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# Quantisation Spaces of Cluster Algebras 

Florian Gellert and Philipp Lampe *

February 6, 2014


#### Abstract

The article concerns the existence and uniqueness of quantisations of cluster algebras. We prove that cluster algebras with an initial exchange matrix of full rank admit a quantisation in the sense of Berenstein-Zelevinsky and give an explicit generating set to construct all quantisations.


## 1 Introduction

Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky have introduced and studied cluster algebras in a series of four articles [FZ1, FZ2, BFZ3, FZ 4$]$ (one of which is coauthored by Arkady Berenstein) in order to study Lusztig's canonical basis and total positivity. Cluster algebras are commutative algebras which are constructed by generators and relations. The generators are called cluster variables and they are grouped into several overlapping sets, so-called clusters. A combinatorial mutation process relates the clusters and provides the defining relations of the algebra. The rules for this mutation process are encoded in a rectangular exchange matrix usually denoted by the symbol $\tilde{B}$. Surprinsingly, Fomin-Zelevinsky's Laurent phenomenon [FZ1, Thm. 3.1] asserts that every cluster variable can be expressed as a Laurent polynomial in an arbitrarily chosen cluster. The second main theorem of cluster theory is the classification of cluster algebras with only finitely many cluster variables by finite type root systems, see FominZelevinsky [FZ2].

The connections of cluster algebras to other areas of mathematics are manifold. A major contribution is Caldero-Chapoton's map [CC] which relates cluster algebras and representation theory of quivers. Another contribution is the construction of cluster algebras from oriented surfaces which relates cluster algebras and differential geometry, see Fomin-ShapiroThurston [FST1] and Fomin-Thurston [FT2].

Arkady Berenstein and Andrei Zelevinsky [BZ] have introduced the concept of quantum cluster algebras. Quantum cluster algebras are $q$-deformations which specialise to the ordinary cluster algebras in the classical limit $q=1$. Such quantisations play an important role in cluster theory: on the one hand, quantisations are essential when trying to link cluster algebras to Lusztig's canonical bases, see for example [Lu, Le, La1, La2, GLS, HL]. On the other hand, Goodearl-Yakimov [GY] use quantisations to approximate cluster algebras by their upper bounds. The latter result is particularly important since it enables us to study cluster algebras as unions of Laurent polynomial rings.

[^0]In general, the notion of $q$-deformation turns former commutative structures into noncommutative ones. In the case of quantum cluster algebras, this yields $q$-commutativity between variables within the same quantum cluster which is stored in an additional matrix usually denoted by the symbol $\Lambda$. In order to keep the $q$-commutativity intact under mutation, Berenstein and Zelevinsky require some compatibility relation between the matrices $\tilde{B}$ and $\Lambda$. The very same compatibility condition also parametrises compatible Poisson structures for cluster algebras, see Gekhtman-Shapiro-Vainshtein [GSV].

Unfortunately, not every cluster algebra admits a quantisation, because not every exchange matrix admits a compatible $\Lambda$. But in the case where there exists such a quantisation, Berenstein-Zelevinsky have shown that $\tilde{B}$ is of full rank.

This paper has several aims. Firstly, we reinterpret what it means for quadratic exchange matrices to be of full rank via Pfaffians and perfect matchings. Secondly, we show the converse of the above statement (which was posted as a question during Zelevinsky's lecture at a workshop): assuming $\tilde{B}$ is of full rank, there always exists a quantisation. This result we show by using concise linear algebra arguments. It should be noted that Gekhtman-Shapiro-Vainshtein in [GSV, Thm. 4.5] prove a similar statement in the language of Poisson structures. Thirdly, when a quantisation exists, it is not necessarily unique. This ambiguity we make more precise by relating all such quantisations via matrices we construct from a given $\tilde{B}$ using particular minors.

## 2 Berenstein-Zelevinsky's quantum cluster algebras

### 2.1 Notation

Let $m, n$ be integers with $1 \leq n \leq m$ and $A$ an $m \times n$ matrix with integer entries. For $n<m$ we use the notation $[n, m]=\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$ and in the case $n=1$ the shorthand $[m]=[1, m]$. For a subset $J \subseteq[m]$ we denote by $A_{J}$ the submatrix of $A$ with rows indexed by $J$ and all columns. By $q$ we denote throughout the paper a formal indeterminate.

### 2.2 The definition of quantum cluster algebras

Let $\tilde{B}=\left(b_{i, j}\right)$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with integer entries. For further use we write $\tilde{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}B \\ C\end{array}\right]$ in block form with an $n \times n$ matrix $B$ and an $(m-n) \times n$ matrix $C$. The matrix $B$ is called the principal part of $\tilde{B}$. We call indices $i \in[n]$ mutable and the indices $j \in[n+1, m]$ frozen.

We say that the principal part $B$ is skew-symmetrisable if there exists a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ with positive integer diagonal entries such that the matrix $D B$ is skewsymmetric, i. e. $d_{i} b_{i, j}=-d_{j} b_{j, i}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The matrix $D$ is then called a skew-symmetriser for $B$ and $\tilde{B}$ is called an exchange matrix. Note that skew-symmetrising from the right yields identical restraints and $b_{i, j} \neq 0$ if and only if $b_{j, i} \neq 0$.

The skew-symmetriser is essentially unique by the following discussion: Consider the unoriented simple graph $\Delta(B)$ with vertex set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that there is an edge between two vertices $i$ and $j$ if and only if $b_{i j} \neq 0$. We say that the principal part $B$ is connected if $\Delta(B)$ is connected. Note that the connectedness of the principal part is mutation invariant, i.e. if $B$ is connected, then the principal part of $\mu_{k}(\tilde{B})$ is connected for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ as well.

Assume now that $B$ is connected. Suppose there exist two diagonal $n \times n$-matrices $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ with positive integer diagonal entries such that both $D B$ and $D^{\prime} B$ are skew-symmetric. Then there exists a rational number $\lambda$ with $D=\lambda D^{\prime}$, as for all indices $i, j$ with $b_{i j} \neq 0$ the equality
$d_{i} / d_{j}=d_{i}^{\prime} / d_{j}^{\prime}$ holds true. We refer to the smallest such $D$ as the fundamental skew-symmetriser. If $B$ is not connected, then every skew-symmetriser $D$ is a $\mathbb{N}^{+}$-linear combination of the fundamental skew-symmetrisers of the connected components of $B$.

This concludes the discussion of the first datum to construct quantum cluster algebras. The next piece of data is the notion of compatible matrix pairs.

From now on, assume that $\tilde{B}=\left[\begin{array}{l}B \\ C\end{array}\right]$ is a not necessarily connected matrix with skewsymmetrisable principal part $B$. A skew-symmetric $m \times m$ integer matrix $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{i, j}\right)$ is called compatible if there exists a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix $D^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ with positive integers $d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\tilde{B}^{T} \Lambda=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D^{\prime} & 0 \tag{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

as a $n \times n$ plus $n \times(m-n)$ block matrix. In this case we call $(\tilde{B}, \Lambda)$ a compatible pair. To any $m \times n$ matrix $\tilde{B}$ there need not exist a compatible $\Lambda$. As a necessary condition BerensteinZelevinsky [BZ, Prop. 3.3] note that if a matrix $\tilde{B}$ belongs to a compatible pair ( $\tilde{B}, \Lambda$ ), then its principal part $B$ is skew-symmetrisable, $D^{\prime}$ itself is a skew-symmetriser and $\tilde{B}$ itself is of full rank, i. e. $\operatorname{rank}(\tilde{B})=n$.

Let us fix a compatible pair $(\tilde{B}, \Lambda)$. We are now ready to complete the necessary data to define quantum cluster algebras. First of all, let $\left\{e_{i}: 1 \leq 1 \leq m\right\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbf{Q}^{m}$. With respect to this standard basis the skew-symmetric matrix $\Lambda$ defines a skew-symmetric bilinear form $\beta: \mathbb{Q}^{m} \times \mathbb{Q}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. The based quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}$ associated with $\Lambda$ is the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm 1}\right]$-algebra with $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm 1}\right]$-basis $\left\{X^{a}: a \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}\right\}$ where we define the multiplication of basis elements by the formula $X^{a} X^{b}=q^{\beta(a, b)} X^{a+b}$ for all elements $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$. It is an associative algebra with unit $1=X^{0}$ and every basis element $X^{a}$ has an inverse $\left(X^{a}\right)^{-1}=X^{-a}$. The based quantum torus is commutative if and only if $\Lambda$ is the zero matrix, in which case $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra. In general, it is an Ore domain, see [BZ, Appendix] for further details. We embed $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ into an ambient skew field.

Although $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}$ is not commutative in general, the relation $X^{a} X^{b}=q^{2 \beta(a, b)} X^{b} X^{a}$ holds for all elements $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$. Because of this relation we say that the basis elements are $q$-commutative. Put $X_{i}=X^{e_{i}}$ for all $i \in[m]$. The definition implies $X_{i} X_{j}=q^{\lambda_{i, j}} X_{j} X_{i}$ for all $i, j \in[m]$. Then we may write $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}=\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm 1}\right]\left[X_{1}^{ \pm 1}, X_{2}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, X_{m}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and the basis vectors satisfy the relation

$$
X^{a}=q^{\sum_{i>j} \lambda_{i j} a_{i} a_{i} a_{j}} X_{1}^{a_{1}} X_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot X_{m}^{a_{m}}
$$

for all $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$.
We call a sequence of pairwise $q$-commutative and algebraically independent elements such as $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)$ in $\mathcal{F}$ an extended quantum cluster, the elements $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of an extended quantum cluster quantum cluster variables, the elements $X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \ldots, X_{m}$ frozen variables and the triple $(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)$ a quantum seed.

Let $k$ be a mutable index. Define mutation map $\mu_{k}:(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda) \mapsto\left(\tilde{B}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ as follows:
$\left(M_{1}\right)$ The matrix $\tilde{B}^{\prime}=\mu_{k}(\tilde{B})$ the well-known mutation of skew-symmetrisable matrices.
$\left(M_{2}\right)$ The matrix $\Lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)$ is the $m \times m$ matrix with entries $\lambda_{i, j}^{\prime}=\lambda_{i, j}$ except for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i, k}^{\prime}=-\lambda_{i, k}+\sum_{r \neq k} \lambda_{i, r} \max \left(0,-b_{r, k}\right) \text { for all } i \in[m] \backslash\{k\}, \\
& \lambda_{k, j}^{\prime}=-\lambda_{k, j}-\sum_{r \neq k} \lambda_{j, r} \max \left(0,-b_{r, k}\right) \text { for all } j \in[m] \backslash\{k\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(M_{3}\right)$ To obtain the quantum cluster $X^{\prime}$ we replace the element $X_{k}$ with the element

$$
X_{k}^{\prime}=X^{-e_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \max \left(0, b_{i, k}\right) e_{i}}+X^{-e_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \max \left(0,-b_{i, k}\right) e_{i}} \in \mathcal{F} .
$$

The variables $X^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ are pairwise $q$-commutative: for all $j \in[m]$ with $j \neq k$ the integers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta\left(-e_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \max \left(0, b_{i, k}\right) e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=-\lambda_{k, j}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \max \left(0, b_{i, k}\right) \lambda_{i, j} \\
& \beta\left(-e_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \max \left(0,-b_{i, k}\right) e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=-\lambda_{k, j}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \max \left(0,-b_{i, k}\right) \lambda_{i, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

are equal, because their difference is equal to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i, k} \lambda_{i, j}$ which is the zero entry indexed by $(k, j)$ in the matrix $\tilde{B}^{T} \Lambda$. So the variable $X_{k}^{\prime} q$-commutes with all $X_{j}$. Hence the variables $X^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ generate again a based quantum torus whose $q$-commutativity relations are given by the skew-symmetric matrix $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Moreover, the pair ( $\tilde{B}^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}$ ) is compatible by [BZ, Prop. 3.4] so that the matrix $\tilde{B}^{\prime}$ has a skew-symmetrisable principle part. We conclude that the mutation $\mu_{k}\left(\tilde{B}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)=\left(\tilde{B}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is again an extended quantum seed. Note that the mutation map is involutive, i.e. $\left(\mu_{k} \circ \mu_{k}\right)(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)=(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)$.

Here we see the importance of the compatibility condition. A main property of classical cluster algebras are the binomial exchange relations. For the quantised version we require pairwise $q$-commutativity for the quantum cluster variables in a single cluster. This implies that a monomial $X_{1}^{a_{1}} X_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot X_{m}^{a_{m}}$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$ remains (up to a power of $q$ ) a monomial under reordering the quantum cluster variables.

We call two quantum seeds ( $\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda$ ) and ( $\tilde{B}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}$ ) mutation equivalent if one can relate them by a sequence of mutations. This defines an equivalence relation on quantum seeds, denoted by $(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda) \sim\left(\tilde{B}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. The quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q}(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)$ associated to a given quantum seed $(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm 1}\right]$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by the set

$$
\chi(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)=\left\{X_{i}^{ \pm 1} \mid i \in[n+1, m]\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\left(\tilde{B}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right) \sim(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)}\left\{X_{i}^{\prime} \mid i \in[n]\right\} .
$$

The specialisation at $q=1$ identifies the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q}(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)$ with the classical cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B}, X)$. Generally, the definitions of classical and quantum cluster algebras admit additional analogies. One such analogy is the quantum Laurent phenomenon, as proven in [BZ, Cor. 5.2]: $\mathcal{A}_{q}(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}$. Remarkably, $\mathcal{A}_{q}(\tilde{B}, X, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B}, X)$ also possess the same exchange graph by [BZ, Thm. 6.1]. In particular, quantum cluster algebras of finite type are also classified by Dynkin diagrams.

## 3 The quantisation space

### 3.1 Remarks on skew-symmetric matrices of full rank

How can we decide whether an exchange matrix $\tilde{B}$ has full rank? Let us consider the case $n=m$. Multiplication with a skew-symmetriser $D$ does not change the rank, so without loss of generality we may assume that $\tilde{B}=B$ is skew-symmetric. In this case, $B=B(Q)$ is the signed adjacency matrix of some quiver $Q$ with $n$ vertices.

First of all, if $n$ is odd, then $B$ can not be of full rank, because $\operatorname{det}(B)=(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(B)$ implies $\operatorname{det}(B)=0$. Especially, no (coefficient-free) cluster algebra attached to a quiver $Q$ with an odd number of vertices admits a quantisation.

Now suppose that $n=m$ is even. In this case, a theorem of Cayley [ $\mathbb{C}]$ asserts that there exists a polynomial $\operatorname{Pf}(B)$ in the entries of $B$ such that $\operatorname{det}(B)=\operatorname{Pf}(B)^{2}$. The polynomial is called the Pfaffian. For example, if $n=4$, then $\operatorname{Pf}(B)=b_{12} b_{34}-b_{13} b_{24}+b_{14} b_{23}$. For general $n$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Pf}(B)=\sum \operatorname{sgn}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n / 2}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n / 2}\right) b_{i_{1} j_{1}} b_{i_{2} j_{2}} \cdots b_{i_{n / 2} j_{n / 2}}
$$

where the sum is taken over all $(n-1)(n-3) \cdots 1$ possibilities of writing the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ as a union $\left\{i_{1}, j_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{i_{2}, j_{2}\right\} \cup \ldots \cup\left\{i_{n / 2}, j_{n / 2}\right\}$ of $\frac{n}{2}$ sets of cardinality 2 and $\operatorname{sgn}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n / 2}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n / 2}\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}$ is the sign of the permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ with $\sigma(2 k-1)=i_{k}$ and $\sigma(2 k)=j_{k}$ for all $k \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right\}$, cf. Knuth [K, Equation (0.1)]. It is easy to see that the sum is well-defined.

Note that in the sum above a summand vanishes unless $\left\{i_{1}, \dot{j}_{2}\right\},\left\{i_{2}, j_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{i_{n / 2}, j_{n / 2}\right\}$ is a perfect matching of the underlying undirected graph of $Q$.

For example, let $Q=\overrightarrow{A_{n}}$ be an orientation of a Dynkin diagram of type $A_{n}$ with an even number $n$. Then $Q$ admits exactly one perfect matching $\{1,2\},\{3,4\}, \ldots,\{n-1, n\}$. Hence, $\operatorname{det}(B(Q))= \pm 1$ so that $B(Q)$ is regular. The same is true for all quivers $Q$ of type $\overrightarrow{E_{6}}$ or $\overrightarrow{E_{8}}$. On the other hand, there does not exist a perfect matching for a Dynkin diagram of type $D_{n}$. Hence, $\operatorname{det}(B(Q))=0$ for all quivers $Q$ of type $D_{n}$.

To summarize, a (coefficient-free) cluster algebra of finite type has a quantization if and only if it is of Dynkin type $A_{n}$ with even $n$ or of type $E_{6}$ or $E_{8}$. (These are precisely the Dynkin diagrams for which the stable category $\underline{\mathrm{CM}}(R)$ of Cohen-Macaulay modules of the corresonding hypersurface singularity $R$ of dimension 1 does not have an indecomposable rigid object, see Burban-Iyama-Keller-Reiten [BIKR, Theorem 1.3].)

### 3.2 Existence of quantisation

Suppose that $\operatorname{rank}(\tilde{B})=n$. In this subsection we prove that the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{B})$ admits a quantisation.

The $n$ column vectors of $\tilde{B}$ are linearly independent elements in $\mathbb{Q}^{m}$. We extend them to a basis of $\mathbf{Q}^{m}$ by adding $(m-n)$ appropriate column vectors. Hence, there is an invertible $m \times n$ plus $(m-n) \times m$ block matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\tilde{B} & \tilde{E}\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{m}(\mathbf{Q})$ which we denote by $M$. We also write $\tilde{E}$ itself in block form as $\tilde{E}=\left[\begin{array}{c}E \\ F\end{array}\right]$ with an $n \times(m-n)$ matrix $E$ and an $(m-n) \times(m-n)$ matrix $F$. Of course, the choice for the basis completion is not canonical. In particular, one can choose standard basis vectors for columns of $\tilde{E}$, making it sparse. After these preparations we are ready to state the theorem about the existence of a quantization.
Theorem 3.1. Let $D$ be a skew-symmetriser of $B$. There exists a skew-symmetric $m \times$ m-matrix $\Lambda$ with integer coefficients and a multiple $D^{\prime}=\lambda D$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$such that $\tilde{B}^{T} \Lambda=\left[\begin{array}{ll}D^{\prime} & 0\end{array}\right]$.

Proof. Put

$$
\Lambda_{0}=M^{-T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D B & D E \\
-E^{T} D & 0
\end{array}\right] M^{-1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m \times m}(\mathbf{Q})
$$

and let $\Lambda$ be the smallest multiple of $\Lambda_{0}$ which lies in $\operatorname{Mat}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{Z})$. The matrix $\Lambda$ is skewsymmetric by construction and the relation $M^{T} M^{-T}=I_{m, m}$ implies $\tilde{B}^{T} M^{-T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}I_{n, n} & 0_{n, m-n}\end{array}\right]$. Thus,

$$
\tilde{B}^{T} \Lambda_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D B & D E
\end{array}\right] M^{-1}=D\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B & E
\end{array}\right] M^{-1}=D\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{n, n} & 0_{n, m-n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Scaling the equation yields $\tilde{B}^{T} \Lambda=\left[\begin{array}{ll}D^{\prime} & 0\end{array}\right]$ for some multiple $D^{\prime}$ of $D$.
Together with Berenstein-Zelevinsky's initial result this means that a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ admits a quantisation if and only if $\tilde{B}$ has full rank. Since the rank of the exchange matrix is mutation invariant, one can use any seed to check whether a cluster algebra admits a quantisation.

Zelevinsky [Z] suggests to reformulate the statement in terms of bilinear forms. With respect to the standard basis, the matrix $\Lambda$ defines a skew-symmetric bilinear form. Let us change the basis. The column vectors $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ of $\tilde{B}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $V^{\prime}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ be the column space of $\tilde{B}$. The column vectors $\tilde{e}_{n+1}, \tilde{e}_{n+2}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{m}$ of $\tilde{E}$ extend to a basis of $V=\mathbb{Q}^{m}$. Let $V^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\tilde{e}_{n+1}, \tilde{e}_{n+2}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{m}\right)$. The compatibility condition $\tilde{B}^{T} \Lambda=\left[\begin{array}{ll}D^{\prime} & 0\end{array}\right]$ says that for any given $D^{\prime}$, the skew-symmetric bilinear form $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is completely determined on $V^{\prime} \times V$, hence also on $V \times V^{\prime}$. Such a bilinear form can be chosen freely on $V^{\prime \prime} \times V^{\prime \prime}$ giving a $\frac{1}{2}(m-n-1)(m-n-2)$-dimensional solution space. In particular, the quantisation is essentially unique (i.e. unique up to a scalar) when there are only 0 or 1 frozen vertices.

## 4 A minor generating set

In the previous section we observed that any full-rank skew-symmetrisable matrix $\tilde{B}$ admits a quantisation. In the construction yielding Theorem 3.1, we chose some $m \times(m-n)$ integer matrix $\tilde{E}$ which completed a basis for $\mathbb{Q}^{m}$. This choice we now reformulate by giving a generating set of integer matrices for the equation

$$
\tilde{B}^{T} \Lambda=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \tag{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

As previously remarked, this ambiguity does not occur for 0 or 1 frozen vertices, hence we may start with the case $m=n+2$ in Section 4.1. From this result we construct such a generating set for arbitrary $m$ with $|m-n|>2$ in the subsequent section. The construction below holds in more generality than what is naturally required in our setting. Thus we now consider an arbitrary integer matrix $A$ of dimension $m \times n$ instead of $\tilde{B}$ and obtain the generating set for equation (2) as a consequence.

### 4.1 Minor blocks

In this subsection we assume $m=n+2$.
For distinct $i, j \in[m]$ define a reduced indexing set $R(i, j)$ as the $n$-element subset of $[m]$ in which $i$ and $j$ do not occur. To an arbitrary $m \times n$ integer matrix $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ we associate the skew-symmetric $m \times m$-integer matrix $M=M(A)=\left(m_{i, j}\right)$ with entries

$$
m_{i, j}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{i+j} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(A_{R(i, j)}\right), & i<j,  \tag{3}\\ 0, & i=j, \\ (-1)^{i+j+1} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(A_{R(i, j)}\right), & j<i .\end{cases}
$$

Then we first observe the following property of $M$, which carries some similarity to the well-known Plücker relations.

Lemma 4.1. For $A$ an $m \times n$ integer matrix, we obtain

$$
A^{T} \cdot M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$
\left[A^{T} \cdot M\right]_{i, j}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k, i} m_{k, j}=\sum_{k \in[m] \backslash\{j\}} a_{k, i} m_{k, j} .
$$

Now let $A_{j}$ be the matrix we obtain from $A$ by removing the $j$-th row and $A_{j}^{i}$ the matrix that results from attaching the $i$-th column of $A_{j}$ to itself on the $\operatorname{right}$. Then $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{j}^{2}\right)=0$ and we observe that using the Laplace expansion along the last column, we obtain the right-hand side of the above equation up to sign change. The claim follows.

Example 4.2. Let $\alpha, a, b, c$ and $d$ be positive integers. Then consider the quiver $Q$ given by:


Thus the matrices $\tilde{B}$ and $M$ are

$$
\tilde{B}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
-\alpha & 0 \\
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right], \quad M=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -a d+b c & -\alpha d & \alpha b \\
a d-b c & 0 & \alpha c & -\alpha a \\
\alpha d & -\alpha c & 0 & -\alpha^{2} \\
-\alpha b & \alpha a & \alpha^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

and we immediately see the result of the previous lemma, namely $\tilde{B}^{T} \cdot M=[00]$.

### 4.2 Composition of minor blocks

In this section let $n+2<m$ and as before, let $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be some rectangular integer matrix. Choose a subset $F \subset[m]$ of cardinality $n$ and obtain a partition of the indexing set [ m ] of the rows of $A$ as $[m]=F \sqcup R$. Note that $|R|=m-n$. For distinct $i, j \in R$ set the extended indexing set associated to $i, j$ to be

$$
E(i, j):=F \cup\{i, j\} .
$$

By Lemma 4.1 (after a reordering of rows) and slightly abusing the notation, there exists an $(n+2) \times(n+2)$ matrix $M_{E(i, j)}=\left(m_{r, s}\right)$ such that

$$
A_{E(i, j)}^{T} \cdot M_{E(i, j)}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \tag{4}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now let $\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}=\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}(A)=\left(\mathfrak{m}_{r, s}\right)$ be the enhanced solution matrix associated to $i, j$, the $m \times m$ integer matrix we obtain from $M_{E(i, j)}$ by filling the entries labeled by $E(i, j) \times E(i, j)$ with $M_{E(i, j)}$ consecutively and setting all other entries to zero.
Example 4.3. Consider the quiver $Q$ with associated exchange matrix $\tilde{B}$ as below:


We choose $F=\{1,2\}$, assuming $\alpha \neq 0$ and get the following matrices $M_{E(i, j)}$ and their enhanced solution matrices for distinct $i, j \in\{3,4,5\}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{E(3,4)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha b & -\alpha a \\
0 & -\alpha b & 0 & -\alpha^{2} \\
0 & \alpha a & \alpha^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], & \mathfrak{M}_{E(3,4)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha b & -\alpha a \\
0 & -\alpha b & 0 & -\alpha^{2} \\
0 \\
0 & \alpha a & \alpha^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \\
M_{E(3,5)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -a c & -\alpha c & 0 \\
a c & 0 & 0 & -\alpha a \\
\alpha c & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^{2} \\
0 & \alpha a & \alpha^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], & \mathfrak{M}_{E(3,5)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -a c & -\alpha c & 0 \\
a c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha a \\
\alpha c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha a & \alpha^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
M_{E(4,5)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -b c & -\alpha c & 0 \\
b c & 0 & 0 & -\alpha b \\
\alpha c & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^{2} \\
0 & \alpha b & \alpha^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], & \mathfrak{M}_{E(4,5)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & -b c & 0 & -\alpha c & 0 \\
b c & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha c & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^{2} \\
0 & \alpha b & 0 & \alpha^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Here we highlighted the added 0-rows/columns in gray. We observe by considering the lower right $3 \times 3$ matrices of $\mathfrak{M}_{E(3,4)}, \mathfrak{M}_{E(3,5)}, \mathfrak{M}_{E(4,5)}$ that these matrices are linearly independent. This we generalise in the theorem below.

Theorem 4.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ as above. Then for distinct $i, j \in R$ we have

$$
A^{T} \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}=0 .
$$

Furthermore, if $A$ is of full rank and $F$ is chosen such that the submatrix $A_{F}$ yields the rank, then the matrices $\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. By construction, for $s \in R \backslash\{i, j\}$ the $s$-th column of $\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}$ contains nothing but zeros. Hence for arbitrary $r \in[m]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A^{T} \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}\right]_{r, S}=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $s \in E(i, j)$. Then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k, r} \mathfrak{m}_{k, s}=\sum_{k \in E(i, j)} a_{k, r} m_{k, s}=0,
$$

by Lemma 4.1. Without loss of generality, assume $i<j$ and $F=[n]$. Then by assumption on the rank, $\beta:=(-1)^{i+j} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{[n]}\right) \neq 0$ and by construction, $\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}$ is of the form as in Figure 4.2. Then $\pm \beta$ is the only entry of the submatrix of $A$ indexed by $[n+1, m] \times[n+1, m]$. This immediately provides the linear independence.

As an immediate consequence we obtain that there are at least $\binom{m-n}{2}$ many $m \times m$ integer matrices $M$ satisfying

$$
A^{T} \cdot M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right. \text {. }
$$

Together with the final remark from Subsection 3.2, we can thus conclude that the above constructed matrices form a basis of the homogeneous equation (2).


Figure 1: An example of the form of enhanced solution matrices

## 5 Conclusion

When does a quantisation for a given cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ exist and how unique is it?
The answer we have seen above: it depends on the rank of $\tilde{B}$ and the number of frozen indices. If the rank of $\tilde{B}$ is small then no quantisation exists. On the other hand, if $\tilde{B}$ is of full rank, we distinguish two cases. If there is no or only one frozen index, the quantisation is essentially unique. Otherwise, we remarked at the end of Section 3.2 that the solution space of matrices satisfying the compatibility equation (1) to a fixed skew-symmetriser is a vector space over the rational numbers of dimension $\binom{m-n}{2}$. In particular, this space is not empty and it contains at least one solution $\Lambda_{0}$. Since Theorem 4.4 with $A=\tilde{B}$ together with an appropriate indexing set $F$ yields a linearly independent set of $\binom{m-n}{2}$ solutions to the homogeneous compatibility equation, all other solutions $\Lambda$ can be constructed as the sum of $\Lambda_{0}$ and a linear combination of all $\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}$ for $i, j \in[m]$. In the special case where the principal part of $\tilde{B}$ is already invertible, quantisations of full subquivers with all mutable and two frozen vertices yield a basis of the homogeneous solution space.

To construct quantum seeds, it is necessary to have integer solutions $\Lambda$ for the compatibility equation. Both $\Lambda$ from Theorem 3.1 and the enhanced solution matrices $\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}$ have integer entries. However, they do not generate the semigroup of all integer quantisations in general.

What came as a surprise to us is the simple structure of the matrices $\mathfrak{M}_{E(i, j)}$. Their computation only depends on $(n+2) \times(n+2)$ minors of $\tilde{B}$, which can be realised with little effort. The authors used SAGE in their investigations of the problem and the first author makes a complementary website available at [G]. There, one can follow the construction of the matrices above in detail, compute a general solution to (1) and a generating set of matrices to (2).
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