

Kent Academic Repository

Alfino, Sara and Roberts, David L. (2019) *Estimating identification uncertainties in CITES 'look-alike' species*. Global Ecology and Conservation . pp. 1-24. ISSN 2351-9894.

Downloaded from

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/73848/ The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00648

This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies).

Accepted Manuscript

Estimating identification uncertainties in CITES 'look-alike' species

Sara Alfino, David L. Roberts

PII: S2351-9894(19)30101-5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00648

Article Number: e00648

Reference: GECCO 648

To appear in: Global Ecology and Conservation

Received Date: 17 October 2018

Revised Date: 2 May 2019 Accepted Date: 2 May 2019

Please cite this article as: Alfino, S., Roberts, D.L., Estimating identification uncertainties in CITES 'look-alike' species, *Global Ecology and Conservation* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00648.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Short Communication
2	
3	Title: Estimating identification uncertainties in CITES 'look-alike' species
4	
5	Sara Alfino ¹ and David L. Roberts ¹
6	
7	¹ Durrell Institute of Conservation & Ecology, School of Anthropology & Conservation,
8	Marlowe Building, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, CT2 9NF, United Kingdom.
9	
10	Corresponding author: Sara Alfino, Durrell Institute of Conservation & Ecology,
11	School of Anthropology and Conservation, Marlowe Building, University of Kent,
12	Canterbury, Kent, CT2 9NF, United Kingdom; Tel.: +39 338 3238172; Email:
13	sara.alfino@outlook.com
14	
15	

Δh	st	ra	ct

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Achieving sustainability in international wildlife trade encompasses a series of challenges, such as identification uncertainty for taxonomically complex groups. Although CITES has developed a 'look-alike' policy to collectively manage trade in morphologically similar species and thus facilitate enforcement, its effective application with regards to the export quota system is questionable. We used a multidisciplinary approach to provide an understating of the trade in a taxonomically complex genus of Malagasy chameleons. An online systematic survey of trade was undertaken to identify which species of Calumma have been the subject of trade. A matching task was employed to calculate identification error rates among species in the genus. Results suggest that the online market for Calumma is thriving, including species with long-standing zero quotas. Identification error rates varied widely, reaching high levels of error for some species pairs here identified as 'look-alike' species. Findings suggest manual identification technique has varying reliability, potentially resulting in misidentification by stakeholders within the trade. Such errors have negative consequences for both chameleon conservation and the long-term socio-economic development of Madagascar. An understanding of the patterns of identification error can help tailor future management and policy plans.

34

35

Keywords: accuracy; *Calumma*; chameleon; enforcement; Madagascar; wildlife trade

37

1. Introduction

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

Halting biodiversity loss is one of the ambitious objectives set by the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals agenda (United Nations 2015). Under this framework, concerns have been raised regarding the overexploitation in the wildlife trade (Robinson et al. 2015a). However, the aim of achieving sustainability in the wildlife trade has many challenges, one of which, identification uncertainty, has proven to be a major obstacle (Elphick 2008, Schlaepfer et al. 2005). Species misidentifications impact the accurate estimation of viable harvest levels for wildlife resources, as well as the detection of illegal trade. This can have serious negative impacts on the efficacy of management and policy plans (e.g. Beerkircher et al. 2009, Zhou et al. 2016). Scientific research on species identification has recently focused on reducing such biases by incorporating error rates in estimating population size (e.g. Lee et al. 2015, Runge et al. 2007) or by developing innovative tools to genetically identify traded wildlife products (e.g. Nithaniyal et al. 2016. Xiong et al. 2016). Further research is required to provide a greater understanding of the issues around misidentification, including levels of accuracy, and thus contribute to the prevention or reduction of errors (Elphick 2008, Shea et al. 2010). Such understandings of identification error rates among different stakeholders (e.g. Austen et al. 2016, White et al. 2014) as well as between different species (Austen 2018) helps decision makers foster the development of problem-adequate solutions (Gehring and Ruffing 2008). Under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), species that are threatened through international trade are listed on one of three appendices that are aimed at monitoring, regulating and if required banning trade in specific taxa (cites.org). As a result, the issuance of a permit by the management authority of a member State requires findings that the trade is not be detrimental to the species (i.e.

Non-Detriment Finding) and that the item in question has been legally acquired (i.e. Legal

Acquisition Finding). With this increased burden of proof and the cost associated with applying for a permit there may be an incentive for laundering as taxa that are less regulated. Due to the issues of misidentification and thus the potential for laundering, CITES applies the so-called 'look-alike' principle when it comes to listing taxa. Under the 'look-alike' principle, taxa deemed to look similar are listed together within the same Appendix if one or more of the taxa are threatened through international trade (CITES 2016). Specifically, under criterion A of Annex 2 b it states that species can be included in Appendix II if "The specimens of the species in the form in which they are traded resemble specimens of a species included in Appendix II ... or in Appendix I, so that enforcement officers who encounter specimens of CITES-listed species are unlikely to be able to distinguish between them" (CITES 2016). For example, the entire orchid family (Orchidaceae) was listed in the CITES appendices and account for over 70% of species listed under CITES. While some species of orchids, such as those within the genus Paphiopedilum (South East Asian slipper orchids), are serious threatened with extinction through the international horticulture trade, many other species are rarely if ever traded. However, due to the difficulty in identification of orchids, particularly when not in flower, the entire family was listed (Hinsley et al. 2017). While CITES provides a mechanism for monitoring, regulating and if required banning

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

trade in specific taxa, a mechanism exists through which international trade can be further regulated in the form of a quota system. Quota systems allow for the setting of annual export quotas for specific species, provided that the relevant member States produce adequate non-detrimental findings (CITES 2016). As a result, if concerns exist then the quota may be set at zero, effectively banning the trade. This has the potential to lead to laundering of these control species through those species for which a non-zero quota

exists. Currently it remains unclear to what extent the 'look-alike' principle is taken into consideration when setting quotas.

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

89

90

The trade in chameleons for the exotic pet and specialist reptile keeper market is a thriving global trade, which has led to concerns of overexploitation (Carpenter et al. 2004). Calumma, a genus of Malagasy chameleons, is diverse and taxonomically complex with new species continuing to be discovered through integrative taxonomy (Glaw 2015. Pröetzel et al. 2015). This increasing diversity in often cryptic species makes identification. challenging, particularly for non-specialists such as customs officers. The genus has a varied history of regulations under CITES, as well as through European Union (EU) opinions (Carpenter et al. 2004, UNEP-WCMC 2015). Listed on CITES Appendix II in 1977, the genus underwent a 19-year trade suspension when Madagascar failed to implement recommendations from the CITES Significant Trade Process (CITES 1995). After the suspension was withdrawn in 2014, a quota system was implemented through which species slowly entered the market under quota while others had a quota of zero (e.g. C. globifer). Due to the rapidly expanding trade in Calumma, illegal trade in wildsourced zero quota species has been reported (Todd 2011, UNEP-WCMC 2015, DL Roberts pers. obs.). The trade in Calumma, as well as other reptiles and amphibians, has important implication, not just to their conservation, but also in terms of collection of these species as a livelihood strategy (Robinson et al. 2018).

109

110

111

112

113

114

Here we use *Calumma* as a model system to explore the look-alike issue under a quota system. Specifically, we conduct a systematic survey of online trade to identify potential cases of illegal trade in zero quota species of *Calumma*. We then use match-mismatch experiment to compare the variation in error rate in identification between different species of *Calumma* with the aim of identify those species pairs that are most likely to be confused.

The results of the matching task are compared with identified cases of potential il	legal
trade.	

2. Materials and Methods

The study received ethical approval from the ethics committee of the School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent.

2.1 Systematic survey of online trade

An systematic survey of online trade was conducted over a 21-day period, between the 3rd and 23rd May 2017. The search terms '*Calumma*' and 'for' and 'sale' were entered into the Google search engine (google.co.uk), the first 200 resulting hits were scrutinised.

Snowball sampling was then used to further investigate the content of each websites identified through the systematic survey as containing trade for additional records. Details of the specimens found for sale (species and origin i.e. wild or captive bred) and characteristics of the sale (year of sale, country of sale, type of seller (i.e. private or commercial), website and price) were recorded. By consulting trade legislation through the UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre website Species+ (speciesplus.net), we identified whether the species offered for sale was subject to a zero-export quota. All sale prices were converted to US dollars using the exchange rate (xe.com) during the period of the study (July 2017). Median prices for legally and potentially illegally traded species were compared using a Mann-Whitney *U* test. All data were analysed in Microsoft Excel 2016 and IBM SPSS Statistics 24 package for Windows.

2.2 Match-mismatch experiment

Following the study of Austen (2018) on variation in error rate in identification between different species of bumblebee, images of 19 species of *Calumma*, representing 61.3% of

the genus, were collected through a search of online public websites. A matrix of all possible comparison combinations among the 19 species was developed. The species' selection depended on the availability of images of side viewed, adult, male specimens, as well as one colour morph for *C. parsonii*, to avoid biases. Due to the potential for errors in identification of images on public websites, an expert on chameleons was used to confirmed the identification to reduce this error as far as practically possible. A matchmismatch experiment was then designed in Microsoft PowerPoint 2016 (Supplementary Material 1). Participants were recruited from the University of Kent. After obtaining informed consent, participants were asked to provide basic demographic information and a self-assessment of experience in chameleon identification. The match-mismatch experiment consisted of 190 randomised stimuli, that is paired images of the same species (n=19) and of different species (n=171). On completion of the survey, participants were asked to indicate characteristics used in differentiating species. We then calculated the identification error rate for each stimulus, as well as the median (as data was not normally distributed) identification error rate among the presented species of *Calumma*. All analyses were conducted in Microsoft Excel 2016.

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

3. Results

3.1 Online trade in Calumma

The systematic survey of online trade identified 128 advertisements of *Calumma* for sale across 12 different websites; most were online forums (n=7) or commercial breeders and traders' websites (n=4). Most advertisements were from the USA (n=78, 60.9%), while others were from the UK (n=34, 26.6%) and Ukraine (n=2, 1.6%). A total of 13 *Calumma* species were found for sale, *C. parsonii* being the most common (n=68, 53.1%), followed by *C. oshaughnessyi* (n=18, 14.1%), *C. globifer* (n=11, 8.6%), *C. brevicorne* (n=8, 6.2%), *C. malthe* (n=6, 4.7%), *C. nasutum* (n=4, 3.1%), *C. gastrotaenia* (n=3, 2.3%), *C. boettgeri*

(n=2, 1.6%) and *C. crypticum*, *C. glawi*, *C. guillaumeti*, *C. hilleniusi* and *C. marojezense* (each n=1, 0.8%). Wild caught specimens (39.1%, n=50) were more common than captive bred (18.7%, n=24) and long-term captive (5.5%, n=7) specimens. A substantial number of advertisements (36.7%, n=47) did not report the source of the specimens. Only 6 advertisements (4.7%) mentioned CITES permits. According to information on quotas (speciesplus.net), *C. globifer* and *C. parsonii* were traded during years of trade suspension. We therefore identified 16 potentially questionable advertisements (12.5% of all advertisements), in which the source of the specimen for sale was not reported. In a further 16 advertisements, captive bred was reported as the source, although this cannot be confirmed. A Mann-Whitney *U* test showed sale prices for *C. globifer* (median = \$1,401.05, n=9), under zero quotas since 1996, were not significantly different from prices for *C. parsonii* (median=\$1,324.50, n=56, *U*=250.5, p=0.977), but significantly different from those for *C. oshaughnessyi* (median = \$600, n=16, *U*=29, p=0.140), *C. brevicorne* (median=\$400, n=7, *U*=6, p=0.005) and *C. nasutum* (median=\$175, n=4, *U*=0, p=0.003).

3.2 Species identification error rate

A total of 21 participants, of 13 different nationalities, all reporting good or corrected-to-normal vision, took part in the match-mismatch experiment. Nineteen participants reported having no experience in identifying chameleons, whereas two participants stated having a little experience of respectively 4 and 5 years. The median identification error rate for matching tasks was 14.3%, although error rates varied widely among paired images (Table 1). For example, 43 species pairs scored 0% for species identification error with no participant misidentifying these pair, whereas others had extremely high levels of misidentification error, scoring 95.3% (n=1, *C. globifer* and *C. ambreense*), 90.5% (n=5, *C. brevicorne* and *C. amber*, *C. brevicorne* and *C. crypticum*, *C. crypticum* and *C. amber*, *C. nasutum* and *C. fallax*, *C. oshaughnasseyi* and *C. marojezense*) and 76.2% (n=1, *C. nasutum* and *C. fallax*, *C. oshaughnasseyi* and *C. marojezense*) and 76.2% (n=1, *C. nasutum* and *C. fallax*, *C. oshaughnasseyi* and *C. marojezense*) and 76.2% (n=1, *C. nasutum* and *C. fallax*, *C. oshaughnasseyi* and *C. marojezense*) and 76.2% (n=1, *C. nasutum* and *C. fallax*, *C. oshaughnasseyi* and *C. marojezense*) and 76.2% (n=1, *C. nasutum* and *C. fallax*, *C. oshaughnasseyi* and *C. marojezense*)

globifer and *C. oshaughnasseyi*). Also, overall error rates were higher for mismatches (14.3%) than for matches (4.8%). Participants described the nose (90.5%, n=19) and head shape (90.5%, n=19) as the trait used in identification, followed by colour pattern (57.2%, n=12), body shape (42.8%, n=9), presence of spikes (28.6%, n=6) and feet shape (23.8%, n=5). Most participants (52.4%, n=11) stated mixed sexes and different life stages were presented during the task.

4. Discussion

Only three years after the lifting of the trade suspension on *Calumma*, there has been a rapid growth in the trade of *Calumma* species under quotas totalling 9 species (*C. boettgeri, C. brevicorne, C. gastrotaenia, C. guillaumeti, C. malthe, C. marojezense, C. nasutum, C. oshaughnessyi, C. parsonii*). The USA remains the main importer, while Ukraine has been suggested to constitute a route for illegal wildlife trade to access the European market (Carpenter et al. 2004, UNEP-WCMC 2015). We identified species that are subject to a zero quota (speciesplus.net) but for which trade was identified through an online systematic survey. Most notably, *C. globifer* was found in trade during years in which a zero quota was set and held a significant market value, with prices comparable with those for the highly desirable *C. parsonii*. Given the presence of an open online trade in species of questionable origin, greater effort in the monitoring of such trade is required (Harrison et al. 2016, Hinsley et al. 2016).

The question remains as to why zero-quota species are appearing in trade.

Misidentification among *Calumma* species appears to be heterogeneous, with particularly high error rates among certain species, here identified as 'look-alike' species. Variation in species misidentification using the same methodology has previously been shown to occur for bumblebees, with potential implications for selecting target species in pollinator surveys

(Austen 2018). In the case of <i>Calumma</i> , we suggest that zero quota species recorded in
the online trade could be attributed to two, potentially co-occurring, factors. One is
accidental collection of non-target species due to errors in identification. The other is
deliberate laundering (Xiong et al. 2016). In the case of C. globifer, which was found in the
trade but with zero quota, it had high levels of misidentification with two species that have
quotas, C. oshaughnessyi and C. parsonii (76.2% and 61.9% misidentification respective).
However, C. globifer is only sympatric with C. parsonii, therefore misidentification could
occur during collection of C. parsonii. The high market demand for the larger species of
chameleons, such as C. parsonii, may incentivize traders to illegally export specimens of
morphologically similar species, such as C. globifer. This is particularly of concern when
two species with a high rate of misidentification (e.g. 76.2% for C. globifer vs C.
oshaughnessyi) also have significant disparity in price (e.g. C. globifer median price =
\$1,401.05 vs <i>C. oshaughnessyi</i> median price = \$600). Future research could take
advantage of market research methods (e.g. Hinsley et al. 2015) to further analyse the
consumption behaviour of importing countries, such as the demand for either
morphologically similar or dissimilar species, thus evaluating desirable traits (e.g. body
size, colour patterns, ornamentation, skin softness, behaviour, rarity, Angulo et al. 2009).
Capacity building through targeted training may help reduce incidences of
misidentification, particularly among customs officers, as well as other stakeholders.
Match-mismatch experiments as well as other methods from psychology have the potential
to provide a more rigorous test base for training, beyond mere gestalt of those providing
the training. Training will, however, only be successful if good governance is in place
(Ewers and Smith 2007, Gehring and Ruffing 2008). Beyond training, the application of
such methodologies can also help provide a stronger evidence base for listing species on
CITES appendices under the look-alike principle, as well as managing the guota system.

In sum misidentification cannot be ruled out as a cause of zero-quota species entering the
wildlife trade and the extent to which CITES export quota systems take into account the
issue of 'look-alike' taxa remains unclear. Taxonomic complexity of the genus Calumma
constitute an obstacls to effective enforcement of CITES quotas. Both unintentional
substitution or intentional laundering of zero quota species risks overexploitation. For
example, here we found that <i>C. parsonii</i> , for which as quota exists, was frequently
misidentified with C. globifer, a species with a zero quota but appearing in trade. As both
species co-occur in nature, misidentification could have occurred during the process of
collection. However, C. globifer was most frequently misidentified with the significantly
cheaper C. oshaughnessyi, indicating a financial incentive to launder within the quota
system. It is important to note that a recent Review of Significant Trade suggested wild
populations of <i>C. globifer</i> cannot sustain collection for the pet trade (Jenkins et al. 2010).
Based on our survey of online trade, the limited availability of captive bred specimens, due
to a zero quotas policy since 1995, and the comparatively higher mortality rates of
chameleons in captivity (Robinson et al. 2015b), suggest supply is likely to rely on wild
sourcing. We therefore suggest a precautionary approach be applied to future decisions to
relaxation of the quotas to avoid unexpected and detrimental consequences. An
understanding of heterogeneity in identification error rates among Calumma species
(Table 1) provides a useful, adaptable framework for effective management and policy
plans related to changes in the quota. Further the matrix of identification error rates
presented here can help inform more targeted future training of customs officers and other
stakeholders. However, with an increase in taxonomic complexity (Isaac et al. 2004),
manual identification is likely to remain error prone (Alenezi et al. 2014, Austen 2018,
Austen et al. 2016). Machine learning solutions using image processing or DNA barcoding

270	techniques could support more robust identifications by stakeholders (Nithaniyal et al.
271	2016, Sacchi et al. 2010, Xiong et al. 2016).
272	
273	6. Acknowledgments
274	We would like to thank Dr. Angus Carpenter for information on the trade in chameleons in
275	Madagascar, Dr. Gail Austen for advice on match-mismatch experimental methods and Dr.
276	Christopher Anderson of the IUCN/SCC Chameleon Specialist Group for the identification
277	of images of chameleons and for reading an earlier draft.
278	
279	7. References
280	Alenezi, H., Bindemann, M., Fysh, M., Johnston, R. (2015). Face matching in a long task:
281	enforced rest and desk-switching cannot maintain identification accuracy. PeerJ, 3,
282	e1184. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.1184.
283	Angulo, E., Deves, A., Saint Jalmes, M., Courchamp, F. (2009). Fatal attraction: rare
284	species in the spotlight. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 276, 1331-1337.
285	Austen, G.E. (2018). Accuracy, error and bias in species identification. Doctor of
286	Philosophy (PhD) thesis, University of Kent.
287	Austen, G., Bindemann, M., Griffiths, R., Roberts, D. (2016). Species identification by
288	experts and non-experts: comparing images from field guides. Scientific Reports, 6,
289	33634.
290	Beerkircher, L., Arocha, F., Barse, A., Prince, E., Restrepo, V., Serafy, J., Shivji, M.
291	(2009). Effects of species misidentification on population assessment of overfished
292	white marlin Tetrapturus albidus and roundscale spearfish T. georgii. Endangered
293	Species Research, 9, 81-90.

	A COEPTED A CAMPIA CONTROL
294	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT Carpenter, A., Robson, O., Rowcliffe, M., Watkinson, A. (2004). The impacts of
295	international and national governance changes on a traded resource: a case study of
296	Madagascar and its chameleon trade. Biological Conservation, 123, 279-287.
297	Carpenter, A., Rowcliffe, J., Watkinson, A. (2004). The dynamics of the global trade in
298	chameleons. Biological Conservation, 120, 291-301.
299	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora
300	(CITES) (1995). Significant Trade in Animal Species Included in Appendix II.
301	Notification 833. CITES. Available from
302	https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/notif/1995/833.txt (accessed July 2017).
303	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora
304	(CITES) (2016). Criteria for amendment of Appendices I and II. Resolution Conf. 9.24
305	(Rev. CoP17). CITES. Available from https://cites.org/sites/default/files/document/E-
306	Res-09-24-R17.pdf (accessed July 2017).
307	Cochrane, K. (2015). Use and misuse of CITES as a management tool for commercially-
308	exploited aquatic species. Marine Policy, 59, 16-31.
309	Elphick, C. (2008). How you count counts: the importance of methods research in applied
310	ecology. Journal of Applied Ecology, 45, 1313-1320.
311	Ewers, R., Smith, R. (2007). Choice of index determines the relationship between
312	corruption and environmental sustainability. Ecology and Society, 12, r2.
313	Gehring, T., Ruffing, E. (2008). When arguments prevail over power: the CITES procedure
314	for the listing of endangered species. Global Environmental Politics, 8, 123-148.
315	Glaw, F. (2015). Taxonomic checklist of chameleons (Squamata:Chamaleonidae).
316	Vertebrate Zoology, 65, 167-246.

Harrison, J., Roberts, D.L., Hernandez-Castro, J. (2016). Assessing the extent and nature

of wildlife trade on the dark web. Conservation Biology, 30, 900-904.

317

- Hinsley, A. de Boer, H, Fay, M., W. Gale, S., Gardiner, L., S. Gunasekara, R., Kumar, P.,
- Masters, S., Metusala, D., Roberts, D.L., Veldman, S., Wong, S., Phelps, J. (2017). A
- review of the trade in orchids and its implications for conservation. *Botanical Journal of*
- 322 the Linnean Society, 186, 435-455.
- Hinsley, A., Lee, T., Harrison, J., Roberts, D.L. (2016). Estimating the extent and structure
- of trade in horticultural orchids via social media. *Conservation* Biology, 30, 1038-1047.
- Hinsley, A., Verissimo, D., Roberts, D.L. (2015). Heterogeneity in consumer preferences
- for orchids in international trade and the potential for the use of market research
- methods to study demand for wildlife. *Biological Conservation*, 190, 80-86.
- Isaac, N., Mallet, J., Mace, G. (2004). Taxonomic inflation: its influence on macroecology
- and conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 19, 464-469.
- Jenkins, R., Randrianantoandro, J., Elisoa, H. (2010). Conservation status of Malagasy
- chameleons (Furcifer and Calumma) and compliance with CITES Article IV. A report to
- the CITES Scientific Authority for Animals in Madagascar. *Madagasikara Voakajy and*
- 333 DICE. Available from http://www.darwininitiative.org.uk/documents/17010/21641/17-
- 334 <u>010%20AR1%20Ann1a%20Report%20to%20CITES.pdf</u> (accessed July 2017).
- Lee, T., Black, S., Fellous, A., Yamaguchi, N., Angelici, F., Al Hikmani, H., Reed,
- M., Elphick, C., Roberts, D.L. (2015). Assessing uncertainty in sighting records: an
- example of the Barbary lion. *PeerJ*, 3, e1224. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.1224.
- Nithaniyal, S., Vassou, S., Poovitha, S., Raju, B., Parani, M. (2016). Identification of
- species adulteration in traded medicinal plant raw drugs using DNA barcoding.
- 340 *Genome*, 60, 139-146.
- Pröetzel, D., Ruthensteiner, B., Scherz, M. D., Glaw, F. (2015). Systematic revision of the
- Malagasy chameleons *Calumma boettgeri* and *C. linotum* (Squamata:
- 343 Chamaeleonidae). *Zootaxa*, 4048(2), 211-231.

	L COEDEED A CLASSIC OD IDE
344	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT Robinson, J., Griffiths, R., Fraser, I., Raharimalala, J., Roberts, D., St John, F. (2018).
345	Supplying the wildlife trade as a livelihood strategy in a biodiversity hotspot. Ecology
346	and Society, 23(1).
347	Robinson, J., Griffiths, R., St John, F., Roberts, D.L. (2015). Dynamics of the global trade
348	in live reptiles: Shifting trends in production and consequences for sustainability.
349	Biological Conservation, 18, 42-50.
350	Robinson, J., St John, F., Griffiths, R., Roberts, D.L. (2015). Captive reptile mortality rates
351	in the home and implications for the wildlife trade. PLoS One, 10, e0141460. DOI:
352	10.1371/journal.pone.0141460.
353	Runge, J., Hines, J., Nichols, J. (2007). Estimating species-specific survival and
354	movement when species identification is uncertain. Ecology, 88, 282-287.
355	Sacchi, R., Scali, S., Pellitteri-Rosa, D., F., Gentilli, A., Tettamanti, S., Cavigioli, L., Racina
356	L., Maiocchi, V., Galeotti, P., Fasola, M. (2010). Photographic identification in reptiles:
357	a matter of scales. Amphibia-Reptilia, 31, 489-502.
358	Schlaepfer, M., Hoover, C., Dodd, C. (2005). Challenges in evaluating the impact of the
359	trade in amphibians and reptiles on wild populations. BioScience, 55, 256-264.
360	Shea, C.P., Peterson, J.T., Wisniewski, J.M., Johnson, N.A. (2011). Misidentification of
361	freshwater mussel species (Bivalvia:Unionidae): contributing factors, management
362	implications, and potential solutions. Journal of the North American Benthological
363	Society, 30, 446-458.
364	Todd, M. (2011). Trade in Malagasy reptiles and amphibians in Thailand. TRAFFIC
365	Southeast Asia, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia. Available from:
366	https://www.traffic.org/site/assets/files/2696/trade_in_malagasy_reptiles_amphibians_
367	eport.pdf (accessed March 2019).
368	United Nations (UN) (2015). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable

Development. A/RES/70/1. United Nations, General Assembly. Available from

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
370	http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1⟪=E (accessed
371	July 2017).
372	United Nations Environment World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC)
373	(2015). Review of Calumma and Furcifer species from Madagascar. Species subject
374	to increased quotas in 2014 following removal of long-standing CITES and EU
375	suspensions. UNEP-WCMC, Cambridge. Available from
376	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/reports/SRG72_11%20Review%20of%20Cal
377	umma%20and%20Furcifer%20from%20Madagascar.pdf (accessed March 2017).
378	White, D., Kemp, R., Jenkins, R., Matheson, M., Burton, M. (2014). Passport officers'
379	errors in face matching. <i>PLoS One</i> , 9, e103510. DOI:10.1371/journal. pone.0103510.
380	Xiong, X., Guardone, L., Cornax, M., Tinacci, L., Guidi, A., Gianfaldoni, D., Armani, A.
381	(2016). DNA barcoding reveals substitution of Sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria) with
382	Patagonian and Antarctic Toothfish (Dissostichus eleginoides and Dissostichus
383	mawsoni) in online market in China: How mislabeling opens door to IUU fishing. Food
384	Control, 70, 380-391.
385	Zhou, Z., Newman, C., Buesching, C., Meng, X., Macdonald, D., Zhou, Y. (2016). Revised
386	taxonomic binomials jeopardize protective wildlife legislation. Conservation Letters, 9,
387	313-315.
388	

8.	Tables	and	Figures	with	Captions
----	---------------	-----	----------------	------	-----------------

Table 1. Identification error rates among 19 species of *Calumma*, calculated as the percentage of the number of wrong answers given per stimulus, divided by the number of participants^{1, 2}

Species ³	C. amb	C. ambr	C. and	C. boe	C. bre	C. cry	C. cuc	C. fal	C. fur	C. gal	C. gas	C. gla	C. glo	C. hil	C. mal	C. mar	C. nas	C. osh	C. par
C. amb	23.8%											7							
C. ambr	23.8%	4.8%								Α.									
C. and	14.3%	19.1%	14.3%																
C. boe	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					,										
C. bre	90.5%	19.1%	0.0%	23.8%	4.8%					7-7									
C. cry	90.5%	52.4%	0.0%	4.8%	90.5%	14.2%				<i>y</i>									
C. cuc	9.5%	4.8%	28.6%	0.0%	19.1%	4.8%	0.0%		7										
C. fal	14.3%	28.6%	9.5%	52.4%	9.5%	38.1%	14.3%	52.4%											
C. fur	0.0%	19.1%	9.5%	40.8%	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	19.1%	0.0%										
C. gal	4.8%	0.0%	4.8%	19.1%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	4.8%	4.8%									
C. gas	23.8%	23.8%	80.9%	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	19.1%	33.3%	4.8%	0.0%								
C. gla	0.0%	28.6%	71.4%	0.0%	4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	23.8%	14.3%	4.8%	57.1%	9.5%							
C. glo	33.3%	95.3%	28.6%	0.0%	33.3%	42.9%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%	4.8%						
C. hil	47.6%	38.1%	14.3%	14.3%	19.1%	14.3%	19.1%	4.8%	9.5%	0.0%	33.3%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%					
C. mal	52.4%	9.5%	0.0%	23.8%	57.1%	61.9%	14.3%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%				
C. mar	0.0%	0.0%	23.8%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	38.1%	9.5%	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%	19.1%			
C. nas	14.3%	23.8%	9.5%	61.9%	33.3%	42.9%	38.1%	90.5%	9.5%	9.5%	23.8%	14.3%	23.8%	19.1%	28.6%	9.5%	38.1%		
C. osh	47.6%	61.9%	19.1%	9.5%	52.4%	66.7%	0.0%	14.3%	19.1%	0.0%	4.8%	9.5%	76.2%	9.5%	14.3%	90.5%	19.1%	0.0%	8
C. par	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	23.8%	14.2%	23.8%	4.8%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	61.9%	4.8%	19.1%	0.0%	23.8%	42.9%	0.0%

	ME	D M D	THE LOCAL			100.00	TO	TDI	OR VALUE
$\Delta \alpha$				-N/I	Λ	\mathbf{N}			

396	¹ Identification error rates have been classified as low if below 25% (white), medium-low i
397	comprised between 25 and 50% (light grey), medium-high if comprised between 50 and
398	75% (dark grey) and high if above 75% (black).
399	² Species for which trade is allowed under a quota system are highlighted
400	³ Species abbreviations: <i>C. amb = C. amber, C. ambr = C. ambreense; C. and = C.</i>
401	andringitraense; C. boe = C. boettgeri; C. bre = C. brevicorne; C. cry = C. crypticum; C.
402	cuc = C. cucullatum; C. fal = C. fallax; C. fur = C. furcifer, C. gal = C. gallus; C. gas = C.
403	gastrotaenia; C. gla = C. glawi; C. glo = C. globifer, C. hil = C. hilleniusi; C. mal = C.
404	malthe; C. mar = C. marojezense; C. nas = C. nasutum; C. osh = C. oshaughnessyi; C.
405	par = C. parsonii

407	9. Supplementary materials	
408	Supplementary Material 1. Questionnaire-based survey, consisting of a demographic	
409	research, an assessment of experience in chameleon's identification and a photographic	
410	matching task, for which an example of matching and mismatching stimuli is here	
411	presented.	
412		
413	Calumma Chameleons Photographic Matching Task	
414	Welcome to the survey!	
415		
416	My name is XXX and I am a Conservation and International Wildlife Trade MSc student at	
417	the University of Kent in the UK, researching wildlife trade. Your response to this survey	
418	will form an important contribution towards my research and is very much appreciated.	
419		
420	The survey is designed to preserve respondents' anonymity. Please answer questions in	
421	the knowledge that you are doing so as an anonymous contributor.	
422		
423	Collated (anonymous) survey outcomes may be submitted for publication in peer-reviewed	
424	scientific journals.	
425		
426	Once started, you have the option to withdraw from completing the survey at any time.	
427		
428	Question 1) Please select the YES option in question number one to confirm:	
429	You have read and understood why this survey is being conducted	
430	You understand that data from it may be published	
431	You understand that you may withdraw from survey completion at any time	
432	You are aged 18 years or over	

433	You consent to complete this survey
434	Alternatively, please select the NO option should you NOT wish to complete the survey.
435	
436	Question 2) To which sex do you assign yourself?
437	• Female
438	Male
439	• Other
440	Decline to specify
441	
442	Question 3) What age bracket do you belong to?
443	• 18 to 24
444	• 25 to 34
445	• 35 to 44
446	• 45 to 54
447	• 55 to 64
448	• 65 to 74
449	• 75 or older
450	Decline to specify
451	
452	Question 4) What is your nationality?
453	
454	Question 5) Do you consider yourself to have a normal vision?
455	• Yes
456	No, but my vision is corrected to normal with glasses or contact lenses
457	No. I have a visual impairment

458 Question 6) Please select which of the below best describes your experience in 459 460 identifying chameleons: No experience 461 Little experience 462 Some experience 463 Experienced 464 465 Competent 466 Question 7) If you have any experience, approximately how many years have you being 467 identifying chameleons for? 468 **Photographic Matching Task** 469 470 You will now be shown a series of pictures consisting in two photographs of Calumma chameleons. 471 You will be asked to tell if these represent the same species. 472 473 Please note that this is a **forward only** survey. You will NOT be able to modify your answers once moved forward. 474 475 Example match (C. oshaughnessyi) 476 Image of C. oshaughnessyi Image of C. oshaughnessyi 477 Do you think that the above photographs represent the **same species**? 478 479 Yes

480

No

481 • I do not know

482

483 Example mismatch (*C. nasutum* vs *C. brevicorne*)

Image of <i>C. nasutum</i>	Image of <i>C. brevicorne</i>

484

- Do you think that the above photographs represent the **same species**?
- 486 Yes
- 487 No
- 488 I do not know