



Kent Academic Repository

Jupp, Eleanor (2021) *Emotions, affect and social policy: austerity and Children's Centres in the UK*. *Critical Policy Studies* . ISSN 1946-0171.

Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/85692/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19460171.2021.1883451>

This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal* , Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).



Emotions, affect and social policy: austerity and Children's Centres in the UK

Journal:	<i>Critical Policy Studies</i>
Manuscript ID	RCPS-2017-0009.R5
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Emotions, Affect, Social Policy, Austerity, Activism, Children's Services

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Emotions, affect and social policy: austerity
and Children’s Centres in the UK

For Peer Review Only

Abstract

This paper considers the ‘affective turn’ within social sciences, alongside the shift to a more emotional public and political sphere, and the implications of these shifts for the study of social policy. A context of austerity cuts and reforms to welfare services contributes to heightened and unstable emotions surrounding services. A case study of Sure Start Children’s Centres in the UK is presented, in two areas where centres were threatened with closure. Interview and ethnographic material with both staff and service users is presented to show how emotions became heightened and politicised in this context. Both staff and service users articulated the values of the centres in new ways, drawing on intimate and emotional registers of experience. Overall, the material shows how in this context, emotions can be understood as place-based, relational and politicised, potentially producing different values and visions of welfare services.

Key words: affect, austerity, emotions, children’s services, activism

1 Introduction

The importance of emotions in understanding the interactions and encounters that make up social policy governance has been considered within research from various angles. However, this paper argues that changes in the nature of policy, politics and the public sphere (author et al 2017), as well as in social theory around emotions (Greco and Stenner 2013), mean that there is now an opportunity to consider emotions in different ways. In brief, emotions now hold a new collective currency within the public sphere of policy and decision-making (Davies 2018), whilst social theory has developed new ways to understand the collective power of emotions and feelings, especially around the notion of ‘affect’.

This paper considers what these new dynamics and understandings of emotions might mean for the study of social policy. **How might placing emotions centre stage in analysis change how a particular case study of a social policy setting is understood?** The paper places emotions in particular in relation to austerity, the cuts and pressures that the UK and other similar welfare states have been subject to. The pressure of austerity and service cuts on services may mean that emotions associated with services and governance ‘surface’ (Ahmed 2004) and become visible in a way that they are not when services are running within a more stable context. These emotions often involve challenges to technocratic values at the centre of service design. Furthermore, the public spheres surrounding austerity cuts, of meetings, protests and online activism, also draw on collective and individual emotions in new ways. Whilst the context of austerity is clearly damaging to welfare services, the ‘surfacing’ of such emotions also enables us to consider how different forms of welfare settlement could be built from a wider apprehension of the emotional self (Newman 2012a). If researchers wish to intervene in contemporary social policy debates they need to consider these emotional

1
2
3 dynamics, and work with them rather than seeking a 'return' to social policy as a technical,
4
5 rational and non-emotional sphere.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 In the first part of the paper some debates about emotions and affect in the social sciences
16 more broadly are discussed (Halley and Ticineto Clough 2007). I then introduce ways in
17 which emotions have come into view within recent social policy analysis. The case study of
18 the Sure Start Children's Centres programme in the UK is introduced, as a site of emotional
19 politics which have surfaced and intensified as austerity pressures have been brought to bear
20 on the services. Analysis and discussion of this case study reveals new, politicised registers
21 of emotions now surrounding the centres. The conclusion discusses the extent to which
22 paying attention to such emotions might point to different ways of valuing and imagining the
23 welfare state.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **2 Approaching emotions and social policy governance**

37 **2.1 Social science, emotions and the turn to affect**

38
39
40
41
42 Whilst emotions have long been a focus of sociology and related disciplines (Bericat, 2016),
43 there has been a particular upsurge of interest in emotions, affect and feelings within
44 sociology, human geography and other areas of social science over at the past two decades
45 (Greco and Stenner, 2013), that can be associated with a notion of the 'affective turn' (Halley
46 and Ticineto Clough 2007). To place this within the history of social science research on
47 emotions, Greco and Stenner (2013) highlight an initial wave of social science research on
48 emotion that saw them as 'discursive, dialogical phenomena, structured and influenced by
49 both historical and cultural context' (8-9). Greco and Stenner (ibid) refer to this earlier wave
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of research as the 'textual' or discursive turn in research on emotions, whereby the cultural
4 meanings of specific named emotions are critically explored. Much existing research on
5 emotions within social policy analysis (as discussed at 2.3 below) might be seen to fit within
6 this paradigm.
7
8
9
10
11
12

13 This approach is challenged by the 'affective turn' in relation to emotions, whereby,
14 'psychoanalytical and affect-theoretical approaches emphasize the variability and inherently
15 indeterminate or excessive nature of affect' (Gammerl et al 2017, 87-88). Gammerl et al
16 (ibid) see the intellectual roots of this in cultural geography and anthropology,
17 psychoanalysis, phenomenology and feminist theory. As Pile (2010) argues, the turn to affect
18 brings into question the extent to which it is possible to categorise, represent and consciously
19 emotions as discursive phenomena.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 Within human geography, this has involved new forms of attention to emotional and
31 embodied aspects of experience and subjectivity as intertwined with particular spaces and
32 places, geographies which are seen as always emergent, practised and unfolding (Davidson et
33 al 2005). Atmospheres and collective feelings have been a particular concern (Anderson
34 2014), as well as the movement or transmissions of emotions, that may attach or 'stick' to
35 different objects (Ahmed 2004). Indeed, one way to understand 'affect', is as 'emotions on
36 the move' (Boler and Davis 2018, 81) as opposed to residing in individual subjectivities and
37 experiences. Emotions can also be considered as 'relational', producing identifications and
38 attachments rather than being part of individual bounded subjectivities, flowing among
39 bodies, places and objects.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 These debates also bring into view questions of 'whether feelings reproduce social orders or
55 disturb them' (Gammerl et al. 2017,87), with those drawing on affect theory often more
56 interested in change, emergence and resistance to dominant structures of power. Hemmings
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (2005) argues that an affective approach potentially liberates analysis from more
4
5 deterministic accounts of social structures and the operation of power. For example, Carolyn
6
7 Pedwell's (2013) work on empathy and transnationalism, whilst noting the ways in which
8
9 empathy has become part of certain governmental projects, also considers how such an
10
11 emotional force might be understood as potentially exceeding neoliberal discourse.
12
13

14
15
16 the unpredictable force of affect might produce empathetic identifications which
17
18 exceed the moorings of social and geo-political location or subject position, opening
19
20 out to processes of affective translation that can create new horizons for political
21
22 action and solidarity (Pedwell, 2013, 24).
23
24
25

26
27
28 Such propositions sound as if they are taking place on a very wide scale, but a focus on
29
30 'affect' has also led to a focus on small-scale and intimate politics, and considering how they
31
32 speak to wider arenas of governance and politics. For example, Askins (2014) discusses a
33
34 community befriending scheme for asylum seekers, focusing on two women in a particular
35
36 neighbourhood, in terms of its power to suggest a new kind of 'quiet politics'. The approach
37
38 I develop in this paper, whilst using the language of emotions, draws on the lines of enquiry
39
40 opened up by this affective turn. Specifically, I am interested in emotions as collective and
41
42 relational, linked to places and atmospheres, and also political, able to bring about forms of
43
44 change and resistance in society.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **2.2. Emotions, the public sphere and the state**

55
56
57 As already suggested, these new waves of social science theory have been developed within a
58
59 shifting social and cultural sphere around emotions. In relation to a more emotionally driven
60

1
2
3 public sphere around politics, policy and governance, Davies (2018) has recently argued that
4
5 the forms of expertise and knowledge that underpin the welfare state have been brought into
6
7 question, especially because of digital culture. He argues that the contemporary state was
8
9 founded on an erasure of personal experience from the public sphere and policy-making, but
10
11 that political and cultural shifts have enabled an eruption of subjective, emotional and
12
13 affective experience into public spheres at various levels.
14
15

16
17
18 It seems clear that what may be longstanding circuits of feeling among populations have
19
20 recently been expressed in particularly emotionally intense ways (Hoggett and Thompson
21
22 2012, Durnova, 2019). The rise of forms of populist politics across the Global North, often
23
24 associated with nationalism, has resulted in a rejection of both institutions (such as the
25
26 European Union, and the 'Brexit' vote in the UK), and individuals associated with the
27
28 political establishment (as evidenced in the 2016 US Presidential election). The tenor of
29
30 recent elections as well as policy-making seems to rely increasingly on emotional appeals and
31
32 rhetoric, fuelled by both new forms of media (Boler and Davis, 2018, Gerbaudo, 2018,
33
34 Davies, 2018) and an apparent rejection of technocratic forms of politics and associated
35
36 expertise. Such moods and their power encompass not just right wing populist or
37
38 nationalistic sentiment at a range of levels, but also progressive activism and resistance: for
39
40 example, Trump's America has seen an unprecedented level of progressive activism, fuelled
41
42 by ongoing high levels of anger among some groups (Moss and Maddrell 2017). Indeed
43
44 Fraser (2017, *online so no page*) sees the current political moment as 'an open and unstable
45
46 situation, in which hearts and minds are up for grabs'. This fluid and emotive context clearly
47
48 also has impacts for social policy, whether it be around questions of immigration and welfare
49
50 entitlement, or the protection and provision of services such as health and education. At the
51
52 time of writing, the global crisis of the Covid-19 pandemic has also shaped more emotional
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 commitments to health and care services expressed through collective events such as
4
5 ‘clapping for carers’ and public art (Wood and Skeggs 2020).
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 **2.3 Emotions and social policy research**

16
17
18 The ‘affective turn’ in research on emotions within social science has been less evident
19
20 within social policy and public administration literatures (although for recent exceptions see
21
22 Durnova 2013, Durnova 2019, Jones 2013; Hunter 2017; Newman 2012a; Hardill and Mills
23
24 2013). Hoggett et al (2013) have called for ‘an alternative to approaches which solely stress
25
26 the role of discourse or material resources and neglect the role that human feelings play in the
27
28 life of communities, institutions, social movements and governments’ (570).
29
30
31

32
33 Nonetheless, there has been a long standing focus on the emotional labour (Hochschild 2013)
34
35 of ‘frontline’ or ‘street level’ governance and the intersubjective processes involved when
36
37 considering the ‘peopled’ nature of the state (Painter 2006). Important ideas within this vein
38
39 of analysis have included the notion of ‘street level bureaucrats’ (Lipsky 1971), exercising
40
41 subjective ‘discretion’ and judgement in the work of operationalising policy. It is clear that
42
43 such practices involve emotions and emotional competences as workers seek to reconcile
44
45 competing demands, pressures and feelings, including empathy, compassion and kindness as
46
47 well as perhaps antagonism, alienation and ambivalence (Jones (2013, Askew 2009; Hunter
48
49 2012).
50
51
52
53

54
55 More recently, research has drawn attention to the ways in which emotions and
56
57 intersubjective processes within welfare governance have been thought about as in
58
59 *themselves* categories of governance, of the shaping of emotional selves and subjectivities
60

1
2
3 (Rose 1989). Whilst not new (Gagen 2015), these technologies of governance have arguably
4 intensified recently, and therefore emotions have increasingly become part of policy
5 discourses and approaches. Muehlebach (2012) and de Wilde and Duyvendak (2016) both
6 argue in different ways that citizenship and governance is now premised on the emotional
7 engagement of citizens, especially in relation to forms of volunteering and community
8 engagement. De Wilde and Duyvendak (2016) analyse the 'techniques that seek to
9 instrumentalise personal bonds, intimate relations and emotions in order to 'sensitise' citizens
10 into the spirit of community engagement' (975).

11
12 Both the studies of emotional labour among street level bureaucrats, and more recent studies
13 of the instrumental use of emotions as part of policy design, have viewed emotions as part of
14 the implementation of social policy programmes - emotions can work in the service of social
15 policy goals to ensure more active citizens, more engagement with interventions, and the
16 translation of policy ideas into personal outcomes. Yet the approaches to affect discussed
17 above; as well as politics and public debate infused by emotions, have created a context in
18 which emotions might be thought of as providing *challenges* to social policy regimes;
19 generating alternative attachments and feelings that might speak to the overall designs, values
20 and ethics framing social policy. In this sense, the micro-emotions of social policy
21 interactions can become linked to larger scale dynamics of political and economic change.
22 As I go on to discuss, this more politicised and disruptive view of emotions may come into
23 particular view in a context of austerity.

24 **2.4 Emotions and austerity**

25 The notion of 'austerity' (Clarke and Newman 2012) has been used by politicians and critical
26 commentators to analyse the nature of the political and economic present in the UK and other
27 national contexts. It points to both experiences of increased economic hardship and precarity,
28
29
30

1
2
3 and government measures to reduce expenditure, particularly on welfare and social policy
4 programmes. As a number of commentators have pointed out (Clayton et al 2015, Horton
5 2016, Tonkens et al 2013), conditions of austerity may render the dynamics of emotional
6 labour in public sector work more complex. Researchers have analysed experiences of
7 working in conditions shaped by collective feelings including anxiety and precarity (Clayton
8 et al 2015), fears for the future (Horton 2016) and resentment from citizens (Hoggett et al
9 2013). As Horton (ibid) reports, austerity does not just produce certain emotions, but
10 potentially resets the overall emotional repertoire of public sector work, so that many
11 experiences are absorbed within an acceptance of 'the current climate'. Clayton et al (2015)
12 also report that austerity tends to individualise and privatise emotional responses, with
13 workers and service users attempting to absorb negative emotions and experiences, rather
14 than becoming shared and public (and possibly political/collective) expressions. Such
15 individualized and fragmented experiences may undermine collective feelings and resistance
16 to the changes involved in austerity. As Hitchen (2016, 117) writes, 'put simply, repeated
17 exposure to austerity fatigues the body'.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Such commentary suggests the emotional costs and tolls of austerity. However there has
39 been less focus on austerity politics and the productive role of emotions in 'surfacing' or
40 making visible alternative visions of welfare and resistance to the rationalities of the austere
41 welfare state. Some commentators indeed have reported on new ethics and practices of 'care'
42 within public sector organizations, resistant to these dominant modes, that are being opened
43 up within a context of austerity (Morse and Munro 2015). Resistance to austerity cuts can
44 therefore open up spaces for the articulation of different values and visions in relation to the
45 welfare state.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 To draw together the literature discussed in these sections above, new social science theories
58 of 'affect' and emotion have encouraged a more politicised, collective and relational view of
59
60

1
2
3 emotions in society. Alongside these theories the wider political context of policy has
4 enabled the expression of emotional and subjective experience in new ways. In relation to
5 social policy regimes at the current moment, this suggests the need to move away from seeing
6 emotions and affect as residing only in the 'playing out' of policy at the 'street level', or
7 through the instrumentalization of emotions within neoliberal policy discourses. Instead we
8 might consider how emotional attachments and inter-subjective dynamics can contribute to
9 more fundamental questions and approaches to policy values and design. A context of
10 austerity 'surfaces' and intensifies such emotional attachments in new ways, making
11 emotions visible and powerful in debates about the future of welfare services.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

3. Emotions and the Sure Start Children's Centre programme under austerity

3.1 Background

31
32 The Sure Start Children's Centre programme¹ was an extension of the 'Sure Start'
33 programme, initiated in 1998 (see Eisenstadt 2011). The programme involved
34 neighbourhood-based, local programmes that were tasked with intervening in parenting in
35 disadvantaged families to improve outcomes for children under five across a range of
36 measures and services, including health and education. The focus of these programmes were
37 neighbourhood centres, offering play sessions and a range of other activities and support for
38 children under five and their parents (predominantly mothers). A second phase of this
39 programme involved the universal roll out of centres across England to support 'one in every
40 neighbourhood' although there continued to be more resources focused on those areas most
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 ¹ The naming of the programme is somewhat complex: the original programme called 'Sure Start' was focused
57 on selected, deprived, localities only. This was then extended in 2004 to the 'Sure Start Children's Centre
58 programme' to provide centres across the country, although in practice these centres have had somewhat
59 different names in different localities. The programme has also played out somewhat differently in England,
60 Wales and Northern Ireland: I focus here on English examples only.

1
2
3 in need. As I go on to explain below, these centres have been the target of particular austerity
4
5 cuts.
6
7

8
9 The centres employed a range of locally based workers, mostly providing community
10
11 outreach and parenting support, but also midwives and health visitors. Much critical
12
13 commentary on the Sure Start programme has noted how it has sought to shape the
14
15 subjectivities of both mothers and children in line with neoliberal identifications and
16
17 commitments. Lister (2003) argues that the programme treats children as ‘citizen-workers in
18
19 becoming’, with values and aspirations around education and paid work central for both
20
21 young children and their mothers. More specifically, the programme draws on rationalities of
22
23 the ‘social investment state’ (Newman and McKee 2005), to target welfare state spending on
24
25 citizens in the ‘early years’. The programme was therefore very much focused on particular
26
27 outcomes and targets for children, such as ‘school-readiness’ and healthy eating, with less
28
29 emphasis on providing social and emotional support for parents. Volunteering has also been
30
31 central to the programme’s operations, drawing in the forms of ‘affective citizenship’
32
33 discussed above (de Wilde and Duyvendak, 2016).
34
35
36
37
38

39 My previous research (discussed below) found that Children’s Centres were often conflicted
40
41 spaces that brought together a range of different orientations to the relationships between
42
43 professionals and parents, and between parents and children, and the kinds of ‘interventions’
44
45 the programmes should be making into family life (see author 2013). Instrumental and more
46
47 ‘disciplinary’ rationalities in play were often challenged by the everyday practices of centre
48
49 workers, who drew on more caring and relational approaches with families. These challenges
50
51 often involved quite small-scale resistances to formal policy. For example, one centre worker
52
53 during my earlier research told me that they were not strict about the kinds of food on offer,
54
55 despite the fact that they were supposed to be regulated by ‘healthy eating’ policies. She told
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 me, 'well, it's meant to be a "health eating" centre, but a lot of our mums, they need to put
4 their feet up and eat cake!'
5
6
7
8
9

10 The programme can therefore be thought of as springing from instrumental attempts to shape
11 certain forms of 'affective citizenship', but other kinds of attachments and identifications
12 might be apparent within everyday practices. Horton and Kraftl (2009) similarly point out
13 that the emotions and relationships in circulation at Children's Centres often 'exceed' policy
14 rationalities. As I go on to show, these attachments and identifications become more
15 apparent and more intense under conditions of austerity, producing a politics around the
16 programme that potentially values intersubjective and personal experiences in new ways.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **3.2 Methods**

29
30 This paper draws on my research into the programme in two UK localities, both local
31 authority areas in the South East of England². In one of the areas (B) I had undertaken initial
32 research during 2010-2012, involving interviews with both centre users and staff, and
33 ethnographic observations in a number of Children's Centres (see author 2012, 2013). Whilst
34 I do not use this data here it informs my overall analysis and understanding of the centres as
35 they previously operated. In 2017 I undertook a second phase of research (from which the
36 data below is drawn), in order to observe the impact of austerity on a set of services that I
37 already had some familiarity with. This second round consisted of fifteen interviews with
38 staff and service users, including four interviews with professionals working or previously
39 working in Children's Centres, and nine service users. This was at a time when centres were
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 ² For the purposes of this paper, I name these local authority areas 'A,' where the centres were going through
58 a process of closures at the time of the second round of research, with accompanying campaigns to save them
59 as discussed in this paper, and 'B', where such a process had already taken place over some years, and many
60 centres had already been closed by the time of my second round of research.

1
2
3 being threatened with closure (in Area A) , or had already just closed (in Area B). As well as
4 these interviews I also undertook participant observation in Area A, attending six meetings,
5
6 these interviews I also undertook participant observation in Area A, attending six meetings,
7
8 small-scale demonstrations and other events in order to take an ethnographic approach to
9
10 community responses to centre closures. Finally I tracked the campaigns against centre
11
12 closures in social and conventional media, including blog and Facebook sites set up by
13
14 campaigners. In particular, I set out to record the 'stories' that service users made public
15
16 about the centres during campaigns to retain services (see Section 3.4 below), tracking such
17
18 stories as they were told in meetings and online as well as during interviews.
19
20
21

22
23 In terms of sampling for the research, during this second round of research I was operating in
24
25 a fluid and politicised context, as I discuss further below. Because the centres were not
26
27 operating normally I was not able to seek to recruit a particular sample of service users.
28
29 Instead I relied on snowballing as well as my own involvement in the campaigns to locate
30
31 service users and professionals. The service users and professionals who came forward to
32
33 speak to me, or who I observed at meetings and in the media clearly felt strongly about the
34
35 centres and motivated to speak out. My research would not therefore claim to provide a
36
37 representative sample of all views of the centres, but rather analyses the emotional dynamics
38
39 and politics of the centres that did emerge.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.3 Approaching the emotional landscapes of Sure Start Children's Centres under austerity

In terms of the reasons for the closures of the centres, UK local authorities have had to contend with massive ongoing austerity cuts to revenue received from central government to run services (Hastings et al 2015). The statutory or legal duties around the Children's Centre programme are not very clear and the Centres have been a particular target of cuts.

Nationally, it is estimated that 1000 centres have closed since 2010, around a third of all centres (Ryan 2018). During 2017-18, both of the case study local authorities took a series of steps to significantly reduce their centre services, involving other services coming together in a much slimmed-down set of centres called, euphemistically, 'Family Hubs'. The number of these Hubs proposed in each case is around a quarter of previous provision: in the larger authority (B) the number of centres has gone from 44 to nine, and in the smaller local authority (A) from 19 to 4.

In both areas, there was a strong and co-ordinated campaign by local service users to resist these cuts (supported by centre staff where they were able to speak publically about the services). These campaigns took place online via social media, via traditional forms of media such as local newspapers, television and radio, and also via attending council meetings and staging a range of forms of protest events such as marches, sit-ins and more playful events such as picnics. In both cases, the campaigns did succeed in persuading local decision-makers to retain some of the services, as I will go on to discuss.

It is worth noting here that undertaking research in this context was an emotional experience in itself. **Indeed reflexivity on the embodied experience of research is one way to bring emotions centre stage in analysis (Evans et al 2017).** My own positionality in relation shifted over the course of the research— as I spent time with service users and professionals affected,

1
2
3 I moved from a distanced researcher, to a more activist position, supporting the campaigners
4 where I could. This was as a result of the emotional impact of the interviews I undertook,
5
6 which transformed my views of the value of the centres as I go on to discuss.
7
8
9

10
11 As well as the affective impact of particular interview and fieldwork encounters, I would also
12 suggest that there is a particularly emotional quality about researching service and building
13 closures (Robinson and Sheldon, 2018, Stewart, 2019). In area B, I was researching just as
14 the centres were closing after protracted campaigns – whilst in area A I was researching at an
15 earlier stage in the closures process. Within both areas, the past, present and (thwarted)
16 futures of spaces and times of services came into sharp and poignant focus at different points
17 during the fieldwork. For example, one of the service users in area A commented, ‘it’s not
18 just about what’s happened there already, it’s about what won’t happen in the future... the
19 friendships that won’t be made, the help that won’t be given’.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 Overall, it became clear during the campaigns that the Sure Start Children’s Centres were at
34 the centre of profound emotional geographies, especially for the everyday lives of mothers
35 with young children. A key theme in my interviews both with service users and staff was the
36 quality of relationships between staff and service users, and a sense of the emotional but also
37 material proximity of the centres in the everyday lives of service users. The notion of ‘pram-
38 pushing distance’ was an idea that had been expressed as part of the original policy discourse
39 surrounding Children’s Centres (Eisenstadt 2011), to explain the notion that the centres
40 should be local and easily accessible for parents of young children. This idea was taken up
41 by service users as part of anti-austerity activism, both in my case study areas and elsewhere:
42 expressed on social media as ‘#prampushingdistance’. This was in contrast to the proposed
43 reconfigured geographies of the Hubs, which covered much larger areas and didn’t make the
44 same claims to form part of a local geography of care for service users.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This sense of intimate connection with the spaces was by service users revealed in public
4 meetings, media debates and demonstrations, meaning they were very emotional events, often
5
6 focused on struggles of new parents including isolation, depression and anxiety. One senior
7
8 council official complained during a public meeting in area A that, 'it is all very well being
9
10 emotional, we need to look at the facts'. The 'facts' being referred to in this case were the
11
12 economic rationales of cutting expenditure, opposed to the everyday and intimate
13
14 experiences being represented during the meeting.
15
16
17
18
19

20 In the analysis that follows, in order to explore this emotional landscape of Children's
21
22 Centres that surfaced during the campaigns in more detail, I am going to focus on two pieces
23
24 of data in particular. My analysis of these small fragments of data is of course informed by
25
26 the wider project, however this close focus enables a more focused attention to individual
27
28 subjectivities and feelings. One is an interview with a former Children's Centre manager in
29
30 area B, as indicative of the feelings being expressed by centre workers, and the other is a
31
32 statement made by a service user and activist in area A during a (particularly emotional)
33
34 council meeting, at which I was present.
35
36
37
38

39 **3.4 Emotions, place and professional values**

40
41
42 It is unsurprising that professionals who had been working in Centres that had closed or were
43
44 under threat experienced austerity cuts in intensely personal and emotional ways. For those I
45
46 interviewed, it was not solely a question of losing a job, but a sense that professional
47
48 identities and values, whole ways of working with families that had been developed, were
49
50 being destroyed. The centres and the ways of working they embodied were therefore
51
52 discussed as emotional issues.
53
54
55
56

57 The interview I held with the former Children's Centre manager, whom I call Anne, was an
58
59 emotional encounter, in which she several times apologised to me for her the strength of her
60

1
2
3 feelings about the issues. The centre that she had overseen had become a 'Hub', where the
4 ways of working and approaches now adopted clearly felt very alien to the Children's Centre
5 ethos and atmosphere that she had worked within and been committed to. As will become
6 clear below, the shift to the Hub, as well as involving a reduced service, changed the
7 rationalities of working to more of an interventionist and disciplinary orientation towards
8 families, as opposed to what Anne saw as a relationship-based and caring set of approaches.
9
10 As already noted, this tension between different approaches to working with families had
11 been apparent within the spaces of the centres previously, but with austerity had become
12 more apparent and more intensely felt and expressed.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Anne spoke about the atmosphere and affect previously surrounding the centre as a particular
26 set of emotional geographies (Horton and Kraft 2009), connected to questions of care,
27 compassion and the building up of relationships over time, involving qualities such as being
28 'gentle' and being 'trustworthy', as show in the quote below.
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 I think you can never get across to legislators the difference between a place where
36 you have to jump through incredibly high levels of thresholds to get any services and
37 then the service is sparse and time limited, compared to a Children's Centre where
38 people walk through the door, experts in families and children under five are there on
39 hand to gently encourage you in and keep offering a very informal, trustworthy level
40 of support, there's no comparison between that and a service where you have to fill in
41 a huge form with everything about you on it in order to get any level of service. And
42 then they say, well it's an 'intervention'. I'm allergic to the word actually.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Anne spoke of the intersubjective bonds that had developed in the centre, linked to feelings of
55 belonging, of feeling supported in a caring way (Askins 2014), as opposed to the emotions
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 associated with a more controlling space and discourse. These feelings and interactions were
4
5 seen as linked to the feelings and atmospheres surrounding the space itself, now under threat.
6
7

8
9 And they really, really don't understand, because they haven't done it, they don't
10
11 understand how highly vulnerable and sometimes volatile families can be made to feel
12
13 welcome, can be made to feel they belong.. We were in a meeting about just
14
15 reorganising the building six months ago and the people from a Social Care
16
17 background came in to say, for instance they'd put security on the doors and they've
18
19 said, they'd talk about clients. And I said, Children's Centres don't have clients. It's
20
21 just the terminology, everybody has that built in sense that you're going to be doing
22
23 something to somebody
24
25

26
27
28 ... Because lots of these families need more than a six week, they need the
29
30 building up of relationships, they need to build up their confidence... And that's not
31
32 the same as you going up to some stranger in some remote hub who's offering
33
34 variably skilled, some of them highly skilled and some not skilled at all. It makes us
35
36 very angry so.... (laughs)
37
38
39

40
41 This was a point at interview when Anne clearly felt anger about what had happened to the
42
43 delicate eco-system of relationships and trust surrounding the centre in the process of
44
45 restructuring. The fact that she laughed here suggested not amusement, but a level of
46
47 hopelessness or despair which was expressed as laughter in this instance.
48
49

50
51 Therefore as austerity cuts were brought down to bear on the Centres, critiques of the new
52
53 values being espoused by the service, and an affirmation of previous values, were expressed
54
55 in particularly emotional terms. As both my previous research (author 2012) and Horton and
56
57 Kraftl (2009a) showed, the somewhat intangible emotions and interconnections surrounding
58
59 children's centres were never fully captured within the policy discourses and values
60

1
2
3 surrounding them. And as other research has shown (Morse and Munro 2015, Robinson and
4 Sheldon 2018), such emotional attachments to services and spaces may become more visible
5 and intense under austerity.
6
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 14 **3.5 Service users: telling affective stories**

15
16
17 Whilst professionals such as Anne articulated the emotional and intersubjective values of the
18 Children's Centres in new ways when the centres were faced with closure, for service users,
19 these values were expressed in even more intimate and emotive ways, through the telling of
20 their own 'stories'. As Cameron (2012) argues, the telling of 'stories' allows the story-teller
21 to situate their own experience within time and space in new ways, and to connect 'small
22 stories' to wider political and economic change. The story-telling was not necessarily a
23 conscious strategy for activists seeking to save the campaigns, although the social media
24 feeds and blog site in area A that were set up did ask service users to 'send in their stories' as
25 the campaign developed. This approach had also developed in other areas where Children's
26 Centres were under threat.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 Such 'stories' were therefore recounted at public meetings, on websites, via other forms of
42 social media and publicity, and to me as a researcher undertaking the interviews during
43 processes of centre closures. The stories were often quite complex and involved situating
44 their use of the centres within a wider personal narrative about experiences of being a parent
45 to small children. The 'place' of a Children's Centre within the wider experience of
46 parenting small children might therefore be revealed via a sustained attention to the
47 emotional and subjective experiences of care that could emerge from a story.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The stories were often highly intimate and emotional, conveying profound struggles with
4 mental health, wellbeing, but also giving testimony to the friendship and support found at the
5 centres. Told almost exclusively by mothers, they spoke of gendered issues of maternal
6 mental health, domestic violence and relationship breakdown and the place of children's
7 centre services within that. Although ostensibly about 'everyday life', the stories made
8 visible profound struggles at the centre of parenting. Emotions included loneliness and
9 isolation, feeling overwhelmed and inadequate as a parent, and also the friendship, support
10 and empathy accessed at the centres, from both staff and services users.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Whilst all of the stories had emotional qualities, some of them had a particularly performative
27 quality, with story-tellers aware of the emotional impact of what they said. Of the different
28 stories that I collected (Author, forthcoming), some had been recounted on a number of
29 occasions before as part of public meetings and events. I witnessed the emotive and
30 disruptive power of this on a number of occasions. One service user and activist in area A
31 (whom I also interviewed) had a particularly emotional and moving experience involving the
32 suicide of her teenage daughter, which then profoundly shaped her experience of becoming a
33 parent for the second time and looking after her new baby, in which she was greatly
34 supported by the children's centre. She spoke about this experience in a number of public and
35 council meetings, and whenever she spoke, people were visibly moved by what she said.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 It was clear from the mothers' accounts that many of the services provided by workers at the
51 centres went far beyond prescribed professional roles. Another mother in area A spoke about
52 how staff would let her stay behind after formal sessions were over:
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 I ended up being diagnosed with post-natal depression.... and the people down there,
4 they gave me a drink, they let me hang around after session and that kind of thing..
5 probably weren't supposed to... and if I wasn't in a fit state to go home or whatever.
6
7
8
9

10
11 These accounts therefore revealed the Children's Centres to be sites with complex emotional
12 dynamics, including different forms of connection, relationship and identification. The
13 extract from the story below further demonstrates the different emotions which circulated
14 around that particular place. It was recounted by a service user I call Claire, and read out at a
15 public council meeting in area A where cuts were being discussed. The occasion was a
16 meeting of the 'Scrutiny Committee' with responsibility for children and young people's
17 services. The committee involved local politicians who were discussing the proposals by the
18 council to close the majority of the children's centres. The opposition councillors on the
19 committee had asked for service users to come and speak at the meeting. Claire was the only
20 service user who had come forward to speak. The room was laid out in a semi-circular
21 fashion for the committee, with the 'witnesses' called to come forward and speak from a
22 small desk and chair in the middle of the room. The meeting therefore had a very formal feel,
23 like that of a courtroom.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Claire had written out her story and she read it out. As she read she became visibly upset.

42
43 Whilst we can see the telling of her story as having a performative quality, it would be wrong
44 to suggest that it was not an authentic and raw account of her own experience. Personally I
45 felt very upset after listening to her speak, and indeed the telling of her story had a powerful
46 emotive effect on many of those present, as was apparent in subsequent discussions at the
47 meeting. In the first part of her account (not included) she tells about her own depression
48 after the birth of her son.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 As a guilt racked mum, I pushed myself to attend my local Children's Centre. It was
4 here that my recovery started (although it is still ongoing). It is a safe space to take
5 my son, down the road, no commitment, no money, where you would think it was just
6 somewhere my son could play.
7
8
9

10
11
12
13 In fact it was the complete opposite, it was a safe space for *me* to get a little bit of
14 respite.
15
16

17
18
19 A place where the staff went out of their way to catch up with me.
20

21
22 A place where I could meet other mums and discover I wasn't the only mum whose
23 child pulled their hair or wouldn't eat vegetables anymore.
24
25

26
27 A place where I took a postnatal wellbeing course, the first step to tackling my
28 depression.
29
30

31
32
33 A place where me and my son took a cooking course.
34

35
36 A place where, in my darkest hour, I was in the arms of a playworker sobbing because
37 I felt I was a complete failure.
38
39

40
41
42 A finally, a place where I decided to give back. I trained as a Breastfeeding Peer
43 Supporter and now give support to other local mums myself.
44
45

46
47 Her account therefore reveals the emotional geography of the centre, offering a multi-layered
48 landscape of 'care' that moved beyond an instrumental approach to shaping identities. Both
49 this and other accounts also highlight the importance of activities which might seem
50 peripheral to what the centres offered, such as a cookery course or finding out about other
51 parents' struggles with children eating vegetables (see also Horton and Kraftl 2009b). This
52 was particularly significant at a moment when cuts were being made to services and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 discourses of rationing and hierarchies of ‘need’ were apparent, in ways that marginalised
4 such aspects of the centre. The story-telling approach revealed that it was not possible to
5
6 consider the importance of the centres without considering service users’ lives and
7
8 experiences in a far wider way than might normally be considered.
9
10
11

12
13 As already noted, her telling of the story elicited powerful reactions among the councillors
14 and officials present, many of whom were visibly moved. Whilst the centre closures did go
15 ahead in this and the other area, a number of ‘concessions’ were made by decision makers
16 during this process, including keeping more centres open across the region in this case. The
17 campaigns did therefore have some success, although of course overall closures did still go
18 ahead.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 However, for Claire herself, whom I got to know and stayed in touch with after the campaign,
29 the emotional exposure involved in speaking at this and other meetings, was personally very
30 difficult. She told me, in a later interview, how she felt about some of the of feedback she
31 had heard when she had spoken out: ‘It does feel like – ‘oh those mums, they can’t have
32 everything’, people that don’t understand, what value they have to your lives’. Following the
33 scrutiny meeting she rather withdrew from the campaign for these reasons. This highlights
34 the vulnerabilities involved in more emotional forms of political engagement.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **4. Conclusions: Re-placing emotions within social policy analysis?**

46
47
48

49 Overall, I have argued that, especially at times of heightened pressures on services under
50 conditions of austerity, emotions surrounding the welfare state become more apparent,
51 particularly via attachments and connections around and within spaces of service provision
52 (Horton and Kraftl 2009a). Through drawing on approaches associated with the affective turn
53 across the social sciences, I have shown the need to consider the power of emotions in new
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ways. Firstly I have shown how emotions can be understood to circulate around particular
4
5 places and spaces (Davidson et al 2012, Morse and Munro 2015), that are easily swept aside
6
7 or ignored during austerity cuts and restructuring, yet may constitute the most important
8
9 aspects of the service. Secondly emotions can be understood to have collective and political
10
11 significance and impact, including being involved in resistance and political change.
12
13
14
15

16
17 Such an approach suggests the need to reconsider the scale at which emotions might be
18
19 thought to matter to social policy. For example, in the account above, the emotional
20
21 geographies of Claire's account above may have been 'small-scale', yet they were hugely
22
23 significant in her life. Her story spoke to wider politics and debates about the future of
24
25 services in her area, and beyond, as the local campaign was communicated on social media
26
27 and connected to other national campaigns (see Gerbaudo 2018). Considering such
28
29 identifications might involve a focus on 'small-scale' or intimate geographies and
30
31 considering how they speak to wider arenas of governance and politic, as noted earlier
32
33 (Askins, 2014). As Pain (2009) has argued, there is a need to connect up everyday emotional
34
35 dynamics with wider political processes, rather than separating politics and everyday
36
37 emotions. Therefore rather than seeing emotional dynamics as nested within a hierarchy of
38
39 governance that has national political power and policy discourses at its centre, I am
40
41 interested in how current emotional landscapes of social policy have dynamics which can cut
42
43 across or 'jump' these different scales (Fincher and Panelli 2001).
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 I do not want to suggest that such dynamics will necessarily have positive impacts for social
52
53 policy. In relation to the wider current emotional context for social policy, Newman (2017)
54
55 identifies within neoliberal 'austerity' governance a far-reaching emotional 'politics of
56
57 division and rage', at 'bureaucracy', 'experts', public services, and 'others' including
58
59 migrants and welfare claimants. Such emotions might be thought of as lying behind
60

1
2
3 discourses of populism and aspects of political change discussed at the opening of this paper.
4
5 However, as well as potentially unleashing rage, anxiety and suspicion, it is also worth
6
7 considering whether different, more progressive emotional registers of hope, possibility,
8
9 resistance and solidarity might also have space to ‘surface’, cutting across governance scales
10
11 and sites within such a landscape, leading to possibilities of progressive transformation and
12
13 change.
14
15

16
17
18
19 Indeed, it is particularly important at a moment of austerity pressures to pay attention to how
20
21 such feelings might be generated by attachments to and identifications with public services,
22
23 in order to consider how the welfare state might recover and move out of this period of
24
25 austerity, and indeed recover from the current Covid-19 crisis. Focusing on such emotions
26
27 might help us generate understanding of the shared importance of ‘public things’ (Honnig
28
29 2017), the collective spaces and services which Honnig argues (ibid), people need to become
30
31 attached to in order to become properly citizens of a democracy, at a time when public goods
32
33 and services have been so undervalued. Feelings and practices of solidarity, between workers
34
35 and the public, and within communities have also been articulated in new ways during the
36
37 pandemic (Wood and Skeggs, 2020).
38
39
40
41

42
43
44 The current moment therefore seems to be one in which the emotional contours of
45
46 governance and the welfare state are being redrawn (Davies 2018). This poses challenges for
47
48 social policy analysis. As the paper has argued, whilst longer-standing apprehensions of
49
50 emotional labour and emotional governance within social policy remain relevant, the terrain
51
52 of social policy has also become more emotionally heightened, intense and unstable under
53
54 conditions of austerity and crisis. The case study discussed has pointed to some of the
55
56 powerful emotions now surrounding spaces of service provision, and shown the importance
57
58 of becoming attuned to these emotions, drawing on social science analysis of affect. Rather
59
60

1
2
3 than rejecting these more emotional registers, a progressive project might consider how to
4
5 reclaim emotions as part of social policy debates and programmes in new ways. Through
6
7 focusing on both individual and collective expressions of emotions such as empathy, care and
8
9 attentiveness, among service users and professionals, and how these are experienced in
10
11 relation to public services, we might think differently about the welfare state at the current
12
13
14
15 moment.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

References

Author 2012

Author *forthcoming*

Author et al 2017

Ahmed, S. 2004. *The Cultural Politics of Emotion*. New York: Routledge.

Anderson, B. 2014. *Encountering affect: capacities, apparatuses, conditions*. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing.

Askew, L. 2009. “‘At Home’ in State Institutions: The Caring Practices and Potentialities of Human Service Workers’, *Geoforum* 40(4): 655–663.

Askins, K. 2014. ‘A quiet politics of being together: Miriam and Rose’ *Area* 46(4): 353-354.

Bericat, E. (2016). The sociology of emotions: Four decades of progress. *Current Sociology*, 64(3), 491-513.

Boler, M., & Davis, E. (2018). The affective politics of the “post-truth” era: Feeling rules and networked subjectivity. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 27, 75-85.

Cameron, E. (2012). New geographies of story and storytelling. *Progress in Human geography*, 36(5), 573-592.

Clarke, J. and Newman, J. 2012. ‘The Alchemy of Austerity’ *Critical Social Policy* 32 (3): 299-319.

1
2
3 Clayton, J., Donovan, C. and Merchant, J. 2015. 'Emotions of austerity: Care and
4 commitment in public service delivery in the North East of England' *Emotion, Space and*
5
6
7 *Society* 14: 24-32.

8
9
10
11 Davidson, J., Smith, M. M., & Bondi, L. (Eds.). (2012). *Emotional geographies*. Ashgate
12
13
14 Publishing, Ltd..

15
16
17
18 Davies, W. (2018). *Nervous states: How feeling took over the world*. Random House.

19
20
21
22
23 Durnová, A. (2013). Governing through intimacy: Explaining care policies through 'sharing a
24
25
26
27 meaning'. *Critical Social Policy*, 33(3), 494-513.

28
29
30 Durnová, A. (2019). Unpacking emotional contexts of post-truth. *Critical Policy*
31
32
33
34
35 *Studies*, 13(4), 447-450.

36 Eisenstadt, N. 2011. *Providing a Sure Start: How government discovered early childhood*.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Bristol: Policy Press.

44 Evans, R., Ribbens McCarthy, J., Bowlby, S., Wouango, J., & Kébé, F. (2017). 'Producing
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
emotionally sensed knowledge? Reflexivity and emotions in researching responses to
death'. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 20(6): 585-598.

53 Fincher, R. and Panelli, R. 2001. 'Making space: women's urban and rural activism and the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Australian state'. *Gender, Place and Culture: A Journal of Feminist Geography*, 8(2): 129-
148.

1
2
3 Fraser, N. 2017. 'The End of Progressive Neoliberalism', *Dissent*, January 2, available at
4 [https://www.dissentmagazine.org/online_articles/progressive-neoliberalism-reactionary-populism-](https://www.dissentmagazine.org/online_articles/progressive-neoliberalism-reactionary-populism-nancy-fraser)
5 [nancy-fraser](https://www.dissentmagazine.org/online_articles/progressive-neoliberalism-reactionary-populism-nancy-fraser)
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 Gagen, E.A. 2015. 'Governing emotions: Citizenship, neuroscience and the education of
13 youth'. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 40(1): 140-152.
14
15

16
17
18 Gammerl, B., Hutta, J. S., & Scheer, M. 2017. Feeling differently: Approaches and their
19 politics. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 25(November), 87-94.
20
21
22

23
24
25 Gerbaudo, P. 2018. *Tweets and the streets: Social media and contemporary activism*.
26 London: Pluto Press.
27
28

29
30
31 Gagen, E.A. 2015. 'Governing emotions: Citizenship, neuroscience and the education of
32 youth'. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 40(1): 140-152.
33
34
35

36
37
38 Greco, M. and Stenner, P. eds., 2013. *Emotions: a social science reader*. London: Routledge.
39
40

41
42
43 Halley, J. and Ticineto Clough, P. 2007. *The affective turn: Theorizing the social*. Durham:
44 Duke University Press.
45
46

47
48
49 Hardill, I. and Mills, S. 2013. 'Enlivening evidence-based policy through embodiment and
50 emotions'. *Contemporary Social Science*, 8(3): 321-332.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Hastings, A., Bailey, N., Bramley, G., Gannon, M., & Watkins, D. 2015. *The cost of the cuts:*
4 *The impact on local government and poorer communities* York: Joseph Rowntree
5
6
7
8 Foundation.
- 9
10
11
12 Hemmings, C. 2005. 'Invoking affect: Cultural theory and the ontological turn' *Cultural*
13 *studies* 19 (5) 548-567.
- 14
15
16
17
18
19 Hitchen, E. 2016. Living and feeling the austere. *New Formations*, 87: 102-118.
- 20
21
22
23 Hochschild, A. R., 1979. 'Emotion work, feeling rules, and social structure'. *American*
24 *journal of sociology*, 85(3): 551-575.
- 25
26
27
28 Hochschild, A. 2013. 'Afterword: Welfare State Reform, Recognition and Emotional Labor'.
29 *Social Policy and Society*, 12(3): 487-489
- 30
31
32
33 Hoggett, P., Wilkinson, H., & Beedell, P. 2013. 'Fairness and the Politics of Resentment'.
34 *Journal of Social Policy*, 42(3): 567-585.
- 35
36
37
38
39 Hoggett, P., & Thompson, S. (Eds.). (2012). *Politics and the emotions: The affective turn in*
40 *contemporary political studies*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
- 41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Honig, B, 2017. *Public Things: democracy in disrepair*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Horton, J., & Kraftl, P., 2009a. 'What (else) matters? Policy contexts, emotional
geographies.' *Environment and Planning A*, 41(12): 2984-3002.
- Horton, J., & Kraftl, P. 2009b. 'Small acts, kind words and "not too much fuss": Implicit
activisms'. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 2(1): 14-23.

1
2
3 Horton, J. 2016. 'Anticipating service withdrawal: young people in spaces of
4 neoliberalisation, austerity and economic crisis'. *Transactions of the Institute of British*
5
6
7
8 *Geographers*, 41(4): 349-362.

9
10
11 Hunter, S. 2017. 'The role of multicultural fantasies in the enactment of the state: The
12
13 English National Health Service (NHS) as an affective formation', in *Emotional States:*
14
15 *governing with affect*, eds E Jupp, J Pykett, F Smith, Routledge
16
17

18
19
20 Jones, H. 2013. *Negotiating cohesion, inequality and change*. Bristol: Policy Press.

21
22
23
24 Lipsky, M. 1971. 'Street-level bureaucracy and the analysis of urban reform'. *Urban Affairs*
25
26
27
28 *Quarterly*, 6(4): 391-409.

29
30
31 Lister, R. 2003. 'Investing in the citizen workers of the future: Transformations in citizenship
32
33 and the state under New Labour' *Social Policy & Administration*, 37(5): 427-443.

34
35
36
37 Morse, N., & Munro, E. 2015. Museums' community engagement schemes, austerity and
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
practices of care in two local museum services. *Social & Cultural Geography*, available
online <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649365.2015.1089583>; 1-22.

56
57
58
59
60
Moss, P., & Maddrell, A. (2017). Emergent and divergent spaces in the Women's March: the
challenges of intersectionality and inclusion. *Gender, Place and Culture*, 24(5), 613-620.

Muehlebach, A, 2012. *The moral neoliberal: welfare and citizenship in Italy*. Chicago:
University of Chicago Press.

1
2
3 Newman, J., & McKee, B. (2005). Beyond the New Public Management? Public services and
4 the social investment state. *Policy & Politics*, 33(4), 657-673.
5
6
7

8
9
10
11 Newman, J. 2012a. 'Beyond the deliberative subject? Problems of theory, method and
12 critique in the turn to emotion and affect'. *Critical Policy Studies* 6 (4): 465-479
13
14

15
16
17 Newman, J. 2012b. *Working the Spaces of Power: activism, neoliberalism and gendered*
18 *labour*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
19
20

21
22 Newman, J. 2017. 'Rationality, responsibility and rage: the contested politics of emotion
23 governance', in *Emotional States: governing with affect*, eds E Jupp, J Pykett, F Smith,
24 London: Routledge
25
26
27
28

29
30
31 Pain, R. 2009. 'Globalized fear? Towards an emotional geopolitics'. *Progress in Human*
32 *Geography*. 33(4): 466-486
33
34
35

36
37 Painter J. 2006. 'Prosaic Geographies of Stateness', *Political Geography* 25(7): 752-774.
38
39

40
41 Pedwell, C. 2013. 'Affect at the margins: Alternative empathies in A Small Place', *Emotion,*
42 *Space and Society*, 8; 18-26
43
44

45
46 Pile, S. 2010. 'Emotions and affect in recent human geography' *Transactions of the Institute*
47 *of British Geographers*, 35: 5-20
48
49

50
51
52 Robinson, K., & Sheldon, R., 2018, . Witnessing loss in the everyday: Community buildings
53 in austerity Britain. *The Sociological Review*, 0038026118797828.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Rose, N.1989. *Governing the Soul: The Shaping of the Private Self*. London: Free
4
5 Association Books.
6
7

8
9
10 Ryan, F. 2018. 'Cuts to Children's Centres mean lifelines are disappearing, *The Guardian*, 1
11
12 March 2018
13
14

15
16 Stets, J.E. and Turner, J.H. eds., 2014. *Handbook of the Sociology of Emotions* (Vol. 2).
17
18 Springer.
19

20
21
22 Stewart, E. (2019). A sociology of public responses to hospital change and closure. *Sociology*
23
24 *of health & illness*, 41(7), 1251-1269.
25

26
27 Tonkens, E., Grootegoed, E. and Duyvendak, J.W. 2013. Introduction: Welfare state reform,
28
29 recognition and emotional labour. *Social Policy and Society*, 12(3): 407-413.
30

31
32
33 Wood, H., & Skeggs, B. (2020). Clap for carers? From care gratitude to care
34
35 justice. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 1367549420928362.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60