

Kent Academic Repository

Clark, Ewan R. and Ingleson, Michael J. (2014) *N-Methylacridinium Salts:* Carbon Lewis Acids in Frustrated Lewis Pairs for ?-Bond Activation and Catalytic Reductions. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 53 (42). pp. 11306-11309. ISSN 1433-7851.

Downloaded from

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/49871/ The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201406122

This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies).

N-methylacridinium Salts: Carbon Lewis Acids in Frustrated Lewis Pairs for σ Bond Activation and Catalytic Reductions

Ewan R Clark, [a] and Michael J Ingleson*[a]

Abstract: *N*-methylacridinium salts are Lewis acids with high hydride ion affinity but low oxophilicity. The cation forms a Lewis adduct with 4-DMAP but a frustrated Lewis pair (FLP) with the weaker base 2,6-lutidine that activates H_2 even in the presence of H_2O . Anion effects dominate reactivity, with both solubility and rate of H_2 cleavage showing marked anion dependency. With the optimal anion, a *N*-methylacridinium salt catalyzes the reductive transfer hydrogenation and hydrosilylation of aldimines by amine-boranes and silanes, respectively. Furthermore, the same salt is active for the catalytic dehydrosilylation of alcohols (1°, 2°, 3° and ArOH) by silanes with no over reduction to alkanes observed.

Frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs), pioneered by Stephan and coworkers.[1] represent a versatile new method for small molecule activations, and have been successfully applied for the catalytic hydrogenation of a range of substrates. [2] Related systems also activate the Si-H bond in silanes, enabling catalytic (de)hydrosilylation. [3, 4] Fluoroaryl boranes, typified by $B(C_6F_5)_3$, are the most commonly studied Lewis acids within the field. Despite their clear utility, these boranes are not without drawbacks, with the principal being cost and high oxophilicity which can limit their utility and stability in wet solvents and functional group tolerance.[5] Other main group Lewis acids, including aluminum, [6] silicon[7] and phosphorus[8] systems, have been exploited in FLPs, but these remain extremely oxophilic and in many cases the H2 activation products are not amenable to further catalytic application. Thus there is a demand for cheaper, less oxophilic Lewis acids for FLP applications.

Softer carbon-centered Lewis acids were shown by Bertrand^[9] and Arduengo^[10] to be able to activate H_2 , but due to the high hydride ion affinity (HIA) of these compounds application in reduction processes are precluded. Alcarazo et al. have used electron deficient allenes as weaker carbon Lewis acids^[11] which do activate RS-SR bonds but are incapable of H_2 activation. The realization of carbon Lewis acid based FLP catalyzed reduction was first reported by Stephan et al. using $[((Ph_2PC_6H_4)_2B(\eta^6-Ph))RuCl][B(C_6F_5)_4].^{[12]}$ This compound whilst able to activate H_2 with an appropriate base still contains a precious metal. Thus the goal of utilizing a metal free, inexpensive carbon Lewis acid for FLP based reductions remains to be realized.

In our prior work, borocation $1[AlCl_4]$ (scheme 1 center) was found to act in a FLP as a Lewis acid at boron and at the C9 position of

the acridine moiety, depending upon reaction conditions.^[13] Computational determination of HIA confirmed that

Scheme 1. Hydride Ion Affinities of 1+ (relative to Et₃B)

C-centered HIA is greater than that at boron by 13.9 kcalmol⁻¹. The high HIA of **1**⁺ at carbon is not surprising, as *N*-alkyl acridinium species have been investigated as model compounds for the biological hydride transfer system NADH / NAD⁺.[^{14,15]} N-Methylacridinium salts (**2**⁺) are particularly attractive Lewis acids as they; (i) are easy to synthesize, (ii) are indefinitely air and moisture stable ^[14] and (iii) show little propensity to coordinate H₂O, indicating low oxophilicity. Herein we report the incorporation of **2**⁺ into FLPs which activate H-H, Si-H and B-H bonds and are catalysts for the reduction of imines, as well as the dehydrosilylation of alcohols.

Initially the HIA of the 2^+ ion was quantified [16] and computationally determined to be -53.3 kcalmol-1 (Table 1), 20.5 kcalmol-1 less than the C-centered value for 1^+ . The marked difference is ascribed to the additional stabilization afforded by significant B=N double bond character in 1- H_c . The HIA of 2^+ was nevertheless found to exceed that of the model compounds of the conjugate Lewis acids of known hydride donors, Hantzsch ester, 3^+ , and NADH, 4^+ . Significantly, 2^+ has a considerably lower HIA than Ph₃C⁺ (consistent with the experimental observation of hydride abstraction from N-methylacridane by Ph₃C+), [14b] essential for transferring a hydride to substrates post H_2 activation. It is however, still 12.3 kcalmol-1 greater than that of $B(C_6F_5)_3$, indicating that H_2 activation in a FLP with an appropriate base will be thermodynamically favored. [17]

Table 1. HIAs (relative to Et₃B) at the M06-2X/6-311G(d,p), PCM(DCM) level.

Lewis Acid	HIA / kcalmol ⁻¹	
[Ph ₃ C] ⁺	-75.3	

 [a] Dr Ewan R Clark, Dr Michael J Ingleson School of Chemistry University of Manchester Oxford Road M13 9PL

E-mail: Michael.ingleson@manchester.ac.uk

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

A range of [2]X salts (X = I, SbF₆, BPh₄, tetra(3,5-dichlorophenyl)borate (hereafter BAr^{Cl}))^[18] were readily available in excellent yield by methylation of acridine with methyliodide followed by anion exchange with the appropriate metathesis reagent. [2]SbF₆, [2]BPh₄ and [2]BAr^{Cl} were crystallographically characterized as well-separated ion pairs, and show good correlation with calculated structural metrics of 2^+ . The crystal structure of [2]SbF₆ is shown in Figure 1 as exemplar.

Figure 1. Left, molecular structure of $\mathbf{2}[\mathsf{SbF}_6]$ and right molecular structure of $[\mathbf{2}\text{-}(4\text{-}\mathsf{DMAP})]\mathsf{BAr}^\mathsf{Cl}$ (only one molecule from the asymmetric unit is shown, and counterions and disordered solvent are omitted for clarity). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Selected bond lengths (Å): For $\mathbf{2}[\mathsf{SbF}_6]$: $\mathsf{C}(1)\text{-N}(1)$ 1.487(14), closest C—FSbF $_5$ distance C(9)---F(3) 3.348(15). For $[\mathbf{2}\text{-}(4\text{-}\mathsf{DMAP})]\mathsf{BAr}^\mathsf{Cl}$, $\mathbf{6}$: C(1)-N(1) 1.471(4), C(9)-N(2) 1.525(4), C(17)-N(3) 1.335(3)

Experimental confirmation for the predicted higher HIA relative to B(C₆F₅)₃ was obtained by the abstraction of hydride from [(2,6lutidine)H][HB(C_6F_5)₃] by [2]BAr^{CI} to yield the corresponding Nmethylacridane, $\mathbf{5}$, and B(C₆F₅)₃. On combination of 3 equivalents of [2]BArCI with Et3PO, to determine Lewis acidity by the Gutmann-Beckett method, [19] a $\Delta \delta^{31}P$ of 4.3 ppm was determined, vastly lower than that of B(C₆F₅)₃ (at $\Delta\delta^{31}P$ 26.8ppm)^[20]. The addition of crotonaldehyde to [2]BArCI in DCM (the Childs' method for assessing Lewis acidity)[21] resulted in a minimal downfield shift of the H3 proton with a $\Delta\delta^{1}H$ of 0.02 ppm). Thus $\mathbf{2}^{+}$ is a significantly weaker Lewis acid towards Et₃PO crotonaldehyde than B(C₆F₅)₃ in marked contrast to the ordering of the HIAs. These remarkable differences, coupled with the observations that [2]BArCl exhibits no observable H2O coordination (by ¹H NMR spectroscopy) and that the halide salts, [2]X (X=Cl, Br, I), exist as well separated ion pairs^[21] (closest C9-X contact of 3.896(3) Å for [2]Cl.H₂O), indicates that the Lewis acidity of these species may be regarded as soft and orbital controlled, and thus hydride selective.

[2]BAr^{Cl} forms FLP systems with oxidation resistant nitrogen donor bases with moderate steric demand (e.g. 2,6-lutidine). In contrast, a 1 : 1 admixture of [2]BAr^{Cl} and 4-DMAP results in adduct formation between acid and base in solution, typified by the upfield shift of the *N*-methyl resonance in the ¹H NMR spectra from δ_{1H} 4.50 ppm(for free acridinium cation) to δ_{1H} 3.67 ppm (*cf.* δ_{1H} = 3.35 ppm in 5). The adduct [2-(4-DMAP)]BAr^{Cl}, 6, can be isolated in good yield and crystallizes with two metrically similar ion pairs in the asymmetric unit (thus only one is discussed herein. The C9 carbon in 6 is strongly pyramidalized (the sums of non-N bond angles about C9 are 328.20°) and the acridinium moiety folds along the C(1)-N(1)-C(9) axis by 20.99° (*cf.* trans-annular folding of 1.22° in [2]SbF₆). The donor-acceptor N-C bonds, are comparable to other alkylated 4-DMAP compounds consistent with strong dative bonding. [23]

Table 2. FLP Activation of H2^e

Entry	Lewis Acid	Lewis Base	Temp. /°C	Completion (time) ^b
1	[2]SbF ₆	2,6-Lutidine	60 °	13% (135h) ^f
2	[2]BPh ₄	2,6-Lutidine	60 °	92% (135h) ^g
3	[2]BAr ^{Cl}	2,6-Lutidine	60 °	97% (234h)
4	[2]BAr ^{Cl}	2,6-Lutidine	100 ^d	98% (23h)
5	[2]BAr ^{Cl}	2,6-Lutidine	100 e	62% (72h)
6	[2]BAr ^{Cl}	7	100 ^d	25% (72h) ^h

[a] Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol each of acid and base in 0.8cm³ solvent sealed under *ca.* 4 atmospheres H₂. [b] Reaction progress assigned by relative intensities of acridinium to acridane *N*-methyl resonances by ¹H NMR spectroscopy except where noted; no products were isolated. [c] Reaction performed in CH₂Cl₂. [d] Reaction performed in oDCB. [e] Reaction performed in undried, bench oDCB. [f] Anion degradation to intractable insoluble material. [g] Due to low solubility of [2]BPh₄ in DCM, completion was assessed by relative intensity of the *N*-methylacridane resonance to that of lutidine. ^h Calculated by relative intensities of imine CH and amine CH₂

The FLPs of 2[Anion] and 2,6-lutidine were exposed to 4 atmospheres of H₂ and slow H₂ bond cleavage occurred at 60°C, with significant anion dependency upon rate of reaction observed (Table 2). [2]SbF₆ was found to undergo minimal H₂ activation (entry 1) due to anion decomposition, resulting in a complex and intractable mixture of degradation products. Whilst [2]BPh4 is almost completely insoluble in CH₂Cl₂, it nevertheless displayed the greatest rate of H₂ activation at 60°C (entry 2), albeit still taking over five days to approach completion. From this we conclude that the rate of activation is in fact rapid compared to [2]BAr^{Cl} (entry 3), but severely solubility limited. [2]BArCI was chosen for further experiments by virtue of its improved solubility, and overall anion and thermal stability. The carbon-centered Lewis acidity was unambiguously confirmed by studies with D2, with incorporation of ²D into the C9 position of the resultant 6 by ¹H and ²D NMR spectroscopy. H_2 activation by [2]BArCI/ 2,6-lutidine proceeds cation interactions in solution. Importantly, there is no decomposition of [2]BArCl at 100°C after 2 days, precluding H2 activation via a B(3,5-Cl₂C₆H₃)₃ / 2,6-lutidine FLP.^[24] Given the utility of N-alkyl acridinium salts as photo-redox catalysts in a wide range of transformations, [25] [2]BArCl/ 2,6-lutidine was exposed to dihydrogen and heated in the absence of light, with dihydrogen activation still proceeding in the dark. Pleasingly, the FLP systems are stable to water at room temperature, although slow H2O activation is observed at raised temperatures (60°C) to form N-Me-9-OH acridane and the 2,6-lutidinium cation. Performing H₂ activation in wet oDCB with [2]BArCl / 2,6-lutidine (entry 5) remarkably still resulted in H2 activation, however heterolytic O-H cleavage of H₂O was also observed albeit as a minor product. With H₂ activation unequivocally demonstrated, the reduction of the unsaturated substrate N-benzylidene-tert-butylamine. 7. was explored in a FLP with [2]BArCI. This FLP slowly activated H2 with reduction of the imine to the corresponding amine observed after heating to 100°C (Table 2, entry 6). To improve reduction kinetics the ability of 2+ to activate the inexpensive dihydrogen surrogate Me₂NHBH₃ was investigated for transfer hydrogenation applications. [2]BArCl reacts with Me2NH-BH3 by rapid hydride transfer to generate 5 and cationic boron species. Repeating the reaction in the presence of imine 7, resulted in formation of (Me₂NBH₂)₂ and protonated imine, [7H]⁺, which upon heating abstracted hydride from 5 to regenerate [2]BArCI and lead to overall reduction of imine to amine. The observation of 5 and [7H]+ indicates that it is the hydride transfer step that is the rate limiting step. Catalyst free, direct reduction of 7 with Me₂NH-BH₃ does occur, [26] but this background reaction is slow in CH₂Cl₂ at 60°C, taking 69 h to reach only 78 % conversion. In comparison, a 5 % loading of [2]BArCI gave complete reduction of imine 7 in the presence of Me₂NH-BH₃ after 18 hours at 60°C (Table 3, entry 1), confirming catalysis of the transfer hydrogenation by the carbon Lewis acid [2]BArCI.

more rapidly at 100°C in ortho-dichlorobenzene (oDCB, entry 4),

indicating a significant kinetic barrier to H₂ bond cleavage which,

in light of the anion dependence observed, is attributed to anion /

Table 3. Catalytic reduction of aldimines.

Entry	Substrate	Reductant	Temp. / °C	Conv. (time)
1	7	Me₂NHBH₃	60	>99% (18h)
2 ^a	7	PhMe ₂ SiH	60	98% (296h)
3a,b	7	PhMe ₂ SiH	100	>98 % (4h) °
4 ^{a,b}	8	PhMe ₂ SiH	100	>98% (4h) °
5 ^{a,b}	9	PhMe ₂ SiH	100	>98% (24h) ^d
6 ^{a,b}	10	PhMe ₂ SiH	100	45% (24h) ^d

[a] Reactions were performed on a 0.2 mmol scale with 50% excess silane in 0.8cm³ dry DCM except where noted. [b] Reaction in oDCB [c] Calculated by ¹H NMR integration against cyclohexane as internal standard. [d] Consumption of starting imine by ¹H NMR integration against cyclohexane as internal standard. Concomitant trans-imination occurred under reaction conditions consuming BnN(SiMe₂Ph)R to form a range of other amines, see SI for details.

The applicability of [2]BArCl for the activation of Si-H bonds was next investigated. The direct 1:1 combination of [2]BArCI and PhMe₂SiH resulted in no observable reaction and no loss of ⁴J_{Si-H} coupling between the Si-H fragment and the adjacent methyl groups, as observed for analogous systems with B(C₆F₅)₃.^[3] Nevertheless, mixing Ph₃SiH and Et₃SiD with 5 % [2]BAr^{Cl} resulted in H / D exchange at room temperature, confirming activation of the Si-H bond. Consistent with this, the hydrosilylation of a number of aldimines was achieved using catalytic [2]BArCI. Whilst hydrosilylation of 7 is slow at 60°C it is complete within 4 h at 100°C giving the desired amine post hydrolysis. Hydrosilylation was also observed for the N-Ph and N-Bn imines, 8 and 9, respectively (Table 3, entries 3 and 4). More remarkable, is the hydrosilylation of the unhindered N-Me imine 10, catalyzed by [2]BArcl (entry 6). In contrast, imine 10 is incompatible with B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyzed hydrosilylation due to the formation of a strong Lewis adduct.[3b, 27] [2]BArCI shows no significant propensity to bind 10 in DCM (the N-Me resonance of [2]⁺ remains at δ_{1H} = 4.50 ppm post addition of excess imine 10). Finally, we investigated the utility of this species in other B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyzed reactions. [2]BArCl catalyzes the dehydrosilylation of aromatic, 1°, 2° and 3° alcohols (Table 4). A range of silanes can be utilized as reductants, though steric bulk precludes the use of triisopropylsilane, whilst triphenylsilane causes anion degradation as a minor competitive pathway (entry 2). Dehydrosilylation proceeds unimpeded in the absence of light (entry 3 vs 4). The reaction evolves dihydrogen gas using R₃SiH (observed via ¹H NMR spectroscopy). When Et₃SiD is used, a mixture of H₂ and HD is evolved, with no ²D incorporation into the product observed, demonstrating that no carbonyl intermediates derived from alcohol dehydrogenation are involved. This is further supported by the facility with which phenol is silylated, implicating an analogous mechanism to that with B(C₆F₅)₃ involving heterolytic activation of Si-H to form 5 and [RO(H)SiR₃]+ which undergoes dehydrocoupling to release H2 (or mixtures of H2 and HD when Et₃SiD is used and 5-D formed). [3] Unlike B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyzed dehydrosilylation of alcohols, [3] no over-reduction to alkanes with concomitant siloxane formation is observed, even in the presence of large excess of silane and with prolonged heating, presumably as a result of the lower reducing power of N-methylacridane vs $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^{-}$. This allows the reaction to be performed under ambient atmosphere, with no need to pre-dry solvents; the catalyst rapidly converts H2O to the appropriate siloxane under reaction conditions (confirmed by deliberate siloxane synthesis), and any excess silane poses no threat of R₃Si-OR' product overreduction. This was demonstrated in a bulk synthesis of BnOSiPh₃ using unpurified solvents under air with 64% (unoptimised) conversion despite the use of the challenging silane Ph₃SiH (due to anion decomposition side reactions).

Table 4. Catalytic Dehydrosilylation of alcohols ^a

ROH + R'₃SiH
$$\xrightarrow{\text{cat.}}$$
 ROSiR'₃ + H-H [2]BAr^{Cl}

Entry	Substrate	Silane	Catalyst Loading	Time / h	Conv.
			Loading		

1	ⁱ PrOH	Et₃SiH	5%	>1	86% ^b
2	ⁱ PrOH	Ph₃SiH	10%	16	75% ^c
3	BnOH	PhMe ₂ SiH	5%	<1	>99%
4	BnOH	PhMe ₂ SiH	5%	<1	>99% ^d
5	BnOH	PhMe ₂ SiH	0.5%	72	80%
6	BnOH	PhMe ₂ SiH	0.5%	2	93 ^e
7	СуОН	PhMe ₂ SiH	5%	<1	>99%
8	1-AdOH ^f	PhMe ₂ SiH	5%	<1	>99%
9	Phenol	PhMe ₂ SiH	5%	<1	>99%

[a] Reactions were performed at room temperature on 0.2 mmol scale with 5% excess silane in 0.8cm³ dry DCM except where noted. Yields assessed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. [b] Total consumption of silane observed, but competitive siloxane formation due to H_2O present in 1PrOH . [c] Heated to 60 $^{\circ}C$ for reaction duration; total decomposition of anion observed. [d] Identical conditions to entry 4 but performed in total darkness. [e] heated to 60 $^{\circ}C$. [f] 1-Ad =1-adamantyl.

In summary, N-alkylated acridinium salts are introduced as simple carbon Lewis acids for FLP based sigma bond activations. They were shown computationally and experimentally to have an appropriate HIA to be useful carbocationic Lewis acids in FLPs for H₂ activation and for reduction (hydride transfer) chemistry. The former was confirmed by N-methylacridinium salts being effective in H₂ activation, dehydrogenation of amine-boranes and silane activation, subject to anion dependence upon reactivity. Their application in proof-of-concept catalytic transfer hydrogenation and catalytic hydrosilylation of imines has been demonstrated. Furthermore, the low Lewis acidity of [2]+ towards hard Lewis bases enables the catalytic hydrosilylation of unhindered imines that are incompatible with hydrogenation / hydrosilylation catalyzed by $B(C_6F_5)_3$. [2]BArCl is also a cheap, air and moisture stable catalyst for the dehydrosilylation of alcohols, functioning with excellent turnover and good (unoptimized) yield in benchgrade solvents. Current work is extending this family of carbon Lewis acids by developing FLPs containing other N-alkylated pyridinium salts with lower HIA and different anionic components.

Acknowledgements

The Leverhulme Trust (ERC) and the Royal Society (for a University Research Fellowship to MJI) are acknowledged for funding. This work was also funded by the EPSRC (grant number EP/K039547/1). The authors would like to acknowledge the use of the EPSRC UK National Service for Computational Chemistry Software (NSCCS) at Imperial College London in carrying out this work...

Keywords: Lewis acids • reduction • frustrated Lewis pairs• dehydrosilylation

[1] a) G. C. Welch, R. R. San-Juan, J. D. Masuda, D. W. Stephan, *Science* **2006**, 314, 1124. For recent overviews of the FLP field see: b) Frustrated Lewis Pairs:

Uncovering and Understanding. Ed. G. Erker and D. W. Stephan. Springer-Verlag, 2013, Berlin.

[2] a) For a recent review article on FLP based hydrogenation see: L. Hounjet, D. W. Stephan, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2014**, 18, 385. For select examples see: b) P. A. Chase, T. Jurca, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 1701. c) B. Inés, D. Palomas, S. Holle, S. Steinberg, J. A. Nicasio, M. Alcarazo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51, 12367 d) H. Wang, R. Fröhlich, G. Kehr, G. Erker, *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 5966 e) T. Mahdi, J. N. del Castillo, D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics* **2013**, 32, 1971. f) Y. Segawa, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 11963

[3] For FLP silane activation see: a) D. J. Parks, W. E. Piers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1996**, 118, 9440. b) J. M. Blackwell, E. R. Sonmor, T. Scoccitti, W. E. Piers, *Org. Lett.* **2000**, 2, 3921. c) D. J. Parks, J. M. Blackwell, W. E. Piers, *J. Org. Chem.*, **2000**, 65, 3090. d) S. Rendler, M. Oestreich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 5997. e) D. Chen, V. Lecih, F. Pang, J. Klankermeye, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2012**, 18, 5184. f) M. Alcarazo, C. Gomez, S. Holle, R. Goddard, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2010**, 49, 5788. g) For an overview of silane activation by B(C₆F₅)₃ see: W. E. Piers, A. J. V. Marwitz, L. G. Mercier, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, 50, 12252.

[4] For dehydrosilylation of alcohols see: J. M. Blackwell, K. F. Foster, V. H. Beck, W. E. Piers, *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 4887

[5] J. W. Thomson, J. A. Hatnean, J. J. Hastie, A. Pasternak, D. W. Stephan, P. A. Chase, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2013, 17, 1287

[6] G. Ménard, L. Tran, D. W. Stephan, Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 13685

[7] A. Schäfer, M. Reißmann, A. Schäfer, W. Saak, D. Haase, T.

Müller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12636

[8] C. B. Caputo, L. J. Hounjet, R. Dobrovetsky, D. W. Stephan, Science 2013, 314, 1374

[9] G. D. Frey, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller, G. Bertrand, *Science* **2007**, 316, 439

[10] J. W. E. Runyon, O. Steinhof, H. V. Rasika Dias, J. C. Calabrese, W. J. Marshall, A. J. Arduengo, Aust. J. Chem. 2011, 64, 1165

[11] D. Palomas, S. Holle, B. Inés, H. Bruns, R. Goddard, M. Alcarazo, *Dalton Trans.* 2012, 41, 9073

[12] M. P. Boone, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8508

[13] E. R. Clark, M. J. Ingleson, Organometallics 2013, 32, 6172

[14] a) Y. Lu, D. Endicott, W. Kuester, Tet. Lett. 2007, 48, 6356

b) W. Sliwa, Heterocycles 1994, 38, 897.

[15] a) X. Zhu, Y. Liu, J. Cheng, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8980. b) C. Zheng, S-L. You, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 2498.

[16] Via the method described in: E. R. Clark, A. Del Grosso, M. J. Ingleson, Chem. Eur. J. 2013. 19. 2462

[17] T. A. Rokob, A. Hamza, I. Papai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 10701.

[18] A. B. Chaplin, A. S. Weller, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 5124.

[19] M. A. Beckett, G. C. Strickland, J. R. Holland K. S. Varma, *Polymer* 1996, 37, 4629

[20] M. A. Beckett, D. S. Brassington, S. J. Coles, M. B. Hursthouse, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 3, 530.

[21]R. F. Childs, D. L. Mulholland, A. Nixon, Can. J. Chem., 1981, 60, 809

[22] P. Storoniak, K. Krzyminski, P. Dokurno, A. Konitz, J. Blazejowski, *Aust. J. Chem.* **2000**, 53, 627

[23] M. J. Corr, M. D. Roydhouse, K. F. Gibson, S. Zhou, A. R. Kennedy, J. A. Murphy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17890

[24] S. J. Geier, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3476.

[25] See for example: S. Fukuzumi, K. Ohkubo, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 561.

[26] X. Yang, L. Zhao, T. Fox, Z-X. Wang, H. Berke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2058.

[27] For the binding of aldiimines to $B(C_6F_5)_3$ see: J. M. Blackwell, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez and R. McDonald, Organometallics, **2002**, 21, 1400.

COMMUNICATION

Catalytic in carbon Lewis acid!

Softly does it: N-methylacridinium salts are shown to be versatile Lewis acids in frustrated Lewis pairs for stoichiometric and catalytic transformations

Dr Ewan R Clark, Dr Michael J Ingleson*

Page No. – Page No.

N-methylacridinium Salts: Carbon
Lewis Acids in Frustrated Lewis Pairs
for σ Bond Activation and Catalytic
Reductions

