

# **Kent Academic Repository**

Kivell, Tracy L., Rosas, Antonio, Estalrrich, Almudena, Huguet, Rosa, Garcia-Tabern Antonio, Rios, Luis and de la Rasilla, Marco (2017) *New Neandertal wrist bones from El Sidrón, Spain (1994-2009).* Journal of Human Evolution, 114. pp. 45-75. ISSN 0047-2484.

## **Downloaded from**

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/64157/ The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

## The version of record is available from

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.09.007

#### This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

**DOI** for this version

## Licence for this version

**UNSPECIFIED** 

## **Additional information**

#### Versions of research works

#### **Versions of Record**

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

#### **Author Accepted Manuscripts**

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

### **Enquiries**

If you have questions about this document contact <a href="ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk">ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</a>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <a href="Take Down policy">Take Down policy</a> (available from <a href="https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies">https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</a>).

New Neandertal wrist bones from El Sidrón, Spain (1994-2009) 1 2 Tracy L. Kivell<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Antonio Rosas<sup>c</sup>, Almudena Estalrrich<sup>c, d</sup>, Rosa Huguet<sup>e</sup>, Antonio García-3 Tabernero<sup>c</sup>, Luis Ríos<sup>c</sup>, Marco de la Rasilla<sup>f</sup> 4 5 6 <sup>a</sup>Animal Postcranial Evolution (APE) Lab, Skeletal Biology Research Centre, School of 7 Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury UK <sup>b</sup>Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, 8 9 Germany <sup>c</sup>Group of Paleoanthropology MNCN-CSIC, Department of Paleobiology, Museo Nacional de 10 11 Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, Madrid, Spain <sup>d</sup>Department of Paleoanthropology and Messel Research, Senckenberg Research Institute, 12 13 Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt, Germany <sup>e</sup>Institut Catala de Paleoecologia Humana i Evolucio Social (IPHES); Unidad Asociada al CSIC; 14 Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona, Spain 15 <sup>f</sup>Area de Prehistoria, Department of History, Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain 16 17 18 \*Corresponding author: 19 E-mail address: t.l.kivell@kent.ac.uk (T.L. Kivell) 20 21 22 Keywords: Carpal; Hand; Functional morphology; Scaphoid; Homo neanderthalensis

#### **Abstract**

Twenty-nine carpal bones of *Homo neanderthalensis* have been recovered from the site of El Sidrón (Asturias, Spain) during excavations between 1994–2009, alongside ~2500 other Neandertal skeletal elements dated to ~49,000 years ago. All bones of the wrist are represented, including adult scaphoids (n = 6), lunates (n = 2), triquetra (n = 4), pisiforms (n = 2), trapezia (n = 2), trapezoids (n = 5), capitates (n = 5), and hamates (n = 2), as well as one fragmentary and possibly juvenile scaphoid. Several of these carpals appear to belong to the complete right wrist of a single individual. Here we provide qualitative and quantitative morphological descriptions of these carpals, within a comparative context of other European and Near Eastern Neandertals, early and recent *Homo sapiens*, and other fossil hominins, including *Homo antecessor*, *Homo naledi*, and australopiths.

Overall, the El Sidrón carpals show characteristics that typically distinguish Neandertals from *Homo sapiens*, such as a relatively flat first metacarpal facet on the trapezium and a more laterally oriented second metacarpal facet on the capitate. However, there are some distinctive features of the El Sidrón carpals compared with most other Neandertals. For example, the tubercle of the trapezium is small with limited projection, while the scaphoid tubercle and hamate hamulus are among the largest seen in other Neandertals. Furthermore, three of the six adult scaphoids show a distinctive os-centrale portion, while another is a bipartite scaphoid with a truncated tubercle. The high frequency of rare carpal morphologies supports other evidence of a close genetic relationship among the Neandertals found at El Sidrón.

#### 1. Introduction

The El Sidrón Cave site (Asturias, Spain) has yielded over 2500 Neandertal (*Homo neanderthalensis*) skeletal elements from at least 13 individuals, making it the largest sample of this taxon in the Iberian Peninsula (Fortea et al., 2003; Rosas et al., 2006, 2015; Bastir et al., 2010). These remains were recovered from the El Sidrón karst system and have been dated to 49,000 years ago based on direct radiocarbon dating of a Neandertal bone fragment (Wood et al., 2013), as well as electron spin resonance, optically stimulated luminescence, and amino acid racemisation of the Neandertal remains and surrounding sediments (de Torres et al., 2010). The total sample represents seven adults, three adolescents, two juveniles, and one infant, and all skeletal elements are represented (Rosas et al., 2006, 2013). Geological, archaeological, and paleontological evidence suggests that these individuals likely represented a contemporaneous social group of Neandertals (Rosas et al., 2006; Santamaria et al., 2010). Genetic analyses confirm that these individuals represented a small, patrilocal community with low genetic diversity (Lalueza-Fox et al., 2010). Congenital defects are also present in the sample and likely reflect the low genetic diversity within this social group (Dean et al., 2013; Ríos et al., 2015).

Several morphological studies of the El Sidrón Neandertals have been conducted, including analyses of the crania (Rosas et al., 2008; Bastir et al., 2010; Pena-Melian et al., 2011), dentition (Rosas et al., 2006, 2013; Dean et al., 2013), ribs (Bastir et al., 2015, 2017), clavicles (Rosas et al., 2016), humeri (Rosas et al., 2015) and tali (Rosas et al., 2017). Among the preserved Neandertal remains at El Sidrón are multiple hand bones, including carpals, metacarpals, and phalanges that were discovered between 1994 and 2009. Like the whole El Sidrón sample, the hand remains were recovered from a restricted area in the Galeria del Osario within the El Sidrón cave system (Fig. 1). The carpals, metacarpals, and phalanges were found mixed with the rest of the skeletal elements without any special distribution (F5, F7, F8, F9, G6, and G8 m² of the archaeological excavations; Fig. 1). Although the handedness of the El Sidrón individuals has been addressed through analyses of their dentitions, showing a right-hand preference (Estalrrich and Rosas, 2013), the hand bones have not yet been described. Here we describe and analyze the El Sidrón carpals, including 29 specimens representing all eight carpal elements, and compare them with those of other European and Near Eastern Neandertals, recent and early *Homo sapiens*, other *Homo* taxa, and australopiths.

2. Materials and methods

All of the El Sidrón carpal bones were compared qualitatively and quantitatively to a sample of female and male recent modern humans (*H. sapiens*), including Europeans, small-bodied Khoisan, and skeletally robust Tierra del Fuegians, and a sample of fossil hominins (Table 1). The morphometric variables used for the comparative analyses are listed in Tables 2–9 and images of these measurements are provided in the box-and-whisker plots (see below) and elsewhere (Kivell and Begun, 2009; Begun and Kivell, 2011; Kivell et al., 2013a, b). All measurements were collected by one of us (TLK) on original specimens or surface models of original specimens, apart from the following specimens: the Shanidar 4 and La Chapelle capitates and the Regourdou 1 triquetrum, pisiform, and hamate, for which measurements were derived from surface models of casts. Measurements on 3D surface models were taken in Avizo® 6.3 (FEI Visualization Sciences Group). Furthermore, data for some Shanidar carpals and all of the early *H. sapiens* Dolní Věstonice specimens were obtained from Trinkaus (1983) and Sladek et al. (2000), respectively. Table 1 provides the details and sources of the comparative sample.

Since body mass is typically not available for fossil specimens or most extant specimens in comparative collections, each morphometric variable was divided by a geometric mean derived from a subset of variables on each carpal bone to adjust for carpal size (i.e., to create shape ratios; Mosimann, 1970; Jungers et al., 1995). For all carpals, a geometric mean was derived from the maximum mediolateral (ML) breadth, dorsopalmar (DP) height, and proximodistal (PD) length of the bone, unless otherwise stated. We investigated variation in the shape ratios (with sexes pooled) visually via box-and-whisker plots and principal components analysis (PCA) using a variance-covariance matrix. We used Spearman's rank correlation to test for potential significant correlations between the geometric mean and shape ratios (only significant correlations are reported). All statistical analyses were conducted in PAST.3.14 (Hammer et al., 2001).

#### 3. Anatomical description of the El Sidrón carpal bones

- 29 3.1. Scaphoids (Table 2, Fig. 2)
- 30 <u>SD-744 right scaphoid</u> *Preservation.* This bone is largely complete and generally well-preserved
- apart from the distomedial region. There is a large fragment missing from the distomedial border
- that reaches proximally to the capitate facet. Much of the capitate articular surface is eroded but
- 33 trabeculae are not exposed. Small fragments are missing from the proximopalmar portion of the
- capitate facet and distal and medial regions of the tubercle.

- 1 Morphology. This scaphoid is large both DP and PD. The tubercle is long and robust, especially
- 2 at its base. The trapezium-trapezoid articulation extends almost to the tip of the tubercle and the
- 3 relatively flat trapezium facet is distinguished from the smaller trapezoid facet by a slight
- 4 concavity and change in orientation. The radial facet is DP taller than it is PD long and mildly
- 5 convex throughout. There is a deep groove between the radial and trapezoid facets. The
- 6 borders of the lunate facet are poorly defined, but it is PD narrow and DP tall and extends along
- 7 the proximomedial edge of the scaphoid to roughly the middle of the capitate facet. The
- 8 capitate facet is oval-shaped, being DP taller than it is PD long, and shallowly concave.

- 10 SDR-064 left scaphoid Preservation. This bone is largely complete, but there are large
- fragments missing from the middle and distal portions of the capitate facet and the base of the
- tubercle, exposing trabeculae in some regions. Portions of the dorsal and palmar borders of the
- capitate facet are preserved such that the maximum dimension of the articular surface is clear.
- 14 The remainder of the bone is well-preserved.
- 15 *Morphology.* The morphology of this scaphoid is similar to that described for SD-744 but with
- some important differences. There is a small, semi-circular projection roughly midway along the
- distal border of the scaphoid body that is similar to a morphological condition found rarely in
- modern humans and described here as a distinct os centrale portion (Leboucq, 1884; Dwight,
- 19 1907; Virchow, 1929). What is preserved of the capitate facet suggests that it is slightly more
- 20 concave than SD-744. The trapezium facet morphology is similar to SD-744, but what is
- 21 preserved of the trapezoid facet suggests that the articular surface was concavo-convex.

- 23 <u>SD-96 right scaphoid</u> *Preservation.* This bone is largely complete, apart from a large fragment
- 24 missing from the proximolateral half of the bone, exposing trabeculae. There is also a crack
- running PD through the capitate and trapezoid facets at roughly the midline. Small fragments
- are missing from the proximolateral border of its truncated tubercle, the distolateral corner of the
- capitate facet, and from the most lateral point of the trapezoid facet, exposing trabeculae.
- 28 Morphology. This specimen differs from the other El Sidrón scaphoids in that the tubercle is
- truncated at its base, 6.6 mm from the most palmar extent of the capitate facet. The tubercle
- ends with a smooth, flat, square-shaped facet, measuring 8.3 mm in PD length and 11.0 mm in
- 31 ML breadth, that is mostly palmarly and slightly laterally oriented. This morphology is consistent
- with a bipartite scaphoid that has been described in modern humans, in which separate
- ossification centers have been retained as separate bones in adulthood (Dwight, 1907). An
- associated separate tubercle or equally unusual trapezium have not yet been found at the El

Sidrón site. This unusual morphology alters the trapezium-trapezoid articulation as well; they

are not continuous, unlike in the other scaphoid specimens. What is presumed to be the

trapezium facet is complete (although it likely continued onto the associated portion of the

4 bipartite scaphoid), small, and triangular shaped, measuring 9.8 mm in PD length and 6.0 mm in

5 DP height. It is oriented at a strong angle to the truncated tubercle facet, such that it is primarily

6 medially oriented. There is a deep sulcus and change in orientation distinguishing it from the

trapezoid facet, which is relatively flat and appears "raised" from the body of the scaphoid. The

8 trapezoid articulation is more distopalmarly oriented and measures 12.5 mm in maximum DP

9 height and 8.8 mm in ML breadth. The radial and capitate facets are relatively PD longer than

that of the other El Sidrón scaphoids, such that the overall shape is more round rather than

oval-shaped. The distal border of the capitate facet is "open" (Tocheri, 2007), despite the

idiosyncratic morphology. The lunate facet is poorly defined but also appears to be distinct from

the other scaphoids in being limited to the dorsal portion of the scaphoid, rather than extending

along the proximal border of the scaphoid.

14 15 16

17

18

23

24

7

10

11

13

SD-110 right scaphoid fragment, possibly juvenile Preservation. This specimen is most likely a

proximodorsal fragment of a right scaphoid, preserving a portion of the lunate facet and the

proximodorsal borders of the capitate and radial facets. The entire preserved surface, apart

19 from the lunate facet, is porous and the facets are poorly defined.

20 Morphology. This fragment measures 13.2 mm in DP height, 9.9 mm in PD length, and 7.1 mm

in ML breadth. This bone is identified as a scaphoid fragment based on the preservation of

22 slightly concave articular surface, a medially and proximally oriented articular facet, and a

convex laterally oriented facet that are consistent with capitate, lunate, and radial articular

surfaces, respectively. Its small size, poorly defined facets, and porous cortex together suggest

25 that this specimen could be juvenile. This morphology is consistent with human scaphoid

development between 6–10 years of age (Baker et al., 2005; Kivell, 2007). However, the bone is

ML thick at the capitate-radial facet border, suggesting it could be from a small adult but with a

strongly eroded surface.

29

32 33

27

28

30 <u>SD-258 left scaphoid</u> *Preservation.* This bone is complete and well-preserved. There are small

fragments missing from the distomedial corner of the tubercle base and the proximal border of

the capitate facet, exposing trabeculae. There is a crack exposing trabeculae along the non-

articular area between the radial facet and tubercle of the scaphoid's proximal surface.

1 Morphology. This bone is most similar in its morphology to that described above for SDR-064.

2 primarily because it also preserves a small, semi-circular projection or distinct os centrale

portion along the distal border of the scaphoid. This morphology is better preserved in SD-258

4 than in SDR-064. The projection acts to "close" the distal border of the capitate facet (Tocheri,

5 2007) more so than in the other El Sidrón scaphoids that lack this morphological feature and

6 makes the capitate facet appear more deeply concave. This projecting os centrale portion also

7 creates a unique morphology of the trapezoid facet. Distally, the trapezium and trapezoid facets

8 are continuous and each measures 13.4 mm and 11.1 mm in their maximum dimension (DP

9 height), respectively. However, the trapezoid articular area is strongly concavo-convex and can

be divided into three regions: (1) it is distinguished from the trapezium facet by a concavity at

the palmar-lateral region, (2) a mildly convex and distally oriented dorsomedial portion, and (3) a

generally flat and more laterally oriented dorsolateral region that extends onto the projecting os

centrale portion. The scaphoid tubercle is extremely robust, especially at its base, and less

proximally oriented than SDR-064.

14 15 16

17

18

10

12

13

SD-679b left scaphoid fragment Preservation. This specimen preserves only the dorsal half of

the scaphoid, including the lunate facet, most of the radial and capitate facets apart from their

proximal borders, and part of the trapezoid facet. The scaphoid is broken dorsal to the base of

the tubercle, exposing trabeculae. There is a fragment missing from the proximal border of the

20 radial facet that distorts the full extent of the lunate facet.

21 Morphology. The preserved morphology of this specimen measures 18.1 mm in DP height, 15.1

22 mm in PD length, and 8.0 mm in ML breadth. The morphology preserved in this specimen is

23 most similar to SD-258 because it has a projection or distinct os centrale portion along its distal

border. There is an indentation between the radial facet and os centrale portion that

accentuates its projection distally. The preserved area of the trapezoid facet is distolaterally

oriented and generally flat.

27 28

34

SD-1243 left scaphoid *Preservation*. This scaphoid is complete and well-preserved, apart from a

29 small fragment missing from the distal border of the capitate facet and erosion of the cortex from

most of the trapezoid facet and non-articular region between the radial and capitate facets,

31 exposing trabeculae.

32 *Morphology.* The overall morphology of SD-1243 is similar to that described for SD-744,

although it is absolutely smaller in its DP and PD dimensions. The distal border of the capitate

facet is not complete, but the preserved morphology and relatively small trapezoid facet suggest

that this border is "open" (Tocheri, 2007) and that this specimen did not have a small, distal

2 projection like that of SDR-064, SD-258, and SD-679b.

3

- 4 3.2 Lunates (Table 3, Fig. 3)
- 5 SD-85 right lunate *Preservation*. This bone is complete and well-preserved. There are small
- 6 fragments of cortex missing from the palmar-medial edge of the capitate facet and the palmar
- 7 border of the triquetrum facet.
- 8 Morphology. This lunate is ML broad, making its breadth relatively equal with its DP height and
- 9 PD length and giving the bone an overall round shape. The scaphoid facet is positioned roughly
- at the DP midline of the lunate's lateral side. The scaphoid facet is flat, DP short and PD narrow,
- and primarily laterally oriented at a roughly 90° angle to the capitate facet. The palmar portion of
- the scaphoid facet is more distally oriented than the dorsal portion, such that it can be seen from
- the distal view of the bone. The distal articulation has separate facets for the capitate and
- hamate that blend into one another; the hamate facet is distinguished by a slightly more medial
- orientation, measuring 9.7 mm in DP height and 4.4 mm in ML breadth. The triquetrum facet is
- generally flat and rectangular in shape. The radial facet dominates the proximal view of the
- 17 Iunate. It is ML broad and continuously convex both DP and ML.

18

- 19 SD-1011a right lunate *Preservation*. This bone is complete and well-preserved, apart from
- 20 erosion of the cortex on its medial side, obscuring the full extent of the triquetrum facet, a thin
- 21 fracture running DP roughly through the sagittal midline of the radial facet, a crack in the cortex
- 22 along the dorsomedial edge of the radial facet, exposing trabeculae, and damage to the non-
- 23 articular cortex of the palmar surface.
- 24 *Morphology*. This bone is slightly larger in absolute size than SD-85, but its overall morphology
- is identical, apart from a few minor differences. The palmar portion of the scaphoid facet is
- 26 slightly more distally oriented and the hamate facet is also more distinct from the capitate facet
- by being slightly more medially oriented than in SD-85.

- 30 3.3 Triquetra (Table 4, Fig. 4)
- 31 SD-1227 left triguetrum *Preservation*. This bone is almost complete and generally well-
- 32 preserved. It is missing the most medial portion of the body, truncating the medial edges of the
- pisiform and hamate facets, although the overall size of the morphology can be confidently
- estimated. The cortex is eroded at the palmar and dorsal corners of the hamate facet's lateral
- 35 edge.

- 1 Morphology. This bone is squat in its overall shape, being DP tall but ML narrow, such that the
- 2 overall shape in distal or proximal view is roughly that of a right-angled triangle. The lunate facet
- 3 is oriented at a right angle to the hamate facet, and is flat and triangular-shaped (the palmar
- 4 portion is PD longer and then tapers dorsally). The hamate facet, like the overall shape of the
- 5 triquetrum body, is ML short and DP tall. It is mildly concave DP and mildly convex at the
- 6 dorsomedial border. The pisiform facet is positioned approximately 3.0 mm from the lateral edge
- of the triquetrum body, and is flat, palmarly oriented, and oval-shaped (slightly ML broader than
- 8 it is PD long). The non-articular proximomedial surface is excavated by a deep pit for the ulnar
- 9 collateral ligament attachment.

- 11 <u>SD-58 right triquetrum</u> *Preservation.* This triquetrum is missing most of its proximal and medial
- cortical surface and part of the lateral surface, exposing trabeculae. The cortex is eroded from
- the proximopalmar portion of the lunate facet, and the proximal and lateral borders of the
- pisiform facet are not preserved, while the hamate facet is generally complete.
- 15 Morphology. The preserved morphology of this bone is virtually identical in size and shape to
- that described above for SD-1227.

17

- 18 SD-1022a left triquetrum Preservation. This bone is missing a large fragment from its palmar-
- 19 medial corner such that none of the pisiform facet is preserved. The lunate and hamate facets
- are generally complete and most of the non-articular proximal surface is preserved.
- 21 Morphology. This bone is slightly smaller in absolute size than other triquetra in the El Sidrón
- sample, but the morphology is similar to that described for SD-1227. Morphological differences
- 23 include a more rectangular-shaped lunate facet and that the non-articular bulbous portion of the
- 24 palmar-lateral corner of the proximal surface is not as pronounced. Although much of the palmar
- and medial portions of the triquetrum are missing, the preserved morphology indicates a
- similarly stout overall shape as seen in SD-1227 and SD-58 (but unlike SD-1813, see below).

- 28 SD-1813 right triquetrum *Preservation*. This triquetrum is missing most of its palmar-lateral
- 29 portion, such that the palmar portion of the lunate and hamate facets is not preserved, but is
- 30 otherwise complete.
- 31 Morphology. The morphology of this triquetrum differs from that of the remaining El Sidrón
- 32 triquetra in several aspects. The overall shape of the triquetrum body is ML broader and DP
- 33 shorter. The hamate facet is also ML broader and appears DP shorter, and is slightly more
- dorsally oriented than the hamate facets of the other triquetra. The medial border of the hamate

- 1 facet curves sharply onto a smooth medial surface of the triguetrum body. Although much of the
- 2 palmar-lateral surface is eroded, it is clear that the pisiform facet is more medially positioned on
- 3 the triquetrum body and is slightly more medially oriented (rather than directly palmarly
- 4 oriented). The lunate facet is slightly more PD convex, but the preserved morphology suggests
- 5 a generally triangular-shaped facet as in the other triquetra.

- 7 3.4 Pisiforms (Table 5, Fig. 4)
- 8 <u>SD-29 right pisiform</u> *Preservation*. This bone is complete and well-preserved, apart from erosion
- 9 of the cortex on the lateral, non-articular surface that exposes trabeculae.
- 10 Morphology. This pisiform is considered to be from the right side based on siding methods
- outlined in Baker et al. (2005). This bone is pea-shaped. The triquetrum facet is well-defined,
- oval-shaped (longer PD and shorter ML), mildly concave throughout its PD length, and
- proximally positioned on the dorsal surface of the pisiform body.

14

- SD-646 right pisiform *Preservation*. This bone is complete and well-preserved. The cortex is
- eroded from the dorsal surface of the non-articular region and distal edge of the triquetrum
- facet, and from the distal half of the lateral side, exposing trabeculae.
- 18 Morphology. This bone is slightly larger and more robust than SD-29, but otherwise the
- 19 morphology is identical.

20

- 21 3.5 Trapezia (Table 6, Fig. 5)
- 22 SD-373 right trapezium *Preservation*. This bone is complete and perfectly preserved.
- 23 Morphology. This trapezium has a saddle-shaped (concave ML and convex DP) first metacarpal
- 24 (Mc1) facet that is broad and relatively flat. The trapezium tubercle is not robust and does not
- project strongly either palmarly or laterally. Similarly, the sulcus for the flexor carpi radialis
- tendon is shallow. The scaphoid facet is large and rectangular-shaped compared to the smaller
- trapezoid facet. Both facets are ML broader than they are DP tall. The Mc2 facet is rectangular
- in shape, being PD longer than it is DP tall, and faces distomedially.

- 30 <u>SD-2019 right trapezium</u> *Preservation.* This bone is missing its tubercle, but is otherwise
- complete and well-preserved. The cortex is eroded at the proximal edge of the non-articular
- dorsal surface and at the junction of the scaphoid and trapezoid facets, exposing trabeculae.
- 33 *Morphology.* The preserved morphology of this bone is identical to that described for SD-373.
- Although the tubercle is missing, the preserved base of the tubercle suggests that it was likely

- small like that of SD-373, and the flexor carpi radialis tendon sulcus is similarly shallow. The
- 2 Mc1 facet is less well-defined along its palmar border but is clearly expanded and of the same
- 3 general morphology as that described in SD-373.

- 5 3.6 Trapezoids (Table 7, Fig. 6)
- 6 <u>SD-28 right trapezoid</u> *Preservation.* This bone is complete and well-preserved apart from
- 7 erosion of the cortex at the dorsal edge of the lateral Mc2 facet and at the dorsal junction
- 8 between the trapezium and scaphoid facets, exposing trabeculae.
- 9 Morphology. This bone has an expanded non-articular palmar surface and an overall "boot-
- shape." The Mc2 articulation is relatively flat, such that the articular surface is primarily distally
- oriented and there is no distinct ridge between the (larger) medial and lateral sides of the facet.
- 12 A flat, well-defined trapezium facet occupies most of the lateral surface. Proximally, the
- scaphoid facet is large, mildly concave, roughly triangular-shaped, and DP taller than it is ML
- broad. Medially, SD-28 has two capitate facets; a smaller dorsal facet and larger palmar facet
- that measure 3.6 mm and 6.1 mm in PD length and 4.3 mm and 4.9 mm in DP height,
- respectively. The capitate facets are separated by a deep attachment for the capitotrapezoid
- interosseous ligament. In dorsal view, the non-articular surface is diamond-shaped, being
- roughly equally broad in its PD length and ML breadth.

19

- 20 <u>SD-94 right trapezoid fragment</u> *Preservation*. The specimen preserves the dorsolateral portion
- of a right trapezoid. The dorsodistal portion of the trapezium facet, dorsolateral portion of the
- lateral Mc2 articulation, and the majority of the non-articular dorsal surface is preserved.
- 23 Morphology. The limited morphology that is preserved in this specimen is similar to that
- described for SD-28, although its overall size is smaller. The preserved morphology measures
- 10.7 mm in DP height, 11.9 mm in PD length, and 10.9 in ML breadth.

26

- 27 SD-104 left trapezoid fragment Preservation. This specimen preserves only the dorsal non-
- articular surface and dorsal border of the scaphoid facet.
- 29 Morphology. The shape of the dorsal surface is similar to that described for SD-28. The overall
- 30 size is smaller than SD-28 and more similar to SD-94.

- 32 <u>SD-529 right trapezoid</u> *Preservation.* This bone is complete and generally well-preserved, but
- the cortex is slightly abraded such that the articular facets on the proximal and medial sides are
- 34 not well-defined.

- 1 *Morphology.* This trapezoid is substantially smaller than SD-28, but it overall morphology is very
- 2 similar. The few differences in morphology include a less expanded non-articular palmar portion
- 3 (although the overall boot-shape is clear) and an Mc2 facet that is even flatter than in SD-28,
- 4 with little variation in the orientation of the medial and lateral portions of the facet. The medial
- 5 trapezoid surface is PD short and is slightly abraded, but it does not appear to have a separate
- 6 dorsal capitate facet as in SD-28. The palmar half of the medial side is dominated by an
- 7 expanded, rectangular shaped capitate facet measuring 6.3 mm in DP height and 4.0 mm in PD
- 8 length.

- SD-1022b left trapezoid *Preservation*. This bone preserves the dorsal and lateral portions of a
- left trapezoid, but the cortex is strongly abraded, obscuring much of the morphology. Most of
- the lateral portion of the scaphoid facet, the proximal portion of the trapezium facet, and the
- dorsolateral corner of the Mc2 facet are preserved, but none is complete.
- 14 Morphology. The preserved morphology of SD-1022b and its overall size is similar to that
- described for SD-28.

16

33

- 17 3.7 Capitates (Table 8, Fig. 7)
- 18 <u>SD-1034 left capitate</u> *Preservation*. This bone is complete and perfectly preserved apart from a
- 19 crack at the most proximomedial extent of the scaphoid facet on the capitate head and erosion
- 20 of the cortex at the most dorsal border of the lunate facet, exposing trabeculae.
- 21 Morphology. This is a robust and squat capitate, appearing DP tall and ML broad for its PD
- length, with minimal capitate "waisting." The palmar portion of the capitate body is robust. The
- 23 lateral portion of the non-articular dorsal surface is strongly indented, creating a "scooped"
- dorsal outline in sagittal view. The lunate and scaphoid articular regions are clearly defined,
- such that the lunate facet is flat in its dorsal outline and slightly medially oriented, while the
- scaphoid facet is round in dorsal view and laterally expanded. In proximal view, the lunate facet
- 27 is DP shorter than the scaphoid facet. In lateral view, the scaphoid facet extends distally to the
- 28 PD midline of the capitate's body, and its dorsal portion is continuous with a small, dorsal
- trapezoid facet, measuring 5.5 mm in PD length and 3.3 mm in DP height. The dorsal trapezoid
- facet is separated by a non-articular sulcus from a larger palmar trapezoid facet, measuring 4.7
- mm in PD length and 6.9 mm in DP height. The dual dorsal and palmar trapezoid facets are
- 32 consistent with dual capitate facets present on the right SD-28 trapezoid.
  - The Mc2 facet can be clearly seen in lateral view. It is a single, large articulation that extends most of the DP height of the capitate body and is DP concave. The palmar half of the

facet is more distally oriented than the dorsal half, but overall the facet is primarily laterally

2 oriented. The Mc2 facet angle, defined as the angle between the Mc2 and Mc3 facets

3 (Niewoehner et al., 1997; their "CMC2A"), is approximately 71°, reflecting a primarily laterally

4 facing facet. In distal view, the Mc3 articulation is triangular in outline and generally smooth,

with a slight concavity at the palmar-medial edge. The dorsolateral corner of the Mc3 facet is

truncated, convex, and more proximally oriented, making room for a short styloid process on the

base of the Mc3.

In medial view, that hamate facet is PD concave and is divided into two parts; the proximal  $\frac{3}{4}$  is separated from the distal  $\frac{1}{4}$  by a narrow non-articular region, such that the hamate articulation is connected only via a thin strip along the dorsal border.

11 12

14

16

19

21

22

23

10

5

6 7

8 9

SD-557 right capitate Preservation. This bone is complete and generally well-preserved apart

from the lateral side. There is a fragment missing from the palmar-lateral border of the scaphoid

facet and much of the cortex is abraded at the most distal extent of the scaphoid articular

surface. A large fragment is missing from the lateral border of the Mc3 articulation such that all

of the Mc2 facet and (potential) dorsal trapezoid facet are missing, and part of the palmar

trapezoid facet is obscured.

18 Morphology. This bone is slightly smaller in overall size compared with SD-1034, but otherwise

the preserved morphology is very similar. Its smaller size is likely accentuated by its poorer

20 preservation, and the size variation between SD-557 and SD-1034 is consistent with bilateral

asymmetry within a single individual. This specimen better preserves the distodorsal extent of

the proximal articulation than in SD-1034. The lunate facet does not extend far distally onto the

dorsal surface, and relative to the hamate facet, the lunate facet is more medially oriented such

that its distal border runs obliquely across the dorsal surface of the capitate.

2425

26

27

28

29

33

34

SDR-063 left capitate *Preservation*. This specimen preserves only the medial and proximal

regions of a left capitate. All of the capitate head is preserved, apart from the most distal extent

of the scaphoid articulation on the lateral side. Medially, the proximal \(^3\)4 of the hamate facet and

most of the non-articular palmar region of the body is preserved.

30 Morphology. This bone is slightly smaller in overall size than SD-1034 and SD-557. The

31 preserved morphology is generally similar to that described for SD-1034, but with a few subtle

distinctions. In lateral view, there is a deep and PD longer non-articular region dividing the

palmar scaphoid and trapezoid articular regions. The palmar trapezoid facet is less expansive; it

is a narrow oval-shaped facet, measuring 2.6 mm in PD length and an estimated 5.2 mm in DP

- 1 height. The capitate is broken along the dorsal edge of the trapezoid facet, but the preserved
- 2 morphology appears to be the full extent of the facet. Only the palmar 1/3 of the Mc2 facet is
- 3 preserved (measuring 5.5 mm in PD length and 5.3 mm in DP height), but it is more laterally
- 4 oriented than the slightly more distally oriented morphology of SD-1034. The oblique, medially
- 5 oriented distodorsal border of the lunate facet is more pronounced than that of SD-557.

- 7 <u>SD-400 right capitate head</u> *Preservation.* This specimen preserves only the majority of the
- 8 capitate head and a small portion of the palmar non-articular surface. There is a large fragment
- 9 missing from the palmar surface of the scaphoid facet and a fracture running obliquely through
- the proximopalmar portion of the hamate facet.
- 11 Morphology. The preserved morphology suggests that this specimen was roughly the same size
- as SD-1034 and SD-557. The limited preserved morphology is identical to that described for
- 13 SD-1034.

14

- SD-632a left capitate *Preservation*. This specimen preserves only the dorsal portion of the
- capitate and is broken (and refitted) obliquely across the approximate PD midline of the capitate
- body. Most of the dorsal surface is preserved, albeit the cortex is eroded in some areas, as well
- as the dorsal portions of the proximal head, hamate, and metacarpal facets, and the non-
- 19 articular surface on the lateral side. There is general abrasion of the lateral capitate surface
- 20 obscuring some of the articular morphology.
- 21 Morphology. This bone is slightly smaller in overall size than SDR-063. The preserved
- morphology is generally similar to that described for SD-1034. Abrasion of the lateral surface
- 23 makes it impossible to determine if there is a separate dorsal articulation for the trapezoid. Most
- of the Mc2 facet is preserved, measuring 9.3 mm in DP height and 4.9 mm in PD length (but it is
- 25 not complete), and mildly concave DP. It is primarily laterally oriented, with a Mc2 facet angle of
- approximately 58°, but more distally oriented than the morphology preserved in SDR-063 or SD-
- 27 1034. Part of the palmar trapezoid facet is also retained, measuring 3.1 mm in DP height and
- 4.2 mm in PD length, although the full extent of this facet or its potential continuity with the
- 29 scaphoid articular surface cannot be determined.

- 31 3.8 Hamates (Table 9, Fig. 8)
- 32 <u>SD-742 right hamate</u> *Preservation.* This specimen preserves the hamulus and proximal half of
- the hamate body. The palmer border of the distal metacarpal articulation is also preserved.

- 1 Morphology. This hamate has a robust and palmarly extended hamulus relative to the size of
- the hamate body. The hamulus extends almost exclusively palmarly, with little distal extension
- beyond what is preserved of the hamate body. Only the proximal half of the capitate facet is
- 4 preserved and is generally flat, except for a slight concavity at the palmar border. The proximal
- 5 portion of the triquetrum facet is DP and ML convex and is slightly dorsally oriented. There is no
- 6 clear distinction between the triguetrum and lunate articular surfaces. Distally, the Mc5 facet is
- 7 more medially oriented than the Mc4 facet. The preserved palmar portions of the metacarpal
- 8 articulation suggest that the Mc4 facet is ML broader and more concave (both DP and ML) than
- 9 the narrower and flatter Mc5 facet. The Mc5 facet extends onto the hamulus and therefore
- projects further palmarly than does the hamate body.

- 12 <u>SDR-158 left hamate hamulus</u> *Preservation.* This specimen preserves only the hamulus and
- part of the distal metacarpal articulation, but the cortex is strongly abraded in most areas.
- 14 Morphology. The limited preserved morphology of this specimen is similar to that described for
- SD-742. Abrasion of the distal articular surfaces prohibits determining the relative size of the
- Mc4 and Mc5 facets. The hamulus measures 15.2 mm in DP height.

17 18

- 3.9 Minimum number of individuals
- 19 The minimum number of individuals based on the largest sample of any carpal element—the
- 20 scaphoid—is six adults and possibly one juvenile. Examination of all El Sidrón carpals revealed
- 21 that several specimens from the F8 square and two specimens from the F7 square (Fig. 1) were
- of a similar size and morphology and articulated well together. These specimens, including two
- antimeres, are interpreted as likely being associated with a single individual and are referred to
- together as "Hand 1" (Fig. 9). The right scaphoid (SD-744), trapezium (SD-373), trapezoid (SD-
- 25 28), and capitate (SD-557) are confidently attributed to the same individual as their articular
- surfaces are all well matched. The right lunate (SD-85), triquetrum (SD-58), and hamate (SD-
- 27 742), as well as their antimeres (left SD-1227 triguetrum and SD-1034 capitate), are associated
- with Hand 1 with reasonable certainty due to poor preservation of the capitate facet on the SD-
- 29 742 hamate and the more ambiguous articular morphology of the lunatoscaphoid and
- 30 lunatotriquetrum facets. Although the size and preservation of the right SD-29 pisiform matches
- that of the remaining carpals, the flat articulation between the pisiform and triquetrum makes
- this association more questionable. Some of the carpals of Hand 1 also articulate well with left
- and right metacarpals also recovered from El Sidrón but that are not described in this study.

## 4. Comparative morphology

#### 4.1 Scaphoid

 A geometric mean of scaphoid size was derived from the DP height and ML breadth of the scaphoid body, and DP height and PD length of the radial facet to maximize the comparative fossil sample. Box-and-whisker plots of scaphoid shape ratios show that, relative to most other Neandertals, the El Sidrón scaphoids are relatively ML narrow but PD long and DP tall (Fig. 10). In this way, the El Sidrón scaphoids are generally similar to the relative proportions of *Australopithecus* sp. (StW 618), *Australopithecus sediba* (MH2), and *H. naledi* (U.W. 101-807, -1639, and -1726), but also fall within the range of variation seen in *H. sapiens*. There is also substantial overlap and variation across the entire comparative sample for each metric. The El Sidrón scaphoid's capitate facet is PD longer than most other Neandertals, apart from Regourdou 1 and the Shanidar median, while the radial facet is relatively DP taller than most other Neandertals, and most similar to Tabun 1 and Kebara 2 (Fig. 10). Together, the El Sidrón scaphoid shape ratios are similar to those of other Neandertals, but the overall morphology is not identical to any one Neandertal specimen in particular.

A principal components analysis (PCA) including six scaphoid shape ratios (Table 10) allowed for all fossil specimens to be included, apart from SD-744 and the fragmentary scaphoid specimens SD-110 and -679b. The first two principal components explain 71.7% of the total variance (Table 10). The first PC generally separates small-bodied recent humans from other modern humans and most fossil taxa in having a relatively PD longer capitate facet and DP taller height of the scaphoid but shorter DP height of the radial facet (Fig. 11). The separation of small-bodied recent humans from other modern humans along PC1 suggests that, despite using shape ratios, size may still account for some of the variation across the samples. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient revealed that only the relative PD length of the capitate facet, which loaded heavily on PC1, was significantly (negatively) correlated with the scaphoid's geometric mean ( $r_s = -0.359$ , p = 0.006). Regourdou 1 and most Shanidar specimens (apart from Shanidar 3) are distinct in grouping with small-bodied humans. The second PC distinguishes H. naledi and Australopithecus sp. StW 618 with relatively DP taller but ML narrower scaphoid bodies from the Shanidar scaphoids with the opposite morphology. All other fossils specimens generally fall within the modern human range of variation. Most of the El Sidrón scaphoids fall within the center of the recent human distribution and are most similar to early H. sapiens (Qafzeh 9 and Arene Candide 2). Specimen SD-96, the bipartite scaphoid, falls out next to the Tabun 1 Neandertal, but still within the human distribution (Fig. 11).

Like other Neandertals (McCown and Keith, 1939; Trinkaus, 1982, 1983; Villemeur, 1994), the El Sidrón scaphoids differ primarily from *H. sapiens* in having a more robust tubercle (Fig. 12). Trinkaus (1983) noted that the tubercle projection of the Shanidar Neandertals was within the upper limits of variation among other Near Eastern and European Neandertals. The El Sidrón scaphoids display even greater absolute and relative (scaphoid tubercle projection/DP height of scaphoid body) tubercle projection than that of the Shanidar specimens and, in this aspect, are most similar to Amud 1 (Fig. 12). A large, projecting scaphoid tubercle, in combination with large tubercles on the trapezium and hamate, has been interpreted as reflecting a deeper carpal tunnel to accommodate well-developed digit flexor tendons and an increased mechanical advantage for many of the extrinsic muscles of the wrist and intrinsic muscles of the thumb and fifth digit (Trinkaus, 1983; Niewoehner, 2006).

Tocheri (2007) highlighted the "open" distal border of the scaphoid's capitate facet that typically distinguishes *H. sapiens* and Neandertals from African apes, and results in a smaller, more rectangular articulation with the trapezoid. The smaller scaphoid-trapezoid joint reflects the derived boot-shaped human/Neandertal trapezoid and the relatively parallel radial carpometacarpal joints that are better able to accommodate high joint reaction forces from loading of the thumb (Tocheri et al., 2003, 2008; Tocheri, 2007). The more closed distal border of the capitate facet in the El Sidrón scaphoids with a distinctive os centrale region differs from the typical morphology of modern humans, but would likely still permit similar mechanical advantages of accommodating joint stress as the "open" distal border. The trapezoid facet extends to the edge of the os centrale portion and thus would allow for the larger medial articular area for the capitate on both the scaphoid and trapezoid. In addition, the El Sidrón trapezoids show the typical derived morphology of Neandertals and modern humans that distinguishes them from African apes (see below).

## 4.2 Scaphoid anomalies

The El Sidrón scaphoid sample represents a minimum of seven individuals, of which at least three individuals retain a distinct os centrale portion and there is one example of a bipartite scaphoid (Fig. 2). These unusual morphologies have been documented in recent humans and are generally explained as genetic and/or developmental anomalies (e.g., Dwight, 1907; Bogart, 1932; Waugh and Sullivan, 1950; Holt and Oram, 1960; Poznanski et al., 1970). In humans, all carpal mesenchymal and cartilaginous condensations, including one for a separate os centrale, appear between the sixth and seventh week in utero (O'Rahilly, 1949; Scheuer and Black, 2000; Hita-Contreras et al., 2012). The cartilaginous os centrale is fully fused with the scaphoid by the

ninth week of prenatal life (O'Rahilly, 1954; Čihák, 1972; Hita-Contreras et al., 2012). Thus, at birth, the entirely cartilaginous human scaphoid has an adult-like shape with a fully-fused os centrale (Kivell and Begun, 2007). Indeed, in humans, the "open" distal border of the capitate facet may reflect a reduced os centrale cartilaginous precursor prior to ossification of the scaphoid postnatally. Neandertals typically show the same 'distally-open' capitate facet that is found in humans (although the Shanidar 3 scaphoid is an exception; Tocheri, 2007).

Although developmental anomalies in the human carpus are rare, the two most common anomalies are a separate os centrale and bipartite scaphoid (Waugh and Sullivan, 1950). A separate os centrale can occur as a well-developed, independent bone or as an incompletely separated bony fragment of the scaphoid (Leboucg, 1884; Dwight, 1907; Bogart, 1932; Wood-Jones, 1942; Waugh and Sullivan, 1950). The morphology of the El Sidrón scaphoids is most similar to the latter condition, described as "persistance du central" by Leboucq (1884:101) or a "partially marked-off" os centrale by Dwight (1907:5; Fig. 13). The occurrence of a separate or incompletely separated os centrale occurs in only 0.48% to 3.13% of humans (Pfitzner, 1895; Virchow, 1929). A bipartite scaphoid is even more rare among recent humans, with reports ranging from 0.13-0.60% of humans (Gruber, 1866; Pfitzner 1895; Codman and Chase, 1905; Bogart, 1932; Waugh and Sullivan, 1950). Although a bipartite scaphoid can result from trauma (Louis et al., 1976), several have noted it as a developmental anomaly (Pfitzner, 1895; Dwight, 1907; Faulkner, 1928; Doman and Marcus, 1990), particularly when the articular surfaces between the separate portions are smooth (Todd, 1921). The occurrence of the scaphoid anomalies in the El Sidrón sample is extraordinarily high by recent human standards: 43% of seven scaphoids show a distinct os centrale portion and 14% show a bipartite scaphoid.

#### 4.3 Lunate

Box-and-whisker plots of lunate shape ratios reveal that Neandertals have a ML broad but PD short lunate that is distinct from that of *H. sapiens* (apart from the Dolní Věstonice sample), and that the El Sidrón lunates show the extreme of this morphology (Fig. 14). In this way, the El Sidrón lunates are most similar to Shanidar 3 and 4, as well as *Au. sediba* MH2 and *Australopithecus* cf. *afarensis* KNM-WT 22944-J. A comparison to the published mean values of the Sima de los Huesos (SH) lunates (*n* = 8) shows that the El Sidrón sample is both PD longer (El Sidrón mean = 13.7 [standard deviation (SD) = 0.99] vs. SH mean = 12.1 [SD = 0.91]) and ML broader (El Sidrón mean = 15.6 [SD = 0.71] vs. SH mean = 13.9 [SD = 0.88]; Arsuaga et al., 2015). The El Sidrón specimens have particularly large distal (including articulations for the capitate and hamate) and radial facets, being both ML broader and DP taller than most

other Neandertals. In this way, El Sidrón is most similar to Kebara 2 and Amud 1 among Neandertals and is generally smaller than early *H. sapiens* (although the Dolní Věstonice sample is highly variable). The relatively large radial facet is shared with australopiths, while the exceptionally DP tall distal facet in the El Sidrón lunates overlaps only with recent *H. sapiens*.

 Results of a PCA of seven lunate shape ratios (Table 10) are shown in Figure 15. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient revealed that the DP height of the capitate facet ( $r_s$  = -0.342, p < 0.001) was significantly negatively correlated with the geometric mean. The first two components explain 57.8% of the total variance (Table 10). The first PC generally separates the Dolní Věstonice early H. sapiens and Tabun 1 from recent humans, while other Neandertals, including El Sidrón, and australopith fossils fall within the extreme left of the recent human distribution. Thus, these fossils have a relatively ML broader but DP shorter lunate body, and DP shorter radial facet compared to most recent humans. The second PC generally divides the fossil sample into two groups; most Neandertals and australopiths have a relatively short PD length of the lunate body but DP tall radial facet, with the El Sidrón and KNM-WT 22944-J lunates showing the extreme of this morphology, while most early H. sapiens, Au. afarensis, H. naledi, and the Tabun 1 and Neandertal 1 Neandertals show the opposite morphology. Altogether, the El Sidrón lunate morphology falls out as most similar to the KNM-WT 22944-J (Au. cf. afarensis) lunate (Ward et al., 1999), Au. sediba, and the Kebara 2 and Shanidar Neandertals (Fig. 15).

The El Sidrón lunates have a lunatohamate articulation (Fig. 3), like many other Neandertals (i.e., Tabun 1, Shanidar 3, Amud 1, Neandertal 1, and Kebara 2 in this study). A lunatohamate articulation is common in recent humans (65–71% of individuals; Pfitzner, 1895; Marzke et al., 1994), although its frequency is less common than is found in African apes (Marzke et al., 1994). Contact between the lunate and hamate disrupts the continuity of the roughly "ball-and-socket" (formed by proximal and distal carpal rows) midcarpal joint in neutral position. The presence of lunatohamate joint in recent humans is often associated with pathological cartilage erosion (Viegas et al., 1990). This pathology, in combination with the higher frequency of this trait in African apes, suggested to Marzke and colleagues (1994) that perhaps a lunatohamate articulation was primitive for hominins but that the frequency of this feature was decreasing in recent humans. This hypothesis is consistent with the presence of a lunatohamate articulation in most of the Neandertals studied here and the presence of a lunatohamate articulation in Ardipithecus ramidus (6/500-34; TLK, pers. obs.). However, a lunatohamate joint is not found in preserved specimens of Au. afarensis (AL 444-3; Ward et al.,

2012), *Au. sediba* (MH2), or *H. naledi* (Kivell et al., 2011, 2015), suggesting that its presence and any potential functional or pathological significance in fossil hominins was variable.

2

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

1

## 4.4 Triquetrum

The El Sidrón triquetra show two, albeit subtle, variations in morphology; SD-1813 is more similar to several other Neandertals and the Swartkans specimen SKX 3498, while the SD-58, -1022a, and -1227 are generally more similar to recent humans. Quantitative analyses also demonstrate this morphological variability (although SD-58 was excluded from all quantitative analyses due to poor preservation). Box-and-whisker plots show that SD-1022a and SD-1227 have a much narrower ML breadth but slightly taller DP height of the triguetrum body and taller DP height of the hamate facet compared to most other Neandertals and, in this aspect, are most similar to H. sapiens (Fig. 16). In contrast, SD-1813 falls out at the extremes of the El Sidrón variation, with a much broader ML and shorter DP triquetrum body and broader ML hamate facet, which together make it more similar to other Neandertals (Fig. 16). The broad shape of SD-1813 also appears to be similar to the Sima de los Huesos (SH) triquetra (n = 7), although images of the SH triquetra have not yet been published (Arsuaga et al., 2015). The SD-1813 ML breadth (16.4 mm) and PD length (8.3 mm) are more similar to the published mean values of the SH triguetra (ML breadth mean = 16.1 [SD = 1.6]; PD length mean = 9.0 [SD = 0.8]; Arsuaga et al., 2015) than the narrower SD-1022a and -1227 specimens (ML breadth mean = 13.8 [SD = 1.1]; PD length mean = 10.3 [SD = 1.5]). All the El Sidrón triquetra also have a relatively short PD length of the lunate facet, overlapping only with recent humans and being much shorter compared to all other fossil taxa.

Results of a PCA of seven shape ratios (Table 10) are shown in Figure 17. The first two PCs explain 58.8% of the total variance (Table 10), but all fossils fall within the range of variation of recent humans. The second PC separates the El Sidrón specimens SD-1022a and -1227, with relatively narrow ML but tall DP triquetrum bodies and narrow ML hamate facets, from all other fossils, including SD-1813. SD-1813 falls out at the opposite extreme on PC2, closest to the Kebara 2 Neandertal. Together, all El Sidrón triquetra fall either outside or at the extremes of recent human variation.

30 31

32

33 34

#### 4.5 Pisiform

Box-and-whisker plots show that the shape of the El Sidrón pisiforms is PD short like that of other Neandertals and *H. sapiens*, and distinct from the long pisiform body of *Au. afarensis* (Fig. 18). The El Sidrón pisiforms are generally similar to those of most other

Neandertals in having a relatively narrower ML breadth but taller DP height of the pisiform body compared with the typical morphology of *H. sapiens*. The El Sidrón pisiforms are distinguished by a relatively long PD triquetrum facet, which it similar to Kebara 2, the Tabun specimens, and *H. sapiens* (Fig. 18).

A PCA of five pisiform shape ratios (Table 10) reveals substantial variation in pisiform morphology across fossil taxa, and most fall outside the range of variation of the recent human samples (Fig. 19). The first two PCs explain 70.6% of the total variation (Table 10). On the first PC, most Neandertals either fall to the left extreme of or outside of the range of variation in recent humans in having a shorter PD and narrower ML length of the triquetrum facet. On PC2, the El Sidrón pisiforms are similar to most other Neandertals, apart from Shanidar 5 and Tabun 1, in having a relatively narrow ML breadth of the pisiform body and longer PD length of the triquetrum facet.

The El Sidrón pisiforms are generally similar to other Neandertals and *H. sapiens* in being substantially reduced in length compared to other primates and *Au. afarensis* (Bush et al., 1982). Thus, the El Sidrón (and Neandertals in general) pisiform likely functions in a similar way to that described in humans. A short pisiform reduces the mechanical advantage for the flexor carpi ulnaris and abductor digiti minimi muscles, but may help to facilitate palmar grip and opposition between the thumb and fifth digit (Marzke et al., 1992; Young, 2003; Lovejoy et al., 2009). The relatively flat, long PD triquetrum facet is consistent with the corresponding, oval-shaped pisiform facet on the triquetrum (Fig. 4), and suggests substantial sliding of the pisiform on the triquetrum during various wrist movements, as documented through in vivo analyses in humans (Moojen et al., 2001).

#### 4.6 Trapezium

To maximize the comparative fossil sample, a geometric mean was calculated from the maximum DP height of the trapezium body, PD length of the Mc1 facet, and ML breadth of the scaphoid facet. Box-and-whisker plots of trapezium shape ratios reveal that the El Sidrón trapezia have a relatively short PD length and, especially, DP height of the trapezium body compared with most other Neandertals. In this way, the El Sidrón trapezia are most similar to Kebara 2 and *H. sapiens* (Fig. 20). The Mc1 facet of the El Sidrón specimens is especially ML broad compared with other Neandertals, but all Neandertals overlap with the range of variation seen in *H. sapiens* and all are narrower than the Mc1 facets of *H. habilis* OH7 and *Au. afarensis* (Fig. 20). The Mc1 facet of El Sidrón trapezia are similar to most other Neandertals in being relatively DP flat but still saddle-shaped (Kimura, 1976; Trinkaus, 1982, 1989; Trinkaus et al.,

1991; Niewoehner, 2006; Marzke et al., 2010). The flatness of this articulation was originally interpreted as limiting mobility at the trapeziometacarpal joint in Neandertals relative to humans (Musgrave, 1971; Vlček, 1975). However, it is now generally thought to reflect an increase in articular surface area and thus greater ability of the joint to cope with axially directed joint reaction forces during thumb use (Trinkaus, 1989; Trinkaus et al., 1991; Niewoehner, 2001; Marzke et al., 2010), while at the same time resulting in a more unstable trapeziometacarpal joint that would have been more reliant on interosseous ligaments for effective joint function (Marzke et al., 2010).

The El Sidrón SD-373 trapezium has a notably small tubercle compared to that of other Neandertals, as well as the Sima de los Huesos hominins (Arsuaga et al., 2015). Comparison of the tubercle projection ratio (tubercle projection/breadth of Mc1 facet\*100 [Trinkaus, 1983]) shows that the SD-373 has the relatively smallest tubercle compared with all other Neandertals in our sample and is most similar to *H. sapiens* in this respect (Fig. 21). Although the El Sidrón trapezia also have relatively broad Mc1 facets, which would influence the tubercle projection ratio, the cross-sectional size (tubercle length \* tubercle thickness [Trinkaus, 1983]) of the SD-373 tubercle is also small compared to other Neandertals (Fig. 21). Previous researchers have noted the large and projecting trapezium tubercles typical of most Neandertals (Trinkaus, 1982, 1983; Niewoehner 2006), and although the El Sidrón scaphoids have remarkably robust tubercles (see above), the trapezia do not.

21 4.7 Trapezoid

Box-and-whisker plots of trapezoid shape reveal that the most well-preserved El Sidrón trapezoids (SD-28 and -529) are relatively ML broad but PD short compared with most other Neandertals and *H. sapiens*, overlapping with only the La Ferrassie specimens and *H. naledi* (Fig. 22). However, the remainder of the quantified morphology is generally similar to that of other Neandertals and *H. sapiens*, including the DP height of the trapezoid body and PD length of the palmar surface, both of which demonstrate substantial intra- and interspecific variation.

A PCA of six shape ratios (Table 10) shows that both El Sidrón trapezoids fall outside the range of variation of the extant *H. sapiens* sample (Fig. 23). The first two PCs explain 62.7% of the total variance (Table 10). On PC1, both El Sidrón specimens have a relatively shorter PD length of the trapezoid body (dorsal surface) but DP taller height of the trapezium facet, and in these aspects are most similar to *H. naledi* (UW 101-1581), as well as Kebara 2, La Ferrassie 1, and Qafzeh 8, all of which also fall outside the recent human range of variation. On PC2, both El

Sidrón specimens show a relatively short PD length of the palmar surface compared to most other Neandertal and *H. sapiens* specimens (Fig. 23).

The overall morphology of the El Sidrón trapezoids is derived like that of other Neandertals and *H. sapiens* and distinctly different from the wedge-shaped trapezoid of African apes (Lewis, 1989; Tocheri et al., 2005; Tocheri, 2007) and *Homo floresiensis* (Tocheri et al., 2007). The El Sidrón trapezoids are "boot-shaped" with an expanded non-articular palmar surface, although this surface is relatively short compared to other Neandertals. The comparatively flat and distally oriented Mc2 articulation and the large scaphoid articulation are both similar to that of other Neandertals and *H. sapiens* and are distinct from the articular morphology of *H. floresiensis* and African apes (Tocheri et al., 2005, 2007). SD-28 and SD-529 (the only two El Sidrón trapezoids preserving the medial side) have a large palmar capitate facet, and SD-28 also preserves a small dorsal capitate facet. This dual-facet morphology is consistent with the El Sidrón capitate morphology (SD-1034), but differs from the single, expanded palmar capitate facet that typically occupies most of the palmar region of the trapezoid in other Neandertals (e.g., Kebara 2), as well as *H. sapiens* (Tocheri, 2007; Tocheri et al., 2007) and *H. naledi* (Kivell et al., 2015).

4.8 Capitate

Box-and-whisker plots of capitate shape ratios show that the El Sidrón capitates are relatively PD short but ML broad compared to most other Neandertals (Fig. 24). In this way, the El Sidrón capitates most closely resemble the Neandertal 1, Kebara 2, and Tabun 1 specimens, but also *H. sapiens* and some australopiths. A relatively narrow ML breadth of the capitate body in Neandertals has been noted previously as being distinct from modern humans (Villemeur, 1994; Niewoehner et al., 1997), but there appears to be more variation in this feature across Neandertals than previously recognized. The ML breadth of the capitate's proximal facet in the El Sidrón sample is intermediate among the variation seen in Neandertals, but also overlaps with *H. sapiens* and *Au. afarensis* (Fig. 24). The El Sidrón capitates are similar to those of other Neandertals in having a relatively short DP height of the distal Mc3 facet, which is similar to that of *H. naledi* and many australopiths, but distinct from the typically taller morphology found in recent humans (Niewoehner et al., 1997; but contra Niewoehner, 2006).

A PCA of seven capitate shape ratios (Table 10) reveals a clear clustering of fossil hominins along the first PC, although most fall within the range of recent human variation (Fig. 25). The first two PCs explain 63.4% of the total variance (Table 10). Most fossil hominin specimens are clustered on the left of the plot, with a ML broad Mc3 facet and DP short

- proximal facet, while most recent humans, as well as early *H. sapiens* Arene Candide 2,
- 2 Neandertal La Ferrassie 1, and cf. Au. afarensis KNM WT 22944-H, show the opposite
- morphology. On PC2, Neandertal (as well as australopiths and early *H. sapiens*) specimens
- 4 span the breadth of the morphological variation, with El Sidrón SD-557, La Chapelle, and
- 5 Neandertal 1 capitates having relatively narrower ML but taller DP distal facets compared to the
- 6 remaining Neandertal specimens, including SD-1034 (Fig. 25). However, given that SD-557 and
- 7 SD-1034 are considered to be likely antimeres from the same individual, this suggests that
- 8 morphological variation across the sample is actually quite small, at least for the shape ratios
- 9 included in this analysis. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient revealed that the DP height ( $r_s$
- = -0.233, p = 0.026) and ML breadth ( $r_s = -0.520$ , p < 0.001) of the capitate's proximal facet, the
- former of which loaded highly on PC1, were significantly negatively correlated with the
- 12 geometric mean.

The El Sidrón capitate's Mc2 facet is a single, continuous, and DP curved facet, similar to other Neandertals, *H. sapiens*, and other hominins (e.g., Johanson et al., 1982; McHenry, 1983; Lorenzo et al., 1999; Ward et al., 1999, 2001). The Mc2 facet angle could be measured in two El Sidrón capitates and is relatively laterally oriented (58° and 71°), each falling out at the extreme ranges of other Neandertals (range 44°–75° degrees; Table 11). This orientation is distinct from the more distal-facing Mc2 facet typical of *H. sapiens* (Niewoehner et al., 1997;

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

19

13

14

15

16

17 18

## 4.9 Hamate

Table 11).

To accommodate the preservation of the SD-742 hamate, a geometric mean was calculated from the PD length of the hamate body, maximum DP height of the hamate, and ML breadth of the distal facet. Box-and-whisker plots of hamate shape ratios show that SD-742 has a relatively short PD length of the hamate body, overlapping only with the Shanidar specimens, while the remaining Neandertal sample, other hominins, and all *H. sapiens* are relatively longer (Fig. 26). SD-742 has a larger, more palmarly projecting hamulus than most other Neandertals, as well as the Sima de los Huesos hominins (Arsuaga et al., 2015), *H. sapiens*, and other hominins. This is confirmed by a comparison of the hamulus projection index (=hamulus height/total height of hamate body \* 100), following Trinkaus (1982, 1983), showing that SD-742 has the most projecting hamulus of any other known Neandertal (Table 12). The hamulus cross-sectional area (PD length \* ML breadth of the hamulus) of the El Sidrón hamates is intermediate among Neandertals (Table 12), but larger than that of *H. sapiens* and most other hominins. Relative to hamate size, the ML breadth of the hamate body and the distal articular surface in

SD-742 is intermediate among Neandertals and within the range of variation of *H. sapiens* (Fig. 26). Niewoehner (2006) described a ML expansion of the metacarpal articulation in Neandertals relative to recent humans. However, in this analysis all Neandertals fall within the range of variation seen in recent humans and, indeed, most Neandertals (apart from the Shanidar specimens) are relatively narrower than early and recent *H. sapiens* median values (Fig. 26). It is important to note that this discrepancy between our findings and those of Niewoehner (2006) may be the result of methodological differences (linear measurements vs. geometric morphometric analysis). The Mc5 facet is relatively narrower than the Mc4 facet, as in many other Neandertals (Niewoehner 2006).

A PCA of six hamate shape ratios (Table 10) reveals a large range of variation in hamate morphology (Fig. 27). Spearman's rank correlation coefficient revealed that the DP height of the hamate body ( $r_s$  = -0.206, p = 0.016) was significantly negatively correlated with the geometric mean. The first two PCs explain 70.4% of the total variance. PC1 separates most Neandertal specimens (apart from Kebara 2), including El Sidrón SD-742, from the majority of early and recent H. sapiens in having a relatively DP tall maximum height of the hamate but PD short hamate body. PC2 separates Neandertals SD-742 and Shanidar 4 and 5 from the remaining Neandertal sample in having a relatively taller DP capitate facet and shorter PD length of the hamate body. However, there is substantial variation in hamate morphology, including intraspecific (e.g., within H. naledi) or inter-individual (e.g., Dolní Věstonice) variation, that makes it difficult to define distinct morphological patterns across taxa based on the hamate shape variables included in this analysis.

#### 5. Discussion and conclusions

The recovered carpal bones from El Sidrón provide further insight into the morphological variation of the Neandertal hand, as well as the close genetic relationship among the El Sidrón individuals. The 29 carpals described here represent a minimum of seven individuals, of which one may be a juvenile between the ages of 6–10 years old. This assessment is consistent with previous morphological and paleogenetic analyses of the El Sidrón demographics indicating the presence of seven adults (at least three females and three males) and a juvenile (Juvenile 1) aged 6–8 years old (Lalueza-Fox et al., 2010; Rosas et al., 2012; Estalrrich and Rosas, 2013). Among the new El Sidrón carpals, several articulate well together or are considered antimeres with reasonable certainty, and are therefore considered to be associated with same adult individual (Hand 1). The Hand 1 carpals are generally the largest within the sample, suggesting

that the carpus may be that of a male individual, although the size variation within the sample is limited.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

Qualitative and quantitative comparative analyses reveal that the El Sidrón carpals generally fall out as most similar to Near Eastern Neandertals, especially Kebara 2 (but also the Tabun and Shanidar specimens), rather than other European Neandertals. A similar result was found in a morphometric analysis of the mandible, revealing that the El Sidrón specimens showed greater similarities with "southern" Neandertals (including Kebara, Tabun, and Shanidar, among others) than with "northern" Neandertals (e.g., La Ferrassie, Le Moustier; Rosas et al., 2006). Thus, the carpal morphology provides further support for the hypothesis that there were southern and northern morphs of Neandertals (Rosas et al., 2006). That being said, the El Sidrón specimens also show several morphological features that are thought to generally distinguish all Neandertals from *H. sapiens* (e.g., Trinkaus, 1982, 1983, 2016; Niewoehner et al., 1997; Niewoehner, 2006). These features include large, palmarly projecting tuberosities on the scaphoid and hamate (but not the trapezium, see below), a relatively flat (but still saddle-shaped) trapezium-Mc1 articulation, a more laterally facing Mc2 facet and ML broad Mc3 facet on the capitate, and a relatively small and ML flatter Mc5 facet compared to the Mc4 articulation on the hamate. The presence of these features suggests that the El Sidrón wrist functioned in much the same way as has been interpreted for other Neandertals (Trinkaus, 1982, 1989, 2016; Niewoehner et al., 1997; Niewoehner 2006). For example, the relatively flat trapezium-Mc1 is better able to accommodate larger axially directed forces from a robust, powerful thumb compared with recent humans, while the capitate-metacarpal articulations would allow recent human-like Mc2 rotation but would be less able to resist obliquely oriented forces during manipulation (Trinkaus, 1989, 2016; Niewoehner et al., 1997; Niewoehner, 2001, 2006; Marzke et al., 2010).

The El Sidrón carpals also differ from other Neandertals in having a remarkably small trapezium tubercle with limited projection, a capitate Mc3 facet that is DP short (rather than tall), and a relatively ML broad (rather than narrow) distal capitate body (contra Trinkaus, 1983; Villemeur, 1994; Niewoehner et al., 1997; Niewoehner, 2006). Indeed, this comparative analysis reveals that a DP short Mc3 facet is typical of all Neandertals in our sample (with El Sidrón being particularly short), and that this feature is likely primitive, being shared with *Au.* cf. *afarensis*, *Au. sediba*, and *H. naledi* (Fig. 24). It is only recent *H. sapiens* that have, on average, a notably taller Mc3 facet on the capitate. Similarly, our analysis shows that Neandertals typically have a ML broad distal capitate body, with only the La Chapelle and Le Ferrassie specimens being notable exceptions. In this way, Neandertals (with El Sidrón being particularly

broad) have capitates that are just as ML broad for their size as australopiths, *H. naledi*, and *H. sapiens*. The absence of a robust and palmarly projecting trapezium tubercle in El Sidrón suggests that there may be more variability in Neandertal tubercle morphology than previously recognized. Trinkaus (2016) also recently discussed the great degree of variability in the hamate hamulus morphology among Neandertals and other later *Homo* species.

When looking at the Hand 1 carpus together (with the caveat that these bones were not found associated in situ), this individual has the most robust and most palmarly projecting scaphoid tubercle and hamate hamulus within our comparative Neandertal sample. However, the trapezium's tubercle has the smallest cross-sectional area and is by far the least projecting relative to other Neandertals (Fig. 21). In the El Sidrón Hand 1, the extreme palmar projection of the scaphoid and hamate tuberosities would increase the depth of the carpal tunnel to accommodate hypertrophied extrinsic flexor tendons (Trinkaus, 1982, 2016). However, the limited projection and relative gracility of the trapezium tubercle suggest that the mechanical advantage for the intrinsic pollical muscles (i.e., opponens pollicis and flexor pollicis brevis muscles) may have been reduced compared with other Neandertals. The size of the tuberosities may also reflect hypertrophy of the muscles that attach to them (e.g., Ward et al., 1999; Orr et al., 2013; Trinkaus, 2016a), in which case the intrinsic pollical muscles of the El Sidrón Neandertals may have been smaller and more similar in size to those of *H. sapiens*. However, the relationship between bony (entheseal) morphology and muscle size within the hand has been recently brought into question (Williams-Hatala et al., 2016).

The El Sidrón capitate (SD-1034) and trapezoid (SD-28) associated with Hand 1 share both a palmar and dorsal articulation, which differs from the single, expanded palmar capitate-trapezoid facet that is typical in other Neandertals (e.g., Kebara 2) and *H. sapiens* (Tocheri, 2007; Tocheri et al., 2007), as well as *H. naledi* (Kivell et al., 2015). A distinct dorsal trapezoid-capitate facet is present on the *H. antecessor* ATD6-24 capitate and has been interpreted as a transitional morphology between the dorsal trapezoid-capitate articulation of australopiths (McHenry, 1983; Lorenzo et al., 1999) and the more palmarly positioned facet typical of humans (Lewis, 1989; Tocheri, 2007). However, the preserved morphology of SD-529 trapezoid suggests it only has a palmar trapezoid-capitate articulation, demonstrating variability in this morphology within the El Sidrón population. Furthermore, a dual-facet morphology is found in some Neandertals, including Tabun 1 and possibly Skhul IV (McCown and Keith, 1939) and Amud 1 (Endo and Kimura, 1972), and occasionally in modern humans (Lewis, 1989; Tocheri, 2007). A dual trapezoid-capitate facet is also found in *Au. sediba* (Kivell et al., 2011) and *Au. afarensis* (TLK, pers. obs.), demonstrating that variability in this articulation goes back much

has noted that in modern humans that display a dual capitate-trapezoid articulation, this morphology is also correlated with a less distally open capitate facet on the scaphoid and a more triangular-shaped scaphoid joint on the trapezoid. Within the associated El Sidrón carpals

further into human evolutionary history than previously considered. Interestingly, Tocheri (2007)

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

of Hand 1, the SD-28 trapezoid has a more triangular-shaped scaphoid facet and the SD-744 scaphoid has a more closed distal border of the capitate facet than is typical of *H. sapiens* 

(Tocheri, 2007), supporting a correlated morphological pattern across these radial carpals.

Analyses of lunate shape reveal that all Neandertals have relatively ML broad but PD short lunates, falling outside the range of variation seen in early and recent *H. sapiens* (apart from the Dolní Věstonice sample, which is highly variable). This is likely a primitive condition retained in Neandertals as they share this shape with australopiths, while *H. sapiens* appear derived in having relatively ML narrower but PD longer lunates (Fig. 14). The shape of the Neandertal triguetrum is similarly broad ML relative to that of most early and recent *H. sapiens*, and in this respect Neandertals are most similar to australopiths (Fig. 16). Interestingly, the El Sidrón triquetrum sample shows two subtle morphological variants: SD-1813 is similar to other Neandertals, as well as SKX 3498 from Swartrkans, in being relatively broad ML, as well as short DP (Kivell, 2011; Figs. 16 and 17). In contrast, the two specimens associated with Hand 1 (SD-58 and SD-1227) show the opposite extreme of this morphology, being narrow ML and tall DP, with a more stout triquetrum shape that is more similar to *H. sapiens* (as well as African apes; Kivell, 2011). The combination of both a relatively broad ML lunate and triguetrum would potentially increase the radiolunate articulation (indeed, the El Sidrón lunates have relatively broad radial facets, but Neandertals overall are generally within the range of variation seen in H. sapiens), as well as accentuate the role of the pisiform, shifting the pisiform distomedially to increase the flexion and adduction moment arm of the flexor carpi ulnaris muscle (Kivell, 2011). Kivell (2011) previously interpreted similarities between the SKX 3498 and Neandertal triquetrum shape as either symplesiomorphic, since Ar. ramidus also has a relatively broad triquetrum (Lovejoy et al. 2009), or, less likely, suggesting that SKX 3498 may be more likely attributed to early *Homo* than to *Au. robustus*. However, finding these two, albeit subtle, triquetrum morphs within a single, closely related Neandertal population at El Sidrón suggests that this variation in triquetrum morphology may have limited functional or taxonomic significance.

- perhaps previously recognized. This may be partly due to the fact that many studies have
- 2 focused only on the morphological differences between Neandertals and H. sapiens (e.g.,
- 3 Trinkaus, 1982, 1983; Niewoehner et al., 1997, Niewoehner, 2001, 2006) or comparisons
- 4 across other late *Homo* species (e.g., Lorenzo et al., 1999; Mersey et al., 2013; Trinkaus,
- 5 2016a). Many aspects of Neandertal carpal shape overlap with the range of variation that we
- 6 see in early and recent *H. sapiens*, including small-bodied humans, as well as other *Homo* and
- 7 australopiths. A broader hominin perspective can shed light on the polarity of particular
- 8 Neandertal features or reveal that they are not necessarily "Neandertal features" at all.

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

#### Scaphoid developmental anomalies and implications for El Sidrón demography

The most distinctive feature of the El Sidrón carpals is the high frequency of rare morphology and/or developmental anomalies within the scaphoid sample (Figs. 2 and 13). The El Sidrón scaphoid sample shows a high frequency of a distinctive os centrale projection, with three of the seven individuals represented by the scaphoid sample showing this idiosyncratic morphology. Interestingly, a similar distinctive os centrale portion is found in the Neandertal Krapina 200.1 left scaphoid (Trinkaus, 2016b), the Shanidar 3 left and right scaphoids (Tocheri, 2007; Fig. 13) and a cast of the Shanidar 4 left scaphoid, although this idiosyncratic morphology has not been discussed in previous descriptions of these carpal bones to our knowledge (Trinkaus, 1982, 1983, 2016b). We also document the first occurrence of a bipartite scaphoid in Neandertals, with the El Sidrón sample representing a much higher prevalence (i.e., 14% of seven individuals) than the <1% frequency documented in recent humans (Gruber, 1866; Pfitzner, 1895; Codman and Chase, 1905; Bogart, 1932; Waugh and Sullivan, 1950). Although these developmental anomalies likely had little impact on wrist function (Faulkner, 1928; Doman and Marcus, 1990), they have not yet been found in any other Neandertal to our knowledge. Other carpal anomalies have been identified in the La Ferrassie 2 Neandertal, showing a coalition between the right lunate and triquetrum (Oberlin and Sakka, 1989), and the Shanidar 4 hand preserves a connection between the trapezoid and Mc2 (Trinkaus, 1983) that may also represent an anomalous coalition (M. Tocheri, pers. comm.).

A high prevalence of developmental anomalies in the carpus is consistent with previous documentation of congenital defects in other areas of the skeleton among the El Sidrón individuals. Ríos and colleagues (2015) documented a congenital posterior and anterior cleft in two of the three atlases known in the El Sidrón sample, which occur at a much higher frequency (at least 8% for each congenital cleft) than is documented in recent humans (<4% frequency for a posterior cleft and <1% for an anterior cleft). Furthermore, Dean and colleagues (2013)

- described a pathological retention of a mandibular deciduous canine in two male individuals
- 2 (Adult 2 and Adolescent 3) that were maternally related, sharing the same mitochrondrial DNA
- 3 (Lalueza-Fox et al., 2011; López-Valverde et al., 2012). Together, the high prevalence of
- 4 otherwise rare skeletal morphology is consistent with paleogenetic evidence showing that the
- 5 Neandertal individuals at El Sidrón represented a closely related group (Lalueza-Fox et al.,
- 6 2011). Combining the high frequency of scaphoid anomalies with those of the cervical vertebrae
- 7 and dentition, all of which likely had little effect on function or fitness, may also signal endogamy
- 8 or inbreeding (Raikkonen et al., 2013; Ríos et al., 2015) within the small hominin populations of
- 9 the Pleistocene (Bittles and Black, 2010; Castellano et al., 2014).

12

13

16

## Acknowledgments

We thank the following curators for access to comparative extant and/or fossil

specimens in their care: J. Moggi Cecchi and S. Bortoluzzi (University of Florence); R.

14 Kruszynski (London Natural History Museum); S. Kirchengast (University of Vienna); M.

15 Teschler-Nicola and R. Muehl (Vienna Natural History Museum); Y. Rak and I. Hershkovitz (Tel

Aviv University); W. Kimbel, G. Semishaw, and G. Shimelies (National Museum of Ethiopia); A.

17 Kweka and A. Gidna (Tanzanian National Museum and House of Culture); B. Zipfel, L. Berger,

and R. Clarke (University of the Witwatersrand); and S. Potze (Ditsong National Museum of

19 Natural History). The authors are grateful to M. Skinner for help with data collection, N.

20 Stephens for sharing data on Barma Grande 2 and Arene Candide 2 specimens, and M. Tocheri

21 for sharing surface models of some fossil carpal specimens. We thank M. Tocheri and three

22 other anonymous reviewers for insightful comments that greatly improved the manuscript. This

research was supported by the European Research Council Starting Grant 336301 and the Max

Planck Society (TLK), and the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain CGL2016-

25 75109-P.

26 27

23

24

#### References

Arsuaga, J.L., Carretero, J.-M., Lorenzo, C., Gómez-Olivencia, A., Pablos, A., Rodríguez, L., García-González, R., Bonmati, Al, Quam, R.M., Pantojo-Pérez, A., Martínez, I., Aranburu, A., Gracia-Téllez, A., Poza-Rey, E., Sala, N., García, N., Alcázar de Velasco, A., Cuenca-Bescós, G., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Carbonell, E., 2015. Postcranial morphology of the middle Pleistocene humans from Sima de los Huesos, Spain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 11524–11529.

Baker, B.J., Dupras, T.L., Tocheri, M.W., 2005. The Osteology of Infants and Children. Texas A & M University Press, College Station.

Bastir, M., Rosas, A., Tabernero, A.G., Pena-Melian, A., Estalrrich, A., de la Rasilla, M., Fortea, J., 2010. Comparative morphology and morphometric assessment of the Neandertal occipital remains from the El Sidrón site (Asturias, Spain: years 2000–2008). J. Hum. Evol. 58, 68–78.

 Bastir, M., García-Martínez, D., Estalrrich, A., Tabernero, A.G., Huguet, R., Ríos, L., Barash, A., Recheis, W., de la Rasilla, M., Rosas, A., 2015. The relevance of the first ribs of the El Sidrón site (Asturias, Spain) for the understanding of the Neanderthal thorax. J. Hum. Evol. 80, 64–73.

 Bastir, M., García Martínez, D., Ríos, L., Higuero, A., Barash, A., Martelli, S., García Tabernero, A., Estalrrich, A., Huguet, R., de la Rasilla, M., Rosas, A., 2017. Three-dimensional morphometrics of thoracic vertebrae in Neandertals and the fossil evidence from El Sidrón (Asturias, Northern Spain). J. Hum. Evol. 108, 47-61.

Begun, D.R., Kivell T.L., 2011. Knuckle-walking in *Sivapithecus*? The combined effects of homology and homoplasy with possible implications for pongine dispersals. J. Hum. Evol. 60, 158–170.

Bittles, A.H., Black, M.L., 2010. Consanguineous marriage and human evolution. Annl. Rev. Anthropol. 39, 193–207.

Bogart, F.B., 1932. Variations in the bones of the wrist. Am. J. Roentogenol. 8, 638–646.

Bush, M.E., Lovejoy, C.O., Johanson, D.C., Coppens, Y., 1982. Hominid carpal, metacarpal, and phalangeal bones recovered from the Hadar Formation: 1974-1977 collections. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 57, 651–677.

Castellano, S., Parra, G., Sánchez-Quinto, F.A., Racimo, F., Kuhlwilm, M., Kircher, M., Sawyer, S., Fu, Q., Heinze, A., Nickel, B., Dabney, J., Siebauer, M., White, L., Burbano, H.A., Renaud, G., Stenzel, U., Lalueza-Fox, C., de la Rasilla, M., Rosas, A., Rudan, P., Brajković, D., Kucan, Z., Gušic, I., Shunkov, M.V., Derevianko, A.P., Viola, B., Meyer, M., Kelso, J., Andrés, A.M., Pääbo, S., 2014. Patterns of coding variation in the complete exomes of three Neandertals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 111, 6666–6671.

Čihák, R., 1972. Ontogenesis of the skeleton and intrinsic muscles of the human hand and foot. Ergebnisse der Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte 46, 1–194.

Codman, E.A., Chase, H.M., 1905. The Diagnosis and Treatment of Fracture of the Carpal
 Scaphoid and Dislocation of the Semilunar Bone: With a Report of Thirty Cases. Ann.
 Surg. 41, 321–362.

Dean, M. C., Rosas, A., Estalrrich, A., García-Tabernero, A., Huguet, R., Lalueza-Fox, C., de la Rasilla, M., 2013. Longstanding dental pathology in Neandertals from El Sidrón (Asturias, Spain) with a probable familial basis. J. Hum. Evol. 64, 678–686.

de Torres, T., Ortiz, J.E., Grün, R., Eggins, S., Valladas, H., Mercier, N., Tisnérat-Laborde, N., Juliá, R., Soler, V., Martínez, E., Sánchez-Moral, S., Cañaveras, J.C., Lario, J., Badel, E., Lalueza-Fox, C., Rosas, A., Santamaria, D., de la Rasilla, M., Fortea, J. 2010. Dating of the hominid (*Homo neanderthalensis*) remains accumulation from the El Sidrón Cave (Piloña, Asturias, North Spain): An example of a multi-methodological approach to the dating of Upper Pleistocene sites. Archaeometry 52, 680–705.

Doman, A.N., Marcus, N.W., 1990. Congenital bipartite scaphoid. J. Hand Surg. 15, 869–873.

Dwight, T., 1907. A clinical atlas: variations of the bones of the hands and feet. J.B. Lippincott Company, Philadelphia.

21 Endo, B., Kimura, T., 1972. Postcranial Skeleton of the Amud Man. University of Tokyo, Tokyo.

Estalrrich, A., Rosas, A., 2013. Handedness in Neandertals from the El Sidrón (Asturias, Spain): evidence from instrumental striations with ontogenetic inferences. PLoS One 8, e62797.

Faulkner, D.M., 1928. Bipartite carpal scaphoid. J. Bone Joint Surg. Am. 10, 284–289.

- Fortea, J., De la Rasilla, M., Martínez, E., Sánchez-Moral, S., Cañaveras, J.C., Cuezva, S.,
- 29 Rosas, A., Soler, V., Julià, R., de Torres, T., Ortiz, J.E., Castro, J., Badal, E., Altuna, J.,
- Alonso, J., 2003. La cueva de El Sidrón (Borines, Piloña, Asturias): primeros resultados. Estud. Geol. 59, 159–179.

Gruber, W., 1866. Ueber die secunda ren Handwurzelknochen des Menschen. Archiv für Anatomie, Physiologie und Wissenschaftliche Medicin 12, 565–586.

Hammer, Ř., Harper, D.A.T., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol. Electronica 4, 1–9.

Heim, J.L., 1982. Les hommes fossils de La Ferrassie II. Archives de l'Institut de Paléontologie Humaine 38, 1–272.

Hita-Contreras, F., Martínez-Amat, A., Ortiz, R., Caba, O., Álvarez, P., Prados, J.C., Lomas Vega, R., Aránega, A., Sánchez-Montesinos, I., Mérida-Velasco, J.A., 2012.
 Development and morphogenesis of human wrist joint during embryonic and early fetal
 period. J. Anat. 220, 580–590.

Holt, M., Oram, S., 1960. Familial heart disease with skeletal malformations. Brit. Heart J. 22, 236–242.

- Johanson, D.C., Lovejoy, C.O., Kimbel, W.H., White, T.D., Ward, S.C., Bush, M.E., Latimer, B.M., Coppens, Y., 1982. Morphology of the Pliocene partial hominid skeleton (A.L. 288-1) from Hadar Formation, Ethiopia. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 57, 403–451.
- Jungers, W.L., Falsetti, A.B., Wall, C.E., 1995. Shape, relative size and size-adjustments in
   morphometrics. Yearb. Phys. Anthropol. 38, 137–161.
- Kimura, T., 1976. Correction to the metacarpale I of the Amud man: a new description especially on the insertion area of the M. opponens pollicis. J. Anthropol. Soc. Nippon 84, 48–54.

20

27

33

36

42

45

- Kivell, T.L., 2007. Ontogeny of the hominoid midcarpal joint and implications for the origin of hominin bipedalism. PhD Dissertation, University of Toronto.
- Kivell, T.L., 2011. A comparative analysis of the hominin triquetrum (SKX 3498) from
   Swartkrans, South Africa. S. Afr. J. Sci. 107, 1–10.
- Kivell, T.L., Begun, D.R., 2007. Frequency and timing of scaphoid-centrale fusion in hominoids.

  J. Hum. Evol. 52, 321–340.
- Kivell, T.L., Begun, D.R., 2009. New primate carpal bone from Rudabánya (late Miocene, Hungary): taxonomic and functional implications. J. Hum. Evol. 57, 697–709.
- Kivell, T.L., Kibii, J.M., Churchill, S.E., Schmid, P., Berger, L.R., 2011. *Australopithecus sediba* hand demonstrates mosaic evolution of locomotor and manipulative abilities. Science 333, 1411–1417.
- Kivell, T.L., Barros, A.P., Smaers, J.B., 2013a. Different evolutionary pathways underlie the morphology of the wrist bones in hominoids. BMC Evol. Biol. 13, 229
- Kivell, T.L., Guimont, I., Wall, C.E. 2013b. Sex-related shape dimorphism in the human radiocarpal and midcarpal joints. Anat. Rec. 296, 19–30.
- Kivell, T.L., Deane, A.S., Tocheri, M.W., Orr, C.M., Schmid, P., Hawks, J., Berger, L.R., Churchill, S.E., 2015. The hand of *Homo naledi*. Nat. Commun. 6, 8431.
- Lalueza-Fox, C., Rosas, A., Estalrrich, A., Gigli, E., Campos, P.F., García-Tabernero, A.,
  García-Vargas, S., Sánchez-Quinto, F., Ramíreza, O., Civit, S., Bastir, M., Huguet, R.,
  Santamaría, D., Gilbert, M.T.P., Willerslev, E., de la Rasilla, M., 2010. Genetic evidence
  for patrilocal mating behaviour among Neandertal groups. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
  108, 250–253.
- Lewis, O. J., 1977. Joint remodelling and the evolution of the human hand. J. Anat. 123, 157– 201.
- Lewis, O. J., 1989. Functional morphology of the evolving hand and foot. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- López-Valverde, A., López-Cristiá, M., Prados-Frutos, J. C., de Diego, R. G., de Vicente, J.,
   Cutando, A., 2012. Oral pathology in the Iberian Neanderthals. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 11,
   6359–6363.

Lorenzo, C., Arsuaga, J.L. Carretero, J.M., 1999. Hand and foot remains from the Gran Dolina Early Pleistocene site (Sierra de Atapuerca, Spain). J. Hum. Evol. 37, 501–522.

3 4

5 Louis, D.S., Calhoun, T.P., Garn, S.M., Carroll, R.E., Burdi, A.R., 1976. Congenital bipartite 6 scaphoid- fact or fiction? J. Bone Joint Surg. Am 58, 1108–1112.

7 8

Lovejoy, C.O., Simpson, S.W., White, T.D., Asfaw, B., Suwa, G., 2009. Careful climbing in the 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29 30

31 32 33

34 35 36

37 38 39

41 42 43

40

44 45

46 47 48

49 50

70e1-70e8. Marzke, M.W., 1997. Precision grips, hand morphology, and tools. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 102, 91–110.

Miocene: the forelimbs of *Ardipithecus ramidus* and humans are primitive. Science 326,

Marzke, M.W., Wullstein, K.L., Viegas, S.F., 1992. Evolution of the power ("squeeze") grip and its morphological correlates in hominids. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 89, 283–298.

Marzke, M.W., Wullstein, K.L., Viegas, S.F., 1994. Variability at the carpometacarpal and midcarpal joints involving the fourth metacarpal, hamate and lunate in Catarrhini. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 93, 229-240.

Marzke, M.W., Tocheri, M.W., Steinberg, B., Femiani, J.D., Reece, S.P., Linscheid, R.L., Orr, C.M., Marzke, R.F., 2010. Comparative 3D quantitative analyses of trapeziometacarpal joint surface curvatures among living catarrhines and fossil hominins. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 141. 38–51.

McCown, T.D., Keith, A., 1939. The Stone Age of Mt. Carmel Vol.II: The Fossil Remains From the Levalloiso-Mousterian. Clarendon Press, Oxford.

- McHenry, H.M., 1983. The capitate of *Australopithecus afarensis* and *A. africanus*. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 62, 187–198.
- Mersey, B., Jabbour, R.S., Brudvik, K., Defleur, A., 2013. Neanderthal hand and foot remains from Moula-Guercy, Ardèche, France. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 152, 516-529.
- Moojen, T.M., Snel, J.G., Ritt, M.J., Venema, H.W., den Heeten, G.J., Bos, K. E., 2001. Pisiform kinematics in vivo. J. Hand Surg. 26, 901–907.
- Mosimann, J.E., 1970. Size allometry: size and shape variables with characterizations of the lognormal and gamma distributions. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 56, 930-945.
- Musgrave, J.H., 1971. How dextrous was Neanderthal Man? Nature 233, 538-541.
- Niewoehner, W.A., 2001. Behavioral inferences from the Skhul/Qafzeh early modern human hand remains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 2979-2984.
- Niewoehner, W.A., 2006. Neandertal hands in their proper perspective. In: Harvati, K., Harrison, T. (Eds.), Neanderthals Revisited: New Approaches and Perspectives. Springer, Netherlands, pp. 157-190.

Niewoehner, W.A., Weaver, A.H., Trinkaus, E., 1997. Neandertal capitate-metacarpal articular morphology. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 103, 219–223.

Oberlin, C., Sakka, M. 1989. The most ancient case of synostosis of the carpal bones: pyramid-lunate synostosis in La Ferrassie man. Ann. Chir. Main 8, 269–272.

O'Rahilly, R., 1949. Stereoradiographic reconstruction of developing carpus. Anat. Rec. 103, 187–193.

O'Rahilly, R., 1954. The prenatal development of the human centrale. Anat. Rec. 118, 334–335.

Orr, C.M., Tocheri, M.W., Burnett, S.E., Awe, R.D., Saptomo, E.W., Sutikna, T., Jatmiko, Wasisto, S., Morwood, M.J., Jungers, W.L., 2013. New wrist bones of *Homo floresiensis* from Liang Bua (Flores, Indonesia). J. Hum. Evol. 64, 109–129.

Peña-Melián, A., Rosas, A., García-Tabernero, A., Bastir, M., de la Rasilla, M., 2011.
Paleoneurology of two new Neandertal occipitals from El Sidrón (Asturias, Spain) in the context of *Homo* endocranial evolution. Anat. Rec. 294, 1370–1381.

Pfitzner, W., 1895. Beitra ge zur Kenntniss des menschlichen Extremita tenskelets.
 Morphologische Arbeiten 4, 349–570.

Poznanski, A.K., Stern, A.M., Gall Jr., J.C., 1970. Radiographic findings in the hand-foot-uterus syndrome (hfus). Radiology 95, 129–134.

Raikkonen, J., Vucetich, J.A., Vucetich, L.M., Peterson, R.O., Nelson, M.P., 2013. What the inbred Scandinavian wolf population tells us about the nature of conservation. PloS One 8, e67218.

Ríos, L., Rosas, A., Estralrrich, A., García-Tabernero, A., Bastir, M., Huguet, R., Pastor, F., Sanchís-Gimeno, J.A., de la Rasilla, M., 2015. Possible further evidence of low genetic diversity in the El Sidrón (Asturias, Spain) Neandertal group: congenital clefts of the atlas. PLoS One 10, e0136550.

Rosas, A., Martínez-Maza, C., Bastir, M., García-Tabernero, A., Lalueza-Fox, C., Huguet, R.,
Ortiz, J.E., Julia, R., Soler, V., de Tores, T., Martínez, E., Cañaveras, J.C., SánchezMoral, S., Cuezva, S., Lario, J., Santamaría, D., de la Rasilla, M., Fortea, J., 2006.
Paleobiology and comparative morphology of a late Neandertal sample from El Sidrón,
Asturias, Spain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 103, 19266–19271.

Rosas, A., Peña, A., Garcia-Tabernero, A., Bastir, M., De la Rasilla, M., Fortea, J., 2008. Endocranial occipito-temporal anatomy of the SD-1219 fossil from the El Sidrón Neandertals. Anat. Rec. 291, 502–512.

Rosas, A., Estalrrich, A., Garcia-Tabernero, A., Bastir, M., Garcia-Vargas, S., SanchezMeseguer, A., Huguet, R., Lalueza-Fox, C., Peña-Melián, A., Kranioti, E.F., Santamaría,
D., de la Rasilla, M., Fortea, J., 2012. The Neandertals from El Sidrón (Asturias, Spain).
Updating of a new sample. Anthropologie 116, 57–76.

Rosas, A., Estalrrich, A., García-Vargas, S., García-Tabernero, A., Huguet, R., Lalueza-

1 Fox, C., de la Rasilla, M., 2013. Identification of Neandertal individuals in fragmentary fossil 2 assemblages by means of tooth associations: the case of El Sidrón (Asturias, Spain). 3 C.R. Palevol 12, 279-291.

4 5

6

7

Rosas, A., Pérez-Criado, L., Bastir, M., Estalrrich, A., Huguet, R., García-Tabernero, A., Pastor, J.F., de la Rasilla, M., 2015. A geometric morphometrics comparative analysis of Neandertal humeri (epiphyses-fused) from the El Sidrón cave site (Asturias, Spain). J. Hum. Evol. 82, 51-66.

8 9 10

11 12

Rosas, A., Ferrando, A., Bastir, M., García-Tabernero, A., Estalrrich, A., Huguet, R., García-Martínez, D., Pastor, J.F., de la Rasilla, M., 2017. Neandertal talus bones from El Sidrón site (Asturias, Spain): A 3D geometric morphometric analysis. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 13 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.23280

14 15

16 17 Santamaria, D., Fortea, J., de la Rasilla, M., Martínez, L., Martínez, E., Ca~naveras, J.C., Sánchez-Moral, S., Rosas, A., Estalrrich, A., García-Tabernero, A., Lalueza-Fox, C., 2010. The technological and typological behaviour of a Neanderthal group fromEl Sidrón cave (Asturias, Spain). Oxford J. Archaeol. 29, 119–148.

18 19 20

Sarmiento, E.E., 1988. Anatomy of the hominoid wrist joint: its evolutionary and functional implications. Intl. J. Primatol. 9, 281–345.

21 22 23

Scheuer, L., Black, S., 2000. Developmental Juvenile Osteology. Academic Press, San Diego.

24 25

26

Sládek, V., Trinkaus, E., Hillson, S.W., Holliday, T.W., 2000. The People of the Pavlovian: Skeletal Catalogue and Osteometrics of the Gravettian Fossil Hominids from Dolní Věstonice and Pavlov, Dolní Věstonice Studies 5. Archeologicky ústav AV ČR, Brno.

27 28 29

Tocheri, M.W., 2007. Three-dimensional riddles of the radial wrist: derived carpal and carpometacarpal joint morphology in the genus *Homo* and the implications for understanding the evolution of stone tool-related behaviours in hominins. Ph.D. Dissertation, Arizona State University.

32 33 34

35

30 31

> Tocheri, M.W., Marzke, M.W., Liu, D., Bae, M., Jones, G.P., Williams, R.C., Razdan, A., 2003. Functional capabilities of modern and fossil hominid hands: three-dimensional analysis of trapezia. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 122, 101-112.

36 37 38

39

Tocheri, M. W., Razdan, A., Williams, R. C., Marzke, M. W., 2005. A 3D quantitative comparison of trapezium and trapezoid relative articular and nonarticular surface areas in modern humans and great apes. J. Hum. Evol. 49, 570-586.

40 41

Tocheri, M. W., Orr, C. M., Larson, S. G., Sutikna, T., Saptomo, E. W., Due, R. A., Jungers, W. 42 43 L., 2007. The primitive wrist of *Homo floresiensis* and its implications for hominin 44 evolution. Science 317, 1743-1745.

45 46

47

Tocheri, M. W., Orr, C. M., Jacofsky, M. C., Marzke, M. W., 2008. The evolutionary history of the hominin hand since the last common ancestor of Pan and Homo. J. Anat. 212, 544-562.

48 49

50 Todd, A.H., 1921. Fractures of the carpal scaphoid. British J. Surg. 9, 7–26.

- 1 Trinkaus, E., 1982. The Shanidar 3 Neandertal. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 57, 37–60.
- 3 Trinkaus, E., 1983. The Shanidar Neandertals. Academic Press, New York.

2

Trinkaus, E., 1989. Olduvai Hominid 7 trapezial metacarpal 1 articular morphology: contrasts with recent humans. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 80, 411–416.

6 7

Trinkaus, E., 2016a. The evolution of the hand in Pleistocene *Homo*. In: Kivell, T.L., Lemelin, P., Richmond, B.G., Schmitt, D. (Eds.), The Evolution of the Primate Hand: Anatomical, Developmental, Functional and Paleontological Evidence. Springer, New York, pp. 545–571.

12

Trinkaus, E., 2016b. The Krapina Human Postcranial Remains: Morphology, Morphometrics and Paleopathology. University of Zagreb, Zagreb.

15

Trinkaus, E., Churchill, S.E., Villemeur, I., Riley, K.G., Heller, J.A., Ruff, C.B., 1991. Robusticity versus shape: the functional interpretation of Neanderthal appendicular morphology. J. Anthropol. Soc. Nippon 99, 257–278.

19

Viegas, S.F., Wagner, K., Patterson, R., Peterson, P., 1990. Medial (hamate) facet of the lunate.
J. Hand Surg. Am. 15a, 564–571.

22

Villemeur, I., 1994. La Main des Néandertaliens. C.N.R.S. Editions, Paris.

24

Virchow, H., 1929. Das Os centrale carpi des Menschen. Morphologisches Jahrbuch 63, 480–530.

27

Ward, C.V., Leakey, M.G., Brown, B., Brown, F., Harris, J., Walker, A., 1999. South Turkwel: a new Pliocene hominid site in Kenya. J. Hum. Evol. 36, 69–95.

30

Ward, C.V., Leakey, M.G., Walker, A., 2001. Morphology of *Australopithecus anamensis* from Kanapoi and Allia Bay, Kenya. J. Hum. Evol. 41, 255–368.

33 34

Ward, C.V., Kimbel, W.H., Harmon, E.H., Johanson, D.C., 2012. New postcranial fossils of *Australopithecus afarensis* from Hadar, Ethiopia (1990–2007). J. Hum. Evol. 63, 1–51.

35 36 37

Waugh, R.L., Sullivan, R.F., 1950. Anomalies of the carpus with particular reference to the bipartite scaphoid (navicular). J. Bone Joint Surg. 32A, 682–686.

38 39

Williams-Hatala, E.M., Hatala, K.G., Hiles,S., Rabey, K. N., 2016. Morphology of muscle attachment sites in the modern human hand does not reflect muscle architecture. Sci. Rep. 6, 28353.

43

- Wood, R.E., Higham, T.F., De Torres, T., Tisnérat-Laborde, N., Valladas, H., Ortiz, J.E.,
- Lalueza-Fox, C., Sánchez-Moral, S., Cañaveras, J.C., Rosas, A., Santamaría, D., de la
- Rasilla, M., 2013. A new date for the Neanderthals from El Sidrón cave (Asturias, northern Spain). Archaeometry 55, 148–158.

48

Wood-Jones, F., 1942. The Principles of Anatomy as Seen in the Hand, 2nd ed. Bailliere, Tindall and Cox, London.

Young, R.W., 2003. Evolution of the human hand: the role of throwing and clubbing. J. Anat. 202, 165–174.

2 3 4

1

## Figure legends

see Rosas et al. (2006).

5

Figure 1. Map of El Sidrón Osario Gallery. Map showing excavated area and location that each carpal bone was recovered. Gray squares indicate areas from which carpals were recovered; the star indicates the square (F8) from which most of the carpals were recovered, including specimens thought to be associated with the same individual (black text, see discussion of Hand 1 in the main text). Specimens SD-1011, SDR-063, SDR-064, and SDR-158 do not have a known context. For a complete map of cave system and location of El Sidrón within Spain,

12

- 13
- 14 Figure 2. The El Sidrón scaphoids. All specimens show adult morphology, apart from the SD-
- 15 110 fragment that may be juvenile. All specimens are presented in medial view (left), showing
- the capitate facet; distal view (middle), showing the trapezoid-trapezium articulation; and
- proximal view (right), showing part of the radial facet. Palmar is towards the top of the page.
- 18 Fossil specimens enclosed in boxes are in different views: SD-96 image in box shows palmar
- view of bipartite articular surface and SD-679b image in box shows radial view to highlight the
- 20 distinct os centrale portion. Black arrows indicate the truncated tubercle and articular surface of
- a bipartite scaphoid (SD-96) and asterisks indicate the distinct os centrale portion in SDR-064,
- 22 SD-258, and SD-679b. All scaphoids are from the left side except SD-96, -110, and -744 that
- are right. All scaphoids are scaled to the same 1 cm scale. "Hand 1," here and in Figures 3–9,
- 24 refers to specimens that are thought to be associated to the same individual (see text).

25

- 26 Figure 3. The El Sidrón lunates. Both specimens are presented in, from left to right, distal view,
- showing the capitate and hamate facets; proximal view, showing the radial facet; medial view,
- 28 showing triquetrum facet; and palmar view, showing non-articular surface. Distal is towards the
- top of the page in the palmar view, while palmar is towards the top of the page in all other views.
- Both lunates are from the right side and scaled to the same 1 cm scale.

- Figure 4. The El Sidrón triquetra and pisiforms. The triquetra (left) are presented in, from left to
- right, lateral view, showing the lunate facet; distal view, showing the hamate facet; and palmar
- view, showing the pisiform facet. SD-1227 (left side) and SD-58 (right side) are likely antimeres
- from the same individual and possibly associated with Hand 1. SD-1022a is a left and SD-1813

is from the right side. The pisiforms (right) are presented in dorsal view, showing the triquetrum

2 facet, and medial view of the non-articular surface. SD-29 is possibly associated with Hand 1

and both pisiforms are from the right side. All carpals are scaled to the same 1 cm scale.

4

3

- 5 Figure 5. The El Sidrón trapezia. Both trapezia are from the right side and shown in, from left to
- 6 right, distal view, showing the first metacarpal facet; palmar view, showing the trapezium's
- tubercle; dorsal view of non-articular surface; and proximal view, showing the scaphoid facet.
- 8 SD-2019 is missing its tubercle. Both bones are scaled to the same 1 cm scale.

9

- Figure 6. The El Sidrón trapezoids. Trapezoids shown in, from left to right, palmar and dorsal
- views of the non-articular surface (for which distal is towards the top of the page); proximal view,
- showing scaphoid facet; distal view, showing second metacarpal facet; and lateral view,
- showing trapezium facet (for which palmar is towards the top of the page). SD-94 image in box
- shows bone in distolateral view to highlight its best-preserved morphology. SD-104 and SD-
- 15 1022b are left and all other specimens are from the right side. All bones are scaled to the same
- 16 1 cm scale.

17

- Figure 7. The El Sidrón capitates. Capitates shown in, from left to right, palmar and dorsal views
- of the (mostly) non-articular surface (for which distal is towards the top of the page); proximal
- view, showing the lunate and scaphoid articulation; distal view, showing the third metacarpal
- facet; medial view, showing the hamate facet; and lateral view, showing the scaphoid, trapezoid,
- and second metacarpal facets (for which dorsal is towards the top of the page). SD-557 and
- SD-400 are right and all other specimens are from the left side. All bones are scaled to the
- 24 same 1 cm scale.

25

- 26 Figure 8. The El Sidrón hamates. Hamates shown in, from left to right, distal view of metacarpal
- 27 articulation; medial view, showing triquetrum facet; lateral view, showing capitate facet (for
- which dorsal is towards the top of the page); and palmar and dorsal views of the non-articular
- surface (for which proximal is towards the top of the page). SD-742 is from the right side and
- 30 SD-158 is from the left side. All bones are scaled to the same 1 cm scale.

- Figure 9. El Sidrón Hand 1 articulated right carpus. Carpals that articulate well together and are
- likely to be from a single individual include right SD-744 scaphoid, SD-85 lunate, SD-58
- triquetrum, SD-29 pisiform, SD-373 trapezium, SD-28 trapezoid, SD-557 capitate, and SD-742

- 1 hamate. Top row, carpus shown in palmar view, including the medial carpals with pisiform (left),
- 2 complete carpus excluding the pisiform (middle), and lateral carpals (right), highlighting the
- 3 expanded non-articular palmar surface of the trapezoid (right). Bottom row, medial carpus
- 4 shown in dorsal view (left), complete carpus in distolateral view (middle), and lateral carpals in
- 5 dorsolateral view (right).

- 7 Figure 10. Clockwise from top left, box-and-whisker plots of scaphoid shape ratios showing
- 8 relative size (i.e., divided by a geometric mean) of the dorsopalmar (DP) height of the scaphoid
- 9 body, mediolateral (ML) breadth of the scaphoid body, proximodistal (PD) length of the capitate
- facet, and DP height of the radial facet. Inset images show how each metric was measured. All
- Neandertal specimens are highlighted in gray. Recent human ('humans') and small-bodied
- human ('s-b humans') samples are as described in Table 1. The El Sidrón sample includes SD-
- 13 96, -258, -744, -1243, and SDR-064; *Australopithecus sediba* sample includes UW 88-158;
- 14 Homo naledi sample includes UW 101-807, -1639, and -1726. Dolni Vest. = Dolní Věstonice.
- 15 See Table 1 for details of remaining fossil sample.

16

- 17 Figure 11. Principal component analysis of scaphoid shape. Recent human sample shown in
- dark gray dots and small-bodied populations in light gray dots; early *Homo sapiens* indicated by
- 19 green triangles, *Homo neanderthalensis* by blue/purple squares, and australopiths by crosses.
- 20 Human and fossil sample composition the same as described in Table 1 and Figure 10, apart
- 21 from El Sidrón SD-744, which was excluded due to poor preservation of capitate facet. Are =
- 22 Arene Candide 2, Bar = Barma Grande 2, Dolni = Dolní Věstonice, Oha = Ohalo II, Qaf =
- 23 Qafzeh 9, Keb = Kebara 2, LaF = LaFerrassie 1, Reg = Regourdou 1, Tab = Tabun 1-152, MH2
- = Australopithecus sediba, StW = Australopithecus sp. StW 618.

25

- 26 Figure 12. Neandertal scaphoid tubercle projection. Bar chart showing (A) absolute tubercle
- projection (mm), as defined by Trinkaus (1982, 1983), in a sample of Neandertals in comparison
- to recent humans (Europeans and Amerindians) and (B) relative tubercle projection, expressed
- as a ratio of the scaphoid tubercle projection relative to the dorsopalmar height of the scaphoid
- 30 body, across Neandertals. All comparative data from Trinkaus (1983). Error bars represent
- standard deviation. 'LaFerrassie' includes LaFerrassie 1 and 2 specimens; 'Shanidar' includes
- 32 Shanidar 3, 4, 6, and 8 specimens.

- Figure 13. Comparative anomalous scaphoid shape. Examples of anomalous scaphoid
- 2 morphology with a distinctive os centrale portion (\*) similar to that found in the El Sidrón
- scaphoids: (A) image adapted from Dwight (1907) of a recent human scaphoid with a "partly
- 4 marked off" os centrale in medial view, (B) images adapted from Leboucq (1884) of a recent
- 5 human scaphoid with a "persistance du central" in medial and distal views, (C) surface models
- of the Shanidar 3 Neandertal left (fragmentary) and right scaphoids in medial (above) and distal
- 7 (below) views. Surface models of Shanidar 3 generously provided by M. Tocheri.

- 9 Figure 14. Clockwise from top left, box-and-whisker plots of lunate shape ratios showing relative
- size (i.e., divided by a geometric mean) of the mediolateral (ML) breadth of the lunate body,
- proximodistal (PD) length of the lunate body, dorsopalmar (DP) height of the distal facet, and
- ML breadth of the radial facet. Inset images show how each metric was measured. All
- Neandertal specimens are highlighted in gray. Human and small-bodied (s-b) human samples
- the same as described in Table 1. The El Sidrón sample includes SD-85 and -1011a; Homo
- naledi sample includes UW101-418b, -1546, and -1732; Australopithecus sediba is UW 88-159;
- 16 Australopithecus afarensis is AL 444-3. Dolni Vest. = Dolní Věstonice; cf. Austral. = cf. Au.
- 17 afarensis KNM-WT 22944-J. See Table 1 for further details of fossil sample.

18

- 19 Figure 15. Principal component analysis of lunate shape. Recent human sample shown in dark
- 20 gray dots and small-bodied populations in light gray dots; early *Homo sapiens* indicated by
- green triangles, *Homo neanderthalensis* by blue/purple squares apart from El Sidrón (red
- squares), and australopiths by crosses. Human and fossil sample composition the same as
- 23 described in Table 1 and Figure 13. Are = Arene Candide 2, Bar = Barma Grande 2, Dolni =
- 24 Dolní Věstonice, Qaf = Qafzeh 9, Tian = Tianyuan 1, Amud = Amud 1, Keb = Kebara 2, Nean =
- Neandertal 1, Shan = Shanidar 3 and 4, Tab = Tabun 1-162', MH2 = Australopithecuys sediba,
- 26 AL-444 = Australopithecus afarensis AL 444-3.

- 28 Figure 16. Box-and-whisker plots of triquetrum shape ratios. Relative size (i.e., divided by a
- 29 geometric mean) of the mediolateral (ML) breadth and dorsopalmar (DP) height of the
- triquetrum body. All Neandertal specimens are highlighted in gray. Inset images show how each
- metric was measured. Details of human, small-bodied (s-b) human, and fossil samples are in
- Table 1. El Sidrón sample includes SD-1022a, -1227 (both associated with Hand 1), and -1813.
- 33 SD-1813 falls out at the extremes of the range of variation for both ratios, with the largest ML
- 34 breadth but shortest DP height of triquetrum body. SKX 3498 is affiliated with either

- 1 Australopithecus robustus or early Homo. Homo naledi specimen is UW101-1727 and
- 2 Australopithecus sediba is UW 88-159.

- 4 Figure 17. Principal component analysis of triquetrum shape. Recent human sample shown in
- 5 dark gray dots and small-bodied populations in light gray dots; early *Homo sapiens* indicated by
- 6 green triangles, Homo neanderthalensis by blue/purple squares apart from El Sidrón (red
- 7 squares), and australopiths by crosses. Human and fossil sample composition the same as
- 8 described in Table 1. Are = Arene Candide 2, Bar = Barma Grande 2, Oha = Ohalo II, Qaf =
- 9 Qafzeh 8 and 9, Amud = Amud 1, Keb = Kebara 2, Reg = Regourdou 1, Tab = Tabun 1-154,
- 10 MH2 = Australopithecus sediba, SKX = SKX 3498 Australopithecus robustus or early Homo.

11

- Figure 18. Clockwise from top left, box-and-whisker plots of pisiform shape ratios showing
- relative size (i.e., divided by geometric mean) of proximodistal (PD) length of pisiform body,
- mediolateral (ML) breadth of pisiform body, PD length of the pisiform's triquetrum facet, and
- dorsopalmar (DP) height of pisiform body. Inset images show how each metric was measured.
- All Neandertal specimens are highlighted in gray. Details of human, small-bodied (s-b) human,
- and fossil samples are in Table 1. El Sidrón sample includes SD-29 and SD-646;
- 18 Australopithecus afarensis is AL 333-91; Dolni = Dolní Věstonice.

19

- 20 Figure 19. Principal component analysis of pisiform shape. Recent human sample shown in
- 21 dark gray dots and small-bodied populations in light gray dots; early *Homo sapiens* indicated by
- 22 green triangles, Homo neanderthalensis by blue/purple squares apart from El Sidrón (red
- 23 squares), and australopiths by crosses. Human and fossil sample composition the same as
- described in Table 1. The El Sidrón sample includes SD-29 (falling out closer to Kebara 2) and
- SD-646. Dolni = Dolní Věstonice, Oha = Ohalo II, Qaf = Qafzeh 8 and 9, Amud = Amud 1, Keb
- = Kebara 2, Reg = Regourdou 1, Shan = Shanidar 5, Tab = Tabun 1-157 and Tabun IV, AL 333
- = AL 333-91 Australopithecus afarensis.

- 29 Figure 20. Clockwise from top left, box-and-whisker plots of trapezium shape ratios showing
- 30 relative size (i.e., divided by a geometric mean) of the dorsopalmar (DP) height of trapezium
- body, proximodistal (PD) length of trapezoid facet, mediolateral (ML) breadth of first metacarpal
- facet (Mc1), and PD length of Mc1 facet. Inset images show how each metric was measured. All
- Neandertal specimens are highlighted in gray. Details of human, small-bodied (s-b) human, and
- fossil samples are in Table 1. Are = Arene Candide 2; Oha = Ohalo II; Qaf = Qafzeh 9; El Sid =

- 1 El Sidrón, including SD-373 and -2019; Keb = Kebara 2; H. nal = Homo naledi, including
- 2 UW101-916 and -1731; OH 7 = Homo hablis FLK-NN-Q; Au. Afar = Australopithecus afarensis
- 3 AL 333-80.

- 5 Figure 21. Trapezium tubercle morphology. (A) Tubercle cross-sectional area (mm²) calculated
- 6 as tubercle length x tubercle thickness, following Trinkaus (1983) and (B) tubercle projection
- 7 ratio, calculated as the tubercle projection/length of the first metacarpal facet \* 100. All
- 8 comparative data from Trinkaus (1983) and Niewoehner (2006), apart from El Sidrón SD-373,
- 9 Shanidar 3, Regourdou 1, and Arene Candide 2 ('Arene Can.'). SD-373 has a relatively small
- tubercle of the trapezium compared with most other Neandertals. Note that Trinkaus (1983)
- reports a larger tubercle cross-sectional area of 98 mm<sup>2</sup> for Regourdou 1, and "length" of the
- first metacarpal facet in this study is equivalent to "breadth" of this facet used to calculate the
- tubercle index in Trinkaus (1983).

14

- Figure 22. Clockwise from top left, box-and-whisker plots of trapezoid shape ratios showing
- relative size (i.e., divided by a geometric mean) of the dorsopalmar (DP) height of trapezoid
- body, proximodistal (PD) length of trapezoid's palmar surface, mediolateral (ML) length of the
- trapezoid's dorsal surface, and PD length of the trapezoid's dorsal surface. Inset images show
- 19 how each metric was measured. All Neandertal specimens are highlighted in gray. Details of
- 20 human (hum), small-bodied (s-b) human, and fossil samples are in Table 1. El Sidrón sample
- includes SD-28 and -529; Homo naledi sample includes UW101-1581 and -1782. La Ferr = La
- 22 Ferrassie, Arene = Arene Candide 2, Ohalo = Ohalo II.

23

- 24 Figure 23. Principal component analysis of trapezoid shape. Recent human sample shown in
- dark gray dots and small-bodied populations in light gray dots; early *Homo sapiens* indicated by
- green triangles, Homo neanderthalensis by blue/purple squares apart from El Sidrón (red
- 27 squares). Human and fossil sample composition the same as described in Table 1 and Figure
- 28 22. Homo naledi specimen UW 101-1581 falls out closest to the El Sidrón trapezoids.

- Figure 24. Clockwise from top left, box-and-whisker plots of capitate shape ratios showing
- relative size (i.e., divided by a geometric mean) of the proximodistal (PD) length of the capitate
- body, mediolateral (ML) breadth of the capitate body, dorsopalmar (DP) height of the third
- 33 metacarpal (Mc3) facet, and ML breadth of the proximal facet. Inset images show how each
- metric was measured. All Neandertal specimens are highlighted in gray. Details of human,

- small-bodied (s-b) human, and fossil samples are in Table 1. El Sidrón sample includes SD-557
- and -1034, Homo naledi sample includes UW101-930 and -1730, Australopithecus sediba is
- mean of UW-105 and -156 from MH2, Australopithecus afarensis is AL 333-40,
- 4 Australopithecus africanus is TM 1526.

- 6 Figure 25. Principal component analysis of capitate shape. Recent human sample shown in
- 7 dark gray dots and small-bodied humans in light gray dots; early *Homo sapiens* indicated by
- 8 green triangles, Homo neanderthalensis by blue/purple squares apart from El Sidrón (red
- 9 squares), and australopiths by crosses. Human and fossil sample composition the same as
- described in Table 1 and Figure 24. Are = Arene Candide 2, Oha = Ohalo II, Qaf = Qafzeh 9,
- Barma = Barma Grande 2, Tian = Tianyuan, Keb = Kebara 2, Moula = Moula-Guercy M-F1-461,
- Dolni = Dolní Věstonice 15, Shan = Shanidar 4, Tab = Tabun 1, Nean = Neandertal 1, MH2 =
- Australopithecus sediba, KNM = cf. Australopithecus afarensis KNM-WT 22944-H, AL 333 =
- 14 Australopithecus afarensis AL 333-40.

15

- Figure 26. Clockwise from top left, box-and-whisker plots of hamate shape ratios showing
- 17 relative size (i.e., divided by a geometric mean) of the proximodistal (PD) length of hamate
- body, dorsopalmar (DP) height of hamate, including and excluding the hamulus, and
- mediolateral (ML) breadth of the hamate's distal metacarpal facets. All Neandertal specimens
- are highlighted in gray. Details of human, small-bodied (s-b) human, and fossil samples are in
- 21 Table 1. El Sidrón sample includes SD-742; Homo naledi sample includes UW 101-1640 and -
- 22 1729; Australopithecus sediba is mean of UW 88-95 and -106 from MH2; Australopithecus
- afarensis is AL 333-50; KNM-WT 22944-I is cf. Australopitehcus afarensis.

24

- 25 Figure 27. Principal component analysis of hamate shape. Recent human sample shown in dark
- gray dots and small-bodied humans in light gray dots; early *Homo sapiens* indicated by green
- 27 triangles, *Homo neanderthalensis* by blue/purple squares apart from El Sidrón (red squares),
- and australopiths by crosses. Human and fossil samples composition the same as described in
- Table 1 and Figure 26. Are = Arene Candide 2, Ohalo = Ohalo II, Dolni = Dolní Věstonice 3 and
- 30 15, Qaf = Qafzeh 9, Keb = Kebara 2, Reg = Regourdou 1, Shan = Shanidar 4 and 5, MH2 =
- 31 Australopithecus sediba, KNM = cf. Australopithecus afarensis KNM-WT 22944-I, AL 333 Au.
- 32 *afarensis* AL 333-50.

33

## 1 Tables

Table 1. Comparative extant and fossil sample for each carpal bone.<sup>a</sup>

Species	Site/specimen	Age	Sex	Scaphoid	Lunate	Triq	Pisiform	Trpzm	Trpzd	Capitate	Hamate
	Male/female										
Recent Homo sapiens	European, Africans, Tierra del Fuegians			72/70	68/69	67/68	6/5	9/7	8/8	83/84	54/54
	small-bodied Khoisan			5/11	5/11	5/11	4/9	5/11	5/11	12/13	5/11
							Left/r	ight			
Ното											
neanderthalensis	Europe										
	El Sidrón	49 Ka	?	3/2	0/2	2/2	0/2	0/2	0/2	2/3	1/1
	Feldhofer Neandertal 1	40 Ka	?	-	1/0*	-	-	-	-	1/0*	-
	La Chapelle	56–47 Ka	M	-	-	-	-	-	-	1/0*	-
	Regourdou 1	~70 Ka	?	1/1*	-	1/0*	1*	-	-	-	0/1*
	Kiik-Koba 1	~70 Ka	M	-	-	-	-	-	1/0 <sup>g</sup>	-	-
	La Ferrassie 1	74–68 Ka	M	1/0*	-	1/0 <sup>b</sup>	-	1/1*	1/0*	0/1*	-
	La Ferrassie 2	74–68 Ka	F	-	-	-	-	-	1/1 <sup>b</sup>	0/1 <sup>b</sup>	-
	Moula-Guercy M-F1-461b	120-100 Ka	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	1/0	-
	Krapina 200°	~130 Ka	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	0/1	-
	Near East										
	Amud 1	50–41 Ka	М	-	1/1	1/1	1/1	_	1/1 <sup>b</sup>	1/0	-
	Shanidar 3	50–45 Ka	М	0/1*	1/1*	-	-	0/1 *	-	-	1/0*
	Shanidar 4	>45 Ka	М	1/1 <sup>d</sup>	1/0 <sup>d</sup>	_	-	_	_	1/0*	1/0 <sup>d</sup>
	Shanidar 5 <sup>d</sup>	>45 Ka	M	_	-	_	0/1	_	_	_	0/1
	Shanidar 6 <sup>d</sup>	~75–50 Ka	F	0/1	-	_	-	_	_	_	-
	Shanidar 8 <sup>d</sup>	?		0/1	-	_	-	_	_	_	-
	Kebara 2	61–59 Ka	М	1/1	1/1	1/0	1/1	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0
	Tabun 1	~122 Ka	F	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	-	1/0	1/0	1/0
	Tabun 3	?	?	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	0/1
	Tabun IV	?	?	_	_	_	0/1	_	_	_	-

Early Homo sapiens

Europe

	Arene Candide 2		M	1/1	1/1	1/0	-	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
	Barma Grande 2	26–23 Ka	M	1/1	1/1	1/1	-	-	-	1/1	1/1
	Dolni Vestonice 3 <sup>e</sup>	29–27 Ka		1/0	0/1	-	-	-	-	-	1/0
	Dolni Vestonice 14 <sup>e</sup>	29–27 Ka	M	0/1	1/0	-	0/1	-	-	-	-
	Dolni Vestonice 15 <sup>e</sup>	29–27 Ka	F	-	0/1	-	-	-	-	0/1	0/1
	Dolni Vestonice 16 <sup>e</sup>	29–27 Ka	M	1/1	1/0	-	-	-	-	0/1	-
	Near East										
	Ohalo II	23–19 Ka	M	1/1	1/0	1/0	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
	Qafzeh 9	100–80 Ka	F	1/1	1/1	0/1	1/1	0/1	1/1	0/1	0/1
	Qafzeh 8	100–80 Ka	?	-	-	0/1	0/1	-	0/1	-	-
	Skhul V	130–100 Ka	M	-	1/0 <sup>h</sup>	1/0 <sup>h</sup>	-	-	-	0/1	-
	Skhul IV	130–100 Ka		-	-	1/1 <sup>h</sup>	1/0 <sup>f</sup>	-	1/0 <sup>h</sup>	-	-
	Asia										
	Tianyuan 1*	~40 Ka		-	0/1*	-	-	-	-	0/1*	-
Other hominins											
H. antecessor	ATD6-24 and 23 <sup>f</sup> Hand 1 + isolated	~730 Ka	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	1/0	1/0
H. naledi	specimens	?	?	1/2	1/2	0/1	-	1/1	0/2	2/1	0/2
H. habilis	OH 7 FLK-NN-Q	1.75 Ma	?	-	-	-	-	0/1	-	-	-
Au. afarensis	AL 333 and AL 444	3.2 Ma	?	-	0/1	-	1/0	0/1	-	0/1	0/1
А <i>и.</i> sp.	StW 618	3–2 Ma	?	1/0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Au. cf. afarensis	KNM WT 22944 <sup>9</sup>	3.5 Ma	?	-	1/0	-	-	-	-	1/0	1/0
Au. sediba	MH2	1.98 Ma	F	0/1	0/1	0/1	-	-	-	1/1	1/1
Au. africanus Au. robustus/early	TM 1526	3–2 Ma	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	0/1	-
Ното	SKX 3498 sample male and femal	~1.5 Ma	?	-	-	0/1	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>For recent human sample, male and female sample sizes are provided. For fossil hominin sample, species, and specimen, date and 1

left and right bones are provided. Triq = triquetrum, trapzm = trapezium, trpzd = trapezoid 2 3

b Data from Mersey et al. (2013)
c Data from Heim (1982)
d Data from Trinkaus (1983)
e Data from Sladek et al. (2000)

<sup>5</sup> 

f Data from Lorenzo et al. (1999) 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Data from Endo and Kimura (1972)

- <sup>9</sup> Measurements taken from cast
- 2 \*Measurements taken from surface model

## 1 Table 2. Scaphoid metric data (mm).<sup>a</sup>

					SD-		SD-
Specimen	SD-744 <sup>c</sup>	SDR-064 <sup>d</sup>	SD-96 <sup>e</sup>	SD-110 <sup>f</sup>	258 <sup>d</sup>	SD-679b <sup>d</sup>	1243
Side	R	L	R	R?	L	L	L
Measurement							
Max. breadth	28.7	26.8	25.7	-	26.5	-	28.2
Max. height	17.5	[17.0]	17.0	-	15.8	-	14.6
Max. length	[13.4]	[12.8]	[13.7]	-	13.2	-	12.7
Height of radial facet	[14.7]	14.0	15.8	-	13.7	[12.6]	13.1
Breadth of radial facet	17.7	17.4	[18.0]	-	17.4	[18.5]	18.5
Height of lunate facet	[14.0]	12.5	[7.3]	-	10.2	[11.2]	[11.7]
Length of lunate facet	10.9	10.4	[3.0]	-	9.5	-	[10.7]
Breadth of capitate		[15.8]	15.4	-	15.2	_	15.3
Facet							
Height of capitate facet	[11.8]	[13.5]	12.7	-	12.6	[12.7]	11.6
Height of trapezium-	20.0	21.5	12.5	_	21.4	_	_
Trapezoid facet	20.0	21.0	.2.0				
Length of trapezium-	[10.6]	_	9.8	_	10.8	_	[9.6]
Trapezoid facet	[10.0]	_	5.0	_	10.0	_	[5.0]
Tubercle projection <sup>b</sup>	[15.8]	[15.8]	-	-	13.9	-	15.7
Minimum thickness <sup>b</sup>	5.5	[5.5]	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Here and in Tables 2–8, "breadth" always refers to the mediolateral dimension, 'height' refers

<sup>3</sup> to the dorsopalmar dimension, and 'length' refers to the proximodistal dimension. R = right side,

<sup>4</sup> L = left side, max. = maximum, '-' indicates that preservation prohibited measurement or a

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:confident} \mbox{ confident estimation of that measurement; `[x]' indicates estimated values.}$ 

<sup>6</sup> b Measurements following Trinkaus (1982, 1983)

<sup>7</sup> CAssociated with Hand 1

<sup>8</sup> d Preserves a distinct 'os centrale' portion

<sup>9 &</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Bipartite tubercle

<sup>10 &</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Possibly juvenile

1 Table 3. Lunate metric data (mm). <sup>a</sup>

Specimen	SD-85 <sup>b</sup>	SD-1011a
Side	R	R
Measurement		
Max. length	13.0	14.4
Max. height	17.3	17.8
Max. breadth	15.1	16.1
Height of scaphoid facet	8.3	11.7
Length of scaphoid facet	3.7	5.5
Height of distal facet <sup>c</sup>	15.5	15.6
Breadth of distal facet <sup>c</sup>	12.0	11.8
Height of radial facet	15.8	17.1
Breadth of radial facet	15.2	16.2
Height of triquetrum facet	7.8	[10.0]
Length of triquetrum facet	7.0	8.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Abbreviations the same as in Table 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Associated with Hand 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Includes both capitate and hamate facets

1 Table 4. Triquetrum metric data (mm).<sup>a</sup>

Specimen	SD-1227 <sup>b</sup>	SD-58 <sup>b</sup>	SD-1022a	SD-1813
Side	L	R	L	R
Measurements				
Max. breadth	[14.5]	[14.0]	[13.0]	16.4
Max. height	14.6	14.6	[14.3]	13.2
Max. length	11.3	-	9.2	[8.3]
Height of lunate facet	9.4	[8.9]	8.5	-
Length of lunate facet	[7.1]	[7.0]	6.9	[7.3]
Breadth of hamate facet	[11.9]	12.0	-	14.0
Height of hamate facet	11.7	10.2	[9.7]	-
Breadth of pisiform facet	[8.3]	[7.9]	-	[8.9]
Length of pisiform facet	7.7	[7.0]	-	[7.3]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Abbreviations the same as in Table 1

<sup>3</sup> b Associated with Hand 1

Table 5. Pisiform metric data (mm).<sup>a</sup>

1	
2	

	Specimen	SD-29 <sup>b</sup>	SD-646
	Side	R	R
Measurement			
Max. breadth		8.9	10.4
Max. height		11.0	11.6
Max. length		15.3	15.6
Length of triquet	rum facet	10.4	[10.4]
Breadth of trique	etrum facet	7.8	8.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Abbreviations the same as in Table 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Potentially associated with Hand 1

## 1 Table 6. Trapezium metric data (mm).<sup>a</sup>

Specimen	SD-373 <sup>c</sup>	SD-2019
Side	R	R
Measurement		
Max. length	24.2	-
Max. height	14.3	14.2
Length of Mc1 facet	14.5	14.2
Breadth of Mc1 facet	12.3	13.5
Length of trapezoid facet	7.9	11.2
Height of trapezoid facet	8.7	8.7
Breadth of scaphoid facet	10.8	10.5
Length of scaphoid facet	9.1	9.0
Tubercle length <sup>b</sup>	11.1	-
Tubercle thickness <sup>b</sup>	4.1	-
Tubercle projection <sup>b</sup>	3.2	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Abbreviations the same as in Table 1

<sup>3</sup> b Metric as defined in Trinkaus (1983)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Associated with Hand 1

1 Table 7. Trapezoid metric data (mm).<sup>a</sup>

Specimen <sup>b</sup>	SD-28 <sup>c</sup>	SD-529	SD-104
Side	R	R	L
Measurement			
Max. length	20.2	16.2	-
Max. height of palmar surface	8.6	6.1	-
Max. breadth of dorsal surface	13.5	12.6	12.2
Max. height of dorsal surface	13.2	11.8	11.6
Height of trapezium facet	15.1	12.9	-
Length of trapezium facet	9.0	9.9	-
Height of Mc2 facet	17.4	14.4	-
Breadth of Mc2 facet	12.1	11.7	-
Height of scaphoid facet	12.4	9.8	-
Breadth of scaphoid facet	10.4	9.8	-

<sup>2 &</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Abbreviations the same as in Table 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Associated with Hand 1

1 Table 8. Capitate metric data (mm).<sup>a</sup>

Specimen	SD-1034 <sup>b</sup>	SD-557 <sup>b</sup>	SDR-063	SD-400	SD-632a
Side	L	R	L	R	L
Measurement					,
Max. length	24.1	22.4	-	-	-
Max. height	21.1	21.2	-	-	-
Max. breadth	17.6	[16.4]	-	-	-
Height of hamate facet	9.8	10.0	[9.4]	-	-
Length of hamate facet	17.2	17.5	[17.3]	-	15.7
Breadth of proximal facet	12.9	12.8	12.4	12.6	[12.1]
Height of proximal facet	14.4	13.2	12.1	[14.0]	-
Breadth of capitate "neck"	11.1	11.2	10.0	-	-
Height of Mc3 facet	16.1	16.1	-	-	-
Dorsal breadth of Mc3 facet	16.4	-	-	-	[14.0]
Height of Mc2 facet	15.2	-	-	-	-
Length of Mc2 facet	5.3	-	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Abbreviations the same as in Table 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Likely associated with Hand 1

1 Table 9. Hamate metric data (mm).<sup>a</sup>

Specimen	SD-742 <sup>c</sup>	SDR-158
Side	R	L
Measurement		
Max. length (incl. hamulus)	[20.0]	-
Max. length of hamate body	[17.7]	-
Max. height (incl. hamulus)	[28.0]	-
Max. height of hamate body	[12.5]	-
Max. breadth	[15.7]	-
Height of capitate facet	[11.2]	-
Breadth of Mc4 facet	[8.8]	-
Breadth of Mc5 facet	[5.5]	-
Hamulus length <sup>b</sup>	12.0	[11.8]
Hamulus thickness <sup>b</sup>	6.0	5.9
Hamulus projection <sup>b</sup>	14.3	15.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Abbreviations the same as in Table 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> As described by Trinkaus (1983)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Likely associated with Hand 1

Table 10. Results of principal component analysis for each carpal bone.<sup>a</sup>

Shape variable	PC1	PC2	Shape variable	PC1	PC2
Scaphoid	42.5%	29.3%	Trapezoid	39.1%	23.6%
Height of capitate facet	0.68	-0.26	Height of trapezium facet	0.75	0.40
Length of scaphoid body	0.57	0.58	Length of dorsal surface (body)	-0.47	0.20
Length of radial facet	-0.39	0.14	Breadth of dorsal surface (body)	0.32	-0.11
Breadth of scaphoid body	0.15	-0.66	Length of palmar surface	-0.60	0.85
Length of capitate facet	0.13	0.27	Length of trapezium facet	0.21	0.25
Height of radial facet	-0.14	0.26	Height of trapezoid body	0.07	-0.05
Lunate	33.6%	24.3%	Capitate	35.6%	27.8%
Breadth of lunate body	-0.51	0.29	Breadth of Mc3 facet	-0.58	0.69
Height of lunate body	0.43	0.21	Height of proximal facet	0.41	0.35
Height of radial facet	0.42	0.53	Breadth of capitate body	-0.35	0.02
Height of capitate facet	0.36	0.36	Height of Mc3 facet	0.36	0.55
Breadth of radial facet	-0.37	0.25	Breadth of proximal facet	0.33	0.14
Breadth of capitate facet	-0.31	0.37	Length of capitate body	0.31	0.19
Length of lunate body	0.17	-0.52	Height of capitate body	0.21	-0.18
Triquetrum	33.4%	25.4%	Hamate	45.5%	24.9%
Height of hamate facet	0.85	-0.11	Max. height of hamate body	-0.81	0.15
Height of lunate facet	0.46	0.16	Length of hamate body	0.36	-0.60
Breadth of hamate facet	0.19	0.70	Breadth of distal facet	0.30	0.36
Height of triquetrum body	0.17	-0.43	Height of capitate facet	0.24	0.62
Breadth of triquetrum body	-0.08	0.53	Breadth of hamate body	0.23	0.31
Length of triquetrum body	-0.06	-0.04	Height of hamate body	0.12	0.05
Length of lunate facet	-0.01	0.11			
Pisiform	44.0%	26.6%			
Length of triquetrum facet	0.66	0.50			
Breadth of triquetrum facet	0.57	0.14			
Breadth of pisiform body	0.38	-0.66			
Height of pisiform body	-0.22	0.45			
Length of pisiform body	-0.22	0.30			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The percentage of variance explained for the first (PC1) and second (PC2) principal components and the loadings of each shape variable (i.e., metric/geometric mean) on each PC is given for each carpal bone. Shape variables are ordered from highest to lowest

overall loading on PC1, and for each, height, length, and breadth refers to the dorsopalmar, proximodistal, and mediolateral dimensions, respectively.

Table 11. Capitate's Mc2 facet angle, relative to the Mc3 (following Niewoehner et al., 1997).<sup>a</sup>

Taxon	Specimen/population	Capitate's Mc2 angle (SD)
Homo sapiens	Amerindians (n = 41)	46 (7.0)
		Range 31–58
	European ( <i>n</i> = 53)	39 (8.9)
		Range 19–58
Homo neanderthalensis	Amud 1	50
	Kebara 2	56
	Krapina	65
	La Chapelle	54
	Shanidar 4	44
	Tabun 1	64
	La Ferrassie 1	75
	La Ferrassie 2	72
	El Sidrón SD-1034	71
	El Sidrón SD-632a	58
Homo heidelbergensis	Sima de los Huesos (n = 6)	59.7 (6.1)
Homo antecessor	ATD6-24	48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> All comparative data taken from Niewoehner et al. (1997) except that of *Homo heidelbergensis* (Arsuaga et al., 2015) and *Homo antecessor* (Lorenzo et al., 1999).

Table 12. Comparison of hamate hamulus shape.<sup>a</sup>

Taxon	Sample/specimen	Hamulus	Hamulus
		cross-sectional area (mm²)	projection index
Euroamericans (n = 25)	47.2 (14.1) <sup>c</sup>	42.0°	
Afroamericans (n = 21)	55.4 (11.5) <sup>c</sup>	40.9 <sup>c</sup>	
Early H. sapiens	Dolni Vest. 3	38.25 <sup>d</sup>	34.6 <sup>d</sup>
	Dolni Vest. 15	37.41 <sup>d</sup>	$33.7^{d}$
	Qafzeh 8	54.5 <sup>b</sup>	[36.9] <sup>b</sup>
	Qafzeh 9	61.0	38.7
	Ohalo II	-	41.4
	Arene	-	38.7
	Caviglione	-	39.2 <sup>b</sup>
	Tianyuan 1	-	40.0
	Range	37.4–61.0	33.7–41.4
Homo neanderthalensis	SD-742	72.0	[51.1]
	SD-158	[69.6]	-
	Shanidar 3	[79.4] <sup>b</sup>	47.7 <sup>b</sup>
	Shanidar 4	87.5 <sup>b</sup>	45.7 <sup>b</sup>
	Shanidar 5	74.8 <sup>b</sup>	43.3 <sup>b</sup>
	Regourdou 1	82.8 <sup>b</sup>	49.6
	Amud 1	98.0 <sup>b</sup>	45.4 <sup>b</sup>
	La Ferrassie 2	57.8 <sup>b</sup>	43.8 <sup>b</sup>
	Tabun 1	52.8 <sup>b</sup>	48.1 <sup>b</sup>
	Tabun 3	-	45.8
	Kebara 2	84.2	45.9
	Range (excl. El Sidron)	52.8-98.0	43.0-49.6
Homo heidelbergensis	Sima de los Huesos (n = 3)	62.1 (2.5) <sup>c</sup>	45.8°
Homo antecessor	ATD6-23	52.9°	[38.2] <sup>c</sup>
Homo naledi	UW 101-1729	50.8	39.7
Australopithecus sediba	UW 88-106	45.6	39.6

<sup>a</sup> Hamulus cross-sectional area (hamulus PD length x hamulus ML breadth) and hamulus projection index (hamulus projection/maximum height of the hamate) in *Homo sapiens*, Neandertals, and other hominins.[x] = estimated values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Data from Trinkaus (1983)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Data from Lorenzo et al. (1999)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Data from Sladek et al. (2000)