



# Kent Academic Repository

**Kelly, David J., Liu, S., Ge, L., Quinn, P. C., Slater, A. M., Lee, K., Liu, Q. and Pascalis, O. (2007) *Cross-Race Preferences for Same-Race Faces Extend Beyond the African Versus Caucasian Contrast in 3-Month-Old Infants*. *Infancy*, 11 (1). pp. 87-95. ISSN 1525-0008.**

## Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/70889/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

## The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15250000709336871>

## This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

## DOI for this version

## Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

## Additional information

## Versions of research works

### Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

### Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

## Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact [ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).

Running Head: CROSS-RACE PREFERENCE FOR SAME-RACE FACES IN INFANTS

Cross-race Preferences for Same-race Faces

Extend Beyond the African versus Caucasian Contrast in 3-month-old Infants

David J. Kelly<sup>1</sup>, Shaoying Liu<sup>2</sup>, Liezhong Ge<sup>2</sup>, Paul C. Quinn<sup>3</sup>, Alan M. Slater<sup>4</sup>, Kang Lee<sup>5</sup>,  
Qinyao Liu<sup>2</sup> & Olivier Pascalis<sup>1</sup>

1- Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, UK

2- Department of Psychology, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, P.R. CHINA

3- Department of Psychology, University of Delaware, USA

4- School of Psychology, University of Exeter, UK

5- Department of Psychology, University of Toronto, CANADA

Correspondence to: David Kelly, Department of Psychology, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TP, UK.

Email: david.kelly@sheffield.ac.uk

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by NIH grants HD-46526 and HD-42451, Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education, and an ESRC studentship awarded to David Kelly.

## Abstract

A visual preference procedure was used to examine preferences among faces of different races/ethnicities (African, Asian, Caucasian, and Middle Eastern) in Chinese 3-month-old infants exposed only to Chinese faces. The infants demonstrated a preference for faces from their own ethnic group. Alongside previous results showing that Caucasian infants exposed only to Caucasian faces prefer same-race faces (Kelly et al., 2005) and that Caucasian and African infants exposed only to native faces prefer the same- over the other-race faces (Bar-Haim et al., 2006), the present findings (1) extend the same-race preference observed in young infants to a new race of infants (Chinese), and (2) show that cross-race preferences for same-race faces extend beyond the perceptually robust contrast between African and Caucasian faces.

## Cross-race Preferences for Same-race Faces

## Extend Beyond the African versus Caucasian Contrast in 3-month-old Infants

It has been reported recently in two separate studies that 3-month-old infants demonstrate a visual preference for faces from their own ethnic group when paired with faces from other ethnic groups. Using a standard visual preference task, Kelly, Quinn, Slater, Lee, Gibson, Smith, Ge, and Pascalis (2005) found that English Caucasian infants preferred to look at Caucasian faces when paired with African, Chinese, or Middle Eastern faces. Using the same paradigm, Bar-Haim, Ziv, Lamy, and Hodes (2006) found a cross-race visual preference in Israeli and Ethiopian infants with Israeli infants showing a visual preference for Caucasian faces and Ethiopian infants preferring to look at the faces from their racial group. Crucially, Bar-Haim et al. (2006) also tested a population of Israeli born infants of Ethiopian parentage recruited from an absorption centre in Israel where intensive exposure to both Caucasians and Africans was experienced. These 3-month-old infants demonstrated no preference for either the Caucasian or African faces. Kelly et al. (2005) also found that newborn Caucasian infants did not present the own-race preference, which was observed in the 3-month-old population. The lack of preference for either own- or other-race faces in newborns indicates that the infant's face representation may be "ethnically unspecified" at birth, but is subsequently shaped according to the ethnicity of faces viewed within the visual environment. This possibility of an unspecified face representation at birth is supported by the finding that 3-month-old infants also demonstrate a visual preference for faces that match the gender of their primary caregiver, whether this is male or female (Quinn, Yahr, Kuhn, Slater, & Pascalis, 2002). Although newborns' spontaneous preference for male or female faces has yet

to be tested, the fact that infants show a preference for the gender of their primary caregiver at 3 months of age suggests that the faces in the visual environment impact upon the infants' face representation during early development.

Further evidence of the infant's face representation being shaped by the visual environment is that 6-month-old infants are able to discriminate between both human and monkey faces, but the ability to discriminate monkey faces has diminished by 9 months of age (Pascalis, de Haan, & Nelson, 2002). However, passive exposure to monkey faces during the 3 month period when the ability naturally declines is sufficient to maintain the infant's ability to discriminate between monkey faces (Pascalis, Scott, Kelly, Shannon, Nicholson, Coleman, & Nelson, 2005). It is also important to note that although the face processing system appears to undergo a period of refinement during this time of life, it does not become 'fixed'. This is attested to by the finding that Korean adults who were adopted by French families during their childhood (aged 3-9 years) demonstrated the same discrimination deficit for Korean faces shown by the native French population (Sangrigoli, Pallier, Argenti, Ventureyra, & de Schonen, 2005). This finding is highly indicative of a face representation that remains flexible throughout both infancy and childhood. Although the face representation emerges early in life based on differential experience, it appears to retain its plasticity until at least 9 years of age.

It is well established that adults typically find it easier to recognize faces from their own-racial group, as opposed to faces from other-racial groups. This is commonly known as the 'other-race effect' (see Meissner & Brigham, 2001 for a review). Despite a relative paucity of developmental literature investigating the 'other-race effect' (ORE), available data suggests that the effect emerges reasonably early in life. However, the precise age of onset remains ambiguous with reports

ranging from 8 years (Chance, Turner, & Goldstein, 1982), to 6 years (Feinman & Entwistle, 1976), to 5 years (Pedzek, Blandon-Gitlin, & Moore, 2003) and 3 years (Sangrigoli & de Schonen, 2004a). Also, one report (Goldstein & Chance, 1980) failed to find any evidence of the ORE in children aged 6-12, but a very large effect with adults tested using the same stimuli. A larger effect has also been reported in Caucasian children living in segregated as opposed to integrated communities (Cross, Cross, & Daly, 1971; Feinman & Entwistle, 1976). In addition, Sangrigoli and de Schonen (2004b) have provided evidence of the ORE in 3-month-old Caucasian infants using a Visual Paired-Comparison (VPC) task. However, they also found the effect could be removed if the infants were habituated for just two minutes to three other-race face exemplars, as opposed to one. Although the other-race effect may be present early in life, it appears to exhibit plasticity.

While the own-race face preference demonstrated by Kelly et al. (2005) and Bar-Haim et al. (2006) is obviously not a demonstration of the ORE, the possibility that the two phenomena (own-race face preference and superior own-race face recognition) are interrelated cannot be dismissed. Quinn et al. (2002) reported that the same population of infants who showed a visual preference for female faces also demonstrated a recognition deficit for male faces, but not female faces, as measured by the VPC task. Therefore, it is conceivable that own-race preference and the ORE may be related to each other in an as yet unidentified way. We will return to this point in the discussion.

A limitation of the findings reported by Kelly et al. (2005) is that infants from only a single ethnic group were tested. And although Bar-Haim et al. (2006) have subsequently shown that an own-race preference at 3 months of age is not restricted to Caucasian infants, their findings are limited by the use of faces from only two ethnic

groups; the own-race group and a contrast group. Moreover, the contrast tested, that between African and Caucasian faces, is arguably the most perceptually salient race difference between ethnic categories of faces. One can ask whether cross-race differences in the preference for same-race faces at 3 months of age will be observed for race contrasts that are perceptually not as salient. The aims of the current study were to investigate whether an own-race preference could be observed in infants from yet another ethnic group and if that preference would be found when own-race faces were paired with faces from a range of ethnic groups.

To assess spontaneous preference for own- versus other-race faces, a Visual-Preference (VP) task identical to the design of Experiment 2 from Kelly et al. (2005) was used. In order to further assess the generality of the own-race preference found in Caucasian and Ethiopian infants, a Chinese 3-month-old population was tested. Given the findings already reported (Bar-Haim et al., 2006; Kelly et al., 2005), it was predicted that the Chinese infants should demonstrate a preference for faces from their own-ethnic group. The use of stimuli from multiple ethnic groups should provide additional information regarding the extent of the preference for own-race faces, in particular, whether it can be observed for face contrasts that are perceptually subtler than the Caucasian versus African contrast.

## Method

### *Participants*

Participants were 3-month-old, healthy Chinese infants who were recruited at Zhejiang Children's Hospital, Hangzhou, China. The children were all Han Chinese, the majority race in Zhejiang (99%). In total, 64 full-term 3-month-old infants (40 males) of normal birth weight were included in the final sample. A further 17 infants

were excluded due to side bias (>95% of time spent looking at one face from the pairing;  $n = 11$ ) or fussiness ( $n = 6$ ). The foreign population in Hangzhou is extremely low and all mothers reported that their infant had received no contact with people from outside of China.

### *Stimuli*

The stimuli were 32 color images of male and female adult faces (age range 25-29) from four distinct ethnic groups (Chinese, Caucasian, Middle Eastern, and African) which were paired as follows: Chinese / Caucasian; Chinese / Middle Eastern; Chinese / African; and Chinese / Chinese. Examples of stimuli from all ethnic categories are presented in Figure 1. All pictures were taken with a Canon S50 digital camera. Using Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA), all faces were cropped to remove the neck and background detail from the original image. They were then mounted on a uniform dark grey background. All stimuli were resized identically to ensure uniformity. Face from all ethnic groups were rated on a scale of 1-10 for attractiveness and distinctiveness by 8 Chinese (4 male; 4 female) independent observers and 8 Caucasian (4 male; 4 female) and subsequently paired to equate for gender, attractiveness, and distinctiveness.

### *Procedure*

All infants were tested in a quiet room at the Zhejiang Children's Hospital, Hangzhou, China. Infants were seated on their mother's lap approximately 60 cm away from a 42" Toshiba plasma television on which the images were displayed. All mothers were instructed to fixate centrally above the screen and to remain quiet during testing. Each infant was randomly assigned to one of the four ethnic pairing conditions, with 16 infants in each condition. Because infants participated in only one condition, they were exposed to the same number of own- and other-race faces. This

feature of the experimental design prevented a potential within-experiment familiarity preference from developing across trials. Each infant was shown two face pairings, one male and one female. The presentation of slides was counterbalanced for gender and left/right positioning of images across trials.

When displayed on the television all images measured 18cm x 18cm ( $14^{\circ}$  visual angle) and were positioned side-by-side separated with a 9 cm gap. Each pair of images was displayed until 10 seconds of fixation time had elapsed. Therefore, the total presentation time varied between both infants and face pairings, with the shortest time being 10 seconds and the longest being 18 seconds. The average length of each trial was 12.7 seconds. If the infant spent 10 seconds looking away from the projected images, the trial was terminated. Between each image pairing, a blank screen was presented for 5 seconds or until the infant moved their eyes from the final point of fixation from the previous trial. A colour CCD camera (specialized for low light conditions) was used to film the infant's eye fixations. This was displayed to the experimenters during recording on a computer monitor. Eye fixations were recorded and later analyzed frame-by-frame by two independent observers on a computer using specialized software. The average level of inter-observer agreement was high (Pearson  $r = .91$ ).

## Results

Preliminary examination of the data revealed no significant gender differences for stimuli or participants, so the data were combined for further analysis. A paired-samples two-tailed  $t$  test conducted on the total time spent looking at Chinese vs. other-race faces yielded a highly significant result: overall, the infants attended more

to Chinese than other-race faces (62.64% and 37.36%, respectively,  $t = 6.543$ ,  $df = 47$ ,  $p < .0001$ ). To investigate whether the Chinese preference was represented within each of the three contrasting ethnicity conditions, further  $t$  tests were conducted. Paired samples two-tailed  $t$  tests yielded significant preferences in all conditions: Chinese / Caucasian (61.31% and 38.69%;  $t = 3.084$ ,  $df = 15$ ,  $p < .008$ ), Chinese / Middle Eastern (64.05% and 35.95%;  $t = 4.527$ ,  $df = 15$ ,  $p < .0004$ ), Chinese / African (62.56% and 37.44%;  $t = 3.657$ ,  $df = 15$ ,  $p < .002$ ). In addition, a one-way between groups analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed that the preference for Chinese faces did not differ significantly between any of the three conditions,  $F(2, 45) = .247$ ,  $p = .782$ . Finally, a paired samples two-tailed  $t$  test revealed that the infants displayed a null preference in the Chinese / Chinese condition (looking times of 50.63% and 49.37%, respectively,  $t = .193$ ,  $df = 15$ ,  $p = .85$ ).

## Discussion

The results clearly demonstrate that like 3-month-old English, Israeli, and Ethiopian infants, Chinese 3-month-old infants show a spontaneous preference to look at faces from their own-ethnic group when paired with other-race faces. Furthermore, the preference for own-race faces is both significant and consistent across a range of distinct ethnic face pairings. The importance of a preference for own-race faces regardless of the ethnicity of the face with which they are paired should not be understated. Finding a preference for Chinese faces when paired with African faces is not altogether unexpected as the contrast difference between faces from the two categories is apparent (see Kelly et al., 2005 for full analysis of physical contrast differences). However, the fact that this preference persists regardless of the extent to

which the own- and other-race faces differ in terms of skin tone or physiognomy highlights the robust nature of the preference. The preference for the Chinese faces over the Middle Eastern and Caucasian faces is particularly noteworthy inasmuch as the physical contrast differences between these categories of faces were minimal.

Taken together with the findings from Kelly et al. (2005) and Bar-Haim et al. (2006), a clearer understanding of the early developmental origins of racial categorization is beginning to emerge. Kelly et al. reported that the own-race face preference is not present at birth, but has developed by 3 months of age. This finding led the authors to speculate that human perceptions of ethnic, physiognomic differences are learned and likely to be a consequence of the faces encountered in the visual environment during development. The results of Bar-Haim et al. (2006) have provided additional support for this hypothesis in three ways. Firstly, by finding the same result as Kelly et al. (2005) with a Caucasian population in a different geographical location; secondly, by finding an own-race preference in Ethiopian infants primarily exposed to own-race faces; and thirdly, by showing that infants who receive exposure to two different ethnic groups fail to elicit a preference for faces from either of those groups. The data reported in the current study further validate the hypothesis by extending the own-race preference to another distinct ethnic group and by confirming that a preference for an own-race face is observed regardless of the ethnicity of the face with which it is paired.

The evidence from the current study along with that reported in Kelly et al. (2005) and Bar-Haim et al. (2006) suggests that visual preferences for faces of different races appears to be modifiable depending on the races of the faces encountered in the natural rearing environment of the infant. As observed in the introduction, it is possible that own-race preference and the superior recognition for

own-race faces are related and perhaps governed by common mechanisms. On this basis, we can speculate that the early own-race preference may not be as rigid as certain other experience-dependent developmental phenomena that have critical periods, and it may be reduced when an individual encounters faces of another race. For example, the data reported in Sangrigoli and de Schonen (2004b) indicate that superior performance for same-race faces in a recognition memory task context (i.e., the ORE) can be modified on the basis of laboratory training experience. Moreover, the apparent flexibility of the ORE effect in 3-month-olds is consistent with findings reported in adults. That is, although the ORE has been consistently demonstrated in adults, it has also been shown to be reducible with minimal training (Elliott, Wills, & Goldstein, 1973; Lavrakas, Buri, & Mayzner, 1976) and that the benefits of such training can last for at least 5 months (Goldstein & Chance, 1985). It is important to note that the ORE has not been wholly negated when training has been administered, but the fact that it can be reduced with brief exposure to other-race faces lends support to both the flexibility of the face representation in adulthood and the notion of an experience-dependent face processing system during development.

Although there are several ways to account for how preference and recognition may be related when perceiving the race of faces, we tentatively suggest that a plausible scenario for the emergence of the ORE is as follows: predominant exposure to faces from a single racial group leads to greater visual attention towards those faces which in turn produces superior face recognition abilities with faces from that group and poorer recognition abilities with faces from racial groups that are not frequently viewed in the visual environment. The visual preference for same-race faces based on differential exposure might thus be viewed as a mediating variable in the superior recognition of same-race faces (i.e., the ORE). Moreover, if visual

attention is considered to be a finite capacity (e.g., Norman & Bobrow, 1975), it is even possible that a requisite of a face processing system operating at optimal proficiency is that it must be limited to only a single face category (e.g. Caucasian). Alternatively, it could be argued that if an individual (e.g. a child with parents of different ethnicity) is heavily exposed to more than one face category during development, they will attain expertise with faces from both categories. However, in most individuals, the ability to efficiently process faces from unfamiliar groups fails to develop due to a lack of exposure to faces from these groups allowing face processing capabilities to be fully exploited for the category most commonly encountered. Nevertheless, training or simple exposure to faces from an unfamiliar category would appear sufficient to allow for the acquisition of at least some sensitivity to such faces.

In summary, this study has provided further evidence in support of an unspecified face representation at birth, which is shaped by the faces observed within the visual environment during the initial months of development. Future research is needed to help elucidate more precisely what dimensions of experienced facial information are contained in the infants' mentally represented face structures and are responsible for inducing both a visual preference for and superior recognition of own-race faces.

## References

- Bar-Haim, Y., Ziv, T., Lamy, D., & Hodes, R. M. (2006). Nature and nurture in own-race face processing. *Psychological Science*.
- Chance, J. E., Turner, A. L., & Goldstein, A. G. (1982). Development of differential recognition for own- and other-race faces. *Journal of Psychology*, *112*, 29-37.
- Cross, J. F., Cross, J., & Daly, J. (1971). Sex, race, age, and beauty as factors in recognition of faces. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *10*, 393-396.
- Elliott, E. S., Wills, E. J., & Goldstein, A. G. (1973). The effects of discrimination training on the recognition of White and Oriental faces. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, *2*, 71-73.
- Feinman, S., & Entwistle, D. R. (1976). Children's ability to recognize other children's faces. *Child Development*, *47*, 506-510.
- Goldstein, A. G., & Chance, J. E. (1980). Memory for faces and schema theory. *Journal of Psychology*, *105*, 47-59.
- Goldstein, A. G., & Chance, J. E. (1985). Effects of training on Japanese face recognition: Reduction of the other-race effect. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, *23*, 211-214.
- Kelly, D. J., Quinn, P. C., Slater, A. M., Lee, K., Gibson, A., Smith, M., Ge, L., & Pascalis, O. (2005). Three-month-olds, but not newborns, prefer own-race faces. *Developmental Science*, *8*, F31-F36.
- Lavrakas, P. J., Buri, J. R., & Mayzner, M. S. (1976). A perspective on the recognition of other-race faces. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *20*, 475-481.
- Meissner, C. A., & Brigham, J. C. (2001). Thirty years of investigating the own-race bias memory for faces: A meta-analytic review. *Psychology, Public Policy & Law*, *7*, 3-35.

- Norman, D. A., & Bobrow, D. G. (1975). On data-limited and resource-limited processes. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 44-64.
- Pascalis, O., de Hann, M., Nelson, C. A. (2002). Is face processing species-specific during the first year of life? *Science*, 296, 1321-1323.
- Pascalis, O., Scott, L. S., Kelly, D. J., Shannon, R. W., Nicholson, E., Coleman, M., & Nelson, C. A. (2005). Plasticity of face processing in infancy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the USA*, 102, 5297-5300.
- Pedzek, K., Blandon-Gitlin, I., & Moore, C. (2003). Children's face recognition memory: More evidence for the cross-race effect. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 760-763.
- Quinn, P. C., Yahr, J., Kuhn, A., Slater, A. M., Pascalis, O. (2002). Representation of the gender of human faces by infants: A preference for female. *Perception*, 31, 1109-1121.
- Sangrigoli, S., & de Schonen, S. (2004a). Effect of visual experience on face processing: a developmental study of inversion and non-native effects. *Developmental Science*, 7, 74-87.
- Sangrigoli, S., & de Schonen, S. (2004b). Recognition of own-race and other-race faces by three-month old infants. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45, 1-9.
- Sangrigoli, S., Pallier, C., Argenti, A. M., Ventureyra, V. A. G., & de Schonen, S. (2005). Reversibility of the other-race effect in face recognition during childhood. *Psychological Science*, 16, 440-444.

Figure 1. Sample Stimuli



Chinese

Caucasian

Middle Eastern

African