



Kent Academic Repository

Haastrup, Toni (2014) *Rethinking Africa's Future beyond its European Past*.
Review of: The EU and Africa: From Eurafrique to Afro-Europa by UNSPECIFIED.
Africa Review of Books / Revue africaine des Livres, 10 (2). pp. 13-15.

Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/47128/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/978186814575>

This document version

Publisher pdf

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

CC BY (Attribution)

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site.
Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).

agencies of the other chapters, this does not necessarily mean resistance.

Neither chapter identifies a 'moral economy' against neoliberalism. Instead, each chapter is keen to identify complex forms of agency that are difficult to pin to one kind of political telos. Nevertheless, both chapters reveal a salient trend of what might be called assertive neoliberal subjectivity. The promise of neoliberalism as an ideology (not necessarily its reality) is of greater space to accumulate, of intensified forms of consumption and pleasure, of more mobility, risk, and power. This is the 'spirit' of neoliberalism, its quasi-

religious appeal to all within its throes. It seems that in the Kenyan, Cameroonian, and Nigerian cases (each of which, from a political-economy perspective, provides country cases where capitalism has experienced extended periods of growth) vernaculars of the 'cunning thief' and the neoliberal celebration of the entrepreneur (which, remember, translates roughly as enter and take, or in English more crudely 'smash and grab') marry effectively to produce agencies of commercial individualism, mobility, and ambition. As with a great many historical experiences of

'entrepreneurship' (itself an ideological term), this may or may not invoke respect for the law of the state when faced with the law of the market.

So, there is a sense in these chapters that the agency that seems to be driving a great deal of the dynamics of hawking and fraud is closely familiar to the law-abiding entrepreneur. And, the norms embedded within agency are ones that relate strongly to profit margins and risk: norms that neoclassical economists assume drive the deliberations of homo economicus in the marketplace. Hence the suggestion of assertive neoliberal subjectivity.

Civic Agency in Africa is a great book. There is an editorial identity to the book that suggests an open-minded approach to civic agency in Africa which is laudable. Most of the chapters do a good job of exploring in fascinating ways civic agencies in the everyday and at the local level. That the book (in this reader's view at least) maintains a core equivocation concerning the conceptualisation of resistance leaves us with an interesting question: how can we devise workable concepts for the energetic and extremely diverse forms of civic agency throughout Africa that allow us to move beyond a celebration of diversity and agency for its own sake?




This collection attempts a critical evaluation of Europe's relationship with Africa in historical perspective. It offers a focus that is at once institutional (i.e. vis-à-vis the African Union (AU) and European Union (EU)) and political (drawing on the experiences of the institutional actors at national and regional levels). The book commences at the Conference of Berlin in 1884, which decided the fate of the African continent, and draws its implications for current Africa-EU relations. It covers a variety of topics, including economic integration and security governance. At the heart of this work is a search for change in the dynamics of the postcolonial relationship engendered by the Joint Africa-EU Strategy (JAES) of 2007. The book justifies the intellectual inquiry into contemporary Africa-EU relations by the on-going shifts within the international political economy.

Importantly, this volume is framed within the discursive construction of Europe's continued relationship with Africa, *Eurafrique*, a relationship grounded in past colonial history. Whereas some qualitative changes have occurred in their relationship since decolonisation begun, Africa and Europe have not yet extricated themselves from some of the constraints of the past, a sentiment that is captured in the conclusion. Divided into six parts, and consisting of twenty-two chapters, this is a book that addresses a diversity of policy areas and research interests and thus appeals to just about anyone who is interested in both the historical and contemporary dimensions of politics, economics and development in Europe's encounter with Africa.

The first part of the collection describes how key moments in the history of Europeans' engagement in Africa have shaped the detrimental dimensions of Africa-EU relations. This is indeed the argument intended to frame the collection. One of the insights offered is the fact that, although economic and purportedly postcolonial in nature, the relationship with the African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) countries was not benign, and the

suggestion is made that Africa should not be seeking a privileged relationship with Europe, but rather seek to break with Europe in favour of emerging powers; yet, new relations with emerging powers will necessarily come with their own baggage.

In any case, one of the questions raised early on in the book is whether the AU models itself after the EU. Chapter 3 examines the relationship between the two, using a comparative approach to juxtapose the similarities in both institutions. Yet, I would suggest that because the impetus for these two institutions is different and woven into the fabric of institution building, the AU is more than a replication of the EU, rather an attempt to adopt best practices in regional integration. Moreover, the 'EU as a model' construct is firmly challenged in the subsequent chapter by someone who was at the heart of the institutional design. This example reflects one of several issues of internal coherence within the volume that is left unaddressed by the editors. Nevertheless, one gets the sense at the end of this part that, despite the lengthy engagement between Africa and Europe, efforts to establish a new dynamic association that underscores the positive relations between both continents and their institutions is still elusive. For Africa particularly, Adebajo advocates a more systematic approach



Rethinking Africa's Future beyond its European Past

Toni Hastrup

The EU and Africa: From Eurafrique to Afro-Europa

by Adekeye Adebajo and Kaye Whiteman, eds

Wits University Press, 2012, 320pp., £25

ISBN: 9781868145751pb

that identifies the key priorities of the new integration project, sequencing the process of integration and especially dedicating more resources to the institutional development at the continental and sub-regional levels.

Part Two is very much focused on the processes of regional integration so far and their implications for the political, economic and strategic relationship of Africa and Europe. Here, Africa's integration story is situated in the development of its (sub-) regional economic communities within a capitalist paradigm of development and underdevelopment. The analysis of Africa's regionalisation contributes agency to the African integration narrative, which is invaluable for the acquisition of core knowledge on regions and integration.

This section begins a recurrent thread of the book that criticises the Economic Partnership Agreements (EPAs) of the EU. In particular, the focus here is on the threat to Africa's developmental aims, including regional fragmentation supported by market-driven liberalism. Certainly, by undermining regional cohesion, the EU challenges its own external relations strategy, which claims to support regionalism elsewhere and indeed claims normative eminence in this area. The EPAs illustrate this first instance of internal inconsistencies in the EU's foreign policy approach towards Africa. However, while many Western civil society advocates critique these

divisions for economic purposes, they seem to encourage it for other purposes arguing that a holistic approach to Africa risks homogenisation. It would seem then that wariness of EU institutions with regards to this issue of fragmentation is justified just as much as that of civil society organisations. Unfortunately, the volume does not engage much with civil society perspectives. Further, while Africa's drive for regional integration is fiercely defended, there is no challenge to the assumption that the neoliberal paradigm can offer something that differs from the EPAs, which are depicted as effectively forcing liberalisation on relatively weak economies in Africa.

As is often the case in any discussion on Europe's relationship with Africa, the point of departure for a substantive portion of the book is the development and economic dimension of Africa-EU relations. First, De Vos suggests a particular frame for understanding Africa-EU relationship: that of a corporation best tended by the much-criticised Washington Consensus,¹ while highlighting the importance of institutions and leadership, a perspective that is often absent from social science discourses but has recently found analytical resurgence. Similar to the econometric analysis of Africa's investment environment later on in the book, which seems to miss the point about striving for human development, there is a glorification of the neo-liberal ideal of economic governance. While rightfully noting that, contrary to the inferred aims of the editors, limited infrastructure undermines development, there is no coherent engagement with the question: to what extent has European political engagement on the continent brought about this underdevelopment? The unquestioning acceptance of the neo-liberal model for development is especially disconcerting for this reason and offers an uncritical and ahistorical assessment of Africa's relationship with Europe.

In the assessment of the EU's strategic partnerships, South Africa stands apart from the rest of the continent due to its history of apartheid.

Historically, Europe's engagement with apartheid South Africa lacked coherence: whereas Britain maintained strong ties to the racist regime, Scandinavian countries, for example, were outright critical, while the European Commission tried to maintain neutrality. While EU-South Africa relations are presently very different, the continued practice of setting South Africa apart from the rest of Africa raises the question of whether this difference is good for Africa-EU relations in general, as it undermines Europe's approach to the whole of Africa. Yet, it cannot be expected that South Africa itself would give up this privileged position either. Similarly, north Africa, which is often set apart, is treated with the rest of the continent in this volume, giving more breadth to typical assessments of Africa-EU relations by addressing EU instruments outside of the ACP Agreements, and the 2005 EU Strategy for Africa. These instruments, which frame most of north Africa's relationship with the EU as a whole and, to an extent, bi-lateral engagements with specific European countries, includes the Euro-Med Partnership, the European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP) and, lately, the Union for the Mediterranean. These instruments purportedly seek to establish joint ownership. Joint ownership suggests that both the EU and the north African countries share certain values and common interests, which are then reflected in the resulting policy approaches. In short, joint ownership is intended to challenge the pernicious implications of conditionality within development assistance. However the asymmetries in Europe's relationship with countries in the Global South suggest that the assumption of common values and interests is problematic, because it assumes shared meaning by all actors. Recent missteps in the wake of the Arab Spring and in Europe's perception of north Africa as a source of extremist threats are clearly misguided. This chapter underscores a key argument of the collection, which is that the EU's relationship with Africa must be restructured not only in words, but also in deed.

Undertaking a critique of the EU's strategic partnerships, Shada Islam highlights the internal inconsistencies in Europe's foreign policies – another key theme of the volume. Long thought to have credibility only as an economic power, the EU seeks to shed this singular identity by overstretching itself with its many strategic partnerships. An assessment of Asia, especially India and China, albeit marred by many inconsistencies, is undertaken to highlight lessons for Africa-EU relations. First, the purposes of these partnerships are unclear. Yet, this is not surprising, given that the EU itself has been accused convincingly of lacking a grand strategy with which to reinforce its desired credibility as a holistic international actor. Second, while the opportunity for a systematic cooperation on Africa is provided in the engagements between Europe and Asia, nothing substantive has yet to come of

it. One reason often given for the lack of cooperation between China and Europe on Africa, for example, is that both are in competition for the 'affection' of African countries. Yet, Carbone's² assessment suggests that the real reason is the lack of consistency within the EU's external relations, which has translated into missed opportunities for trilateral collaborative engagement on Africa.

While the suggestion is made that the special relationship between Africa and Europe is seen as eroding in favour of other multilateral groupings like the G8 and G20, the questioning of the idea of Eurafrique challenges this assertion. If anything, there is more EU-led engagement in Africa, even if one may argue that its relative influence is waning. Europe clearly remains engaged in Africa, politically, economically and socially, and while there is more engagement between other G20 members and African states, there is no substantive correlation between an increase in these new relationships and decrease in Africa-EU relations. In any case, the EU's commitment to effective multilateralism should ultimately promote closer ties between Africa and other multilateral bodies as a normative imperative of its foreign policies. Part 3 concludes with a descriptive analysis of the EU's Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) – a harmful policy whose existence contradicts the EU's stated aims of supporting Africa's development. CAP typifies the power asymmetries and inconsistencies in Europe's Africa policies.

In perhaps the most thematically coherent section, Part 4 focuses on security governance. The first chapter of this section is an overview of recent cooperation between the AU and the EU. Security governance and cooperation are situated in existing economic and development relations, emphasising a nexus between security and development. The chapter evaluates institutional innovations in both Europe (through the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP)) and Africa (through the African Peace and Security Architecture (APSA)), which have both supported the expansion of the areas of cooperation between Europe and Africa.

Yet, security governance in Africa is uneven, with the EU engaging only when its member states are all in agreement, despite the guiding framework of the JAES. Nevertheless, security signals the potential for change in Africa-EU relations, given the commitment and necessity for African elites to take ownership of the processes of security and the general unwillingness of the North to engage in African crises. Where security is increasingly linked to development, it might provide an entry point to correct the asymmetries in Africa-EU relations, although it may also be manipulated so that development is militarised. The subsequent chapters in this part explore the practicalities of EU mission planning and implementation, in addition to the

political dimensions of EU security engagement outside of Europe – in the Great Lakes, Chad, and Central African Republic. Importantly, Europe's security engagement in Africa has served as a way of bolstering the EU's image as a global security actor while helping to shape its strategic culture. Yet, focusing on Africa's security challenges for Europe, while consistent with Eurafrique, cannot be the priority in European support for African security. As set out in the JAES, Europe must consider African initiatives and priorities first in order to truly transform relations and contribute to sustainable security and development on the continent.

Part 5 examines some of the key European players whose colonial legacies have dictated a certain caution in their approach to Africa and who continue to influence EU policies towards Africa, both positively and negatively. Whereas both France and Portugal continue to intertwine their destinies with Francophone and Lusophone Africa respectively, Britain's engagement has been ambivalent at times and much more dispersed on the continent. The image of France evoked in this section is one that depicts a pernicious hegemon lording it over passive victims. While France's postcolonial role in Africa is at best controversial and at worst neo-colonial, this contribution is often polemical and lacks a critical approach, thus rendering Africa and Africans impotent and without any agency in the past six decades. This depiction is as dangerous as the problematical elements of France's foreign policies. Further, it is in stark contrast to the more balanced assessments of Williams (on Britain), Vines (on Portugal) and Hammerstad (on the Scandinavian Countries)

The analysis of Portugal's 'new' role as mediator between African countries and EU member states is worth noting, especially as Portugal, acting for the EU, has been central to the drive for transformation, hosting the Africa-EU Summit in 2007 and the launch of the JAES. In northern Europe, the assumption that Nordic, especially Scandinavian, countries converge in their Africa policies is challenged. At the heart of this story on Danish, Norwegian and Swedish foreign policies is the argument that national interests, framed in different ways, have resulted in less cooperation between these three countries on Africa despite existing mechanisms of cooperation. It is clear then that, despite increased Europeanisation of certain aspects of foreign policy, individual European countries still have a significant role in Africa-EU relations

In the final part of this collection, three chapters address the issue of migration and race in Africa's relationship with Europe; the section concludes by revisiting the idea of Eurafrique in the postcolonial environment. European countries have been especially criticised for their heavy-handed approach to immigrants from Africa, a criticism that became louder with the tragedy at Lampedusa

in 2013 that saw the death of over 360 African migrants in search of a better life in Europe. The increasingly impenetrable fortress that is Europe encourages human traffickers and organised criminal groups. Yet, European countries continue to respond to migrant flows, not by tackling the root causes of flight from African countries but by enacting draconian laws that engender a negative narrative of immigration, implying economic and social burdens on the so-called indigenous populations. Yet, as the concluding chapter of this book suggests, if only Europe would consider outsider perspectives, such as those from sending countries for example, mutual partnerships can help to avoid future tragedies; but this is a lesson that is yet to be learnt.

Indeed, for the EU to leave the confines of the Eurafrique problematic, which has been determined by Europe's dominance in and outlook on international relations, it must pursue a more global outlook that has the rest of the world, rather than Europe, at its centre. Although European countries would hardly abandon their own self-interests (after all, they have responsibilities for their citizens at the very least), a global outlook that might help Africa-EU relations to achieve the core aims of the JAES, including partnership and joint ownership between Africa and Europe, is essential to a mutually beneficial future.

Despite its astute observations, this book does have some fundamental weaknesses that potentially detract from its overall message of change. One such weakness is the attempt to use the discursive construction of Eurafrique as a unifying theme. The application of this idea within the volume is patchy and serves only as a descriptive term for the European perspective of its encounters with Africa rather than a substantive or coherent theory. The lack of theoretical coherence makes it challenging to resolve some of the important contradictions in the positions of the contributors, as already highlighted. Nowhere is this more evident than in the chapter on race and transformation in global governance, an extremely fascinating essay by one of Africa's eminent sons, Ali Mazrui. Yet, although fascinating, this chapter represents a thematic outlier and its contribution to contemporary Africa-Europe discourses is unclear.

Further, in parts of the volume, authors seem confused about the differences between the EU's Africa Strategy of 2005 and the JAES of 2007, sometimes using them interchangeably. This inconsistency permeates the entire text and leads to some confusing assumptions about the nature of these two important policy frameworks and especially their relationship to each other. While depicted as an extension of the 2005 strategy, the JAES is a response to the criticisms of the 2005 framework. The main criticism of course was that the EU Strategy of 2005 failed to consider what Africans wanted in their relationship with

Europeans, a sentiment that persists to some extent. The failure to acknowledge the contributions of African policymakers, civil society actors and citizens to the basic framework of Africa-EU relations is also to deny Africa's agency in international affairs, however flawed or limited in its reach.

Like many similar works, it does not truly leave the policy realm.

This volume is nevertheless essential for those seeking to understand the ongoing evolution of Africa's relationship to Europe.

Notes

1. D. Rodrik, 2006, 'Goodbye Washington Consensus, Hello Washington Confusion? A Review of the World Bank's Economic Growth in the 1990s: Learning from a Decade of Reform', *Journal of Economic Literature*, 44, 4, pp. 973-987.
2. Maurizio Carbone, 2011, 'The European Union and China's rise in Africa: Competing Visions, External Coherence and Trilateral Cooperation', *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 29, 2, pp. 203-221.



Jean-Michel Djian nous propose ici un ouvrage collectif sur l'histoire de Tombouctou et ses manuscrits. Avec la collaboration de l'écrivain Cheikh Hamidou Kane, le président de l'Association des historiens maliens Doulaye Konaté, le premier directeur du centre Ahmed-Baba de Tombouctou Mahmoud Zouber, le philosophe Souleymane Bachir Diagne, le professeur d'arabe et spécialiste de l'ajami, Georges Bohas, le prix Nobel de littérature 2008 Jean-Marie Gustave Le Clézio, il nous livre des textes d'une grande beauté, illustrés par des photographies de manuscrits réalisées par Seydou Camara.

Jean-Michel Djian a enquêté, exhumé et sélectionné pour nous ces manuscrits dans un ouvrage dont la richesse scientifique et iconographique nous interpelle et interroge notre lecture de l'Afrique. Les principales questions que nous soulèverons sont de savoir, entre autres, ce que nous apprennent ces manuscrits qui ont été oubliés pendant des siècles. Comment expliquer cet oubli et/ou cette indifférence à leur égard ? Comment justifier leur redécouverte lente et tardive ? Nous devons admettre avec Souleymane Bachir Diagne, dans la postface de l'ouvrage, que comprendre ce que nous disent Tombouctou et ses manuscrits est essentiel pour nous car l'histoire intellectuelle de l'Ouest africain est à écrire.

Secrets, mythes et réalités, tout d'abord d'une cité énigmatique et mystérieuse du Nord-est du Mali, sur l'arc du Niger, foyer intellectuel au moyen-âge, présentée dans l'ouvrage comme un espace commercial et cognitif. Jean-Michel Djian nous invite (p. 49) à nous intéresser à la carte de l'Afrique au XVe siècle (p. 12) pour comprendre la situation privilégiée de la région tombouctienne. Voilà près d'un millénaire, indique l'incipit de l'ouvrage, que la plus énigmatique des cités du Sahel, nargue l'humanité, tantôt par son commerce, tantôt par son patrimoine intellectuel et architectural.

Carrefour commercial, Tombouctou citée prospère connaîtra son âge d'or au XVIe siècle, sous la dynastie des Askia de Gao (1493-1591). Les richesses intérieures de l'Afrique étaient échangées notamment contre le sel, les armes, les étoffes et les chevaux. Au moment de cette apogée, les principaux itinéraires partaient de Tombouctou pour se diriger vers le nord,

Les manuscrits de Tombouctou : un moment de l'histoire africaine

Aïcha Benamar

Les manuscrits de Tombouctou: secrets, mythes et réalités

Jean-Michel Djian

Editions JC Lattès, Paris, 2012, ISBN : 978-2-7096-3954-5, 192 pages, 25€

l'est et l'ouest de l'Afrique. Au temps de Gao, affirme Hamidou Magassa (2012), le sel tenait lieu de monnaie dans tout le pays. Désormais, le commerce transsaharien enraciné à Tombouctou rayonnait à travers toute l'Afrique occidentale et orientale.



Cette histoire fut soulignée quand l'archéologue allemand Heinrich Barth découvrit l'existence du *Tarikh es-Soudan* : des chroniques rédigées en arabe vers 1650 par Abderrahmane es-Sa'di. *Tarikh es-Soudan*, découvert dans les entrailles de la mosquée Djinbareber, est rapporté en France par Félix Dubois en 1896. Ces chroniques de 800 pages qui consacrent un long chapitre à la fondation de Tombouctou, sont complétées par *Tarikh al-Fettach* de Mahmoud Kati, retraçant des pans entiers de la vie sociale, et mettant particulièrement l'accent sur la solidité des institutions, la liberté politique, la pureté des mœurs et la sécurité des personnes et des biens dans l'empire. Parmi les personnalités remarquables de l'Empire, Mahmoud Kati fait découvrir au lecteur le savant Abou Abbas Ahmed Baba : juriste, lexicographe, grammairien qui s'était occupé de science toute sa vie.

Selon Cheikh Hamidou Kane, un des contributeurs à l'ouvrage, il existe une source écrite antérieure aux *Tarikh*s qui témoigne de la sophistication du pouvoir politique et de l'importance accordée à la chose publique. Il faut sans doute noter que l'intérêt de ces *Tarikh*s réside dans le fait qu'ils fournissent des renseignements d'autant plus précieux qu'ils proviennent de témoins avertis des événements politiques de leur époque

comme Abderrahmane es-Sa'di ou Mahmoud Kati. Dans la masse de documents découverts à Tombouctou, il est question notamment d'organisation politique : les traités de paix ou mises en garde actées entre responsables politiques sont nombreux.

Carrefour intellectuel, Tombouctou citée florissante attirait enseignants et étudiants protégés par l'empereur du Songhaï, notamment l'Askia Mohammed. C'est là que se partageait et se propageait le savoir. L'enseignement et le livre y prospéraient et tous les métiers en profitaient : traducteurs, copistes, libraires, relieurs. En fait, Tombouctou abritait alors l'une des plus prestigieuses universités du monde musulman, l'Université de Sankoré. La ville compte trois mosquées dont la mosquée de Djinbareber, datant de 1325, qui constituait un important foyer d'épanouissement intellectuel et spirituel et symbolisait, à elle-seule, l'immanence tombouctienne. Les quelques vingt-cinq mille étudiants, qui représentent le quart de la population totale, affluent de toutes parts. La ville compte près de cent quatre-vingt écoles coraniques. Dès lors, l'immensité du territoire contrairement à l'idée qu'en donnent les voyageurs européens du XIXe siècle n'a jamais été cet espace vide qui sépare l'Afrique du Nord lettrée des régions subsahariennes primitives et obscures.

Dès le début de son histoire, affirme l'auteur, la cité tombouctienne est administrée par le droit et la justice. Jean-Michel Djian mentionne avec des preuves photographiques à l'appui, un traité de bonne gouvernance, du XVe siècle, qui témoigne d'une société africaine administrativement sophistiquée. Cette réalité passait nécessairement par une justice écrite, sans cesse

débatue et actualisée par les lettrés et des juges, dont les manuscrits exhumés constituaient l'illustration vivante. La notion d'État était solidement ancrée dans l'Empire. Les Songhaï avaient mis en place une organisation étatique qui n'avait rien à envier à celles qui existaient en Europe ou ailleurs. Le recueil de *fatwa* et *nazila*, présenté par Mohamed Hamady de l'Université de Nouakchott, illustre clairement la situation de l'Afrique ancrée dans l'histoire et la civilisation. La terminologie des fonctions démontre un haut degré de raffinement dans l'art de diviser le travail. Autour du souverain, nous retrouvons des personnages enclins à se spécialiser dans un domaine de compétences : ils sont marabouts, ulémas et cadis, Songhaï et/ou étrangers. Selon l'auteur, la fabrication du savoir à Tombouctou ne ressemble à aucun système éducatif connu jusqu'alors. Contrairement au mythe répandu, il n'existait pas d'université à proprement parler mais un certain nombre d'écoles dans la cité.

« Secrets, mythes et réalités » ensuite des manuscrits tombouctiens, d'une grande valeur patrimoniale, fournissant un matériau scientifique indispensable pour appréhender la complexité culturelle d'une région, longtemps regardée comme illettrée et éclairant une part de la civilisation du monde, restée sans visage. Selon Jean-Michel Djian, environ trois cent mille ouvrages datant du XIe au XVe siècle, même si ce chiffre fait actuellement débat, seraient encore aujourd'hui conservés dans la région, sans compter les collections privées détenues par les habitants des régions de Kidal, Gao, Ségou ou Kayes. Ceci contribue forcément à la destruction du mythe selon lequel l'Afrique noire a une histoire orale seulement. Rédigés en arabe et en langues locales, sur des omoplastes de chameaux, des peaux de moutons, de l'écorce et parfois du papier, dont les plus anciens datent des XIIe et XIIIe siècles et les plus récents du XVIIIe ou XIXe siècle, ces textes ne sont pas que des écrits religieux mais entre autres des principes de gouvernance, des traités de médecine, de mathématiques, d'astronomie, de droit. Tout est noté, commenté, référé : le cours du sel et des épices, les actes de justice, les ventes, les précis de pharmacopée (dont un traité sur les méfaits du tabac), des conseils sur les relations sexuelles, des précis de