

Kent Academic Repository

Betts, Emma L., Gentekaki, Eleni, Thomasz, Adele, Breakell, Vicki, Carpenter, Angus I. and Tsaousis, Anastasios D (2017) *Genetic diversity of Blastocystis in non-primate animals*. Parasitology, 1 (7). ISSN 0031-1820.

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/64947/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182017002347

This document version Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version CC BY (Attribution)

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Betts, Emma L. and Gentekaki, Eleni and Thomasz, Adele and Breakell, Vicki and Carpenter, Angus I. and Tsaousis, Anastasios D (2018) Genetic diversity of Blastocystis in non-primate animals. Parasitology . ISSN 0031-1820. (In press)

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182017002347

Link to record in KAR

http://kar.kent.ac.uk/64947/

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





Genetic diversity of Blastocystis in non-primate animals

Journal:	Parasitology
Manuscript ID	PAR-2017-0322.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article - Standard
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Betts, Emma; University of Kent, School of Biosciences Gentekaki, Eleni; Mae Fah Luang University School of Science, School of Science Thomasz, Adele; Wildwood Trust, Conservation Breakell, Vicki; Wildwood Trust, Conservation Carpenter, Angus; Wildwood Trust, Conservation Tsaousis, Anastasios ; University of Kent, School of Biosciences
Key Words:	Blastocystis, subtype, genetic diversity, prevelance, phylogeny

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



1	Genetic diversity of <i>Blastocystis</i> in non-primate animals
2	
3	Emma L. Betts ¹ , Eleni Gentekaki ^{2,*} , Adele Thomasz ³ , Vicki Breakell ³ , Angus I. Carpenter ³ and
4	Anastasios D. Tsaousis ^{1,*}
5	
6	1. Laboratory of Molecular and Evolutionary Parasitology, RAPID group, School of
7	Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, UK
8	2. School of Science and Human Gut Microbiome for Health Research Unit, Mae Fah Luang
9	University, Chiang Rai, Thailand
10	3. Wildwood Trust, Herne Common, Herne Bay, Kent, UK
11	
12	* corresponding authors:
13	Dr. Anastasios D. Tsaousis (A.Tsaousis@kent.ac.uk) and Dr. Eleni Gentekaki
14	(gentekaki.ele@mfu.ac.th)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28 KEY FINDINGS

- 29 Distribution of *Blastocystis* subtypes across in a wide range of hosts
- 30 Co-colonization of elk, goat, red deer, water vole with more than one subtype
- 31 Differences in *Blastocystis* subtype distribution between wild and captive species
- 32 Genetic divergence of *Blastocystis* subtypes within the park
- 33 Long-term culture method of *Blastocystis* at 21oC in TYM with FBS
- 34

35 SUMMARY

- 36 Blastocystis is an anaerobic protist, commonly inhabiting the intestinal tract of both humans and
- animals. *Blastocystis* is extremely diverse comprising 17 genetically distinct subtypes.
- 38 Pathogenicity of this enteric microbe is currently disputed and knowledge regarding its
- 39 distribution, diversity and zoonotic potential is fragmentary. Most research has focused on
- 40 *Blastocystis* from primates, while sampling from other animals remains limited. Herein, we
- 41 investigated the prevalence and distribution of *Blastocystis* in animals held within a conservation
- 42 park in South East England. A total of 118 samples were collected from 27 vertebrate species.
- 43 The barcoding region of the small-subunit ribosomal RNA was used for molecular identification
- 44 and subtyping. Sixty per cent of the samples were sequence positive for *Blastocystis* indicating a
- 45 high prevalence and wide distribution among the animals in the park. Six subtypes were
- 46 identified, one of which is potentially novel. Moreover, the majority of animals positively
- 47 identified as carriers, suggesting that *Blastocystis* is not pathogenic in animals. This study

48 provides a thorough investigation of *Blastocystis* prevalence within a wildlife park in the UK and

49 can be used as a platform for further investigations on the distribution of other eukaryotic gut

50 microbes.

- 51
- 52 Keywords: *Blastocystis*, subtype, prevalence, phylogeny, genetic diversity
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61

62 **INTRODUCTION**

Blastocystis is a microbial eukaryote that inhabits the gastrointestinal tract of a variety of
animals including humans, other primates, amphibians, reptiles, and even insects (Abe 2004;
Parkar et al. 2010; Roberts et al. 2013; Stensvold et al. 2009; Yoshikawa et al. 2016). After
fungi, *Blastocystis* is one of the most prevalent microbial eukaryotes in metazoans (Scanlan et al.
2014).

68 Until recently, *Blastocystis* was overlooked due to its small size and lack of distinct 69 morphological features. Nonetheless, the advent of molecular methods has revealed an 70 astounding genetic heterogeneity of *Blastocystis*. To date, 17 genetically diverse lineages have 71 been reported (subtypes; ST), based on differences of the small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU 72 rRNA) (Stensvold and Clark 2016). Blastocystis has wide host range, with the same subtype 73 found in several animal genera. Emerging data however, suggests that host specificity should be 74 assessed based on lower than genus level taxonomy (Alfellani et al. 2013c). Of the 17 STs, only 75 the first nine (ST1-ST9) and recently, ST12 have been found in humans (Ramirez et al. 2016; 76 Stensvold and Clark 2016). Blastocystis has been reported in wild animals, pets and 77 domesticated animals and those that are housed in zoos (Amenu et al. 2015; Figueroa 2015; 78 Parkar et al. 2010; Puebla et al. 2017; Ruaux and Stang 2014; Schar et al. 2014; Wang et al. 79 2014). Nonetheless, the comprehensive range of non-primate hosts of the various STs remains 80 unclear, since only a limited number of studies focus on screening such animals (Abe et al. 2002; 81 Lim et al. 2008; Parkar et al. 2010; Perez Cordon et al. 2008; Roberts et al. 2013). 82 The presence of *Blastocystis* isolates in various animals that belong to the same STs as 83 those in humans has led to the speculation that the organism has zoonotic potential (Parkar et al. 84 2010; Rajah Salim et al. 1999; Ramirez et al. 2014). Nonetheless, this scenario has come under 85 scrutiny in recent years, since cases where the direction of transmission has been established 86 conclusively are absent. Moreover, most molecular investigations of *Blastocystis* isolates from 87 domesticated animals and their keepers have not revealed any shared subtypes, though there are 88 notable exceptions (Alfellani et al. 2013b; Wang et al. 2014). Due to this controversy, there is an 89 urgent need for further investigations on the distribution of *Blastocystis* in animals in captivity, 90 since prevalence data and molecular characterization of *Blastocystis* in such animals remain 91 sparse. 92 Herein, we examine *Blastocystis* isolates from Wildwood Trust, a wildlife park in East

93 Kent, UK. The park's collection consists mostly of UK native and previously native wildlife,

94 meaning that the chance of the identified isolates being local is very high. The aim of this study

95 was to investigate the prevalence, distribution, genetic diversity and host range of *Blastocvstis* 96 STs in animals at Wildwood Trust. 97 98 **MATERIALS AND METHODS** 99 *Study site - Source of specimens:* 100 A total of 118 faecal samples were collected from 27 different host species (Table 1) 101 located at Wildwood Trust (Herne Bay, Kent, UK). Sampling covered a range of mammalian 102 species, four bird species and one reptile species (Table 1). 103 104 Sample collection and storage 105 A licensed veterinarian visits the park on a monthly basis to monitor the animals' health, 106 during the week of sampling no animals exhibit diarrhea. Faecal samples were collected between 107 the months of July 2016 to January 2017. Wildwood Trust staff collected samples under the 108 guidance of laboratory members; A minimum of one sample was collected per animal species, 109 where possible (Table 1). In the cases where multiple animals of the same species were enclosed 110 together, several samples were collected. 111 Once collected, samples were placed in sterile tubes and stored at 4 °C in sealed falcon 112 tubes until DNA extraction. The faecal samples were subdivided shortly after collection to be 113 used for microscopy, culturing and DNA extraction. Heat-fixed slides were made from all 114 samples collected within an hour of collection. 115 116 Culturing 117 At Wildwood Trust, a total of 118 fresh samples were collected during July 2016 and 118 October 2016 from 27 different species. Within half an hour of sampling, a small amount of 119 water vole faecal sample was used to separately inoculate tubes containing media as follows: two 120 tubes containing modified LYSGM (16.07 mM potassium phosphate dibasic, 2.94 mM 121 potassium phosphate monobasic, 128.34 mM sodium chloride, 2.5 g/L yeast extract, 0.5 g/L 122 liver extract, 5 ml adult bovine/horse serum) [modified TYSGM-9, (Diamond 1982), 123 http://entamoeba.lshtm.ac.uk/xenic.htm], two tubes of TYM (22.2 g/L trypticase peptone, 11.1 124 g/L yeast extract, 16.23 mM maltose, 9.17 mM L-cysteine, 1.26 mM L-ascorbic acid, 5.1 mM 125 potassium phosphate dibasic, 6.53 mM potassium phosphate monobasic) (DIAMOND 1957; 126 Diamond 1983) enriched with fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 tubes with 0.5% Liver Digest 127 (LD) media (0.5 g/L Oxoid liver extract). One tube from each media was incubated at 35°C and 128 the rest were left at room temperature. Samples were examined every three days under light

microscope with neutral red staining (see below). Cultures positive for *Blastocystis*, were
subcultured every 10 days. *Staining and microscopy:*For the identification of live cells within cultures, a neutral red staining technique was
employed (DeRenzis and Schechtman 1973). Ninety-four μl of cultured samples were mixed
with equal volumes of freshly prepared 0.04% neutral red staining (Sigma, N2889) in 0.5 ml

tubes and incubated for 10 min at 36°C. The samples were then centrifuged at 5000 x g for 30
seconds. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was re-suspended in 20 µl of 1 X PBS (pH
7.2) by vortexing. Ten µl of the mixture was placed on a glass slide under a 22-mm square

139 coverslip and individual cells were observed under 200 x and 400 x magnification.

140

141 DNA extraction, PCR, cloning and sequencing:

142 DNA from faeces and cultures were extracted using the Microbiome DNA Purification 143 Kit Purelink (Fisher, UK), following the manufacturer's specifications and protocols. The 144 extracted DNA was stored at -20 °C for long-term usage. To amplify the fragment of interest, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out using the extracted DNA. DNA extracted from 145 146 an axenic *Blastocystis* NandII culture was used as positive control in every PCR application. The 147 conditions of amplification were as follows: 2 µl of the extracted DNA was used for 148 amplification of a *Blastocystis* sp SSUrRNA fragment, 10 ul 5X buffer (Promega), 1 mM MgCl₂, 149 0.4 µM forward primer, 0.4 µM reverse primer, 0.2 mM dNTPs (Promega), 0.25 µl Taq 150 polymerase, 30.75 µl HPLC grade water 2 µl DNA. The fragment was amplified in a total of 50 151 ul reaction, according to the standard conditions of for HiFI Tag polymerase (Promega). The 152 broad specificity primers RD3 5'- GGGATCCTGATCCTTCCGCAGGTTCACCTAC-3' and 153 RD5 5'-GGAAGCTTATCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGTA-3'(Clark 1997) were used for the first 154 PCR. Cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C 5 minutes, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 seconds, annealing 55 °C for 30 seconds, extension at 72 °C for 1 minute 40 seconds and 155 final extension at 72 °C for 5 minutes. 156 157 A second nested PCR was performed using the forward RD5F 5'-158 ATCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGT-3' and reverse BhRDr 5'-GAGCTTTTTAACTGCAACAACG 159 -3' (Scicluna et al. 2006) primers giving a fragment at approximately 650 bp. This fragment is 160 considered the barcoding region for *Blastocystis* identification. Concentration of reagents in each 161 reaction and PCR conditions were the same as above. One μ l from the PCR mentioned above 162 was used as template.

Positive PCR reactions from the nested-PCR were gel-extracted using the Thermo Scientific GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (following manufacturer's instructions) and subsequently cloned in the pGEM-T vector (Promega) using the manufacturer's protocol. Five to ten colonies from each transformation were selected for sub culturing and plasmid purification using the GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit. Positive plasmids were screened by digestion with EcoRI restriction enzyme, to confirm presence of the fragment of interest. Positive plasmids were bidirectionally sequenced using T7 and SP6 universal primers by Eurofins, UK.

170

171 Genetic distance and phylogenetic analysis

172 The obtained sequences were trimmed to eliminate vector fragments and forward and 173 reverse sequences of each sample were joined using Sequencher. Blast searches of the obtained 174 sequences against GenBank were performed to exclude bacterial contamination. A dataset 175 including all new sequences identified as *Blastocystis* along with sequences spanning the breadth 176 of diversity of Blastocystis subtypes was build and aligned using MAFFT v.7 (Katoh and Toh 177 2010). The alignment was further improved by visual check where necessary. Genetic distance 178 was calculated using the Kimura2 parameter criterion. Gaps were considered as complete 179 deletions. For this calculation, only the barcoding region of *Blastocystis* was used.

180 For the phylogenetic analysis, four additional outgroup taxa were included to the 181 alignment and the entire sequence of SSUrRNA was used. The alignment contained a total of 90 182 taxa. Several sequences were represented only by their barcoding region in which case, the 183 missing part of the sequence was considered as missing data. Following alignment with MAFFT, 184 ambiguous positions were removed using trimAL (Capella-Gutierrez et al. 2009). After 185 trimming the alignment contained 1163 sites. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by using 186 maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference methods. Maximum likelihood trees were 187 computed using the RAxML software (Stamatakis 2006). For each dataset bootstrap support was 188 evaluated from 1000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian inference tree was computed using MrBayes 189 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). Posterior probabilities were computed by running four chains with sampling occurring every 100th generation, whilst 25 % of trees were discarded as burn-in. 190 191 Trees were run for 1,500,000 generations at which point all parameters converged at 0.01. 192

192

RESULTS

194 Culturing

Blastocystis grew in the tubes containing LYSGM and TYM+FBS at both 35 °C and
 room temperature. There was no *Blastocystis* growth in the 0.5 % LD media.

197	Screening of faecal samples
198	A total of 118 samples from 27 species were examined of which 71 (60 %) were
199	sequence positive belonging to 11 species (41 %) (Table 1). Nonetheless, there was a notable
200	difference in the presence of Blastocystis across hosts. With the exception of a single case, all
201	sequence positive samples came from non-carnivorous animals. This was despite repeated
202	sampling and sequencing attempts (Table 1). Specifically, 7/8 (87.5 %) of artiodactyls, 2/2
203	(100%) of rodents and 1/9 (11%) of carnivores were sequence positive for Blastocystis. No
204	sequence positive samples were found in birds, snakes, and insectivores (Table 1).
205	
206	Subtype identification and distribution in various hosts
207	Among the 71 Blastocystis-positive samples, six STs were detected (Table 2, Figure 1):
208	ST1, ST4, ST5, ST10, ST14 and a potentially new subtype. Subtypes 4 and 10 colonized the
209	most species (seven and six respectively) followed by ST14 (three), ST1 (two), ST5 (one) and an
210	unidentified ST (one). We provide the first molecular data and subtyipng of Blastocystis from
211	elk, water voles, pine martens and red squirrels. The Eurasian elk (artiodactyl) were the hosts
212	harboring the widest range of subtypes, followed by pygmy goat (artiodactyl) and water vole
213	(rodent). Most notably, four subtypes were found in the elk (ST4, ST10, ST14, unidentified),
214	while goat and water vole harbored three (ST1, ST10 and ST14 in goat and ST1, ST4 and ST10
215	in water vole). The hosting of multiple subtypes within elk is of no doubt, as there is just a single
216	elk in the park. The same cannot be verified for the goats and voles as the park houses several of
217	them. Nonetheless, only two faecal samples were collected, which means that there are at least
218	two subtypes present in a single goat. The three subtypes in water vole were identified only in
219	the captive population of which three were sampled. The presence of all subtypes can be
220	confirmed here, due to cloning being used rather than PCR purification of a single product.
221	Several samples were collected from two rodent species; the red squirrel and water vole.
222	Subtype 4 was commonly detected in both species, while the range of subtypes previously
223	reported within rodents can be expanded to include ST1. Several colonies were also screened
224	from wallabies, diprotodontid marsupials. All samples from wallabies harbored ST10, which had
225	not been reported previously from these marsupials.
226	

227 Phylogenetic analysis

Though 71 clones were sequenced, only 20 of them were used in the phylogenetic analyses. In the cases where clusters contained identical clones, only a few representative sequences were kept. In total, the new sequences were subtyped as follows: ST4 (n=41); ST10

231 (n=22); ST14 (n=4); ST1 (n=2); ST5 (n=1) ST? (n=1). All Blastocystis sequences formed a 232 strongly supported cluster (100BS/1.00BI). Most newly sequenced isolates grouped within 233 clades formed by the officially accepted subtypes (Figure 1). The most basal sequences belonged 234 to Blastocystis isolates from reptiles and cockroaches along with those from ST15, ST16 and 235 ST17 in agreement with previous studies (Alfellani et al. 2013c; Yoshikawa et al. 2016). 236 Subtype 3 sequences grouped together and sister to a clustered formed by ST10, ST8 and ST4. 237 Subtypes 7, 9 and 6 clustered together, while ST11, ST2 and ST1 formed a separate clade. 238 Subtypes 13 and 14 were not well resolved even when a subtree was constructed (data not 239 shown). The ELB WW Elk 1 clone 1 did not fall within any of the 17 STs and its position 240 remains unresolved.

241

242 **DISCUSSION**

243 Approximately 61 animals from 27 species were examined. Forty one percent of all 244 animals were sequence positive for *Blastocystis*. In select cases, we attempted to establish 245 cultures of *Blastocystis*. The organism has been cultured in a wide range of media including egg 246 media with Locke's solution, Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium, Robinson's medium and 247 Jones' media (Clark and Diamond 2002; Tan 2008). The latter was a widely-used formulation 248 ideal for short term culturing of multiple subtypes (i.e. a few days). *Blastocystis* isolates 249 originating from endothermic hosts are customarily cultured at 35 °C. Reported here was 250 cultivation of *Blastocystis* from a water vole (Arvicola amphibius) in TYM media enriched with 251 FBS. The culture had been maintained in the laboratory for at least 11 months. Although the 252 origin of the isolate is an endothermic animal, it grew over abundantly at room temperature. This 253 indicates that some isolates of *Blastocystis* can grow at lower temperatures given certain types of 254 media. Whether all isolates of *Blastocystis* or only some can grow in TYM+FBS at room 255 temperature needs further study.

256 Most of the animals that we examined harbored a single subtype of *Blastocystis*. 257 Nonetheless, some animals carried more than one subtype. Mixed colonization was confirmed, 258 because we employed cloning and screened multiple colonies from each sample, while previous 259 studies only used direct sequencing from PCR products (Alfellani et al. 2013b; Alfellani et al. 260 2013c; Roberts et al. 2013; Stensvold et al. 2012; Stensvold 2013). Using this strategy, it was 261 found that elk (Alces alces) harbored four subtypes. There has been no previous reporting of 262 *Blastocystis* in elk; hence, to the best of our knowledge this is the first time the organism is being reported in this mammal. In cases where multiple subtypes are found within a single host, it is 263 264 important to exclude contamination from other sources. The park has a single elk, which is

265 housed in an isolated enclosure on its own. Moreover, the faecal sample was collected at the 266 moment of defecation precluding contamination from small, non-resident animals. More than 267 one subtype was also detected in pygmy goats (ST=3), red deer (ST=2) and water voles (ST=3). 268 Unlike in the case of the elk, we cannot definitively conclude that the detected subtypes in goats 269 originated from a single individual per se, since enclosures housed multiple animals of the same 270 species. While colonization with multiple subtypes is rare in humans, not much information is 271 available for other animal species (Meloni et al. 2012). In light of our findings, it is tempting to 272 speculate that the microbiota of at least some animals constitute of multiple Blastocystis 273 subtypes. Sampling from more animals and use of methodologies similar to ours will shed 274 further light as to whether presence of multiple subtypes is the norm within these and other 275 animals.

276 Water voles also constitute an interesting case. There are two, temporary, populations of 277 water vole being held within the park, together with permanent residents. These two groupings 278 of water vole are temporarily brought in to captivity as part of a licensed, development 279 mitigation programme and are subsequently to be introduced back into their natural environment 280 locations; two separate sites in Essex, UK. This study can report that 'wild' water vole harbored 281 ST4 only, whereas those in permanent captivity also harbored ST1. Wild water voles were 282 sampled multiple times, while captive ones provided only a limited number of samples. Despite 283 considerable effort (PCR, cloning and screening of clones) we were unable to detect ST1 in wild 284 water voles. It is tempting to speculate that the 'captive' water vole acquired ST1 after their 285 introduction in the park and that this is one of the many microbiota-related alterations associated 286 with life in captivity (Kohl et al. 2017; Waite and Taylor 2014). However, since captive voles 287 originated from two additional locations other than Essex, this hypothesis needs further testing 288 involving surveys of all populations of origin.

289 ST10 and ST4 were the most widely distributed subtypes, each isolated from five 290 species. As previously described, artiodactyls carried mostly ST10 (Alfellani et al. 2013c). It has 291 been speculated that rodents are reservoirs of ST4 for human infection, though not all rodent 292 species carry this specific ST (Alfellani et al., 2013b). Subtypes 3 and 17 were also found in 293 rodents in previous investigations (Alfellani et al. 2013a; Stensvold et al. 2009). Herein, this 294 study detected ST4 in all Blastocystis positive samples of rodents. Nonetheless, other subtypes 295 were also found in the screened rodents: ST10 in red squirrels and ST1, ST5 and ST10 in water 296 voles. Therefore, the study has been able to expand the number of subtypes recorded in rodents 297 by identifying ST1 and ST10. It was also possible to expand the range of subtypes identified in 298 goats to include ST14, along with the previously identified ST10, ST1, ST3, ST6 and ST7

(Alfellani et al. 2013b). The study also detected ST14 in four hosts, all of which belong to theartiodactyls.

301 To determine the monophyly and relationships among STs, phylogenetic analyses were 302 performed. Traditionally, sampling of *Blastocystis* had focused on primates, especially humans. 303 As a result, STs that were present in non-primates were reported infrequently and the clades of 304 these STs remained sparsely populated. For instance, the resolution of the ST13 and ST14 has 305 been problematic. Previously, Alfellani et al. (2013c) speculated that ST14 should be split into 306 two subtypes, but refrained from doing so pending further sampling. The current study has 307 shown that, when our isolates were added to the tree, ST14 splits into two distinct clades, with 308 our samples populating both of these clades. Hence, supporting the idea that it should be 309 considered as two STs. Moreover, one isolate from elk grouped independently of all other STs, 310 suggesting that this might be a subtype. Genetic divergence analysis of the barcode region 311 indicated that the genetic distance between our isolate and all other STs is over 5%, with the 312 exception of ST13, with which it differed by 4.4%. The recommended threshold to define a new 313 sequence is 5% divergence (Clark et al. 2013). Nonetheless, the full sequence and further 314 samples are needed to confirm this finding since this is an individual partial sequence.

In summary, we present here a comprehensive study of *Blastocystis* prevalence focusing exclusively on non-primate animals in a zoo setting in the UK. Presented here has been the presence of six subtypes, with one potentially being novel. Through the use of cloning, it has been possible to conclusively record the presence of multiple STs within an individual animal. The sequences generated from this study have populated STs that were considered rare and for which not many sequences exist. Collectively, these highlight the need for sampling from a wide range of hosts.

322

323 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

324 The authors declare no conflict of interest

325

326 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

327 This research was supported by BBSRC research grant (BB/M009971/1) to Dr. Anastasios D.

328 Tsaousis. Dr. Eleni Gentekaki was supported by the Mae Fah Luang University research grant

329 (601202). We thank members of the Dr. Tsaousis laboratory (2016/2017), Prof. Martin

330 Michaelis (University of Kent) and the keepers of the Wildwood Trust for assisting with sample

331 collection and accommodating us during our visitations.

333	
334	REFERENCES:
335	Abe N. (2004). Molecular and phylogenetic analysis of <i>Blastocystis</i> isolates from various hosts.
336	Veterinary Parasitology 120, 235-242. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.01.003 [doi].
337	Abe N., Nagoshi M., Takami K., Sawano Y. and Yoshikawa H. (2002). A survey of
338	Blastocystis sp. in livestock, pets, and zoo animals in Japan. Veterinary Parasitology 106, 203-
339	212. doi: S030440170200050X [pii].
340	Alfellani M. A., Jacob A. S., Perea N. O., Krecek R. C., Taner-Mulla D., Verweij J. J.,
341	Levecke B., Tannich E., Clark C. G. and Stensvold C. R. (2013a). Diversity and distribution
342	of Blastocystis sp. subtypes in non-human primates. Parasitology 140, 966-971. doi:
343	10.1017/S0031182013000255 [doi].
344	Alfellani M. A., Stensvold C. R., Vidal-Lapiedra A., Onuoha E. S., Fagbenro-Beyioku A. F.
345	and Clark C. G. (2013b). Variable geographic distribution of <i>Blastocystis</i> subtypes and its
346	potential implications. Acta Tropica 126, 11-18. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2012.12.011 [doi].
347	Alfellani M. A., Taner-Mulla D., Jacob A. S., Imeede C. A., Yoshikawa H., Stensvold C. R.
348	and Clark C. G. (2013c). Genetic diversity of <i>Blastocystis</i> in livestock and zoo animals. <i>Protist</i>
349	164, 497-509. doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2013.05.003 [doi].
350	Amenu K., Tesfaye D., Tilahun G. and Mekibib B. (2015). Gastrointestinal parasites of vervet
351	monkeys around Lake Hawassa recreational sites, southern Ethiopia. Comparative Clinical
352	Pathology 24, 1491-1496. doi: 10.1007/s00580-015-2105-0.
353	Capella-Gutierrez S., Silla-Martinez J. M. and Gabaldon T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for
354	automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics (Oxford,
355	England) 25, 1972-1973. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348 [doi].

- 356 Clark C. G. (1997). Extensive genetic diversity in *Blastocystis hominis*. Molecular and
- 357 Biochemical Parasitology 87, 79-83. doi: S0166-6851(97)00046-7 [pii].
- 358 Clark C. G. and Diamond L. S. (2002). Methods for cultivation of luminal parasitic protists of
- 359 clinical importance. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **15**, 329-341.
- 360 Clark C. G., van der Giezen M., Alfellani M. A. and Stensvold C. R. (2013). Recent
- developments in *Blastocystis* research. *Advances in Parasitology* 82, 1-32. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-
- 362 12-407706-5.00001-0 [doi].
- 363 **DeRenzis F. A. and Schechtman A.** (1973). Staining by neutral red and trypan blue in sequence
- 364 for assaying vital and nonvital cultured cells. *Stain Technology* **48**, 135-136.
- 365 Diamond L. S. (1983). Lumen dwelling protozoa: Entamoeba, trichomonads, and Giardia. In In
- 366 vitro cultivation of protozoan parasites (ed. Jensen J. B.), pp. 67-109. CRC Press, Boca Raton,
- 367 Fla.
- 368 **Diamond L. S.** (1982). A new liquid medium for xenic cultivation of *Entamoeba histolytica* and
- 369 other lumen-dwelling protozoa. *The Journal of Parasitology* **68**, 958-959.
- **Diamond L. S.** (1957). The establishment of various trichomonads of animals and man in axenic
- 371 cultures. *The Journal of Parasitology* **43**, 488-490.
- 372 Figueroa J. (2015). New records of parasites in free-ranging Andean bears from Peru. Ursus 26,
- 373 21-27. doi: 10.2192/URSUS-D-14-00034.1.
- 374 Katoh K. and Toh H. (2010). Parallelization of the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment
- program. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 26, 1899-1900. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq224
- 376 [doi].

- 377 Kohl K. D., Brun A., Magallanes M., Brinkerhoff J., Laspiur A., Acosta J. C., Caviedes-
- 378 Vidal E. and Bordenstein S. R. (2017). Gut microbial ecology of lizards: insights into diversity
- in the wild, effects of captivity, variation across gut regions and transmission. *Molecular*
- 380 *Ecology* **26**, 1175-1189. doi: 10.1111/mec.13921 [doi].
- 381 Lim Y. A., Ahmad R. A. and Smith H. V. (2008). Current status and future trends in
- 382 Cryptosporidium and Giardia epidemiology in Malaysia. Journal of Water and Health 6, 239-
- 383 254. doi: 10.2166/wh.2008.023 [doi].
- 384 Meloni D., Poirier P., Mantini C., Noel C., Gantois N., Wawrzyniak I., Delbac F., Chabe
- 385 M., Delhaes L., Dei-Cas E., Fiori P. L., El Alaoui H. and Viscogliosi E. (2012). Mixed human
- intra- and inter-subtype infections with the parasite *Blastocystis* sp. *Parasitology International*
- 387 **61**, 719-722. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2012.05.012 [doi].
- 388 Parkar U., Traub R. J., Vitali S., Elliot A., Levecke B., Robertson I., Geurden T., Steele J.,
- 389 Drake B. and Thompson R. C. (2010). Molecular characterization of *Blastocystis* isolates from
- zoo animals and their animal-keepers. *Veterinary Parasitology* **169**, 8-17. doi:
- 391 10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.12.032 [doi].
- 392 Perez Cordon G., Hitos Prados A., Romero D., Sanchez Moreno M., Pontes A., Osuna A.
- **and Rosales M. J.** (2008). Intestinal parasitism in the animals of the zoological garden "Pena
- 394 Escrita" (Almunecar, Spain). *Veterinary Parasitology* **156**, 302-309. doi:
- 395 10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.05.023 [doi].
- 396 Puebla L. E. J., Núñez F. A., Rivero L., Hernández Y. R., Millán I. A. and Müller N. (2017).
- 397 Prevalence of intestinal parasites and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* from
- dogs in La Habana, Cuba. Veterinary Parasitology: Regional Studies and Reports 8, 107-112.
- 399 doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vprsr.2017.01.011</u>.

- 400 Rajah Salim H., Suresh Kumar G., Vellayan S., Mak J. W., Khairul Anuar A., Init I.,
- 401 Vennila G. D., Saminathan R. and Ramakrishnan K. (1999). *Blastocystis* in animal handlers.
- 402 *Parasitology Research* **85**, 1032-1033.
- 403 Ramirez J. D., Sanchez A., Hernandez C., Florez C., Bernal M. C., Giraldo J. C., Reyes P.,
- 404 Lopez M. C., Garcia L., Cooper P. J., Vicuna Y., Mongi F. and Casero R. D. (2016).
- 405 Geographic distribution of human *Blastocystis* subtypes in South America. *Infection, Genetics*
- 406 and Evolution: Journal of Molecular Epidemiology and Evolutionary Genetics in Infectious
- 407 Diseases 41, 32-35. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2016.03.017 [doi].
- 408 **Roberts T., Stark D., Harkness J. and Ellis J.** (2013). Subtype distribution of *Blastocystis*
- 409 isolates from a variety of animals from New South Wales, Australia. Veterinary Parasitology
- 410 **196**, 85-89. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.01.011 [doi].
- 411 Ronquist F. and Huelsenbeck J. P. (2003). MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under
- 412 mixed models. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **19**, 1572-1574.
- 413 Ruaux C. G. and Stang B. V. (2014). Prevalence of *Blastocystis* in shelter-resident and client-
- 414 owned companion animals in the US Pacific Northwest. *PloS One* 9, e107496. doi:
- 415 10.1371/journal.pone.0107496 [doi].
- 416 Scanlan P. D., Stensvold C. R., Rajilic-Stojanovic M., Heilig H. G., De Vos W. M., O'Toole
- 417 **P. W. and Cotter P. D.** (2014). The microbial eukaryote *Blastocystis* is a prevalent and diverse
- 418 member of the healthy human gut microbiota. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **90**, 326-330. doi:
- 419 10.1111/1574-6941.12396 [doi].
- 420 Schar F., Inpankaew T., Traub R. J., Khieu V., Dalsgaard A., Chimnoi W., Chhoun C.,
- 421 Sok D., Marti H., Muth S. and Odermatt P. (2014). The prevalence and diversity of intestinal

Page 15 of 21

Parasitology

- 422 parasitic infections in humans and domestic animals in a rural Cambodian village. *Parasitology*
- 423 International 63, 597-603. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2014.03.007 [doi].
- 424 Scicluna S. M., Tawari B. and Clark C. G. (2006). DNA barcoding of Blastocystis. Protist
- 425 **157**, 77-85. doi: S1434-4610(05)00110-0 [pii].
- 426 Stamatakis A. (2006). RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses
- 427 with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 22, 2688-2690. doi:
- 428 btl446 [pii].
- 429 Stensvold C. R. (2013). Comparison of sequencing (barcode region) and sequence-tagged-site
- 430 PCR for *Blastocystis* subtyping. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **51**, 190-194. doi:
- 431 10.1128/JCM.02541-12 [doi].
- 432 Stensvold C. R., Alfellani M. and Clark C. G. (2012). Levels of genetic diversity vary
- 433 dramatically between *Blastocystis* subtypes. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution: Journal of*
- 434 *Molecular Epidemiology and Evolutionary Genetics in Infectious Diseases* **12**, 263-273. doi:
- 435 10.1016/j.meegid.2011.11.002 [doi].
- 436 Stensvold C. R., Alfellani M. A., Norskov-Lauritsen S., Prip K., Victory E. L., Maddox C.,
- 437 Nielsen H. V. and Clark C. G. (2009). Subtype distribution of *Blastocystis* isolates from
- 438 synanthropic and zoo animals and identification of a new subtype. *International Journal for*
- 439 Parasitology **39**, 473-479. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2008.07.006 [doi].
- 440 Stensvold C. R. and Clark C. G. (2016). Current status of *Blastocystis*: A personal view.
- 441 Parasitology International 65, 763-771. doi: S1383-5769(16)30154-4 [pii].

- 442 Tan K. S. (2008). New insights on classification, identification, and clinical relevance of
- 443 Blastocystis spp. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 21, 639-665. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00022-08
- 444 [doi].
- 445 Waite D. W. and Taylor M. W. (2014). Characterizing the avian gut microbiota: membership,
- 446 driving influences, and potential function. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **5**, 223. doi:
- 447 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00223 [doi].
- 448 Wang W., Owen H., Traub R. J., Cuttell L., Inpankaew T. and Bielefeldt-Ohmann H.
- 449 (2014). Molecular epidemiology of *Blastocystis* in pigs and their in-contact humans in Southeast
- 450 Queensland, Australia, and Cambodia. *Veterinary Parasitology* **203**, 264-269. doi:
- 451 10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.04.006 [doi].
- 452 Yoshikawa H., Koyama Y., Tsuchiya E. and Takami K. (2016). *Blastocystis* phylogeny
- 453 among various isolates from humans to insects. *Parasitology International* **65**, 750-759. doi:
- 454 S1383-5769(16)30057-5 [pii].

FIGURE LEGENDS

- 455
- 456
- 457
- 458
- 459 Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree inferred from 90 SSUrRNA sequences and
- 460 1163 sites.
- 461 Newly generated sequences are shown in **bold**. Numerical values on the branches indicate
- 462 bootstrap percentages and posterior probabilities in this order. Only bootstrap support values
- greater than 70 are shown. The accession numbers of all newly generated sequences are
- 464 presented in Table S1.

Host	Scientific Name	Location	Sample Number	PCR Positive	
Carnivora					
Badger	Meles meles	Wildwood	2	-	
European Brown Bear	Ursus arctos arctos	Wildwood	2	-	
Lynx	Lynx lynx	Wildwood	3	-	
Otter	Lutra lutra	Wildwood	7	-	
Pine Marten	Martes martes	Wildwood	2	1	
Polecat	Mustela putorius	Wildwood	1	-	
Red Fox	Vulpes vulpes	Wildwood	3	-	
Scottish Wild Cat	Felis silvestris	Wildwood	11	-	
Stoat	Mustela erminea	Wildwood	3	-	
2.0.00			C C		
Anseriformes					
Barnacle Goose	Branta leuconsis	Wildwood	1	_	
Pink Footed Goose	Anser brachvrhvnchus	Wildwood	1	_	
This Tooled Goose	inser ordenyrnynenus	Wildwood	1		
Artiodestyle					
Al houactyla Munting Deer	Muntiques romasi	Wildwood	1	1	
Fundado Deel	Munitacus reevest	Wildwood	1	1	
European Bison	Bison bonasus	Wildwood	3	5	
Eurasian Elk	Alces alces	Wildwood	2	1	
Pygmy Goat	Capra aegagrus hircus	Wildwood	2	2	
Red Deer	Cervus elaphus	Wildwood	1	1	
Reindeer	Rangifer tarandus	Wildwood	1	-	
Soay Sheep	Ovis aries	Wildwood	1	1	
Wild Boar	Sus scrofa	Wildwood	2	1	
Squamata					
Four-lined Snake	Elaphe quatuorlineata	Wildwood	1	-	
Eulipotyphla					
Hedgehog	Erinaceus europaeus	Wildwood	1	-	
Water Shrew	Neomys fodiens	Wildwood	6	-	
Passeriformes					
Raven	Corvus corax	Wildwood	3	-	
Red Billed Chough	Pvrrhocorax pvrrhocorax	Wildwood	1	-	
	y				
Rodentia					
Red Squirrel	Sciurus vulgaris	Wildwood	3	2	
Water Vole	Arvicola amphihius	Wildwood	2	2	
Water Vole	Arvicola amphibius	Bulnhan	(5) 30*	5	
Water Vole	Arvicola amphibius	Tilbury	(3) 1/4*	3	
water vore	Arvicola amphiblas	Thoury	(3) 14	5	
Dinnotadortia					
Wallahy	Maguapus milaguigaus	Wildwood	2	2	
vv allaUy	mucropus rujogriseus	wnawooa	3	2	

 Table 1: Animal samples collected from study hosts

*high sample number due to repetitive sampling from a small population

Table 2: Subtype results from sequencing positive samples

Host	Name	Location	PCR Positive	Sequence			Blasto	cystis ST		
			Samples	Positive Clones	ST1	ST4	ST5	ST10	ST 14	ST?
European Bison	Bison bonasus	Wildwood	3	11	-	-	-	11/11	-	-
Eurasian Elk	Alces alces	Wildwood	1	4	-	1/4	-	1/4	1/4	1/4
Muntjac Deer	Muntiacus reevesi	Wildwood	1	1	-	-	-	-	1/1	-
Pine Marten	Martes martes	Wildwood	1	1	-	1/4	-	-	-	-
Pygmy Goat	Capra aegagrus hircus	Wildwood	2	3	1/3	-	-	1/3	1/3	-
Red Deer	Cervus elaphus	Wildwood	1	8	-	2/8	-	6/8	-	-
Red Squirrel	Sciurus vulgaris	Wildwood	2	1	-	1/1	-	-	-	-
Soay Sheep	Ovis aries	Wildwood	1	1	-	-	-	-	1/1	-
Wallaby	Macropus rufogriseus	Wildwood	2	2	-	-	-	2/2	-	-
Water Vole	Arvicola amphibius	Wildwood	2	12	1/12	10/12	-	1/12	-	-
Water Vole PP	Arvicola amphibius	Bulphan	5	17	-	17/17	-	-	-	-
Water Vole TB	Arvicola amphibius	Tilbury	3	9	-	9/9	-	-	-	-
Wild Boar	Sus scrofa	Wildwood	1	1	-	-	1/1	-	-	-

Animal	Accession number
ELB_WW_Water vole 1_ clone 1	MF186640
ELB_WW_Water vole 3_clone 3	MF186641
ELB_WW_Water vole 2_clone 3	MF186642
ELB_WW_Water vole 2_clone 2	MF186643
ELB WW Water vole 1 clone 2	MF186644
ELB WW Captive Water vole 3 Subculture clone 4	MF186645
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole 1_Subculture_clone 3	MF186646
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole 1_Subculture_clone 2	MF186647
ELB_WW_Water vole 34_clone 3	MF186648
ELB_WW_Water vole 34_clone 1	MF186649
ELB_WW_Water vole 34_clone 2	MF186650
ELB_WW_Water vole 32_clone 3	MF186651
ELB_WW_Water vole 32_clone 2	MF186652
ELB_WW_Water vole 32_clone 1	MF186653
ELB_WW_Water vole 30_clone 3	MF186654
ELB_WW_Water vole 30_clone 2	MF186655
ELB WW Water vole 30 clone 1	MF186656
ELB WW Water vole 5 clone 2	MF186657
ELB WW Water vole 5 clone 3	MF186658
ELB WW Water vole 5 clone 1	MF186659
ELB WW Water vole 5 clone 5	MF186660
ELB WW Water vole 4 clone 3	MF186661
ELB WW Water vole 4 clone 3	MF186662
ELB_WW_Water vole 4_clone 1	MF186663
ELB_WW_Elk 1_clone 3	MF186664
ELB_WW_Elk 1_clone 1	MF186665
ELB_WW_Bison_1	MF186666
ELB_WW_Red squirrel_1	MF186667
ELB_WW_Red deer_1	MF186668
ELB_WW_Red deer_2	MF186669
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_1	MF186670
ELB_WW_Red deer_3	MF186671
ELB_WW_Bison_2	MF186672
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_2	MF186673
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_3	MF186674
ELB_WW_Bison 2_1	MF186675
ELB_WW_Bison_3	MF186676
ELB_WW_Red deer_4	MF186677
ELB_WW_Bison_4	MF186678
ELB_WW_Red deer_5	MF186679
ELB_WW_Bison 2_2	MF186680
ELB_WW_Bison_5	MF186681
ELB_WW_Bison_6	MF186682
ELB_WW_Red deer_6	MF186683
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_4	MF186684
ELB_WW_Red deer_7	MF186685
ELB_WW_Bison_7	MF186686
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_5	MF186687

Table S1: Corresponding accession numbers to the sequences shown in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1).

ELB_WW_Bison_8	MF186688
ELB_WW_Red deer_8	MF186689
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_6	MF186690
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_7	MF186691
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_8	MF186692
ELB_WW_Captive Water vole_9	MF186693
ELB_WW_Wallaby_1	MF186694
ELB_WW_Bison_SP6_clone 1	MF186695
ELB_WW_Elk_clone 1	MF186696
ELB_WW_Elk_clone 3	MF186697
ELB_WW_Goat 2_clone 1	MF186698
ELB_WW_Goat 2_clone 2	MF186699
ELB_WW_Muntjac_clone 3	MF186700
ELB_WW_Pine marten_clone 1	MF186701
ELB_WW_Water vole 1_clone 3	MF186702
ELB_WW_Water vole 2_clone 1	MF186703
ELB_WW_Water vole 3_clone 2	MF186704
ELB_WW_Water vole 3_clone 3	MF186705
ELB_WW_Water vole 5_clone 3	MF186706
ELB_WW_Sheep_clone 1	MF186707
ELB_WW_Wallaby_clone 1	MF186708
ELB_WW_Wild boar_clone 1	MF186709
ELB_WW_Goat 1_clone 1	MF186709



