

Kent Academic Repository

Nurmsoo, Erika, Eydam, Angelique, Leahy, Vickie and Burton, Tanslea (2014) *Children's high-fidelity imitation of tool vs non-tool actions*. In: XIX Biennial International Conference on Infant Studies, July 3-5, 2014, Berlin, Germany.

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/41754/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

This document version Presentation

DOI for this version

Licence for this version UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).





the XIX Biennial International Conference on Infant Studies, Berlin, Germany, July 3-5, 2014

Introduction

Faithful imitation: Young children will copy both the goal and the means of a novel action (e.g., Meltzoff, 1988)

Over-imitation: Older children and adults tend to copy all actions demonstrated by a teacher, even when these actions are not necessary to accomplish the goal (e.g., Horner & Whiten, 2005).

In short, children copy actions demonstrated by others with high fidelity.

Natural pedagogy: Ostensive cues signal to the learner that the teacher is showing generalisable, culturally relevant information, increasing imitation behaviour (Csibra & Gergely 2006).

Tools: Tools are cultural artifacts. The uniquely human approach towards tools may be the evolutionary root of imitation (Csibra & Gergely 2006).

Our main research question:

Are tool actions imitated more faithfully than non-tool actions?

Details of Study 1: Faithful imitation

Participants: 35 18-month-olds (17-19 mos, M=18)

Design: 4 demonstrations: 2 Tool trials, 2 Body trials (within participants), with or without ostensive cues (between participants)

Procedure:

Demonstrate novel action with object set A (x3) Demonstrate novel action with object set B (x3)4 minute delay

60 seconds of free play with object set A 60 seconds of free play with object set B repeat for trials C and D

Coding: All behaviours recorded and coded offline Imitation: faithful reproduction of means

Emulation: reproduction of goal with alternative means

Children's high-fidelity imitation of tool vs non-tool actions

^aUniversity of Kent

^b Klinikum rechts der Isar der Technischen Universität München

Research Questions:

Are novel tool actions imitated more often than novel body actions? Is this faithful imitation affected by ostensive communicative cues?





Results:

More likely to faithfully imitate tool than body actions More often imitated tool actions and emulated body actions Cues increased imitation of body actions but not tool actions

Study 2: Over-Imitation

Research Question: Are actions performed with a tool over-imitated more than actions performed with the hand?

Over-imitation materials





Results: Very high rates of over-imitation Equivalent over-imitation for tool vs body demonstrations

Erika Nurmsoo^a, Angelique Eydam^{ab}, Vickie Leahy^a & Tanslea Burton^a



Faithful imitation: 18-month-old infants were more likely to faithfully imitate tool actions than the equivalent non-tool actions.

Only body actions showed increased imitation after demonstrations with ostensive cues.

Over-imitation: 3- and 5-year-old children over-imitated all types of action equally, showing no predisposition to over-imitate tool actions more than non-tool actions

There may be an early predisposition towards faithful imitation of tool use.

This predisposition did not appear for over-imitation with older children, but over-imitation rates were very high, suggesting a possible ceiling effect.

The choice of actions used in imitation studies should be carefully considered.

Details of Study 2: Over-imitation

Participants: 68 3- to 5-year-olds (37-63 mos, M=50) **Design:** 2 boxes: one using tool for all actions, one using hand for all actions (within participants, counterbalanced). **Procedure:** Demonstrate Box A (x2): two unnecessary actions (e.g., tapping the top, moving a lever) and one necessary (opening the box) to retrieve goal (removing a toy) Child is told, "now it's your turn" Repeat for Box B **Coding:** All behaviours were recorded and coded offline Over-imitation: number of unnecessary actions performed



Results

Conclusions