accurately reflect heart rate and blood
99 lactate response using RPE ⁴³. As duration and intensity are
100 both known to impact an individuals' perception of effort it is
101 therefore likely to impact upon reliability of the exercise
102 intensity that is selected in response to a specific RPE anchor
103 ⁴⁵. It has been demonstrated that increased intensity of
104 perceptually regulated exercise results in increased reliability
105 ²¹.

106 Traditionally, the prescription of exercise training intensities
107 has been derived from standardised percentages $\dot{V}O_{2max}$
108 ^{24,29,30,38}. However, the inter-individual variability in
109 performance that occurs during exercise prescribed in this
110 manner is large ^{9,26,42,51,52}. The use of RPE in production mode
111 may provide exercise practitioners with a useful tool to
112 consistently prescribe exercise intensity. However, with limited
113 research exploring the impact of duration on the reliability of
114 perceptually regulated exercise ^{16,35}, and no knowledge of the
115 impact of changes in both duration and intensity on reliability,
116 the interaction is unknown. It is possible that both the intensity
117 of the RPE anchor and the duration of work bout itself could
118 affect an individual's ability to accurately and reliably regulate
119 their exercise intensity or work rate to the desired target. This
120 study aimed to assess the reliability and reproducibility of self-
121 paced submaximal exercise of different intensities in trained
122 competitive cyclists using long, medium, and short workload
123 periods.

124

125 **METHODOLOGY**

126 Participants. Twenty well-trained cyclists (18 males, 2 females;
127 mean \pm SD: age 38 ± 11 years, height 176.6 ± 9.7 cm, mass
128 72.4 ± 9.2 kg, $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ 55.07 ± 11.06 mL.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹, maximum
129 minute power (MMP) 337 ± 54 W, HR_{max} 180 ± 9 bpm), with
130 at least 3 years of cycling training and racing experience
131 (Performance Level 3-4^{11,37}), provided written informed
132 consent to voluntarily participate in the study which held full
133 ethical approval from the local institutional ethics committee
134 according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

135

136 **Study Design**

137 Participants visited the exercise testing laboratory on four
138 separate occasions in a euhydrated state over a period of 5 ± 2
139 weeks, with visits separated by at least 72 hours to ensure full
140 recovery between each. In Visit 1, participants completed an
141 incremental exercise test to identify $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ and MMP,
142 followed by a $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ confirmation effort (see: *Maximal*
143 *incremental test*) and familiarisation with laboratory
144 equipment. Visits 2 to 4 comprised of 3 supervised exercise
145 sessions each consisting of 3 separate self-paced exercise
146 bouts; 3 RPE-anchored exercise intensities (RPE 9, 13, 17)
147 lasting either 1, 4, or 8 minutes completed in a randomized
148 order during each visit (see *Exercise testing sessions*). All visits
149 for each participant were completed within the same 3-hour
150 period of the day and participants were asked to maintain a
151 consistent diet and lifestyle, and to avoid alcohol and strenuous
152 exercise the day before the sessions. To aid familiarisation,
153 participants were asked to incorporate effort-based training
154 bouts similar to those included in the present investigation into
155 their training before commencing the study. In addition,
156 participants had previous experience of exercise testing and the
157 use of the RPE scale, but not specifically in “*production*
158 *mode*”. A cooling fan present and plain water available for
159 participants to drink ad libitum.

160

161 *Maximal incremental test.* Participants completed a maximal
162 incremental test on a bicycle ergometer (Cyclus2, RBM
163 Electronics, Leipzig, Germany) to identify MMP, $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$, and
164 maximum heart rate (HR_{max}). After riding at 100W for a period
165 of 10 minutes, the external load was increased by 20W every
166 60 seconds until volitional exhaustion, defined as the point
167 where self-selected cadence dropped below 60rpm despite
168 strong verbal encouragement²³. MMP was calculated as the
169 highest power output averaged over a period of 60 seconds,
170 $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ was calculated as the highest $\dot{V}O_2$ achieved over a
171 period of 30 seconds, and HR_{max} was identified as the highest

172 HR value reached in the incremental test. After a period of 30
173 minutes (10 minutes cool-down at 100W, 10 minutes seated
174 rest, and 10 minutes warm-up at 100W) participants were
175 instructed to exercise at MMP until volitional exhaustion in
176 order to identify time-to-exhaustion (TTE) at an intensity
177 corresponding to $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ and also to confirm $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ values
178 recorded during the incremental test.

179

180 *Exercise testing sessions.* After a warm-up period of 10
181 minutes easy cycling, participants completed randomised work
182 bouts of either 1-min, 4-min, and 8-min (SHORT, MED,
183 LONG) at RPEs of either 9, 13, 17 (6 – 20 scale ⁴), with 5
184 minutes easy cycling between each bout. Participants were
185 instructed to self-select their cycling power output in order to
186 achieve and maintain the desired RPE anchor for each bout by
187 using their gearing system on their bicycle. Elapsed time was
188 available for participants during all bouts, but they were blind
189 to all other data and information, and no encouragement was
190 given during exercise to minimize effects of external factors ¹⁰.
191 Power output was continuously measured, and heart rate was
192 transmitted using a compatible heart rate strap (Cyclus2 heart
193 rate, RBM Electronics, Leipzig, Germany). Data was
194 subsequently segmented into the 9 sections corresponding to
195 the 9 exercise bouts for analysis. Respiratory gas exchange data
196 were measured continuously throughout all sessions using an
197 online gas analyser (Metalyzer 3B, CORTEX Biophysik
198 GmbH, Leipzig, Germany), and an appropriately sized
199 facemask covering the nose and mouth. A 10-second rolling
200 average was used when analysing respiratory gas exchange
201 data. Expired gas data were analysed to quantify volume of
202 expired oxygen ($\dot{V}O_2$), volume of expired carbon dioxide
203 ($\dot{V}CO_2$), and minute ventilation (\dot{V}_E). Muscle oxygenation was
204 measured using spatially resolved dual-wavelength near-
205 infrared spectroscopy (NIRS; Portamon, Artinis Medical
206 Systems, BV, Netherlands), with the optode positioned 10cm
207 superior to the lateral epicondyle of the femur at the distal end
208 of the vastus lateralis muscle and secured with muscle tape and
209 bandage. NIRS data were analysed relative to a 2-min resting
210 baseline measurement completed prior to each testing session,
211 to provide relative change (Δ) in tissue saturation index
212 (TSI%), oxy-haemoglobin (O_2Hb), and deoxyhaemoglobin
213 (HHb). Prior to each exercise session, the Daily Analysis of
214 Life Demands for Athletes (DALDA ⁸) questionnaire was
215 administered and following the session the Task Load Index
216 (NASA-TLX ²⁰) was administered.

217

218 **Data and statistical analysis**

219 Data were processed according to the combination of exercise
220 duration (SHORT, MED, and LONG), intensity (RPE 9, 13,
221 17), and session repeat (3 x SHORT, MED, and LONG). Prior
222 to statistical analysis all data was checked for normality of
223 distribution. Sphericity of the data was investigated using the
224 Mauchly test, and the Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment was
225 made when data was deemed non-spherical. Data are reported
226 as mean and standard deviation (mean \pm SD), and CV's are
227 presented as a percentage unless specified otherwise. When
228 assessing variability, low CV's indicating a consistent
229 response, and high CV's displaying variable response.
230 Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to
231 analyse power output and physiological response data between
232 exercise session visits, and two-way repeated-measures
233 ANOVA (duration x intensity) was used to analyse
234 performance and physiological parameters. When significant
235 differences were found, Bonferroni test was used to determine
236 where differences occurred. Effect sizes were calculated using
237 partial eta squared (η_p^2) and were defined as small, medium, or
238 large based upon 0.10, 0.25, and above 0.40, respectively ⁷.
239 Linear mixed modelling was completed to analyse the
240 variability in power output, work done, HR, %MMP, %HR_{max},
241 $\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{V}CO_2$, $\dot{V}E$, % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, TSI%, O₂Hb, and HHb for each
242 combination of duration and intensity. Quantification of
243 individual variation observed was completed by calculating
244 CV's for the within- (WAV), between- (BAV), and total
245 variability (TV) of each parameter by expressing the standard
246 deviation relative to the mean for each parameter. Linear mixed
247 models, ANOVA's, and post-hoc testing were conducted using
248 the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 26 for
249 Mac OS X (SPSS, IBM®, Armonk, New York, USA), and an
250 alpha level was set at $P < .05$ for the criteria for detection of
251 significance in all cases. CV was calculated in Microsoft Excel
252 (Excel v16.3 Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA).

253

254 **RESULTS**

255 *Power output and cardiovascular response during exercise* 256 *bouts*

257 Power, heart rate, and work done are reported in Table 1, and
258 power as %MMP and HR as %HR_{max} in Table 2. Increases in
259 power ($F_{(1.517, 89.53)} = 596.297$; $\eta_p^2 = .910$), HR ($F_{(1.539, 90.829)} =$
260 681.286 ; $\eta_p^2 = .920$), work done ($F_{(1.467, 86.553)} = 633.586$; $\eta_p^2 =$
261 $.915$), %MMP ($F_{(1.59)} = 919.212$; $\eta_p^2 = .940$), and %HR_{max}
262 ($F_{(1.578, 93.095)} = 709.357$; $\eta_p^2 = .923$) were found as RPE anchor
263 increased ($P < .001$). Changes in power ($F_{(1.301, 76.771)} = 71.292$;
264 $\eta_p^2 = .547$), HR ($F_{(2, 118)} = 282.581$; $\eta_p^2 = .827$), work done
265 ($F_{(1.045, 61.678)} = 1309.505$; $\eta_p^2 = .957$), %MMP ($F_{(1.414, 83.444)} =$

266 22.101; $\eta_p^2 = .273$), and % HR_{max} ($F_{(2, 118)} = 270.719$; $\eta_p^2 =$
267 821) were found as time increased ($P < .001$). An interaction
268 effect of time and RPE anchor was observed for power ($F_{(2.562,$
269 151.172) = 51.178; $\eta_p^2 = .465$), HR ($F_{(2.816, 166.160)} = 29.766$; $\eta_p^2 =$
270 .335), work done ($F_{(2.383, 140.613)} = 314.413$; $\eta_p^2 = .842$), %MMP
271 ($F_{(1.829, 107.922)} = 14.640$; $\eta_p^2 = .199$), and HR as %HR_{max} ($F_{(2.773,$
272 163.623) = 29.634; $\eta_p^2 = .334$)($P < .001$). Overall, TV, BAV, and
273 WAV in power and work done decreased as intensity and
274 duration increased. Power TV was lowest in LONG bouts of
275 RPE 17, and highest in SHORT bouts of RPE 9. Heart rate
276 displayed lower CV's in comparison to power and work done,
277 with greater consistency being displayed as exercise intensity
278 increased. TV, BAV, and WAV were all higher when reporting
279 %MMP compared to %HR_{max}, with higher levels of
280 consistency being found as intensity and duration increases.

281 INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

282 INSERT TABLE 2 HERE

283

284 *Expired gas response during exercise bouts*

285 $\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{V}CO_2$, and \dot{V}_E are reported in Table 3, and % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ in
286 Table 2. Increases in $\dot{V}O_2$ ($F_{(1.473, 86.936)} = 529.082$; $\eta_p^2 = .90$),
287 $\dot{V}CO_2$ ($F_{(1.485, 87.629)} = 494.818$; $\eta_p^2 = .893$), \dot{V}_E ($F_{(1.507, 88.896)} =$
288 371.169; $\eta_p^2 = .863$), % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ($F_{(1.676, 98.908)} = 684.862$; $\eta_p^2 =$
289 .921) were found as RPE anchor increased ($P < .001$). Changes
290 in $\dot{V}O_2$ ($F_{(1.728, 101.944)} = 228.521$; $\eta_p^2 = .795$), $\dot{V}CO_2$ ($F_{(1.723,$
291 101.629) = 203.813; $\eta_p^2 = .776$), \dot{V}_E ($F_{(1.796, 105.985)} = 158.104$; η_p^2
292 = .728), % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ($F_{(1.738, 102.55)} = 194.221$; $\eta_p^2 = .767$) were
293 found as time increased ($P < .001$). An interaction effect of
294 time and duration was observed for $\dot{V}O_2$ ($F_{(3.177, 187.454)} =$
295 39.009; $\eta_p^2 = .398$), $\dot{V}CO_2$ ($F_{(3.11, 183.511)} = 36.972$; $\eta_p^2 = .385$),
296 \dot{V}_E ($F_{(2.914, 171.899)} = 43.228$; $\eta_p^2 = .423$), % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ($F_{(3.448, 203.438)}$
297 = 32.817; $\eta_p^2 = .357$)($P < .001$). Overall, TV, BAV, and WAV
298 in $\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{V}CO_2$, and % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ decreased as intensity and
299 duration increased. Variability in \dot{V}_E was similar across
300 intensities and durations. Total CV in $\dot{V}O_2$ was lowest in
301 LONG bouts of RPE 17, and highest in LONG bouts of RPE 9.

302 INSERT TABLE 3 HERE

303

304 *Muscle oxygenation response during exercise bouts*

305 $\Delta TSI\%$, ΔO_2Hb , and ΔHHb are reported in Table 4. Decreases
306 in $\Delta TSI\%$ ($F_{(1.245, 23.660)} = 65.598$; $\eta_p^2 = .775$), ΔO_2Hb ($F_{(1.147,$
307 21.791) = 61.594; $\eta_p^2 = .764$), and increases in ΔHHb ($F_{(1.056,$
308 20.073) = 27.735; $\eta_p^2 = .593$) were found as RPE anchor
309 increased ($P < .001$). Decreases in $\Delta TSI\%$ ($F_{(1.503, 28.561)} =$

310 11.798; $\eta_p^2 = .383$) and increases in ΔHHb ($F_{(1.223, 23.233)} =$
311 13.385; $\eta_p^2 = .413$) were found as time increased ($P < .001$).
312 No change was observed in $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$ ($F_{(1.468, 27.901)} = .918$; $\eta_p^2 =$
313 $.046$, $P = 383$) as time increased. No interaction effects were
314 observed for $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$ ($F_{(4, 76)} = .695$; $\eta_p^2 = .035$, $P = 598$),
315 $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$ ($F_{(4, 76)} = .988$; $\eta_p^2 = .049$, $P = 420$), or ΔHHb ($F_{(2.538,$
316 $48.223)} = 1.115$; $\eta_p^2 = .055$, $P = 346$). Overall, TV, WAV, and
317 BAV in $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$, $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$, and ΔHHb increased as effort level
318 and duration increased. Total CV in ΔHHb was lowest in
319 SHORT bouts of RPE 17, and highest in MED bouts of RPE 9.

320 INSERT TABLE 4 HERE

321

322 *Psychological response comparisons*

323 No differences were observed for perceived levels of stress
324 prior to sessions ($P = .765$, $\eta_p^2 = .008$) and load attributed to
325 mental ($P = .338$, $\eta_p^2 = .048$), physical ($P = .576$, $\eta_p^2 = .025$),
326 temporal ($P = .257$, $\eta_p^2 = .06$), performance ($P = .748$, $\eta_p^2 =$
327 $.013$), effort ($P = .569$, $\eta_p^2 = .025$), and frustration ($P = .860$,
328 $\eta_p^2 = .007$) sources following each testing session.

329

330 *Session order differences*

331 All data for repeated sessions were not significantly different
332 for RPE9 ($P \geq .098$, $\eta_p^2 \leq .115$), RPE13 ($P \geq .109$, $\eta_p^2 \leq .11$),
333 and RPE17 ($P \geq .056$, $\eta_p^2 \leq .154$), with the exception of both
334 $\dot{V}\text{CO}_2$ ($P = .045$, $\eta_p^2 = .18$) and $\dot{V}\text{E}$ ($P = .026$, $\eta_p^2 = .168$) which
335 were higher in repeat 2 versus repeat 1 in SHORT_RPE17.

336

337

338 **DISCUSSION**

339 The present study aimed to investigate both the physiological
340 response, and consistency of response, during self-paced
341 submaximal exercise over different intensities and durations in
342 trained competitive cyclists. The main findings of this study
343 were that there were interactions between intensity and
344 duration across all measured variables with the exception of
345 muscle oxygenation measures. Specifically, increases in
346 intensity and duration resulted in greater consistency within
347 measured parameters.

348 Unsurprisingly, as demonstrated in other research, increasing
349 the RPE anchor resulted in higher cycling power outputs and
350 greater physiological responses^{4,21}. Moreover, when duration

351 increased, power output remained similar during RPE 9 bouts,
352 but decreased during RPE 13 and 17 bouts, suggesting that
353 participants altered their power output in order to maintain the
354 same perception of effort as the duration of the bout is extended
355 ⁴⁵. The interaction between duration and intensity is also shown
356 by changes difference in work done during each bout, as this is
357 influenced by both duration and intensity.

358 As shown by Table 1, the current study found lower levels of
359 variability during exercise at higher RPE anchors. When
360 exercising at higher absolute exercise intensity, a small change
361 in power output can result in large changes in physiological
362 response and fatigue compared to lower absolute exercise
363 intensities ⁶⁷, thus participants are likely to control their
364 exercise intensity within a closer bandwidth, highlighted by the
365 ranges of WAV observed at RPE 9, 13, and 17 (13.1-19.7%,
366 9.4%-15.2%, and 5.3%-10.6%, respectively). This finding is
367 supported by previous work demonstrating lower variability in
368 measured physiological variables at higher exercise intensity,
369 with lowest variation during maximal conditions ². It is likely
370 that as the intensity of exercise increases, the cyclist will likely
371 commit more conscious attention towards the required work
372 rate and physiological responses, such as regionalised pain and
373 pulmonary ventilation ⁴⁴. Indeed, as RPE anchor and duration
374 increased, the WAV observed in HR, $\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{V}CO_2$, and \dot{V}_E
375 decreased (HR, 5.3% to 3.0%; $\dot{V}O_2$, 14.8% to 4.3%; $\dot{V}CO_2$,
376 10.9% to 5.9%; and \dot{V}_E , 10.8% to 7.0%), indicating greater
377 homogeneity in the workloads produced by the athletes at a
378 given RPE. The heightened perception of changes in the
379 aforementioned physiological parameters may result in a shift
380 in the cyclist's attention towards internal-associative modes at
381 the higher intensities and durations, and away from external-
382 dissociative mode experienced at lower intensities ⁴⁴. This is a
383 possible explanation for the reduced variability in power
384 output, and therefore physiological responses, as intensity and
385 duration increased. However, in contrast to the findings of the
386 current study, some research has suggested that when athletes
387 are instructed to perform maximal effort time trials, reliability
388 of performance is high, but may decline as duration is increased
389 ⁴¹. The apparent reasons for these conflicting findings are
390 unclear but could be related to fatigue over the longer duration
391 efforts involved, as well as methodological in nature as
392 participants were instructed to "*as fast as possible*" and
393 therefore may have resulted in differing pacing profiles to the
394 present study ⁴¹.

395 Changes in skeletal muscle oxygenation follow expected
396 patterns of decreasing $\Delta TSI\%$ ΔO_2Hb and increasing ΔHHb
397 with the increase of exercise intensity ^{25,27,32,47(p)}. Duration
398 could be seen to impact skeletal muscle oxygenation less than
399 intensity, with differences only being found for $\Delta TSI\%$ and

400 Δ Hb during SHORT bouts, likely due to inadequate time for
401 steady state skeletal muscle oxygenation consumption to be
402 attained before the end of the exercise bout, compared to MED
403 or LONG^{33,40}. Interestingly, Δ O₂Hb did not differ in this
404 manner, displaying similar levels across all durations for each
405 RPE anchor. NIRS data displayed large levels of both WAV
406 and BAV, particularly Δ TSI% (a range of -83.8% to 3.3%,
407 respectively) and Δ O₂Hb (-231.1% to 422.7%), with Δ Hb
408 presenting lower levels of variability in most cases (18.1% to
409 44.4%). The levels of WAV observed in Δ TSI%, Δ O₂Hb, and
410 Δ Hb were not affected by changes in intensity or duration,
411 although BAV reduced with increased intensity (Table 4). This
412 finding is somewhat in contrast to previous research which has
413 shown increased reliability of skeletal muscle oxygenation
414 measurements at higher versus lower work rate¹⁹, suggesting
415 that blood volume and blood flow may be more variable at
416 lower intensities due to the reduced physiological demand on
417 the working muscle.

418 Maximal time trials have been observed to have higher
419 reliability compared to any of the durations or intensities
420 investigated in the current study^{12,13,28,46,48}. WAV observed
421 from 4-min efforts in the current study display increasing
422 reliability as intensity increases; 15.4% (RPE 9), 10.8% (RPE
423 13), and 8.6% (RPE 17), which shows agreement with lower
424 CV's displayed from maximal 4-min TT's; 2.2%²⁸ and 2.0%
425¹³. Longer maximal efforts similarly display higher levels of
426 reliability compared to shorter efforts; 20min TT 1.4%²⁸,
427 20min TT 1.3%¹², 16.1km TT 2.7%⁴⁶, 20km TT 2.7%⁴⁸.
428 Similarly, in the present study, increased levels of reliability
429 were observed during 8min efforts; 19.7% (RPE 9), 9.4% (RPE
430 13), and 5.3% (RPE 17). The above suggests that the adoption
431 of intensity prescriptions of a high or maximal self-paced
432 intensity and longer duration intervals in a training session
433 format could provide a novel opportunity to homogenise the
434 exercise prescription. The higher the self-paced exercise
435 intensity, the more consistent the power output distribution and
436 physiological response on a single-bout basis. The intensity
437 prescription of maximal session effort, which is the
438 maintenance of high levels of physical exertion over a duration
439 that would result in a maximal exertion for a given training
440 session has been utilised in research^{1,45}, but not with the goal
441 of assessing individual variability in exercise training response.

442 Previous research has demonstrated a difference in perceptual
443 response to exercise between trained and untrained individuals
444²², suggesting competitive athletes are more able to accurately
445 and reliably utilise RPE to regulate exercise intensity. It has
446 been previously suggested that perceptual responses (in this
447 case, session RPE) are more accurate when the athlete has more
448 experience³. Experience athletes are better equipped to

449 perceive effort accurately and reliably as they will regularly
450 experience the use of perception of effort ¹⁸. Future research
451 may look to investigate the differences in the changes in
452 reliability between trained and untrained individuals as
453 intensity and duration are manipulated. However, based on the
454 findings in the current study, the utilisation of effort-based
455 prescriptions to elicit a reliable exercise stimulus may be
456 limited to high or maximal session effort prescriptions, and
457 therefore limit the application to lower intensity training.
458 Nevertheless, this training methodology could hold potential
459 for decreasing levels of individual variability in response to
460 high intensity training.

461

462 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

463 Our findings could be utilised by athletes and coaches to
464 potentially reduce individual variability in exercise training
465 response by including effort-based training of high intensity
466 and longer durations. Coaches may also be able to detect
467 changes in the performance of an athlete when using regular
468 maximal effort-based exercise bouts and detecting when power
469 output exceeds the expected WAV.

470

471 **CONCLUSION**

472 In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that using self-
473 paced exercise intensity prescriptions at higher effort levels and
474 longer durations result in greatest consistency on both a within-
475 athlete and between-athlete basis. This presents a direction to
476 investigate the use of maximal effort prescriptions for whole
477 training sessions in order to provide greater consistency of
478 training stimulus, and potentially greater consistency in long-
479 term training response.

480

481 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

482 None.

483

484 **REFERENCES**

- 485 1. Abbiss CR, Peiffer JJ, Meeusen R, Skorski S. Role of
486 Ratings of Perceived Exertion during Self-Paced Exercise:
487 What are We Actually Measuring? *Sports Medicine*.
488 2015;45(9):1235-1243. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0344-5

- 489 2. Bagger M, Petersen PH, Pedersen PK. Biological
490 Variation in Variables Associated with Exercise Training.
491 *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.
492 2003;24(6):433-440. doi:10.1055/s-2003-41180
- 493 3. Barroso R, Cardoso RK, Carmo EC, Tricoli V. Perceived
494 Exertion in Coaches and Young Swimmers With Different
495 Training Experience. *International Journal of Sports
496 Physiology and Performance*. 2014;9(2):212-216.
497 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2012-0356
- 498 4. Borg. *Borg's Perceived Exertion and Pain Scales*. Human
499 Kinetics; 1998.
- 500 5. Buckley JP. Ratings of perceived exertion in braille:
501 validity and reliability in production mode. *British
502 Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2000;34(4):297-302.
503 doi:10.1136/bjism.34.4.297
- 504 6. Burnley M, Jones AM. Power–duration relationship:
505 Physiology, fatigue, and the limits of human performance.
506 *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2018;18(1):1-12.
507 doi:10.1080/17461391.2016.1249524
- 508 7. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis. *Current Directions in
509 Psychological Science*. 1992;1(3):98-101.
- 510 8. Coutts AJ, Slattery KM, Wallace LK. Practical tests for
511 monitoring performance, fatigue and recovery in
512 triathletes. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*.
513 2007;10(6):372-381. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2007.02.007
- 514 9. Coyle EF, Coggan AR, Hopper MK, Walters TJ.
515 Determinants of endurance in well-trained cyclists.
516 *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1988;64(6):2622-2630.
517 doi:10.1152/jappl.1988.64.6.2622
- 518 10. Currell K, Jeukendrup AE. Validity, Reliability and
519 Sensitivity of Measures of Sporting Performance: *Sports
520 Medicine*. 2008;38(4):297-316. doi:10.2165/00007256-
521 200838040-00003
- 522 11. Decroix L, De Pauw K, Foster C, Meeusen R. Guidelines
523 to Classify Female Subject Groups in Sport-Science
524 Research. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and
525 Performance*. 2016;11(2):204-213.
526 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2015-0153
- 527 12. Driller MW. The reliability of a 30-minute performance
528 test on a Lode cycle ergometer. 2012;1:7.

- 529 13. Driller MW, Argus CK, Bartram JC, et al. Reliability of a
530 2-Bout Exercise Test on a Wattbike Cycle Ergometer.
531 *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*
532 *Performance*. 2014;9(2):340-345. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2013-
533 0103
- 534 14. Ekblom B, Golobarg AN. The Influence of Physical
535 Training and Other Factors on the Subjective Rating of
536 Perceived Exertion. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*.
537 1971;83(3):399-406. doi:10.1111/j.1748-
538 1716.1971.tb05093.x
- 539 15. Eston R. Use of Ratings of Perceived Exertion in Sports.
540 *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*
541 *Performance*. 2012;7(2):175-182.
542 doi:10.1123/ijsp.7.2.175
- 543 16. Eston RG, Faulkner JA, Mason EA, Parfitt G. The validity
544 of predicting maximal oxygen uptake from perceptually
545 regulated graded exercise tests of different durations.
546 *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2006;97(5):535-
547 541. doi:10.1007/s00421-006-0213-x
- 548 17. Eston RG, Parfitt G, Campbell L, Lamb KL. Reliability of
549 Effort Perception for Regulating Exercise Intensity in
550 Children Using the Cart and Load Effort Rating (CALER)
551 Scale. *Pediatric Exercise Science*. 2000;12(4):388-397.
552 doi:10.1123/pes.12.4.388
- 553 18. Gearhart RF. Comparison of memory and combined
554 exercise and memory-anchoring procedures on ratings of
555 perceived exertion during short duration, near-peak-
556 intensity cycle ergometer exercise. *Perceptual and Motor*
557 *Skills*. 2004;99(3):775-784.
- 558 19. Gerz E, Geraskin D, Franke J, Platen P, Steimers A, Kohl-
559 Bareis M. Tissue Oxygenation During Exercise Measured
560 with NIRS: Reproducibility and Influence of
561 Wavelengths. In: Van Huffel S, Naulaers G, Caicedo A,
562 Bruley DF, Harrison DK, eds. *Oxygen Transport to Tissue*
563 *XXXV*. Vol 789. Springer New York; 2013:171-177.
564 doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-7411-1_24
- 565 20. Hart SG, Staveland LE. Development of NASA-TLX
566 (Task Load Index): Results of Empirical and Theoretical
567 Research. In: *Advances in Psychology*. Vol 52. Elsevier;
568 1988:139-183. doi:10.1016/S0166-4115(08)62386-9
- 569 21. Hartshorn J, Lamb K. The Reproducibility of Perceptually
570 Regulated Exercise Responses During Short-Term Cycle

- 571 Ergometry. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.
572 2004;25(5):362-367. doi:10.1055/s-2004-815840
- 573 22. Hassmén P. Perceptual and physiological responses to
574 cycling and running in groups of trained and untrained
575 subjects. *Europ J Appl Physiol*. 1990;60(6):445-451.
576 doi:10.1007/BF00705035
- 577 23. Hopker JG, O'Grady C, Pageaux B. Prolonged constant
578 load cycling exercise is associated with reduced gross
579 efficiency and increased muscle oxygen uptake.
580 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*.
581 2017;27(4):408-417. doi:10.1111/sms.12673
- 582 24. Hurley BF, Hagberg JM, Allen WK, et al. Effect of
583 training on blood lactate levels during submaximal
584 exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*.
585 1984;56(5):1260-1264. doi:10.1152/jappl.1984.56.5.1260
- 586 25. Jones B, Hesford CM, Cooper CE. The Use of Portable
587 NIRS to Measure Muscle Oxygenation and
588 Haemodynamics During a Repeated Sprint Running Test.
589 In: Van Huffel S, Naulaers G, Caicedo A, Bruley DF,
590 Harrison DK, eds. *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XXXV*. Vol
591 789. Springer New York; 2013:185-191. doi:10.1007/978-
592 1-4614-7411-1_26
- 593 26. Katch V, Weltman A, Sady S, Freedson P. Validity of the
594 relative percent concept for equating training intensity.
595 *European Journal of Applied Physiology and*
596 *Occupational Physiology*. 1978;39(4):219-227.
597 doi:10.1007/BF00421445
- 598 27. Kemps HMC, Prompers JJ, Wessels B, et al. Skeletal
599 muscle metabolic recovery following submaximal
600 exercise in chronic heart failure is limited more by O₂
601 delivery than O₂ utilization. *Clinical Science*.
602 2009;118(3):203-210. doi:10.1042/CS20090220
- 603 28. MacInnis MJ, Thomas ACQ, Phillips SM. The Reliability
604 of 4-Minute and 20-Minute Time Trials and Their
605 Relationships to Functional Threshold Power in Trained
606 Cyclists. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*
607 *Performance*. 2019;14(1):38-45. doi:10.1123/ijssp.2018-
608 0100
- 609 29. Mann T, Lamberts RP, Lambert MI. Methods of
610 Prescribing Relative Exercise Intensity: Physiological and
611 Practical Considerations. *Sports Medicine*.
612 2013;43(7):613-625. doi:10.1007/s40279-013-0045-x

- 613 30. Mayes R, Hardman A, Williams C. The influence of
614 training on endurance and blood lactate concentration
615 during submaximal exercise. *British Journal of Sports*
616 *Medicine*. 1987;21(3):119-124.
- 617 31. Myles WS, Maclean D. A comparison of response and
618 production protocols for assessing perceived exertion.
619 *European Journal of Applied Physiology and*
620 *Occupational Physiology*. 1986;55(6):585-587.
621 doi:10.1007/BF00423201
- 622 32. Niemeijer VM, Spee RF, Jansen JP, et al. Test-retest
623 reliability of skeletal muscle oxygenation measurements
624 during submaximal cycling exercise in patients with
625 chronic heart failure. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*.
626 2017;37(1):68-78. doi:10.1111/cpf.12269
- 627 33. Nioka S, Moser D, Lech G, et al. Muscle Deoxygenation
628 in Aerobic and Anaerobic Exercise. In: Hudetz AG,
629 Bruley DF, eds. *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XX*. Vol 454.
630 Springer US; 1998:63-70. doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-4863-
631 8_8
- 632 34. Noble BJ, Robertson RJ. *Perceived Exertion*. Human
633 Kinetics; 1996.
- 634 35. Okuno NM, Soares-Caldeira LF, Milanez VF, Perandini
635 LAB. Predicting time to exhaustion during high-intensity
636 exercise using rating of perceived exertion. *Science &*
637 *Sports*. 2015;30(6):e155-e161.
638 doi:10.1016/j.scispo.2015.05.003
- 639 36. Parfitt G, Evans H, Eston R. Perceptually Regulated
640 Training at RPE13 Is Pleasant and Improves Physical
641 Health: *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*.
642 2012;44(8):1613-1618.
643 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31824d266e
- 644 37. de Pauw KD, Roelands B, Cheung SS, de Geus B,
645 Rietjens G, Meeusen R. Guidelines to Classify Subject
646 Groups in Sport-Science Research. *International Journal*
647 *of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 2013;8(2):111-
648 122. doi:10.1123/ijsp.8.2.111
- 649 38. Poole DC, Gaesser GA. Response of ventilatory and
650 lactate thresholds to continuous and interval training.
651 *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1985;58(4):1115-1121.
652 doi:10.1152/jappl.1985.58.4.1115
- 653 39. Robertson RJ, Falkel JE, Drash AL, et al. Effect of blood
654 pH on peripheral and central signals of perceived exertion.

- 655 *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*.
656 1986;18(1):114-122.
- 657 40. Saltin B, Radegran G, Koskolou MD, Roach RC. Skeletal
658 muscle blood flow in humans and its regulation during
659 exercise. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 1998;162(3):421-436.
660 doi:10.1046/j.1365-201X.1998.0293e.x
- 661 41. Schabert EJ, Hawley JA, Hopkins WG, Mujika I, Noakes
662 TD. A new reliable laboratory test of endurance
663 performance for road cyclists. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
664 1998;30:1744-1750.
- 665 42. Scharhag-Rosenberger F, Meyer T, Gäßler N, Faude O,
666 Kindermann W. Exercise at given percentages of
667 VO₂max: Heterogeneous metabolic responses between
668 individuals. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*.
669 2010;13(1):74-79. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2008.12.626
- 670 43. Scherr J, Wolfarth B, Christle JW, Pressler A, Wagenpfeil
671 S, Halle M. Associations between Borg's rating of
672 perceived exertion and physiological measures of exercise
673 intensity. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*.
674 2013;113(1):147-155. doi:10.1007/s00421-012-2421-x
- 675 44. Schomer H. Mental strategies and the perception of effort
676 of marathon runners. *International Journal of Sport
677 Psychology*. 1986;17(1):41-59.
- 678 45. Seiler S, Sylta Ø. How Does Interval-Training
679 Prescription Affect Physiological and Perceptual
680 Responses? *International Journal of Sports Physiology
681 and Performance*. 2017;12(s2):S2-80-S2-86.
682 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2016-0464
- 683 46. Sparks A, Williams E, Jones H, Bridge C, Marchant D.
684 Test-retest reliability of a 16.1 km time trial in trained
685 cyclists using the CompuTrainer ergometer. *Test*.
686 2016;5:7.
- 687 47. Sperandio PA, Borghi-Silva A, Barroco A, Nery LE,
688 Almeida DR, Neder JA. Microvascular oxygen delivery-
689 to-utilization mismatch at the onset of heavy-intensity
690 exercise in optimally treated patients with CHF. *American
691 Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*.
692 2009;297(5):H1720-H1728.
693 doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00596.2009
- 694 48. Sporer B, McKenzie D. Reproducibility of a Laboratory
695 Based 20-km Time Trial Evaluation in Competitive
696 Cyclists Using the Velotron Pro Ergometer. *International*

- 697 *Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2007;28(11):940-944.
698 doi:10.1055/s-2007-964977
- 699 49. St Clair Gibson A, Lambert EV, Rauch LHG, et al. The
700 Role of Information Processing Between the Brain and
701 Peripheral Physiological Systems in Pacing and
702 Perception of Effort. *Sports Medicine*. 2006;36(8):705-
703 722. doi:10.2165/00007256-200636080-00006
- 704 50. Thompson J, Lamb KL. The effect of perceptual
705 anchoring on the reliability of exercise regulation in
706 young children. *J Sports Sci*. 2001;19:27-28.
- 707 51. Weltman A, Snead D, Seip R, et al. Percentages of
708 Maximal Heart Rate, Heart Rate Reserve and $\dot{V}O_2$ max
709 for Determining Endurance Training Intensity in Male
710 Runners. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.
711 1990;11(03):218-222. doi:10.1055/s-2007-1024795
- 712 52. Weltman A, Weltman J, Rutt R, et al. Percentages of
713 Maximal Heart Rate, Heart Rate Reserve, and $\dot{V}O_2$ peak
714 for Determining Endurance Training Intensity in
715 Sedentary Women*. *International Journal of Sports
716 Medicine*. 1989;10(03):212-216. doi:10.1055/s-2007-
717 1024903
- 718

719 **Table 1** - Power output and cardiovascular response during RPE-clamped exercise bouts
 720 showing mean data, standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable	Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation			
			TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)	
Power output (W)						
RPE 9 a	SHORT	95	41	43.1	43.5	13.1
	MED	93	40	42.8	43.3	15.4
	LONG	96	39	41.0	41.3	19.7
RPE 13 a	SHORT b	228	69	30.3	30.5	15.2
	MED	200	49	24.6	24.9	10.8
	LONG	202	48	23.9	24.2	9.4
RPE 17 a	SHORT b	349	97	27.7	8.9	10.6
	MED b	275	61	22.1	22.4	8.6
	LONG b	261	50	19.3	19.5	5.3
Work done (kJ)						
RPE 9 a	SHORT b	6	2	44.0	44.4	11.8
	MED b	22	10	43.1	43.6	16.1
	LONG b	46	19	40.8	41.2	19.7
RPE 13 a	SHORT b	14	4	30.7	30.9	15.5
	MED b	48	12	24.7	25.0	10.7
	LONG b	97	23	23.9	24.3	9.3
RPE 17 a	SHORT b	21	6	27.4	8.6	11.1
	MED b	66	15	22.2	22.5	8.5
	LONG b	125	24	19.2	19.5	5.2
Heart rate (bpm)						
RPE 9 a	SHORT	109	12	11.3	11.5	5.3
	MED	111	15	13.8	14.0	7.5
	LONG	111	16	14.6	14.7	6.5
RPE 13 a	SHORT b	127	14	10.9	11.0	6.1
	MED c	138	13	9.7	9.9	6.6
	LONG	142	15	10.3	10.5	6.1
RPE 17 a	SHORT b	139	12	8.5	3.0	3.4
	MED b	154	12	8.0	8.1	4.2
	LONG b	160	11	6.7	6.8	3.0

721 *a = Significant difference observed between all RPE's (P < .001). b = P < .001 vs. all other durations. c = P < .05 vs.*
 722 *LONG.*

723

724 **Table 2** - Relative power output, cardiovascular, and expired gas response during RPE-
 725 clamped exercise bouts showing mean data, standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable	Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation			
			TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)	
Power as % MMP (%)						
RPE 9 <i>a</i>	SHORT	28	11	39.2	39.6	13.5
	MED	28	11	40.5	41.1	15.6
	LONG	29	11	39.5	39.9	19.6
RPE 13 <i>a</i>	SHORT	67	17	24.7	25.0	15.2
	MED	59	12	19.4	19.7	10.8
	LONG	60	11	17.6	17.9	9.4
RPE 17 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	103	20	19.8	6.5	10.6
	MED <i>b</i>	81	9	11.7	11.8	8.6
	LONG <i>b</i>	77	6	7.8	7.5	5.2
Heart rate as % HR_{max} (%)						
RPE 9 <i>a</i>	SHORT	60	6	10.6	10.8	5.5
	MED	62	8	13.6	13.8	7.6
	LONG	61	9	14.2	14.3	6.4
RPE 13 <i>A</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	70	7	10.1	10.2	6.0
	MED <i>c</i>	77	7	9.6	9.7	6.4
	LONG	79	8	10.0	10.1	6.1
RPE 17 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	77	6	7.3	2.5	3.3
	MED <i>b</i>	85	6	6.7	6.8	4.2
	LONG <i>b</i>	89	4	5.0	5.0	2.9
$\dot{V}O_2$ as % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ (%)						
RPE 9 <i>a</i>	SHORT	38.6	8.3	21.5	21.7	10.0
	MED	39.4	9.9	25.2	25.6	10.7
	LONG	40.6	10.1	24.8	25.1	13.0
RPE 13 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	56.7	10.5	18.5	18.6	12.0
	MED <i>c</i>	65.2	11.5	17.6	17.3	9.7
	LONG	69.2	11.9	17.2	17.2	8.6
RPE 17 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	67.7	11.0	16.2	5.0	10.3
	MED <i>b</i>	82.2	10.8	13.1	13.2	7.6
	LONG <i>b</i>	86.3	11.4	13.3	13.4	4.2

726 *a* = Significant difference observed between all RPE's ($P < .001$). *b* = $P < .001$ vs. all other durations. *c* = $P < .05$ vs.
 727 LONG.

728

729 **Table 3** - Expired gas response during RPE-clamped exercise bouts showing mean data,
 730 standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable	Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation		
			TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)
$\dot{V}O_2$ (L.min⁻¹)					
RPE 9 a SHORT c	1.50	0.38	25.3	24.8	14.8
MED	1.51	0.39	26.1	26.3	10.4
LONG	1.53	0.43	27.9	28.3	11.2
RPE 13 a SHORT b	2.21	0.52	23.7	23.7	12.2
MED c	2.54	0.53	20.9	20.9	9.6
LONG	2.69	0.54	20.0	20.2	8.5
RPE 17 a SHORT b	2.65	0.61	22.9	8.3	10.2
MED b	3.22	0.63	19.6	19.8	7.6
LONG b	3.36	0.57	16.9	17.1	4.3
$\dot{V}CO_2$ (L.min⁻¹)					
RPE 9 a SHORT	1.39	0.38	27.1	27.2	10.9
MED	1.42	0.41	28.7	29.1	10.8
LONG	1.48	0.44	29.9	30.3	15.0
RPE 13 a SHORT b	2.08	0.55	26.6	26.6	14.5
MED c	2.51	0.65	25.8	25.6	12.4
LONG	2.73	0.61	22.5	22.5	11.0
RPE 17 a SHORT b	2.75	0.84	30.7	10.5	15.9
MED	3.62	0.82	22.8	23.0	11.1
LONG	3.67	0.67	18.2	18.4	5.9
\dot{V}_E (L.min⁻¹)					
RPE 9 a SHORT	42.17	11.16	26.5	26.4	10.8
MED	42.33	12.18	28.8	29.2	12.4
LONG	42.94	10.99	25.6	25.8	13.3
RPE 13 a SHORT b	61.49	17.94	29.2	29.2	15.2
MED c	72.32	20.18	27.9	28.0	14.5
LONG	77.53	20.68	26.7	27.0	11.6
RPE 17 a SHORT b	81.50	25.37	31.1	11.0	14.4
MED b	104.20	27.71	26.6	27.0	10.6
LONG b	111.77	24.20	21.7	22.0	7.0

731 *a = Significant difference observed between all RPE's (P < .001). b = P < .001 vs. all other durations. c = P < .05 vs.*
 732 *LONG.*

733

734 **Table 4** - Muscle oxygenation response during RPE-clamped exercise bouts showing mean
 735 data, standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable				Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation		
						TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)
ΔTSI%								
RPE 9	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b</i>	-2.7	9.7	-355.6	-515.3	3.3
		MED		-4.5	12.0	-264.5	-278.0	-10.3
		LONG		-4.5	12.0	-264.5	-278.0	-62.2
RPE 13	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b</i>	-12.9	12.3	-96.0	-97.5	-83.8
		MED		-14.2	13.6	-95.7	-98.0	-81.2
		LONG		-14.8	13.2	-89.4	-90.9	-50.6
RPE 17	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>d</i>	-16.0	12.5	-78.0	-23.6	-45.0
		MED		-16.9	12.8	-75.7	-76.8	-34.1
		LONG		-17.1	13.9	-81.4	-83.0	-39.6
ΔO₂Hb								
RPE 9	<i>a</i>	SHORT		2.6	7.6	292.5	299.9	-41.9
		MED		2.5	9.2	363.7	395.6	16.4
		LONG		2.6	7.6	292.5	299.9	25.1
RPE 13	<i>a</i>	SHORT		-8.4	10.9	-128.7	-128.8	-124.8
		MED		-8.4	10.3	-122.8	-124.8	112.3
		LONG		-9.5	10.4	-110.0	-112.4	-231.1
RPE 17	<i>a</i>	SHORT		-11.5	9.8	-84.9	-34.8	-133.9
		MED		-12.1	10.8	-89.2	-90.7	0.1
		LONG		-11.7	12.1	-103.8	-105.8	422.7
ΔHHb								
RPE 9	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b</i>	5.7	5.6	98.0	100.2	33.7
		MED		6.4	7.3	114.6	114.8	38.9
		LONG		6.4	6.1	95.4	97.4	44.4
RPE 13	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b,c</i>	13.2	11.4	86.9	82.1	33.6
		MED		14.7	10.7	72.8	72.7	28.8
		LONG		15.5	11.4	73.1	74.0	20.9
RPE 17	<i>a</i>	SHORT		15.0	9.9	66.2	20.6	18.1
		MED		16.8	11.7	69.6	69.6	20.2
		LONG		17.4	13.0	74.8	75.5	22.9

736 *a* = Significant difference observed between all session formats ($P < .001$). *b* = $P < .05$ vs LONG. *c* = $P < .001$ vs MED. *d*
 737 = $P < .05$ vs MED

738