# Oliveira, Marcel V. M. (2004) A Refinement Calculus for Circus - Mini-thesis. Technical report. University of Kent, Computing Laboratory, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, CT2 7NF, UK 

Downloaded from<br>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/14175/ The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

## The version of record is available from

## This document version UNSPECIFIED

DOI for this version

## Licence for this version UNSPECIFIED

## Additional information

## Versions of research works

## Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

## Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in Title of Journal , Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

## Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository\#policies).

## Computer Science at Kent

## A Refinement Calculus for Circus -Mini-Thesis

Marcel Oliveira

Technical Report No. 8-04
April 2004

Copyright © 2004 University of Kent at Canterbury
Published by the Computing Laboratory,
University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, CT2 7NF, UK


#### Abstract

Most software developments do not use any of the existing theories and formalisms. This leads to a loss of precision and correctness on the resulting softwares. Two different approaches to formal techniques have been raised in the past decades: one focus on data aspects, and the other focus on the behavioural aspects of the system.

Some combined languages have already been proposed to bring these two schools together. However, as far as we know, none of them has a related refinement calculus. Using Circus as the specification language, we can describe both data and control behaviour.

The objective of this work is to formalise a refinement calculus for Circus. A refinement strategy for Circus, new refinement laws and their proofs are presented. The proofs are based on an extension of the existing Circus semantics, which is based on the unifying theory of programming. This extension, and its mechanisation, and the proof of the laws on PowerProof are also part of this work.

We intend to provide a tool that supports the Circus refinement calculus. Furthermore, as an extension of the existing refinement strategy for Circus, we present a translation strategy for Circus programs. This translation strategy can be used as a guideline in the translation of Circus programs to Java. Furthermore, the mechanisation of this translation is also feasible.

We present a case study, a safety-critical fire protection system, that, as far as we know, is the largest case study on the Circus refinement calculus. We present the refinement of its abstract centralised specification to a concrete distributed one. Finally, the translation of the concrete specification of the system to Java, using our translation strategy, is also presented.

Throughout this mini-thesis, some sections, and even chapters are not written. They have not been removed from the mini-thesis on purpose. Our intention is to give an idea of the scope and the structure of our final thesis, which is discussed in details in the final chapter of this document.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

In this chapter we present the motivation for the use of formal methods, Circus, and its refinement calculus. Furthermore, the objectives and an overview of the whole dissertation are also discussed.

### 1.1 Motivation

Most software developments do not use the already existing theories and formalisms. This lack of formalism raises difficulties in developing a relatively low cost trustworthy software, where the time of development is controllable. Milner affirms that software development theories are as important as computing theories [18]. The experience with the informal techniques is the main reason for using formal methods in the development processes.

Throughout the past decades two schools have been developing formal techniques for precise, correct, and concise software development. However, they have taken different approaches: one of them has focused on data aspects of the system, while the other one has focused on the behavioural aspects of the system.

Languages like Z [34], VDM [15], Abstract State Machines [5], and B [2], use a model-based approach, where mathematical objects from set theory form the basis of the specification. Although possible in a rather difficult and implicit fashion, specification constructs to model behavioural aspects such as choice, sequence, parallelism, and others, are not explicitly provided by any of these languages.

On the other hand, CSP [13, 26] and CCS [19] provide constructs that can be used to describe the behaviour of the system. However, they do not support a concise and elegant way to describe the data aspects of the system.

Many attempts were made in order to bring these two schools together. In these attempts, both data and behaviour aspects of the system are dealt together. Combinations of Z with CCS [11, 31], Z with CSP [27], and Object-Z with CSP [9] are some of these attempts to combine both schools. As far as we know, however, none of them has a related refinement calculus. This lack of support for refinement in a calculational style as that presented in [20] has motivated the creation of Circus [33, 1].

Circus characterises systems as processes, which group constructs that describe data and control behaviour. The Z notation [30] is used to define most of the data aspects, and CSP, and guarded constructs are used to define behaviour. The semantics of Circus is based on the unifying theories of programming [14], a framework that unifies the programming science across many different computational paradigms.

In [1], a refinement strategy for Circus, as well as some refinement laws, was presented. However, the verification of the laws, the proposition of a comprehensive set of refinement laws, and further case studies were left as future work.

### 1.2 Objectives

The main objective of this work is to provide a refinement calculus for Circus. As discussed above, in [1] the authors have introduced a generic refinement strategy for Circus. Their case study, however, refines an abstract specification to a concrete
one. We intend to go further in our strategy and case studies: the refinement from an abstract specification to a Java code is the objective of our work.

First, refinement notions for Circus processes and their constituent actions must be presented. In order to verify the usefulness and soundness of the set of laws proposed in [1], a more significant case study on the refinement of Circus programs is taken into account. This case study is a safety-critical fire protection system, and, as far as we know, it is the largest case study on the Circus refinement strategy. The transformation of an abstract centralised specification of this system to the Java implementation of a distributed one is in the scope of this work. A significant set of laws that raises from this case study is documented.

The proof of the existing and the new laws is another objective of this work. We intend to use an extension of the semantics of Circus programs, presented in [33], as a basis. In [33], a Circus program is represented as a Z specification. This allows us to use ProofPower [3] to mechanise the model of Circus, and to prove all the laws of the refinement calculus of Circus. Furthermore, this provides a basis for proving any property of Circus programs. In the end of this work, we intend to present a final reference for Circus semantics.

A strategy for the translation of Circus programs to Java is another objective of our work. Such a systematic strategy can be used as a guideline for implementing Circus programs, and further, as a guideline for mechanising the translation of Circus programs to Java.

Finally, we intend to provide a prototype of a tool that supports the refinement calculus for Circus presented in this work.

### 1.3 Outline

In Chapter 2, we present an introduction to Circus. Both its syntax and its semantics are presented here. As the semantics of Circus programs is based on the unifying theory of programming, we also present an introduction to this framework before actually presenting the semantics of Circus.

Chapter 3 discusses the refinement notions for Circus processes and their constituent actions. The simulation technique and the refinement strategy presented in [1] are also discussed in this chapter. Finally, this chapter presents some of the new refinement laws proposed in this work.

In Chapter 4, we present the aspects involving the implementation of a prototype of a tool that supports the application of the refinement calculus of Circus.

Chapter 5 presents a safety-critical fire protection system as our case study on the refinement calculus of Circus. We present its abstract centralised specification, and then, its refinement to a distributed system.

In Chapter 6, a strategy for implementing Circus programs in JCSP [25, 24] is
presented. First, we present a brief introduction to JCSP, a Java library that can be used to support the implementation of CSP programs in Java. Then, we present the translation strategy itself. In order to illustrate the translation strategy, we present the translation of our case study.

Finally, an overview of the contributions of our work, related works, and topics for future work are presented in Chapter 7.

## Chapter 2

## Circus

In this chapter we introduce Circus, a concurrent language which is appropriate for refinement. It is based on imperative CSP [26], and adds specification facilities in the Z [34] style. This enables both state and communications aspects to be captured in the same specification, as in [29].

Circus is a language that is not only suitable for the specification of concurrent and reactive systems; it has also a theory of refinement associated to it. Its objective is to give a sound basis to the development of concurrent and distributed system in a calculational style like that of [20].

In Section 2.1 we briefly present the syntax of Circus, and in Section 2.2 we present some of the semantic definitions of Circus.

### 2.1 Syntax

### 2.1.1 Circus Programs

In the same way as Z specifications, Circus programs are formed by a sequence of paragraphs.

$$
\text { Program }::=\text { CircusPar* }
$$

Here, CircusPar* denotes a possibly empty list of elements of the syntactic category CircusPar of Circus paragraphs.

Each of these paragraphs can either be a Z paragraph, here denoted by the syntactic category Par, definition of channels, a channel set definition, or a process definition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CircusPar } & ::=\text { Par } \mid \text { channel CDecl } \mid \text { chanset } N==\text { CSExp } \\
& \mid \text { ProcessDefinition }
\end{aligned}
$$

The syntactic category Par is that of Z paragraphs defined in [30]. The syntactic category N is that of valid Z identifiers.

In the following sections, the main constructs of Circus are illustrated using a simple example: a small variation of an example presented in [33]. We describe a process that, when requested, outputs the Fibonacci sequence (See Figure 2.1). The process may also be restarted, in which case, it output the Fibonacci sequence from the beginning again.

### 2.1.2 Channel Declarations

All the channels that are used within a process must be declared. The syntactic categories Exp and Schema-Exp are those of Z expressions and schema expressions defined in [30].

```
CDecl ::= SimpleCDecl | SimpleCDecl; CDecl
SimpleCDecl ::= N+ | N+
```

In a channel declaration, we declare the name of the channel and the type of the values it can communicate. However, if the channel does not communicate any value, but it is used only as a synchronising event, its declaration contains only its name; no type is defined.

A channel declaration may declare more than one channel of the same type. In this case, instead of a single channel name, we have a comma-separated list of channel names.

Generic channel declarations introduce a family of channels. For instance, the declaration channel $[T] c: T$ declares a family of channels $c$. For every actual

```
channel out: \(\mathbb{N}\)
channel restart
chanset FibAlphabet \(==\{\mid\) out, restart \(\}\}\)
process Fib \(\hat{=}\)
begin
    state FibState \(\hat{=}[x, y: \mathbb{N}]\)
    InitFibState \(\hat{=}\left[\right.\) FibState \(\left.{ }^{\prime} \mid x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}=1\right]\)
    InitFib \(\hat{=}\) out \(!1 \rightarrow\) out \(!1 \rightarrow\) InitFibState
    OutFibState \(\hat{=}\left[\Delta\right.\) FibState; next! : \(\mathbb{N} \mid\) next \(\left.{ }^{\prime}=y^{\prime}=x+y \wedge x^{\prime}=y\right]\)
    OutFib \(\hat{=} \mu X \bullet\) var next \(: \mathbb{N} \bullet\) OutFibState \(;\binom{\) out \(!\) next \(\rightarrow X}{\square\) restart \(\rightarrow\) Skip }
    FibCycle \(\widehat{=} \mu\) •InitFib;OutFib;X
    - FibCycle
end
```

Figure 2.1: A Fibonacci Generator
type $S$, we have a channel $c[S]$ that communicates values of type $S$. Channels can also be declared using schemas that group channel declarations, but do not have a predicate part. This follows from the fact that the only restriction that may be imposed to channels is the type it communicates.

Our example process outputs natural numbers through the channel out. Furthermore, it may be restarted through channel restart.
channel out: $\mathbb{N}$
channel restart
The channel restart is used just for synchronisation. So, it does not have a type.

### 2.1.3 Channel Set Declarations

We may introduce sets of previously defined channels in a chanset paragraph. In this case, we declare the name of the set and a channel-set expression, which determines the channels that are members of this set. The empty set of channels
 operators are the elements of the syntactic category CSExp.

In our example, we declare the alphabet of the process as a channel set as follows.

$$
\text { chanset FibAlphabet }==\{\mid \text { out, restart }\}\}
$$

This is not really used in our simple example, but channel sets are important to make definitions more concise.

### 2.1.4 Process Declarations

The declaration of a process is composed by its name and by its specification. A process is specified as a (possibly)parametrised process, or as an indexed process.

$$
\text { ProcessDefinition }::=\text { process } N \hat{=} \operatorname{ParProc} \mid \text { process } N \hat{=} \operatorname{IndexProc}
$$

If a process is parametrised or indexed, we first have the declaration of its parameters. The syntactic category Decl is the same as in [30]. Afterwards, following a - , in the case of parametrised processes, or a $\odot$, in the case of indexed processes, we have the declaration of the process body (an element of the syntactic category Proc). In both cases, the parameters may be used as local variables in the definition of the process. If the process is not parametrised, we have only the definition of its body.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ParProc } & ::=\text { Decl } \bullet \text { Proc } \mid \text { Proc } \\
\text { IndexProc }::=\text { Decl } \odot \text { Proc }
\end{array}
$$

A process may be explicitly defined, or it may be defined in terms of other processes (composed processes).

$$
\text { Proc }::=\text { ExpProc } \mid \text { CompProc }
$$

An explicit process definition is delimited by the keywords begin and end; it is formed by a sequence of process paragraphs and a distinguished nameless main action, which defines the process behaviour, in the end. Furthermore, in Circus we use the Z notation to define the state of a process. It is described as an schema paragraph, after the keyword state.

$$
\text { ExpProc }::=\text { begin PPar* state Schema-Exp PPar* • Action end }
$$

The syntactic category Schema-Exp is that of Z schema expressions.
Our example in Figure 2.1 is defined in this way.

$$
\text { process } F i b \widehat{=} \text { begin state FibState } \widehat{=}[x, y: \mathbb{N}] \ldots \bullet \text { FibCycle end }
$$

The schema FibState describes the internal state of the process Fib: it contains two natural numbers $x$ and $y$; the latter records the last value output, and the former records the value output before the last. The behaviour of Fib is described by the unnamed action after a $\bullet$. The process Fib behaves like the recursive action FibCycle that we described latter in this section.

### 2.1.5 Compound Processes

In Circus, processes may be defined in terms of other previously defined processes using the process name, CSP operators, iterated CSP operators, or indexed operators, which are particular to Circus specifications.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CompProc }::=\mathrm{N} \mid \text { Proc; Proc } \mid \text { Proc } \square \text { Proc } \mid \text { Proc } \sqcap \text { Proc } \\
& \text { | Proc |[CSExp]| Proc | Proc ||| Proc | Proc \CSExp } \\
& { }_{9} \text { Decl • Proc } \mid \square \text { Decl • Proc } \mid \sqcap \text { Decl • Proc } \\
& \text { || Decl |[CSExp]| • Proc | ||| Decl • Proc } \\
& \text { ParProc }\left(\operatorname{Exp}^{+}\right) \\
& \text {IndexProc }\left\lfloor\operatorname{Exp}^{+}\right\rfloor \mid \operatorname{Proc}\left[\mathrm{N}^{+}:=\mathrm{N}^{+}\right] \\
& { }_{9} \text { Decl } \odot \text { Proc } \mid \square \text { Decl } \odot \text { Proc } \mid \sqcap \text { Decl } \odot \text { Proc } \\
& \| \text { Decl |[CSExp]| } \odot \text { Proc | ||| Decl } \odot \text { Proc }
\end{aligned}
$$

Processes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ can be combined in sequence using the sequence operator: $P_{1} ; P_{2}$. This process executes the process $P_{2}$ after the execution of $P_{1}$ terminates. The external choice $P_{1} \square P_{2}$ initially offers events of both processes. The performance of the first event resolves the choice in favour of the process that performs it. Differently from the external choice, the environment has no control over the internal choice $P_{1} \sqcap P_{2}$, in which the process internally (non-deterministically) resolves the choice.

In the parallelism operator, Circus follows the alphabetised approach adopted by [26], instead of that adopted by [13]: when processes are put in parallel, the set of events on which they synchronise must be explicitly specified. Events that are not listed occur independently. For instance, the process $P_{1} \|[c s] \mid P_{2}$ synchronise on the set of events $c s$. Processes can also be composed in interleaving. For instance, a process that outputs the Fibonacci sequence through the channel out twice can be defined as follows.

$$
\text { FibTwice } \widehat{=} \text { Fib } \| \mid F F i b
$$

However, an event restart leads to a non-deterministic choice of which Fib process of the interleaving actually restarts: one of the processes restarts, and the other one does not.

The event hiding operator $P \backslash c s$ is used to encapsulate the events that are in the channel set cs. This removes these events from the interface of $P$, which become no longer visible to the environment.

As CSP, Circus provides iterated operators that can be used to generalise the binary operators of sequence, external and internal choice, parallelism, and interleaving. Furthermore, we may instantiate a parametrised process by providing values for each of its parameters. For instance, we may have either $P(v)$, where $P \hat{=}(x: \mathbb{N} \bullet \operatorname{Proc})$, or $(x: \mathbb{N} \bullet \operatorname{Proc})(v)$. Except from sequence, all the iterated
operators are commutative and associative. For this reason, there is no concern about the order of the elements in the type of the indexing variable. However, for the sequence operator, we require this type to be a sequence. As expected, the process ${ }_{9} x: T \bullet P(x)$ is the sequential composition of processes $P(v)$, with $v$ taken from $T$, which must be a sequence, in the order that they appear.

Circus introduces a new operator that can be used to define processes. The indexed process $i: T \odot P$ behaves exactly like $P$, but for each channel $c$ of $P$, we have a freshly named channel $c \_i$. These channels are implicitly declared by the indexed operator, and communicate pairs of values: the first element, the index, is a value $i$ of type $T$, and the second element is the a value of the original type of the channel. An indexed process $P$ can be instantiated using the instantiation operator: $P\lfloor e\rfloor$, which behaves just like $P$, however, the value of the expression $e$ is used as the first element of the pairs communicated through all the channels.

For instance, we may define a process similar to that previously defined as FibTwice, in order to have the same interleaved output of two Fibonacci sequences, but with an identification of which process generated each output. The indexed process IndexFib presented below outputs through channel out_i and can be restarted through channel restart_i.

$$
\text { IndexFib } \widehat{=} i:\{1,2\} \odot F i b
$$

Now, we may instantiate the process IndexFib: the process IndexFib\1〕 outputs pairs through channel out_i whose first elements are 1 and the second elements are the values of the Fibonacci sequence. It may be restarted by sending the value 1 through the channel restart_i. Similarly, we have the process IndexFib $\lfloor 2\rfloor$. Finally, the process FibTwiceId presented below produces an arbitrary merge of two sequences of pairs: the first element of the pairs identifies the generator and the second is a Fibonacci number.

$$
\text { FibTwiceId } \widehat{=} \text { IndexFib }\lfloor 1\rfloor||\mid I n d e x F i b\lfloor 2\rfloor
$$

The renaming operator $P[$ oldc $:=$ newc $]$ replaces all the communications that are done through channels oldc by communications through channels newc, which are implicitly declared. Usually, indexing and renaming are used in conjunction as in the redefinition of the process FibTwice presented below.

$$
\text { FibTwice } \widehat{=} \text { FibTwiceId }[\text { out_ } i \text {, restart_ } i:=\text { outid, restartid }]
$$

We may also combine instantiations of a indexed process using the iterated indexed operators of sequence, external and internal choice, parallelism, or interleaving. By way of illustration, we redefine the process FibTwiceId as follows.

$$
\text { FibTwiceId } \widehat{=} \| \mid i:\{1,2\} \odot F i b\lfloor i\rfloor
$$

The same characteristics and restrictions that apply to the iterated operators, also apply to the iterated indexed operators. For instance, the process ${ }_{9} x: T \odot P\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the sequential composition of processes $P\lfloor v\rfloor$, with $v$ taken from $T$, which must also be a sequence, in the order that they appear.

### 2.1.6 Actions

When a process is explicitly defined, besides the definitions of the state and the main action, we have in its body Z paragraphs, definitions of (parametrised)actions, and variable sets definitions; they are used to specify the main action of the process.

$$
\text { PPar }::=\operatorname{Par} \mid N \hat{=} \text { ParAction } \mid \text { nameset } N==\text { NSExp }
$$

As for channel sets, the empty set $\}$, variable name enumerations enclosed in \{ and \}, and expressions formed by the Z set operators are the elements of the syntactic category NSExp.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { NSExp }::= & \left\}\left|\left\{N^{+}\right\}\right| N \mid N S E x p \cup \text { NSExp | NSExp } \cap\right. \text { NSExp } \\
& \mid \text { NSExp } \backslash \text { NSExp }
\end{aligned}
$$

As processes, an action may be parametrised, in which case we have the declaration of the parameters followed by a $\bullet$, and then, the body of the action.

$$
\text { ParAction }::=\text { Decl • Action | Action }
$$

An action can be a schema, a guarded command, an invocation to a previous defined action, or a combination of these constructs using CSP operators.

$$
\text { Action }::=\text { Schema-Exp | CSPAction | Command | N }
$$

Two of the process Fib's paragraphs are schemas (see Figure 2.1): InitFibState initialises both the state components with the value 1. It is a schema that follows the standard style of $Z$ of defining initialisation schemas. The schema OutFibState defines the value of the local variable next!, which is the next value to be output by process Fib. It records in next and $y$ the next output value $x+y$, and records in $x$ the value of the previous output value $y$.

In pure Z, dash and shriek decorations are used to refer to after-state and output variables, respectively. In Circus, however, they may be used interchangeably. For instance, we can use either next' or next! to refer to the after-state value of the local variable next.

Three primitive actions are available in Circus: Skip, Stop, and Chaos. The action Skip does not communicate any value or changes the state: it terminates
immediately. The action Stop deadlocks, and the action Chaos diverges. The only guarantee in both cases is that the state invariant is maintained.


The syntactic category Pred is that of Z predicates defined in [30].
The prefixing operator is standard. However, a guard construction may be associated to it. For instance, given a Z predicate $p$, if the condition $p$ is true, the action $p \& c ? x \rightarrow A$ inputs a value through channel $c$ and assigns it to the variable $x$, and then behaves like $A$, which has the variable $x$ in scope. If, however, the condition $p$ is false, the same action blocks. Such enabling conditions like $p$ may be associated with any action.

The action InitFib in Figure 2.1 exemplifies the output prefixing operator. It outputs the value 1 twice, and then, it invokes the operation InitFibState to initialise the state of the process.

All free variables must be in the scope of an action. All the state components are in the scope of any action within the process. Input communications introduce new variables in scope, which may not be used as targets of assignments.

The CSP operators of sequence, external and internal choice, parallelism, interleaving, and hiding may also be used to compose actions. However, differently from the level of process, at the level actions, communications and recursive definitions are also available.

In our example, the action FibCycle is recursively defined. It first behaves like action InitFib, which, as already mentioned, outputs the value 1 twice and records this by initialising the state components. Then, FibCycle behaves like action OutFib, which outputs as many numbers of the Fibonacci sequence as required. Finally, the action FibCycle recurses.

At the level of actions, the parallel and the interleaving operators are slightly different from that of CSP. In order to avoid conflicts in the access to the variables in scope, parallelism and interleaving of actions must declare a synchronisation channel set and two sets that partition all the variables in scope: state components, and input and local variables. In the parallelism $\left.A_{1} \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2}$, for instance,
the actions $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ synchronise on the channels in set $c s$. Besides, both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ have access to the initial values of all variables in $n s_{1}$ and $n s_{2}$. However, action $A_{1}$ may only modify the values of the variables in $n s_{1}$, and, similarly, action $A_{2}$ may only modify the values of the variables in $n s_{2}$.

Parametrised actions can be instantiated: for instance, we can have the action $A(x)$, if $A$ is a previously defined single-parametrised action; we can also have an instantiation of the form $(x: \mathbb{N} \bullet A)(x)$.

As for processes, the iterated operators for sequence, external and internal choice, parallelism, and interleaving can also be used for actions in order to generalise the corresponding operators.

Actions may also be defined using Dijkstra's guarded commands [7].

```
Command \(::=\mathrm{N}^{+}:\left[\right.\)Pred, Pred] \(\mid \mathrm{N}^{+}:=\operatorname{Exp}^{+}\)
    | if GActions fi| var Decl • Action
GActions \(\quad::=\) Pred \(\rightarrow\) Action \(\mid\) Pred \(\rightarrow\) Action \(\square\) GActions
```

An action can be a (multiple) assignment, or a guarded alternation. For instance, the action InitFib of the process Fib can be written as follows.

$$
\text { InitFib } \widehat{=} \text { out }!1 \rightarrow \text { out }!1 \rightarrow x, y:=1,1
$$

Variable blocks can also be used in an action specification. Finally, in the interest of supporting a calculational approach to development, an action can also be written as a specification statement in the style of Morgan's refinement calculus [20].

The action OutFib in our example is recursively defined. Its body has a new local variable next in scope. Its value is calculated by the operation OutFibState, and then, an external choice is given to the environment, which can choose between the next value of the sequence (out), or the restart of the output sequence (restart). If the first option is chosen, the action OutFib outputs the next value and recurses; if the second option is chosen, OutFib skips, leading to a new cycle of the process Fib (FibCycle).

The complete syntax of Circus is summarised in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Semantics

The semantic model of Circus was first presented in [33]. Basically, a Circus program is represented as a Z specification, in which the model of a process is itself a Z specification, and the model of an action is a schema. The motivation for using Z for the Circus semantic model is the possibility of using tools as Z-EVES [17] and ProofPower [3] to analyse the model of Circus.

### 2.2.1 The Unifying Theories of Programming

The semantic model of Circus is based on the Hoare \& He's Unifying Theories of Programming (UTP) [14]. The UTP is a framework in which the theory of relations is used as a unifying basis for the programming science across many different computational paradigms: procedural and declarative, sequential and parallel, closely-coupled and distributed, and hardware and software. All programs, designs, and specifications are interpreted as relations between an initial observation and a single subsequent observation, which may be either an intermediate or a final observation, of the behaviour of program execution.

Common ideas, such as sequential composition, conditional, nondeterminism, and parallelism are shared by different theories. For instance, sequential composition is a relational composition, conditionals are Boolean connectives, nondeterminism is disjunction, and parallelism is a restricted form of conjunction. Miracle is interpreted as an empty relation, abortion is interpreted as the universal relation, and correctness and refinement are interpreted as inclusions of relations. All the laws of relational calculus may be used for reasoning about correctness in all theories and in all languages.

Three aspects are used to differentiate different programming languages and design calculi: the alphabet, a set of names that characterise a range of external observations of a program behaviour; the signature, which provides syntax for denoting the objects of the theory; and the healthiness conditions, which select the objects of a sub-theory from those of a more expressive theory in which it is embedded.

The alphabet of a theory collects the names within the theory that identifies observation variables that are important to describe all relevant aspects of a program behaviour. The initial observations of each of these variables are undecorated, and subsequent observations are decorated with a dash. This allows a relation to be expressed as in Z by its characteristic predicate. Table 2.1 summarises the variables that are used in the semantics of Circus.

In Circus, some combinations of these variables have interesting semantic meaning. For instance, okay $\wedge$ $\wedge$ wait ${ }^{\prime}$ represents a non-divergent process that is waiting for some interaction with the environment; if, however, we have okay $\wedge \wedge \neg$ wait $^{\prime}$, the non-divergent process has terminated; finally, $\neg$ okay' represents a divergent process.

Besides these variables, UTP also presents some other variables that may be used to represent program control, real time clock, or resource availability. For each theory, we may select its appropriate relevant variables subset.

The signature of a theory is a set of operators and atomic components of this theory: it is the syntax of the language. The smaller the signature, the simpler the proof techniques to be applied for reasoning. Signatures may vary according to its

| okay | This Boolean variable indicates if the system has been <br> properly started in a stable state, in which case its value <br> is true, or not; okay' means subsequent stabilisation in <br> an observable state. |
| :--- | :--- |
| tr | This variable, whose type is a sequence of events, records <br> all the events in which a program has engaged. |
| wait | This Boolean variable distinguishes the intermediate ob- <br> servations of waiting states from final observations of <br> termination. In a stable intermediate state, wait has <br> true as its value; a false value for wait indicates that <br> the program has reached a final state. |
| ref | This variable describes the responsiveness properties of <br> the process; its type is a set of events. All the events <br> that are refused by a process before the program has <br> started are elements of ref, and refused events at a later <br> moment are referred by ref'. |
| $v$ | All program variables (state components, input and lo- <br> cal variables, and parameters) are collectively denoted <br> by $v$. |

Table 2.1: Circus Alphabet
purpose. Specification languages are least restrictive and often includes quantifiers, and all relational calculus operators. Design languages successively remove nonimplementable operators. The negation is the first one to be removed. Thus, all operators are monotonic, and recursion can safely be introduced as a fixed-point operator. Finally, programming languages present only implementable operators in their signature. They are commonly defined in terms of their observable effects using the more general specification language.

Healthiness conditions can be used to test a specification or design for feasibility, and reject it, if it makes implementation impossible in the programming language that we wish to use as target. Typically, each of the external variables have a healthiness condition associated to it.

The Circus semantic model satisfies the eight healthiness conditions that are stated for CSP processes. They are summarised in Table 2.2: three of them characterises reactive processes in general; two of them constrain these reactive processes to be CSP ones; finally, the last three constrain even more the CSP reactive processes.

| Reactive Process | R1 | The execution of a reactive process never un- <br> does any event that has already been per- <br> formed. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | R2 | The behaviour of a reactive process is obliv- <br> ious of what has gone before. |
|  | R3 | Intermediate stable states do not progress. |
| CSP Process | CSP1 | No prediction can be made about a process <br> that has not started. |
|  | CSP2 | A process may not be required to abort. |
|  | CSP3 | CSP processes do not depend on the initial <br> value of the ref variable when wait is false. <br> If, however, wait is true, it must behave as <br> R3 requires. |
|  | CSP4 | The value of the variable ref' has no rele- <br> vance after termination. |
|  | CSP5 | The refusal set must be subset closed. |

Table 2.2: Circus Healthiness Conditions

### 2.2.2 Circus Semantic Model

In this section we present some of the definitions of the Circus semantic model, which is described in detail in [33].

## Channel Environment

A channel environment is defined in order to store information about all the channels in scope for a given process. This environment is basically changed by any channel declaration: for each channel declaration, this environments maps the name of the channel to its type. If, however, a channel declaration contains no type, in which case the channel is not used for communication, but only as a synchronising event, its type is recorded as Sync. Channel set declarations are considered to be expanded by replacing references to channel sets with the sets of channels it denotes.

## Process Environment

A process environment is also used: this allows a process definition to refer to other processes previously defined. This environment is defined as a sequence of pairs: the first element is the process name, and the second element is the Z specification that corresponds to the process model.

The semantic function $\|[-]^{\mathcal{P D}}$ presented below gives the meaning of a process definition as a process environment that records just the single process it declares. Fur-
thermore, new channels introduced by the semantic are also recorded in a channel environment, which is also returned by this semantic function. The syntactic category ProcessDefinition represents a Circus process definition, and the types ChanEnv and ProcEnv, are those of the channels and processes environments, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|[-]]^{\mathcal{P D}}: \text { ProcessDefinition } \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \rightarrow \text { ProcEnv } \rightarrow(\text { ChanEnv } \times \text { ProcEnv }) \\
& \| \text { process } N \hat{=} P] \|^{\mathcal{P D}} \gamma \rho= \\
& \quad \text { let } P s==\| P]\left.\right|^{\mathcal{P}} \gamma \rho \text { in }(\text { first Ps },\langle(N, \text { second Ps })\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

The semantics $P s$ of the process $P$ is given by function $\|[-]^{\mathcal{P}}$, which is defined later on: it is a pair containing a channel environment and a Z specification. The semantics of the process $P$ definition is the pair formed by the returned channel environment and the process environment that associates $N$ to the Z specification that corresponds to the semantics of $P$.

## Programs

The semantics of a Circus program is given by the following function.

$$
\|--]^{\mathcal{P R O G}}: \text { Program } \rightarrow \text { ZSpecification }
$$

For a given well-formed Circus program, it returns a Z specification.
The state of a process is described by the schema ProcessState described below. The type Bool is a given type that represents boolean values, and the given type Event includes the possible communications of the program.

$$
\text { ProcessState } \widehat{=}[\text { trace, tr : seq Event; ref : } \mathbb{P} \text { Event; okay, wait : Bool }]
$$

Besides the variables of the unifying theory model, we also have a trace variable, which records the events that occured since last observation.

The schema ProcessStateObs constraints the changes to the process state.

$$
\text { ProcessStateObs } \hat{=}\left[\Delta \text { ProcessState } \mid t r \text { prefix } t r^{\prime} \wedge t r a c e^{\prime}=t r^{\prime}-t r\right]
$$

A process observation is valid only if the trace is increased.

## Paragraphs

The semantics of each process paragraph is defined by the following semantic function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[-]]^{\text {CPAR }}: \text { CircusPar } \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \rightarrow \text { ProcEnv } \rightarrow } \\
&(\text { ZSpecification } \times \text { ChanEnv } \times \text { ProcEnv })
\end{aligned}
$$

The syntactic category CircusPar represents a Circus paragraph. Each paragraph may affect the final Z specification by introducing new paragraphs, or may extend the channel environment, or, finally, may extend the process environment.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| p d]]^{\mathcal{C P A R}} \gamma \rho= \\
& \quad \text { let } p d s=\|[p d]\|^{\mathcal{P D}} \gamma \rho \text { in } \\
& \quad(\text { second }((\text { second pds }) .1), \gamma \oplus \text { first pds }, \rho \oplus \text { second } p d s)
\end{aligned}
$$

The translation of $p d$ returns a pair: the first element of this pair is a channel environment, and the second element is a process environment. The process environment that it returns (second $p d s$ ) has just one element (.1). This element is itself a pair, whose second element is the Z specification corresponding to the process. The channel and process environments are overwritten with the corresponding environments returned by the translation of $p d$.

Z paragraphs are added to the process specification as they are. They also do not affect the channel and the process environment. A slight change is applied in order to type as Sync any untyped state components, which are assumed to be synchronisation events declarations. Sync is a given set. Channel declarations give rise to a few paragraphs in $Z$ and enrich the channel environment. Finally, a process definition determines a Z specification of its model in the UTP. The process environment and possibly the channel environment are also enriched.

For a list of paragraphs, the Z specification is formed by the paragraphs corresponding to the first Circus paragraph, followed by the paragraphs corresponding to the rest of the list, whose semantics is taken in enriched channel and process environments that records the declarations(s) in the first Circus paragraphs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|[-]\|^{\mathcal{C P A R A}}: \text { CircusPar }^{*} \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \rightarrow \text { ProcEnv } \rightarrow \text { ZSpecification } \\
& \left.\|[p]]^{\text {CPAR }} \gamma \rho=\text { first }\left.(\| c p]\right|^{\text {CPAR }} \gamma \rho\right) \\
& \| c p c p l]^{\text {CPAR }} \gamma \rho= \\
& \left.\quad \text { let } c p s=\| c p]]^{\text {CPAR }} \gamma \rho \text { in }\left.c p s .1(\| c p l]\right|^{\text {CPAR }} c p s .2 c p s .3\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The possible repetition of names across different process definitions is removed by prefixing each name with the name of the process in which it is declared.

## Processes

The semantics of process declarations is given by function $\|[-]\|^{\mathcal{P}}$, which, given a process, a channel environment, and a process environment, returns a pair: the first element is a new channel environment, and the second element is the Z specification corresponding to the process.

Processes names do not change the channel environment; as we assume that well-formed process definitions do not refer to undeclared processes, its semantics
corresponds to the Z model of the process whose name was given to the semantic function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\left.[-]\right|^{\mathcal{P}}: \text { Proc } \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \rightarrow \text { ProcEnv } \rightarrow(\text { ChanEnv } \times \text { ZSpecification }) \\
& \| N]^{\mathcal{P}} \gamma \rho=(\gamma, \text { modelOf } N \text { in } \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression modelOf $N$ in $\rho$ corresponds to the Z model of process $N$ is the process environment $\rho$.

For explicit process declarations begin ppars $_{1}$ state $U S t$ ppars $_{2} \bullet A$ end, we have that the semantic function is defined as a Z specification containing a schema ProcObs describing the observations that may be made of the process, the existing Z paragraphs as they are, and for each action, a schema constraining the process observations. Schemas that define operations are not translated as Z paragraphs, but as actions.

The process State includes the state components defined by the user (USt), and the components of the schema ProcessState, which represent the variables of the Unifying Theory.

$$
\text { State }(U S t) \widehat{=} U S t \wedge \text { ProcessState }
$$

A process observation corresponds to a state change.

$$
\operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \xlongequal{=} U U S t \wedge \text { ProcessStateObs }
$$

## Actions

For each action $N \hat{=} A$ declared within a process we have a new schema $N \hat{=} \| A]]^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma$ USt. Given an action, the current channel environment, and the name of the user state, the semantic function $\|[-]^{\mathcal{A}}$ returns a schema corresponding to the given action. The schema corresponding to the main action is given a fresh name. An action may behave in three different ways: it may behave in a normal way, diverge, or not terminate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|---]^{\mathcal{A}}: \text { Action } \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \rightarrow \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { Schema }-\operatorname{Exp} \\
& \left.\| A]^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma \text { USt }=\| A\right]\left.\right|^{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}} \gamma \text { USt } \vee \operatorname{Diverge}(\text { USt }) \vee \text { Wait }(\text { USt })
\end{aligned}
$$

Divergence is characterised by the fact that okay is false. In this case, the only guarantee we have is that the trace is extended, which is specified by ProcObs.

$$
\operatorname{Diverge}(U S t) \hat{=}[\operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \mid \neg \text { okay }]
$$

For Wait( USt), we have that there is no divergence. However, the previous action has not yet terminated. In this case, the user state cannot change.

$$
\text { Wait }(\text { USt }) \hat{=}[\Xi S t a t e(U S t) \mid \text { okay } \wedge \text { wait }]
$$

Finally, in a normal behaviour, there is no divergence, and the previous action has terminated.

$$
\operatorname{Normal}(U S t) \widehat{=}[\operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \mid \text { okay } \wedge \neg \text { wait }]
$$

Schema expressions may be activated in a state that satisfies its precondition, or not. If the precondition is satisfied, the trace is not modified, and the operation terminates; however, if the precondition is not satisfied, the operation diverges.

$$
|[S E x p]|^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma U S t=S E x p \wedge(O p N o r m a l(U S t) \vee O p \operatorname{Diverge}(U S t, S E x p))
$$

These cases are specified by OpNormal and OpDiverge, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OpNormal }(U S t) \hat{=}\left[\text { Normal }(U S t) \mid \text { trace }^{\prime}=\langle \rangle \wedge \text { okay }^{\prime} \wedge \neg \text { wait }^{\prime}\right] \\
& \text { OpDiverge }(\text { USt, SExp }) \hat{=} \\
& \quad\left[\text { Normal }(U S t) ; S E x p \vee \neg S E x p \mid \neg \text { pre } S E x p \wedge \neg \text { okay } y^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Possible input and output variables are put in scope by $S E x p \vee \neg S E x p$.
The action Skip does not change the trace, does not diverge, and terminates.

$$
|[S k i p]|^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma U S t=\left[\operatorname{Normal}(U S t) \wedge \Xi U S t \mid \text { trace }^{\prime}=\langle \rangle \wedge \text { okay }^{\prime} \wedge \neg \text { wait }^{\prime}\right]
$$

The action Stop is similar, but does not terminate. This deadlock is characterised with a true value for wait'. The action Chaos diverges, which is represented by a false value for okay'.

The sequence operator is defined in terms of a function sequence on $\operatorname{Proc} O b s(U S t)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mid[A ; B]]^{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}} \gamma \text { USt } \\
& \quad \operatorname{Normal}(U S t) \\
& \left.\hline \theta \operatorname{Proc} \operatorname{Obs}(U S t)=\theta(\| A]^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma \text { USt) sequence }(\| B]^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma \text { USt }\right) \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

The function sequence returns the process observation that characterises the sequential composition of two processes observations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad-\text { sequence_ }: \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \times \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \rightarrow \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \\
& \forall a, b, c: \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \mid \\
& c=a \text { sequence } b \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { before } c=\text { before } a \\
\wedge \text { after } a=\text { before } b \\
\wedge \text { after } b=\text { after } c
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The well-definement of a sequential composition of two process observations depends on the equality between the final state of the first with the initial state of the second, which are projected, respectively, by the functions after and before presented below.

| after : $\operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \rightarrow$ State $(U S t)$ |
| :--- |
| $\forall \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \bullet$ before $\theta \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t)=\theta \operatorname{State}(U S t)^{\prime}$ |
| $\quad$ before $: \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \rightarrow \operatorname{State}(U S t)$ |
| $\forall \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t) \bullet$ before $\theta \operatorname{ProcObs}(U S t)=\theta \operatorname{State}(U S t)$ |

In [33], the semantics for communications, external and internal choice, parallelism, interleaving, hiding, recursion on actions, and commands are presented. The formalisation of the healthiness conditions (Table 2.2) can also be found in the same document.

## Process Expressions

Process expressions use CSP operators to combine existing processes. The semantics of an expression $P$ op $Q$, where op is any binary CSP operator, except parallelism and interleaving, can be defined as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \text { op } Q=\text { begin } \\
& \text { state State } \hat{=} \text { P.State } \wedge Q . \text { State } \\
& P . P P a r \uparrow Q . \text { State } \\
& Q . P P a r \uparrow \text { P.State } \\
& \quad \bullet P . \text { Act op } Q . \text { Act } \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

The state of the processes $P$ and $Q$ are denoted by $P$.State and $Q$. State, respectively. In a similar way, the notation P.PPar and Q.PPar is used to refer to the paragraphs in the definitions of processes $P$ and $Q$. Their main actions are denoted as P.Act and Q.Act. The schema expressions within P.PPar and Q.PPar need to be lifted to work on the extended state State. The operation PPar $\uparrow$ St simply conjoins each schema in PPar with $\Xi S t$ : actions on $P$ are not supposed to affect the state components that are inherited from $Q$, and vice-versa.

As discussed in Section 2.1.6, the parallel and the interleaving operators for actions are slightly different from those used for processes: two sets that partition all the variables in scope must also be declared. In order to give a definition for parallelism and interleaving, we use a new operator PPar $\uparrow_{P A R} S t$, which lifts the paragraphs in PPar to the extended state by conjoining the schemas with $\Delta S t$. The definitions of $P\|[s]\| Q$ and $P\|\|$ are similar to that given above for the other binary operators. However, only the state is defined in the same way: the other paragraphs are defined using the lifting operator $\uparrow_{P A R}$, and the main action must take into account the partition of the variables in scope. The paragraphs in P.PPar are allowed to apply any change to the components of Q.State. These
changes, however, are ignored since the components of Q.State are declared, in the partition $n s_{2}$ of action $Q . A c t$; and similarly for the paragraphs in Q.PPar. For the parallel operator, we have the following definition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\|c s\| Q=\text { begin } \\
& \text { state State } \hat{=} P . \text { State } \wedge Q . \text { State } \\
& \quad P . P P a r \uparrow_{P A R} Q . \text { State } \\
& \quad \text { Q.PPar } \uparrow_{P A R} P . \text { State } \\
& \left.\quad \bullet P . A c t \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \| \text { Q.Act } \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

The definition for interleaving is very similar.
The semantics of the hiding operator is even simpler: the process paragraphs of $P$ are included as they are; only the main action is modified to include the hiding.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \backslash c s=\operatorname{begin} \\
& \text { state } P . \text { State } \\
& \quad P . P P a r \\
& \quad \bullet P . A c t \backslash c s \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

The semantics of the other process expressions can be found in [33].

## Chapter 3

## Refinement: Notions and Laws

In this chapter we discuss the refinement notions for Circus processes and their constituent actions. The simulation technique, a refinement strategy for the development of centralised specifications into distributed implementations, and some laws presented in [1] are also discussed. Furthermore, new refinement laws are presented in this chapter.

### 3.1 Refinement Notions and Strategy

The central notion in the unifying theories of programming (UTP) [14] is refinement, which is expressed as an implication: an implementation $P$ satisfies a specification $S$ if, and only if, $[P \Rightarrow S]$, where the square brackets denote the universal quantifier over the alphabet, as in [8], which must be the same for implementation and specification. In Circus, the basic notion of refinement is that of actions [6].

Definition 3.1 (Action Refinement) For actions $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ on the same state space, the refinement $A_{1} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} A_{2}$ holds if, and only if, $\left[A_{2} \Rightarrow A_{1}\right]$.

For processes, since we have that the state of a process is private, we have a slightly different definition. Basically, the main action of a process defines its behaviour. For this reason, process refinement is defined in terms of actions refinement of local blocks. In the following, $P_{1}$.State and $P_{1}$.Act denote the local state and the main action of process $P_{1}$; similarly for process $P_{2}$.

Definition 3.2 (Process Refinement) $P_{1} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{P}} P_{2}$ if, and only if,
$\left(\exists P_{1}\right.$. State $; P_{1}$. State ${ }^{\prime} \bullet P_{1}$. Act $) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\exists P_{2}\right.$. State $; P_{2}$. State ${ }^{\prime} \bullet P_{2}$. Act $)$
The actions $P_{1}$.Act and $P_{2}$.Act may act on different states space, and so may not be comparable. Actually, we compare the actions we obtain by hiding the state components of processes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, as if they were declared in a local variable block, whose semantics is given by existential quantification. We are left with a state space containing only the UTP variables okay, wait, tr, and ref.

As discussed above, the state of a process is private. This allows processes components to be changed during a refinement. This can be achieved in much the same way as we can data refine variable blocks and modules in imperative programs [21]. Two well-known techniques of data refinement in those contexts are forwards and backwards simulation [12].

In [1], the standard simulation techniques used in Z were adopted to handle processes and actions [34]. A simulation is a relation between the states of two processes that satisfies a number of properties.

Definition 3.3 (Forwards Simulation) A forwards simulation between actions $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of processes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, with local state $L$, is a relation $R$ between $P_{1}$.State, $P_{2}$.State, and $L$ satisfying

- (Feasibility) $\forall P_{2}$.State $; L \bullet\left(\exists P_{1}\right.$.State $\left.\bullet R\right)$
- (Correctness) $\forall P_{1}$.State $; P_{2}$.State $; P_{2}$. State ${ }^{\prime} ; L \bullet R \wedge A_{2} \Rightarrow$ $\left(\exists P_{1}\right.$.State $\left.{ }^{\prime} ; L^{\prime} \bullet R^{\prime} \wedge A_{1}\right)$

In this case, we write $A_{1} \preceq_{P_{1}, P_{2}, R, L} A_{2}$. A forwards simulation between $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ is a forwards simulation between their main actions.

Notice that, differently from the usual definition of forwards simulation, in Definition 3.3 no applicability requirement concerning preconditions exists. This follows from the fact that actions are total. If an action is executed outside its preconditions, it diverges; however, its behaviour is not arbitrary, since the state invariant is implicitly maintained, and arbitrary new synchronisation and communications can be observed, but no past observations are affected. Furthermore, no specific conditions is imposed on the initialisation: any state initialisation must be explicitly included in the main action.

A theorem presented in [1], and proved in [28], ensures that, if we provide a forwards simulation between two processes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, we can substitute $P_{1}$ by $P_{2}$ in a Circus program.

Theorem 3.1 (Forwards simulation is sound) When a forwards simulation exists between two processes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, we also have that $P_{1} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{P}} P_{2}$.

The definition of backwards simulation is very similar to that of forwards simulation.

Definition 3.4 (Backwards Simulation) A backwards simulation between actions $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of processes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, with local state $L$, is a relation $R$ between $P_{1}$.State, $P_{2}$.State, and $L$ satisfying

- (Feasibility) $\forall P_{2}$.State $; L \bullet\left(\exists P_{1}\right.$.State $\left.\bullet R\right)$
- (Correctness) $\forall P_{1}$.State ${ }^{\prime} ; P_{2}$.State $; P_{2}$. State ${ }^{\prime} ; L^{\prime} \bullet R^{\prime} \wedge A_{2} \Rightarrow$ $\left(\exists P_{1}\right.$.State $\left.; L \bullet R \wedge A_{1}\right)$

A backwards simulation between $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ is a backwards simulation between their main actions.

A refinement strategy for Circus has already been presented [1]. This strategy, although simple, can effectively serve as a tool to guide and transform an abstract (usually centralised) specification into a concrete (usually distributed) solution of the system. This strategy is based on laws of simulation, and action and process refinements, which are present in Appendix C. We, however, present further simulation and refinement laws in Appendix D.

Each iteration within the refinement strategy, which may include many iterations, includes three steps: simulation, action refinement, and process refinement. Figure 3.1, taken from [1], summarises one of these iterations. The first two steps are used to reorganise the internal structure of the process: we use simulation to
introduce the elements of the concrete system state, and then, the actions are refined in order to be partitioned in a way that each partition operates on different components of the modified state. This changes results in the splitting of the state space and the accompanying actions into two different partitions, in such a way that each partition groups some state components and the actions which access these components. After the second step, we have a structure in which each partition clearly has a independent state and behaviour. The third step of the strategy upgrades each of these partitions to individual processes: the resulting processes are combined in the same way as their main actions were in the previous process.

As discussed before, it may be the case that several refinement iterations are needed: processes resulting from one decomposition may be further decomposed. Basically, one iteration of the strategy is necessary for every process that needs decomposing, just like it is needed when the starting point is a centralised process specification.

The sequence of steps do not need to be strictly followed an iteration of the refinement strategy. For instance, many applications of a given step (i.e. data or action refinement) may be applied, if it is convenient for modularising the development; the starting process itself may already be ready for decomposition, in which case, only a process refinement is needed. Basically, an iteration of the strategy is characterised by one application of a process decomposition. The number of applications of simulation and action refinement depends on each particular development.

### 3.2 Laws of Simulation

In order to carry the data refinement in a stepwise way, some laws of simulation are provided. These laws provide support to prove that a relation $R$ is indeed a forwards simulation. Besides, they can be used to justify proving simulations for schema actions, in much the same way as we do in Z. All the simulation laws can be found in Appendices C and D.

The primitive actions Skip, Stop, and Chaos are not affected by forwards simulations. Schema action simulations raise the same provisos as in the standard Z rule. The law C. 1 presented below includes an applicability condition, which does not appear in the definition of forwards simulation, since it is concerned with the semantics of actions, which are total operations the state that include the UTP variables. A schema expression, on the other hand, is an operation over the process state, and it is not total.

## Law C. 1 (Schema Expressions).

$$
\text { ASExp } \preceq \text { CSExp }
$$



Figure 3.1: An iteration of the refinement strategy

## provided

- $\forall P_{1} . s t ; P_{2} . s t ; L \bullet R \wedge$ pre $A S E x p \Rightarrow$ pre CSExp
- $\forall P_{1} . s t ; P_{2} . s t ; P_{2} . s t^{\prime} ; L \bullet R \wedge$ pre ASExp $\wedge C S E x p \Rightarrow$ $\left(\exists P_{1} . s t^{\prime} ; L^{\prime} \bullet R^{\prime} \wedge A S E x p\right)$

Forwards simulation distributes through the other constructs. In the following, we present some of the distributions laws. The first one is the rule for input prefix.

## Law C. 2 (Input prefix distribution).

$$
c ? x \rightarrow A_{1} \preceq c ? x \rightarrow A_{2}
$$

provided $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$.
In the output prefixing, the abstract and the concrete expressions must be equal, with respect to the retrieve relation.

## Law C. 3 (Output prefix distribution).

$$
c!a e \rightarrow A_{1} \preceq c!c e \rightarrow A_{2}
$$

## provided

- $\forall P_{1} . s t ; P_{2} . s t ; L \bullet R \Rightarrow a e=c e$
- $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$

Parallel actions work on disjoint parts of the state: no interference occurs. This fact is used in the simulation law for parallelism.

## Law C. 7 (Parallelism distribution).

$$
\left.\left.A_{1} \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\left|A_{2} \preceq B_{1} \| n s_{1}\right| c s \mid n s_{2}\right] \mid B_{2}
$$

## provided

- $A_{1} \preceq B_{1}$
- $A_{2} \preceq B_{2}$

Further laws on simulation can be found in Appendices C and D.

### 3.3 Process Refinement

The laws for process refinement deal simultaneously with the state and control behaviour. The first law states that we may introduce a new process, assuming it is not used. As in the introduction of fresh variables in an imperative language, the fact that the process is unused is sufficient to guarantee that its introduction has no effect whatsoever.

Law C. 10 (Process declaration introduction).

$$
c p=p d c p
$$

provided the process declared in the process declaration $p d$ is not referenced in the sequence of paragraphs of the Circus program $c p$.
This law is apparently innocuous. Its importance, however, becomes evident in the sequel.

In [1], two families of process partitioning laws are presented. The first family apply to processes whose state components are partitioned in such a way that each partition has its own set of paragraphs. By way of illustration, we present the process $P$ below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\text { begin } \\
& \quad \text { state State } \hat{=} \text { Q.State } \wedge \text { R.State } \\
& \\
& \text { Q.PPar } \uparrow R . \text { State } \\
& \\
& R . P P a r \uparrow Q . \text { State } \\
& \quad \bullet \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Section 2.2.2, the state of the processes $P$ is defined as a conjunction of two other schemas: Q.State and R.State. Furthermore, the paragraphs in $P$ are also partitioned in a way that the paragraphs in Q.PPar do not change the components in R.State, since they are conjoined with $\Xi$ R.State; in a similar way, the paragraphs in R.PPar do not change the components in Q.State. Finally, the main action of $P$ is defined as an action context $F$, which must also make sense as a function on processes, according to the Circus syntax (Appendix A).

The law C. 11 presented below transforms such partitioned process into three processes: each of the first two includes a partition of the state and the corresponding paragraphs, and, the third process, defined in the terms of the first two, has the same behaviour as the original one.

Law C. 11 (Process splitting). Let $q d$ and $r d$ stand for the declarations of the processes $Q$ and $R$, determined by $Q . s t, Q . p p s$, and Q.act, and R.st, R.pps, and
$R$.act, respectively, and $p d$ stand for the process declaration above. Then

$$
p d=(q d r d \text { process } P \widehat{=} F(Q, R))
$$

provided Q.pps and R.pps are disjoint with respect to R.st and Q.st.
The second family of laws applies to process defined using the well-known Z promotion technique. Using this family of laws, we may refine a specification using a free promotion to an indexed family of processes, each one representing an element of the local type. In [1], the Z promotion technique is extended to Circus actions. Firstly, as expected, we have that the promotion of schema expressions is as in Z .

$$
\operatorname{promote}(S E x p) \hat{=} \exists \Delta L . S t a t e \bullet \text { SExp } \wedge \text { Promotion }
$$

L.State stands for the local state, and Promotion for the promotion schema.

The promotion of Skip, Stop, and Chaos does not change them.

```
promote}(A)\hat{=}A,\mathrm{ for }A\in{\mathrm{ Skip,Stop,Chaos }
```

The promotion of a communication c.e, where $e$ stands for a reference to an element of the local state, needs to receive an extra value that indicates the position $i$ of $e$ in the collection. For this reason, a corresponding promoted channel $p c$, which communicates a pair formed by the identifier and the value, exists for each channel c.

$$
\operatorname{promote}(c . e \rightarrow A) \hat{=} p c ? i \operatorname{promote}(e) \rightarrow \operatorname{promote}(A)
$$

The definitions of promotion for the other forms of prefixing are very similar. The guards of guarded commands need to be promoted. In the promotion of parallelism and hiding, the channels are replaced with the corresponding promoted channels.

Further laws on promotion of actions and processes can be found in [1].

### 3.4 Action Refinement

In the second step of the refinement strategy, an algorithmic refinement on actions is proposed. This action refinement is justified by the following theorem, which is proved in [28].
Theorem 3.2 (Soundness of action refinement) Suppose we have a process $P$ with actions $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. If $A_{1} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} A_{2}$, then the identity is a forwards simulation between $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$.

Using this theorem, we can refine a process by refining its actions.
All the refinement laws on actions can be found in Appendices C and D. In the following, we present some of the new laws required by our case study. They are samples of some groups of laws: laws on prefixing, laws on schemas, laws on variable blocks, laws on guards and assumptions, and laws on parallelism.

### 3.4.1 Laws on Prefixing

The following law states that a prefixing may be introduced to an action, if it is hidden from the environment.

## Law D. 5 (Prefixing Introduction).

$$
A=(c \rightarrow A) \backslash\{|c|\}
$$

provided $c \notin \operatorname{used} C(A)$.

### 3.4.2 Laws on Schemas

The following law applies to an initialisation schema, which operates over a state composed by two disjoint sets of components specified in the schemas $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Furthermore, its precondition can be expressed as the conjunction of conditions pre $S_{1}$ and pre $S_{2}$ over the different parts of the state. The initialisation of the state is an expression that is a conjunction of conditions $C S_{1}$ and $C S_{2}$ over the final values of the disjoint parts of the state.

## Law D. 7 (Schemas/Sequence Introduction 2).

$\left[S_{1}^{\prime} ; S_{2}^{\prime} \mid p r e S_{1} \wedge p r e S_{2} \wedge C S_{1} \wedge C S_{2}\right]$
$=$
$\left[S_{1}^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{pre} S_{1} \wedge C S_{1}\right] ;\left[S_{2}^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{pre} S_{2} \wedge C S_{2}\right]$
provided

- $\alpha\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \alpha\left(S_{2}\right)=\emptyset$
- $F V\left(\right.$ pre $\left.S_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{1}\right)$
- $F V\left(p r e S_{2}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{2}\right)$
- $D F V\left(C S_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right)$
- $\operatorname{DFV}\left(C S_{2}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$
- $\operatorname{UDFV}\left(C S_{2}\right) \cap D F V\left(C S_{1}\right)=\emptyset$

The application of this law introduces a sequence of two initialisation schemas that initialise the disjoint parts of the state separately. As the initial values of $S_{1}$ are potentially changed by the first action, the final values of the state components of $S_{2}$ cannot depend on those.

For a given schema $S E x p, \alpha(S E x p)$ gives the set of components of $S E x p$; the function alpha can also be applied to a declaration. The function $F V$ gives the set of free-variables of a predicate or expression; in a similar way, $D F V$ determines the set of dashed free variables of a given predicate, and $U D F V$ gives the set of undashed free variables of a predicate.

### 3.4.3 Laws on Variable Blocks

A standard law on variable blocks extension of imperative programming languages is also valid for Circus.

## Law D. 8 (Variable Block Extension).

$$
A_{1} ;\left(\operatorname{var} x: T \bullet A_{2}\right) ; A_{3}=\left(\operatorname{var} x: T \bullet A_{1} ; A_{2} ; A_{3}\right)
$$

provided $x \notin F V\left(A_{1}\right) \cup F V\left(A_{3}\right)$.
This law only requires the variable $x$ not to be a free variable in $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$.

### 3.4.4 Laws on Guards and Assumptions

Guards may be distributed to a particular side of the parallelism. The only condition required to make this law sound is that the initial events of the other side of the parallelism are in the synchronisation set of channels.

## Law D. 10 (Guard/Parallelism Distribution 1).

$$
\left.\square_{i} g_{i} \&\left(A_{i}\left\|n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right\| A\right)=\left(\square_{i} g_{i} \& A_{i}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A
$$

provided $\operatorname{initials}(A) \subseteq c s$.
The proviso guarantees that the action $A$ can start its behaviour only when one of the guards is true.

### 3.4.5 Laws on Parallelism

The $S k i p$ is the parallelism unit.

Law D. 25 (Parallelism Unit).

$$
S k i p \| c s] \mid A=A \| c s] \mid S k i p=A
$$

provided $u s e d C(A) \cap c s=\emptyset$.

As expected, the other action involved in the parallelism is not expected to synchronise in any of its events. Otherwise, it could deadlock at some point of its behaviour.

This gives us the intuition for the next law presented below. The parallelism of two actions, whose initial events are in the synchronisation channel set and have no initial event in common, is deadlocked.

## Law D. 27 (Parallelism Zero 2).

$$
A_{1} \|[c s] \mid A_{2}=\text { Stop }
$$

## provided

- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq c s$
- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset$

In our case study (Chapter 5), we use these laws and those presented in Appendices C and D in order to refine an abstract specification.

Most of the refinement laws of Circus are still to be proved. This proof of these laws are in the context of our thesis.

### 3.5 Conclusions

This section will conclude this chapter. It will be written after all refinement laws have been proved.

## Chapter 4

Mechanisation

## Chapter 5

## Case Study

In this chapter we present a case study on the Circus refinement calculus. The case study is a safety-critical fire protection system, that is described in Section 5.1. In Sections 5.2 and 5.3 we describe the types and channels used within the system, respectively. Section 5.4 presents and describes some axiomatic definitions that are used throughout the system definition. In Section 5.5 present an abstract specification for the fire control system. This specification is refined to a concrete one using a refinement strategy presented in Section 5.6. Finally, in Section 5.7 we present some conclusions on the case study.

### 5.1 Description of the System

The fire control system covers two separate areas. Each area is divided in two zones, and a fire detection can happen in each different zone. If a detection happens, a gas discharge may occur in the area in which the zone where the detection occurred belongs. Besides the four existing zones, two other zones are used for detection only. The system contains a display panel composed by the following lamps:

- System on lamp: indicates that the system is working;
- Fault lamps: indicate that the corresponding fault has been detected by the system;
- Detection lamps: indicate a fire detection in the corresponding zone;
- Silence alarm lamp: indicates that the alarm has been silenced;
- Circuit fault lamp: indicates the need to replace the actuators of the system;
- Discharge lamps: indicate a gas discharge in the corresponding area.

The system can be in one of three modes at any moment: manual, automatic, or disabled. In manual mode, an alarm is sounded if a detection happens; besides, the corresponding detection lamp is lit on the system's display panel. The alarm can be silenced, and, when the reset button is pressed, the system returns to normal. In manual mode, gas discharge needs to be initiated manually.

When the system is running in automatic mode, the detection of fire is also followed by the alarm being sounded. However, if a fire is detected in the second zone of the same area, the second stage alarm is sounded, and a countdown to automatic gas discharge is started. When the countdown finishes, the gas discharge happens after an exit event. This event represents the latest time people are expected to leave the building before a gas discharge happens. Following a gas discharge, the circuit fault lamp is illuminated in the system's display panel. Besides, if a gas discharge occurs, the system mode is switched to disabled.

In disabled mode, the system can only have the actuators replaced, identify relevant faults within the system, and be reset. The system is back to its normal mode after the actuators are replaced and the reset button is pressed.

Some further requirements should also be satisfied by the system's specification.

- Start up: the system must be started with a switchOn event, and, following this event, the system on lamp should be illuminated;
- Mode switching: the system mode can be switched between manual and automatic mode provided no detection happens. Also, when the system is reset, and, in case of a gas discharge has occurred, the actuators are replaced, the system mode is switched to automatic;
- Detection lamps: following a fire detection, the corresponding lamp must be lit; when the system is reset, all the fire detection lamps must be switched off;
- Gas discharge: following a gas discharge, no following discharge may happen before the actuators are replaced.

Of course, the system may not enter a state in which it refuses to participate in any further events (deadlock-free).

### 5.2 Basic Types

We start by defining the boolean type Bool, which can assume values true and false.

$$
\text { Bool }::=\text { true | false }
$$

The two areas and the six zones are identified by the types AreaId and ZoneId respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AreaId }::=0 \mid 1 \\
& \text { ZoneId }::=0|1| 2|3| 4 \mid 5
\end{aligned}
$$

As described in Section 5.1, the system can be in three modes at any time. These modes are represented by the type Mode. However, the system cannot be manually switched to a disabled mode. For this reason, we define the type SwitchMode, which is actually a subset of type Mode.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mode }::=\text { automatic } \mid \text { manual } \mid \text { disabled } \\
& \text { SwitchMode }==\text { Mode } \backslash\{\text { disabled }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

All the lamps and the buzzer of the system's display panel can be either on or off. These two states are represented by the type OnOff. Furthermore, the system alarm can be in one of the three states in type AlarmStage.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OnOff }::=\text { on } \mid \text { off } \\
& \text { AlarmStage }::=\text { alarmOff } \mid \text { firstStage } \mid \text { secondStage }
\end{aligned}
$$

The type LampId contains individual identifiers for all the existing lamps in the system's display panel.

> LampId $::=$ zoneFaultLamp $\mid$ earthFaultLamp $\mid$ sounderLineFaultLamp $\mid$ powerFaultLamp $\mid$ isolateRemoteSignalLamp $\mid$ actuatorLineFaultLamp $\mid$ circuitFaultLamp $\mid$ alarmSilencedLamp $\mid$ systemOnLamp

The faults that can be detected by the system are as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FaultId }::=\text { zoneFault } \mid \text { earthFault } \mid \text { sounderLineFault } \mid \text { powerFault } \\
& \quad \mid \text { isolateRemoteSignal } \mid \text { actuatorLineFault }
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the system can be in one of the state of type SystemState described below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SystemState }::=\text { fireSysStart } \mid \text { fireSys } \mid \text { fireSysD } D_{s} \mid \text { auto }_{s} \mid \\
& \quad \text { countdown }_{s} \mid \text { discharge }_{s} \mid \text { resets }_{s} \mid \text { manual }_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

This type is used by the system to indicate its current state.

### 5.3 Used Channels

In order to start working, the system must be started. This is modelled as the event switchOn.

## channel switchOn

The existence of fire is indicated to the system by a smoke detection, which is identified by the zone where the detection happens.
channel detection: ZoneId
The system can be manually switched between modes manual and automatic, but not disabled.
channel modeSwitch : SwitchMode

When the system is in manual mode, and the conditions that lead to a gas discharge are met, the manual gas discharge can be started in one area only.

> channel externalManualDischarge : AreaId

A system fault can be reported to the system.

```
channel fault : FaultId
```

In manual mode, an alarm is sounded if a detection happens. This alarm, however, can be silenced.
channel silenceAlarm

In order to have the system back to its normal, the system must be reset. Furthermore, if a gas discharged occurred, the actuators must be replaced.
channel reset, actuatorsReplaced
The exit event represents the latest time people are expected to leave the building before a gas discharge happens.
channel exit
The system determines the alarm stage to which the alarm must be switched through channel alarm.

> channel alarm : AlarmStage

Besides, the system indicates that a lamp must be switched (on or off) using the generic channel switchLamp. It provides the type of lamp (AreaId,ZoneId, or FaultId) and the new lamp mode (OnOff). The buzzer is also controlled using a specific channel.

```
channel [ \(T\) ]switchLamp : \(T \times\) OnOff
channel switchBuzzer : OnOff
```

Finally, in each state change, the system reports its current state.

```
channel systemState : SystemState
```

The fire control system may request a clock to execute the countdown using channel startClock. The clock indicates that the countdown is finished using channel clockFinished.

## channel startClock, clockFinished

In Figure 5.1 we summarise the abstract fire control system interface.

### 5.4 Axiomatic Definitions

An area is responsible for 2 zones. The function getZones return the zones which are covered by an area. Two zones cannot be covered by two different areas.

```
getZones : AreaId }\mapsto\mathbb{P}\mathrm{ ZoneId
    getZones(0) ={0,1}
    getZones(1) ={2,3}
```



Figure 5.1: Abstract Fire Control

The following axiomatic definition is used to map faults to their respective lamp in the display.

```
getLampId: FaultId \(\mapsto\) LampId
    getLampId \((\) zoneFault \()=\) zoneFaultLamp
    getLampId (earthFault) \(=\) earthFaultLamp
    getLampId (sounderLineFault \()=\) sounderLineFaultLamp
    getLampId \((\) powerFault \()=\) powerFaultLamp
    getLampId (isolateRemoteSignal \()=\) isolateRemoteSignalLamp
    getLampId (actuatorLineFault) \(=\) actuatorLineFaultLamp
```

In the case the system is running in automatic mode, a delay gasDelay is given before the gas is released.

| gasDelay $: \mathbb{N}$ |
| :--- |
| gasDelay $=30$ |

### 5.5 Abstract Fire Control System

In this section, we specify process AbstractFireControl to formalise the requirements previous described. Its state is composed of five components: the mode component indicates the mode in which the fire control is running (automatic, manual, or disabled); the controlledZones component maps the areas to their controlled zones; the activeZones component maps the areas to the zones in which a
fire detection has occurred; the discharge component indicates if a gas discharged has happened (true), or not (false) within each area; finally, the active component indicates if the each area is active (true), or not (false).

```
process AbstractFireControl =
state
```

```
- AbstractFireControlState
    mode : Mode
    controlledZones : AreaId \(\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
    activeZones : AreaId \(\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
    discharge : AreaId \(\rightarrow\) Bool
    active : AreaId \(\rightarrow\) Bool
    controlledZones \(=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) getZones \((\) area \()\}\)
    \(\forall\) area : AreaId
    \((\) mode \(=\) automatic \() \Rightarrow\)
        active \((\) area \()=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\exists z_{1}, z_{2}\) : controlledZones(area) •
            \(z_{1} \neq z_{2} \wedge\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{activeZones(area)}\)
    \(\wedge(\) mode \(=\) manual \() \Rightarrow\)
        active \((\) area \()=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\{\) area : AreaId \(\mid \exists z:\) controlledZones(area)
                \(z \in\) activeZones(area) \(\}\)
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \((\) area \() \subseteq\) controlledZones(area)
```

The invariant determines that, for each area within the system, its controlled zones is defined by the axiomatic definition getZones. If running in manual mode, an area is active if, and only if, any zone controlled by it is active. However, if running in automatic mode, an area is active if, and only if, there is more than one active zone controlled by it.

Initially, the system is in automatic mode, there is no active zone, no discharge occurred in any area. The state invariant guarantees that there is no active area.

```
_ InitAbstractFireControl
    AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
    mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) automatic
    activeZones \({ }^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto \emptyset\}\)
    discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
```

We present three operations that are used to switch the system mode. The first one receives the new mode as argument.

```
SwitchAbstractFireControlMode
\(\Delta\) AbstractFireControlState
    newMode? : Mode
    mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) newMode \(?\)
    activeZones' \(=\) activeZones
    discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge
```

It changes only the system mode, and leaves the other components unchanged. Two other schemas are used with the same purpose. They, however, do not receive any argument. The first one switches the system to automatic mode.

```
SwitchAbstractFireControl2 AutomaticMode
    \(\Delta\) AbstractFireControlState
    mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) automatic
    activeZones \({ }^{\prime}=\) activeZones
    discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge
```

The second one switches the system to disabled mode.

```
SwitchAbstractFireControl2DisabledMode
    \DeltaAbstractFireControlState
    mode' = disabled
    activeZones' = activeZones
    discharge' = discharge
```

Both, as the operation SwitchAbstractFireControlMode leave the other state components unchanged.

Next, we describe the schema AbstractActivateZone. It receives a zone as input and changes the activeZones state component by including the received zone in the set of active zones mapped by the area that controls the given zone. The active component is also changed as specified by the state invariant. All other state components are left unchanged.

```
- AbstractActivateZone
```

$\qquad$

```
\(\Delta\) AbstractFireControlState
    newZone? : ZoneId
    mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) mode
    discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge
    activeZones \({ }^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(\oplus\)
    \(\{\) area : AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones(area)
    area \(\mapsto\) activeZones \((\) area \() \cup\{\) newZone? \(\}\}\)
```

The last schema operation activates the discharge in the active areas. Only the state component discharge is changed.

```
- AbstractActivateDischarge
    \(\Delta\) AbstractFireControlState
    mode \(e^{\prime}=\) mode
    activeZones' \(=\) activeZones
    discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge \(\oplus\)
    \(\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in\) dom active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
```

As all other actions of the fire control system presented below, the action AbstractFireSysStart starts by communicating the current system state. Then, it waits for the system to be switched on, switches the corresponding lamp on, initialises the system state and, finally, behaves like action AbstractFireSys.

```
AbstractFireSysStart 气
    systemState!fireSysStarts}->\mathrm{ switchOn }
        switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on }
            InitAbstractFireControl;AbstractFireSys
```

The action AbstractFireSys represents the system running before any detection. After communicating the system state, the mode can be switched between automatic and manual. Furthermore, if any detection occurs, the zone in which the detection occurred is active, and then, the system behaves like Auto or Manual, depending on the current system mode. If any fault is identified, the corresponding lamp is lit. Finally, if the system is requested to reset, its state is initialised, and all the lamps (except the circuit fault and the system on lamps) and the buzzer are
switched off (action AbstractSwitchLampsOff).

```
AbstractFireSys \(\hat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {fireSys }} \text { s }} \rightarrow\)
        modeSwitch? newMode : SwitchMode \(\rightarrow\)
            SwitchAbstractFireControlMode; AbstractFireSys
            \(\square\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) AbstractActivateZone;
                        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
                        \((\) mode \(=\) manual \() \&\) AbstractManual
                \(\square(\) mode \(=\) automatic \() \&\) AbstractAuto
            \(\square\) fault?faultId: FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractFireSys
            \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) InitAbstractFireControl;
                AbstractSwitchLampsOff ; AbstractFireSys
AbstractSwitchLampsOff \(\hat{=}\)
    (switchBuzzer!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    ||| (||| id : (LampId \\{circuitFaultLamp, systemOnLamp\}) • }
            switchLamp[LampId].id!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip)
    ||| (||| zone : ZoneId • switchLamp[ZoneId].zone!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip)
    ||| (|| area : AreaId • switchLamp[AreaId].area!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip \()\)
```

After a detection, and if running in manual mode, the system behaves like action AbstractManual. Any detection leads to the activation of the zone in which the detection happened. If it is requested to silence the alarm, it does so, and behaves like the AbstractReset action. If any manual discharge is requested, the action verifies whether the given area is active or not. If it is active, it switches the corresponding gas discharged lamp, discharges the gas in the given area, switches the system mode to disabled and waits for the system to be reset (action AbstractReset). However, if the given area is not active, the discharge request is ignored. Finally,
any fault identification leads to the corresponding lamp to be lit.

```
AbstractManual \(\widehat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! } \text { manual }_{s} \rightarrow}\)
        detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) AbstractActivateZone;
            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractManual
        \(\square\) silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) AbstractReset
        \(\square\) externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
            ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\}\) ) \&
                switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractActivateDischarge;
                        SwitchAbstractFireControl2DisabledMode;
                    AbstractReset
            (area \(\notin\) dom active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\})\) \& AbstractManual
        \(\square\) fault?faultId: FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractManual
```

After a detection, and if running in automatic mode, the system behaves like AbstractAuto. After reporting the system state, it verifies whether there is any active area or not. If there is any active area, the second stage alarm is sounded and the countdown starts (action AbstractCountdown). However, if no area is active, the it is possible to reset the system, by switching the alarm, all the lamps (except the circuit fault and the system on lamps), and the buzzer off, initialising the system state, and starting to behave as AbstractFireSys. Detections are also possible but they do not change the behaviour of this action. They only lead to an activation of the zone in which the detection occurred. Finally, as the AbstractManual action, any fault identification leads to the corresponding lamp to be lit.

```
AbstractAuto \(\hat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {auto }} \rightarrow \text { }} \rightarrow\)
        \((\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\} \neq \emptyset) \&\)
            alarm!secondStage \(\rightarrow\) AbstractCountdown
        \(\square(\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\}=\emptyset) \&\)
            reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) AbstractSwitchLampsOff;
                InitAbstractFireControl; AbstractFireSys
            \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) AbstractActivateZone;
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractAuto
            \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractAuto
```

In action Reset, the actuators may be replaced. In this case, the circuit fault lamp is switched off, and the system mode is switched to automatic. The behaviour
in the case of any detection or fault is the same as for action AbstractManual. Finally, if it is requested to reset, it behaves like FireSys or FireSysD, depending on the current system mode.

```
AbstractReset \(\widehat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! } \text { reset }_{s} \rightarrow}\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off \(\rightarrow\)
            SwitchAbstractFireControl2AutomaticMode; AbstractReset
        \(\square\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) AbstractActivateZone;
            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractReset
        \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) AbstractSwitchLampsOff;
            \((\) mode \(=\) disabled \() \&\) AbstractFireSysD
                \(\square(\) mode \(\neq\) disabled \() \&\) InitAbstractFireControl;AbstractFireSys
        \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractReset
```

The action AbstractCountdown requests the start of a countdown and waits for the clock to finish the countdown (action AbstractWaitingClock). While waiting for the clock to be finish (event clockFinished), detections and faults lead to the same behaviour as for action AbstractReset. When the clock is finished, it discharges the gas (action AbstractDischarge).

```
AbstractCountdown \(\widehat{=}\)
    systemState!countdown \(_{s} \rightarrow\) startClock \(_{\rightarrow \text { AbstractWaitingClock }}\)
AbstractWaitingClock \(\widehat{=}\)
    clockFinished \(\rightarrow\) AbstractDischarge
    \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) AbstractActivateZone;
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractWaitingClock
    \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractWaitingClock
```

The action AbstractFireSysD indicates that the system was reset without the actuators being replaced, and, for this reason, it is disabled. In this action, only two events are accepted: first, the actuators can be replaced, in which case, the alarm is switched off, as are all the lamps (except the circuit fault and the system on lamps) and the buzzer, and the system returns to the action AbstractFireSys; second, if
any fault is identified, the corresponding lamp is lit.

```
AbstractFireSysD \(\widehat{=}\) systemState!fireSys \(D_{s} \rightarrow\)
    actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
        AbstractSwitchLampsOff; InitAbstractFireControl;AbstractFireSys
    \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) AbstractFireSysD
```

Finally, the action AbstractDischarge represents an automatic discharge. The action waits for an indication that there is no one in the area (event exit). When this indication is received, it switches the gas discharge lamps of all areas in which a gas discharge should occur (action AbstractSwitchLampsDischarge). If any area is actually active, the system mode is switched to disabled; otherwise, it is switched to automatic. Finally, it activates any discharge that should occur, and then it waits for the system to be reset (action AbstractReset).

```
AbstractDischarge =
    exit }
        AbstractSwitchLampsDischarge;
        ((dom active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }\not=\emptyset)
            SwitchAbstractFireControlSystem2DisabledMode
        \square ( \text { dom active } \triangleright \{ \text { true \} = Ø) \&}
            SwitchAbstractFireControlSystem2AutomaticMode);
        AbstractActivateDischarge;AbstractReset
AbstractSwitchLampsDischarge =
    (% area : dom active \triangleright {true} \bullet switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }->\mathrm{ Skip)
```

Finally, the main action of process AbstractFireSys is defined below.

- AbstractFireSysStart


## end

### 5.5.1 External Devices

## Clock

Before discharging the gas, the fire control system requires a clock to countdown. We present below the specification of the process Clock. Basically, when requested to start counting (event startClock), it counts as many tocks as specified by gasDelay.

Then, it indicates that it is finished (event clockFinished), and waits for the next request for counting.

```
channel tock
process Clock \(\widehat{=}\) begin
    ClockCycle \(\hat{=}\) startClock \(\rightarrow\) ClockCycle(gasDelay);ClockCycle
        ClockInstance \(\widehat{=}\) (tocks: \(\mathbb{N} \bullet\)
    (tocks \(=0\) ) \& clockFinished \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square(\) tocks \(\neq 0) \&\) tock \(\rightarrow\) ClockInstance \((\) tocks -1\())\)
```

- ClockCycle $\backslash\{\mid$ tock $\}$
end


## Output

Display The display is composed by the lamps and the buzzer. The lamps can be of three different types. However, the three types of lamps are instances of the same generic process GenericLamp. This generic process has just one component (status) that indicates if the lamp is switched on or switched off.

```
process [T]GenericLamp = (id:T \bullet begin
state GenericLampState = [status:OnOff ]
```

The schema InitGenericLamp initialises the lamp with an off status.

$$
\text { InitGenericLamp } \widehat{=}\left[\text { GenericLampState }^{\prime} \mid \text { status }^{\prime}=o f f\right]
$$

The schema SwitchLampStatus switches the status to the given new status.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SwitchLampStatus } \widehat{=} \\
& \quad\left[\Delta \text { GenericLampState } ; \text { status } ?: \text { OnOff } \mid \text { status }^{\prime}=\text { status? }\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The generic lamp is initialised, and then it can continuously be switched on or off.

- InitGenericLamp;
$(\mu X \bullet$ switchLamp $[T] . i d ? s t a t u s \rightarrow$ SwitchLampStatus; X)
end)
A generic lamp can be instantiated with LampId for the simple lamps, with ZoneId for the fire lamps, or with AreaId for the lamps that indicate that gas was released in the respective area. The process SimpleLamps represents all the simple lamps (fault lamps, alarm silenced lamp, and system on lamp); the process FireLamps represents
all the fire detection lamps; and the process GasReleasedLamps represents all the gas released lamps. Finally, process DisplayLamps represents all the display's lamps.

```
process SimpleLamps \(\widehat{=} \| \mid\) id :LampId \(\bullet\) GenericLamp[LampId](id)
process FireLamps \(\widehat{=} \| \mid\) zone : ZoneId •GenericLamp[ZoneId](zone)
process GasReleasedLamps \(\widehat{=}\)
    ||| area : AreaId • GasReleasedLamp[AreaId](area)
process DisplayLamps \(\widehat{=}\) SimpleLamps ||| FireLamps ||| GasReleasedLamps
```

The display buzzer has a very simple specification. As the generic lamps, it has a state component status that indicates if the buzzer is switched on or switched off. This component is also initialised with the value off, and can also be switched between on and off. All the process offers is the switchBuzzer event that can be used to switch the buzzer status.

```
process DisplayBuzzer \(\widehat{=}\) begin
state DisplayBuzzerState \(\hat{=}[\) status : OnOff \(]\)
    InitDisplayBuzzer \(\widehat{=}\left[\right.\) DisplayBuzzerState' \(^{\prime} \mid\) status \(^{\prime}=\) off \(]\)
    SwitchDisplayBuzzerStatus \(\xlongequal[=]{ }\)
        [ \(\Delta\) DisplayBuzzerState; status? : OnOff \(\mid\) status \(^{\prime}=\) status?]
- InitDisplayBuzzer;
    \(\mu X \bullet\) switchBuzzer?status \(\rightarrow\) SwitchDisplayBuzzerStatus; X
end
```

Finally, the display can be specified as the interleave of all display lamps and the display buzzer.

```
process Display 人 DisplayLamps || DisplayBuzzer
```

Alarm The alarm has also a very simple specification. It has a state component stage, which records the current state of the alarm (firstStage, secondStage, or alarmOff). This component is initialised with the value alarmOff, and can also be switched between the different alarm modes. All this process offers is the alarm event, which can be used to trigger a change to the stage of the alarm.

```
process Alarm \widehat{= begin}
state AlarmState = [stage:AlarmStage]
    InitAlarm }\widehat{=}[\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ AlarmState }}{}{\prime}|\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ stage }}{}{\prime}=\mathrm{ alarmOff ]
    SwitchStage \hat{=}
        [\DeltaAlarmState; newStage? : AlarmStage | stage' = newStage?]
\bullet InitAlarm; }\mu\textrm{X}\bullet\mathrm{ alarm?newStage }->\mathrm{ SwitchStage; X
end
```

The processes Display and Alarm can be interleaved to represent all the processes that receive any output from the abstract fire control system.

```
process Output 气= Display || Alarm
```


## Input Keyboard

In order to simplify the specification of the input to the abstract timed fire control system, we consider a single keyboard as the only means of interaction. This keyboard contains one button for each possible input event acceptable by the abstract fire control system.

```
Button == DETECTION N |ETECTION N
    | DETECTION 2 | DETECTION3
    | DETECTION | |ETECTION
    |ONE_FAULT | EARTH_FAULT
    | SOUNDER_LINE_FAULT | POWER_FAULT
    | ISOLATE_REMOTE_SIGNAL | ACTUATOR_LINE_FAULT
    | MANUAL_DISCHARGE | MANUAL_DISCHARGE1
    | MODE_SWITCH_MANUAL
    | MODE_SWITCH_AUTOMATIC
    | RESET | SWITCH_ON | SILENCE_ALARM
    | ACTUATORS_REPLACED | EXIT
```

Some of these buttons can be grouped in four different categories: detection buttons, fault identification buttons, manual discharge buttons, and mode switch buttons.

```
DetectionBts \(==\left\{\right.\) DETECTION \(_{0}\), DETECTION \(_{1}\),
    DETECTION \(_{2}\), DETECTION \(_{3}\),
    DETECTION \(_{4}\), DETECTION \(\left._{5}\right\}\)
FaultBts \(==\{\) ZONE_FAULT, EARTH_FAULT,
    SOUNDER_LINE_FAULT, POWER_FAULT,
    ISOLATE_REMOTE_SIGNAL,
    ACTUATOR_LINE_FAULT\}
ManDischargeBts \(==\left\{\right.\) MANUAL_DISCHARGE \({ }_{0}\),
        MANUAL_DISCHARGE \(\}\)
ModeSwitchBts \(==\left\{\right.\) MODE_SWITCH \(\_\)MANUAL,
    MODE_SWITCH_AUTOMATIC\}
```

The channel actionPerformed represents the action of pressing a button. Besides, the event btnPressed is used internally by the keyboard.
channel actionPerfomed, btnPressed : Button

The process keyboard has two state components: the enabled buttons and the disabled buttons. The set of disabled buttons contains any button that is not enabled.

```
process Keyboard 气= begin
state
```

    KeyboardState
    enabledButtons : \(\mathbb{P}\) Button
    disabledButtons : \(\mathbb{P}\) Button
    disabledButtons \(=\{b:\) Button \(\mid b \notin\) enabledButttons \(\}\)
    In the keyboard's initial state all buttons are disabled.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { InitKeyboard }_{\text {enabledButtons' }} \\
& \text { disabledButtons' } \\
& \text { enabledButtons }
\end{aligned}
$$

The operation AvailableButtons receives a system state as argument, and changes the state component enabledButtons in order to enable the buttons that should be available, and, therefore, disable the buttons that should not be available for the given system state.

```
_ AvailableButtons
    \(\Delta\) KeyboardState
    state? : SystemState
    state \(?=\) fireSysStart \({ }_{s}^{\prime} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\left\{S W I T C H \_O N\right\}\)
    state \(?=\) fireSys \({ }_{s} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\) DetectionBts \(\cup\) FaultBts \(\cup\)
                            ModeSwitchBts \(\cup\{R E S E T\}\)
    state \(?=\) FireSysPrime \({ }_{s}^{\prime} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\) FaultBts \(\cup\)
                            \{ACTUATORS_REPLACED\}
    state \(?=\) auto \(_{s} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\) DetectionBts \(\cup\) FaultBts \(\cup\)
                            \{RESET \(\}\)
    state \(?=\) countdown \(_{s} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\) DetectionBts \(\cup\) FaultBts
    state \(?=\) discharge \(_{s} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\{\) EXIT \(\}\)
    state \(?=\) reset \(_{s} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\) DetectionBts \(\cup\) FaultBts \(\cup\)
                        \{ACTUATORS_REPLACED,
                RESET\}
    state \(?=\) manual \(_{s} \Rightarrow\)
        enabledButtons \({ }^{\prime}=\) DetectionBts \(\cup\) FaultBts \(\cup\)
            ManDischargeBts \(\cup\)
            \{SILENCE_ALARM, RESET\}
```

The action EventHandler receives the indication that a button was pressed and send this to the action KeyboardCycle (event btnPressed).

```
EventHandler \(\hat{=}\)
    actionPerfomed?button : enabledButtons \(\rightarrow\)
    btnPressed!button \(\rightarrow\) EventHandler
```

For a given system state, the action KeyboardCycle uses the operation AvailableButtons to make available only those buttons that should be available to the user. Then, either a button can be pressed, or the system can change the state. If a button is pressed, the action synchronises in the event corresponding to the button that was pressed. This attempt can be interrupted by a change on the system state. At any time, if the system changes the state, the action KeyboardCycle starts again with
the new state.

```
KeyboardCycle \(\widehat{=}\) (state? : SystemState •
AvailableButtons;btnPressed?bt : Button \(\rightarrow\)
```



```
    \(\square\left(b t=M A N U A L_{-} D I S C H A R G E E_{0}\right) \&\)
        externalManualDischarge \(10 \rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square\left(b t=M A N U A L \_D I S C H A R G E_{1}\right) \&\)
            externalManualDischarge! \(1 \rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square\left(b t=M O D E \_S W I T C H \_M A N U A L\right) \&\)
        modeSwitch!manual \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square\left(b t=M O D E \_S W I T C H \_A U T O M A T I C\right) \&\)
            modeSwitch!automatic \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square(b t=\) RESET \() \&\) reset \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square(b t=\) SWITCH_ON \() \&\) switchOn \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square(b t=\) SILENCE_ALARM \() \&\) silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) Skip
```

```
        \(\left(b t=A C T U A T O R S \_R E P L A C E D\right) \&\)
            actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\square(b t=E X I T) \&\) exit \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    KeyboardCycle(state?)
    systemState? newState : SystemState \(\rightarrow\) KeyboardCycle(newState))
```

The RunKeyboard action receives the current system state and starts the keyboard cycle.

$$
\text { RunKeyboard } \widehat{=} \text { systemState?state }: \text { SystemState } \rightarrow \text { KeyboardCycle(state) }
$$

Finally, we have the keyboard's main action: after initialising the keyboard, it runs
in a parallel the RunKeyboard and the EventHandler actions.

- InitKeyboard;
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { RunKeyboard } \\ \| \alpha(\text { KeyboardState }) \cup \alpha\left(\text { KeyboardState }{ }^{\prime}\right)\{\mid \text { btnPressed } \mid\}|\emptyset| \mid \\ \text { EventHandler }\end{array}\right)$ $\backslash\{\mid$ btnPressed $\}$
end
The external devices are represented by the interleaving between the Output and the Keyboard processes.
process ExternalDevices $\widehat{=}$ Output ||| Keyboard
We may encapsulate all the input and output events in two different set of channels. First, we define the set of all switch events.

```
chanset InputEvents \(==\{\mid\) switchLamp [LampId], switchLamp[ZoneId],
                    switchLamp[AreaId], switchBuzzer,
    detection, switchOn, reset,
    externalManualDischarge, modeSwitch,
    silenceAlarm, actuatorsReplaced, exit, fault \}\}
chanset OutputEvents \(==\) SwitchEvents \(\cup\{\) alarm \(\}\)
```

Finally, we specify the set of all external events as follows.
chanset ExternalSignals $==$ InputSignals $\cup$ OutputEvents

## The Abstract Main Process

First, we combine the AbstractFireControl and the clock processes in order to have the timed system AbstractTimedFireControl. They synchronise in the events used by the clock process, which we group in the set of channels ClockSignals.
chanset ClockSignals $==\{\mid$ startClock, clockFinished $\}\}$
process AbstractTimedFireControl $\hat{=}$
(AbstractFireControl |[ ClockSignals ]| Clock) \ClockSignals
Now, we are ready to specify the abstract main system process, which is a parallel composition between the abstract timed fire control system and the external devices.

$$
\text { process AbstractMain } \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { AbstractTimedFireControl } \\
\| \text { ExternalSignals } \| \\
\text { ExternalDevices }
\end{array}\right) \backslash \text { ExternalSignals }
$$

In the next section, we refine the abstract fire control.

### 5.6 A Refinement Strategy for the Fire Control System

In this section, we aim to refine the main component of the AbstractMain process: the process AbstractFireControl. Section 5.6.1 presents the target of our refinement, the concrete fire control system. Then, in the following sections, we present the refinement steps summarised graphically in Figure 5.2. Our strategy is based on that proposed in [1] for Circus.

The refinement strategy presented for Circus is based on laws of simulation, and action and process refinement. It includes (possibly several) iterations of three steps: use of simulation to introduce elements of the concrete system state, action refinement for partitioning the process state and actions, and a process refinement to decompose the original process in two or more processes.

The motivation for the fire control system refinement is the distribution of the areas. This leads to a need of distributed processing in order to get a more efficient system.

First, in Section 5.6.2, we present a data refinement to introduce a new state component $\left(\right.$ mode $\left._{A}\right)$ to the system. The second refinement step, presented in Section 5.6.3, consists in an action refinement that allows the partitioning of the state space and the accompanying actions, in such a way that each partition groups some state elements and the actions which access (read or update) these elements. The idea is to separate the management of the areas from the fire control system. Following this action refinement, in Section 5.6.4 we actually make a process refinement in order to upgrade each partition into separated processes (InternalSystem and Areas). Next, in Sections 5.6.5 and 5.6.7, we refine the Areas process in order to get separated processes to model each existing area within the system; and finally, in Sections 5.6.7 and 5.6.8, we refine the InternalSystem, in the same way we do with process FireControl , in order to get two processes: the fire control system itself (process FireControl), and a display controller (process DisplayController).

First, however, in Section 5.6 .1 below, we present the final concrete system we want to obtain. Further refinement of this system to code only requires refinement of actions.

### 5.6.1 Concrete Fire Control System

The concrete fire control system is composed of three components: the fire control system, the display controller, and the detection system. In the following sections we present each process separately, and then, we compose them to get the final concrete fire control system. Before proceeding, however, we introduce the internal channels used by the fire control system.


Figure 5.2: Refinement Strategy for the Fire Control System


Figure 5.3: Concrete Fire Control

The fire control system may request to the display controller to restart using channel start. Besides, the fire control system indicates discharges to the display controller using channel discharge.
channel start
channel discharge: AreaId
The fire control system request a gas discharge to the detection process through channels manualDischarge and automaticDischarge.
channel manualDischarge, automaticDischarge : AreaId
The detection process may reply to these requests indicating if the gas has been discharged or not.

> channel gasDischarged, gasNotDischarged : AreaId

When an area is active in automatic mode, it may request a countdown using the channel below.

## channel countdown

The fire control system then replies indicating if the countdown has started (true) or not (false) through channel countdownStarted.
channel countdownStarted: Bool
In Figure 5.3 we summarise the internal communication of the concrete fire control system.

## Fire Control

The process FireControl is similar to the its abstract specification (AbstractFireControl). However, all the state components and events related to the detection areas and to the display controller are removed from the fire control. They now compose the state of the process presented later.

## process FireControl $\widehat{=}$ begin

## state

The state of the concrete fire control is composed by only one component, mode, which indicates the mode in which the fire control is running (automatic, manual, or disabled).
_ FireControlState
$\qquad$ mode : Mode

As in the abstract specification, the system is initialised in automatic mode.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { InitFireControl } \\
& \text { FireControlState }^{\prime} \\
& \text { mode }^{\prime}=\text { automatic }^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Three operations can be used to switch the system mode. The first one receives the new mode as argument.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SwitchFireControlMode } \\
& \Delta \text { FireControlState } \\
& \text { newMode? : Mode } \\
& \text { mode }=\text { newMode? }
\end{aligned}
$$

The second and third operations, that switch the system mode do not receive any argument. They simply switch the system mode to automatic and disabled, respectively.

SwitchFireControl2AutomaticMode $\qquad$
$\Delta$ FireControlState

$$
\text { mode }{ }^{\prime}=\text { automatic }
$$

## SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode

$\Delta$ FireControlState
mode ${ }^{\prime}=$ disabled

The fire control system is responsible for communicating the current system state. For this reason, almost all actions start with this communication. After being switched on, the fire control only initialises its state and behaves like action FireSys. All the events related to the display lamps are no longer controlled by the fire control, but by the display controller that we shall specify later in this section.

```
FireSysStart \(\hat{=}\)
    systemState! \(f\) ireSysStart \(_{s} \rightarrow\) switchOn \(\rightarrow\) InitFireControl; FireSys
```

The action FireSys is slightly different from its abstract version. As explained before, it does not make any reference to the display lamps. For this reason, the concrete fire control does not engage in the fault events. These are now part of the display controller. After communicating the system state, the mode can be switched between automatic and manual. Furthermore, if any detection occurs, the system behaves like Auto or Manual, depending on the current system mode. Since the areas are the processes which have the area-zone information, following a detection communication, the zone activation is no longer part of the fire control behaviour. Finally, if the system is requested to reset, its state is initialised.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FireSys } \widehat{=} \\
& \text { systemState! } \text { fireSys }_{s} \rightarrow \\
& \text { modeSwitch? newMode : SwitchMode } \rightarrow \text { SwitchFireControlMode; } \\
& \text { FireSys } \\
& \square \text { detection? newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { alarm!firstStage } \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text { ( mode }=\text { manual }) \text { \& Manual } \\
& \square(\text { mode }=\text { automatic }) \text { \& Auto } \\
& \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { InitFireControl; FireSys }
\end{aligned}
$$

After a detection, and if running in manual mode, the system behaves like action Manual. For the reasons explained above, fault detection events (fault) are no longer part of its specification. As the information of the active zones and areas are not within the fire control anymore, in other to decide what to do next, it actually has to communicate (manualDischarge) with the process that corresponds to the area in which a gas discharge was manually requested. It then receives an answer from this area: if the discharge actually has taken place (gasDischarged), the fire control is set to disabled mode, and waits to be reset; otherwise, if the gas
was not discharged (gasNotDischarged), it recurses.

```
Manual \(\widehat{=}\)
    systemState! \(^{\text {manual }} \rightarrow\)
        detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) Manual
        \(\square\) silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) Reset
        \(\square\) externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
            manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) discharge!area \(\rightarrow\)
                        SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode; Reset
                \(\square\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Manual
```

After a detection, and if running in automatic mode, the concrete system behaves like Auto. However, it no longer verifies if any area is active in order to start a countdown, or not. If there is any active area, this area requests the start of a countdown (countdown). The fire control confirms the countdown start with the event countdownStarted!true. Then, as the abstract system, the second stage alarm is sounded and the countdown starts (action Countdown). However, if no area is active, the event countdown does not happen. In this case, the system may still be reset, in which case the alarm is switched off and the system is initialised. Detections are also allowed, but do not change the behaviour of this action.

```
Auto \(\widehat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\prime} \text { auto }}_{s} \rightarrow\)
        countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted!true \(\rightarrow\) alarm!secondStage \(\rightarrow\)
            Countdown
        \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm! alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) InitFireControl; FireSys
        \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) Auto
```

As in the abstract fire control system, the actuators may be replaced when the system is waiting to be reset (action Reset). However, the only action that follows such a communication is the switching of the system mode to automatic. The behaviour in the case of any detection is the same as for action Manual. Finally, if the system is reset, it behaves like FireSys or FireSysD, depending on the current system mode. Any request to start a countdown (countdown) originated from any area is not confirmed (countdownStarted!false) since no countdown has actually
started.

```
Reset \widehat{=}
    systemState!resets }
        actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ SwitchFireControl2AutomaticMode; Reset
        \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { Reset}
        \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow
            (mode = disabled) & FireSysD
            \square ( \text { mode } = \text { disabled) \& InitFireControl; FireSys}
        \square \text { countDown } \rightarrow \text { countdownStarted!false } \rightarrow \text { Reset}
```

The action Countdown has almost the same definition as the abstract action AbstractCountdown. The only difference is that, after indicating the current system state and requesting the start of a countdown, it behaves as the concrete action WaitingClock. While waiting for the clock to finish (event clockFinished), detections lead to the same behaviour as for action Reset. Any request to start a countdown is confirmed, since this action is already waiting a countdown. When the clock is finished, the fire control discharges the gas (action Discharge).

```
Countdown \(\widehat{=}\) systemState!countdown \(\rightarrow\) startClock \(\rightarrow\) WaitingClock
WaitingClock \(\widehat{=}\)
    clockFinished \(\rightarrow\) Discharge
    \(\square\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) WaitingClock
    \(\square\) countDown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted!true \(\rightarrow\) WaitingClock
```

In action FireSysD, if the actuators are replaced (actuatorsReplaced) the alarm is switched off, and the display controller (start) and the fire control system are restarted. Again, any request to start a countdown is rejected.

```
FireSys \(D \hat{=}\)
    systemState! fireSys \(D_{s} \rightarrow\)
    actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) start \(\rightarrow\)
        InitFireControl; FireSys
        \(\square\) countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted!false \(\rightarrow\) FireSysD
```

Finally, the action Discharge represents an automatic discharge. It waits for an indication that there is no one in the area (event exit). However, when this indication is received, it sequentially requests an gas discharge to each area process (automaticDischarge) and receives an answer: if the gas has been discharged in the area (gasDischarged), it indicates the discharge to the display controller (discharge), and increments a local variable log by one; otherwise, nothing is done. After communicating with all areas, it verifies if the local variable $\log$ is greater than 0 , in
which case, a discharge has happened in, at least, one area. In this case, the system mode is switched to disabled. Nevertheless, if $\log$ is equals to 0 , no gas has been discharged, and the system mode is switched to automatic. Finally, the system waits to be reset (Reset).

```
Discharge \(\widehat{=}\)
    systemState! discharge \(_{s} \rightarrow\) exit \(\rightarrow\)
    (var \(\log : \mathbb{N}\)
        \(\log :=0 ;\)
        (9) area : AreaId •
                automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                    gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) discharge! area \(\rightarrow \log :=\log +1\)
                gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip);
            \(((\log =0) \&\) SwitchFireControlSystem2AutomaticMode
            \(\square(\log >0) \&\) SwitchFireControlSystem2DisabledMode \()\);
                Reset
```


## Display Controller

The display controller is responsible for, given an event, make the request to the corresponding lamp to switch on or off.

```
process DisplayController 人= begin
```

It has no state: only actions are defined within it. The first action, InitDisplay, waits for the system to be switched on, and then, it switches on the system on lamp.

```
InitDisplay \(\hat{=}\)
    switchOn \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on \(\rightarrow\) Skip
```

The next action, SwitchLampsOff, is invoked if the system is reset or if the fire control requests the display controller to restart. It switches off the display buzzer and all the lamps (except the circuit fault and the system on lamps).

```
SwitchLampsOff \(\widehat{=}\)
    switchBuzzer!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    \(\|\|(\| \mid\) id : (LampId \(\backslash\) \{circuitFaultLamp, systemOnLamp \(\})\)
            switchLamp[LampId].id!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip)
```




Finally, the DisplayCycle consists of receiving an event and switching on the corresponding lamp in the display. Besides, if the fire control requests the display
controller to restart (start), or if the system is reset (reset), the display controller behaves like action SwitchLampsOff.

```
DisplayCycle \(\widehat{=}\)
    detection?zone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[ZoneId].zone!on \(\rightarrow\) DisplayCycle
    \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
            switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) DisplayCycle
```

```
        silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].alarmSilencedLamp!on \(\rightarrow\) DisplayCycle
    \(\square\) discharge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!on \(\rightarrow\) DisplayCycle
    \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff;DisplayCycle
    \(\square\) actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off \(\rightarrow\) DisplayCycle
    \(\square\) start \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff;DisplayCycle
```

The main action of the display controller waits the system to be switched on. Then, it switches on the system on lamp, and starts the display cycle.

- InitDisplay; DisplayCycle


## end

## Areas

An area's state is composed of the controlled zones of the area, the active zones in which a fire detection has been made, a boolean discharge that records whether a gas discharge has been made in this area, a boolean active that records whether a gas discharge may occur in this area, and finally, the mode in which the area is running (manual, automatic, disabled).

```
process Area \widehat{= (id : AreaId \bullet begin}
```

state

```
AreaState
    mode : Mode
    controlledZones : \(\mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
    activeZones : \(\mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
    discharge : Bool
    active : Bool
    controlledZones \(=\) getZones \((i d)\)
    mode \(=\) automatic \(\Rightarrow\)
        active \(=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\exists z_{1}, z_{2}\) : controlledZones
                \(z_{1} \neq z_{2} \wedge\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq\) activeZones
    mode \(=\) manual \(\Rightarrow\)
        active \(=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\exists z:\) controlledZones \(\bullet z \in\) activeZones
    activeZones \(\subseteq\) controlledZones
```

The invariant establishes that, the component activeZones is a subset of the controlled zones of this area, which is defined by getZones. Besides, if running in manual mode, an area is active if, and only if, any zone controlled by it is active. On the other hand, if running in automatic mode, an area is active if, and only if, there is more than one zone that is controlled by it and is active.

Each area is initialised as follows: there is no active zone; no discharge occurred; and it is in automatic mode. The state variant guarantees that it is not active.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { InitArea } \\
& \text { AreaState }^{\prime} \\
& \text { activeZones }^{\prime}=\emptyset \\
& \text { discharge }^{\prime}=\text { false } \\
& \text { mode }^{\prime}=\text { automatic }
\end{aligned}
$$

A zone can be active using the operation ActivateZone. If the given zone is a controlled zone of this area, it is included in the activeZones state component.

```
ActivateZone
    AreaState
    newZone?: ZoneId
    newZone? \in controlledZones
    activeZones' = activeZones }\cup{newZone?
    discharge' = discharge
    mode' = mode
```

In order to activate the discharge within an area, we must use the operation ActivateDischarge that is defined below.

```
_ActivateDischarge
    A}\mathrm{ AreaState
    activeZones' = activeZones
    discharge' = true
    mode' = mode
```

Finally, the last schema allows the area mode to be switched.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SwitchAreaMode } \\
& \Delta \text { AreaState } \\
& \text { newMode? : Mode } \\
& \text { activeZones }^{\prime}=\text { activeZones } \\
& \text { discharge }^{\prime}=\text { discharge } \\
& \text { mode }^{\prime}=\text { newMode? }
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to start, an area must synchronise in the switchOn event. Its state is then initialised, and the area actually starts working.

$$
\text { StartArea } \widehat{=} \text { switchOn } \rightarrow \text { InitArea } ; \text { AreaCycle }
$$

In its initial stage, if the reset event occurs, the state is initialised; if the system mode is switched, so is the area mode; if an automatic gas discharge is requested, it refuses the discharge (gasNotDischarge); and finally, any detection leads to an
analysis of this detection.

```
AreaCycle \(\hat{=}\)
    reset \(\rightarrow\) InitArea
        \(\square\) modeSwitch? newMode : SwitchMode \(\rightarrow\) SwitchAreaMode
        \(\square\) automaticDischarge.id \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.id \(\rightarrow\) Skip
```

```
        manualDischarge.id \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.id \(\rightarrow\) Skip
```

```detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) AnalyseDetection(newZone);NextAction(newZone) AreaCycle
AnalyseDetection \(\widehat{=}\) (newZone : ZoneId •
(newZone \(\in\) controlledZones) \& ActivateZone
\(\square(\) newZone \(\notin\) controlledZones \() \& ~ S k i p)\)
```

NextAction $\widehat{=}$ (newZone : ZoneId
(newZone $\in$ controlledZones) \& ActiveArea $\square($ newZone $\notin$ controlledZones $) \& ~ S k i p)$

If the zone in which the detection occurred is controlled by this area, it activates the detected zone and takes the area to the active stage; otherwise, no further action is taken. After the analysis of the detection, if any zone was active, the area becomes active. Otherwise, it recurses in the AreasCycle.

The behaviour of an active area depends on the mode in which the area is running. If it is running in automatic mode, it checks whether the area is active or not. If the area is active, it requests to the fire control system to start a countdown. If the fire control system starts the countdown, the area waits the order to discharge the gas; otherwise, it goes to a disabled stage. If the area is running in automatic mode, but it is not active, it may be reset. Furthermore, any detection is analysed as in the AreaCycle action, and any request to discharge gas is refused (gasNotDischarged).

If the area is running in a manual mode, the reset and detection events are treated in the same way as if the area were not active and running in automatic mode. However, if a gas discharge is manually requested, it checks whether the area is active or not. If it is active, then it accepts the discharge (gasDischarged), activates the discharge, and goes to a disabled stage; otherwise, it refuses the dis-
charge (gasNotDischarged), and remains in the active stage.

```
ActiveArea \(\widehat{=}\)
    ( mode \(=\) automatic \() \&\)
        \((\) active \(=\) true \() \&\)
            countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted? answer : Bool \(\rightarrow\)
                        (answer \(=\) true) \& WaitingDischarge
                \(\square(\) answer \(=\) false \() \&\) DisabledArea
        \(\square(\) active \(=\) false \() \&\)
                reset \(\rightarrow\) InitArea
                \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
                        AnalyseDetection(newZone);ActiveArea
                \(\square\) automaticDischarge.id \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.id \(\rightarrow\) ActiveArea
    \(\square(\) mode \(=\) manual \() \&\)
        reset \(\rightarrow\) InitArea
        \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
            AnalyseDetection(newZone);ActiveArea
        \(\square\) manualDischarge.id \(\rightarrow\)
            \((\) active \(=\) true \() \&\)
                gasDischarged.id \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischarge; DisabledArea
            \(\square(\) active \(=\) false \() \&\)
                gasNotDischarged.id \(\rightarrow\) ActiveArea
```

When waiting for discharge, any new detection in a controlled zone leads to its activation. When the gas discharge request is sent by the fire system, a gasDischarged answer is sent back to the fire system, the gas is discharged, and the area becomes disabled.

```
WaitingDischarge 气
    detection?newZone : ZoneId }
        AnalyseDetection(newZone); WaitingDischarge
    \square \text { automaticDischarge.id } \rightarrow \text { gasDischarged.id } \rightarrow
        ActivateDischarge; DisabledArea
```

In the disabled stage, detections in any zone controlled by the area leads to its activation, and a reset event initialises the area state. Furthermore, any request to a gas discharge is refused (gasNotDischarged).

```
DisabledArea \(\widehat{=}\)
    reset \(\rightarrow\) InitArea
    \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
            AnalyseDetection(newZone);DisabledArea
    \(\square\) automaticDischarge.id \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.id \(\rightarrow\) DisabledArea
```

The main action of the process Area is the action StartArea.

- StartArea
end)
The process Areas represents all the areas within the system. Basically, it is a parallel composition of all areas. They synchronise in the start up of the system (switchOn), and in the reset, modeSwitch and detection events.
process Areas $\hat{=}$
$\|$ id : AreaId |[ \{| switchOn, reset, switchMode, detection |\}]\| Area(id)


## The Concrete Main Process

First, we define the internal system, which is defined as the parallel composition of the fire control and the display panel. All the communication between the fire control and the display controller (chanset DisplayComm) is hidden.

```
chanset DisplayComm \(==\{\mid\) discharge, start \(\}\)
chanset Intervention \(==\{\mid\) silenceAlarm, actuatorsReplaced \(\}\)
chanset \(\Sigma_{1}==\{\mid\) switchOn, reset, detection \(\} \cup \cup\)
    DisplayComm \(\cup\) Detection \(\cup\) Intervention
process InternalSystem \(\widehat{=}\)
    FireControl \(\left|\left[\Sigma_{1}\right]\right|\) DisplayController \(\backslash\) DisplayComm
```

Next, we define the concrete fire control as the combination of the internal system and the areas. All the gas discharge synchronisation signals (GasDischargeSync) are hidden, since they are communications between the internal system and the areas only.

```
chanset GasDischargeSync \(==\)
    \{| manualDischarge, automaticDischarge, countdown,
        countdownStarted, gasDischarged, gasNotDischarged \{\}
chanset \(\Sigma_{2}==\)
    \(\{\mid\) switchOn, reset, detection, switchMode \}\} \(\cup\) GasDischargeSync
process ConcreteFireControl \(\xlongequal{=}\)
    (InternalSystem \(\|\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\) Areas) \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

The concrete timed fire control system is defined as the abstract one, but replacing the abstract fire control by the concrete fire control defined above.
process ConcreteTimedFireControl $\widehat{=}$
(ConcreteFireControl $\|$ ClockSignals $]$ Clock) \ClockSignals

Now, we are ready to specify the concrete main system process, which is a parallel composition between the timed fire control system and the external devices.

$$
\text { process ConcreteMain } \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { ConcreteTimedFireControl } \\
\| \text { ExternalSignals } \| \\
\text { ExternalDevices }
\end{array}\right) \backslash \text { ExternalSignals }
$$

Since the refinement calculus is compositional, in order to prove that the ConcreteMain process is a refinement of the AbstractMain process, it is enough to prove that process ConcreteFireControl is a refinement of process AbstractFireControl. In the following sections, we aim to prove this refinement.

### 5.6.2 Data refinement: including a new state component

In this step we make a data refinement in order to introduce a state component that is used by the fire control. The new mode $e_{A}$ component indicates the mode in which the areas are running. The process AbstractFireControl is refined by process FireControl ${ }_{1}$ presented below.

```
process FireControl \(_{1} \hat{=}\) begin
state
```

```
_ FireControlState \({ }_{1}\)
```

_ FireControlState ${ }_{1}$
mode $_{1}$ : Mode
mode $_{1}$ : Mode
controlledZones $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ ZoneId
controlledZones $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ ZoneId
activeZones $1:$ AreaId $\rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ ZoneId
activeZones $1:$ AreaId $\rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ ZoneId
discharge $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow$ Bool
discharge $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow$ Bool
active $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow$ Bool
active $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow$ Bool
mode $_{A}$ : Mode
mode $_{A}$ : Mode
controlledZones $_{1}=\{$ area $:$ AreaId $\bullet$ area $\mapsto$ getZones $($ area $)\}$
controlledZones $_{1}=\{$ area $:$ AreaId $\bullet$ area $\mapsto$ getZones $($ area $)\}$
$\forall$ area : AreaId •
$\forall$ area : AreaId •
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ automatic $) \Rightarrow$
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ automatic $) \Rightarrow$
$\operatorname{active}_{1}($ area $)=$ true $\Leftrightarrow$
$\operatorname{active}_{1}($ area $)=$ true $\Leftrightarrow$
$\exists z_{1}, z_{2}:$ controlledZones ${ }_{1}($ area $)$
$\exists z_{1}, z_{2}:$ controlledZones ${ }_{1}($ area $)$
$z_{1} \neq z_{2} \wedge\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq$ activeZones area $_{1}$ area
$z_{1} \neq z_{2} \wedge\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq$ activeZones area $_{1}$ area
$\wedge\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \Rightarrow$
$\wedge\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \Rightarrow$
active $_{1}($ area $)=$ true $\Leftrightarrow$
active $_{1}($ area $)=$ true $\Leftrightarrow$
$\left\{\right.$ area : AreaId $\mid \exists z:$ controlledZones ${ }_{1}$ (area) •
$\left\{\right.$ area : AreaId $\mid \exists z:$ controlledZones ${ }_{1}$ (area) •
$z \in$ activeZones $_{1}($ area $\left.)\right\}$
$z \in$ activeZones $_{1}($ area $\left.)\right\}$
$\wedge$ activeZones $_{1}($ area $) \subseteq$ controlledZones ${ }_{1}($ area $)$

```
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}(\) area \() \subseteq\) controlledZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \()\)
```

The state FireControlState ${ }_{1}$ is the same as that of AbstractFireControl, except that it includes the extra component mode $_{A}$; the state invariant is the same. In order
to prove that the FireControl ${ }_{1}$ is a refinement of the AbstractFireControl we have to prove that there exists a forwards simulation between the FireControl main action and the AbstractFireControl main action. The retrieve relation is very simple: it relates each component in the AbstractFireControlState to a corresponding component in the FireControlState ${ }_{1}$. It is defined as follows.

```
RetrFireControl
```

$\qquad$

```
    AbstractFireControlState
    FireControlState \({ }_{1}\)
    mode \(_{1}=\) mode
    controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones
    activeZones \({ }_{1}=\) activeZones
    discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge
    active \(_{1}=\) active
```

Now, we refine each schema, using the schema expressions simulation law (C.1), in order to deal with the new state. The first schema to be refined is the initialisation schema. In the concrete initialisation, the new state component $\operatorname{mode}_{A}$ is initialised in automatic mode.

```
InitFire Control \(_{1}\)
FireControlState \({ }_{1}^{\prime}\)
    mode \(e_{1}^{\prime}=\) automatic
    activeZones \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto \emptyset\}\)
    discharge \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
    mode \({ }_{A}^{\prime}=\) automatic
```

We state the simulations as lemmas.
Lemma 5.1 InitAbstractFireControl $\preceq$ InitFireControl $_{1}$ Proof.

As already mentioned, this result can be established with an application of Law C.1. It raises two proof obligations. The first one concerns the preconditions of both schemas.

```
\forallAbstractFireControlState; FireControlState e \bullet
    RetrFireControl ^ pre InitAbstractFireControl }=>\mathrm{ pre InitFireControl
```

This proof obligation is easily proved, since the precondition of both schemas are true. The second proof obligation concerns the finalisation of both schemas.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlState \({ }_{1}^{\prime}\) •
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre InitAbstractFireControl \(\wedge\) InitFireControl \(_{1} \Rightarrow\)
        \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\) •
            RetrFireControl' \(\wedge\) InitAbstractFireControl
```

This proof obligation can be also easily discarded using the one-point rule. When this rule is applied, we may remove the universal quantifier, and then, we are left with a predicate in which the consequent of the implication is present in its antecedent. The proof of this lemma, and all the simulation lemmas that follow, are very similar and simple. They can be found in Appendix B.

The next schema to be refined is the SwitchAbstractFireControlMode. In the concrete operation, besides the update of component mode $_{1}$, we have also the update of the component mode $_{A}$.

```
SwitchFireControlMode }\mp@subsup{}{1}{
    \Delta \text { FireControlState } _ { 1 }
    newMode?: Mode
    mode= = newMode?
    activeZones'
    dischargé}=\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ discharge }}{1}{
    mode'}\mp@subsup{}{A}{\prime}=\mathrm{ newMode?
```

Lemma 5.2 SwitchAbstractFireControlMode $\preceq$ SwitchFireControlMode $_{1}$
The refinement of schema SwitchAbstractFireControl2AutomaticMode is very similar. However, the new state component is not changed by this schema operation.

```
    SwitchFireControl2AutomaticMode \({ }_{1}\)
    \(\Delta\) FireControlState \(_{1}\)
    mode \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\) automatic
    activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
```


## Lemma 5.3

> SwitchAbstractFireControl2AutomaticMode $\preceq$ SwitchFireControl 2 AutomaticMode $_{1}$

The refinement of schema SwitchAbstractFireControl2DisabledMode, as that of the previous schema, is very simple. It also does not change the new state component mode $_{A}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SwitchFireControl2 DisabledMode }_{1} \\
& \Delta \text { FireControlState }_{1} \\
& \text { mode }_{1}^{\prime}=\text { disabled }^{\text {activeZones }_{1}^{\prime}=\text { activeZones }_{1}} \\
& \text { discharge }_{1}^{\prime}=\text { discharge }_{1} \\
& \text { mode }_{A}^{\prime}=\text { mode }_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 5.4

SwitchAbstractFireControl2DisabledMode $\preceq$ SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode ${ }_{1}$

Next, we have the refinement of the schema AbstractActivateZone.

```
_ActivateZone \({ }_{1}\)
```

$\qquad$

```
    \(\Delta\) FireControlState \(_{1}\)
    newZone? : ZoneId
    mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
    activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1} \oplus\)
    \(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \()\)
        area \(\mapsto\) activeZones 1 (area) \(\cup\{\) newZone? \(\}\}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
```

Lemma 5.5 AbstractActivateZone $\preceq$ ActivateZone $_{1}$
The last schema to be refined is the schema AbstractActivateDischarge. It also leaves the new state component mode $_{A}$ unchanged.

```
ActivateDischarge \(_{1}\)
\(\Delta\) FireControlState \(_{1}\)
    \(\operatorname{mode}_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
    activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1} \oplus\)
    \(\left\{\right.\) area : AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
    \(\operatorname{mode}_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
```

Lemma 5.6 AbstractActivateDischarge $\preceq$ ActivateDischarge $_{1}$
Now, we refine the actions of the AbstractFireControl. In this part of the refinement, we rely on the fact that forwards simulation distributes through action constructors (laws of simulation in Appendix C and Appendix D). The new actions have the same structure as the original ones, but use new schema actions (based on Lemmas 5.1 to 5.6 ). The complete definition of the process FireControl can be found in Appendix G. By way of illustration, we present the action FireSysStart ${ }_{1}$, which simulates of the abstract action AbstractFireSysStart.

```
FireSysStart \({ }_{1}\) 气
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {fireSysStart }} \text { s }} \rightarrow\) switchOn \(\rightarrow\)
    switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on \(\rightarrow\)
            InitFireControl \(_{1}\); FireSys \({ }_{1}\)
```

In this data refinement step, all the output and input values are not changed. For this reason, only the second proviso (action simulation) of the application of Law C. 3 must be proved. This law states that, in order to simulate an output prefix, the output of the abstract action must be related to the output of the concrete action using the retrieve relation. Furthermore, the subsequent action in the abstract action must be simulated by the subsequent action in the concrete action. Also, as the guards are not changed, the provisos raised in the application of Law C. 4 are only those related to action simulations.

Finally, the main action of the new fire control system, FireControlSystem ${ }_{1}$, is the simulation of the original action.

```
- FireSysStart
end
```

This concludes this data refinement step.

### 5.6.3 Action Refinement: decomposing the FireControl ${ }_{1}$ in two partitions

In this refinement step we change the FireControl ${ }_{1}$ so that its state is composed of two partitions: one that models the internal system and another one that models the areas. We also change the actions so that the state partitions are handled separately. The final aim, as already discussed, is to split the fire control system into concurrent processes.
process FireControl $_{2}$ = begin

## The System State

The internal system state is composed only by the mode in which the internal system is running.

InternalSystemState $\qquad$
mode $_{1}$ : Mode
The remaining components are declared as components of the areas partition of the state.

```
- AreasState
    mode \(_{A}\) : Mode
    controlledZones \(_{1}:\) AreaId \(\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
    activeZones \({ }_{1}:\) AreaId \(\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
    discharge \({ }_{1}:\) AreaId \(\rightarrow\) Bool
    active \(_{1}:\) AreaId \(\rightarrow\) Bool
    controlledZones \(_{1}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) getZones (area) \(\}\)
    \(\forall\) area : AreaId •
    \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \() \Rightarrow\)
        \(\operatorname{active}_{1}(\) area \()=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\exists z_{1}, z_{2}\) : controlledZones \((\) area \()\)
                \(z_{1} \neq z_{2} \wedge\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq\) activeZones \({ }_{1}(\) area)
    \(\wedge\left(\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \() \Rightarrow\)
        active \(_{1}(\) area \()=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\left\{\right.\) area : AreaId \(\mid \exists z:\) controlledZones \({ }_{1}\) (area)
                        \(z \in\) activeZones \(_{1}(\) area \(\left.)\right\}\)
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}(\) area \() \subseteq\) controlledZones \(_{1}(\) area \()\)
```

The state of the FireControlState ${ }_{1}$ is declared as the conjunction of the two previous defined state schemas.

```
state FireControlState \({ }_{1}\) 人 InternalSystemState \(_{\wedge}\) AreasState
```


## Internal System Paragraphs

Now, we may declare the first group of paragraphs that access only the internal system components. First, the internal system is initialised in automatic mode.

> | InitInternalSystem |
| :--- |
| InternalSystemState ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| AreasState $^{\prime}$ |
| ${\text { mode } e_{1}^{\prime}=\text { automatic }}^{\text {and }}$ |

In order to switch the internal system mode, we may use the schema operation SwitchInternalSystemMode that receives the new mode as argument.

```
_ SwitchInternalSystemMode
    \DeltaInternalSystemState
    \XiAreasState
    newMode?: Mode
    mode= = newMode?
```

The following schema operations switch the internal system mode to automatic and to disabled, respectively.

SwitchInternalSystem 2 AutomaticMode
$\Delta$ InternalSystemState
$\Xi$ AreasState

$$
\text { mode } e_{1}^{\prime}=\text { automatic }
$$

## SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode

$\qquad$
$\Delta$ InternalSystemState
$\Xi$ AreasState

$$
\text { mode } e_{1}^{\prime}=\text { disabled }
$$

The behaviour of this intermediate fire control is very similar to that of the abstract one. However, after being switched on, it only initialises the fire control state components and behaves like action FireSys. All the operations related to the areas components are no longer controlled by the fire control action, but by the areas actions that we specify later in this section.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FireSysStart }_{2} \hat{=} \\
& \text { systemState }!\text { fireSysStart }_{s} \rightarrow \text { switchOn } \rightarrow \\
& \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId].systemOnLamp }!\text { on } \rightarrow \\
& \text { InitInternalSystem; FireSys }{ }_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The action FireSys $s_{2}$ is slightly different from action FireSys ${ }_{1}$. When a synchronisation on the event modeSwitch happens, this action only switches the internal system mode. Furthermore, since the information about the areas are no longer part of this partition, following a detection communication, this action does not activate the area in which the detection occurred. If the system is reset, this action
only initialises the internal system.

```
FireSys \(_{2} \hat{=}\)
```



```
    modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode \(\rightarrow\)
        SwitchInternalSystemMode; FireSys \({ }_{2}\)
    \(\square\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
            \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\) Manual \(_{2}\)
                \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) automatic \() \&\) Auto \(_{2}\)
    \(\square\) fault?faultId: FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
            switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) FireSys \({ }_{2}\)
    \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm! alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
        InitInternalSystem; SwitchLampsOff 2 ; FireSys \({ }_{2}\)
```

The action SwitchLampsOff 2 is the same the action SwitchLampsOff $f_{1}$. We have changed its name just for a standardisation of action names.

```
SwitchLampsOff \(2 \hat{=}\)
    (switchBuzzer!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    ||| id : (LampId \(\backslash\) \{circuitFaultLamp, systemOnLamp \(\}\) )
        switchLamp[LampId].id!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    ||| zone : ZoneId • switchLamp[ZoneId].zone!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip
    ||| area : AreaId • switchLamp[AreaId].area!off \(\rightarrow\) Skip)
```

In the detection of fire, the action Manual $_{2}$ also differs from action Manual ${ }_{1}$ : the former does not activate the zone in which the fire is detected. However, its behaviour in case the alarm is silenced or a fault is detected, is almost the same as action Manual. The main difference is in a manual request for gas discharge: differently from action Manual ${ }_{1}$, which has the areas information, the action Manual ${ }_{2}$ requests the gas discharge using a communication through channel manualDischarge. If a gas discharge has actually occurred, Manual $_{2}$ switches on the corresponding lamp, switches the internal system mode to disabled, and waits to be reset. If a gas
discharged has not occurred, it recurses.

```
Manual \(_{2} \widehat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! } \text { manual }_{s} \rightarrow}\)
        detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\)
        \(\square\) silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) Reset \(_{2}\)
    \(\square\) externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
        manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode; Reset \({ }_{2}\)
                \(\square\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\)
    \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\)
```

As the areas information is not available in the internal system state, the action Auto $_{2}$ does not verify if any area is active in order to start a countdown. If there is any active area, it requests the start of a countdown (countdown) itself, in which case the fire control confirms the countdown has started. Then, as in action Auto $o_{1}$, the second stage alarm is sounded, and the countdown starts (action Countdown 2 ). The system may still be reset, in which case the alarm is switched off, and the internal system is initialised. Detections and faults are only followed by the corresponding lamp being lit and the buzzer (for faults) being sounded.

```
Auto \(_{2} \hat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {auto }}}_{s} \rightarrow\)
        countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted!true \(\rightarrow\)
            alarm!secondStage \(\rightarrow\) Countdown \({ }_{2}\)
        \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
            InitInternalSystem; FireSys \({ }_{2}\)
        \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) Auto \(_{2}\)
        \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) Auto \(_{2}\)
```

When the system is waiting to be reset (action Reset $_{2}$ ), the actuators may be replaced. In this case, the 'circuit fault' is switched off and the internal system mode is switched to automatic. In the case of any detection or fault, the corresponding lamp is switched on. Finally, if the system is reset, it behaves like FireSys ${ }_{2}$ or FireSys $D_{2}$, depending on the current system mode. At any time, the areas may request a countdown to be started. Such requests are not confirmed (countdownStarted!false), since
no countdown may start here.

```
Reset \(_{2}\) 슥
    \({\text { systemState! } \text { reset }_{s} \rightarrow}\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off \(\rightarrow\)
                SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode; Reset \({ }_{2}\)
            \(\square\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) Reset \(_{2}\)
        \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm! alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
            \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) disabled \() \&\) FireSys \(D_{2}\)
                \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) disabled \()\) \& InitInternalSystem; FireSys \({ }_{2}\)
            \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) Reset \(_{2}\)
            \(\square{\text { countdown } \rightarrow \text { countdownStarted! }!\text { alse } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2}}^{\text {con }}\)
```

As Countdown $n_{1}$, the action Countdown $n_{2}$ indicates the current system state, requests the start of a countdown, and waits for the countdown to be finished. Throughout this waiting time, detections and faults lead to the same behaviour as for action Reset $_{2}$. Any request to start a countdown is confirmed, since this action is waiting a countdown. When the clock is finished, the fire control discharges the gas.

```
Countdown \(_{2} \hat{=}\) systemState!countdown \(_{s} \rightarrow\) startClock \(_{\rightarrow}\) WaitingClock \(_{2}\)
Waiting Clock \(_{2} \xlongequal{ }\).
    clockFinished \(\rightarrow\) Discharge \(_{2}\)
    \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) WaitingClock \({ }_{2}\)
    \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
            switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) WaitingClock 2
    \(\square\) countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted!true \(\rightarrow\) WaitingClock \(_{2}\)
```

In action FireSys $D_{2}$, if the actuators are replaced, the alarm and the display lamps are switched off, and the internal system state is initialised. Any fault switches the corresponding lamp on, and, finally, any request to start a countdown
is rejected.

```
FireSys \(D_{2} \xlongequal{へ}\)
    systemState! fireSys \(_{s} \rightarrow\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
        SwitchLampsOff 2 ; InitInternalSystem; FireSys \({ }_{2}\)
        \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) FireSysD \({ }_{2}\)
        \(\square\) countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted!false \(\rightarrow\) FireSys \(D_{2}\)
```

The last paragraph of the internal system partition is the action Discharge. It waits for an indication that there is no one in the areas (event exit), after which, it sequentially requests a gas discharge to each area process, and waits for an answer. A local variable $\log$ is used to register how many areas have actually discharged gas. After all communications with the areas, if $\log$ is greater than 0 , a discharge has happened. In this case, the system mode is switched to disabled. Nevertheless, if $\log$ is equals to 0 , no gas has been discharged, and the system mode is switched to automatic. Finally, the system waits to be reset (Reset).

```
Discharge \(_{2} \hat{=}\)
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {discharge }}}_{s} \rightarrow\)
    exit \(\rightarrow\)
        \((\operatorname{var} \log : \mathbb{N}\)
            \(\log :=0 ;\)
            (9 area : AreaId
                automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow \log :=\log +1\)
                \(\square\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip);
            \(((\log =0) \&\) SwitchInternalSystem 2 AutomaticMode
            \(\square(\log >0) \&\) SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode));
            Reset \(_{2}\)
```

This concludes the paragraphs of the internal system partition.

## The Areas Paragraphs

Now, we present the paragraphs related to the areas. First, the areas are initialised in automatic mode. Initially, there are no active zones, no discharge has occurred, and no area is active.

```
InitAreas
```

$\qquad$

```
    AreasState'
    InternalSystemState'
    mode'A}=\mathrm{ automatic
    activeZones1
    discharge
```

The areas mode mode $_{A}$ can be switched with the schema operation SwitchAreasMode defined below.

```
SwitchAreasMode
    \(\Delta\) AreasState
    IInternalSystemState
    newMode? : Mode
    mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) newMode ?
    activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
```

In order to activate any zone, we may use the schema operation ActivateZoneAS defined below. It includes the given zone in the set of zones mapped by the area that controls the given zone in the activeZones ${ }_{1}$ state component.

```
ActivateZoneAS
    \(\Delta\) AreasState
    EInternalSystemState
    newZone?: ZoneId
    mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
    activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1} \oplus\)
        \(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones \({ }_{1}\) (area)
            area \(\mapsto\) activeZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \() \cup\{\) newZone? \(\left.\}\right\}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
```

The following schema operation can be use to activate the gas discharge within the areas. It overrides the discharge ${ }_{1}$ component by mapping each area in the active ${ }_{1}$ component that is active (true) to true.

```
_ActivateDischargeAS
\(\Delta\) AreasState
EInternalSystemState
    mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
    activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1} \oplus\)
        \(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) Areald \(\mid\) area \(\in\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
```

In order to start, the areas synchronise in the switchOn event and then, the state is initialised and the areas actually start working.

$$
\text { StartAreas } \widehat{=} \text { switchOn } \rightarrow \text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
$$

Initially, the areas can be reset, in which case the state is initialised; if the system mode is switched, so is the areas mode; any detection leads to the activation of the zone in which fire was detected, if it is controlled by any area, and takes the area of the zone to the active stage; finally, if an automatic or manual gas discharge is requested, it refuses the discharge (gasNotDischarge).

```
AreasCycle \(\widehat{=}\)
    (reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas
    \(\square\) modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode \(\rightarrow\) SwitchAreasMode
    \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas
```

```\(\square\) area : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip
```

```area : AreaId • manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip);
AreasCycle
```

In action ActiveAreas, if the areas are running in automatic mode, it checks whether there is any active area. The existence of any active area leads to a request to the fire control system to start a countdown. If the request is granted, the area waits the order to discharge the gas; otherwise, the system goes to a disabled stage. If the area is running in automatic mode, but is not active, it may be reset. Furthermore, any detection is analysed as in the AreasCycle action, and any request to discharge gas is refused (gasNotDischarged).

In manual mode, the reset and detection events are treated in the same way as if the areas were not active and running in automatic mode. For any gas discharge manual request, it checked whether the area in which the gas discharge was requested is active or not. If it is active, the area accepts the discharge (gasDischarged), activates the discharge, and goes to a disabled stage; otherwise, the area refuses the
discharge (gasNotDischarged), and remains in the active stage.

```
ActiveAreas \(\widehat{=}\)
    \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \() \&\)
        \(\left(\right.\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\} \neq \emptyset\right) \&\)
        countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted? answer : Bool \(\rightarrow\)
                        (answer \(=\) true) \& WaitingDischarge
                \(\square(\) answer \(=\) false \() \&\) DisabledAreas
        \(\square\left(\right.\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}=\emptyset\right) \&\)
        reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas
        \(\square\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas
```

```area : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActiveAreas
    \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \() \&\)
        reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas
        \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas
```

```area : AreaId
            manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                    gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                    activateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas
                \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \()\) \&
                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActiveAreas
```

When waiting for discharge, any new detection in a controlled zone leads to its activation. If any automatic gas discharge is requested by the internal system, the action checks if the area is active or not. If it is active, the discharge is accepted. However, if the area is not active, the discharge is not active. After, receiving and answering all the discharge requests, discharge is active and then the system behaves like DisabledAreas.

WaitingDischarge $\widehat{=}$
detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; WaitingDischargeReplyDischarge; DisabledAreas
ReplyDischarge $\widehat{=}$ (||| area : AreaId
(automaticDischarge.area $\rightarrow$
( area $\in$ dom active ${ }_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\}$ ) \&
gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Skip
$\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$
gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Skip));
ActivateDischargeAS

The DisabledAreas action activates any area in which a detection happens, and initialises the areas state if the system is reset. Furthermore, any request to a gas discharge is refused (gasNotDischarged).

```
DisabledAreas \(\widehat{=}\)
    reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas
    \(\square\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS; DisabledAreas
```

```area : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) DisabledAreas
```

Finally, the main action of the process FireControl ${ }_{2}$ is the parallel composition of the actions FireSysStart ${ }_{2}$ and StartAreas. These actions actually represent the initial actions of each partition within the process. They synchronise on the channels in set $\Sigma_{2}$, which contains all the events switchOn, reset, detection, switchMode, and all the channels used only for communication between both partitions; these are the events manualDischarge, automaticDischarge, countdown, countdownStarted, gasDischarged, and gasNotDischarged. They are hidden in the main action as defined below.

```
\(\bullet\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSysStart }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { StartAreas }\end{array}\right) \backslash\) GasDischargeSync \(^{\text {- }}\)
end
```

Despite the fact that this is a significant refinement step, it involves no change of data representation. In order to prove that this is a valid refinement we must prove that the main action of process FireControl 2 refines the main action of process FireControl ${ }_{1}$.

## Mutual Recursion.

Before proving this refinement, it very is important to notice that throughout this chapter we actually use a syntactic sugaring for mutual recursive actions.

In order to improve the presentation of the refinements and of the processes themselves, we have used a syntactic sugaring for mutual recursive actions. By way of illustration consider the following mutual recursive processes definitions $S, S^{\prime}$, and $S^{\prime \prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
S=\mu X, Y \bullet F(X, Y) \\
F(X, Y)=\left[a \rightarrow S E x p_{1} ; X \square b \rightarrow Y, c \rightarrow \text { Exp }_{2} ; Y \square d \rightarrow X\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
S^{\prime}=\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y) \\
F^{\prime}(X, Y)=[a \rightarrow X \square b \rightarrow Y, c \rightarrow Y \square d \rightarrow X]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
S^{\prime \prime}=\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y) \\
F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)=\left[a \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ; X \square b \rightarrow Y, c \rightarrow \text { SExp }_{2} ; Y \square d \rightarrow X\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, suppose we want to prove that

$$
S \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{V}}\left[S^{\prime} .1\left\|S^{\prime \prime} .1, S^{\prime} .2\right\| S^{\prime \prime} .2\right]
$$

where $\sqsubseteq_{v}$ represents the vectorial refinement defined as follows.
Definition 5.1 (Vectorial Refinement) For two vector of actions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}=\left[a_{1_{1}}, \ldots, a_{1_{n}}\right] \\
& V_{2}=\left[a_{2_{1}}, \ldots, a_{2_{n}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that $V_{1} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{V}} V_{2}$ provided $a_{1_{i}} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} a_{2_{i}}$ for all $i$ in $1 \ldots n$.
The proof of the vectorial refinement presented above can be presented as follows. First, we apply the definition of $S, S^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \sqsubseteq \mathcal{V}\left[S^{\prime} .1\left\|S^{\prime \prime} \cdot 1, S^{\prime} .2\right\| S^{\prime \prime} \cdot 2\right] \\
& \hat{=}\left[\text { Definitions of } S, S^{\prime}, \text { and } S^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& \mu X, Y \bullet F(X, Y) \sqsubseteq \mathcal{V}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1, \\
\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we may use a vectorial version of the recursion-least fixed point law.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Leftarrow[\text { Vectorial version of law } C .56(\text { Recursion - Least Fixed Point })] \\
F\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1,\right. \\
{ }^{\prime}\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2
\end{array}\right)
$$

We start the proof of this refinement by applying the definition of $F$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\binom{\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1,}{\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2} \\
& \hat{=}[\text { Definition of } F] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \rightarrow \operatorname{Exp}_{1} ;\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 1\right) \\
\square b \rightarrow\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2\right) \\
\binom{a \rightarrow p_{2} ;\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2\right)}{\square d \rightarrow\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1\right)}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we distribute the schema over the parallelism as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[D .29, D .28] \\
& \left\{\cup_{i} w r t V\left(S E x p_{i}\right) \subseteq n s_{1} \cup n s_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \left\{\cup_{i} w r t^{\prime}\left(S E x p_{i}\right) \cap \text { usedV }\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset\right\} \\
& {\left[\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \rightarrow\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(S E x p_{1} ;\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1\right)\right) \\
\square b \rightarrow\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2\right) \\
\\
\binom{c \rightarrow\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2 \|\left(S E x p_{2} ;\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2\right)\right)}{\square d \rightarrow\left(\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1\right)}
\end{array}\right)\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, as the channels $a, b, c$, and $d$ are in the synchronisation channel set, we may apply the distribution of prefix over parallelism law.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[C .51] \\
& \{\{a, b, c, d\} \subseteq c s\} \\
& {\left[\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(a \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1\right) \|\left(a \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ;\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1\right) \\
\square\left(b \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2\right) \|\left(b \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2\right) \\
\binom{\left(c \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2\right) \|\left(c \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{2} ;\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2\right)}{\square\left(d \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 1\right) \|\left(d \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 1\right)}
\end{array}\right]\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we apply the exchange of parallelism and external choice law. This application is valid since the initials of all actions are in the synchronisation channel set.

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
=[C .45] \\
\{\{a, b, c, d\} \subseteq c s\} \\
{\left[\left(\begin{array}{l}
\binom{a \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1}{\square b \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2} \\
\| \\
\binom{a \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ;\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 1}{\square b \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2}
\end{array}\right)\right.} \\
\binom{c \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2}{\left.\square d \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 1\right)} \\
\binom{c \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{2} ;\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 2}{\square d \rightarrow\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) \cdot 1}
\end{array}\right)\right] .\right] .
$$

The definition of array allows us to extend the action above as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{=}[A=[A, B] .1, B=[A, B] .2]
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, using a vectorial version of the recursion unfolding law, we conclude our proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[\text { Vectorial version of law C.55 (Recursion Unfolding })] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .1 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .1, \\
\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime}(X, Y)\right) .2 \|\left(\mu X, Y \bullet F^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)\right) .2
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proving Refinement on Mutual Recursive Systems. The system and refinement proved above are quite simple to be presented and understood. However, it may be the case that the system is quite complicated to be presented in the notation presented above. Our case study is a good example of such a system. For this reason, we aim at the presentation of all mutual recursive systems and of refinements on these systems in a more concise way.

First, let us generalise the syntactic sugaring for the definitions of mutual recursive systems: every mutual recursive system of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S \hat{=} \mu X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n} \bullet F\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \\
F\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \hat{=}\left[F_{0}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots, F_{n}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

can be presented using the following syntactic sugar of $S$.

$$
S_{S} \widehat{=}\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]
$$

For each index $i$ in $0 \ldots n$, the action $N_{i}$ is defined as $N_{i} \widehat{=} G_{i}$, where $G_{i}$ is defined as its corresponding $F_{i}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, but replacing all the occurrences of the variables $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}$ by the corresponding syntactic sugaring $N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n}$. Furthermore, the new names $N_{i}$ are fresh names.

$$
G_{i}=F_{i}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n} \backslash N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]
$$

Now, we may present the syntactic sugaring for proving refinements on these systems. We want to prove a refinement of the following form, where $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are actions.

$$
S \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{V}}\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]
$$

Actually, what happens is that, when trying to prove this property, we apply the vectorial version of the recursion-least fixed point Law (C.56) as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Leftarrow[\text { Vectorial version of law C. } 56 \text { (Recursion - Least Fixed Point })] \\
& F\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right) \sqsubseteq \mathcal{V}\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the definition of $F$ we get the following proof obligation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[\text { Definition of } F] \\
& {\left[F_{0}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right), \ldots, F_{n}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{V}}\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the term rewriting theory, we have the following property that we shall use later in this proof.

Property 5.1 Given a arbitrary term $A$, we have that:
$A\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n} \backslash Z_{0}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right] \equiv A\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n} \backslash Z_{0}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right]$ provided $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n} \cap F V(A)=\emptyset$.

The previous proof obligation can then be transformed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{i}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y_{i} \\
& \equiv[\text { Function Invocation }] \\
& F_{i}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y_{i} \\
& \equiv[\text { Property } 5.1]\left\{N_{i} \text { are fresh names }\right\} \\
& F_{i}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n} \backslash N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y_{i} \\
& =\left[\text { Definition of } G_{i}\right] \\
& G_{i}\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have then the following proof obligation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[G_{0}\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right], \ldots, G_{n}\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]\right]} \\
& \sqsubseteq \mathcal{V} \\
& {\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by the definition of vectorial refinement (Definition 5.1), this refinement is valid if the refinement holds for each corresponding element in both vectors. This concludes our syntactic sugaring for proving refinements on mutual recursive systems.

Definition 5.2 (Refinement on Mutual Recursive Actions) For a given vector of actions $S_{S}$ defined in the form $S_{S} \hat{=}\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]$, we have that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{S} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right] \\
& \stackrel{\Leftarrow}{G_{0}}\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y_{0}, \ldots, G_{n}\left[N_{0}, \ldots, N_{n} \backslash Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We are then left with separated proofs that can be presented in a much better way than the original vectorial one.

Example in a Friendly Notation. In our example, we may apply the strategy of syntactic sugaring presented above to get the following syntactic sugaring $S_{S}$ of the process $S$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{S} \hat{=}\left[N_{0}, N_{1}\right] \\
& N_{0} \hat{=} G_{0} \text { where } G_{0}=a \rightarrow S E x p_{1} ; N_{0} \square b \rightarrow N_{1} \\
& N_{1} \hat{=} G_{1} \text { where } G_{1}=c \rightarrow S E \operatorname{Exp}_{2} ; N_{1} \square d \rightarrow N_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

We may also apply the strategy to get the syntactic sugaring $S_{S}^{\prime}$ of the processes $S^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{S}^{\prime} \hat{=}\left[N_{0}^{\prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}\right] \\
& N_{0}^{\prime} \hat{=} G_{0}^{\prime} \text { where } G_{0}^{\prime}=a \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime} \square b \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime} \\
& N_{1}^{\prime} \hat{=} G_{1}^{\prime} \text { where } G_{1}^{\prime}=c \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime} \square d \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way, we also apply the strategy to get the syntactic sugaring $S_{S}^{\prime \prime}$ of the processes $S^{\prime \prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{S}^{\prime \prime} \hat{=}\left[N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& N_{0}^{\prime \prime} \hat{=} G_{0}^{\prime \prime} \text { where } G_{0}^{\prime \prime}=a \rightarrow S E x p_{1} ; N_{0}^{\prime \prime} \square b \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& N_{1}^{\prime \prime} \hat{=} G_{1}^{\prime \prime} \text { where } G_{1}^{\prime \prime}=c \rightarrow S E x p_{2} ; N_{1}^{\prime \prime} \square d \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

What we want to prove is that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{S} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{V}\left[S_{S}^{\prime} \cdot 1\left\|S_{S}^{\prime \prime} \cdot 1, S_{S}^{\prime} \cdot 2\right\| S_{S}^{\prime \prime} \cdot 2\right] \\
& =\left[\text { Definition of } S_{S}^{\prime} \text { and } S_{S}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& S_{S} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{V}\left[N_{0}^{\prime}\left\|N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right\| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Our refinement strategy, however, gives us the following proving obligations for this refinement.

```
\(\Leftarrow[\) Definition 5.2]
[1] \(G_{0}\left[N_{0}, N_{1} \backslash N_{0}^{\prime}\left\|N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}\right\| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} N_{0}^{\prime} \| N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\)
and
[2] \(G_{1}\left[N_{0}, N_{1} \backslash N_{0}^{\prime}\left\|N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}\right\| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} N_{1}^{\prime} \| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\)
```

These can be easily proved as follows.

```
\([1] G_{0}\left[N_{0}, N_{1} \backslash N_{0}^{\prime}\left\|N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}\right\| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} N_{0}^{\prime} \| N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\)
\(=\left[\right.\) Definition of \(\left.G_{0}\right]\)
\(\left(a \rightarrow S E x p ; N_{0} \square b \rightarrow N_{1}\right)\left[N_{0}, N_{1} \backslash N_{0}^{\prime}\left\|N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}\right\| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]\)
\(=[\) Substitution \(]\)
\(a \rightarrow S E x p_{1} ;\left(N_{0}^{\prime} \| N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \square b \rightarrow\left(N_{1}^{\prime} \| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
\(=[\) D. 28 (Schemas/Parallelism Distribution \()]\)
\(\left\{w r t V\left(S E x p_{1}\right) \subseteq n s_{2} \cup n s_{2}^{\prime}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\operatorname{wrtV}\left(S E x p_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(N_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(a \rightarrow\left(N_{0}^{\prime} \|\left(\operatorname{SExp}_{1} ; N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \square b \rightarrow\left(N_{1}^{\prime} \| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
\(=[C .51\) (Prefix/Parallelism Distribution) \(]\)
\(\{\{a, b\} \subseteq c s\}\)
\(\left(\left(a \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime}\right) \|\left(a \rightarrow S E x p ; N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \square\left(\left(b \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime}\right) \|\left(b \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\)
\(=[C .45\) (Parallelism/ExternalChoice Exchange) \(]\)
\(\left(a \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime} \square b \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime}\right) \|\left(a \rightarrow S E x p_{1} ; N_{0}^{\prime \prime} \square b \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
\(=\left[\right.\) Definition of \(N_{0}^{\prime}\) and \(\left.N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right]\)
\(N_{0}^{\prime} \| N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\)
```

```
[2] \(G_{1}\left[N_{0}, N_{1} \backslash N_{0}^{\prime}\left\|N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}\right\| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]\)
\(\hat{=}\left[\right.\) Definition of \(\left.G_{1}\right]\)
\(\left(c \rightarrow S E x p 2 ; N_{1} \square d \rightarrow N_{0}\right)\left[N_{0}, N_{1} \backslash N_{0}^{\prime}\left\|N_{0}^{\prime \prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}\right\| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]\)
\(=[\) Substitution \(]\)
\(c \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{2} ;\left(N_{1}^{\prime} \| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \square d \rightarrow\left(N_{0}^{\prime} \| N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
\(=[\) D. 28 (Schemas \(/\) Parallelism Distribution \()]\)
\(\left\{w r t V\left(S E x p_{2}\right) \subseteq n s_{2} \cup n s_{2}^{\prime}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\operatorname{wrtV}\left(\right.\right.\) SExp \(\left.\left._{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(N_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(c \rightarrow\left(N_{1}^{\prime} \|\left(\right.\right.\) Exp \(\left.\left._{2} ; N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \square d \rightarrow\left(N_{0}^{\prime} \| N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
\(=[C .51\) (Prefix/Parallelism Distribution) \(]\)
\(\{\{a, b\} \subseteq c s\}\)
\(\left(\left(c \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime}\right) \|\left(c \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{2} ; N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \square\left(\left(d \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime}\right) \|\left(d \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\)
\(=[C .45\) (Parallelism/ExternalChoice Exchange) \(]\)
\(\left(c \rightarrow N_{1}^{\prime} \square d \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime}\right) \|\left(c \rightarrow S E x p_{2} ; N_{1}^{\prime \prime} \square d \rightarrow N_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
\(=\left[\right.\) Definition of \(N_{1}^{\prime}\) and \(\left.N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]\)
\(N_{1}^{\prime} \| N_{1}^{\prime \prime}\)
```


## Proving the Refinement

In view of the fact that FireSysStart ${ }_{1}$, FireSysStart ${ }_{2}$, and StartAreas are defined using mutual recursion, we have to use the definition of vectorial refinement presented above. We prove the following vectorial refinement, in order to establish that the
main action of FireControl ${ }_{1}$ is refined by that of FireControl ${ }_{2}$.

$\sqsubseteq \mathcal{V}$
(FireSysStart $\|_{2} \mid$ StartAreas $) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync, $^{\text {(FireSys }} \|$ AreasCycle $) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync,
(Manual ${ }_{2} \|($ ActiveAreas $;$ AreasCycle $) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync,
$\left(\right.$ Auto $_{2} \|($ ActiveAreas $;$ AreasCycle $\left.)\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync,
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \\ \left(\begin{array}{c}\left.\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync,
(Countdown ${ }_{2} \mid$ (WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle))
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync,
(WaitingClock $\|$ (WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle))
\GasDischargeSync,
(FireSysD ${ }_{2} \|$ (InitAreas;AreasCycle)) \GasDischargeSync,
(Discharge ${ }_{2} \|($ WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle $\left.)\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync,
( Disabled $_{2} \|($ DisabledAreas $;$ AreasCycle $\left.)\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

Here, two abbreviations are used: the parallelism \| is actually the alphabetised parallelism $\| \alpha$ (InternalSystemState) $\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha$ (AreasState) $]$; and the actions lists $A c t_{1}$ and $A c t_{2}$ are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Act }_{1}= & \text { FireSysStart }_{1}, \text { FireSys }_{1}, \text { Manual }_{1}, \text { Auto }_{1}, \text { Reset }_{1}, \\
& \text { Countdown }_{1}, \text { WaitingClock }_{1}, \text { FireSys }_{1}, \text { Discharge }_{1}, \text { Disabled }_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Act }_{2}=\left(\text { FireSysStart }_{2} \| \text { StartAreas }\right) \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { (FireSys }{ }_{2} \| \text { AreasCycle) \GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { (Manual } \left.{ }_{2} \|(\text { ActiveAreas;AreasCycle })\right) \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { (Auto } \| \text { (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)) \GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\| \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \\
\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { (Countdown || (WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle)) } \\
& \text { \GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { (WaitingClock }{ }_{2} \| \text { (WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle)) } \\
& \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { (FireSys } D_{2} \| \text { (InitAreas;AreasCycle)) \GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { (Discharge }{ }_{2} \|(\text { WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle)) \GasDischargeSync, } \\
& \text { ( } \left.\text { Disabled }_{2} \|(\text { DisabledAreas; AreasCycle })\right) \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The left-hand side of the refinement ( $i$ ) can be refined using Law D. 18 to introduce some assumptions that are required in the proof of the refinement of some elements of this array.

```
LHS(i)
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{V}[D.18]
FireSysStart [ [Act \ \Act }\mp@subsup{]}{2}{}]
    FireSys
    {\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual };Manual [ [Act }
    {\mp@subsup{mode}{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic }\wedge\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mathrm{ automatic }};\mp@subsup{Auto}{1}{[}\mp@subsup{Act}{1}{\}\Act}\mp@subsup{)}{2}{}]
    {(\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\vee\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual })\vee\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }};
    Reset [ [Act \ \Act }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{2}{}]
    Countdown}\mp@subsup{n}{1}{[Act}\mp@subsup{1}{1}{\ \Act 2 ],
    WaitingClock [Act \ \Act ] ],
    {\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Disabled };FireSysD }}{1}{}[\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Act }}{1}{}\Act \}]
    Discharge, [Act \ \Act ] ],
    {\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Disabled }};\mp@subsup{Disabled}{1}{}[Act \ \Act }{2}{}]
```

The proof obligations raised by this application can be easily proved. Law D. 18 states that, in order to introduce an assumption $\{g\}$ before an action $A$ within the vector of actions, we have to prove, that for each action $B$ within the vector, if $A_{B}$ is the behaviour of action $B$ before the invocation of $A$, the following condition
holds.

$$
\{g\} ; A_{B} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} A_{B} ;\{g\}
$$

For instance, action Manual $_{1}$ is invoked by action FireSys ${ }_{1}$ and recursively by itself. For this reason, in order to introduce the assumption above before the action Manual $_{1}$ in the vector of actions, we have to prove two conditions. The first one is related to action FireSys. In the following proof and throughout this document we use the following notation for the refinement steps.

$$
A_{1} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left[l a w_{1}, \ldots, l a w_{n}\right]\left\{o p_{1}\right\} \ldots\left\{o p_{n}\right\} A_{2}
$$

This denotes that, in order to refine the action $A_{1}$ to $A_{2}$, we have applied laws $l a w_{1}, \ldots, l a w_{n}$. These law applications have raised the proof obligations $o p_{1}, \ldots, o p_{n}$.

We follow with the refinement proof as follows.

```
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\} ;\left(\right.\) FireSys \(_{1}\) before Manual \(\left.{ }_{1}\right)\)
\(=[\) Definition of before \(]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\} ;\)
\({\text { systemState! } \text { fireSys }_{s} \rightarrow \text { detection?newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow}^{\text {R }}\)
    ActivateZone \(e_{1}\);switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
        alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\) Skip \(^{2}\)
\(=[D .19, D .20, D .21]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) newZone \(\notin\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}\), mode \(\left.\left._{1}\right\}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A} \wedge \operatorname{mode} e_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1} \Rightarrow\)
        mode \({ }_{A}^{\prime}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(e_{1}^{\prime}=\) manual \(\}\)
systemState!fireSys \(\rightarrow\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
        ActivateZone \({ }_{1} \rightarrow\) switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
        alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
        \(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\} ;\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\) Skip
\(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .16, C .36, C .57]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(e_{1}=\) manual \(\Rightarrow\)
        mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\}\)
systemState! \(f\) ireSys \(_{s} \rightarrow\) detection? newZone \(:\) ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
        ActivateZone \({ }_{1} \rightarrow\) switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
            alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
            \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \& S k i p ;\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\}\)
\(=[\) Definition of before \(]\)
\(\left(\right.\) FireSys \(_{1}\) before Manual \(\left.{ }_{1}\right) ;\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\}\)
```

In the same way, as action Manual $_{1}$ does not change the values of the variables
mode $_{1}$ and mode $_{A}$, we can easily prove the second condition described below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right\} ;\left(\text { Manual }_{1} \text { before } \text { Manual }_{1}\right) \\
& \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& \left(\text { Manual }_{1} \text { before } \text { Manual }_{1}\right) ;\left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof of the conditions that must hold in order to introduce the assumption before the action Manual $l_{1}$ in the vector of actions. The remaining assumption introductions can be proved in a very similar way.

Finally, as discussed above, the refinement from vector (iii) to vector (ii) is valid if we can prove that the refinement is valid for each corresponding element of each vector. In this section, we prove some of these refinements. The remaining proofs can be found in Appendix B.

First, we have the lemma for the refinement of the action FireSysStart ${ }_{1}$.

## Lemma 5.7

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FireSysStart }_{1}\left[\text { Act }_{1} \backslash \text { Act }_{2}\right] \\
& \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSysStart }_{2} \\
\left.\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right]\right) \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync } \\
\text { StartAreas }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We start this refinement by applying the definition of action FireSysStart ${ }_{1}$ and by applying the substitution $\left[A c t_{1} \backslash A c t_{2}\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FireSysStart }_{1}\left[\text { Act }_{1} \backslash \text { Act }_{2}\right] \\
& =\left[{\text { Definition of } \left.\text { FireSysStart }_{1} \text {, Substitution }\right]}^{\text {systemState }!\text { fireSysStart }} \rightarrow \rightarrow \text { switchOn } \rightarrow\right. \\
& \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { systemOnLamp }!o n ~ \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text { InitFireControl } ; \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSys } \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
\text { AreasCycle } \\
\text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The schema operation InitFireControl $_{1}$ can be written as the sequential composition of two other schema operation as follows.

```
= [D.7]
{\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }\cap\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })=\emptyset}
{FV(true) \subseteq\alpha(InternalSystemState)}
{FV (true)\subseteq\alpha(AreasState)}
{{\mp@subsup{mode}{1}{\prime},\mathrm{ dischargedOcurred_}}
```



```
    \alpha(AreasState')}
systemState!fireSysStarts}->\mathrm{ 勫tchOn }
    switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on }
    InitInternalSystem;InitAreas;
```



Each one of the new inserted schema operations writes in different partitions of the parallelism that follows them. For this reason, we may distribute them over the parallelism.

```
\(=[D .28, D .29]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) wrt \(V(\) InitAreas \() \subseteq \alpha(\) AreasState \() \cup \alpha\left(\right.\) AreasState \(\left.\left.^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) wrt \(V(\) InitAreas \() \cap\) used \(V\left(\right.\) FireSys \(\left.\left._{2}\right)=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\{\) wrtV \((\) InitInternalSystem \() \subseteq\)
    \(\alpha(\) InternalSystemState \() \cup \alpha(\) InternalSystemState' \()\}\)
\(\{\) wrt \(V(\) InitInternalSystem \() \cap\) used \(V(\) AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
systemState! fireSysStart \(\rightarrow\) switchOn \(\rightarrow\)
    switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on \(\rightarrow\)
    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { InitInternalSystem } ; \text { FireSys }{ }_{2}\right) \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { (InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
    \GasDischargeSync
```

Next, we move the switchLamp event to the internal system side of the parallelism.

```
\(=[C .44]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials \((\) AreasCycle \(\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) switchLamp \(\left.\notin \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\{\) wrtV (switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on \(\rightarrow\) Skip \() \cap\)
    used \(V(\) InitAreas \(;\) AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
systemState! ireSysStart \(_{s} \rightarrow\) switchOn \(\rightarrow\)
    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { systemOnLamp!on } \rightarrow \\ \text { InitInternalSystem } ; \text { FireSys } \\ 2\end{array}\right. \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { (InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

Now, we distribute the prefixing switchOn over the parallelism. Furthermore, we expand the hiding to the whole action body.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[C .54, C .51] \\
& \{\{\text { switchOn, systemState }\} \cap \text { GasDischargeSync }=\emptyset\} \\
& \left\{\text { switchOn } \in \Sigma_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

As we did with the switchLamp, we move the systemState event to the internal system side of the parallelism.

```
\(=[D .4, C .44]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) switchOn \(\left.\in \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) systemState \(\left.\notin \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) wrtV \(\left(\right.\) systemState \(!\) fireSysStart \(_{s} \rightarrow\) Skip \() \cap\)
        usedV \((\) switchOn \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas \(;\) AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\binom{\text { systemState }!\text { fireSysStart }_{s} \rightarrow \text { switchOn } \rightarrow}{\text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { systemOnLamp }!\text { on }} \\ \text { InitInternalSystem; FireSys }{ }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { switchOn } \rightarrow \text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
```

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync

Finally, by applying the definitions of the actions FireSysStart ${ }_{2}$ and StartAreas, we conclude the proof of this lemma.

```
= [Definition of FireSysStart2 and StartAreas]
(\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ FireSysStart }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | ( (AreasState ) }]}\\{\mathrm{ StartAreas }}\end{array})\\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ GasDischargeSync}}{}{\prime}
```

The next lemma we present is the refinement of the action FireSys ${ }_{1}$.

## Lemma 5.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FireSys }_{1}\left[\text { Act }_{1} \backslash \text { Act }_{2}\right] \\
& \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSys } \\
\| \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
\text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right) \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. As for the previous lemma, we start the proof of this refinement by applying the definition of FireSys $1_{1}$ and the substitution.

```
FireSys \(_{1}\left[\right.\) Act \(_{1} \backslash\) Act \(\left._{2}\right]\)
\(=\left[\right.\) Definition of FireSys \({ }_{1}\), Substitution \(]\)
\({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {fireSys }} \text { s }} \rightarrow\)
    modeSwitch? newMode : SwitchMode \(\rightarrow\)
    SwitchFireControlMode \({ }_{1}\);
    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }{ }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
    detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZone \({ }_{1}\);
    switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
                \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\)
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
                \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) automatic \() \&\)
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Auto }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
    \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
                \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
    \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) InitFireControl \(_{1} ;\) SwitchLampsOff \(_{1}\);
    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
        GasDischargeSync
```

Next, we expand the hiding to the whole action.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[C .54] \\
& \{\text { GasDischargeSync } \cap \\
& \text { \{systemState, modeSwitch, detection, switchLamp, } \\
& \text { alarm, fault, switchBuzzer, reset }\}=\emptyset\} \\
& \text { ( systemState! } \mathrm{freSys}{ }_{s} \rightarrow \\
& \text { modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode } \rightarrow \\
& \text { SwitchFireControlMode }{ }_{1} \text {; } \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSys } \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
\text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZone }{ }_{1} \text {; } \\
& \text { fault?faultId : FaultId } \rightarrow \\
& \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we use the schema calculus to replace all the occurrences of the schema expression ActivateZone ${ }_{1}$ by the schema expression ActivateZoneAS. The two versions of the schema/sequence introduction laws (C. 28 and D.7) are used to replace the schema operations SwitchFireControlMode $1_{1}$ and InitFireControl by a sequential composition of two schema operations. Finally, by definition, we may replace
the action SwitchLampsOfff by SwitchLampsOff 2 .
$=[$ Schema Calculus, Definition of SwitchLampsOff $2, C .28, D .7]$
$\{\alpha($ InternalSystemState $) \cap \alpha($ AreasState $)=\emptyset\}$
$\left\{\left\{\right.\right.$ mode $_{1}^{\prime}$, dischargedOcurred $\left.1_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \alpha\left(\right.$ InternalSystemState $\left.\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
$\left\{\left\{\right.\right.$ controlledZones $_{1}$, activeZones $_{1}$, discharged $_{1}$, active $\left._{1}\right\} \cap$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{1}^{\prime}$, dischargedOcurred $\left.\left.{ }_{1}^{\prime}\right\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { systemState! fireSys } \rightarrow \\ \text { modeSwitch? newMode }: \text { SwitchMode } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.$
SwitchInternalSystemMode; SwitchAreasMode;
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }{ }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)$
detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
reset $\rightarrow$ alarm!alarmOff $\rightarrow$
InitInternalSystem; InitAreas;SwitchLampsOff ${ }_{2}$;
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } \\ 2 \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync

We use an auxiliary lemma in order to transform the second choice branch into a parallelism. Also, we want the guards mode $e_{1}=$ manual and mode $_{1}=$ automatic to
be placed only in the internal system side of this parallelism.

```
\(=[B .1]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials \((\) ActiveAreas \(\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\{\{\) detection, switchLamp, alarm \(\} \cap\) GasDischargeSync \(=\emptyset\}\)
\(\left\{\{\right.\) switchLamp, alarm \(\left.\} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) detection \(\left.\in \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) wrt \(V(\) ActivateZoneAS \() \subseteq \alpha(\) AreasState \() \cup \alpha\left(\right.\) AreasState \(\left.\left.^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) wrt \(V(\) ActivateZoneAS \() \cap\left(\right.\) used \(\left(\right.\) Auto \(\left._{2}\right) \cup\) used \(V\left(\right.\) Manual \(\left.\left.\left._{2}\right)\right)=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { systemState! fireSys }_{s} \rightarrow \\ \quad \text { modeSwitch? newMode }: \text { SwitchMode } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.\)
    SwitchInternalSystemMode; SwitchAreasMode;
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
    \(\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . n e w Z o n e!o n ~\end{array} \rightarrow\right.\right.\)
                                    alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
                                    \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\) Manual \(_{2}\)
        \(\square\)
            \(\mid\left[\alpha(\right.\) InternalSystemState \()\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \() \|\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateZoneAS } ; \text { ActiveAreas } ;\end{array}\right.\)
            fault?faultId: FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
            switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \rrbracket \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
            InitInternalSystem; InitAreas;SwitchLampsOff2;
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } s_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

We refine the third and forth alternative branches in order to move the nonsynchronising events to one of the parallel actions; in our case, the internal system
action.

```
\(=[C .44]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials \((\) AreasCycle \(\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\{\right.\) switchLamp, fault, switchBuzzer \(\left.\} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\{\{\) faultId \(\} \cap \operatorname{used} V(\) AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
    systemState!fireSyss \(\rightarrow\)
    modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode \(\rightarrow\)
            SwitchInternalSystemMode; SwitchAreasMode;
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \mid \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp } \rightarrow \text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone }!\text { on } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.\)
                        alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
                                \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\) Manual \(_{2}\)
                                \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) automatic \() \&\) Auto \(\left._{2}\right)\)
            \(\mid\left[\alpha\right.\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
            (detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
                ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)
            \(\square\binom{\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { fault } ? \text { faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.}{\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) FireSys }
```

```reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
InitInternalSystem; InitAreas;
```



```
\GasDischargeSync
```

The next step consists in distributing the schema operations over the respective parallelism.

```
= [D.29, D.28]
wrtV (SwitchAreasMode )\subseteq\alpha(AreasState ) \cup ( AreasState' })
{wrtV (SwitchAreasMode) \cap usedV (FireSys }2)=\emptyset
{wrtV(SwitchInternalSystemMode) \subseteq
    \alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }\cup\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState' })}
{wrtV(SwitchInternalSystemMode) \cap usedV (AreasCycle) =\emptyset}
{wrtV (InitAreas )}\subseteq\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState ) }\cup\alpha(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ AreasState }}{}{\prime})
{wrtV (InitAreas) \cap usedV V(FireSys }2)=\emptyset
{wrtV(InitInternalSystem) \subseteq
            \alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }\cup\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState' })}
{wrtV (InitInternalSystem ) \cap usedV (AreasCycle) =\emptyset}
( systemState!fireSyss }->\mathrm{ _
            ( (\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystemMode; FireSys }2)}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState ) | |}}\\{\mathrm{ (SwitchAreasMode;AreasCycle)}}\end{array})
            \square(()}(\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ detection?newZone : ZoneId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [ZoneId].newZone!on }->}\\{\mathrm{ alarm!firstStage }->}\\{(\mathrm{ mode }=\mathrm{ manual ) & Manual }}\\{2}\end{array}
                fault?faultId: FaultId -> 
            \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow
```



```
\GasDischargeSync
```

Now, we move the alarm event, in the forth choice branch, to the internal system action.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[D .4, C .44] \\
& \left\{\text { initials }\left(\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle) } \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\right. \\
& \text { \{alarm } \left.\notin \Sigma_{2}\right\} \\
& \{\text { wrtV }(\text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Skip }) \cap \text { used } V(\text { InitAreas; AreasCycle })=\emptyset\} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { systemState! } \text { fireSys } \rightarrow \\
\text { modeSwitch? } \text { newMode } \text { : SwitchMode } \rightarrow \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { SwitchInternalSystemMode } ; \text { FireSys } 2
\end{array}\right. \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
(\text { SwitchAreasMode } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \square\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\
\text { switchLamp } \rightarrow \text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone!on } \rightarrow \\
\text { alarm! } \text { firstStage } \rightarrow \\
\left(\text { mode } e_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \text { Manual }_{2} \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1}=\text { automatic }\right) \& \text { Auto }_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
\binom{\text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow}{\text { ActivateZoneAS } ; \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \square\binom{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\
\text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }
\end{array} \rightarrow\right.}{\text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { FireSys }} \\
& \text { reset } \rightarrow \\
& \left.\binom{\binom{\text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow}{\text { InitInternalSystem } ; \text { SwitchLampsOff }_{2} ; \text { FireSys }_{2}}}{\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \|^{(\text {InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })}}\right) \\
& \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

The first and the forth branches of the alternative can be refined by a distribution of the prefixing over the parallelism as shown below. The associativity of the external
choice is also used to move the reset branch of the alternative.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[D .6, C .51, D .22] \\
& \left\{\text { modeSwitch } \in \Sigma_{2}\right\} \\
& \left\{\text { reset } \in \Sigma_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow}{\text { InitInternalSystem } ; \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2 ; \text { FireSys }_{2}} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
(\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\square\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\
\text { switchLamp } \rightarrow \text { LampId }] \text {.getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }
\end{array} \rightarrow\right. \\
\text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { FireSys } \\
2
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next refinement step, we use the parallelism/external choice exchange law (C.45) in order to transform a external choice of parallelism in a parallelism of external
choices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[D .22, C .45] \\
& \left\{\{\text { modeSwitch, detection, reset }\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We may introduce a Stop branch to any external choice, since the Stop is the external choice unit.
$=[C .58]$


However, using the second parallelism zero law, we may transform the Stop to a parallelism as follows.
$=[D .27]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ modeSwitch, reset, detection, automaticDischarge, manualDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\{\{$ modeSwitch, reset, detection $\} \cap\{$ automaticDischarge, manualDischarge $\}=\emptyset\}$


Since we have a deterministic system, and the first and the second branches of the alternative have the left-hand side of the parallelism in common, the distribution of parallelism over external choice law can be applied, as we present below.

```
= [C.46]
{{modeSwitch, reset, detection}\subseteq\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}}
({
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

Still refining the first branch of the external choice, we may notice that all the choices of the right-hand side of the parallelism finishes with AreasCycle. In this
case, we may use the distribution of sequence over external choice as follows.

```
= [C.24, D.24, D.22]
systemState!fireSyss}
    ( ( modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode }
    \square \mp@code { r e s e t ~ } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow
                                InitInternalSystem;SwitchLampsOff2;FireSys}
    \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
        alarm!firstStage }
                                (mode }=\mathrm{ = manual) & Manual 
                                \square ( \text { mode } _ { 1 } = \text { automatic) \& Auto }
    | [\alpha(InternalSystemState)| 济 | 人(AreasState)|
        ( modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode }
            \square ~ r e s e t ~ \rightarrow I n i t A r e a s ~
            detection?newZone : ZoneId }
                ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas
```

```area \(:\) AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip
```

```area : AreaId • manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip
( fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) FireSys \(_{2}\)
\(\| \alpha(\) InternalSystemState \()\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\) AreasCycle
GasDischargeSync
```

Now, we have actually that the right-hand side of the parallelism in the first branch of the external choice, given the associativity of the external choice, is the action

AreasCycle.
$=[D .22$, Definition of AreasCycle $]$


One more time, as we have the same action in the right-hand side of the parallelism, we may apply the distribution of the parallelism over external choice law (C.46).

```
= [C.46]
{initials(AreasCycle) \subseteq \Sigma , }
( ( systemState!fireSy\mp@subsup{s}{s}{}->}
```

Finally, we may move the communication of the system state to the internal system side of the parallelism as presented below.

```
\(=[D .4, C .44]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials \((\) AreasCycle \(\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\right.\) systemState \(\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) wrtV \(\left(\right.\) systemState \(!\) fireSys \(_{s} \rightarrow\) Skip \() \cap\) used \(V(\) AreasCycle \(\left.)=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{c}{\text { systemState! } \text { fireSys }_{s} \rightarrow} \rightarrow \\ \text { modeSwitch? newMode }: \text { SwitchMode } \rightarrow \\ \text { SwitchInternalSystemMode } ; \text { FireSys } s_{2} \\ \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \\ \text { InitInternalSystem; SwitchLampsOff } 2 \text {; FireSys }{ }_{2}\end{array}\right.\)
```

```
        detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
                alarm!firstStage \(\rightarrow\)
                    \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\) Manual \(_{2}\)
                \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) automatic \() \&\) Auto \(_{2}\)
```

```fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
        switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) FireSys \(_{2}\)
    \(\| \alpha(\) InternalSystemState \()\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
AreasCycle
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

This finishes our proof since, by the associativity of external choice, we have that the right-hand side of the parallelism is the definition of the action FireSys 2 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[\text { D.22, Definition of FireSys }] \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSys } \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
\text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right) \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

For conciseness, the proof of the remaining lemmas are not presented here. They can be found in Appendix B.

In the following section we make a process refinement in order to upgrade each partition into a separate process (InternalSystem and Areas).

### 5.6.4 Process Refinement: upgrading the partitions into separated processes (InternalSystem and Areas)

In the previous section, we partitioned the state of the process FireControl into InternalSystemState and AreasState. Each partition has its own set of process paragraphs. These sets are disjoint, since, no command nor action expression in one set refers to state components in the other partition state or paragraph names. Furthermore, we define the main action of the refined process in terms of these two partitions. Therefore, we may apply Law C. 11 in order to split process FireControl ${ }_{2}$ into two independent processes: InternalSystem and Areas, which are described in Appendix G.

The process FireControl ${ }_{2}$ becomes the parallel composition of the InternalSystem and the Areas as defined below.

```
process FireControl \({ }_{2} \widehat{=}\)
    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { InternalSystem } \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { Areas }\end{array}\right) \backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

In the next section, we refine the process Areas in order to describe the areas as an interleaving of individual processes that model each of the areas.

### 5.6.5 Data Refinement: the Areas process as a promotion of individual areas

### 5.6.6 Process Refinement: split the Areas into separated Area processes

### 5.6.7 Action Refinement: decomposing the InternalSystem in two partitions

### 5.6.8 Process Refinement: split the InternalSystem into a FireControl and a DisplayController

### 5.7 Conclusions

## Chapter 6

## Implementation Using JCSP

In this chapter we present a strategy for implementing Circus programs in JCSP [25, 24]. The strategy is based on a number of translation laws, which, if applied exhaustively, transforms a Circus program into a Java program that uses the JCSP library. We assume that, before applying the translation strategy presented in this chapter, the specification of the system we want to implement has been already refined, using the Circus refinement strategy (Chapter 3), to meet the translation strategy's requirements discussed in Section 6.2.

First, Section 6.1 presents JCSP and some examples. Section 6.2 presents the strategy to implement Circus programs using JCSP. In Section 6.3 we extend the types of communication considered in our strategy, and in Section 6.4 we present the translation strategy for the Circus indexed operator. In Section 6.5 we present an example. Finally, in Section 6.6 we conclude with some considerations about the strategy.

### 6.1 JCSP

JCSP $[25,24]$ is a Java library that provides tools for implementing communicating processes based on the CSP model of communicating systems.

In JCSP, a CSP process is an instance of a class that implements the interface CSProcess defined below.

```
public interface CSProcess { public void run(); }
```

The method run defines the process behaviour. By way of illustration, let us consider the following process that outputs (in the standard output) the Fibonacci sequence.

```
public class Fibonacci implements CSProcess {
    private int x = 1, y = 1;
    public void run(){
        System.out.println(x);
        System.out.println(y);
        while(true) {
            int next = x+y;
            System.out.println(next);
            x = y;
            y = next;
        }
    }
}
```

This process has two integer components: x and y . The later stores the last value output by the process, and the former stores the value output before that. First, the process Fibonacci outputs the number 1 twice. These values are stored in the variables $x$ and $y$. Then, it iterates: in each iteration, the next output corresponds to the sum of the last two outputs x and y , which are updated after the output. However, in this example, no interaction happens with any other process.

All the interaction with a process is made via CSP synchronising channels. In JCSP, the simplest form of such channels is the point-to-point channel, which is implemented by class One20neChannel; multiple readers and writers are not allowed. On the other hand, Any2AnyChannel allows multiple reader and writers. However, for any type of channel in JCSP, when a communication happens, it happens between one writer and one reader (point-to-point).

Channels in JCSP communicate instances of a java.lang.Object. However, for each channel type in JCSP, there is a corresponding channel type that communicates values of the basic type int, which are used in our example.

The Fibonacci process described above can be rewritten as follows.

```
public class Fibonacci implements CSProcess {
    private int first = 1, second = 1;
    private One2OneChannelInt out;
    public Fibonacci(One2OneChannelInt out){ this.out = out; }
    public void run(){
        out.write(first); out.write(second);
        while(true) {
            int next = first+second;
            out.write(next);
            first = second;
            second = next;
        }
    }
}
```

Instead of printing the fibonacci sequence, the process Fibonacci communicates the sequence through the channel out, which is received as an argument in the constructor of the class. In order to write an object to a channel, a process must invoke the public method write; the public method read is used to read an object from a channel. The invocation of write is blocked until the communication happens (some other process reads the written value). In a similar way, read is blocked until a value is written to the channel. In our example, as we are using One2OneChannelInt channels, we may write ints to the channel.

The choice is a very important operator in CSP. In JCSP, this operator is provided by an object of class Alternative, which waits the possibility to synchronise in some of its events, and then, chooses one of those. The choice can be arbitrary, which is a random choice; user-prioritised, in which the user set priority for each of the channels involved in the choice; and fair, in which available channels are fairly chosen.

Our example can be extended in order to give the environment the choice between a new value of the sequence or a new start of the sequence, after the first two elements of the sequence have been output. This choice is represented by two new input channels, which are also declared as private attributes of the process, and are taken as arguments in the constructor.

```
public class Fibonacci implements CSProcess {
    private int first = 1, second = 1;
    private One2OneChannelInt out;
    private One2OneChannel nextValue, restart;
    public Fibonacci(One2OneChannelInt out,
                                    One20neChannel nextValue,
                                    One2OneChannel restart){
```

```
        this.out = out;
        this.nextValue = nextValue;
        this.restart = restart;
    }
}
```

The method run is changed in order to introduce the choice, after the output of the first two elements of the sequence. An array guard of all channels that are involved in the choice, and integer constants corresponding to the indexes of these channels in the array are declared.

```
public void run(){
    nextValue.read(); out.write(first);
    nextValue.read(); out.write(second);
    final Guard[] guard = { nextValue, restart };
    final int NEXT_VALUE = 0;
    final int RESTART = 1;
```

This array of channels is given as argument to the constructor of the Alternative. In our example, we make a fair choice using the method fairSelect, which returns the index of the chosen channel in the array of channel of the Alternative. A switch block is used to verify the value returned by the invocation of method fairSelect: if the channel nextValue is chosen, the sequence is restarted; otherwise, the process outputs the next value.

```
    final Alternative alt = new Alternative (guard);
        while(true){
            switch (alt.fairSelect()) {
                case NEXT_VALUE:
                    int next = first+second;
                    nextValue.read(); out.write(next);
                    first = second; second = next;
                    break;
                case RESTART:
                    restart.read();
                    first = second = 1;
                    nextValue.read(); out.write(first);
                    nextValue.read(); out.write(second);
                    break;
        }
        }
    }
}
```

CSP parallel processes are written using the class Parallel. Its constructor takes an array of CSProcesses and returns a CSProcess that is the parallel composition of its process arguments. A run of a Parallel process terminates when, and only when, all its component processes terminate.

By way of illustration, suppose we have a class Reader which defines a reader that communicates with the process Fibonacci. All the channels used in the communication, as in the class Fibonacci, are declared attributes of the class and are given as arguments to its constructor.

```
public class Reader implements CSProcess {
    private One2OneChannelInt out;
    private One2OneChannel nextValue, restart;
    public Reader(One2OneChannelInt out,
                                    One2OneChannelInt nextValue,
                    One2OneChannelInt restart){
        this.out = out;
        this.nextValue = nextValue;
        this.restart = restart;
    }
```

However, the method run is quite different. We suppose our Reader restarts the fibonacci sequence each time it reads ten values of the sequence.

```
        public void run(){
        int count = 1;
        while(true){
            if(count<=10){
                nextValue.write(null);
                System.out.println(out.read()); count++;
            } else {
                restart.write(null); count = 1;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

No values are communicated through the channels nextValue and restart; they are only synchronising events. For this reason, we write the null value to these channels.

Finally, we can declare the class FibonacciReader below, which brings both the Fibonacci and the Reader processes in parallel. It instantiates the channels that are used by both processes, and uses these channels to create an instance of each process.

```
public class FibonacciReader implements CSProcess {
    private One2OneChannelInt out = new One2OneChannelInt();
    private One2OneChannel nextValue = new One2OneChannel();
    private One2OneChannel restart = new One2OneChannel();
    private Fibonacci fibonacci =
        new Fibonacci(out,nextValue,restart);
    private Reader reader = new Reader(out,nextValue,restart);
```

Its execution consists of an instantiation of a Parallel of both process, and its execution.

```
    public void run() {
        CSProcess[] processes =
            new CSProcess[]{fibonacci,reader};
        Parallel parallel = new Parallel(processes);
        parallel.run();
    }
}
```

This executes both the Fibonacci and the Reader in parallel.
The CSP constructors Skip and Stop can be written using the corresponding classes Skip and Stop. Finally, JCSP provides some additional features as Barrier, which enables barrier synchronisation between a set of processes, and Delta, which has an infinite loop that waits for objects to be sent to it, and then sends the reference to the object in parallel to an array of processes. These facilities are not used in our work; details can de found in [25, 24].

### 6.2 From Circus to JCSP

Our strategy for implementing Circus programs considers each single paragraph individually, and in sequence. Circus paragraphs include Z paragraphs, channel declarations, and process declarations.

We assume that, in the Circus program to be implemented, these three types of paragraphs grouped in this order. Our strategy considers that neither free types nor abbreviations are defined within processes definitions. Our strategy also assumes that the Z paragraphs in a Circus program are axiomatic definitions of the form $v: T \mid v=e_{1}$, free types, or abbreviations. The types used in the Circus program should all be already implemented in Java. Moreover, we also consider that, at this stage, all operation schemas and specification constructs have already been refined.

Z paragraphs used to group channel declarations, as well as channel sets must be expanded before the translation strategy is applied. We consider only communications of the following types: non-typed inputs, outputs, or synchronisation events.

This means that channels can be declared to be only of a single type or to have no type. In summary, the acceptable communications are all of the following form.

```
Comm ::= N?N|N!Expression|N
```

Communications of the form $c ? N: T$ and $c ? N$ : Predicate are not directly implementable in JCSP. Their semantics in Circus is that the communication does not happen if the transmitted value is not in the set $T$ or does not satisfy the Predicate. In JCSP, a communication happens when some process writes in a channel, and some other process reads from this channel. JCSP does not allow to backtrack this communication if the read value does not satisfy a constraint. Our strategy, however, considers that such kind of communication has been refined to some protocol that removes them. Furthermore, since in JCSP, we may write/read an object to/from a channel, communications may have only one input or one output value: multiple inputs/outputs must be encapsulated in Java objects.

Multi-synchronisation channels are also not implementable in JCSP. Our strategy considers that multi-synchronisation has been refined to some protocol, as the one presented in [32]. Besides, guarded output channel must have been removed using the strategy presented in ? $?^{1}$.

The output of our translation strategy is Java code that contains some classes definitions. These definitions can be split into different Java files and allocated in their respective packages. For a given project name proj, the translation strategy generates six packages: the package proj contains the main system class; the package proj.axiomaticDefinitions contains the class that encapsulates all the axiomatic definitions within the translated Circus program; all the processes in the Circus program are declared in the package proj. processes; the package proj.typing contains all the typing classes of the system; and the package proj.util contains all the utilities classes used in the system.

Parallelism of actions and processes must take into account the set of synchronisation channels and the partitions of the variables in scope. JCSP, however, does not allow the user to determine the synchronisation channel set. For this reason, when using JCSP, the intersection of the alphabets determines the synchronisation channels set. If it is not empty, we have a parallelism in the intersection channels; otherwise, we have actually an interleaving. Therefore, all parallelism in the Circus program have to be in the form $A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}$ for actions, or $P_{1}|[c s]| P_{2}$ for processes, where $c s$ is the intersection of the sets of channels used by $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, or $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively.

The translation strategy uses a channel environment ChanTypeEnv: ChanEnv, where ChanEnv is an extension of the channel environment presented in Section 2.2. It associates a channel name to a pair of sequences: the first is a sequence of possible

[^0]generic expressions used to define a family of channels; and the second is a sequence of types of the channel.
$$
\text { ChanEnv }==\text { ChanName } \Pi(\text { seq Expression } \times \text { seq Expression })
$$

We consider that this environment is available during the translation. Its definitions is as in Section 2.2 with a small extension for considering generic channels: the definition of function $\|\left.[]\right|^{\mathcal{C}}$ is extended as follows to consider the generic channels declaration as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|[-]]^{\mathcal{C}}: \text { CDeclaration } \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \\
& \|[n]]^{\mathcal{C}}=\{n \mapsto([],[\text { Sync }])\} \\
& \left.\| n: T_{1} \times \ldots \times T_{m}\right]\left.\right|^{\mathcal{C}}=\left\{n \mapsto\left([],\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right]\right)\right\} \\
& \|\left.\left[\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right] n: T_{1} \times \ldots \times T_{m}\right]\right|^{\mathcal{C}}=\left\{n \mapsto\left(\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right],\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right]\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For generic channels, we have that the used generic types are also stored in the channel environment.

Besides the environment ChanTypeEnv, we consider that, for each process, two additional environments are available throughout its translation. These environments store information about how each channel is used within each process: the environment VisChanEnv : ChanUseEnv stores the information of the visible channels of the process, and the environment HidChanEnv : ChanUseEnv stores the information of the hidden channels of the process. The type ChanUseEnv maps channel names to its use.

$$
\text { ChanUseEnv }==\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { ChanUse }
$$

The type ChanUse indicates how the channel is used within the process: $I$ for input channels, $O$ for output channels, or $A$ for input channels that take part in external choices.

$$
\text { ChanUse }::=I|O| A
$$

An type environment is also considered available in the translation: the environment TypesEnv : seq Expression lists all the types that are used in the Circus program which is being translated. This list includes all the basic types, free types, abbreviations, and possible types created for encapsulating multiple inputs and outputs.

Some auxiliary functions are considered throughout the translation: the function JType defines the Java type corresponding to each of the Circus types used in the program; the function JExp translates a given expression into Java code; and, finally, the function CType returns the Circus type of the given variable.

Our strategy considers that all values transported through channels are Java objects. Despite the existence of int channels in JCSP, we consider only Object channels. Java primitive integer values are transmitted through the channels using the class java.lang. Integer.

In Section 6.2.1 we present the translation for processes declarations and in Section 6.2.2 we present the translation for basic processes. Sections 6.2.3 and 6.2.4 present the translation of processes paragraphs and CSP actions, respectively. In Section 6.2.5 we present how to translate processes that are defined in terms of other processes. The translation of existent Z paragraphs is presented in Section 6.2.6, and the declaration of utilities classes is presented in Section 6.2.7. Finally, Sections 6.2.8 and 6.2.9 present the translation and the execution of Circus programs.

### 6.2.1 Processes Declarations

For each process declaration, we create a new Java class that implements this process. All Java classes representing processes implement the JCSP interface jcsp.lang. CSProcess.

First, if the translations reaches the end of the processes declaration it returns the empty Java code.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|--]\left.\right|^{\text {ProcDecls }}: \text { Program } \rightarrow \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { JCode } \\
& \| \epsilon]\left.\right|^{\text {ProcDecls }} \text { proj }=\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

The type JCode represents a Java code. Throughout this chapter, we use the symbol $\epsilon$ to represent empty entities: Circus programs, Java code, or others.

Otherwise, for a given process $P$ and project name proj, we declare a new Java class P in the package proj. processes. This class imports the java utilities package, the JCSP package that contains its most important classes, and all the packages within the project. The body of the class is determined by the translation of the paragraphs of $P$.

```
|process P = ParProc ProcDecls ]| ProcDecls proj =
    package proj.processes;
    import java.util.*;
    import jcsp.lang.*;
    import proj.axiomaticDefinitions.*;
    import proj.typing.*;
    import proj.util.*;
    public class P implements CSProcess {
        |ParProc| ParProc
    }
    |ProcDecls ]| ProcDecls proj
```

If the process is parameterised, this is reflected in the attributes and in the constructor of the corresponding process Java class. Furthermore, hidden channels are declared as attributes of the class, and initialised in the class constructor, as the parameters and the visible channels of the process.

The translation the body of parametrised processes is captured by the following function.

```
\(\|-]]^{\text {ParProc }}:\) ParProc \(\rightarrow\) JCode
\(\|\) Decl \(\bullet\) Proc \(]^{\text {ParProc }}=\)
    ParamsDecl Decl
    VisibleCDecl VisChanEnv
    HiddenCDecl HidChanEnv
    public P(ParamsArgs Decl, VisibleCArgs VisChanEnv) \{
        MultiAssign (ParamsDecl Decl) (ParamsArgs Decl)
        MultiAssign (VisibleCDecl VisChanEnv)
            (VisibleCArgs VisChanEnv)
        HiddenCCreation HidChanEnv
    \}
    public void run() \{ \(\|\) Proc \(\left.\|^{\text {Proc }}\right\}\)
```

The parameters, visible and hidden channels are declared as class attributes. They are initialised within the class constructor: the parameters and the visible channels are initialised with the value given as argument to the constructor, and the hidden channels are instantiated. Finally, the body of the method run, which represents the process running, is the translation of the process body Proc. The following sections describe these steps of the translation.

## Class Attributes

Each of the parameters of the process is declared as an attribute of the Java class that represents the process. The function ParamsDecl transforms a declaration of parameters of a Circus process into a ;-separated list of Java attribute declarations.

```
ParamsDecl: Decl \(\rightarrow\) JCode
ParamsDecl \(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}=\)
    private (JType \(T_{1}\) ) x_1; ...; private (JType \(T_{n}\) ) x_n;
```

The visible channels within a Circus process need also to be declared. The function VisibleCDecl receives these channels along with their respective usage, and declares a ;-separated list of Java attribute declarations.

```
VisibleCDecl: ChanUseEnv \(\rightarrow\) JCode
VisibleCDecl \(\emptyset=\epsilon\)
VisibleCDecl \(\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v=\) private (TypeChan \(t\) ) c; VisibleCDecl \(v\)
```

It uses an auxiliary function TypeChan that returns a type of channel given a type of use.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TypeChan }:\{I, O, A\} \rightarrow \text { JCode } \\
& \text { TypeChan }(I)=\text { ChannelInput } \\
& \text { TypeChan }(O)=\text { ChannelOutput } \\
& \text { TypeChan }(A)=\text { AltingChannelInput }
\end{aligned}
$$

The hidden channels used within a Circus process need also to be declared as class attributes. The function HiddenCDecl receives these channels and their respective usage, and declares a ;-separated list of Java attribute declarations.

```
HiddenCDecl: ChanUseEnv \(\rightarrow\) JCode
HiddenCDecl \(\emptyset=\epsilon\)
HiddenCDecl \(\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v=\) private Any20neChannel c; HiddenCDecl \(v\)
```

The hidden channels are instantiated within this process. For this reason, we declare then as Any20neChannel, which can be instantiated. In contrast, the visible channels are declared using function TypeChan since they are not instantiated within this class.

## Class Constructor

Basically, the constructor has to initialise all the visible channels and the parameters of the process with the respective constructor arguments, and to instantiate each of the hidden channels used within the paragraphs and the main action of the process.

The function ParamsArgs is similar to ParamsDecl, but prefixes each parameter name of the process with new and returns a ,-separated list of method parameters declarations.

```
ParamsArgs: Decl \(\rightarrow\) JCode
ParamsArgs \(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}=\)
    \(\left(J\right.\) Type \(\left.T_{1}\right)\) newx_1,..., (JType \(\left.T_{n}\right)\) newx_n
```

The next arguments of the constructor are the channels used within the process which are not hidden. The function VisibleCArgs is very similar to the function VisibleCDecl, but prefixes each channel name with new and returns a ,-separated list of Java method arguments declarations. Its definition is as follows.

```
VisibleCArgs: ChanUseEnv \(\rightarrow\) JCode
VisibleCArgs \(\emptyset=\epsilon\)
VisibleCArgs \(\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v=\)
    if \((v \neq \emptyset)\) then (TypeChan \(t\) ) newc, (VisibleCArgs \(v\) )
    else (TypeChan \(t\) ) newc
```

The initialisation is done using the function MultiAssign. This function receives two arguments: a ;-separated list of Java attribute declarations, and a ,-separated list or Java methods arguments. It can be defined as shown below.

```
MultiAssign: JCode }->\mathrm{ JCode }->\mathrm{ JCode
MultiAssign (private type_1 v_1 ;...; private type_n v_n;)
        (type_1 newv_1 ;..., type_n newv_n;) =
    this.v_1 = newv_1; ...; this.v_n = newv_n;
```

The instantiation of the hidden channels uses the function HiddenCCreation.

```
HiddenCCreation: ChanUseEnv \(\rightarrow\) JCode
HiddenCCreation \(\emptyset=\epsilon\)
HiddenCCreation \(\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v=\)
    this.c = new Any20neChannel(); HiddenCCreation \(v\)
```

For a non-parametrised process Proc, we have that $\|$ Proc $\|^{\text {ParProc }}$ is similar. The only difference is that attributes corresponding to parameters (and their initialisation) are not needed.

At this stage, we have declared the class that represents a given process, its attributes, and its constructor. We are now left, with the definition of the method run's body. This is determined by the process definition, which we consider next.

### 6.2.2 Transformation of Basic Processes

Each process is translated to an execution of an inner class that implements the class jcsp.lang. CSProcess. The first kind of process that we translate is
begin PPars $_{1}$ state PSt PPars ${ }_{2}$ - Main.
The inner class definition starts by declaring all the state components of the process as attributes of the process class. In the translation of the process paragraphs, each schema expression and CSP action gives rise to a private method. Finally, the body of the method run is the result of the translation of the main action. We present below the definition of the function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {Proc }}$.

```
\(\|-]^{\text {Proc }}\) : Proc \(\rightarrow\) JCode
\(\|\) begin PPars \(_{1}\) state PSt PPars \({ }_{2} \bullet\) Main \(\left.\right|^{\text {Proc }}=\)
    (new CSProcess()\{
            (StateDecl PSt)
            \(\left.\left(\left[\mid \text { PPars }_{1} \text { PPars }\right]_{2}\right]^{\text {PPars }}\right)\)
            public void run() \{ \(\|\) Main \(\left.]\left.\right|^{\text {Action }}\right\}\)
    \}).run();
```

The state declaration is defined as a schema expression. We use the function StateDecl to transform this schema expression into a ;-separated list of Java attribute declarations.

```
StateDecl:SchemaExp }->\mathrm{ JCode
StateDecl [ }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{1}{};\ldots;\mp@subsup{x}{n}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{n}{}|inv]
    private (JType T T ) x_1;...; private (JType T T ) x_n;
```

Our strategy ignores the invariant since it has already been considered in the refinement of the process. Once all the actions are refined to code, in the presence of the invariant, it can be eliminated. It is kept in a Circus program just for documentation purposes.

### 6.2.3 Process Paragraphs.

The function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {PPars }}$ translates the paragraphs within a Circus process. These paragraphs can either be axiomatic definitions, or (parametrised) actions.

Axiomatic definitions within processes are defined as private methods of the class that defines this process.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|[-]\|^{\text {PPars }}: \mathrm{PPar}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{JCode} \\
& \|\epsilon\|^{\text {PPars }}=\epsilon \\
& \| v: T \mid v=e_{1} \text { PPars } \|^{\text {PPars }}= \\
& \left.\quad \text { private }(\text { JType } T) \mathrm{v}() \text { \{ return }\left(\text { JExp } e_{1}\right) ;\right\} \\
& \\
& \quad \| \text { PPars } \|^{\text {PPars }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Both parametrised actions and non-parametrised actions are translated into private methods. However, the former requires that the parameters are declared as arguments of the new method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| {\left[N \xlongequal{\hat{=}}(\text { Decl } \bullet \text { Action }) \text { PPars } \|^{\text {PPars }}=\right.} \\
&\text { private void } \left.\mathrm{N}(\text { ParamsArgs Decl })\{\| \text { Action }] \|^{\text {Action }}\right\} \\
& \| \text { PPars } \|^{\text {PPars }} \\
&\|[N \xlongequal[=]{ } \text { Action PPars }]]^{\text {PPar }}= \\
& \text { private void }\left.\mathrm{N}()\{\| \text { Action }]\right|^{\text {Action }\}} \\
& \| \text { PPars } \|^{\text {PPars }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\|[-]]^{\text {Action }}$ translates the body of a given action. These actions can be schema expressions, CSP actions, or commands. As already mentioned, that schema expressions have already been refined. We are then left with CSP actions and commands.

### 6.2.4 CSP Actions.

In the translation of each action, we consider that a new environment is available. The local variables environment LocalVarEnv: VarEnv is used to declare copies of the local variables in scope in the translation of parallel and recursive actions.

$$
\operatorname{VarEnv}==\operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times \text { Expression })
$$

For each local variable in scope, the environment LocalVarEnv has a corresponding pair: its first element is the local variable name and the second element is its type. The translation function receives an action as argument and returns a Java code that implements this action.

$$
\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {Action }}: \text { Action } \rightarrow \text { JCode }
$$

Besides, as for processes, we consider that, for each action, the channel environments VisChanEnv and HidChanEnv are available throughout its translation. However, these environments store information about how each channel is used within each action.

In the next sections, we present the translation of different Circus actions types.

## Skip, Stop, and Chaos

The translations of Skip and Stop use basic JCSP classes; Chaos is translated to an infinite loop.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \text { Skip }] \|^{\text {Action }}=(\text { new Skip }()) \cdot \text { run }() ; \\
& \| \text { Stop }] \|^{\text {cction }}=(\text { new Stop }()) \cdot r u n() ; \\
& \| \text { Chaos } \|^{\text {Action }}=\text { while }(\operatorname{true})\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

## Communications

For non-typed input communications, we use the channels environment in order to identify the type of the input variable. Besides, the local variables environment is also used in order to verify if the input variable is already declared or not. We assign to the input variable the value read from the channel. We must also use Java casting, since the type of the objects transmitted through the channels are java.lang.Object.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| c ? x \rightarrow \text { Action } \|^{\text {Action }}= \\
& \text { let commType }=\text { last }(\text { snd }(\text { ChanTypeEnv } c)) \text { in } \\
& \text { if }(x \notin(\text { SetFirst LocalVarEnv })) \text { then } \\
& \{(\text { JType commType }) \mathrm{x}=(\text { JType commType }) \mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{read}() ; \\
& \left.\| \text { Action }]^{\text {Action }}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The function last returns the last element of a given list. The function SetFirst receives a sequence of pairs and returns a set containing all the first elements of this sequence.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SetFirst }: \operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times \text { Expression }) \rightarrow \mathbb{P N} \\
& \text { SetFirst }[]=\emptyset \\
& \text { SetFirst }(x, T): x s=\{x\} \cup(\text { SetFirst } x s)
\end{aligned}
$$

The output communication just writes in the channel the expression to be written.

$$
\left.\| c!e \rightarrow \text { Action }]\left.\right|^{\text {Action }}=\mathrm{c} . \text { write }(J E x p e) ; \| \text { Action }\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Action }}
$$

For synchronisation channels, we need to know wether this channel is declared an input or output channel, in order to read from the channel or to write to the channel, respectively. This information is retrieved either from the VisChanEnv, or from the HidChanEnv environments.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| c \rightarrow \text { Action }] \|^{\text {Action }}= \\
& \text { if }(c \in \text { dom VisChanEnv }) \text { then } \\
& \text { if }(\text { VisChanEnv } c=I \vee \text { VisChanEnv } c=A) \text { then } \\
& \quad c \cdot r e a d) ; \| \text { Action }] \|^{\text {Action }} \\
& \text { else } c \cdot \text { write (null) } \|\left[\text { Action } \|^{\text {Action }}\right. \\
& \text { else if }(c \in \text { dom HidChanEnv) then } \\
& \text { if (HidChanEnv } c=I \vee \text { HidChanEnv } c=A) \text { then } \\
& \text { c.read() } ; \| \text { Action }]\left.\right|^{\text {Action }} \\
& \text { else c.write(null) ; } \|\left[\text { Action } \|^{\text {Action }}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In JCSP, the method write receives the object that must be written as argument. As we do not have any value to communicate, we communicate the null value.

## Sequential composition

Sequential compositions can be simply translated to a Java sequential composition.

$$
\left.\mid\left.\left[\text { Action }_{1} ; \text { Action }_{2}\right]\right|^{\text {Action }}=\|\left[\text { Action }_{1}\right]^{\text {Action }} ; \ldots ; \| \text { Action }_{n}\right]^{\text {Action }}
$$

## External Choice

The translation of an external choice uses the jcsp.lang.Alternative class. The idea is to create an alternative in which all the initial channels of all actions, that are not hidden, take part. Furthermore, in this case, we consider that all nested guarded actions in the form $\square_{i} g_{i} \& \square_{j} g_{i_{j}} \& A_{i_{j}}$ have already been refined to
guarded actions in the form $\square_{i, j} g_{i} \wedge g_{i_{j}} \& A_{i_{j}}$. Besides, unguarded actions have already been refined to true guarded actions. For instance, the action $A_{1} \square A_{2}$ has been refined to true \& $A_{1} \square$ true \& $A_{2}$. These are very simple refinements and they help in the definition of the auxiliary function Guard below. The external choice translation is defined as follows.

```
\(\|\) Action \(_{1} \square \ldots \square\) Action \(\left._{n}\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Action }}=\)
    Guard[] guards =
        new Guard[]\{InitCAttr Action,\(\ldots\), InitCAttr \(_{1}\) Action \(\left._{n}\right\}\);
    final Alternative alt = new Alternative(guards);
    DeclConstants (ExtractInitChannels Action \({ }_{1}\) ) 0
    DeclConstants
        (ExtractInitChannels Action \(\left._{n}\right)\left(\#\left({\left.\left.\text { ExtractInitChannels } \text { Action }_{n-1}\right)\right)}\right.\right.\)
    boolean[] g =
        new boolean[]\{Guard Action \(_{1}, \ldots\), Guard Action \({ }_{n}\) \};
    switch(alt.fairSelect(g)) \{
        Cases (ExtractInitChannels Action \({ }_{1}\) ) Action \(_{1}\)
        Cases (ExtractInitChannels Action \()_{n}\) Action \(_{n}\)
    \}
```

First, it defines the events competing for selection by a declared Alternative process alt. One integer constant is declared for each one of these events. The guards $g_{i}$ of each action are also declared within a boolean array. Finally, the alternative is made, and the corresponding action is executed.

The function InitCAttr returns a ,-separated list of all the visible initials channels of a given action.

```
InitCAttr: Action \(\rightarrow\) JCode
InitCAttr Action \(=\) DecAttrChannels \((\) ExtractInitChannels Action)
```

The function ExtractInitChannels: Action $\rightarrow \operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times$ Predicate) returns a list of pairs. For each initial visible channels of the given action, it includes a new pair in this list: the first element is the channel name, and the second element is a predicate that represents its guard (the condition that must hold in order to the channel to become available).

The function DecAttrChannels can be defined as
DecAttrChannels: seq $(\mathrm{N} \times$ Predicate $) \rightarrow$ JCode
DecAttrChannels [] $=\epsilon$
DecAttrChannels $(c, p):[]=\mathrm{c}$
DecAttrChannels $(c, p): c s=c$, DecAttrChannels cs

The function DeclConstants returns a ;-separated list of int constant declarations, one for each channel in the given channel list. The first constant is initialised with $n$; each subsequent constant is initialised with the previous constant value incremented by one. These constants are used in the switch block to identify each possible choice made by the fairSelect method.

```
DeclConstants : \(\operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times\) Predicate \() \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
DeclConstants [] \(n=\epsilon\)
DeclConstants ( \(c, p\) ) : cs \(n=\)
    final int CONST_(Capitals c) \(=\mathrm{n}\); DeclConstants cs \((n+1)\)
```

The function Capitals returns the given argument in capitals.
The function Guard returns the guard of the given action.

```
Guard: Action }->\mathrm{ JCode
Guard (g& A)}=(\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ JExp g}}\end{array}
```

Finally, the function Cases returns a sequence of Java case blocks, one for each channel in the given list.

```
Cases: seq(N }\times\mathrm{ Predicate ) }->\mathrm{ Action }->\mathrm{ JCode
Cases [] a=\epsilon
Cases ((c,p):cs) a=
    case CONST_(Capitals c):
        {|a|||
        break;
    Cases cs a
```

As an example of the translation of an external choice we consider the action below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left((x>0) \& a_{1} \rightarrow \text { Skip } \square(x \leq 0) \& a_{2} \rightarrow \text { Skip }\right) \\
& \square\left((x>0) \& b_{1} \rightarrow \text { Stop } \square(x \leq 0) \& b_{2} \rightarrow \text { Stop }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first part of the translation declares an array containing all the visible channels within the action, and an Alternative on this array.

```
Guard[] guards = new Guard[]{a1,a2,b1,b2};
final Alternative alt = new Alternative(guards);
```

Then, it declares all the constants that are used in the switch block to identify each possible choice made by the fairSelect method.

```
final int CONST_A_1 = 0;
final int CONST_A_2 = 1;
final int CONST_B_1 = 2;
final int CONST_B_2 = 3;
```

Next, it declares the array containing the guards for each branch of the action.

```
boolean[] g = new boolean[]{x>0,x<=0,x>0,x<=0};
```

Finally, we have the switch block. For each possible return value from the invocation of the method fairSelect in the previously declared Alternative, we declare a new case: its body consists of reading from the corresponding channel and followed by the translation of the corresponding action.

```
switch(alt.fairSelect(g)) {
    case CONST_A_1: { a_1.read(); (new Skip()).run(); break; }
    case CONST_A_2: { a_2.read(); (new Skip()).run(); break; }
    case CONST_B_1: { b_1.read(); (new Stop()).run(); break; }
    case CONST_B_2: { b_2.read(); (new Stop()).run(); break; }
}
```

For a special form of external choice, in which the guards are mutually exclusive, we may adopt a different strategy to obtain an if-then-else block as shown below.

```
\(\| g_{1} \&\) Action \(_{1} \square \ldots \square g_{n} \&\) Action \(\left._{n}\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Action }}=\)
    if \(\left(\left(J E x p g_{1}\right)\right)\{\)
        \(\mid\left[\text { Action }_{1}\right]^{\text {Action }}\)
    \} else if
    \} else if \(\left(\left(\operatorname{JExp} g_{n}\right)\right)\{\)
            \(\mid\left.\left[\right.\) Action \(\left._{n}\right]\right|^{\text {Action }}\)
    \} else \{
        (new Stop()).run();
    \}
provided \(\forall i, j \bullet i \neq j \Rightarrow\left(g_{i} \Rightarrow \neg g_{j}\right)\)
```

This simplifies the generated Java code, and does not require the guarded actions to be explored in the translation of the external choice.

## Internal Choice

The internal choice translation randomly chooses an action, and then, starts to behave as such. It uses the static method int generateNumber (int min, int max)
of class proj.util.RandomGenerator (See Section 6.2.7) to make this random choice.

```
\(\mid\left.\left[\right.\) Action \(_{1} \sqcap \ldots \sqcap\) Action \(\left._{n}\right]\right|^{\text {Action }}=\)
    int choosen \(=\) RandomGenerator.generateNumber ( \(0, \mathrm{n}\) );
    switch(choosen) \{
        case 0: \{ \(\|\) Action \(\left._{1} \|^{\text {Action }}\right\}\) break;
        case n: \{ \(\|\) Action \(\left.\left._{n}\right]^{\text {Action }}\right\}\) break;
    \}
```

Differently from the external choice, the choice is made by the program.

## Parallelism

In the translation of a parallelism, we have to deal with the partition of the variables in scope. For this reason, we use auxiliary variables to make copies of each state component that takes part in one of the partitions. They are declared and initialised using the function InitAuxVars. The body of each branch is translated and each reference to a state component is replaced with the corresponding copy. After running the parallelism, we have to merge the values of the variables in each partition respecting the partitions declaration in the parallelism.

Local variables in scope present the same problem as state components. However, since they are not class attributes as the state components, but local variables, they cannot be directly accessed in the inner classes created for each parallel action. For this reason, their copies are not initialised when declared, as the copies of the state components. They are initialised in the constructor of each parallel action class with the value given to the constructor. Nevertheless, these local variables are included in the merge of the variables after the execution of the parallelism.

In the following, the expression let $x_{1}=\exp _{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=\exp _{n}$ in $\exp$ is used to denote the result of substituting each $\exp _{i}$ for the corresponding $x_{i}$ in $\exp$. For a
fresh index value index, the translation of parallelism can be defined as follows.


```
    let LName = ParallelLeftBranch_index,
        RName = ParallelRightBranch_index in
            class LName implements CSProcess {
                InitAuxVars (NSExp 
        DeclLocalVars LocalVarEnv index L
        public LName((LocalVarsArg LocalVarEnv)) {
            InitLocalVars LocalVarEnv index L
        }
        public void run () {
            RenameVars |[Action}\mp@subsup{]}{1}{}\mp@subsup{|}{}{\mathrm{ Action}
                                    (NSExp }\cup(\mathrm{ SetFirst LocalVarEnv))
                                    index L
            }
}
CSProcess left_index =
    new LName(JList (ListFirst LocalVarEnv));
class RName implements CSProcess {
    InitAuxVars (NSExp \ \ (setFirst LocalVarEnv)) index R
    DeclLocalVars LocalVarEnv index R
    public RName((LocalVarsArg LocalVarEnv)) {
                InitLocalVars LocalVarEnv index R
            }
        public void run () {
            RenameVars |[Action}\mp@subsup{2}{2}{}\mp@subsup{|}{}{\mathrm{ Action}
                                    (NSExp}\mp@subsup{)}{2}{\cup(SetFirst LocalVarEnv))
                                    index R
            }
}
CSProcess right_index =
    new RName(JList (ListFirst LocalVarEnv));
CSProcess[] processes_index =
    new CSProcess[]{left_index,right_index};
(new Parallel(processes_index)).run ();
MergeVars LName NSExp index L
MergeVars RName NSExp 2 index R
```

The fresh index value is used to avoid possible clashes in the name of the inner classes and auxiliary variables needed in this translation. For instance, let us consider the action $\left(A_{1} \| A_{2}\right) ;\left(A_{3} \| A_{4}\right)$. The translation os this action is equivalent to the
translation of $A_{1} \| A_{2}$ in sequence with the translation of $A_{3} \| A_{4}$. If we did not have a new index for each translation, this would lead to the creation of inner classes and auxiliary variables with same names (i.e. ParallelLeftBranch and left). Instead, we use a fresh index when translating both actions. Considering that the indexes are natural numbers, this leads to the declaration of different inner classes names ParallelLeftBranch_0 and ParallelLeftBranch_1, and different auxiliary variables left_0 and left_1.

The translation of the parallelism declares one inner class, and instantiates an object of this class, for each branch of the parallelism. Each branch creates its own copy of the variables in scope. After the execution of the parallelism, a merge is made in order to retrieve the final values of the variables in scope from the corresponding copy.

The following type is used to indicate if the auxiliary variable is on the left ( $L$ ) or the right $(R)$ side partition of the parallelism.

$$
\text { LeftRight }==L \mid R
$$

The declaration of the auxiliary variables is very simple. For each variable used in a parallelism partition, we declare two new variables; one for each action of the parallelism. The function InitVarsAux, declared below, declares and initialises all the existent variables in the partition given as argument, considering the partition side given as argument.

```
InitAuxVars : \(\mathbb{P N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) LeftRight \(\rightarrow\) JCode
InitAuxVars \(\emptyset\) index \(S=\epsilon\)
InitAuxVars \((\{x\} \cup x s)\) index \(L=\)
        public (JType (CType x)) aux_left_x_index = x;
        InitAuxVars xs index L
InitAuxVars \((\{x\} \cup x s)\) index \(R=\)
    public (JType (CType x)) aux_right_x_index = x;
    InitAuxVars xs index \(R\)
```

The function DeclLocalVars is very similar to the function InitAuxVars; it, however, does not initialise the variable, since its initial value is received in the constructor of the inner class.

DeclLocalVars: $\operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times$ Expression $) ~ \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ LeftRight $\rightarrow$ JCode
DeclLocalVars [] index $x=\epsilon$
DeclLocalVars $((x, T): x s)$ index $L=$ public (JType T) aux_left_x_index; DeclLocalVars xs index $L$
DeclLocalVars $((x, T): x s)$ index $R=$ public (JType T) aux_right_x_index; DeclLocalVars xs index $R$

The constructor of each branch receives the values of each local variable in context, and initialises their local copies. The function LocalVarsArg declares the arguments of the constructor.

```
LocalVarsArg: \(\operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times\) Expression \() \rightarrow\) JCode
LocalVarsArg [] = \(\epsilon\)
LocalVarsArg \((x, T):[]=(\) JType \(T) \mathbf{x}\)
LocalVarsArg \((x, T): x s=(\) JType T) x , LocalVarsArg \(x s\)
```

The function InitLocalVars initialises the copies of each local variable in scope within a branch.

```
InitLocalVars: \(\operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times\) Expression \() \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) LeftRight \(\rightarrow\) JCode
InitLocalVars [] index \(x=\epsilon\)
InitLocalVars ( \((x, T): x s)\) index \(L=\)
    this.aux_left_x_index = x; DeclLocalVars xs index \(L\)
InitLocalVars \(((x, T): x s)\) index \(R=\)
    this.aux_right_x_index = x; DeclLocalVars xs index \(R\)
```

The function RenameVars replaces, in the Java code given as arguments, all the occurrences of the variables in the set given as argument by its corresponding copy. We use the notation $\mathrm{C}[X \backslash Y]$ to represent the substitution of all variables in $Y$ for the corresponding variable in $X$ in the Java code C.

RenameVars: JCode $\rightarrow \mathbb{P} \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ LeftRight $\rightarrow$ JCode
RenameVars jcode $\emptyset$ index $x=\epsilon$
RenameVars jcode $(\{x\} \cup x s)$ index $L=$
RenameVars (jcode[x \aux_left_x_index]) xs index $L$
RenameVars $(j$ code, $\{x\} \cup x s$, index, $R)=$
RenameVars (jcode[x \aux_right_x_index]) xs index $R$
The function MergeVars defines the value of the auxiliary variables in terms of that of the auxiliary one. If the variable is in the first partition $(L)$, it uses the aux_left_ value; otherwise $(R)$ it uses the aux_right_ value.

MergeVars: $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{L, R\} \rightarrow \mathrm{JCode}$
MergeVars name $\emptyset$ index $x=\epsilon$
MergeVars LName $(\{x\} \cup x s)$ index $L=$
$\mathrm{x}=((\mathrm{LName})$ processes_index [0]). aux_left_x_index;
MergeVars LName xs index $L$
MergeVars RName $(\{x\} \cup x s)$ index $R=$
$\mathrm{x}=(($ RName $)$ processes_index [1]). aux_right_x_index;
MergeVars RName xs index $R$

By way of illustration, let us consider the translation of a process that has only one state component $x: \mathbb{N}$, with one local variable local : $\mathbb{N}$ in scope. Given these conditions, consider the translation of the following action, given an index 0 as argument.

$$
x:=0 \|[\{x\} \mid\{\text { local }\}] \| \text { local }:=1
$$

The obtained Java program starts by declaring the class that represents the left hand-side branch of the parallelism.

```
class ParallelLeftBranch_0 implements CSProcess {
```

The left hand-side partition contains the variable $x$. Its copy is declared as an attribute of the inner class that represents the left hand-side branch of the parallelism. Its initial value is the value of the original variable.

```
public Integer aux_left_x_0 = x;
```

The local variable is also declared as an inner class attribute. However, it is initialised only in the constructor of the inner class, that receives this initial value as argument.

```
public Integer aux_left_local_0;
public ParallelLeftBranch_O(Integer local) {
    this.aux_left_local_0 = local;
}
```

The run method contains the execution of the action in the left hand side of the parallelism. However, we replace the references to all state components and local variables in scope with references to their respective copies. This finishes the declaration of the left action class.

```
        public void run () {
        aux_left_x_0 = new Integer(0);
    }
}
```

Next, we instantiate an object of this new class using the local variables in scope as arguments of the constructor.

```
CSProcess left_0 = new ParallelLeftBranch_0(local);
```

In a similar way, we declare and instantiate the class that represents the right handside of the parallelism. This, however, does not include the state component $x$, since it is not declared in the right hand-side partition.

```
class ParallelRightBranch_0 implements CSProcess {
    public Integer aux_right_local_0;
    public ParallelRightBranch_0(Integer local) {
        this.aux_right_local_0 = local;
    }
    public void run () {
        aux_right_local_0 = new Integer(1);
    }
}
CSProcess right_0 = new ParallelRightBranch_0(local);
```

After declaring both branches of the parallelism, we instantiate and run a JCSP Parallel object as follows.

```
CSProcess[] processes_0 = new CSProcess[]{left_0,right_0};
```

(new Parallel(processes_0)).run ();

Finally, after the execution of the parallelism, we merge the values of the state components and local variables in scope.

```
x = ((ParallelLeftBranch_0)processes_0[0]).aux_left_x_0;
local =
    ((ParallelRightBranch_0)processes_0[1]).aux_right_local_0;
```

This finishes the Java code corresponding to the previous declared parallel action.

## Recursion

The recursion operator is also translated using an inner class to declare the body of the recursion as a process. As for parallelism, the use of an inner class requires that copies of the local variables in scope are declared as attributes of this new inner class, and initialised in the constructor with the values given as arguments. The run method of this new inner class executes the body of the recursion and then, where the recursion occurs, it instantiates a new object of this class, and executes it. Again, the references to the local variables are replaced by references to their copies. After the declaration of the recursion class, we instantiate and run it. After its execution, as for parallel actions, a merge happens to restore the values of the local variables in scope. For a fresh index value index, the translation of a recursion
can be defined as follows.

```
\(|[\mu X \bullet \operatorname{Action}(X)]|^{\text {Action }}=\)
    class I_index implements CSProcess \{
        DeclLocalVars LocalVarEnv index L
        public I_index(LocalVarsArg LocalVarEnv) \{
            InitLocalVars LocalVarEnv index L
            \}
        public void run() \{
            RenameVars
                \(\mid[\) Action ( (RunRecursion index) \()]\left.\right|^{\text {Action }}\)
                (SetFirst LocalVarEnv) index L
    \}
    \};
    RunRecursion index
```

For the same reason as for the traduction of parallelism, we use a fresh index in the name of the inner class created for the recursion.

The function RunRecursion instantiates a recursion process, invokes its run method, and finally collects the values of the auxiliary variables.

```
RunRecursion:\mathbb{N}}->\mathrm{ JCode
RunRecursion index =
    I_index i_index_newIndex =
    new I_index(JList (ListFirst LocalVarEnv)));
    i_index_newIndex.run();
    MergeLocalVars LocalVarEnv index newIndex L
```

where newIndex is, again, a fresh index. It is used in order to avoid possible clashes between the names of the new auxiliary variables (i.e. in case we have two instantiations in sequence).

The function ListFirst receives a sequence of pairs and returns a list containing all the first elements of this sequence.

```
ListFirst : \(\operatorname{seq}(\mathrm{N} \times\) Expression \() \rightarrow \operatorname{seq} \mathrm{N}\)
ListFirst [] \(=[]\)
ListFirst \((x, T): x s=x:(\) ListFirst \(x s)\)
```

The function JList transforms a list in a ,-separated list of Java arguments.

```
JList : seq N \(\rightarrow\) JCode
JList []\(=\epsilon\)
JList \(x:[]=\mathrm{x}_{-} 1\)
JList \(x: x s=x_{1} 1, \quad(\) LList \(x s)\)
```

The function MergeLocalVars is very similar to the previously defined MergeVars. It assigns the values of the auxiliary variables to the original ones. If the variable is in the first partition $(L)$, it uses the aux_left_ value; otherwise $(R)$ it uses the aux_right_ value. However, as no parallelism was declared in this case, only a new class, it directly accesses the recently created object corresponding to the recursion to get the current values of the local variables copies.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MergeLocalVars: } \mathbb{P} \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{L, R\} \rightarrow \mathrm{JCode} \\
& \text { MergeLocalVars } \emptyset \text { index newIndex } x=\epsilon \\
& \text { MergeLocalVars }(\{x\} \cup \text { xs }) \text { index newIndex } L= \\
& \quad \mathrm{x}=\text { i_index_newIndex.aux_left_x_index; } \\
& \text { MergeLocalVars xs index newIndex } L \\
& \text { MergeLocalVars }(\{x\} \cup \text { xs }) \text { index newIndex } R= \\
& \mathrm{x}=\text { i_index_newIndex.aux_right_x_index; } \\
& \text { MergeLocalVars xs index newIndex } R
\end{aligned}
$$

For instance, let us consider we are translating a process that has no state component, and that we have one local variable local: $\mathbb{N}$ in scope. Given these conditions, consider the translation of the following action.

$$
\mu X \bullet x:=x+1 ; X
$$

In the resulting program, a class that corresponds to the recursion body is declared. The only attribute of this new class is the a copy of the local variable local. This copy is initialised in the constructor of the class with the value given as argument. As for parallel actions, we replace the references to local variables in scope by references to their respective copies. Furthermore, we replace the recursion point $X$ by an instantiation and execution of an object of this class.

```
class I_O implements CSProcess {
    public Integer aux_left_local_0;
    public I_O(Integer local) {
        this.aux_left_local_0 = local;
    }
    public void run () {
            aux_left_local_0 =
                new Integer(aux_left_local_0.intValue()+1);
            I_0 i_0_1 = new I_0(aux_left_local_0);
            i_0_1.run();
            aux_left_local_0 = i_0_1.aux_left_local_0;
    }
}
```

After declaring the class corresponding to the recursion, we instantiate and run an object of this class. Finally, we restore the values of the local variables in scope.

```
I_0 i_0_2 = new I_0(local);
i_0_2.run();
local = i_0_2.aux_left_local_0;
```

This finishes the translation of the recursive action.

## Action Invocation

If we have a Circus action invocation, all we have to do is to translate it to a method invocation. If no parameter is given, the method invocation has no parameters.

$$
\|[\text { ActName }]^{\text {Action }}=\operatorname{ActName}() ;
$$

However, if any parameter is given, we use a Java expression corresponding to each parameter in the method invocation.

$$
\left.\| \operatorname{ActName}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\right]^{\text {Action }}=\operatorname{ActName}\left(\left(\operatorname{JExp} e_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\operatorname{JExp} e_{n}\right)\right) ;
$$

For invocation of a (parametrised) action, the translation is a new inner class. The name of this class also uses a fresh index in order to avoid name clashes.

```
\(\|(\) Decl \(\bullet\) Action \(\left.)\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\right]^{\text {Action }}=\)
    DeclareActionClass Decl Action index
    I_index i_index_index =
        new I_index \(\left(\left(J E x p ~ e_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(J E x p ~ e_{n}\right)\right.\),
        (JList (ListFirst LocalVarEnv)));
    i_index_index.run();
    MergeLocalVars index index \(L\)
```

First, it declares the an inner class that corresponds to the parameterised action. Then, it instantiates an object of this class with the given arguments, and invokes its run method. Finally, it restores the values of the local variables in scope.

The function DeclareActionClass declares a class representing the parametrised action. As for parallel and recursive actions, each of the local variables in scope has a corresponding copy as an attribute of the new class. The action parameters are also declared as attributes of the new class. Both the local variable copies attributes and the parameters attributes are initialised within the class constructor with the corresponding values given as arguments. The run method of the new class executes
the parametrised action. However, the references to the local variables are replaced by references to their copies.

```
DeclareActionClass: Decl }->\mathrm{ Action }->\mathbb{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
DeclareActionClass Decl Action index
    let sep = if LocalVarEnv = [] then \epsilon else , in
    class I_index implements CSProcess {
        ParamsDecl Decl
        DeclLocalVars LocalVarEnv index L
        public I_index((ParamsArgs Decl) sep
                                    (LocalVarsArg LocalVarEnv)){
            MultiAssign (ParamsDecl Decl) (ParamsArgs Decl)
            InitLocalVars index L
        }
        public void run (){
            RenameVars (|Action]| Action)
                                    (SetFirst LocalVarEnv) index L
        }
    }
```


## Iterated Operators

The iterated operators are translated using for loops. Our strategy considers that only finite sets are used to index the operators. Free types, abbreviations, and subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ are the acceptable sets for typing indexing variables in our strategy. In the case of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$, the elements must be equally spaced. For a given variable $x: T$, the function Inc returns a Java expression that increments the variable $x$ of type $T$ to the next value of $T$. For instance, we have that Inc $x:\{0,1,2\}=\mathrm{x}=$ new $\operatorname{Integer}(\mathrm{x} . \operatorname{intValue}()+1)$ and Inc $y:\{1,11,21\}=y=n e w ~ I n t e g e r(y . i n t V a l u e()+10)$. For free types and abbreviations $F$ we have that Inc $x: F=\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{new} F$ (x.getValue() i ). We also consider that the declarations are in the form $x_{1}: T_{1} ; x_{2}: T_{2} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}$.

The first iterated operator on actions is the iterated sequential composition, ${ }_{9}$. In this case we use the auxiliary function InstActions to create a vector of actions. Then, we execute each action within this vector.

```
|[g}\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{1}{};\ldots;\mp@subsup{x}{n}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{n}{}\bullet\mathrm{ Action]| Action}
    InstActions procVec_index ( }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{1}{};\ldots;\mp@subsup{x}{n}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{n}{})\mathrm{ Action index
    for(int i = 0; i < procVec_index.size(); i++) {
        ((CSProcess)procVec_index.elementAt(i)).run();
    }
```

The function InstActions instantiates a vector containing each of the actions obtained by considering each of the possible values of the indexing variables. First, we declare an inner class representing a parametrised action using function InstActions. The parameters of this action are the indexing variables. Then, it declares a nested loop. In each iteration of the loop, it instantiates a process, using the declared class constructor, and stores it a Vector variable, which name is given as argument to the function. The current values of the indexing variables are given as arguments to the constructor.

For a fresh index, the function InstActions can be defined as follows.

```
InstActions: N }->\mathrm{ Decl }->\mathrm{ Action }->\mathbb{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
InstActions procVecName ( }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{1}{};\ldots;\mp@subsup{x}{n}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{n}{})\mathrm{ Action index =
    Vector procVecName = new Vector();
    DeclareActionClass ( }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{1}{};\ldots;\mp@subsup{x}{n}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{n}{})\mathrm{ Action index
    for ((JType T1) x_1 = (Min T T);
        x_1.compareTo ((Max T T ) )<=0; (Inc \mp@subsup{x}{1}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{1}{})){
        for ((JType T }\mp@subsup{T}{n}{}\mathrm{ ) x_n = ( Min T T );
            x_n.compareTo((Max T T ) )<=0; (Inc \mp@subsup{x}{n}{}:\mp@subsup{T}{n}{})){
            procVecName.addElement(new I_index(x_1,\ldots,x_n));
        }
    }
```

The functions Min and Max return the minimum and the maximum values that a variable of the type given as argument may assume, respectively. In the case of free types and abbreviations, these are stored in static constants in the classes created when translating the given free type or abbreviation (See Section 6.2.6). For a type $F$, we have that the constants F.MIN_F and F.MAX_F represent the minimum and the maximum values of $F$, respectively.

The indexed external choice, however, cannot be directly translated since we need to know which processes take part in the external choice to translate it. For this reason, they must be expanded before being translated.

The indexed internal choice chooses a value for each indexing variable, and then runs the action with the randomly chosen values for the indexing variables in scope. It also uses the class proj.util.RandomGenerator to make these random choices. For a fresh index, its translation is defined as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \sqcap x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \bullet \text { Action } \|^{\text {Action }}= \\
& \quad \text { ChooseIndexVars }\left(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \text { DeclareActionClass }\left(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\right) \text { Action index } \\
& \left.\quad \text { (new I_index }\left(x_{1} 1, \ldots, x_{-}\right)\right) . \text {run }() ;
\end{aligned}
$$

The function ChooseIndexVars randomly chooses a value for each indexing variable. Then, it instantiates the variable with a chosen value.

```
ChooseIndexVars: Decl \(\rightarrow\) JCode
ChooseIndexVars \(\epsilon=\epsilon\)
ChooseIndexVars ( \(x: T ;\) Decs \()=\)
    (JType \(T\) ) \(\mathrm{x}=\)
        new (JType \(T\) )(RandomGenerator.generateNumber (
                                    (Min \(T),(\operatorname{Max} T)))\) )
    ChooseIndexVars Decs
```

As the external choice, the indexed parallelism and interleaving of actions in the form described below must also be extended before being translated.

$$
\left.\| i:(\operatorname{Min} T) . .(\operatorname{Max} T)\} \| \alpha_{i}|c s| \bigcup_{j:(\operatorname{Inc} i: T) . .(\operatorname{Max} T)\}} \alpha_{j}\right] \bullet A(i)
$$

For instance, consider the following indexed parallelism.

$$
\|i:\{0 . .2\}\|\left[\alpha_{i}|c s| \bigcup_{j:\{i+1 . .2\}} \alpha_{j}\right] \bullet A(i)
$$

In our strategy, before its translation, we must expand as defined below.

$$
\left.\left.A(0) \| \alpha_{0}|c s| \alpha_{1} \cup \alpha_{2}\right] \|\left(A(1) \| \alpha_{1}|c s| \alpha_{2}\right] \mid A(2)\right)
$$

## Commands

Assignments are directly translated to Java assignments. However, in the case of multiple assignments, if the right-hand side of the assignment depends on any variable present in the left-hand side of the assignment, we must store all the values of the variables involved in the multiple assignment before it actually happens, and then use the new auxiliary variable in the right-hand side of the Java assignment.

```
\| x : = e ] \| ~ A c t i o n ~ = ~ x = ( J E x p ~ e ) ;
\| [ x _ { 1 } , \ldots , x _ { n } : = e _ { 1 } , \ldots , e _ { n } ] ^ { \text { Action } } =
    if ({\mp@subsup{x}{1}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{x}{n}{}}\cap(FV(\mp@subsup{e}{1}{})\cup\ldots\cupFV(\mp@subsup{e}{n}{}))=\emptyset) then
        x_1=(JExp }\mp@subsup{e}{1}{\prime}); ...; x_n=(JExp en)
    else
        (JType (CType \mp@subsup{x}{1}{})) aux_x_1 = (JExp e e ) ;
        ...;
        (JType (CType x ()) aux_x_n = (JExp en );
        x_1=(JExp e e ) [x_1, ..., x_n \aux_x_1, .., aux_x_n];
        ...;
```



Alternations(if_fi) are translated to if-then-else blocks. The possible nondeterminism is removed by choosing the first guard that is true. If none of the guards is true, the action behaves like Chaos.

```
\(\|\) if \(g_{1} \rightarrow A_{1} \square \ldots \square g_{n} \rightarrow A_{n} \mathbf{f i} \|^{\text {Action }}=\)
    if \(\left(\left(J E x p g_{1}\right)\right)\{\)
        \(\left|\left[A_{1}\right]\right|^{\text {Action }}\)
    \} else if (...
    \} else if \(\left(\left(\operatorname{JExp} g_{n}\right)\right)\{\)
        \(\left.\mid\left[A_{n}\right]\right]^{\text {Action }}\)
    \} else \{ while(true) \{\} \}
```

Variable declarations only introduce the declared variables in scope. Implicitly, this is also reflected in the local variables environment.

```
\(\| \operatorname{var} x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \bullet\) Action \(]^{\text {Action }}=\)
    (JType \(T_{1}\) ) \(\mathrm{x} \_1 ; \ldots\); (JType \(T_{n}\) ) \(\mathrm{x} \_\mathrm{n}\);
    \(\mid[\) Action \(]{ }^{\text {Action }}\)
```


### 6.2.5 Transformation of Compound Processes

We now concentrate in the translation of the processes that are defined in terms of other processes. In the first case, we have a single process name $N$. In order to run the process $N$, we must instantiate it, and then, invoke its run method. The visible channels of the process are given as arguments to the process constructor.

```
| N]| Proc =
    (new CSProcess(){
        public void run() {
            (new N(ExtractChans VisChanEnv)).run();
        }
    }).run();
```

If, however, we use parameters in the process invocation, besides the visible channels, we must also use the corresponding Java expressions to each given parameter in the instantiation of the object that represents this process.

```
\(\left|\left[N\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\right]\right|^{P r o c}=\)
    (new CSProcess()\{
        public void run() \{
            (new PName ((JExp \(\left.e_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(J E x p e_{n}\right)\),
                                    (ExtractChans VisChanEnv))).run();
        \}
    \}). run() ;
```

The function ExtractChans returns a list of the channel names in the domain of a given ChanUseEnv as defined below.

```
ExtractChans: ChanUseEnv \(\rightarrow\) JCode
ExtractChans \(\emptyset=\epsilon\)
ExtractChans \(\{c \mapsto t\}=c\)
ExtractChans \(\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v=c,(\) ExtractChans \(v), v \neq \emptyset\)
```

As for actions, the invocation of (parametrised) processes is translated to a new inner class. It runs the parametrised process instantiated with the given arguments. The name of the new inner class is also indexed by a fresh index to avoid name clashes, as presented below.

```
\(\|\left.\left[(\right.\) Decl \(\bullet\) Proc \(\left.)\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\right]\right|^{\text {Proc }}=\)
    DeclareProcessClass Decl Proc index
    I_index i_index_index =
        new I_index ( \(\left(J E x p e_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(J E x p e_{n}\right)\),
    (JList (ListFirst LocalVarEnv))) ;
    i_index_index.run();
```

The function DeclareProcessClass declares a class representing the parametrised process. The only attributes of this new class are the process parameters, which are given as a declaration list to the function. These attributes are initialised in the class constructor with the values given as arguments to the constructor. The body of method run is the Java code obtained with the translation of the process given as argument to the function.

```
DeclareProcessClass: Decl }->\mathrm{ Proc }->\mathbb{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
DeclareProcessClass Decl Proc index =
    class I_index implements CSProcess {
    ParamsDecl Decl
    public I_index(ParamsArgs Decl){
        MultiAssign (ParamsDecl Decl) (ParamsArgs Decl)
    }
    public void run (){
        |Proc] Proc
    }
    }
```

The sequential composition is also easily translated to the execution of the
second process after the execution of the first one finishes.

```
\(\|\) Proc \(_{1} ; \ldots ;\) Proc \(\left._{n}\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Proc }}=\)
    (new CSProcess()\{
        public void run() \{ \(\|\) Proc \(\left.]^{\text {Proc }}\right\}\)
    \}). run();
    (new CSProcess()\{
        public void run() \{ \(\|\) Proc \(\left.]\left._{n}\right|^{\text {Proc }}\right\}\)
    \}).run();
```

External choice has a similar solution to that presented for actions. The idea is to create an alternative in which all the initial channels of both processes, that are not hidden, take part. However, all auxiliary functions used in the previous definitions take actions into account. All we have to do is create similar functions that take processes into account.

As the internal choice for actions, the internal choice for processes randomly chooses a process, and then, starts to behave as such.

```
|Proc}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{1}}{1}{}\sqcap\ldots\sqcap\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Proc}}{n}{}|\mp@subsup{|}{}{\mathrm{ Proc }}
    int choosen = RandomGenerator.generateNumber(0,n);
    switch(choosen) {
        case 0:
            { |[Proc}\mp@subsup{|}{1}{|}\mp@subsup{|}{}{Proc}
            break;
        case n:
            { |[Proc}\mp@subsup{|}{n}{|}\mp@subsup{|}{}{\mathrm{ Proc }}
            break;
    }
```

The renaming operation is translated by a simple substitution in the translated Java code.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\| \text { Proc }\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}:=y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Proc }}= \\
& \quad \mid\left.[\text { Proc }]\right|^{\text {Proc }}\left[\mathrm{x}_{-} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{-} \backslash \mathrm{y}_{-} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{-} \mathrm{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As for actions, the iterated operators are translated using for loops. The same restrictions apply for processes. The first iterated operator on processes is the sequential composition ${ }_{9}$. As for actions, we use an auxiliary function. However, as we are translating processes, this auxiliary function creates a vector of processes.

Then, we execute each process within this vector. For a fresh index, the translation can be defined as follows.

```
\(\| 9 x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \bullet\) Proc \(]^{\text {Proc }}=\)
    (new CSProcess()\{
        public void run() \{
            InstProcesses procVec_index ( \(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\) ) Proc index
            for (int i = 0; i<procVec_index.size() ; i++)\{
                ((CSProcess)procVec_index.get(i)).run();
            \}
        \}
    \}).run();
```

Similar to the auxiliary function used for action, the function InstProcesses instantiates a vector containing each of the processes obtained by considering each of the possible values of the indexing variables. First, we declare an inner class representing a parametrised process using function InstProcesses. The parameters of this process are the indexing variables. Then, it declares a nested loop. In each iteration of the loop, it instantiates a process, using the declared class constructor, and stores it a Vector variable, which name is given as argument to the function. The current values of the indexing variables are given as arguments to the constructor.

```
InstProcesses : \(\mathrm{N} \rightarrow\) Decl \(\rightarrow\) Proc \(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
InstProcesses procVecName ( \(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\) ) Proc index \(=\)
    Vector procVecName \(=\) new Vector();
    DeclareProcessClass ( \(\left.x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\right)\) Proc index
    for ((JType \(\left.T_{1}\right) \mathrm{x}_{1} 1=\left(\operatorname{Min} T_{1}\right)\);
        x_1.compareTo \(\left(\left(\operatorname{Max} T_{1}\right)\right)<=0\); \(\left.\left(\operatorname{Inc} x_{1}: T_{1}\right)\right)\{\)
        for \(\left(\left(J\right.\right.\) Type \(\left.T_{n}\right) \mathrm{x} \_\mathrm{n}=\left(\operatorname{Min} T_{n}\right)\);
                x_n.compareTo \(\left.\left(\left(\operatorname{Max} T_{n}\right)\right)<=0 ;\left(\operatorname{Inc} x_{n}: T_{n}\right)\right)\{\)
            proc VecName.add(new I_index(x_1,..., x_n);
        \}
    \}
```

As for actions, the iterated external choice must be expanded before being translated. The iterated internal choice chooses a value for each indexing variable, and then, runs the process with the randomly chosen values for the indexing
variables in scope.

```
\(\| \sqcap x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \bullet\) Proc \(\|^{\text {Proc }}=\)
    (new CSProcess()\{
        public void run() \{
            ChooseIndexVars ( \(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\) )
            DeclareProcessClass \(\left(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\right)\) Proc index
            (new I_index (x_1,..., x_n)).run();
        \}
    \}). run();
```

Again, we consider index as fresh index.
The iterated parallelism of processes are simpler than the iterated parallelism of actions. The fact that, for processes, we do not need to deal with partitions of variables in scope is the reason for that. Its translation uses the function InstProcesses to instantiate the vector containing each of the processes obtained by considering each of the possible values of the indexing variables. Then, it transforms this Vector of processes in an array of processes, which is given to the constructor of a Parallel process. Finally, we run the Parallel process.

```
\(\|\left.\left[\left\|x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\right\|[C S E x p] \mid\right.\) Proc \(]\right|^{\text {Proc }}=\)
    (new CSProcess()\{
        public void run() \{
            InstProcesses procVec_index \(\left(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\right)\) Proc index
            CSProcess [] processes_index =
                new CSProcess[procVec_index.size()];
            for (int i = 0; i < procVec_index.size(); i++)\{
                processes_index[i] =
                    (CSProcess)procVec_index.get(i);
            \}
            (new Parallel(processes_index)).run();
        \}
    \}).run();
```

The indexed operator translation needs the concept of array of channels, which is introduced in Section 6.3. For this reason, its presentation is left to Section 6.4.

### 6.2.6 Z Paragraphs

## Free Types and Abbreviations.

Our strategy takes into account only free types or abbreviations defined in terms of, at most, one other type. Furthermore, two different types should not extend the
same type, since, in our strategy, this would lead to multiple inheritance, which is not allowed in Java.

One new class is created for each declared type. They extend the class defined by function DeclareTypeClass presented below.

```
DeclareTypeClass: Program }->\textrm{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
DeclareTypeClass prog proj =
    package proj.typing;
    public abstract class Type {
        private int value;
        DeclTypeConstants TypesEnv 0
        public int getValue() { return this.value; }
        protected void setValue(int value) {
        this.value = value;
        }
        public boolean equals(Type other) {
        boolean equals = false;
        if (other != null) {
            boolean sameClass =
            this.getClass().equals(other.getClass());
        boolean sameValue =
            (this.getValue()==other.getValue());
                equals = (sameClass && sameValue);
        }
        return equals;
    }
    public int compareTo(Type other) {
        int compare = -1;
        if (other != null) {
                if (this.getValue() == other.getValue()) {
            compare = 0;
        } else if (this.getValue() > other.getValue()) {
            compare = 1;
                }
        }
        return compare;
    }
}
```

Each type object contains one int value. This value is declared private, but it can be accessed using the getValue method. For each type $T$ used within the system, the class declares a constant identifier for this type. The function

DeclTypeConstants declares these constants and two special static constants used to declare the range of the types identifiers. The motivation for the existence of these constants is related to the use of generic channels (See Section 6.3).

```
DeclareTypeConstants: seq \(\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
DeclareTypeConstants [] \(n=\)
    public static final int MIN_TYPE_ID = 0;
    public static final int MAX_TYPE_ID \(=n\);
DeclareTypeConstants (T:TS) \(n=\)
    public static final int (Capitals (JType T)) \(=n\);
    DeclareTypeConstants TS \((n+1)\)
```

The method equals from class java.lang. Object is overwritten within the Type class: two type objects are equal only if they are of the same class and have the same value within them. The method compareTo is used in the nested loops created by the translation of iterated operators. It returns the value 0 , if the argument Type is equal to this Type; a value less than 0 , if this Type is numerically less than the Type argument; and a value greater than 0 , if this Type is numerically greater than the Type argument (signed comparison).

To translate a free type or an abbreviation, we have to check if it was extended by a previously defined type or not. If this is not the case, we have that, for each possible value of the given free type, we declare a static constant that can be used throughout the classes in the project.

When the free type is extended by a previous defined type, it is declared an specialisation (extends) of the extension type; otherwise, it extends the class Type. For instance, consider the following types.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{A}==T_{B} \cup\{3,4\} \\
& T_{B}::=0|1| 2
\end{aligned}
$$

The translation of these two types creates two classes: the class T_A, which represents the type $T_{A}$, extends the class Type; and the class $T_{\_} B$, which represents the type $T_{B}$, extends the class T_A.

We consider that a type inheritance environment tInheritance : $N \rightarrow N$ is available throughout the translation. It maps type classes names to their super classes. In our example above, $T_{B} \mapsto T_{A}$ would be an element of this environment.

The translation of a free type declaration verifies if a declared type FTName is mapped to any type in the type environment. If it is not mapped to any declared type, this class is declared as an extension of class Type. Besides, a constant is declared for each possible value of this type, and the range values of these constants are also declared. However, if FTName is mapped to any other declared type, its corresponding class is declared as an extension of the class corresponding to the
type it is mapped to. Besides, as the constants of typing subclasses are already declared in their super classes, we need only to declare its range constants.

```
\(\|-1]^{\text {Types }}:\) Program \(\rightarrow \mathrm{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
\(\| \epsilon]\left.\right|^{\text {Types }}\) proj \(=\epsilon\)
\(\mid\left.\left[\right.\) FTName \(::=V_{0}|\ldots| V_{n}\) Types \(]\right|^{\text {Types }}\) proj \(=\)
    let superClass \(=\)
            if (FTName \(\notin(\) dom tInheritance \()\) )
            then Type
            else tInheritance (FTName),
        constants \(=\)
            if (FTName \(\notin(\) dom tInheritance \())\)
            then (DeclFTConstants ( \(\left.\left[V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n}\right]\right) 0\) FTName)
            else (DeclFTRange \(0 n\) FTName) in
        package proj.typing;
        public class FTName extends superClass \{
            constants
            protected FTName() \(\}\)
            public FTName(int value) \{ this.setValue(value); \}
        \}
        \(\mid\left.[\) Types \(]\right|^{\text {Types }}\) proj
```

The constructors of possible existing subclasses of FTName, by default, invoke the FTName empty constructor. This constructor would be implicitly declared if no other constructor were declared. This, however, is not the case. For this reason, the empty constructor must be declared. We declare it as protected to limit the access to such constructor, since we intend it to be used only by subclasses constructors.

The function DeclFTConstants declares a static constant for each possible value within the given type.

```
DeclFTConstants: seq N}->\mathbb{N}->\textrm{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
DeclFTConstants (V : []) n name =
    public static final int V = n;
    DeclFTRange 0 n name
DeclFTConstants (V:VS) n name =
    public static final int V = n;
    DeclFTConstants VS (n+1) name
```

Finally, it declares two static constants to represent the range of the values of this
type.

```
DeclFTRange : \(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
DeclFTRange min max name \(=\)
    public static final int MIN_name = min;
    public static final int MAX_name = max;
```

In the case of abbreviations $\mathrm{N}==$ Expression, we have two cases: either it extends a declared type (i.e. $T_{A}==T_{B} \cup S$ ) or it restricts a declared type(i.e. $T_{A}==T_{B} \backslash S$ ). In both cases, the type that expands is declared as a superclass of the class representing the expanded type. We consider two possible cases: the first case is that of a type $T N a m e_{\text {exp }}$ being declared as an expansion of a type TName. We consider that the expansion of the declaration of the type TName is $V_{0}|\ldots| V_{n}$. As in the translation of a free type declaration, the new class inheritance and constants declared within it depend on whether this type is mapped to any other type in the typing environment or not. However, its constructor checks if the given value is one of the values in the sequence $V_{0}, \ldots, V_{m}$.

```
|TName exp}==\mathrm{ TName }\cup{\mp@subsup{V}{n+1}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{V}{m}{}}\mathrm{ Types ]| Types proj =
    let superClass=
        if (TName ext & (dom tInheritance))
        then Type
        else tInheritance(TName ext )
        constants =
    if (TName ext }\not=(\mathrm{ dom tInheritance))
    then (DeclFTConstants ([V
    else (DeclFTRange 0 n TName ext) in
        package proj.typing;
        public class TName_exp extends superClass {
            constants
            public TName_exp(){}
            public TName_exp(int value) { this.setValue(value); }
        }
        |Types ] \Types proj
```

The second case considers a type TName being declared as a restriction of a type $T N a m e_{\text {exp }}$. Our strategy considers that if a type $T_{2}$ restricts a type $T_{1}$, the values of the type $T_{1}$ that are removed in the type $T_{2}$ are declared in the end of $T_{1}$ declaration. For instance, suppose we want to define a type $T_{2}::=T_{1} \backslash\{C, D\}$. In our strategy, $T_{1}$ must be declared before $T_{2}$ as $T_{1}::=A|B| C \mid D$. In the definition below, we consider that the expansion of the declaration of the type TName $_{\text {exp }}$ is $V_{0}|\ldots| V_{n}\left|V_{n+1}\right| \ldots \mid V_{n}$.

The created class is declared as an extension of the class TName_exp. As all the attributes of class TName_exp are visible from class TName, we do not declare a new constant for each value of TName. However, since type TName has a different range of values, the range constants are redeclared.

```
\| [ T N a m e = = T N a m e ~ e x p ~ \ \{ V _ { n + 1 } , \ldots , V _ { m } \} \text { Types ]\| Types proj =}
    package proj.typing;
    public class TName extends TName_exp {
        DeclFTRange 0 n TName
        public TName(){}
        public TName(int value) { this.setValue(value); }
    }
    |Types ] Types proj
```


## Axiomatic Definitions.

The class proj.axiomaticDefinitions.AxiomaticDefinitions encapsulates all axiomatic definitions as static methods within it. The function DeclareAxDefClass declares this class and is defined below.

```
DeclareAxDefClass: Program }->\textrm{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
DeclareAxDefClass AxDefs proj =
    package proj.axiomaticDefinitions;
    import proj.typing.*;
    public class AxiomaticDefinitions {|[AxDefs ]| AxDefs }
```

For each axiomatic definition, a different static method is created within the class AxiomaticDefinitions. We present below the definition of the function $\|--] \|^{\text {AxDefs }}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {AxDefs }}: \text { Program } \rightarrow \text { JCode } \\
& \|\left.[\epsilon]\right|^{\text {AxDefs }}=\epsilon \\
& \| v: T \mid v=e_{1} \text { AxDefs } \|^{\text {AxDefs }}= \\
& \quad \text { public static }(\text { JType } T) v() \text { \{ return }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { JExp } \left.e_{1}\right) ;
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \quad \| \text { AxDefs }] \|^{\text {AxDefs }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The return expression of the new method is a Java expression that implements the expression $e_{1}$.

### 6.2.7 Utilities Classes

A package proj.util for utilities classes is also generated in our translation strategy. When created, it contains only one class RandomGenerator used to generate
random numbers. The Java API contains some random utilities. However, we need a method that, given two integers, it returns a random number between these two numbers. Such a method is not yet provided, and for this reason, we declare this utility class.

```
DeclareRandomGenerator: N }->\textrm{JCode
DeclareRandomGenerator proj =
package proj.util;
public class RandomGenerator {
        public static int generateNumber(int min, int max){
        int randomNumber = min;
        Long randomLong =
        new Long(Math.round(Math.random()*100));
        int randomInt = randomLong.intValue();
        int numberOfIntervals = max - min + 1;
        int interval = 100 / numberOfIntervals;
        boolean ready = false;
        for (int i=1; i<=numberOfIntervals && !ready ; i++){
        if (randomInt <= i*interval) {
            randomNumber = min+i-1;
            ready=true;
        }
        }
        return randomNumber;
    }
}
```


### 6.2.8 Circus Programs

Our translation strategy is summarised by a translation function $\|--]\left.\right|^{\text {Program }}$. Besides the Circus program, this function also receives a project name, which is used to declare the package for each new class.

As discussed in Section 6.2, our strategy assumes that the paragraphs within a Circus program are grouped in three types and declared in this order: Z paragraphs,
channel declarations, and process declarations.

```
\(\|-\|]^{\text {Program }}:\) Program \(\rightarrow \mathrm{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
\(\|\) Types AxDefs ChanDecls ProcDecls \(\left.\right|^{\text {Program }}\) proj \(=\)
    DeclareTypeClass (Types AxDefs ChanDecls ProcDecls) proj
    DeclareRandomGenerator proj
    \(\mid[\text { Types }]^{\text {Types }}\) proj
    DeclareAxDefClass proj AxDefs
    \(\mid\left.[\) ProcDecls \(]\right|^{\text {ProcDecls }}\) proj
```

The function $|[-]|^{\text {Program }}$ declares the class RandomGenerator. In the sequel, it declares the Java classes representing each free type and abbreviation declared in the program, and the Java class that encapsulates all the axiomatic definitions. Finally, it translates all the declared processes.

### 6.2.9 Running the program.

The code generated by $|[-]|^{\text {Program }}$ is a sequence of class definitions, that implement all the processes of the Circus program. The function $\|--]\left.\right|^{R u n}$ can be used to generate a class with a main method, which can be used to execute a given process. This function is applied to a Circus process, and a project name. It creates a Java class named Main, which is created in the package proj. After the package declaration, the class imports the package java.util, that contains some Java utilities; and the package jcsp.lang, that contains all JCSP basic classes. Then, it imports all the existing packages within the project. The main method, which is invoked when running the class Main, has the translation of the given process as its body.

```
[-] |run: Proc }->\textrm{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
|Proc]| 隹 proj =
    package proj;
    import java.util.*;
    import jcsp.lang.*;
    import proj.axiomaticDefinitions.*;
    import proj.processes.*;
    import proj.typing.*;
    import proj.util.*;
    public class Main {
        public static void main(String args[]) {| |Proc]| Proc }
    }
```


### 6.3 Other types of communications

In this section, we extend the types of communications considered in our strategy; we deal with generic channels ( $\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{N}]$ ) and communication events of the form N.Expression. We consider that the uses of such channels first declare possible synchronisation values, and finally the input/output value. By way of illustration, consider the following channel declaration.

$$
\text { channel }[T] c: \mathbb{N} \times T
$$

This declaration declares family of channels $c$, which, given a type $T$ synchronises in a natural number and communicates a value of type $T$. As in Section 6.2, our strategy still constrains the channels to have only one input/output value. Multiple inputs/outputs must be encapsulated in Java objects.

Two other important constraints are: firstly, channels may synchronise only values of finite types; further, all the types used within the system must be finite. These constraints arise from the fact that our strategy uses possible multi-dimensional arrays of channels for representing such generic channels and synchronisation events; infinite types would lead to infinite arrays.

For the purpose of characterising the kind of communication contemplated by our strategy, our definition of Comm can be extended as follows.

```
Comm ::= Chann?N | Chann!Expression | Chann
Chann ::= N Typing* Sync*
Typing ::= [Expression]
Sync ::= .Expression
```

A very important change in this extension is the use of a new channel environment SyncCommEnv: ChanSyncEnv. It maps each channel used within the system to a value of type $S C$, which indicates if the channel is a communication channel $(C)$, or a synchronisation channel $(S)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S C::=S \mid C \\
& \text { ChanSyncEnv }==\mathrm{N} \rightarrow S C
\end{aligned}
$$

The previous defined function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {Program }}$ (pg. 155) can then be substituted by its extended version defined below.

```
\(\|[-]]^{\text {Programext }_{\text {ext }}}:\) Program \(\rightarrow \mathrm{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
|TTypes AxDefs ChanDecls ProcDecls]| \(\left.\right|^{\text {Program }}\) proj \(=\)
    DeclareTypeClass (Types AxDefs ChanDecls ProcDecls) proj
    DeclareRandomGenerator proj
    \(\mid\left.[\) Types \(]\right|^{\text {Types }}\) proj
    DeclareAxDefClass proj AxDefs
    \(\mid\left.[\) ProcDecls \(]\right|^{\text {ProcDeclseat }}\) proj
```

The function $\|[-]]^{\text {ProcDeclsest }_{\text {st }}}$ is an extended version of function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {ProcDecls }}$ (pg. 122). Its definition is similar to its original version, but it uses the extended version of function $\|-\left.H\right|^{\text {ParProc }}$ (pg. 123) defined below.

```
|[_] |arProcest : ParProc }->\mathrm{ JCode
|Decl \bullet Proc] |arProcext =
    ParamsDecl Decl
    VisibleCDeclext VisChanEnv ChanTypeEnv SyncCommEnv
    HiddenCDeclext HidChanEnv ChanTypeEnv SyncCommEnv
    public P(ParamsArgs Decl,
            (VisibleCArgs ext VisChanEnv ChanTypeEnv
                                    SyncCommEnv)){
        MultiAssign (ParamsDecl Decl) (ParamsArgs Decl)
        MultiAssign (VisibleCDeclext VisChanEnv ChanTypeEnv
                                    SyncCommEnv)
        (VisibleCArgs ext VisChanEnv ChanTypeEnv
                                    SyncCommEnv)
        HiddenCCreation ext HidChanEnv ChanTypeEnv
                SyncCommEnv TypesEnv
    }
    public void run(){ |[Proc]| |rocext }
```

The following sections describe the steps of the translation that are extended.

### 6.3.1 Declaration of visible channels.

The function VisibleCDeclext extends the function VisibleCDecl. Its basic difference to the original definition is the possibility of channel array declaration.

```
VisibleCDeclext : ChanUseEnv \(\rightarrow\) ChanEnv \(\rightarrow\) ChanSyncEnv \(\rightarrow\) JCode
VisibleCDecl \(l_{\text {ext }} \emptyset \delta \zeta=\epsilon\)
VisibleCDeclext \((\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v) \delta \zeta=\)
    private (TypeChan t)
        (ArrayDimension \((f s t(\delta c))(s n d(\delta c))(\zeta c) 0) c ;\)
    VisibleCDecl \({ }_{\text {ext }} v \delta \zeta\)
```

The visible channels may be declared as an array of channels. The auxiliary function ArrayDimension defines this dimension of possible array of channels.

If the channel declaration just gives a channel name, but not type, the channel types environment maps this channel name to a pair which has the empty list as its first element (gen) and the list [Sync] as its the second element (types). In this case, the channel is only a synchronising event, and it is not declared as an array
of channels. Otherwise, it may be an array of channels (Dimension $=0$ ). We store in genExp the number of types used within the channel declaration (types) that are declared as generic (gen). For each such channel, two dimensions are added to the final dimension of this array. Any further type ((\#types) - genExp) adds one dimension to the array. However, if the channel is a communication channel $(s c=C)$, one dimension is removed from the final array dimension since the last type is a communication and not a synchronisation. Furthermore, an extra argument gap can be used to decrease the final array dimension.

In the following definition, we use the notation (code) ${ }^{n}$ to represent $n$ repetitions of code; if $n \leq 0$, (code) ${ }^{n}$ is the empty string $\epsilon$.

```
ArrayDimension: seq Expression }->\mathrm{ seq Expression }->\mathrm{ SC }->\mathbb{N}->\mathrm{ JCode
ArrayDimension gen types sc gap =
    let genExp = (Count types gen) in
        let Dimension =
            if (types = [Sync]) then 0
            else if (sc=C) then
                (genExp * 2) + ((#types) - genExp ) - 1
            else (genExp * 2) + ((#types) - genExp) in
        ([]) Dimension-gap
```

The expression genExp represents the number of types used in the channel declaration that are declared as generic. It is defined using the function Count, which returns the number of expressions in the first list that are present in the second list, and can be recursively defined as follows.

```
Count : seq Expression \(\rightarrow\) seq Expression \(\rightarrow \mathbb{N}\)
Count ts [] = Count [] ns \(=0\)
Count \([t](n: n s)=\) if \((t=n)\) then 1 else (Count \([t] n s)\)
Count \((t: t s) n s=(\) Count \([t] n s)+(\) Count ts ns \()\)
```


### 6.3.2 Declaration of Hidden Channels.

As for the used channels, the function HiddenCDecl $l_{\text {ext }}$ extends the function HiddenCDecl. The definition of the dimension of possible arrays of channels is the same as for the visible channels. However, we declare the channels as Any20neChannel channels, since they are instantiated within this process.

```
HiddenCDecl \({ }_{\text {ext }}\) : ChanUseEnv \(\rightarrow\) ChanEnv \(\rightarrow\) ChanSyncEnv \(\rightarrow\) JCode
HiddenCDeclext \(\emptyset \delta \zeta=\epsilon\)
HiddenCDeclext \((\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v) \delta \zeta=\)
    private Any20neChannel
        (ArrayDimension \((f s t(\delta c))(s n d(\delta c))(\zeta c) 0) \mathrm{c}\);
    HiddenCDeclext \(v \delta \zeta\)
```


### 6.3.3 Channel arguments in the constructor.

The function VisibleCArgs is also extended. Its extension is very similar to the original one. However, it also takes into account the existence of possible channel arrays, using the auxiliary function ArrayDimension.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { VisibleCArgs }_{\text {ext }}: \text { ChanUseEnv } \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \rightarrow \text { ChanSyncEnv } \rightarrow \text { JCode } \\
& \text { VisibleCArgs } \emptyset \delta \zeta=\epsilon \\
& \text { VisibleCArgsext }^{(\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v) \delta \zeta=} \\
& \quad \text { (TypeChan } t)(\text { ArrayDimension }(f s t(\delta c))(\operatorname{snd}(\delta c))(\zeta c) 0) \text { newc, } \\
& \text { VisibleCArgs } \text { ext } v \delta \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.3.4 Instantiation of hidden channels.

If the hidden channel is not declared as an array, the function ArrayDimension returns the empty string $\epsilon$. In this case, the channel is instantiated as a Any20neChannel channel. However, if the function ArrayDimension does not return the empty string, we use the auxiliary function InstArray to instantiate the channel as an array of channels.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HiddenCCreation }{ }_{\text {ext }} \text { : ChanUseEnv } \rightarrow \text { ChanEnv } \rightarrow \text { ChanSyncEnv } \rightarrow \\
& \text { seq Expression } \rightarrow \text { JCode }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
HiddenCCreation \({ }_{\text {ext }} \emptyset \delta \zeta\) types \(=\epsilon\)
HiddenCCreation ext \((\{c \mapsto t\} \cup v) \delta \zeta\) types \(=\)
    let brackets \(=(\) ArrayDimension \((f s t(\delta c))(s n d(\delta c))(\zeta c) 0)\) in
        if (brackets \(=\epsilon\) ) then this. \(\mathrm{c}=\) new Any2OneChannel () ;
        else this. \(c=(\) InstArray \((f s t(\delta c))(\) snd \((\delta c))(\zeta c)\) types \()\);
```

        HiddenCCreation \(_{\text {ext }} v \delta \zeta\) types
    The function InstArray instantiates an array of channels. It uses the auxiliary function ArrayDimension to determine the dimension of the array that is being instantiated. If the first type of the channel declaration (head types) is a generic type, we have that it is in the sequence of generic types gen used in the channel declaration. For this reason, we know that Count [head types] gen $>0$. In this case, we instantiate an array of channel with a dimension determined by function ArrayDimension. This instantiation uses an auxiliary function GenericInst, which declare as many arrays as the number of types used within the system. However, if we do not have a generic type, and it is the last (or maybe the only) type in the channel declaration, we use the function BaseCase to declare either a channel instantiation, or an array of channels creation. Finally, if neither of the previous conditions hold, we instantiate an array of channels with dimension defined by the function ArrayDimension. In this case, the function InstArray recurses in order to
consider the remaining types used in the channel declaration.

```
InstArray : \(\mathrm{N} \rightarrow\) seq Expression \(\rightarrow\) seq Expression \(\rightarrow\)
    \(S C \rightarrow\) seq Expression \(\rightarrow\) JCode
InstArray gen types sc typesEnv \(=\)
    let brackets \(=(\) ArrayDimension gen types sc 0\()\) in
        if (Count [head types] gen \(>0\) ) then
                new Any2OneChannel brackets\{
                GenericInst gen types sc typesEnv typesEnv
        \}
        else if (\#types \(=1\) ) then
        BaseCase (head types) sc
        else new Any2OneChannel brackets\{
                InstArray gen (tail types) sc typesEnv
        \}
```

The base case instantiates a single channel, if the channel is a communication channel, and an array of channels, if the channel is a synchronisation channel.

BaseCase: Expression $\rightarrow S C \rightarrow$ JCode
BaseCase T $C=$ new Any2OneChannel()
BaseCase T S = One2OneChannel.create ((Max T)-(Min T)+1)
The instantiation of a generic channel declares an element for each type used within the system. For each one of these types, it invokes the function InstArrayType to instantiate the corresponding array for that type.

GenericInst : seq Expression $\rightarrow$ seq Expression $\rightarrow S C \rightarrow$
seq Expression $\rightarrow$ seq Expression $\rightarrow$ JCode
GenericInst gen types sc typesEnv $[T]=$
InstArrayType gen types sc typesEnv
GenericInst gen types sc typesEnv $(T: T S)=$
InstArrayType gen types sc typesEnv,
GenericInst gen types sc typesEnv TS
The function InstArrayType verifies if this is the last type in the declaration of the channel. In this case, it invokes the BaseCase function to instantiate the channels for the given type. However, if there are further types in the channel declaration, it declares an array with one dimension less then the current array dimension, and then invokes the function TypeInst to make a instantiation for each element of the
given type.

```
InstArrayType : seq Expression \(\rightarrow\) seq Expression \(\rightarrow S C \rightarrow\)
    seq Expression \(\rightarrow\) JCode
InstArrayType gen ([T]) sc typesEnv \(=\) BaseCase \(T\) sc
InstArrayType gen types sc typesEnv \(=\)
    new One2OneChannel(ArrayDimension gen types sc 1)\{
        TypeInst gen types sc typesEnv
        \(((\) Max \((\) head types \())-(\) Min (head types \())+1)\)
    \}
```

The function TypeInst invokes the function InstArray for the other types of the channel declaration for each element in the current type.

```
TypeInst : seq Expression \(\rightarrow\) seq Expression \(\rightarrow S C \rightarrow\)
    seq Expression \(\rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
TypeInst gen types sc types \(1=\) InstArray gen (tail types) sc typesEnv
TypeInst gen types sc types \(n=\)
    InstArray gen (tail types) sc typesEnv,
    TypeInst gen types sc typesEnv \((n-1)\)
```


### 6.3.5 Using the channels.

The extended version of function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{P r o c}$ uses the extended versions of functions $\|[-]^{P \text { Pars }}$ and $\left.\|[-]\right]^{\text {Action }}$. The function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {PParsert }}$ differs from the original function because it also uses the extended version of function $\|[-]\|^{\text {Action }}$. The function $\|[-]\|^{\text {Action } n_{\text {ert }}}$ is equal to the original one, except for two Circus constructions: communication and external choice.

For communications, the extended version uses an extension of the auxiliary function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {Comm }}$. I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|[-]\|^{\text {Action }_{\text {ext }}}: \text { Action } \rightarrow \text { JCode } \\
& \|\left[\text { Comm }_{\rightarrow \text { Action }] \|_{\text {Action }}^{\text {Act }}}=\right. \\
& \|[\text { Comm }]]^{\text {Comm }} \text { ext }
\end{aligned}
$$

Our strategy still restricts the communication to have only one input or one output value. The definition of function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {Commext }^{\prime}}$ is very similar to that of function $\|\left.[-]\right|^{\text {Comm }}$. However, it also takes into account synchronisation channels using the
auxiliary function Sync $C$ as follows.

```
\(\|-] \|^{\text {Comm }_{\text {ert }}}:\) Comm \(\rightarrow\) JCode
\(\left.\| c\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] . e_{0} \ldots . e_{m} ? x\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Comm }_{\text {ext }}}=\)
    let commType \(=\) last \((\) snd \((\) ChanTypeEnv \(c))\) in
        let sync \(C=\) SyncC \(\left(\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] . e_{0} \ldots . e_{m}\right)\)
                                    (fst (ChanTypeEnv c))
                                    (snd (ChanTypeEnv c)) in
            (JType commType) \(\mathrm{x}=(\) JType commType) csyncC.read();
\(\left.\| c\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] \cdot e_{0} \ldots e_{m}!x\right]^{\text {Comm }_{\text {ext }}}=\)
    let sync \(C=\) Sync \(C\left(\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] . e_{0} \ldots . e_{m}\right)\)
        ( fst (ChanTypeEnv c)) (snd (ChanTypeEnv c)) in
        csyncC.write((JExp \(x)\) );
\(\left.\| c\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] \cdot e_{0} \ldots . e_{m}\right]^{\text {Comm }_{\text {ext }}}=\)
    let sync \(C=\) SyncC \(\left(\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] . e_{0} \ldots . e_{m}\right)\)
                            (fst (ChanTypeEnv c)) (snd (ChanTypeEnv c)) in
        if ( \(c \in \operatorname{dom}\) VisChanEnv) then
            if (VisChanEnv \(c=I \vee\) VisChanEnv \(c=A\) ) then
                csyncC.read();
            else csyncC.write(null);
        else if ( \(c \in\) dom HidChanEnv) then
            if (HidChanEnv \(c=I \vee\) HidChanEnv \(c=A\) ) then
                csyncC.read();
            else csyncC.write(null);
```

The new auxiliary function $\operatorname{Sync} C$ identifies which channel, in an (multi-dimensional) array, must be used in the communication. The base case is the empty string.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sync } C: \text { Typing*Sync* } \rightarrow \text { seq Expression } \rightarrow \text { seq Expression } \rightarrow \text { JCode } \\
& \text { Sync } C \text { є gen types }=\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

If the only synchronisation is a generic type, we have that we must access the element corresponding to the type identifier.

$$
\text { SyncC }[T] \text { gen types }=[\text { Type } .(\text { Capitals } T)]
$$

However, if any other synchronisation value is declared, we ignore the instantiation of the generic type.

SyncC $([T]$ sync) gen types $=$ SyncC sync gen types
Finally, if we have a synchronisation expression, we verify if the type corresponding tho this value is an instantiation of a generic type: if it is, we must take into
account the indexes corresponding to type and to the value; otherwise, we take into account only the index corresponding to the value. Then, we translate the remaining synchronisation values, considering the tail of the types sequence.

```
SyncC (.e sync) gen types =
    if (Count (head types) gen >0) then
        [Type.(Capitals (JType (head types)))] [(JExp e)]
    else [(JExp e)]
    SyncC sync gen (tail types)
```

The extended translation of the external choice uses extended versions of the auxiliary functions to take into account the existence of arrays of channels.

```
\(\|\) Action \(_{1} \square \ldots \square\) Action \(\left._{2}\right]^{\text {Action }_{\text {ext }}}=\)
    Guard[] guards =
        new Guard[]\{InitCAttr \({ }_{\text {ext }}\) Action \(_{1}\), InitCAttr \(_{\text {ext }}\) Action \(\left._{2}\right\}\);
    final Alternative alt = new Alternative(guards);
    DeclConstants ext (ExtractInitChannels ext \(^{\text {Action }_{1} \text { ) } 0}\)
    DeclConstants ext (ExtractInitChannels ext Action \(_{n}\) )
                                    (\#(ExtractInitChannelsext Action \(\left._{n-1}\right)\) )
    boolean[] g =
        new boolean[]\{Guard Action \(_{1}, \ldots\), , Guard Action \(\left._{n}\right\}\);
    switch(alt.fairSelect(g)) \{
        Cases \(_{\text {ext }}\) (ExtractInitChannels \({ }_{\text {ext }}\) Action \(_{1}\) ) Action \({ }_{1}\)
        Cases \(_{\text {ext }}\) (ExtractInitChannels \({ }_{\text {ext }}\) Action \(\left._{2}\right)^{\text {Action }}\)
    \}
```

As its original version, the function InitCAttr returns a ,-separated list of all the visible initials channels of a given action. However, it takes into account possible synchronisation values in the channels.

```
InitCAttrext : Action \(\rightarrow\) JCode
InitCAttr \(_{\text {ext }}\) Action \(=\) DecAttrChannels \(_{\text {ext }}\left(\right.\) ExtractInitChannels \(_{\text {ext }}\) Action \()\)
```

The function ExtractInitChannelsext ${ }_{\text {ext }}$ returns a list of pairs. For each initial visible channel of the given action, it includes a new pair in this list: the first element is the channel (possibly with its synchronisation values) and the second element is a predicate that represents its guard.

The extended function DecAttrChannels $_{\text {ext }}$ can be defined as

```
DecAttrChannels \(_{\text {ext }}:\) seq(Chann \(\times\) Predicate) \(\rightarrow\) JCode
DecAttrChannels \(_{\text {ext }}[]=\epsilon\)
DecAttrChannels \({ }_{\text {ext }}\left(c\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] \cdot x_{0} \ldots x_{m}, p\right):[]=\)
    c[Type.T_0] ... [Type.T_n] [(JExp \(\left.\left.x_{0}\right)\right] \ldots\left[\left(J E x p x_{m}\right)\right]\)
DecAttrChannels ext \(\left(c\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] \cdot x_{0} \ldots x_{m}, p\right): c s=\)
    c[Type.T_0] ... [Type.T_n] [(JExp \(\left.\left.x_{0}\right)\right] \ldots\left[\left(\operatorname{JExp} x_{m}\right)\right]\),
    DecAttrChannels \({ }_{\text {ext }}\) cs
```

As the original one, the function DeclConstants $_{\text {ext }}$ returns a ;-separated list of int constant declarations, one for each channel in the given channel list. The first one is initialised with the given natural number; each subsequent declaration initialises the current constant with the previous constant value incremented by one. Its definition, however, takes into account the possible existent arrays of channels.

```
DeclConstants ext \(:\) seq(Chann \(\times\) Predicate) \(\rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\) JCode
DeclConstants ext \(^{\text {[] }} n=\epsilon\)
DeclConstants \({ }_{\text {ext }}\left(\left(c\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] \cdot x_{0} \ldots x_{m}, p\right): c s\right) n=\)
    final int CONST_(Capitals c)_T_0...T_N_X_O_... X_m = n;
    DeclConstants \(s_{\text {ext }}\) cs \((n+1)\)
```

Finally, the extended function Cases $_{\text {ext }}$ returns a sequence of Java case blocks, one for each initial channel in given channel list.

```
Cases \(_{\text {ext }}: \operatorname{seq}(\) Chann \(\times\) Predicate \() ~ \rightarrow\) seq Action \(\rightarrow\) JCode
Cases \(_{\text {ext }}\) [] as \(=\epsilon\)
Cases \(_{\text {ext }}\left(\left(c\left[T_{0}\right] \ldots\left[T_{n}\right] \cdot x_{0} \ldots x_{m}, p\right): c s\right)(a: a s)=\)
    case CONST_(Capitals c)_T_O...T_N_X_O_... X_m = n:
        \(|[a]|^{\text {Action }_{\text {ext }}}\)
        break;
    Cases \(_{\text {ext }}\) cs as
```

As an example of the extended translation of an external choice, we have the external choice below. Again, for simplicity, we consider all channel as input channels. We consider the type $T_{1}==\{0 . .1\}$ as the only existing type within the Circus program, and that the channels $a$ and $b$ are generic channels declared as channel $[T] a, b: T$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 0 \rightarrow \text { Skip } \square a\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 1 \rightarrow \text { Skip }\right) \square\left(b\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 0 \rightarrow \text { Stop } \square b\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 1 \rightarrow \text { Stop }\right)= \\
\quad\left((x>0) \& a\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 0 \rightarrow \text { Skip } \square(x>0) \& a\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 1 \rightarrow \text { Skip }\right) \\
\square\left((x \leq 0) \& b\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 2 \rightarrow \text { Stop } \square(x \leq 0) \& b\left[T_{1}\right] \cdot 1 \rightarrow \text { Stop }\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

First, we declare the array that contains all the visible channels within the action, and an Alternative on this array. Notice that each element of the channel arrays are considered as different visible channels.

```
Guard[] guards =
    new Guard[]{a[Type.T_1][0],a[Type.T_1][1],
    b[Type.T_1] [0],b[Type.T_1][1]};
final Alternative alt = new Alternative(guards);
```

Then, all the constants that are used in the switch block are declared. They identify each possible choice that can be made by the fairSelect method.

```
final int CONST_A_T_1_0 = 0; final int CONST_A_T_1_1 = 0;
final int CONST_B_T_1_0 = 0; final int CONST_B_T_1_1 = 0;
```

Next, we declare the array containing the guards for each branch of the action.

```
boolean[] g = new boolean[]{x>0,x>0,x<=0,x<=0};
```

Finally, we have a switch block. For each value that can be returned by the method fairSelect invocation, we have a case, which reads from the corresponding channel, and then behaves like the translation of the corresponding action.

```
switch(alt.fairSelect(g)) {
    case CONST_A_T_1_0:
            a[Type.T_1][0].read(); (new Skip()).run(); break;
    case CONST_A_T_1_1:
            a[Type.T_1][1].read(); (new Skip()).run(); break;
    case CONST_B_T_1_0:
            b[Type.T_1][0].read(); (new Stop()).run(); break;
    case CONST_B_T_1_1:
            b[Type.T_1][1].read(); (new Stop()).run(); break;
}
```


### 6.4 Indexing Operator

An indexed process can be seen as a kind of parametrised process. The difference, however, is that, before its translation, a syntactic substitution on the channels, as defined in [33], is made. It is very important to notice that the creation of the channels environment already takes into account the indexed processes. So, at this point, the channels implicitly created by the indexed operator are already within the channels environment. A renaming in the channels within a given indexed process Decl - Proc is reflected in the way the channels are instantiated, referenced, and used.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |[-]|^{\text {ParProcesert }} \text { : ParProc } \rightarrow \text { JCode } \\
& \| x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \odot \text { Proc }\left.\right|^{\text {ParProcesest }=} \\
& \left.\|\left(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \bullet \operatorname{Proc}\right)\left[c: \operatorname{used}(\operatorname{Proc}) \bullet c \_x \_1 \ldots x_{-} n\right]\right]\left.\right|^{\text {ParProcext }}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, the process $P\left[c: \operatorname{used}(\operatorname{Proc}) \bullet c \_x \_1 \ldots x \_n\right]$ is that obtained from $P$ by changing, in the process, all the references to a used channel $c$ by a reference to the channel $c \_x \_1 \ldots x \_n$.

We may also have an instantiation of a indexed process. It is translated as an invocation of a parameterised process. However, the same syntactic substitution is made before the translation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|[-]]^{\text {Procecest }: \text { Proc } \rightarrow \text { JCode }} \\
& \left.\|\left[\left(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \odot \operatorname{Proc}\right)\left\lfloor v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\rfloor\right]\right]^{\text {Procerert }}= \\
& \quad \|\left(\left(x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \bullet \text { Proc }\right)\left[c: \text { used }(\text { Proc }) \bullet c \_x \_1 \ldots x \_n\right]\right) \\
& \left.\quad\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Procert }}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the instantiation uses the process name, we may translate it as follows.

$$
\left.\left\|\left.N\left\lfloor v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\rfloor\right|^{\text {Procecert }}=\right\| N\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)\right]\left.\right|^{\text {Proc }_{e x t}}
$$

The iterated sequential composition over the indexed operator can be simply translated as an iterated sequential composition. However, the process has all the references to the channels within it changed before the translation. The first iterated indexed operator is the iterated indexed sequential composition. For a fresh index, its translation can be defined as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\left.\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
9 \\
9
\end{array} x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots x_{2}: T_{2} \odot \operatorname{Proc}\right)\right]\right|^{P_{r o c} c_{\text {ext }}}= \\
& \quad \|\left.\left[\left(9 x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots x_{2}: T_{2} \bullet\left(\operatorname{Proc}\left[c: \operatorname{used}(\operatorname{Proc}) \bullet c \_x \_1 \ldots x_{\_} n\right]\right)\right)\right]\right|^{\text {Proc }_{\text {ext }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The indexed external choice must be expanded before being translated. For instance, consider the following process.

$$
\text { process Reader } \widehat{=} \square i:\{0,1\} \odot \operatorname{read} ? x \rightarrow \text { Skip }
$$

Our strategy considers that, before being translated, the process above is extended as presented below.

```
process Reader 气
    begin state -
        out_i.0? x }->\mathrm{ Skip
        \square \mp@code { o u t _ i . 1 ? x ~ } \rightarrow \text { Skip}
    end
```

As the iterated indexed sequential composition, the iterated indexed internal choice can be simply translated as an iterated internal choice with the process having all the references to the channels within it changed before the translation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sqcap x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \odot P_{n o c}\right\|^{\text {Procext }_{\text {ext }}}= \\
& \|\left[\sqcap x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \bullet\left(\operatorname{Proc}\left[c: \operatorname{used}(\operatorname{Proc}) \bullet c \_x \_1 \ldots x \_n\right]\right)\right]^{P_{r o c e x t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the same applies to the translation of the iterated indexed parallelism.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left\|x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n}\right\|[C S E x p] \mid \odot \text { Proc }^{\text {Procert }}=\right. \\
& \text { |[ \| } x_{1}: T_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{n}: T_{n} \|[C S E x p] \bullet \\
& \left.\left(\operatorname{Proc}\left[c: \operatorname{used}(\operatorname{Proc}) \bullet c \_x \_1 \ldots x \_n\right]\right)\right|^{\text {Procext }}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.5 Example

This section will present some parts of the translation of our case study.

### 6.6 Conclusions

The translation strategy presented in this Chapter has already been used not only to implement some simple Circus programs, but also, some quite complex Circus programs, as our case study presented in Section 5.

Throughout the translation we assume that the specification has been refined into a specification that meets the translation strategy's requirements. For instance, all operation schemas and specification constructs have already been refined.

One of these requirements is the order of the paragraphs: we assume that, in the Circus program to be implemented, we have first Z paragraphs, then, channel declarations, and finally, process declarations. This, however, can be achieved with a simple reordering of the paragraphs.

The Z paragraphs are considered to be only axiomatic definitions of the form $v: T \mid v=e_{1}$, free types, or abbreviations. The considerations of other types of paragraphs is left as future work.

The next requirement concerns the Z paragraphs used to group channel declarations, and channel sets. Our strategy requires they have already been expanded. This can also be achieved with a simple refinement.

An important restriction is that over communication. In Section 6.2 we consider a very restrict subset of possible communications which is expanded in Section 6.3. The availability of strategies for refine out communications of the form $c ? N: T$, multi-synchronisation, and guarded outputs, which are not contemplated by our strategy, would be very useful and is left as future work. As a matter of fact, in [32], Woodcock presents a refinement strategy for a special case of multi-way synchronisation, in which, it is not part of an external choice.

JCSP itself restricts our strategy, for instance, in the translation of parallelism. As discussed in this Chapter, JCSP does not allow the user to determine the synchronisation channel set. Actually, when using JCSP, the intersection of the alpha-
bets determines the synchronisation channels set. A refinement strategy to deal with this problem is also left as future work.

The types of all indexing variables of all iterated operators are considered to be finite. We believe a different approach in the translation could make it possible to remove this restriction in some cases. Lazy evaluation in the generated code would be possibly the way the translation could be changed. Further investigations and research on this topic are also left as future work.

The translation of the iterated parallelism and interleaving of actions is defined as the translation of the its expansion. This, however, could be defined in a similar way as for processes. However, the partitions of the variables in scope must also be taken in scope.

Finally, two very interesting topics for research are left as future work. The first one is the implementation of a tool that supports the translation strategy presented here. In order to prove the soundness of such a tool, the proof of the transformation rules presented here would be completely necessary. This, however, is a very complex task, as it involves the Java and the Circus semantics.

## Chapter 7

## Conclusion

In this chapter we present an overview of the contributions of our work. Furthermore, related works are also brought into the context of Circus. A comparison of Circus and these works is provided. Finally, topics for future work are presented in two contexts: within the scope of the thesis, and beyond it.

### 7.1 Contributions

Circus has been suggested as a link between two different schools of formal methods for software engineering: the state-based school and the process algebraic. The former is strongly represented by VDM [15] and Z [34], and the latter is strongly represented by CCS [19] and CSP [13, 26]. Besides providing a link between these two schools, Circus also presents a refinement strategy in a calculational style as in [20].

Basically, Circus programs are characterised by processes, which group paragraphs that describe data and control behaviour. Mainly, we use the Z notation [30] to define data, and actions, which are defined using Z, CSP, and guarded commands constructs, to characterise behaviour.

The Circus semantics is based on the Unifying Theory of Programming [14]: the semantics of a Circus program is represented as a Z specification, in which the model of a process is itself a $Z$ specification, and the model of an action is a schema. Since Z is the notation for a Circus program's semantics, tools as Z-EVES [17] and ProofPower [3] can be used to analyse its behaviour.

As in the Unifying Theory of Programming, the central notion in Circus is refinement. A refinement strategy for Circus, based on laws of simulation, and action and process refinements (Appendices C and D), has been proposed in [1] and is also presented in this thesis. Each iteration within this strategy includes three steps: simulation, action refinement, and process refinement.

Our thesis aim at the development of a refinement calculus for Circus. At the end of this work, we intend to provide a significant set of refinement laws that, together, can be used in formal developments of Circus programs. The proof of the soundness of these laws are also in the scope of this work. In order to point the expressiveness of Circus and the significance of its refinement calculus, a case study is presented. Finally, a translation strategy for Circus programs into Java is also in our plans.

In this mini-thesis we have already proposed a significant set of new laws (see Appendix D), extending the set of laws presented in [1] (see Appendix C). Furthermore, the translation strategy from Circus programs to Java is also finished. It will be used in our case study, which is about to be finished.

Our case study on Circus, a safety-critical fire protection system, is described in Chapter 5. As far as we know, this is the largest case study on the refinement of Circus programs. We have used this case study to verify the usefulness and soundness of the refinement laws discussed in the previous chapter. Throughout this refinement, we have found a few mistakes on the laws presented in [1]. Using our set of laws, we were able to refine the abstract and centralised specification of the system into a concrete and distributed specification. Furthermore, the case study was also used to analyse the expressiveness of the language. Throughout the
development of the case study, some different ways on how some features could be differently expressed were identified.

In Chapter 6, a translation strategy, which makes possible the Java implementation of Circus programs, was presented. In spite of the restrictions on the Circus programs discussed in that chapter, this translation strategy is already an important tool in the implementation of Circus programs, and has been used to implement our case study. Further, it can be used as guideline for a mechanisation of a translation tool.

Some limitations of JCSP have been raised in Chapter 6. Some of them, however, have a related refinement strategy for solving them. Removing multisynchronisation is one of these refinement strategy. In [32], a refinement strategy for a special case of multi-way synchronisation is presented: multi-synchronisation events are not part of an external choice. However, in our case study, we have such case, and further, we have multiple multi-synchronisation as part of external choices.

### 7.2 Related Works

Some other works have already presented the integration of Z or one of its extensions with a process algebra. The main objective of Circus is not to be another language like those, but to provide support for the formal development of concurrent programs in a calculational style.

Fischer [10] presents a survey of several integrations of Z with process algebras. Combinations of Z with CCS [11, 31], Z with CSP [27], and Object-Z with CSP [9] are considered in this survey, which also discusses issues involved in the integration of Z with a process algebra. All the approaches above are analysed with respect to these issues.

Two different approaches for the combination of Z and a process algebra are pointed by Fischer: syntactic, in which the combination has a single syntax, with semantic definitions lifted from the two languages; and semantic, in which a Z specification is identified with a process.

Differently from all other combinations mentioned above, Circus adopts the first approach: as previously discussed, the unifying theory of programming [14] is used as the model for Circus. The syntactic approach adopted by Circus, provides a deeper integration of the notations. However, with this approach, the semantics of both the Z and CSP operators must be redefined. Fortunately, this is not a major problem for us because we are using an existing semantic model: UTP. Furthermore, as we express this model using Z , it is possible to analyse Circus specifications using Z tools.

Refinement has been studied for combinations of Object-Z and CSP [29]. How-
ever, as far as we know, nothing has been proposed in a calculational style like ours.

The work with action systems is the most closely related to Circus. In an action system, systems are described as a state and a set of guarded commands. Its behaviour is given by a simple interpreter for the program that repeatedly selects an enabled action and executes it. Parallelism is modelled as the sequential interleaving of atomic steps. Concurrency with shared variables is modelled by partitioning the variables amongst different processes; a model for distributed systems is obtained by partitioning the variables amongst the processes.

The combination of the refinement calculus and action system in the derivation of parallel and distributed algorithms is described in [4]: from a purely sequential algorithm, a stepwise refinement is accomplished until an efficient parallel program is derived. Most steps involves sequential refinements; the parallelism is introduced only through the decomposition of atomic actions.

The very basic nature of action systems formalism in comparison with process algebra is the main difference between action systems and Circus. Action system have a very flat structure, where auxiliary variables simulating program counters are needed to guarantee the proper sequencing of actions. This is due to the simple control flow of action systems: select an enable guard, execute it, repeat. In Circus a much more rich control flow is provided using CSP operators.

In [22], stepwise development of correct programs is supported by a design calculus for occam-like [16] communicating programs. Specifications are given in terms of assertions. Both program and specifications semantics are uniformly presented in a predicative style similar to that adopted in the unifying theories of programming. Actually, both works are based in the Esprit ProCos project. Another source of inspiration for further refinement laws for Circus actions is the design rules in [22].

### 7.3 Future Work

This mini-thesis presents just part of the work we aim at presenting as a PhD thesis. Some more adventurous and exciting work is still to be done. However, as expected, some new interesting topics of research have been raised throughout the last year. For this reason, we have divided all the future work topics into two groups: those we intend to investigate in the time left for the conclusion of this thesis, and those left to be investigated in later research projects.

## Within the Scope of our Thesis

As discussed before, the semantics of Circus has been defined using the Unifying Theory of Programming. However, a shallow embedding of the semantics of Circus programs is Z is currently provided. This makes it harder to prove any property of
the language. As we intend to use this semantics to proof all the laws of refinement proposed for Circus, a deep embedding semantics must be provided. The definition of this extended semantics for Circus and its mechanisation in ProofPower [3] is the next step of our work. This mechanisation will allow us to prove all the existing refinement law of Circus refinement calculus. Furthermore, the mechanisation will make it possible to reason about properties of the language, and about the semantics itself; it can also be used as a basis for a theorem prover. The extension of Circus semantics and its mechanisation are intended to be the final reference of Circus semantics.

Chapter 4 is yet to be done. We intend to implement a prototype of a tool that supports the Circus refinement calculus presented in this thesis. Together, the refinement strategy and this tool seem to be a very powerful for formal development of concurrent reactive systems.

Our case study has not already been completed: two more iterations of the refinement strategy are still needed. The first one intends to split the process Areas into separated processes, one for each individual area. Finally, the InternalSystem has to be decomposed into two separated processes: one for the control itself, and another that represents a display controller (see Figure 5.2). The notation for the presentation of the refinement must also be changed in order to make it simpler to be understood. We intend to use a notation for refinement similar to the one adopted in [20].

The translation strategy presented in Chapter 6 some points must yet be addressed. The first one concerns the translation of iterated parallelism and interleaving of actions. In the work presented here we demand the previous extension of such operators before the translation strategy be applied. In order to remove this requirement, we intend to find a way to generalise the solution provided for the simple parallelism of actions. Furthermore, throughout the translation strategy, some considerations were made concerning the Circus programs. Some of these requirements could be satisfied simply by applying some refinement strategies to the Circus programs. Some of these refinement strategies are: a refinement strategy to deal with the restriction on the synchronisation set of channels for parallelism and interleaving described in Chapter 6; a refinement strategy for removing guarded outputs; and finally, a refinement strategy for removing multi-synchronisation in a more generic way than that presented in [32], in which, multi-synchronisation is not part of an external choice.

As discussed above, in our case study, multiple multi-synchronisation take part on external choices. An implementation of a protocol based on that presented in [32] has already been done. However, proving the refinement that leads to this implementations is a task still to be done.

## Beyond the Scope of our Thesis

The refinement strategy and its laws presented in Chapter 3 do not take into account timed Circus, object-oriented Circus, and mobile Circus processes. Some work has already been done in these areas. Nevertheless, a lot of interesting work is still to be done: the suggestion and proof of new refinement laws that covers these variations of Circus is the one that seems most interesting for us.

Furthermore, the mechanisation of the translation strategy presented in Chapter 6 would be very useful in the process of development of Circus programs.

Finally, a very interesting topic of research is the support of tactics of Circus refinement in a similar way to that presented in [23]. This provides an optimisation in time and effort spent throughout a development of a Circus program.

## Appendix A

## Syntax of Circus.



| CSPAction | $\begin{gathered} ::= \\ \mid \end{gathered}$ | Skip $\mid$ Stop $\mid$ Chaos $\mid$ Comm $\rightarrow$ Action $\mid$ Pred \& Action Action; Action \| Action $\square$ Action \| Action $\sqcap$ Action <br> Action \|[NSExp | CSExp | NSExp]| Action <br> Action \\|[NSExp | NSExp]\| Action <br> Action $\backslash \operatorname{CSExp} \mid \mu \mathrm{N} \bullet$ Action \| ParAction $\left(\right.$ Exp $\left.^{+}\right)$ <br> 9 Decl • Action \| $\square$ Decl • Action \| $\square$ Decl • Action <br> \|| Decl |[NSExp | CSExp | NSExp]| • Action <br> \||| Decl ||[NSExp | NSExp]||• Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comm | := | N CParameter* |
| CParameter | := | ? N \| ? N : Predicate | Expression | . Expression |
| Command | $\begin{aligned} & ::= \\ & \mid= \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+}:[$Pred, Pred $] \mid \mathrm{N}^{+}:=$Exp $^{+}$ if GActions fi $\mid$ var Decl • Action |
| GActions |  | Pred $\rightarrow$ Action \| Pred $\rightarrow$ Action $\square$ GActions |

## Appendix B

## Proof of Lemmas.

Throughout this chapter we use the following notation for the refinement steps.

$$
A_{1} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left[l a w_{1}, \ldots, l a w_{n}\right]\left\{o p_{1}\right\} \ldots\left\{o p_{n}\right\} A_{2}
$$

This denotes that, in order to refine the action $A_{1}$ to $A_{2}$, we have applied laws $l a w_{1}, \ldots, l a w_{n}$. These law applications have raised the proof obligations $o p_{1}, \ldots, o p_{n}$.

## General Lemmas

## Lemma B. 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} ? x \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ; c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{g_{1} \&\left(A_{1}\left\|n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right\| A\right)}{\left.\square g_{2} \&\left(A_{2} \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] A\right)} \\
& = \\
& \left.\left(c_{1} ? x \rightarrow c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{g_{1} \& A_{1}}{\square g_{2} \& A_{2}}\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] \|\left(c_{1} ? x \rightarrow \text { SExp } p_{1} ; A\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $\operatorname{initials}(A) \subseteq c s_{1}$
- $c_{2} \notin c s_{1}$
- $c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)$ or $c_{1} \in c s_{1}$
- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(\operatorname{Sexp}_{1}\right) \subseteq n s_{2} \cup n s_{2}^{\prime}$
- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(S E x p_{1}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{used} V\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{2}\right)\right)=\emptyset$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} ? x \rightarrow S \operatorname{Exp}_{1} ; c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{\left.g_{1} \&\left(A_{1} \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] \mid A\right)}{\left.\square g_{2} \&\left(A_{2} \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] A\right)} \\
& =[D .10] \\
& \{\text { initials }(A) \subseteq c s\} \\
& c_{1} ? x \rightarrow S E x p_{1} ; c_{2} \rightarrow\left(\binom{g_{1} \& A_{1}}{\square g_{2} \& A_{2}}\left\|n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right\| A\right) \\
& =[D .4, C .44] \\
& \left\{\operatorname{initials}(A) \subseteq c s_{1}, c_{2} \notin c s_{1}\right\} \\
& c_{1} ? x \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ;\left(\left(c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{g_{1} \& A_{1}}{\square g_{2} \& A_{2}}\right)\left\|n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right\| A\right) \\
& =[D .29] \\
& c_{1} ? x \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ;\left(A\left\|n s_{2}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{1}\right\|\left(c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{g_{1} \& A_{1}}{\square g_{2} \& A_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =[D .4, D .28] \\
& \left\{w r t V\left(S E x p_{1}\right) \subseteq n s_{2} \cup n s_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \left\{\operatorname{wrtV}\left(\operatorname{SExp}_{1}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{used} V\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{2}\right)\right)=\emptyset\right\} \\
& \left.c_{1} ? x \rightarrow\left(\left(S E x p_{1} ; A\right) \| n s_{2}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{1}\right] \left\lvert\,\left(c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{g_{1} \& A_{1}}{\square g_{2} \& A_{2}}\right)\right.\right) \\
& =[D .6] \\
& \left\{c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right) \text { or } c_{1} \in c s_{1}\right\} \\
& \left.\left(c_{1} ? x \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ; A\right) \| n s_{2}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{1}\right]\left(c_{1} ? x \rightarrow c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{g_{1} \& A_{1}}{\square g_{2} \& A_{2}}\right) \\
& =[D .29] \\
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.\left.c_{1} ? x \rightarrow c_{2} \rightarrow\binom{g_{1} \& A_{1}}{\square g_{2} \& A_{2}}\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] \|\left(c_{1} ? x \rightarrow \operatorname{SExp}_{1} ; A\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma B. 2 For any variable $x$ : $T$ in scope.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_{1}(x) \&\left(A_{1_{1}}(x)\left\|n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right\| A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\square g_{2}(x) \&\left(A_{2_{1}}(x) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& \left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.c o m m \cdot x \rightarrow\binom{c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{1}}(x)}{\square c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{1}}(x)}\right) \\
\left\|n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{\operatorname{comm}, c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right\| \\
\left(\operatorname{comm} \cdot x \rightarrow\binom{g_{1}(x) \& c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{2}}(x)}{\square g_{2}(x) \& c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{2}}(x)}\right)
\end{array}\right) \backslash\left\{c o m m, c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \operatorname{comm}\right\} \cap\left(\operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{1}}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{2}}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{1}}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{2}}\right)\right)=\emptyset$

Proof.

```
\(g_{1}(x) \&\left(A_{1_{1}}(x) \|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\)
    \(\left.\square g_{2}(x) \&\left(A_{2_{1}}(x) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\)
    \(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .5]\)
    \(\left\{c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\right\}\)
    \(\left\{c_{2} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right\}\)
    \(\left.g_{1}(x) \&\left(c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow\left(A_{1_{1}}(x) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\right) \backslash\left\{\left|c_{1}\right|\right\}\)
    \(\left.\square g_{2}(x) \&\left(c_{2} x \rightarrow\left(A_{2_{1}}(x) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right) \backslash\left\{c_{2}\right\}\)
    \(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[C .51]\)
    \(\left\{c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\right\}\)
    \(\left\{c_{2} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right\}\)
    \(\left.g_{1}(x) \&\left(\left(c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{1}}(x)\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{c_{1}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right] \mid\left(c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\right) \backslash\left\{\left|c_{1}\right|\right\}\)
    \(\left.\square g_{2}(x) \&\left(\left(c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{1}}(x)\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right] \mid\left(c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right) \backslash\left\{\left|c_{2}\right|\right\}\)
    \(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[C .54, C .41]\)
    \(\left\{c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right\}\)
    \(\left\{c_{2} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\right\}\)
    \(\left(\begin{array}{rl}g_{1}(x) & \& \\ \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{1}}(x)\right) \\ \left.\| n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right] \\ \left(c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\square g_{2}(x)\) \&
        \(\left\{\left|c_{1}, c_{2}\right|\right\}\)
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{1}}(x)\right) \\ \left\|n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right\| \\ \left(c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\end{array}\right)\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[D .11] \\
& \left\{\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq c s \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \equiv \text { true }\right\} \\
& \left\{\left\{c_{1}\right\} \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{2}}(x)\right) \cap\left\{c_{2}\right\}=\emptyset \equiv c_{2} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{2}}(x)\right)\right\} \\
& \left\{\left\{c_{2}\right\} \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{2}}(x)\right) \cap\left\{c_{1}\right\}=\emptyset \equiv c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right\} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\binom{c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{1}}(x)}{\square c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{1}}(x)} \\
\left\|n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right\| \\
\binom{g_{1}(x) \& c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{2}}(x)}{\square g_{2}(x) \& c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{2}}(x)}
\end{array}\right) \backslash\left\{c_{1}, c_{2} \mid\right\} \\
& =[C .41, C .54, D .37] \\
& \left\{\operatorname{comm} \notin\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1_{2}}(x)\right) \cup\right. \\
& \left.\operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{1}}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2_{2}}(x)\right)\right\} \\
& \left\{\text { comm } \in c s \cup\left\{\text { comm, } c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \equiv \text { true }\right\} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\operatorname{comm} \cdot x \rightarrow\binom{c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{1}}(x)}{\square c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{1}}(x)}\right) \\
\left\|n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{\operatorname{comm}, c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right\| \\
\left(\operatorname{comm} \cdot x \rightarrow\binom{g_{1}(x) \& c_{1} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{1_{2}}(x)}{\square g_{2}(x) \& c_{2} \cdot x \rightarrow A_{2_{2}}(x)}\right)
\end{array}\right) \backslash\left\{\operatorname{comm,c_{1},c_{2}\} .}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma B. 3

```
\(\left({ }_{9} x: S_{2} \bullet\left(\left(x \in S_{1}\right) \& A_{1}(x)\right) \square\left(\left(x \notin S_{1}\right) \& A_{2}(x)\right)\right) ;\)
\(\left(S_{1}=\emptyset\right) \& A_{3} \square\left(S_{1} \neq \emptyset\right) \& A_{4}\)
\(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\)
```


provided log is a fresh variable name.

## Lemma B. 4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}\left\|n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right\|\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \& B_{2}\right) \\
& = \\
& A_{1}\left\|n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right\|\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \&\left(B_{2} \square B_{3}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq c s$
- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{initials}\left(B_{3}\right)=\emptyset$

Proof.

```
\(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right|\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \& B_{2}\right)\)
\(=[C .58]\left(A_{1} \mid\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \& B_{2}\right)\right) \square\) Stop
\(=[D .27]\)
\(\left\{\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq c s\right\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials \(\left.\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{initials}\left(B_{3}\right)=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right|\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \& B_{2}\right)\right) \square\left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| g_{2} \& B_{3}\right)\)
\(=[C .46]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials \(\left.\left(A_{1}\right) \subseteq c s\right\}\)
\(\left(A_{1}\left\|n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right\|\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \& B_{2} \square g_{2} \& B_{3}\right)\right.\)
\(=[C .18]\)
\(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right|\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \&\left(B_{2} \square B_{3}\right)\right)\)
```


## Lemmas of Section 5.6.2

## Lemma 5.1.

$\forall$ AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState ${ }_{1} \bullet$ RetrFireControl $\wedge$ pre InitAbstractFireControl $\Rightarrow$ pre InitFireControl ${ }_{1}$

Since the precondition of the initialisation is true, this implication is directly true as well.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlState \(1_{1}^{\prime}\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre InitAbstractFireControl \(\wedge\) InitFireControl \(_{1} \Rightarrow\)
            \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
                RetrFireControl' \(\wedge\) InitAbstractFireControl
\(\equiv[\) Definition \(]\)
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlStaté \(\bullet\) •
    mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
    controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
    \(\wedge\) true
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) automatic
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto \emptyset\}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) automatic \(\Rightarrow\)
        \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
            mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(^{\prime} \wedge\) active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) mode' \(=\) automatic
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \(^{\prime}=\{\) area : AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto \emptyset\}\)
            \(\wedge\) discharge \(^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
```

```
\(\equiv[E .1, E .2]\)
mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
\(\wedge\) true
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) automatic
\(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto \emptyset\}\)
\(\wedge\) discharge \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) automatic \(\Rightarrow\)
    mode \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) automatic
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto \emptyset\}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
\(\equiv[E .3]\)
true
```


## Lemma 5.2.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1} \bullet\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre SwitchAbstractFireControlMode \(\Rightarrow\)
        pre SwitchFireControlMode \({ }_{1}\)
```

Since the precondition of the schemas are true, this implication is directly true as well.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlStaté \({ }_{1}\) •
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre SwitchAbstractFireControlMode \(\wedge\)
        SwitchFireControlMode \({ }_{1} \Rightarrow\)
            \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState' •
                RetrFireControl' \(\wedge\) SwitchAbstractFireControlMode
\(\equiv[\) Definition \(]\)
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlStaté \({ }_{1}\) •
    mode \(_{1}=\operatorname{mode} \wedge\)
    controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(_{\wedge}\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
    \(\wedge\) true
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) newMode ?
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) newMode? \(\Rightarrow\)
        \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
            mode \(e_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(^{\prime} \wedge\) active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) mode \(^{\prime}=\) newMode ?
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}=\) activeZones
            \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge
```

```
\(\equiv[E .1, E .2]\)
mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
\(\wedge\) true
\(\wedge\) mode \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) newMode?
\(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) newMode \(? \Rightarrow\)
    mode \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\) newMode ?
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) dischargé \(=\) discharge
\(\equiv[E .4, E .3]\)
true
```


## Lemma 5.3.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1} \bullet\)
RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre SwitchAbstractFireControl2AutomaticMode \(\Rightarrow\)
pre SwitchFireControl2AutomaticMode \({ }_{1}\)
```

Since the precondition of the schemas are true, this implication is directly true as well.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlState \(e_{1}^{\prime} \bullet\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre SwitchAbstractFireControl2AutomaticMode \(\wedge\)
        SwitchFireControl2AutomaticMode \({ }_{1} \Rightarrow\)
            \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
                    RetrFireControl'
                    \(\wedge\) SwitchAbstractFireControl2AutomaticMode
\(\equiv[\) Definition \(]\)
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlStaté \({ }_{1}^{\prime}\) •
    mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
    controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
    \(\wedge\) true
    \(\wedge\) mode \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) automatic
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A} \Rightarrow\)
        \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
            mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(^{\prime} \wedge\) active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) automatic
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \(=\) activeZones
            \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge
```

```
\(\equiv[E .1, E .2]\)
mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
controlledZones \({ }_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
\(\wedge\) true
\(\wedge\) mode \(e_{1}^{=}\)automatic
\(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \({ }_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge \operatorname{mode}_{A}^{\prime}=\operatorname{mode}_{A} \Rightarrow\)
    mode \(1_{1}^{=}\)automatic
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge
\(\equiv[E .4, E .3]\)
true
```


## Lemma 5.4.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1} \bullet\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre SwitchAbstractFireControl2DisabledMode \(\Rightarrow\)
        pre SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode \({ }_{1}\)
```

Since the precondition of the schemas are true, this implication is directly true as well.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlState \({ }_{1}^{\prime}\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre SwitchAbstractFireControl2DisabledMode \(\wedge\)
        SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode \({ }_{1} \Rightarrow\)
            \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
                    RetrFireControl'
                    \(\wedge\) SwitchAbstractFireControl2DisabledMode
\(\equiv[\) Definition \(]\)
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlStaté \({ }_{1}\) •
    mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
    controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
    \(\wedge\) true
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) Disabled
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A} \Rightarrow\)
        \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
            mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(^{\prime} \wedge\) active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) Disabled
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}=\) activeZones
            \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge
```

```
\(\equiv[E .1, E .2]\)
mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
\(\wedge\) true
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) Disabled
\(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A} \Rightarrow\)
    mode \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) Disabled
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) dischargé \(=\) discharge
\(\equiv[E .4, E .3]\)
true
```


## Lemma 5.5.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1} \bullet\)
RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre AbstractActivateZone \(\Rightarrow\)
        pre ActivateZone \({ }_{1}\)
```

Since the precondition of the schemas are true, this implication is directly true as well.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlState \({ }_{1}^{\prime} \bullet\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre AbstractActivateZone \(\wedge\)
        ActivateZone \({ }_{1} \Rightarrow\)
            \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
                RetrFireControl' \(\wedge\) AbstractActivateZone
\(\equiv[\) Definition \(]\)
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlStaté \({ }_{1}\) •
    mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
    controlledZones \(s_{1}=\) controlledZones
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
    \(\wedge\) true
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1} \oplus\)
    \(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \()\)
            area \(\mapsto\) activeZones \(_{1}(\) area \() \cup\{\) newZone? \(\left.\}\right\}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A} \Rightarrow\)
        \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
            mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(^{\prime} \wedge\) active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(^{\prime}\)
            \(\wedge\) mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) mode
            \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(\oplus\)
                    \(\{\) area : AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones(area)
                        area \(\mapsto\) activeZones (area) \(\cup\{\) newZone? \(\}\}\)
            \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge
```

```
\(\equiv[E .1, E .2]\)
mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
controlledZones \(_{1}={\text { controlledZones } \wedge \text { activeZones }_{1}=\text { activeZones }}^{\text {ation }}\)
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
\(\wedge\) true
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1} \oplus\)
    \(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \()\)
        area \(\mapsto\) activeZones 1 (area) \(\cup\{\) newZone? \(\}\}\)
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A} \Rightarrow\)
    mode \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(\oplus\)
            \(\{\) area : AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones(area)
                area \(\mapsto\) activeZones \((\) area \() \cup\{\) newZone? \(\}\}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge
\(\equiv[E .4, E .3]\)
true
```


## Lemma 5.6.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1} \bullet\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre AbstractActivateDischarge \(\Rightarrow\)
        pre ActivateDischarge \({ }_{1}\)
```

Since the precondition of the schemas are true, this implication is directly true as well.

```
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlState \({ }_{1}^{\prime} \bullet\)
    RetrFireControl \(\wedge\) pre AbstractActivateDischarge \(\wedge\)
        ActivateDischarge \({ }_{1} \Rightarrow\)
            \(\exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
                RetrFireControl' \(\wedge\) AbstractActivateDischarge
\(\equiv[\) Definition \(]\)
\(\forall\) AbstractFireControlState; FireControlState \({ }_{1}\); FireControlStaté \({ }_{1}^{1}\) •
    mode \(_{1}=\operatorname{mode} \wedge\)
    controlledZones \(s_{1}=\) controlledZones
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
    \(\wedge\) true
    \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1} \oplus\)
    \(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
    \(\wedge \operatorname{mode}_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
    \(\Rightarrow \exists\) AbstractFireControlState \({ }^{\prime}\)
        mode \(e_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(^{\prime}\)
        \(\wedge\) controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
        \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}\)
        \(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(^{\prime} \wedge\) active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(^{\prime}\)
        \(\wedge\) mode \({ }^{\prime}=\) mode
        \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }^{\prime}=\) activeZones
        \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }^{\prime}=\) discharge \(\oplus\)
            \(\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in\) dom active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\}\)
        - area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
```

```
\(\equiv[E .1, E .2]\)
mode \(_{1}=\) mode \(\wedge\)
controlledZones \(_{1}=\) controlledZones \(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}=\) activeZones
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}=\) discharge \(\wedge\) active \(_{1}=\) active
\(\wedge\) true
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) activeZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1} \oplus\)
\(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in\) dom active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
\(\wedge\) active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(_{1}\)
\(\wedge\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
\(\Rightarrow\) mode \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode
    \(\wedge\) activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones
    \(\wedge\) discharge \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(\oplus\)
        \(\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in\) dom active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
\(\equiv[E .4, E .3]\)
true
```


## Lemmas of Section 5.6.3

Lemma B. 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}={\text { manual }\} ; \text { Manual }_{1}\left[\text { Act }_{1} \backslash \text { Act }_{2}\right]}^{\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}}\right. \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Manual }_{2} \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
\text { (ActiveAreas; } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right) \\
& \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Proof.
    \(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\} ;\) Manual \(_{1}\left[\right.\) Act \(_{1} \backslash\) Act \(\left.{ }_{2}\right]\)
    \(=\left[\right.\) Definition of Manual \({ }_{1}\), Substitution \(]\)
    \(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\} ;\)
    systemState! \(^{\text {manual }} \rightarrow\)
    detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZone \({ }_{1}\);
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
            silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }\right. \\ 1\end{array}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
    externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
        \(\left(\right.\) area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \()\) \&
            switchLamp [AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischarge \({ }_{1}\);
                SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode \({ }_{1}\);
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \quad \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)\)
                    GasDischargeSync
            \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { (ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
    \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
    switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
        switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

= [C.54, Schema Calculus]
{ mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= manual }\wedge mode ( = manual }
systemState!manuals}
detection?newZone: ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
( ($$
\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{2}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | 人(AreasState ) | }}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
$$)
\square silenceAlarm \rightarrow alarm!alarmOff \rightarrow
( ( Reset
\square externalManualDischarge?area : AreaId \rightarrow
(area }\in\mathrm{ dom active }\triangleright {true}) \&
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;
SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;

```

```

        \square(area #dom active}\mp@subsup{}{1}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true}) &
                ( (\begin{array}{ll}{\mp@subsup{Manual }{2}{2}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | 人(AreasState ) | | }}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle )}}\end{array})
    \square \mp@code { f a u l t ? f a u l t I d ~ : ~ F a u l t I d ~ } \rightarrow
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
        switchBuzzer!on }
            ( l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | 人(AreasState ) }]}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) }}\end{array}
    GasDischargeSync
    ```
\(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .13, D .19, C .30, C .36, C .57, C .33, C .35]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\Rightarrow\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(e_{1}=\) manual \(\}\)
\(\left(\left\{\right.\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \(\} ;\)
\({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {manual }} \text { s }} \rightarrow\)
detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
\(\square\) silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState }) \Sigma_{2} \mid \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \left.\binom{\left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }\right.}{1} \text { manual }\right\} ; \\ \left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \quad \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \quad \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\) ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \()\) \&
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischargeAS;
SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState }){ }^{2}\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left\{\text { mode }_{1}=\text { disabled }\right\} ; \\ \left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \quad \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \quad \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)\)
\(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
\(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
GasDischargeSync
```

\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}

```

```

{\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual }=>\neg(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual })}
mode }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{m}}{}{=}\mathrm{ disabled }=>\mathrm{ mode }\mp@subsup{e}{1}{}=\mathrm{ disabled }
{mode }=\mathrm{ disabled }=>\neg(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode e = manual ) }
{\mp@subsup{mode}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{1}{= manual };
systemState!manuals}
detection?newZone : ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
(l}$$
\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle )}}\end{array}
$$
\square silenceAlarm \rightarrow alarm!alarmOff \rightarrow
(l}$$
\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas };\mathrm{ AreasCycle )}}\end{array}
$$
\square ~ e x t e r n a l M a n u a l D i s c h a r g e ? a r e a ~ : ~ A r e a I d ~ \rightarrow ~
(area \indom active }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{\triangleright}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true }) \&
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;
SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;
(l}$$
\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState ) )| }}\\{(\mathrm{ DisabledAreas;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
$$
\square ( area \notin \operatorname { d o m ~ a c t i v e } { } _ { 1 } \triangleright \{ true \}) \&
(l}$$
\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | 人(AreasState ) | | }}\\{\mathrm{ (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
$$

```
```

    fault?faultId: FaultId }
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
        switchBuzzer!on }
                (\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | 人(AreasState ) | | }}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) }}\end{array})
    \GasDischargeSync
    ```
```

\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[D.14
{mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode e = manual => mode e A = manual }
{\mp@subsup{mode}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual};}
systemState!manuals }
detection?newZone : ZoneId -> ActivateZoneAS;
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
( ($$
\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ Manual }2}\\{|[\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | | (AreasState ) | | }}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle )}}\end{array}
$$)

```
```

            silenceAlarm }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }
                (l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | | (AreasState ) | | }}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle )}}\end{array}
    ```
```

    externalManualDischarge?area : AreaId }
                (area }\in\mathrm{ dom active }\triangleright \triangleright{true}) &
                switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;
                        SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;
                            ( l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | | (AreasState ) }]}\\{(\mathrm{ DisabledAreas ;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
        \square(area }\not\in\mathrm{ dom active }\triangleright \triangleright {true}) &
                ( (\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{Manual }{2}{2}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | | (AreasState ) }|}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle)}}\end{array})
            \square \mp@code { f a u l t ? f a u l t I d ~ : ~ F a u l t I d ~ } \rightarrow
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                switchBuzzer!on }
                    Manual2
                                    l}||\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | 人(AreasState ) ]|
    GasDischargeSync

```
```

$=[D .28, D .29]$
$\{$ wrtV (SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode $) \subseteq$
$\alpha($ InternalSystemState $) \cup \alpha\left(\right.$ InternalSystemState $\left.\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
$\{$ wrtV (SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode) $\cap$
usedV $($ DisabledAreas $;$ AreasCycle $)=\emptyset\}$
$\{$ wrtV $($ ActivateDischargeAS $) \subseteq$
$\alpha($ AreasState $) \cup \alpha\left(\right.$ AreasState $\left.\left.^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
$\left\{\operatorname{wrt}^{2}(\right.$ ActivateDischargeAS $) \cap$ used $V\left(\right.$ Reset $\left.\left._{2}\right)=\emptyset\right\}$
( mode $_{A}=$ manual $\}$;
systemState! manuals $\rightarrow$
detection? newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$

```
```

            silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
    ```
```

            externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
                ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right)\) \&
                switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    \(\binom{\left({\left.\text { SwitchInternalSystem } 2 \text { DisabledMode } ; \text { Reset }_{2}\right)}^{\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \|}\right.}{(\) ActivateDischargeAS \(;\) DisabledAreas \(;\) AreasCycle \()}\)
        \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right)\) \&
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Manual }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
    GasDischargeSync
    ```
```

= [D.4, C.44]
{initials(DisabledAreas) \subseteq \Sigma \Sigma }
{switchLamp }\not\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
{initials(ActiveAreas)}\subseteq\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
{{silenceAlarm, alarm} \cap \Sigma 2=\emptyset}
{\mp@subsup{mode}{A}{}= manual};
systemState!manuals}
detection?newZone : ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
Manual
|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 汭 | 人(AreasState) |}|
(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)

```

```

    \square ~ e x t e r n a l M a n u a l D i s c h a r g e ? a r e a ~ : ~ A r e a I d ~ \rightarrow ~
        (area }\in\mathrm{ dom active }\triangleright \triangleright {true}) &
                        ((\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ switchLamp [AreaId].area!on }->}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;Reset }2}\end{array})
    ```
```

            (area \not\indom active}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{1}}{}{\prime}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true }) &
                ( l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState })|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState ) }|}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
        \square \mp@code { f a u l t ? f a u l t I d : ~ F a u l t I d ~ } \rightarrow
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
            switchBuzzer!on }
                Manual2
    ```

```

GasDischargeSync

```
```

= [D.4, C.44, D.29, D.28]
{initials(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)\subseteq\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}}
{{fault, switchLamp, switchBuzzer} \cap \Sigma2 =\emptyset}
{{faultId } \capusedV(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) =\emptyset}
{wrtV (ActivateZoneAS )\subseteq\alpha(AreasState ) \cup \alpha(\mp@subsup{AreasState'}{}{\prime})}
{wrtV (ActivateZoneAS) \capusedV (X)=\emptyset}
{ mode }A=\mathrm{ manual };
systemState!manuals}
detection?newZone : ZoneId }

```

```

    \square( ( ) (silenceAlarm }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ Reset }\mp@subsup{)}{2}{})|{\mp@code{|(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState })|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\mp@code{|
    \square ~ e x t e r n a l M a n u a l D i s c h a r g e ? a r e a ~ : ~ A r e a I d ~ \rightarrow ~
        (area }\in\mathrm{ dom active }\triangleright {true}) &
                        ((\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ switchLamp [AreaId].area!on }->}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;Reset }2}\end{array})
        \square ( \text { area } \notin \operatorname { d o m ~ a c t i v e ~ } \triangleright \{ \text { true \}) \&}
    ```

```

    \square(()
    GasDischargeSync
    ```
```

= [D.6]
{detection }\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
{\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual };}
systemState!manuals}
()}($$
\begin{array}{c}{(\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ detection?newZone : ZoneId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Manual }}\end{array})}\end{array}
$$
((silenceAlarm }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}

```
```

                |\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState) | 涪| 人(AreasState) |}|
                (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)
    ```
```

            externalManualDischarge?area : AreaId }
                (area }\in\mathrm{ dom active }\triangleright \true}) &
                (()}(\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ switchLamp [AreaId].area!on }->}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;Reset }2}\end{array}
                \square ( \text { area } \notin \text { dom active } \triangleright ~ \triangleright \{ t r u e \} ) ~ \& ~
                ( l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | | (AreasState ) ] | )}}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
    \square({)}(\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ fault?faultId : FaultId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId.getLampId(faultId )}}\\{\mathrm{ switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{\prime}}
    GasDischargeSync
    ```
\(=[B .2, C .54, C .53]\)
\(\{\{\) gasDischarged, gasNotDischarged, manualDischarge \(\} \cap\)
\(\left(\{\right.\) switchLamp \(\} \cup\) used \(C\left(\right.\) Reset \(\left._{2}\right) \cup\) usedC \((\) DisabledAreas; AreasCycle)
\(\cup u s e d C\left(\right.\) Manual \(\left._{2}\right) \cup\) used \(C(\) ActiveAreas \(;\) AreasCycle \(\left.\left.)\right)=\emptyset\right\}\)
( mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\}\);
systemState! \(^{\text {manual }} \rightarrow\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{\text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow}{\text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2}} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \binom{\text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow}{\text { ActivateZoneAS } ; \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }}\end{array}\right)\)
\(\square\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm! alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2}\right) \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
\(\square\) externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.\) switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\)

SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode; Reset \({ }_{2}\) \(\square\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\) \(\mid\left[\alpha\right.\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ \quad\left(\text { area } \in \operatorname{dom} \text { active }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\}\right) \&\end{array}\right.\) gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischargeAS;

DisabledAreas; AreasCycle
\(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)

ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
\(\binom{\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }\end{array} \rightarrow\right.}{\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}}\)
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

= [D.32]
{manualDischarge }\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
{\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual };}
systemState!manuals}
( (}($$
\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ detection?newZone : ZoneId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Manual }}\end{array}
$$
((silenceAlarm }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}
\square | |\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState) | 涪 | 人(AreasState ) |}|
(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)
\square externalManualDischarge?area : AreaId \rightarrow
( manualDischarge.area }
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }
SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;\mp@subsup{Reset }{2}{}
\square gasNotDischarged.area \rightarrow Manual _ { 2 }
|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState) | 涪| |(AreasState) )|}|
\square \mp@code { a r e a ~ : ~ A r e a I d }
manualDischarge.area }
(area }\in\operatorname{dom}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ active }}{1}{}\triangleright{true})\&
gasDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;
DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
\square ( area \notin dom active \triangleright ~ \triangleright \{ t r u e \} ) ~ \& ~
gasNotDischarged.area }
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle

```

```

    GasDischargeSync
    ```
```

= [D.22,C.46]
{initials(ActiveAreas) \subseteq \Sigma , }
{\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual };}
systemState!manuals}
( ($$
\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ detection?newZone:ZoneId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [ZoneId ].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Manual }}\end{array}
$$)
\square externalManualDischarge?area : AreaId \rightarrow
manualDischarge.area }
gasDischarged.area }
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }
SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;Reset
\square g a s N o t D i s c h a r g e d . a r e a ~ \rightarrow ~ M a n u a l ~ 2 ~

```

```

            \square \mp@code { a r e a : A r e a I d }
                manualDischarge.area }
                        (area }\in\operatorname{dom}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ active }}{1}{}\triangleright{true}) &
                                    gasDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;
                                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
    ```
```( area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                                    gasNotDischarged.area }
                                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
```



```
    GasDischargeSync
```

$=[C .58, D .27, C .46]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection, reset, manualDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\{\{$ detection $\} \cap\{$ reset, manualDischarge $\}=\emptyset\}$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$

```
        systemState! \(^{\text {manual }} \rightarrow\)
    \(\binom{\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow}{\) switchLamp \(\rightarrow\) ZoneId \(].\) newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}}\)
        \(\mid\left[\alpha\right.\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
            (detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
                ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
            \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas;AreasCycle
```

```
                        \(\square\) area : AreaId
                    manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right)\) \&
                                    gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischargeAS;
                                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
                                    \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right)\) \&
                                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
            \(\square\) externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
            ( manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                            switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\)
                                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode; Reset \({ }_{2}\)
                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\)
            \(\mid\left[\alpha\right.\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
            (
                \(\square\) area : AreaId
                    manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                        ( area \(\in\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\}\) ) \&
                                gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischargeAS;
                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
                        \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right)\) \&
                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
    \(\square\binom{\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { fault? } ? \text { faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }\end{array} \rightarrow\right.}{\) switchBuzzer \(!\) on \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}}\)
```

GasDischargeSync

```
= [C.24, D.24]
{ {mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= manual };
    systemState!manuals }
    (( detection?newZone : ZoneId }->\mathrm{ _
```



```
    ( (\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ detection?newZone : ZoneId }->}\\{\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas}}\end{array})
        \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { InitAreas}
            \square \mp@code { a r e a ~ : ~ A r e a I d ~ \bullet ~ m a n u a l D i s c h a r g e . a r e a ~ } \rightarrow
                            (area }\in\mathrm{ dom active }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{l}}{}{\triangleright}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true }) &
                gasDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;
                        DisabledAreas
                        \square ( \text { area \& dom active }
                                    gasNotDischarged.area }
                                    ActiveAreas
```

```
    \square \text { externalManualDischarge?area : AreaId } \rightarrow
                ( manualDischarge.area }
                        switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }
                        SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;Reset }\mp@subsup{}{2}{
                        \square g a s N o t D i s c h a r g e d . a r e a ~ \rightarrow ~ M a n u a l 2 ~
        |[\alpha(InternalSystemState) | \Sigma 泣 | (AreasState)]
            (\square area : AreaId \bullet
                        manualDischarge.area }
                        (area }\in\operatorname{dom}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ active }}{1}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true }) &
                            gasDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;
                                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
                        \square ( \text { area \#dom active } \triangleright \{ \text { true \}) \&}
                            gasNotDischarged.area }
                                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
                            \square(()
\asDischargeSync
```

$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .13, D .19, C .30, C .36, C .57]$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\Rightarrow$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual }\right\} ; \\ \text { systemState }!\text { manual }_{s}\end{array} \rightarrow\right.$

$\square$ externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId $\rightarrow$
( manualDischarge.area $\rightarrow$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on $\rightarrow$
SwitchInternalSystem 2 DisabledMode $;$ Reset $_{2}$ $\square$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Manual $_{2}$ $\mid\left[\alpha(\right.$ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right] \mid$ $\square$ area : AreaId manualDischarge.area $\rightarrow$ ( area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActivateDischargeAS;

DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$
gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\binom{\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { fault } ? \text { faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }\end{array} \rightarrow\right.}{$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$ Manual $_{2}}$
GasDischargeSync

```
\(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .17, C .36, C .57, D .22\), Definition of ActiveAreas]
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\Rightarrow\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\Rightarrow \neg\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\} ;\)
    systemState!manuals \(\rightarrow\)
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\binom{\text { detection? } \mathrm{newZone}: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow}{\text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2}} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
    \(\square\) externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
        ( manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                        gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                            switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\)
                                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;Reset \({ }_{2}\)
                    \(\square\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\)
        \(\mid\left[\alpha\right.\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
```

```
                area : AreaId
                manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                        ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\}\) ) \&
                                gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischargeAS;
                                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
                        \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                            gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
    ( (silenceAlarm \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) Reset \(_{2}\)
```

```
                fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
                    switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\)
    \(\| \alpha\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
    (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)
\GasDischargeSync
```

$=[D .22, C .46]$
$\left\{\right.$ initials $($ ActiveAreas $;$ AreasCycle $\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\} ;$
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {manual }} \rightarrow} \rightarrow$

| $\binom{\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { detection? }{ }^{\text {newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow} \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text {.newZone }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2} \\ \square \text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on } \rightarrow \end{array}\right.}{\text { switchBuzzer!.on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2}}$ |
| :---: |

$\square$ externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.$
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on $\rightarrow$
SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode; Reset ${ }_{2}$ $\square$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Manual $_{2}$ $\mid\left[\alpha\right.$ (InternalSystemState) $\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$
$\qquad$area : AreaId manualDischarge.area $\rightarrow$
( area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$
gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActivateDischargeAS;
DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right)$ \&
gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
GasDischargeSync
$=[C .58, D .27, C .46]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ manualDischarge, reset, detection $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\{\{$ manualDischarge $\} \cap\{$ reset, detection $\}=\emptyset\}$
$\left\{\{\right.$ manualDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$

```
        \(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\} ;\)
        systemState! \(^{\text {manual }} \rightarrow\)
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { detection? }{ }^{\text {newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow} \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . n e w Z o n e!o n ~\end{array} \text { Manual }_{2}\right. \\ \square \text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId }) \text { !on } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2}\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\square\) externalManualDischarge? area : AreaId \(\rightarrow\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.\)
                                    switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\)
                                    SwitchInternalSystem 2 DisabledMode; Reset \(_{2}\)
            \(\square\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Manual \(_{2}\)
        \(\mid\left[\alpha\right.\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
            \(\square\) area : AreaId
                manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                                    gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischargeAS;
                                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
                                    \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
            \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas \(;\) AreasCycle
            detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS;
                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
```

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[D .4, C .44]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ manualDischarge, reset, detection $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\{\right.$ externalManualDischarge $\left.\} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\{\{$ area $\} \cap$ used $V()=\emptyset\}$

$\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { externalManualDischarge } ? \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \rightarrow \\ \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[AreaId].area!on $\rightarrow$ SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode; Reset ${ }_{2}$gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Manual $_{2}$ $\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$area : AreaId
manualDischarge.area $\rightarrow$
( area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $)$ \&
gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActivateDischargeAS;
DisabledAreas;AreasCycle $\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin$ dom active ${ }_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right)$ \& gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$

ActiveAreas;AreasCyclereset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas $;$ AreasCycledetection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync

$$
=[D .19, C .30, C .33, C .36, C .57]
$$

$$
\text { ( systemState!manual } l_{s} \rightarrow
$$


$=[C .24, D .24]$


| $\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text { detection? newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2} \\ \square \text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!.alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId }) \text { !on } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2} \end{array}\right) \\ & \left.\\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left\|\Sigma_{2}\right\| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right]^{(\text {ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { externalManualDischarge } \text { ?area }: \text { AreaId } \rightarrow \\
\text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\
\text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\
\text { switchLamp }[\text { AreaId }] . \text { area!on } \rightarrow
\end{array}\right.
$$

SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode; Reset ${ }_{2}$ $\square$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Manual $_{2}$
$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$ $\left(\begin{array}{c}\left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual }\right\} ; \\ \quad \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId }\end{array}\right.$ manualDischarge.area $\rightarrow$
( area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActivateDischargeAS;

DisabledAreas
$\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$

ActiveAreas
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreasdetection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; ActiveAreas
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .17, C .36, C .57, D .22$, Definition of ActiveAreas]
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\Rightarrow$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\Rightarrow \neg$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$
( systemState! manuals $\rightarrow$

| $\left(\begin{array}{l} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text { detection? newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text {.newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2} \\ \square \text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text {.getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { Manual }_{2} \end{array}\right) \\ \left.\\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left\|\Sigma_{2}\right\| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right]^{(\text {ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })} \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: |

$\square\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { externalManualDischarge } ? \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \rightarrow \\ \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { AreaId }] \text {.area!.on } \rightarrow \\ \text { SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode } ; \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow \text { Manual } \\ 2\end{array}\right. \\ \square \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$

GasDischargeSync
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[C .46]$
$\left\{\right.$ initials $($ ActiveAreas $;$ AreasCycle $\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
( systemState! manuals $\rightarrow$


```
= [D.4, C.44]
{initials(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) \subseteq \Sigma , }
{systemState }\not\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
wrtV (systemState!manuals}->\mathrm{ Skip })\cap\mathrm{ usedV (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle ) = 
()}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ systemState!manuals}}{s}{}->}\\{\mathrm{ detection?newZone : ZoneId }->}
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{
    \square \text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset } _ { 2 }
    \square \mp@code { f a u l t ? f a u l t I d ~ : ~ F a u l t I d ~ } \rightarrow
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                        switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{M}{Manual}{2
        \square ~ e x t e r n a l M a n u a l D i s c h a r g e ? a r e a ~ : ~ A r e a I d ~ \rightarrow ~
            manualDischarge.area }
                gasDischarged.area }
                switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }
                        SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;Reset 
                \square \mp@code { g a s N o t D i s c h a r g e d . a r e a ~ } \rightarrow \text { Manual } _ { 2 }
    |\alpha(InternalSystemState)| 泣| \alpha(AreasState)]
    (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)
    GasDischargeSync
= [D.22, Definition of Manual }
(l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | 人(AreasState ) }|}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle )}}\end{array}
\ ~ G a s D i s c h a r g e S y n c ~
```


## Lemma B. 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { automatic } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}={\text { automatic }\} ; \text { Auto }_{1}\left[\text { Act }_{1} \backslash \text { Act }_{2}\right]}^{\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}}\right. \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Auto } \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
(\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right) \backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

```
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) automatic \(\} ;\) Auto \(_{1}\left[\right.\) Act \(_{1} \backslash\) Act \(\left.{ }_{2}\right]\)
\(=[D .14]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) automatic \(\Rightarrow\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}={\text { automatic }\} ; A u t o_{1}\left[\text { Act }_{1} \backslash A c t_{2}\right]}\)
\(=[\) Definition of Auto, , Substitution \(]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\}\);
\({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {auto }} \rightarrow \text { }} \rightarrow\)
\((\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\} \neq \emptyset) \&\)
        alarm!secondStage \(\rightarrow\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Countdown }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                GasDischargeSync
```

```
(active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\}=\emptyset) \&\)
                reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff \(;\) InitFireControl \(_{1}\);
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
                    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
            detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZone \({ }_{1}\);
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Auto }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { (ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
        \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Auto }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[D.7,D.29, D.28
wrtV (InitAreas)\subseteq\alpha(AreasState) }\cup\alpha(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ AreasState }}{}{\prime})
{wrtV (InitAreas ) \cap usedV (FireSys }\mp@subsup{)}{2}{\prime})=\emptyset
{wrtV (InitInternalSystem) \subseteq\alpha(InternalSystemState) \cup\alpha(InternalSystemState')}
{wrtV(InitInternalSystem) \capusedV (WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle ) =\emptyset}
{\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic};}
systemState!autos }
    (active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }\not=\emptyset)
        alarm!secondStage }
        ( l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Countdown }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\\{(\mathrm{ WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
        \GasDischargeSync
        \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) \&
        reset }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff ;
            ( (InitInternalSystem;FireSys\mp@subsup{s}{2}{})
                \GasDischargeSync
```

```
            detection?newZone : ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZone 1;
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
                    ( l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Auto }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | 人(AreasState ) }|}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas ;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
                    \ ~ G a s D i s c h a r g e S y n c
        \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d ~ : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                switchBuzzer!on }
                    (l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Auto }}{2}{}}\\{|[\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | 人(AreasState ) | | }}\\{\mathrm{ (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
                    \asDischargeSync
```

$=\left[\right.$ Schema Calculus, Definition of SwitchLampsOff $\left.{ }_{2}\right]$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$;
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {auto }}{ }_{s} \rightarrow}$
$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset) \&$ alarm!secondStage $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Countdown }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\square($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$
reset $\rightarrow$ alarm! alarmOff $\rightarrow$ SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { InitInternalSystem; } \text { FireSys }_{2}\right) \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { (InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$ $\backslash$ GasDischargeSyncdetection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Auto }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\square$ fault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Auto }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { (ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync

```
= [C.54,C.44]
{initials(AreasCycle) \subseteq \Sigma , }
```



```
{wrtV(SwitchLampsOff 2) \cap usedV(InitAreas;AreasCycle) =\emptyset}
{\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mp@subsup{a}{\mathrm{ automatic };}}{}=;
    systemState!autos }
    (active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }\not=\emptyset)
        alarm!secondStage }
```



```
        \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) \&
        reset }
            ( ( (\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff2;};}\\{\mathrm{ InitInternalSystem;FireSys2}}\end{array})
```

```
            detection?newZone:ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
                    (l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Auto }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | | (AreasState ) | | )}}\\{\mathrm{ (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
```

```fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                switchBuzzer!on }
```



```
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
=[C.51]
{reset }\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
    {mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic};
    systemState!auto }
        (active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }\not=\emptyset)
                alarm!secondStage }
                    Countdown
                    |[\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 涪| 人(AreasState ) |}
                (WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle)
            \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) \&
                (()}(\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ reset }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff }2;}\\{\mathrm{ InitInternalSystem;FireSys }}\\{2}\end{array}
                \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZoneAS;}
                    switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
                    Autor
                    | [\alpha(InternalSystemState) | 涪| 人(AreasState) )|
                    (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)
        \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d ~ : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                switchBuzzer!on }
                    (l}\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ Auto }}\\{|[\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | | 泣 | 人(AreasState ) | }}\\{(\mathrm{ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) }}\end{array}
GasDischargeSync
```

```
\(=[D .4, C .44]\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials \((\) WaitingDischarge \(\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\{\right.\) alarm, switchLamp, switchBuzzer, fault \(\left.\} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\{\) wrtV \((\) alarm!secondStage \(\rightarrow\) Skip \() \cap\)
    usedV \((\) WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
\(\left\{\right.\) initials (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) \(\left.\subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\{\) wrtV (switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) Skip) \(\cap\)
    used \(V(\) ActiveAreas \(;\) AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
\(\{\{\) faultId \(\} \cap\) used \(V(\) ActiveAreas; AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
( \(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\}\);
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\prime} \text { auto }}_{s} \rightarrow\)
        (active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\} \neq \emptyset\) ) \&
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown }{ }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\square(\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\}=\emptyset) \&\)
                \(\binom{\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm! alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2 ; \\ \text { InitInternalSystem; FireSys } \\ 2\end{array}\right.}{\| \alpha(\) InternalSystemState \()\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \() \|}\)
            \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS;
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Auto }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\square\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] \cdot \text {.getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }\end{array} \rightarrow\right. \\ \text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { Auto } \\ \text { (InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \|\end{array}\right)\)
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[D.28
{wrtV (ActivateZoneAS })\subseteq\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState ) }\cup\alpha(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ AreasState' }}{}{\prime})
{wrtV(ActivateZoneAS)}
    usedV(switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Auto }2\mathrm{ ) = Ø}
{ {mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic };
    systemState!autos }
        (active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }=\emptyset\mathrm{ ) &
            (l}l(\mathrm{ alarm!secondStage }->\mathrm{ Countdown }
            \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) \&
```



```
            | detection?newZone : ZoneId }
```



```
            \square(()
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
= [D.6]
{detection }\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
    {\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic };}
    systemState!auto }
        (active}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }=\emptyset\mathrm{ ) &
            (l}l(\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ alarm!secondStage }->\mathrm{ Countdown })}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState })|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\\{(\mathrm{ WaitingDischarge ;AreasCycle })}\end{array}
```

```
            (active\triangleright }\triangleright\mathrm{ true } = Ø) &
```



```
                ( (detection?newZone: ZoneId }
                                    switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Auto ()
        \square | |\alpha(InternalSystemState) | 济| (AreasState)]
            (( detection?newZone:ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas;AreasCycle}) 
            (}(\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ fault?faultId : FaultId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].getLampId (faultId)!on }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Auto}}{2}{}}\end{array}
```



```
    GasDischargeSync
```

$=[C .45]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection, reset $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
( $\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$;
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{2 u t o} s} \rightarrow$
$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset) \&$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown } n_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$ $\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2 ; \\ \text { InitInternalSystem } ; \text { FireSys }{ }_{2} \\ \square \text { detection?newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { Auto }_{2}\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right)$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fault } ? \text { faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { Auto }_{2}\end{array}\right. \\ \left.\text { (InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \| \alpha(\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .13, D .19, C .30, C .36, C .57]$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\Rightarrow$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$;
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\prime} \text { auto }}_{s} \rightarrow$
$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset) \&$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown } n_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$;
( active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$
$\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2 ; \\ \text { InitInternalSystem;FireSys } s_{2} \\ \square \text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] \text {.newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { Auto }_{2}\end{array}\right) \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { InitAreas;AreasCycle } \\ \square \text { detection?newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateZoneAS } ; \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\right)$
$\square\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fault? faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] \text {.getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchBuzzer }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Auto }{ }_{2}\end{array}\right) \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
GasDischargeSync

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}
{mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic }\wedge\mathrm{ active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } = }\Leftrightarrow
    mode}A=\mathrm{ automatic }\wedge\mathrm{ active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } = \}
{ {\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic };}
    systemState!autos }
        (active}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }=\emptyset\mathrm{ ) &
                ( ( alarm!secondStage }->\mathrm{ Countdown 2)
        \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) \&
                {\mp@subsup{mode e}{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic }\wedge\mathrm{ active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } = \};}
                (()
```



```
    GasDischargeSync
```


$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[$ Definition of ActiveAreas, D.17, C.36, C.57]
$\left\{\left(\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\wedge$ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}=\emptyset\right) \Rightarrow\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\left.)\right\}$
$\left\{\left(\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\wedge$ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}=\emptyset\right) \Rightarrow \neg\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\left.)\right\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\} ;$ ${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\prime} \text { auto }{ }_{s} \rightarrow}$
$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset) \&$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown }{ }_{2}\right) \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
(\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right)
$$$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$

$\binom{\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2 ; \\ \text { InitInternalSystem; FireSys } \\ 2\end{array}\right.}{\square$ detection?newZone $:$ ZoneId $\rightarrow$ and $\rightarrow$ on $\rightarrow$ Auto $_{2}}$

GasDischargeSync

```
= [C.32, C.16, C.24]
{(\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic }\wedge\mathrm{ active }\triangleright {true } =\emptyset) => mode }=\mathrm{ (automatic })
{ {\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic };}
    systemState!autos }
        (active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }\not=\emptyset)
        ( ( alarm!secondStage }->\mathrm{ Countdown }
        \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) \&
```



```
        ( (\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ fault?faultId: FaultId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [LampId].getLampId(faultId )}}\\{\mathrm{ switchBuzzer!on }->\mathrm{ Auto }}\end{array}]
        { {\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic }\wedge\mathrm{ active }\triangleright {true } =\emptyset};
        ( active }\triangleright{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ true }}{}{\prime}\not=\emptyset)
        countdown }
        countdownStarted?answer : Bool }
        (answer = true) &
        WaitingDischarge
        \square \mp@code { \square a n s w e r ~ = ~ f a l s e ~ ) ~ \& }
                            DisabledAreas
            \square ( \text { active } _ { 1 } \triangleright \{ \text { true } ^ { \prime } = \emptyset ) \&
                        reset }->\mathrm{ InitAreas
                        \square \text { detection?newZone: ZoneId } \rightarrow
                                    ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas
```

```
                        area : AreaId \bullet
                        automaticDischarge.area }
                                    gasNotDischarged.area }
                                    ActiveAreas
```

$\left\{\left(\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\wedge$ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}=\emptyset\right) \Rightarrow$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\left\{\left(\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\wedge$ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}=\emptyset\right) \Rightarrow \neg\left(\right.$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\left.\} \neq \emptyset\right)\right\}$
( mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\} ;$
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {auto }} \text { s } \rightarrow}$
(active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset$ ) \&
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$


GasDischargeSync

```
\(\left\{\{\right.\) reset, detection, automaticDischarge \(\left.\} \subseteq c s \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
\(\{\{\) reset, detection \(\} \cap\{\) automaticDischarge \(\}=\emptyset\}\)
( \(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\}\);
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {auto }} \rightarrow \text { s }}\),
    \((\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\} \neq \emptyset) \&\)
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown }{ }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\square(\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\}=\emptyset) \&\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2 ; \\ \text { InitInternalSystem;FireSys } s_{2} \\ \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Auto }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)\)
        ( ( reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm! alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
                            InitInternalSystem;FireSys \(2_{2}\)
                            \(\square\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\)
                            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) Auto \({ }_{2}\) )
        \(\| \alpha\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
        ( \(\square\) area : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActiveAreas;
                                    AreasCycle
```



```
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
\(\left\{\{\right.\) reset, detection \(\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}\)
( \(\left\{\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) automatic \(\}\);
    \({\text { systemState! }{ }^{2 u t o} s} \rightarrow\)
        \((\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\} \neq \emptyset) \&\)
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\square(\) active \(\triangleright\{\) true \(\}=\emptyset) \&\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2 ; \\ \text { InitInternalSystem;FireSys } s_{2} \\ \square \text { detection? newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] \text {.newZone }!o n ~\end{array} \text { Auto }{ }_{2}\right.\end{array}\right)\)
```



```
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```


$=[C .46]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ reset, detection, automaticDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
( $\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$;
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\prime} \text { auto }}_{s} \rightarrow$
$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset) \&$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { alarm }!\text { secondStage } \rightarrow \text { Countdown } n_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$

GasDischargeSync
$=[D .35$, answer $\neq$ true $\Rightarrow$ answer $=$ false, $C .54]$
$\left\{\right.$ countdownStarted $\in \Sigma_{2}$ \}
\{countdownStarted $\in$ GasDischargeSync $\}$
$\{$ answer $\notin F V$ (WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle) $\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$; ${\text { systemState! }{ }^{2 u t o}} \rightarrow$
$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset) \&$

$$
\binom{\binom{\text { countdownStarted!true } \rightarrow \text { alarm!secondStage } \rightarrow}{\text { Countdown }}}{\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \|}
$$(active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$



GasDischargeSync
$=[D .37]$
$\left\{\right.$ countdown $\in \Sigma_{2}$ \}
\{countdown $\in$ GasDischargeSync $\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\} ;$
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {auto }} \rightarrow \text { }} \rightarrow$
$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset) \&$
$\left(\binom{\right.$ countdown $\rightarrow$ countdownStarted! $!$ true $\rightarrow}{$ alarm!secondStage $\rightarrow$ Countdown } $\mid\left[\alpha\right.$ (InternalSystemState) $\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$
(countdown $\rightarrow$ countdownStarted?answer : Bool $\rightarrow$ $($ answer $=$ true $) \&$ WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle $\square($ answer $=$ false $) \&$ DisabledAreas;AreasCycle $)$(active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$

GasDischargeSync

```
= [D.11]
{({reset, switchLamp, switchBuzzer, detection, fault }}\cup\mathrm{ usedC (FireSys })
    usedC(Auto }))\cap{\mathrm{ countdown }}=\emptyset
{({reset,detection }}\cup\mathrm{ usedC(ActiveAreas }))\cap{\mathrm{ countdown }}=\emptyset
{ {mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ automatic};
    systemState!autos }
    ( ( countdown }->\mathrm{ countdownStarted!true }->\mathrm{ alarm!secondStage }->\mathrm{ Countdown2
    | \alpha(InternalSystemState) | 济 | 人(AreasState)]
```



```
                        (answer = true) & WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle
                \square ( \text { answer = false) \& DisabledAreas;AreasCycle}
            \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) \&
                    (
    \ ~ G a s D i s c h a r g e S y n c
```

$$
=[D .4, C .44]
$$

$\left\{\{\right.$ countdown, reset, detection $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\right.$ systemState $\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\left\{\right.$ wrt $V\left(\right.$ systemState! auto $_{s} \rightarrow$ Skip $\left.) \cap A_{3}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}$ [Definition of Auto $_{2}$, C.33, C.36, C.57]

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[D .24]$

$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .17, C .36, C .57]$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\Rightarrow$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $\Rightarrow \neg$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Auto }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { In }\end{array}\right.$
$\| \alpha$ (InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ Andic $) \&$
$\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { automatic }\right. \\ (\text { active } \triangleright\{\text { true }\}\end{array} \neq \emptyset\right) \&$
countdown $\rightarrow$ countdownStarted? answer : Bool $\rightarrow$ (answer $=$ true $) \&$ WaitingDischarge
$\square($ answer $=$ false $) \&$ DisabledAreas$($ active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$
reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreasdetection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreasarea : AreaId automaticDischarge.area $\rightarrow$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActiveAreas
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $) \&$detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$
ActivateZoneAS; ActiveAreasarea : AreaId
manualDischarge.area $\rightarrow$ $\left(\right.$ area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$

ActivateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas $\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$
gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActiveAreas

$$
\quad \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { InitAreas }
$$


$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\hat{=}$ [Definition of ActiveAreas]
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Auto }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \rrbracket \\ (\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

## Lemma B. 7

```
\(\left\{\left(\right.\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \vee\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) manual \(\left.)\right\} ;\)
    Reset \(_{1}\left[\right.\) Act \(\left._{1} \backslash A c t_{2}\right]\)
\(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\)
\(\left.\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }\right. \\ 1\end{array}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\right) \backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

Proof.
$\left\{\left(\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \vee\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\} ;$ Reset $_{1}\left[\right.$ Act $_{1} \backslash$ Act $\left._{2}\right]$
$=\left[{\left.\text { Definition of } \text { Reset }_{1}, \text { Substitution }\right]}\right.$
$\left\{\left(\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \vee\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\} ;$
systemState! reset $_{s} \rightarrow$
actuatorsReplaced $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off $\rightarrow$
SwitchFireControl2AutomaticMode ${ }_{1}$;
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode } e_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSyncdetection? newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZone ${ }_{1}$; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ alarm!alarmOff $\rightarrow$ SwitchLampsOff ${ }_{1}$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\text { mode }_{1}=\text { disabled }\right) \&^{\&}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSysD } D_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \rrbracket \\
\text { (InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right) \\
\backslash \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{gathered}
$$

InitFireControl $_{1} ;\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } \\ \text { 保 } \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)$
GasDischargeSync
$\square$ fault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState }) \Sigma_{2} \mid \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \quad \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$
\GasDischargeSync
$=[$ Schema Calculus, Definition of SwitchLampsOff $2, D .15]$
$\left\{\left(\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \vee\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\} ;$
${\text { systemState! } \text { reset }_{s} \rightarrow}$
actuatorsReplaced $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off $\rightarrow$ SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSyncdetection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ alarm!alarmOff $\rightarrow$ SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ disabled $) \&\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
\GasDischargeSync
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ disabled $) \&$

$$
\text { InitFireControl }_{1} ;\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSys } \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
\text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\square$ fault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge\right. \text { mode } \\
\text { manual }) \\
\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

\GasDischargeSync
$\left(\left\{\left(\right.\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \vee\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\} ;$
${\text { systemState! } \text { reset }_{s} \rightarrow}$
actuatorsReplaced $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off $\rightarrow$
SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode } e_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ alarm!alarmOff $\rightarrow$ SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ disabled $) \&$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
(\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right)
$$ $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ disabled $) \&$ InitFireControl $_{1} ;$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { FireSys }_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\
\text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\square$ fault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$ $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \left.\binom{\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }\right.}{1} \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \left.\quad \begin{array}{c}\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$
GasDischargeSync

```
\(=[D .7]\)
\(\{\alpha(\) InternalSystemState \() \cap \alpha(\) AreasState \()=\emptyset\}\)
\(\{F V(\) true \() \subseteq \alpha(\) InternalSystemState \()\}\)
\(\{F V(\) true \() \subseteq \alpha(\) AreasState \()\}\)
\(\left\{\left\{\right.\right.\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}\), dischargedOcurred \(\left.1_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \alpha\left(\right.\) InternalSystemState \(\left.\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
\(\left\{\left\{\right.\right.\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}\), controlledZones \(1_{1}^{\prime}\), activeZones \(1_{1}^{\prime}\), discharge \(1_{1}^{\prime}\), active \(\left.e_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq\)
\(\alpha(\) AreasState \()\}\)
\(\left(\left\{\left(\right.\right.\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \vee\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) manual \(\left.)\right\} ;\)
    systemState! reset \(_{s} \rightarrow\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off \(\rightarrow\)
        SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)\)
```

```detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \mid \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode } e_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
        \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) disabled \() \&\)
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) disabled \() \&\) InitInternalSystem;InitAreas;
            \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
            \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }\right. \\ 1\end{array}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \quad \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\)
```

= [D.28, D.29]
{wrtV (InitAreas )\subseteq\alpha(AreasState) }\cup\alpha(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ AreasState }}{}{\prime})
{wrtV(InitAreas) \cap usedV V(FireSys }2)=\emptyset
{wrtV(InitInternalSystem) \subseteq\alpha(InternalSystemState) }\cup\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState' })
{wrtV (InitInternalSystem) \cap usedV (AreasCycle) =\emptyset}
{(\mp@subsup{mode}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge \mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual })\vee(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual })};
systemState!reset }
actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }
SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;

```

```

    \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZoneAS;}
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
            ( (l)}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState })|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\\{(\begin{array}{l}{(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mathrm{ mode }}\end{array}=\mathrm{ manual })&}\\{\mathrm{ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle }}\\{\square(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual ) & }}\\{\mathrm{ DisabledAreas ;AreasCycle }}\end{array}
        \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff2;}
        (mode }\mp@subsup{e}{1}{= disabled ) &
            ( l}\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ FireSysD }\mp@subsup{D}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | | (AreasState ) }|}\\{(\mathrm{ InitAreas };\mathrm{ AreasCycle) }}\end{array}
        \square ( m o d e _ { 1 } \neq \text { disabled ) \&}
                (l}\begin{array}{l}{(\mathrm{ InitInternalSystem;FireSys 2)}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | |(AreasState ) )| }}\\{\mathrm{ (InitAreas;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
    fault?faultId : FaultId }
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }->\mathrm{ switchBuzzer!on }
        (l l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | 人(AreasState ) )|}}
            ( (\mp@subsup{mode}{A}{}= manual }\wedge mode e = manual) & )
                                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
                                \square ( m o d e _ { 1 } \neq \text { manual ) \&}
                                DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
                                )
    \GasDischargeSync

```
```

= [D.10]
{initials(AreasCycles) \subseteq \Sigma , }
{(\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge \mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual })\vee(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual })};
systemState!resets}
actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }
SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;

```

```detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\)
\[
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })) \Sigma_{2} \mid \alpha(, \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge\right. \text { mode } \\
\text { mativeAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\text { Actis } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
\]
\(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm! alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
\(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) disabled \() \&\) FireSysD \({ }_{2}\)
\(\left(\square\left(\right.\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) disabled \()\) \& InitInternalSystem \(;\) FireSys \(\left._{2}\right)\)
\(\mid\left[\alpha\right.\) (InternalSystemState) \(\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
(InitAreas;AreasCycle)
\(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
= [C.44, C.51]
{initials(AreasCycles) \subseteq \Sigma , }
{{alarm, switchLamp, switchBuzzer} \cap \Sigma , =\emptyset}
{wrtV(alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff 2)}
    usedV }V(\mathrm{ InitAreas ;AreasCycle })=\emptyset
{reset }\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{2}
{( mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= manual }\wedge mode e = manual ) \vee( mode ( # manual ) }
    systemState!resets}
    actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }
        SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;
```



```
        \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZoneAS;}
        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }
```




```
        \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d ~ : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
            switchBuzzer!on }
                (()
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
= [D.4, C.44]
{initials(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)\cup
    initials(DisabledAreas;AreasCycle) \subseteq \Sigma , }
{{fault, switchLamp, switchBuzzer} \cap无 =\emptyset}
{{faultId } \cap(usedV(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) \cup
        usedV(DisabledAreas;AreasCycle ) ) = \emptyset}
{( mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode ( manual ) \vee (mode ( # manual ) };
    systemState!resets}
        actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }
        SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;
            ( ( Reset 
        \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZoneAS;}
            (()}(\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{})}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState })|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\\{(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mathrm{ mode }}\end{array})=\mathrm{ manual ) & 
        \square()}(\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ reset }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff2;}}\\{(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}=\mathrm{ disabled )& FireSysD }}\\{2}
        (}\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ fault?faultId : FaultId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [LampId].getLampId (faultId)!on }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\end{array}
        | ( (InternalSystemState) | 泣| 人(AreasState) |
        ( mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= manual }\wedge mode ( = manual) & 
                                ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
        \square ( \text { mode } _ { 1 } \neq \text { manual ) \&}
                                DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
\GasDischargeSync
```

```
= [D.28]
{wrtV(SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode) }
        \alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) }\cup\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState' })}
{wrtV(SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode) \cap
        (usedV (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) \cup
            usedV V(DisabledAreas;AreasCycle )})=\emptyset
{wrtV (ActivateZoneAS )\subseteq
        \alpha(AreasState) }\cup\alpha(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ AreasState }}{}{\prime})
{wrtV(ActivateZoneAS)\cap
        usedV(switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{})=\emptyset
{(\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode }=\mathrm{ manual ) }\vee(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual })}
        systemState!reset }
            actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }
                    (()
```

```
            detection?newZone : ZoneId }
                        (()
            \square()
            (()}\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ fault?faultId : FaultId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\end{array}
                        | [\alpha(InternalSystemState) | 泣| 人(AreasState)]
                ( (mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode e = manual ) & )
                ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
            \square ( \text { mode } _ { 1 } \neq \text { manual ) \&}
                                DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
GasDischargeSync
```

```
= [D.4, C.44]
{initials(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle)\cup
    initials(DisabledAreas;AreasCycle)}\subseteq\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}
{{switchLamp,actuatorsReplaced }}\cap\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}=\emptyset
{{actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }->\mathrm{ Skip }}
        (usedV (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) \cup
            usedV V(DisabledAreas;AreasCycle )})=\emptyset
{{(\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge \mp@subsup{mode }{1}{\prime}=\mathrm{ manual })\vee(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual })};
    systemState!resets ->
    (()}(\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ actuatorsReplaced }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }->}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode; Reset }2}\end{array}
    \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow
                (()
```



```
        ( fault?faultId: FaultId }
        ?faultId : FaultId }->\mathrm{ _
        | [ (InternalSystemState) | 泣| 人(AreasState) |
            ( (mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= manual }\wedge mode ( = manual) & )
                        ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
        \square(\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual ) &}
                            DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
= [D.6]
{detection }\in\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}=\emptyset
{( mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual })\vee(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual })}
    systemState!reset }
    (()}(\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ actuatorsReplaced }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp [LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }->}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode; Reset }}\end{array}
    ()}(\begin{array}{c}{(\begin{array}{c}{\mathrm{ detection?newZone : ZoneId }->}\\{\mathrm{ switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\end{array})}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | 人(AreasState )]}}\\{|\alpha,}
    \square( ()
    ( fault?faultId: FaultId }
                                    switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }->\mathrm{ ()
        |\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState) | 涪| |(AreasState) )|}|
        ( (mode }=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mathrm{ mode }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{1}{= manual )&
                                ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
```

```
        (mode 
                                DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
    GasDischargeSync
```

$=[D .22, C .45, C .58]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection, reset $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left(\left\{\left(\right.\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \vee\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\} ;$
systemState! reset $_{s} \rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { actuatorsReplaced } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] \text {.circuitFaultLamp }!\text { off } \rightarrow \\ \text { SwitchInternalSystem } 2 \text { AutomaticMode } ; \text { Reset }_{2}\end{array}\right) \\ \| \alpha\left({\left.\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right]}^{\left(\text {mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \&}\right. \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)$

DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { detection? newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp } \rightarrow \text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2}\end{array}\right.$reset $\rightarrow$ alarm!alarmOff $\rightarrow$ SwitchLampsOff $_{2}$;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ disabled $) \&$ FireSysD $D_{2}$ $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ disabled $) \&$ InitInternalSystem;FireSys ${ }_{2}$ ) $\| \alpha$ (InternalSystemState) $\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$
 $\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fault } \\ \| \alpha(\text { In }\end{array}\right. \\ \left(\begin{array}{c}(m) \\ \square\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$ l?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$ Reset $_{2}$
$\square$ $\alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$ $\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\right. \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right.$ $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $) \&$ DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[C .58, D .26, C .45]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ manualDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection, reset $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left(\left\{\left(\right.\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \vee\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\} ;$
systemState!reset $\rightarrow$
$\binom{\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { actuatorsReplaced } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] \text {.circuitFaultLamp } 1 \text { off } \rightarrow \\ \text { SwitchInternalSystem } 2 \text { AutomaticMode } ; \text { Reset }_{2}\end{array}\right)}{\| \alpha\left({\left.\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right]}^{\left(\text {mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode } e_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \&} \begin{array}{c}\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)}$

DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { detection? newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2}\end{array}\right.\right.$
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ alarm! alarmOff $\rightarrow$ SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ disabled $) \&$ FireSys $D_{2}$
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ disabled $)$ \& InitInternalSystem;FireSys ${ }_{2}$ )
$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$
(detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; $\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$

ActiveAreas;AreasCycle $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $)$ \&

DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas $;$ AreasCycle
$\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.$
$\left(\right.$ area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$
gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$
ActivateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas $\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin$ dom active ${ }_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActiveAreas AreasCycle

GasDischargeSync

$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .19, C .30, C .33, C .36, C .57]$
systemState! reset $_{s} \rightarrow$

$\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { detection } ? \text { newZone }: \text { ZoneId } \rightarrow^{\text {switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Reset }_{2}} \\ \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff } 2\end{array} ;\right.$
$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$ $\left(\left\{\left(\right.\right.\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \vee\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\} ;$ detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $) \&$ DisabledAreas;AreasCyclereset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas;AreasCycle $\square\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ \left(\text { area } \in \text { dom active }{ }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\}\right) \& \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateDischargeAS } ; \\ \text { DisabledAreas } \\ \square \text { dom active } \triangleright\{\text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActiveAreas }\end{array}\right) ;$

GasDischargeSync

```
    \(=\mathcal{A}[\) C.13, C.36, C.57]
\(\left({\text { systemState }{ }^{\text {resets }}}^{\text {s }} \rightarrow\right.\)
```



```
    \(\left(\binom{\right.\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow}{\) switchLamp \(\rightarrow\) ZoneId \(].\) newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) Reset \(_{2}}\)
        \(\square\) reset \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
                                \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) disabled \() \&\) FireSysD \({ }_{2}\)
                                \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) disabled \() \&\)
                            InitInternalSystem;FireSys \(2_{2}\)
        \(\| \alpha(\) InternalSystemState \()\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \() \|\)
        \(\left(\left(\left(\right.\right.\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \vee\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) manual \(\left.)\right) \&\)
        detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS;
            \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\)
        ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
            \(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) manual \() \&\)
                DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
```

```
            reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas \(;\) AreasCycle
            \(\left(\left(\right.\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\)
                \(\square\) area : AreaId • manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                    ( area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                                gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    ActivateDischargeAS;
                                    DisabledAreas
                                    \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    ActiveAreas
```



```
GasDischargeSync
```

We refine the right-hand side action in the parallelism of the second alternative branch. In the following, we present only the refinement of this action.

```
\sqsubseteq}\mp@subsup{\sqsubseteq}{\mathcal{A}}{[D.12]
(mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= manual }\wedge mode e = manual) &
        detection?newZone : ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;
                (mode A}=manual \wedge mode ( = manual) &
                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
                \square ( \text { mode } _ { 1 } \neq \text { manual ) \&}
                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
```

```
            reset }->\mathrm{ InitAreas;AreasCycle
```


$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $)$ \&
detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $)$ \&
DisabledAreas; AreasCycle
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas; AreasCycle
$\square\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ (\text { area } \in \text { dom active } \triangleright\{\text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateDischargeAS } ; \\ \text { DisabledAreas } \\ \square\left(\text { area } \notin \text { dom active }{ }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\}\right) \& \\ \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActiveAreas }\end{array}\right) ;$
$=[D .15]$
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $e_{1}=$ manual $\}$
detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $)$ \&
DisabledAreas;AreasCyclereset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas $;$ AreasCycle
$\square\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ (\text { area } \in \text { dom active } \triangleright ~ \\ \text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateDischargeAS } ; \\ \text { DisabledAreas } \\ \square(\text { area } \notin \text { dom active } \triangleright\{\text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActiveAreas }\end{array}\right) ;$
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $) \&\left\{\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\}$ detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; $\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$

ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $)$ \&
DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas $;$ AreasCycle
$\square\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ (\text { area } \in \text { dom active } \triangleright\{\text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateDischargeAS } ; \\ \text { DisabledAreas } \\ \square(\text { area } \notin \text { dom active } \perp\{\text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActiveAreas }\end{array}\right) ;$
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[C .30, C .36, C .57, D .20, D .21]$
$\left\{\right.$ newZone $\notin\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}$, mode $\left.\left._{1}\right\}\right\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $e_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{mode}_{1} \wedge$ mode $_{A}^{\prime}=$ mode $_{A} \Rightarrow$ mode ${ }_{A}^{\prime}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $e_{1}^{\prime}=$ manual $\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}^{\prime}=$ mode $_{1} \Rightarrow$ mode $_{1}^{\prime} \neq$ manual $\}$
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$ detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $)$ \&
DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas; AreasCycle
$\square\left(\begin{array}{c}\left\{\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right\} ; \\ \left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode } e_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ (\text { area } \in \text { dom active } \triangleright\{\text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateDischargeAS; } \\ \text { DisabledAreas } \\ \square(\text { area } \notin \text { dom active } 1 \triangleright\{\text { true }\}) \& \\ \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActiveAreas }\end{array}\right) ;$
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $) \&$
detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $) \&$ DisabledAreas; AreasCycle
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas $;$ AreasCycle
$\square\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\left\{\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right\} ; \\ \left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \square \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \text { manualDischarge.area } \rightarrow \\ \left(\text { area } \in \text { dom active } e_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\}\right) \& \\ \text { gasDischarged.area } \rightarrow \\ \text { ActivateDischargeAS } ; \text { DisabledAreas } \\ \square\left(\text { area } \notin \text { dom active }{ }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\}\right) \& \\ \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow \text { ActiveAreas }\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right) ;$

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}
mode }=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{= manual }=>\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual }
{\mp@subsup{mode }{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{m}}{1}{=manual }=>\neg\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }
{mode }\mp@subsup{1}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }=>\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }
{mode 
(mode}\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge mode e = manual) &
    detection?newZone : ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;
            (mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{}=\mathrm{ manual }\wedge \mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mathrm{ manual ) &
                    ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
        \square ( m o d e _ { 1 } \neq \text { manual ) \&}
                            DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
```

```reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas;AreasCycle
```

```area : AreaId • manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) \(\left(\right.\) area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\) gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActivateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas \(\square\left(\right.\) area \(\notin \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActiveAreas
AreasCycle
\(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) manual \() \&\)
detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS;
\(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{A}=\) manual \(\wedge\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \&\)
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
\(\square\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1} \neq\) manual \() \&\)
DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
```

```reset \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas \(;\) AreasCycle
```

Now, we return to the refinement of the whole action.

$$
\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[C .13, C .36, C .57]
$$

$$
\text { / systemState! }{ }^{\text {resets }} \rightarrow
$$



GasDischargeSync
$=[B .4]$
$\{\{$ detection, reset $\} \subseteq c s$
$\{\{$ detection, reset $\} \cap\{$ automaticDischarge $\}=\emptyset$
( systemState! reset $_{s} \rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { actuatorsReplaced } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] \text {.circuitFaultLamp }!\text { off } \rightarrow \\ \text { SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode } ; \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \square \text { fault? faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchBuzzer }!o n ~\end{array} \text { Reset }{ }_{2}\right. \\ \end{array}\right)$
$\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { detection? newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . n e w Z o n e!o n ~\end{array}\right.\right.$ Reset $_{2}$
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ alarm!alarmOff $\rightarrow$ SwitchLampsOff 2 ; $\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ disabled $) \&$ FireSys $D_{2}$ $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ disabled $) \&$ InitInternalSystem; FireSys $\left.{ }_{2}\right)$
$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$
( $\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$
detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$
ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $) \&$
DisabledAreas;AreasCycle $\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas;AreasCycle
$\square$ area : AreaId • manualDischarge.area $\rightarrow$ $\left(\right.$ area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$

ActivateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas $\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActiveAreas AreasCycle
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $) \&$ detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1} \neq$ manual $)$ \& DisabledAreas; AreasCycle $\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ InitAreas $;$ AreasCyclearea : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area $\rightarrow$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ ActiveAreas;AreasCycle
$=[C .24, D .24$, Definition of DisabledAreas $]$


GasDischargeSync

$$
=[D .15]
$$



GasDischargeSync
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .13, C .30, C .36, C .57]$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $\Rightarrow$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode ${ }_{1}=$ manual $\}$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .17]$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $\Rightarrow$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $\}$
$\left\{\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $\wedge$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $\Rightarrow \neg\left(\right.$ mode $e_{1} \neq$ manual $\left.)\right\}$
( systemState! reset $_{s} \rightarrow$


GasDischargeSync


$$
=[C .58, D .27]
$$

$\{\{$ countdown $\} \cup$ initials (ActiveAreas;AreasCycles) $\cup$ initials (DisabledAreas $;$ AreasCycles $\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\{\{$ countdown $\} \cap($ initials (ActiveAreas $;$ AreasCycles $)$ $\cup$ initials $($ DisabledAreas;AreasCycles $))=\emptyset\}$

$=[C .46]$
$\{$ initials (ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) $\cup$
initials(DisabledAreas;AreasCycle) $\left.\subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$

GasDischargeSync
$=[D .4, C .44, D .22]$
$\{$ initials (ActiveAreas $;$ AreasCycle) $\cup$ initials(ActiveAreas;AreasCycle) $\left.\subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\right.$ systemState $\left.=\emptyset\right\}$
$\left\{\right.$ wrt $V\left({\left.\text { systemState }!\text { reset }_{s} \rightarrow \text { Skip }\right) \cap(\text { used } V(\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }) \cup}_{\cup}\right.$ used $V($ DisabledAreas $;$ AreasCycle $))=\emptyset\}$

GasDischargeSync
$=\left[{\left.\text { Definition of } \text { Reset }_{2}\right]}\right.$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode } e_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\ \square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\ \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

## Lemma B. 8

```
    Countdown \(_{1}\left[\right.\) Act \(\left._{1} \backslash A c t_{2}\right]\)
    \(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\)
    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Countdown }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

Proof.

## Countdown ${ }_{1}$

$=\left[\right.$ Definition of Countdown ${ }_{1}$, Substitution $]$
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {countdown }} \rightarrow \text { } \rightarrow \text { startClock } \rightarrow}^{\text {sta }}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { WaitingClock } \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[D .4, C .54, C .44]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection, automaticDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\right.$ systemState, startClock $\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\{\emptyset \cap \operatorname{used} V($ WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle $)=\emptyset\}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { systemState }!\text { countdown }_{s} \rightarrow \text { startClock } \rightarrow\right. \\ \left.\text { WaitingClock }{ }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { (WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=\left[\right.$ Definition of Countdown $\left._{2}\right]$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Countdown }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

## Lemma B. 9

Waiting Clock $_{1}\left[\right.$ Act $_{1} \backslash$ Act $\left._{2}\right]$
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { WaitingClock } \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync
Proof.
Waiting Clock $_{1}\left[\right.$ Act $_{1} \backslash$ Act $\left.t_{2}\right]$
$=\left[\right.$ Definition of WaitingClock ${ }_{1}$, Substitution $]$
clockFinished $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Discharge }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSyncdetection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZone ${ }_{1}$;
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { WaitingClock }{ }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
GasDischargeSyncfault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { WaitingClock }{ }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ \text { (WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync

```
= [Schema Calculus, C.54]
{{clockFinished, detection, switchLamp, fault, switchBuzzer} }
GasDischargeSync=\emptyset}
    clockFinished }
        (l}ll=\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Discharge }}{2}{
```

```detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { WaitingClock }{ }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\) \(\square\) fault?faultId: FaultId \(\rightarrow\) switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\) switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
(WaitingClock \({ }_{2}\) \(\left.\begin{array}{l}\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
GasDischargeSync
```

$=[D .4, C .44]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ automaticDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\right.$ clockFinished $\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\{$ wrtV $($ clockFinished $\rightarrow$ Skip $) \cap$
used $V($ WaitingDischarge $;$ AreasCycle $)=\emptyset\}$
$\left\{\right.$ usedC(WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle) $\left.\subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\right.$ switchLamp $\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\{$ wrt $V($ switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$ Skip $) \cap$
usedV $($ WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle $)=\emptyset\}$
$\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\right.$ fault, switchLamp, switchBuzzer $\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\{\{$ faultId $\} \cap$ usedV $($ WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle $)=\emptyset\}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { clockFinished } \rightarrow \text { Discharge }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right.$
$\square$ detection? newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS; $\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text {.newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { Waiting Clock }_{2}\right) \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$ $\left.\square\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }\end{array} \rightarrow\right. \\ \text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { WaitingClock } \\ \text { (InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \|\end{array}\right)\right]$
$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}[D .28]$
$\left\{\right.$ wrt $V($ ActivateZoneAS $) \subseteq\left(\alpha(\right.$ AreasState $) \cup \alpha\left(\right.$ AreasState $\left.\left.\left.^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}$
$\{$ wrt $V($ ActivateZoneAS $) \cap$
usedV (switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$ WaitingClock 2$)=\emptyset\}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { clockFinished } \rightarrow \text { Discharge }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$
$\square$ detection? newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ $\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { WaitingClock } k_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ActivateZoneAS } ; \text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
$\square\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] \text {.getLampId }(\text { faultId }) \text { !on } \\ \text { switchBuzzer!on } \rightarrow \text { WaitingClock }\end{array}\right. \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
GasDischargeSync

$$
=[D .6]
$$

$$
\left\{\text { detection } \in \Sigma_{2}\right\}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { clockFinished } \left.\rightarrow \text { Discharge }_{2}\right) \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
(\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[C .58, D .27]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection, automaticDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\{\{$ detection $\} \cap\{$ automaticDischarge $\}=\emptyset\}$

```
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\text { clockFinished } \rightarrow \text { Discharge }_{2}\right) \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)\)
    \(\left(\binom{\right.\) detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow}{\) switchLamp \([\) ZoneId \(]\). newZone!on \(\rightarrow\) WaitingClock }
    \(\square \quad \mid\left[\alpha(\right.\) InternalSystemState \()\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
    \(\binom{\) detection? newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow}{\) ActivateZoneAS; WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle }
    \(\square\left(\begin{array}{l}\binom{\text { detection? newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow}{\text { switchLamp }[\text { ZoneId }] . \text { newZone!on } \rightarrow \text { WaitingClock }} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { ReplyDischarge } ; \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
    \(\square\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fault?faultId }: \text { FaultId } \rightarrow \\ \text { switchLamp }[\text { LampId }] . \text { getLampId }(\text { faultId })!\text { on }\end{array} \rightarrow\right. \\ \text { switchBuzzer }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { WaitingClock } \\ \text { s }\end{array}\right)\)
    \GasDischargeSync
```

$=[C .46]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ detection $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[C .24, D .24]$


```
= [Definition of WaitingDischarge}
```



```
\ ~ G a s D i s c h a r g e S y n c
= [C.58, D.27]
{{countdown} \cup initials(WaitingDischarge;AreasCycles)\subseteq\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}}
{{countdown } \cap initials(WaitingDischarge;AreasCycles)=\emptyset}
((l)}(\begin{array}{l}{(\mathrm{ clockFinished }->\mathrm{ Discharge })}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState })|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })|}\\{(\mathrm{ WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle })}\end{array}
```



```
\square(()
(countdown -> countdownStarted!true }->\mathrm{ WaitingClock}\mp@subsup{2}{2}{}
\square | |\alpha(InternalSystemState) | 涪| |(AreasState) )]
(WaitingDischarge;AreasCycle)
GasDischargeSync
```

$=[C .46]$
$\left\{\right.$ initials(WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle) $\left.\subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left[\right.$ Definition of Waiting Clock $_{2}$ ]
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { WaitingClock } \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

## Lemma B. 10

```
FireSys \(D_{1}\left[\right.\) Act \(t_{1} \backslash\) Act \(\left.{ }_{2}\right]\)
```

$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

Proof.

```
FireSys \(D_{1}\left[\right.\) Act \(t_{1} \backslash\) Act \(\left.{ }_{2}\right]\)
\(=\left[\right.\) Definition of FireSysD \({ }_{1}\), Substitutions \(]\)
systemState! fireSys \(D_{s} \rightarrow\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff \({ }_{1}\);
                InitFireControl \({ }_{1}\);
                \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { (AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                GasDischargeSync
        \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
    \(=\left[\right.\) Definition of SwitchLampsOff \(\left.{ }_{2}\right]\)
    systemState! fireSysD \(D_{s} \rightarrow\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
        InitFireControl \(_{1}\);
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }{ }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { (AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
        \(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { (InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                    \(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

```
\(=[D .7]\)
\(\{\alpha(\) InternalSystemState \() \cap \alpha(\) AreasState \()=\emptyset\}\)
\(\{F V(\) true \() \subseteq \alpha(\) InternalSystemState \()\}\)
\(\{F V(\) true \() \subseteq \alpha(\) AreasState \()\}\)
\(\left\{\left\{\right.\right.\) mode \(_{1}^{\prime}\), dischargedOcurred \(\left.1_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \alpha\left(\right.\) InternalSystemState \(\left.\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
\(\left\{\left\{\right.\right.\) mode \(_{A}^{\prime}\), controlledZones \(1_{1}^{\prime}\), activeZones \(1_{1}^{\prime}\), discharge \(1_{1}^{\prime}\), active \(\left.1_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq\)
    \(\alpha\left(\right.\) AreasState \(\left.\left.^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
systemState! fireSys \(D_{s} \rightarrow\)
actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
    InitInternalSystem;InitAreas;
\(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }{ }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
\(\square\) fault?faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                                    switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
                                    \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
                                    \GasDischargeSync
\(=[C .54]\)
\{ \{systemState, detection, switchLamp, alarm,
    actuatorsReplaced, fault, switchBuzzer \(\} \cap\) gasDischargeSync \(=\emptyset\}\)
    systemState!fireSysD \(\rightarrow\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm! alarmOff \(\rightarrow\) SwitchLampsOff 2 ;
        InitInternalSystem;InitAreas;
        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys }{ }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
        \(\square\) fault? faultId : FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
            switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\)
                        \(\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)\)
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[D.28,D.29
{wrtV (InitAreas ) \subseteq\alpha(AreasState) }\cup\alpha(\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ AreasState }}{}{\prime})
{wrtV (InitAreas) \cap usedV (FireSys }2)=\emptyset
wrtV (InitInternalSystem) }
    \alpha(InternalSystemState) }\cup\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState' })
{wrtV (InitInternalSystem) \cap usedV (AreasCycle) =\emptyset}
```



```
\asDischargeSync = [D.4, C.44]
{initials(InitAreas;AreasCycle)\subseteq\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}}
{{actuatorsReplaced, switchLamp, switchBuzzer, alarm} \cap \Sigma , =\emptyset}
{wrtV(actuatorsReplaced -> alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff2 )}
    usedV (InitAreas;AreasCycle) =\emptyset}
{{fault, switchLamp, switchBuzzer} \cap 汭 =\emptyset}
{wrtV(fault?faultId: FaultId }
    switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
    switchBuzzer!on }->\mathrm{ Skip ) }\cap\mathrm{ usedV(InitAreas;AreasCycle) = Ø}
systemState!fireSysD }\mp@subsup{D}{s}{}
( ( (\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff2 ;InitInternalSystem;FireSys }}\end{array})
(()
\(\backslash\) GasDischargeSync
```

$=[C .58, D .27]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ countdown $\} \cup$ initials $($ AreasCycle $\left.) \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\{\{$ countdown $\} \cap$ initials $($ AreasCycle $)=\emptyset\}$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[C .46]$
$\left\{\right.$ initials(InitAreas $;$ AreasCycle) $\left.\subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=\left[\right.$ Definition of FireSys $\left.D_{2}\right]$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { FireSys } D_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ \text { (InitAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

## Lemma B. 11

Discharge $_{1}\left[\right.$ Act $_{1} \backslash$ Act $\left._{2}\right]$
$\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Discharge }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync $^{\text {(Was }}$
Proof.
Discharge $_{1}\left[\right.$ Act $_{1} \backslash$ Act $\left._{2}\right]$
$=\left[\right.$ Definition of Discharge ${ }_{1}$, Substitution $]$
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {discharge }} \text { s } \rightarrow}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { exit } \rightarrow \\
& \binom{\text { ョ area }: \text { dom active }{ }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\} \bullet}{\text { switchLamp }[\text { AreaId }] \text {.area }!\text { on } \rightarrow \text { Skip }} ; \\
& \left(\text { dom } \text { active }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true } \neq \emptyset \text { ) \& }\right. \\
& \text { SwitchFireControlSystem2DisabledMode }_{1} \text {; } \\
& \left(\text { dom } \text { active }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\}=\emptyset\right) ~ \& ~ \\
& \text { SwitchFireControlSystem } \left.2 \text { AutomaticMode }_{1}\right) \\
& \text { ActivateDischarge }{ }_{1} \text {; } \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Reset }_{2} \\
\left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState }) \Sigma_{2} \mid \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\text { mode }_{A}=\text { manual } \wedge \text { mode }_{1}=\text { manual }\right) \& \\
\text { ActiveAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle } \\
\square\left(\text { mode }_{1} \neq \text { manual }\right) \& \\
\quad \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle }
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { GasDischargeSync }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
= [Schema Calculus, C.54]
{{systemState, exit, switchLamp} \cap GasDischargeSync =\emptyset}
systemState!discharge }
    exit }
    (% area : dom active }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{1}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ {true } • 
```



```
                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode
                \square(dom active}\mp@subsup{}{1}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } = Ø) &
                            SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode
            ActivateDischargeAS;
            ( ( Reset }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{2}{
\GasDischargeSync
= [C.35]
systemState!discharge }
    exit }
```



```
            ((dom active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }\not=\emptyset)
                                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;
                                    {mode }=\mathrm{ disabled }
                \square
                    (dom active}\mp@subsup{}{1}{}\triangleright{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ true }}=\emptyset) &}{}{\prime
                    SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;
                    {\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ automatic }}}{}{\prime}
            ActivateDischargeAS;
```



```
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[D.14
{mode }=\mathrm{ disabled }=>\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }
{\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}=\mathrm{ automatic }=>\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }}
systemState!discharge }
    exit }
    (% % area : dom active }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{1}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } • 
((dom active }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{l}}{1}{}\triangleright{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ true }}\not=\emptyset) & }{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode;}}{
{\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }}
\square ( \text { dom active } \triangleright ~ \triangleright \{ t r u e \} = \emptyset ) ~ \& ~ ; ~ ;
SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode;
{\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }}
ActivateDischargeAS;
```



```
GasDischargeSync
= [D.24]
systemState!discharge }
    exit }
```




```
            \square ( \text { dom active }
                            SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode
            {\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }};
            ActivateDischargeAS;
            (}(\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState })|\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{2}{}|\alpha(\mathrm{ AreasState })]}\\{(\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ mode }}\end{array})}\\{\mathrm{ manual }\wedge\mathrm{ mode }}\end{array}=\mathrm{ manual ) & 
\GasDischargeSync
```

```
= [D.21]
{mode 
systemState!discharge }
    exit }
    (% area : dom active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ {true } • 
( (dom active
\square ( \text { dom active } \triangleright \{ \{ t r u e \} = \emptyset ) ~ \& ~
SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode
ActivateDischargeAS;
{\mp@subsup{mode }{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual };}
```



```
\GasDischargeSync
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[C.33,C.36, C.57]
systemState!discharge }
exit }
    (% % area : dom active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } • 
(dom active
                                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode.
                                    (dom active}\mp@subsup{}{1}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } = Ø) &
                                    SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode
            ActivateDischargeAS;
            { l Reset 2 
                (mo
                                    DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
                                    )
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}
{mode }\mp@subsup{}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }=>\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ mode }}{1}{}\not=\mathrm{ manual }
{mode 
systemState!discharge }
    exit }
    (% area : dom active }\triangleright\{\mathrm{ true } • 
(()dom active }\triangleright{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ true }}}{1}{=\emptyset\emptyset)&
ActivateDischargeAS;
(l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|[\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 泣 | |(AreasState ) | }}\\{(\mathrm{ DisabledAreas ;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
\GasDischargeSync
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[D.28
wrtV (ActivateDischargeAS )\subseteq\alpha(AreasState ) \cup\alpha(AreasState' })
{wrtV (ActivateDischargeAS ) \capusedV (\mp@subsup{Reset }{2}{})=\emptyset}
systemState!discharge }
    exit }
            (% area : dom active }\triangleright{\mathrm{ {true } • 
            ( (dom active},\triangleright{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ true }}}{1}{=\emptyset) & 
            (l}\begin{array}{l}{\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{}}\\{|\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState ) | 江 | 人(AreasState) |}}\\{(\mathrm{ ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas;AreasCycle)}}\end{array}
\asDischargeSync
```

$=[D .38]$
$\left\{\right.$ dom active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\} \subseteq$ AreaId $\}$
systemState! discharge $_{s} \rightarrow$ exit $\rightarrow$

| $\left(\begin{array}{c} 9 \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \\ \left(\text { area } \in \operatorname{dom} \text { active }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\}\right) \text { \& } \\ \text { switchLamp } \left.[\text { AreaId }] \text {.area!on } \rightarrow \text { Skip }^{\square(\text { area } \notin \operatorname{dom} \text { active }} \downarrow\{\text { true }\}\right) \& \\ \text { Skip } \end{array}\right)$ |
| :---: |
| $\left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\text { dom } \text { active }_{1} \triangleright\{\text { true }\} \neq \emptyset\right) \& \\ \text { SwitchInternalSystem } 2 \text { DisabledMode } \\ \square(\text { dom active } \\ \text { d } \\ \text { Strue }\}=\emptyset) \& \\ \text { SwitchInternalSystem } 2 \text { AutomaticMode } \end{array}\right)$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { Reset }_{2} \\ \\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left\|\Sigma_{2}\right\| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \\| \\ (\text { ActivateDischargeAS } ; \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCyc } \end{array}\right.$ |

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=[B .3, D .4]$
\{log is fresh variable name $\}$
( ${\text { systemState! }{ }^{2} \text { discharge }}_{s} \rightarrow$
exit $\rightarrow$
( var $\log : \mathbb{N} \bullet$
$\log :=0 ;$

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Reset }_{2} \\ \| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState }) \| \\ (\text { ActivateDischargeAS } ; \text { DisabledAreas } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right)$
GasDischargeSync
$=[D .25, D .34]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ switchLamp $\left.\} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\left\{\log \notin F V\left(\right.\right.$ Reset $\left._{2}\right) \cup F V($ ActivateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas;AreasCycle $\left.)\right\}$
systemState! discharge $\rightarrow$ exit $\rightarrow$
( var $\log : \mathbb{N} \bullet$
$\log :=0$;
© area : AreaId
( area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$
$\binom{$ switchLamp $[$ AreaId $]$. area!on $\rightarrow}{\log :=\log +1}$
$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $) \cup\{\log \}$
$\left|\Sigma_{2}\right|$
$\alpha$ (AreasState) ${ }^{\text {( }}$
Skip$\square\left(\right.$ area $\notin$ dom active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$
Skip $\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $) \cup\{\log \}$ $\left|\Sigma_{2}\right|$ $\alpha$ (AreasState) $]$
Skip
$(\log =0) \&$
SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode$(\log >0) \&$ SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode
( Reset $_{2}$
$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $) \cup\{\log \}\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right] \mid$ (ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas;AreasCycle)
GasDischargeSync
$=[B .2]$
$\{\{$ gasDischarged, gasNotDischarged, automaticDischarge $\} \cap\{$ switchLamp $\}=\emptyset\}$ systemState! discharge $_{s} \rightarrow$ exit $\rightarrow$


GasDischargeSync
$=[D .39]$
\{automaticDischarge $\notin\{$ gasDischarged, gasNotDischarged, switchLamp $\}\}$
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{2} \text { ischarge }}_{s} \rightarrow$ exit $\rightarrow$


GasDischargeSync
$=[D .8]$
$\left\{\log \notin F V\left(\right.\right.$ Reset $\left._{2}\right) \cup F V($ ActivateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas;AreasCycle $\left.)\right\}$

GasDischargeSync

```
\sqsubseteq\mathcal{A}}[D.28
{wrtV(SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode) U
    wrtV(SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode) }
                                \alpha(InternalSystemState) }\cup\alpha(\mathrm{ InternalSystemState' })
{wrtV(SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode) U
    wrtV(SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode) \cap
            usedV (ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas;AreasCycle ) =\emptyset}
    systemState!discharge s}->\mathrm{ exit }
(var log:\mathbb{N}
        log:= 0;
        (()
        (()}(\begin{array}{c}{(log=0)&}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode }}\\{\square(log>0)&}\\{\mathrm{ SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode }}\end{array});
        | ( (InternalSystemState ) \cup{log} | 江 | 人(AreasState)]
        (ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas;AreasCycle)
    GasDischargeSync
```

```
\(=[D .33, C .54]\)
\(\{\emptyset \cup\) usedC \((\) DisabledAreas; AreasCycle \() \subseteq c s\}\)
\(\{\{\) automaticDischarge, gasDischarged, gasNotDischarged, switchLamp \(\} \cap\)
    usedC \((\) DisabledAreas \(;\) AreasCycle \()=\emptyset\}\)
\(\{\{\) automaticDischarge, gasDischarged, gasNotDischarged \(\} \cap \emptyset=\emptyset\}\)
( systemState!discharge \(\rightarrow\) exit \(\rightarrow\)
(var \(\log : \mathbb{N} \bullet\)
\(\log :=0 ;\)
\((\stackrel{\circ}{9}\) area \(:\) AreaId
automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    switchLamp[AreaId].area!on \(\rightarrow\);
                                    \(\log :=\log +1\)
                                    \(\square\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip
( \((\log =0) \&\)
                                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode
```

```
                                    \((\log >0) \&\)
                                    SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode
        Reset \(_{2}\)
        \(\mid\left[\alpha(\right.\) InternalSystemState \() \cup\{\log \}\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\) AreasState \(\left.)\right]\)
            ( ||| area : AreaId
                            automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\)
                                    (area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\left.\}\right) \&\)
                                    gasDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip
                                    ( area \(\notin\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\}\) ) \&
                                    gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip
                                    ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
GasDischargeSync
```

$=[C .58, D .27]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ automaticDischarge $\} \cup\{$ detection $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\{\{$ automaticDischarge $\} \cap\{$ detection $\}=\emptyset\}$

$=[C .46]$
$\left\{\{\right.$ automaticDischarge $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
( ${\text { systemState! }{ }^{2} \text { ischarge }}_{s} \rightarrow$ exit $\rightarrow$


GasDischargeSync
$=[D .9, D .4, C .44]$
$\{\log \notin\{$ area $\} \cup$ usedV $($ ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas;AreasCycle $)\}$
$\left\{\{\right.$ automaticDischarge, detection $\left.\} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}\right\}$
$\left\{\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\right.$ systemState, exit $\left.\}=\emptyset\right\}$
$\left\{\right.$ wrt $V\left(\right.$ systemState $!$ discharge $_{s} \rightarrow$ exit $\rightarrow$ Skip $) \cap$ used $\left.V\left(A_{3}\right)\right\}$

$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$
$\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}\| \mid \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \\ \text { automaticDischarge.area } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.\right.$ ( area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \& ;$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Skip ( area $\notin \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $)$ ) \& gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Skip ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas;AreasCycle
detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
WaitingDischarge; AreasCycle
$;)$
GasDischargeSync
$=[D .8]$
$\left\{\log \notin \operatorname{usedV}\left(\right.\right.$ Reset $\left.\left._{2}\right)\right\}$

$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$

$\backslash$ GasDischargeSync
$=\left[{\left.\text { Definition of } \text { Discharge }_{2}\right]}\right.$

GasDischargeSync
( Discharge $_{2}$
$\| \alpha($ InternalSystemState $)\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha($ AreasState $\left.)\right]$
$\left(\int\left(\begin{array}{c}\|| | \text { area }: \text { AreaId } \bullet \\ \text { automaticDischarge.area } \rightarrow\end{array}\right.\right.$
( area $\in \operatorname{dom}$ active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right) \&$ gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Skip
( area $\notin$ dom active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\left.\}\right)$ \& gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Skip
ActivateDischargeAS;DisabledAreas
$\square$ detection? newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZoneAS;
WaitingDischarge
AreasCycle
GasDischargeSync $=$ [Definition of ReplyDischarge $]$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Discharge }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right]\end{array}\right.$
$\left(\binom{\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ReplyDischarge;DisabledAreas } \\ \square \text { detection? newZone :ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZoneAS } ; \\ \text { WaitingDischarge }\end{array}\right) ;}{\right.$ AreasCycle }$)$
GasDischargeSync
$=[D .22$, Definition of WaitingDischarge $]$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Discharge }_{2} \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \\ (\text { WaitingDischarge } ; \text { AreasCycle })\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync

## Appendix C

## Existing Refinement Laws

## Laws of simulation

Law C. 1 (Schema Expressions)

$$
\text { ASExp } \preceq C S E x p
$$

provided

- $\forall P_{1} . s t ; P_{2} . s t ; L \bullet R \wedge$ pre $A S E x p \Rightarrow$ pre CSExp
- $\forall P_{1} . s t ; P_{2} . s t ; P_{2} . s t^{\prime} ; L \bullet R \wedge$ pre ASExp $\wedge C S E x p \Rightarrow$ $\left(\exists P_{1} . s t^{\prime} ; L^{\prime} \bullet R^{\prime} \wedge A S E x p\right)$

Law C. 2 (Input prefix distribution)

$$
c ? x \rightarrow A_{1} \preceq c ? x \rightarrow A_{2}
$$

provided $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$
Law C. 3 (Output prefix distribution)

$$
c!a e \rightarrow A_{1} \preceq c!c e \rightarrow A_{2}
$$

provided

- $\forall P_{1} . s t ; P_{2} . s t ; L \bullet R \Rightarrow a e=c e$
- $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$

Law C. 4 (Guard distribution)

$$
a g \& A_{1} \preceq c g \& A_{2}
$$

## provided

- $\forall P_{1} . s t ; P_{2} . s t ; L \bullet R \Rightarrow(a g \Leftrightarrow c g)$
- $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$

Law C. 5 (Sequence distribution)

$$
A_{1} ; A_{2} \preceq B_{1} ; B_{2}
$$

provided

- $A_{1} \preceq B_{1}$
- $A_{2} \preceq B_{2}$

Law C. 6 (External choice distribution)

$$
A_{1} \square A_{2} \preceq B_{1} \square B_{2}
$$

provided

- $A_{1} \preceq B_{1}$
- $A_{2} \preceq B_{2}$


## Law C. 7 (Parallelism distribution)

$$
\left.A_{1} \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\left|A_{2} \preceq B_{1}\right|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid B_{2}
$$

provided

- $A_{1} \preceq B_{1}$
- $A_{2} \preceq B_{2}$

Law C. 8 (Recursion distribution)

$$
\mu X \bullet F(A) \preceq \mu X \bullet F^{\prime}(A)
$$

provided $F \preceq F^{\prime}$
Law C. 9 (Schema Expressions)

$$
\text { ASExp } \preceq C S E x p
$$

## provided

- $\forall P_{2} . s t ; L \bullet\left(\forall P_{1} . s t \bullet R \Rightarrow\right.$ pre ASExp $) \Rightarrow$ pre CSExp
- $\forall P_{2} . s t ; L \bullet\left(\forall P_{1} . s t \bullet R \Rightarrow\right.$ pre ASExp $) \Rightarrow$

$$
\left(\forall P_{1} . s t^{\prime} ; P_{2} . s t^{\prime} ; L^{\prime} \bullet \operatorname{CSExp} \wedge R^{\prime} \Rightarrow\left(\exists P_{1} . s t \bullet R \wedge A S E x p\right)\right)
$$

## Process Refinement

Law C. 10 (Process declaration introduction)

$$
c p=p d c p
$$

provided the process declared in the process declaration pd is not referenced in the sequence of paragraphs of the Circus program cp.

## Law C. 11 (Process splitting)

Let $q d$ and $r d$ stand for the declarations of the processes $Q$ and $R$, determined by Q.st, Q.pps, and Q.act, and R.st, R.pps, and R.act, respectively, and pd stand for the process declaration above. Then

$$
p d=(q d r d \text { process } P \hat{=} F(Q, R))
$$

provided Q.pps and R.pps are disjoint with respect to R.st and Q.st.
Law C. 12 (Process indexing)
Let gd and ild be the process declarations above, and let ld be the declaration of the process $L$. Then,

$$
g d=l d \text { ild process } G \hat{=} \| \mid i: \text { Range } \odot I L\lfloor i\rfloor
$$

provided L.pps and G.pps are disjoint with respect to L.st and G.st.

## Action Refinement

## Guards

## Law C. 13 (Guard Introduction-Assumption)

$\{g\} ; A=\{g\} ; g \& A$

Law C. 14 (Assumption/Guard—Elimination 1)

$$
\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(g_{2} \& A\right) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; A
$$

provided $g_{1} \Rightarrow g_{2}$
Law C. 15 (Guard Introduction-Schema Expression)

$$
\text { SExp } \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} \square i \bullet g_{i} \& S E x p \wedge\left[\text { State } \mid g_{i}\right]
$$

provided pre $S E x p \Rightarrow \vee i \bullet g_{i}$
Law C. 16 (Guard combination)

$$
g_{1} \&\left(g_{2} \& A\right)=\left(g_{1} \wedge g_{2}\right) \& A
$$

Law C. 17 (Guard/Sequence-Association)

$$
\left(g \& A_{1}\right) ; A_{2}=g \&\left(A_{1} ; A_{2}\right)
$$

Law C. 18 (Guard/External choice-Distribution)

$$
g \&\left(A_{1} \square A_{2}\right)=\left(g \& A_{1}\right) \square\left(g \& A_{2}\right)
$$

Law C. 19 (Guard/Internal choice-Distribution)

$$
g \&\left(A_{1} \sqcap A_{2}\right)=\left(g \& A_{1}\right) \sqcap\left(g \& A_{2}\right)
$$

## Law C. 20 (Guard/Parallelism—Distribution 1)

$$
\left.g \&\left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}\right)=\left(g \& A_{1}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\left(g \& A_{2}\right)
$$

Law C. 21 (Guard/Parallelism—Distribution 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(g_{1} \& A_{1}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\left(g_{2} \& A_{2}\right) \\
& = \\
& \left.\left(g_{1} \vee g_{2}\right) \&\left(\left(g_{1} \& A_{1}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\left(g_{2} \& A_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Law C. 22 (Guard/Interleaving-Distribution 1)

$$
g \&\left(A_{1}\left\|\left[n s_{1} \mid n s_{2}\right]\right\| A_{2}\right)=\left(g \& A_{1}\right)\left\|\left[n s_{1} \mid n s_{2}\right]\right\|\left(g \& A_{2}\right)
$$

Law C. 23 (Guard/Interleaving-Distribution 2)

```
\(\left(g_{1} \& A_{1}\right)\left\|\left[n s_{1} \mid n s_{2}\right]\right\|\left(g_{2} \& A_{2}\right)\)
\(\left(g_{1} \vee g_{2}\right) \&\left(\left(g_{1} \& A_{1}\right)\left\|\left[n s_{1} \mid n s_{2}\right]\right\|\left(g_{2} \& A_{2}\right)\right)\)
```

Law C. 24 (True Guard)
true \& $A=A$

Law C. 25 (False Guard)
false \& $A=$ Stop

Law C. 26 (Guarded Stop)
$g \& S t o p=$ Stop

## Schema Expressions

Law C. 27 (Schema Disjunction Elimination)
pre $S E x p_{1} \&\left(S E x p_{1} \vee S E x p_{2}\right) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}$ pre $S E x p_{1} \& S E x p_{1}$
Law C. 28 (Sequence Introduction-Schema Expression)

```
\(\left[\Delta S_{1} ; \Delta S_{2} ; i ?: T \mid \operatorname{pre} S_{1} \wedge \operatorname{preS}_{2} \wedge C S_{1} \wedge C S_{2}\right]\) \(=\)
\(\left[\Delta S_{1} ; \Xi S_{2} ; i ?: T \mid \operatorname{pre}_{1} \wedge C S_{1}\right] ;\left[\Xi S_{1} ; \Delta S_{2} ; i ?: T \mid\right.\) preS \(\left._{2} \wedge C S_{2}\right]\)
```

syntactic restrictions

- $\alpha\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \alpha\left(S_{2}\right)=\emptyset$;
- $F V\left(\right.$ pre $\left.S_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{1}\right) \cup\{i ?\} ;$
- $F V\left(\right.$ pre $\left.S_{2}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{2}\right) \cup\{i ?\} ;$
- $\operatorname{DFV}\left(C S_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right)$;
- $\operatorname{DFV}\left(C S_{2}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$;
- $\operatorname{UDFV}\left(C S_{2}\right) \cap D F V\left(C S_{1}\right)=\emptyset$.


## Law C. 29 (Parallelism Introduction-Schema Expression)

$$
=\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Delta S_{1} ; \Delta S_{2} ; i ?: T \mid C S_{1}\left(i ?, s_{2}\right) \wedge C S_{2}\right] } \\
& \left(c ? j ? s \rightarrow\left[\Delta S_{1} ; \Delta S_{2} ; j ?: T ; s ?: U \mid C S_{1}(j ?, s ?)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\| \alpha\left(S_{1}\right)|\{c \mid\}| \alpha(S 2)\right] \\
& \left.c!i!s_{2} \rightarrow\left[\Delta S_{1} ; \Delta S_{2} \mid C S_{2}\right]\right) \backslash\{c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

syntactic restrictions

- $\alpha\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \alpha\left(S_{2}\right)=\emptyset ;$
- $i$ is an input variable in scope;
- $s_{2} \in \alpha\left(S_{2}\right)$ and $s_{2}$ has type $U$;
- $F V\left(C S_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(\Delta S_{1}\right) \cup\left\{i ?, s_{2}\right\}$;
- $F V\left(C S_{2}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(\Delta S_{2}\right)$;
- $c$ is a valid channel of type $T \times U$.


## Assumptions

Law C. 30 (Assumption/External Choice-Distribution)
$\{p\} ;\left(A_{1} \square A_{2}\right)=\left(\{p\} ; A_{1}\right) \square\left(\{p\} ; A_{2}\right)$
Law C. 31 (Assumption/Guard—Elimination 2)
$\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(g_{2} \& A\right)=\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;$ Stop
provided $g_{1} \Rightarrow \neg g_{2}$
Law C. 32 (Assumption/Guard-Replacement)
$\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(g_{2} \& A\right)=\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(g_{3} \& A\right)$
provided $g_{1} \Rightarrow\left(g_{2} \Leftrightarrow g_{3}\right)$
Law C. 33 (Assumption/Parallelism—Distribution)
$\left.\{p\} ;\left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}\right)=\left(\{p\} ; A_{1}\right) \| c s\right] \|\left(\{p\} ; A_{2}\right)$
Law C. 34 (Assumption/Interleaving-Distribution)
$\{p\} ;\left(A_{1}\left\|\left[n s_{1} \mid n s_{2}\right]\right\| A_{2}\right)=\left(\{p\} ; A_{1}\right)\left\|\left[n s_{1} \mid n s_{2}\right]\right\|\left(\{p\} ; A_{2}\right)$

In the following law we refer to a predicate assump ${ }^{\prime}$. In general, for any predicate $p$, the predicate $p^{\prime}$ is formed by dashing all its free undecorated variables.

## Law C. 35 (Assumption Introduction-Schema Expression)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Delta \text { State } ; i ?: T_{i} ; o!: T_{o} \mid p \wedge \text { assump }{ }^{\prime}\right]} \\
& = \\
& {\left[\Delta \text { State } ; i ?: T_{i} ; o!: T_{o} \mid p \wedge \text { assump }\right] ;\{\text { assump }\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The schema in this law is an arbitrary schema that specifies an action in Circus: it acts on a state schema State and, optionally, has input variables $i$ ? of type $T_{i}$, and output variables $o$ ! of type $T_{o}$.

## Law C. 36 (Assumption Elimination)

$$
\{p\} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} S k i p
$$

## Parallelism

## Law C. 37 (Parallelism Introduction-Sequence 1)

```
\(A_{1} ; A_{2}(e)\)
\(\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\)
\(\left.\left(\left(A_{1} ; c!e \rightarrow \operatorname{Skip}\right) \| \overline{\operatorname{wrt} V\left(A_{2}\right)}|\{\mid c\}| \operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{2}\right)\right] \mid c ? y \rightarrow A_{2}(y)\right) \backslash\{c\}\)
```

syntactic restrictions

- $c$ is a valid channel of type T;
- $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup$ used $C\left(A_{2}\right)$;
- $y \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)$.
provided
- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset$;
- $F V(e) \cap w r t V\left(A_{2}\right.$ before $\left.e\right)=\emptyset$.

Law C. 38 (Parallelism Introduction-Sequence 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}(x) ; A_{2}(x) \\
& = \\
& \left.\left(c!x \rightarrow A_{1}(x) \| \overline{\operatorname{wrt} V\left(A_{2}\right)} \mid\{c\}\right\} \mid \operatorname{wrt} V\left(A_{2}\right) \| c ? y \rightarrow A_{2}(y)\right) \backslash\{c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## syntactic restrictions

- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset$;
- $c$ is a valid channel of type $T$;
- $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)$;
- $y \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)$.


## Law C. 39 (Parallelism Introduction-Sequence 3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}(x) ; A_{2}(x) \\
& \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& \left.\left(\left(A_{1}(x) ; c!x \rightarrow \operatorname{Skip}\right) \| \overline{\operatorname{wrt} V\left(A_{2}\right)}|\{c\}| \operatorname{wrt} V\left(A_{2}\right)\right] \mid\left(c ? y \rightarrow A_{2}(y)\right)\right) \backslash\{c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## syntactic restrictions

- $c$ is a valid channel of type $T$;
- $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup$ used $C\left(A_{2}\right)$;
- $y \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)$.

```
provided wrtV }(\mp@subsup{A}{1}{})\cap\operatorname{usedV}(\mp@subsup{A}{2}{})={x
```


## Law C. 40 (Channel Combination)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}\left[c_{1} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{1} \rightarrow c_{2} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{2} \rightarrow B_{1}\right] \\
\|\left[n s_{1}\left|\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right] \\
A_{2}\left[c_{1} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{3} \rightarrow c_{2} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{4} \rightarrow B_{2}\right]
\end{array}\right) \backslash\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right\} \\
& = \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}\left[c_{3} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{1} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{2} \rightarrow B_{1}\right] \\
\|\left[n s_{1}\left|\left\{c_{3}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right] \\
A_{2}\left[c_{3} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{3} \cdot \operatorname{com}_{4} \rightarrow B_{2}\right]
\end{array}\right) \backslash\left\{c_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## syntactic restrictions

- all occurrences of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ in $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are as explicitly stated;
- $c_{3}$ is a valid channel of the appropriate type.


## Law C. 41 (Channel Extension 1)

$$
\left.A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}=A_{1} \| n s_{1}|c s \cup\{c\}| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2}
$$

provided $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)$
Law C. 42 (Channel Extension 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}\left\|n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right\| A_{2}(e) \\
& = \\
& \left(c!e \rightarrow A_{1}\left\|n s_{1}|c s \cup\{c\}| n s_{2}\right\| c ? x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)\right) \backslash\{c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

syntactic restrictions

- $c$ is a valid channel of the appropriate type;
- $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)$;
- $x \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)$.
provided $F V(e) \cap \operatorname{wrt} V\left(A_{2}\right.$ before $\left.e\right)=\emptyset$
Law C. 43 (Synchronisation Elimination)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\square i \bullet g_{i} \& c_{i} . c c o m_{i} \rightarrow d_{i} . a c o m_{i} \rightarrow A_{i}\right) \\
\\
\left.\| n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{i \bullet c_{i}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right] \mid \\
\left(\square i \bullet g_{i} \& c_{i} . c c o m_{i} \rightarrow d_{i} . b c o m_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}\right) \\
\\
\left(\square i \bullet d_{i} . a c o m_{i} \rightarrow A_{i}\right) \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\left(\square i s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \\
\left(\square i \bullet g_{i} \& c_{i} . c c o m_{i} \rightarrow d_{i} . b c o m_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

provided $\left\{i \bullet c_{i}\right\} \cap \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{i}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(B_{i}\right)=\emptyset$

## Law C. 44 (Parallelism/Sequence-Step)

$$
\left(A_{1} ; A_{2}\right) \|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{3}=A_{1} ;\left(A_{2}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{3}\right)
$$

provided

- initials $\left(A_{3}\right) \subseteq c s ;$
- $c s \cap \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right)=\emptyset$;
- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{3}\right)=\emptyset$


## Law C. 45 (Parallelism/External Choice-Exchange)

$$
\left.\left.\left(A_{1} \| c s\right] \mid A_{2}\right) \square\left(B_{1}\|c s\| B_{2}\right)=\left(A_{1} \square B_{1}\right) \| c s\right] \|\left(A_{2} \square B_{2}\right)
$$

provided $\left.\left.A_{1} \| c s\right] \mid B_{2}=A_{2} \| c s\right] \mid B_{1}=$ Stop

## Law C. 46 (Parallelism/External Choice - Distribution)

$$
\left.\left.\left.A_{1} \| c s\right]\left|\left(A_{2} \square A_{3}\right)=\left(A_{1} \| c s\right]\right| A_{2}\right) \square\left(A_{1} \| c s\right] \mid A_{3}\right)
$$

provided

- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \subseteq c s ;$
- $A_{1}$ is deterministic.


## Law C. 47 (Parallelism Deadlock)

$$
g 1 \& c_{1} \rightarrow A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}\left|c s \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right| n s_{2}\right]\right| g_{2} \& c_{2} \rightarrow A_{2}=\text { Stop }
$$

provided $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$

## Prefixing

## Law C. 48 (Prefix/Sequential Composition-Association)

$$
c \rightarrow\left(A_{1} ; A_{2}\right)=\left(c \rightarrow A_{1}\right) ; A_{2}
$$

syntactic restriction $F V\left(A_{2}\right) \cap \alpha(c)=\emptyset$
The following are laws for distribution.

## Law C. 49 (Prefix/External choice-Distribution)

$$
c \rightarrow \square i \bullet g_{i} \& \quad A_{i}=\square i \bullet g_{i} \& c \rightarrow A_{i}
$$

## provided $\vee i \bullet g_{i}$

syntactic restriction $F V\left(g_{i}\right) \cap \alpha(c)=\emptyset$, for all $i$
The proviso is needed to ensure that at least one guard is valid, so that in the right-hand side action the communication does take place.

Law C. 50 (Prefix/Internal choice-Distribution)

$$
c \rightarrow\left(A_{1} \sqcap A_{2}\right)=\left(c \rightarrow A_{1}\right) \sqcap\left(c \rightarrow A_{2}\right)
$$

Law C. 51 (Prefix/Parallelism—Distribution)

$$
\left.\left.c \rightarrow\left(A_{1} \| c s\right] \mid A_{2}\right)=\left(c \rightarrow A_{1}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s \cup\{c\}| n s_{2}\right]\left(c \rightarrow A_{2}\right)
$$

syntactic restriction $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)$ or $c \in c s$

## External choice

Law C. 52 (External choice/Sequence-Distribution)$\left.i \bullet g_{i} \& c_{i} \rightarrow A_{i}\right) ; B=$$i \bullet g_{i} \& c_{i} \rightarrow A_{i} ; B$

## Hiding

Law C. 53 (Hide combination)

$$
\left(A \backslash c s_{1}\right) \backslash c s_{2}=A \backslash\left(c s_{1} \cup c s_{2}\right)
$$

Law C. 54 (Hide expansion)

$$
F(A \backslash c s)=F(A) \backslash c s
$$

provided $\quad c s \cap \operatorname{used} C(F(-))=\emptyset$

## Recursion

Law C. 55 (Recursion Unfold)

$$
\mu X \bullet F(X)=F(\mu X \bullet F(X))
$$

Law C. 56 (Recursion-Least Fixed Point)

$$
F(Y) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y \Rightarrow \mu X \bullet F(X) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} Y
$$

## Unit and Zero Laws

Law C. 57 (Sequence-Unit)
Skip; $A=A=A ;$ Skip

Law C. 58 (External Choice-Unit)

$$
\text { Stop } \square A=A
$$

Law C. 59 (Sequence-Zero)
Stop; $A=$ Stop

## Appendix D

## New Refinement Laws

## Laws of Simulation.

Law D. 1 (Prefixing/Simulation)

$$
c \rightarrow A_{1} \preceq c \rightarrow A_{2}
$$

provided $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$
Law D. 2 (Interleave/Simulation)

$$
A_{1}\left\|\mid A_{2} \preceq B_{1}\right\| B_{2}
$$

provided

- $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$
- $B_{1} \preceq B_{2}$

Law D. 3 (Internal Choice/Simulation)

$$
A_{1} \sqcap A_{2} \preceq B_{1} \sqcap B_{2}
$$

provided

- $A_{1} \preceq A_{2}$
- $B_{1} \preceq B_{2}$


## Laws on Prefixing.

Law D. 4 (Prefixing/Skip)

$$
c \rightarrow A=(c \rightarrow \text { Skip }) ; A
$$

## Law D. 5 (Prefixing Introduction)

$$
A=(c \rightarrow A) \backslash\{|c|\}
$$

provided $c \notin \operatorname{used} C(A)$

Law D. 6 (Prefixing/Parallelism 2)

$$
\left.\left.c ? x \rightarrow\left(A_{1}(x) \| c s\right] \mid A_{2}(x)\right)=\left(c ? x \rightarrow A_{1}(x)\right) \| c s\right] \|\left(c ? x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)\right)
$$

provided $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)$ or $c \in c s$

## Laws on Schemas.

Law D. 7 (Schemas/Sequence Introduction 2)

```
\(\left[S_{1}^{\prime} ; S_{2}^{\prime} \mid p r e S_{1} \wedge p r e S_{2} \wedge C S_{1} \wedge C S_{2}\right]\)
\(\left[S_{1}^{\prime} \mid p r e S_{1} \wedge C S_{1}\right] ;\left[S_{2}^{\prime} \mid p r e S_{2} \wedge C S_{2}\right]\)
```

provided

- $\alpha\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \alpha\left(S_{2}\right)=\emptyset$
- $F V\left(\right.$ pre $\left.S_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{1}\right)$
- $F V\left(p r e S_{2}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{2}\right)$
- $\operatorname{DFV}\left(C S_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right)$
- $\operatorname{DFV}\left(C S_{2}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$
- $\operatorname{UDFV}\left(C S_{2}\right) \cap D F V\left(C S_{1}\right)=\emptyset$


## Laws on Variable Blocks.

Law D. 8 (Variable Block Extension)

$$
A_{1} ;\left(\operatorname{var} x: T \bullet A_{2}\right) ; A_{3}=\left(\operatorname{var} x: T \bullet A_{1} ; A_{2} ; A_{3}\right)
$$

provided $x \notin F V\left(A_{1}\right) \cup F V\left(A_{3}\right)$
Law D. 9 (Variable Block Extension 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(\operatorname{var} x: T \bullet A_{1}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2} \\
& = \\
& \left(\operatorname{var} x: T \bullet A_{1} \| n s_{1} \cup\{x\}|c s| n s_{2} \rrbracket A_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $x \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)$

## Laws on Guards and Assumptions.

Law D. 10 (Guard/Parallelism Distribution 1)

$$
\left.\square_{i} g_{i} \&\left(A_{i}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A\right)=\left(\square_{i} g_{i} \& A_{i}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A
$$

provided

- $\operatorname{initials}(A) \subseteq c s$

Law D. 11 (Guards/Communication Substitution)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1} \&\left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| B_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\square g_{2} \&\left(A_{2} \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid B_{2}\right) \\
& = \\
& \left.\left(A_{1} \square A_{2}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \|\left(g_{1} \& B_{1} \square g_{2} \& B_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup$ initials $\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq c s$
- usedC $\left(B_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset$
- usedC $\left(B_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right)=\emptyset$

Law D. 12 (Guards Expansion)

$$
\left(g_{1} \vee g_{2}\right) \& A=g_{1} \& A \square g_{2} \& A
$$

Law D. 13 (Assumption Introduction 2)

$$
\{g\}=\{g\} ;\left\{g_{1}\right\}
$$

provided $g \Rightarrow g_{1}$
Law D. 14 (Assumption Substitution 1)

$$
\left\{g_{1}\right\} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left\{g_{2}\right\}
$$

provided $g_{1} \Rightarrow g_{2}$
Law D. 15 (Guard/Assumption Introduction 1)

$$
g_{1} \& A_{1}=g_{1} \&\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; A_{1}
$$

## Law D. 16 (Guard/Assumption Introduction 2)

$$
\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; \square_{i} g_{i} \& A_{i}=\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; \square_{i} g_{i} \&\left\{g_{2_{i}}\right\} ; A_{i}
$$

provided For all $i, g_{1} \wedge g_{i} \equiv g_{2_{i}}$
Proof. See F.
Law D. 17 (Guard/Assumption Introduction 3)

$$
\{g\} ; A_{1}=\{g\} ;\left(g_{1} \& A_{1} \square g_{2} \& A_{2}\right)
$$

provided

- $g \Rightarrow g_{1}$
- $g_{1} \Rightarrow \neg g_{2}$

Proof. See F.
Law D. 18 (Assumption/Recursion Distribution - Mutual Recursion)

In this law we use the following definitions.
(Parameters). $\quad P=X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$
(Vector of functions). $\quad V(P)=F_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots, F_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$
(Substitution of elements). $\quad V(P)\left[F_{i}(P) \backslash\right.$ exp $]$ express the substitution of the $i$-th element of the vector $V(P)$ by the expression exp.

$$
\mu P \bullet V(P) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} \mu P \bullet V(P)\left[F_{i}(P) \backslash\{g\} ; F_{i}(P)\right]
$$

provided $\{g\} ;\left(F(P)\right.$ before $\left.X_{i}\right) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}}\left(F(P)\right.$ before $\left.X_{i}\right) ;\{g\}$ for all $F(P)$ in $V(P)$

Law D. 19 (Assumption/Prefixing 1)

$$
\{g\} ; c!x \rightarrow A=c!x \rightarrow\{g\} ; A
$$

Law D. 20 (Assumption/Prefixing 2)

$$
\{g\} ; c ? x \rightarrow A=c ? x \rightarrow\{g\} ; A
$$

provided $x \notin F V(g)$
Law D. 21 (Assumption/Schema)

$$
\{g\} ;[d \mid p]=[d \mid p] ;\{g\}
$$

provided $g \wedge p \Rightarrow g^{\prime}$

## Laws on External Choice.

Law D. 22 (Associativity of External Choice)

$$
A_{1} \square A_{2}=A_{2} \square A_{1}
$$

## Law D. 23 (External Choice Elimination)

## Law D. 24 (External Choice/Sequence - Distribution.)

$$
\square_{i}\left(g_{i} \& A_{i} ; A\right)=\left(\square g_{i} \& A_{i}\right) ; A
$$

## Laws on Parallelism.

Law D. 25 (Parallelism Unit)

$$
\text { Skip } \| c s] \mid A=A \| c s] \mid S k i p=A
$$

provided $\operatorname{used} C(A) \cap c s=\emptyset$

Law D. 26 (Parallelism Zero 1)

$$
A \|[c s] \mid \text { Stop }=\text { Stop } \| c s] \mid A=\text { Stop }
$$

provided $\operatorname{initials}(A) \subseteq c s$
Law D. 27 (Parallelism Zero 2)

$$
A_{1} \|[c s] \mid A_{2}=\text { Stop }
$$

provided

- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq c s$
- $\operatorname{initials}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{initials}\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset$

Law D. 28 (Schemas/Parallelism - Distribution.)

$$
\overline{\left(\left(\square_{i} g_{i} \& S E x p_{i}\right) ; A_{1}\right)\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}, ~}
$$

provided

- $\cup_{i} w r t V\left(S E x p_{i}\right) \subseteq n s_{1} \cup n s_{1}^{\prime}$
- $\bigcup_{i} w r t V\left(S E x p_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset$


## Law D. 29 (Parallelism Commutativity)

$$
A_{1}\left\|n s _ { 1 } | c s | n s _ { 2 } \rrbracket \left|A_{2}=A_{2}\left\|n s_{2}|c s| n s_{1}\right\| A_{1}\right.\right.
$$

## Law D. 30 (Parallelism Introduction 1)

$$
\left.c \rightarrow A=\left(c \rightarrow A \| n s_{1}|\{|c|\}| n s_{2}\right] \mid c \rightarrow \text { Skip }\right)
$$

provided $w r t V(A) \subseteq n s_{1} \cup n s_{1}^{\prime}$

## Law D. 31 (Parallelism Introduction 2)

$$
c ? x \rightarrow A_{1}(x) ; A_{2}(x)=c ? x \rightarrow A_{1}(x)\left\|n s_{1}|\{|c|\}| n s_{2}\right\| c ? x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)
$$

provided

- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset$
- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{1}\right) \subseteq n s_{1} \cup n s_{1}^{\prime}$
- $\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq n s_{2} \cup n s_{2}^{\prime}$
- $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)$

Proof. See F.
Law D. 32 (Parallelism/External Choice Introduction)
For any variable $x: T$ in scope,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(c . x \rightarrow A_{1}(x)\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \|\left(c . x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)\right) \\
& = \\
& \left.\left(c . x \rightarrow A_{1}(x)\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \|\left(\square x: T \bullet c . x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $c \in c s$

Law D. 33 (Parallelism/Sequence Distribution)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}\right) ;\left(B_{1} \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid B_{2}\right) \\
& \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& \left.\left(A_{1} ; B_{1}\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid\left(A_{2} ; B_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- usedC $\left(B_{1}\right) \cup$ used $C\left(B_{2}\right) \subseteq c s$
- used $C\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} C\left(B_{2}\right)=\emptyset$
- used $C\left(A_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} C\left(B_{1}\right)=\emptyset$


## Law D. 34 (Parallelism Partition Extension)

$$
\left.\left.\left(A_{1} \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2}\right)=\left(A_{1} \| n s_{1} \cup\{x\}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2}\right)
$$

provided

- $x \notin F V\left(A_{1}\right) \cup F V\left(A_{2}\right)$


## Laws on Communications.

Law D. 35 (Communication Introduction)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1} \mid\left[n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2} \| A_{2}\right) \backslash c s_{2}\right. \\
& = \\
& \left(\left(c ? x \rightarrow\left((x=e) \& A_{1} \square(x \neq e) \& A\right)\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2} \rrbracket \mid\left(c!e \rightarrow A_{2}\right)\right) \backslash c s_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $c \in c s_{1}$
- $c \in c s_{2}$
- $x \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)$

Proof. See F.
Law D. 36 (Channel Extension 3.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}(e)\right) \backslash c s_{2} \\
& = \\
& \left(\left(c!e \rightarrow A_{1}\right)\left|\left[n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right]\right|\left(c ? x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)\right)\right) \backslash c s_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $c \in c s_{1}$
- $c \in C s_{2}$
- $x \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)$

Law D. 37 (Channel Extension 4.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}(e)\right) \backslash c s_{2} \\
& = \\
& \left(\left(c \rightarrow A_{1}\right)\left|\left[n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right]\right|\left(c \rightarrow A_{2}\right)\right) \backslash c s_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $c \in c s_{1}$
- $c \in c s_{2}$


## Laws on Indexed Sequential Composition.

Law D. 38 (Indexed Sequential Composition/Guards Introduction)

$$
{ }_{9} x: S_{1} \bullet A_{1}(x)={ }_{9} x: S_{2} \bullet\left(\left(x \in S_{1}\right) \& A_{1}(x)\right) \square\left(\left(x \notin S_{1}\right) \& \text { Skip }\right)
$$ provided $S_{1} \subseteq S_{2}$

Law D. 39 (Indexed Sequential Composition 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} x: T \bullet\left(\left(c . x \rightarrow A_{1}(x)\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right]\left(c . x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& \left.\left(\stackrel{\circ}{9} x: T \bullet c . x \rightarrow A_{1}(x)\right) \| n s_{1}|c s| n s_{2}\right] \mid\left(\| x: T \bullet c . x \rightarrow A_{2}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

- $c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}(x)\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}(x)\right)$


## Appendix E

## Laws of Logical Calculi

Law E. 1 (One Point Rule)

$$
\forall x \bullet x=t \Rightarrow A \equiv A[x \backslash t] \equiv \exists x \bullet x=t \wedge A
$$

Law E. 2 (Universal Quantifier Elimination)

$$
\forall x: T \bullet P \equiv P
$$

Law E. 3 (Implication Elimination)

$$
A \Rightarrow A \equiv \text { true }
$$

Law E. 4 (Transitivity of Equality)

$$
A=B \wedge B=C \equiv A=C
$$

## Appendix F

## Proof of Some Derived Laws

Guard/Assumption Introduction 2 (D.16).
$\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; \square_{i} g_{i} \& A_{i}$
$=[C .30]$
$\square_{i}\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; g_{i} \& A_{i}$
$=$ [C.13, C.16]
$\square_{i}\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(g_{1} \wedge g_{i}\right) \& A_{i}$
$=[D .15]$
$\square_{i}\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(g_{1} \wedge g_{i}\right) \&\left\{g_{1} \wedge g_{i}\right\} ; A_{i}$
$=[$ C.16, C.13]
$\square_{i}\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; g_{i} \&\left\{g_{1} \wedge g_{i}\right\} ; A_{i}$
$=\left[\forall i \bullet g_{1} \wedge g_{i} \equiv g_{2_{1}}\right]$
$\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; \square_{i} g_{i} \&\left\{g_{2_{i}}\right\} ; A_{i}$

## Guard/Assumption Introduction 3 (D.17).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{g\} ; A_{1} \\
& =[D .13] \\
& \left\{g \Rightarrow g_{1}\right\} \\
& \{g\} ;\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; A_{1} \\
& =[C .13] \\
& \{g\} ;\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; g_{1} \& A_{1} \\
& =[C .58] \\
& \{g\} ;\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(\left(g_{1} \& A_{1}\right) \square \text { Stop }\right) \\
& =[C C .30] \\
& \{g\} ;\left(\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; g_{1} \& A_{1}\right) \square\left(\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; \text { Stop }\right) \\
& =[C .31] \\
& \left\{g_{1} \Rightarrow \neg g_{2}\right\} \\
& \{g\} ;\left(\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; g_{1} \& A_{1}\right) \square\left(\left\{g_{1}\right\} ; g_{2} ; A_{2}\right) \\
& =[C C .30] \\
& \{g\} ;\left\{g_{1}\right\} ;\left(g_{1} \& A_{1} \square g_{2} ; A_{2}\right) \\
& =[D .13] \\
& \left\{g \Rightarrow g_{1}\right\} \\
& \{g\} ;\left(g_{1} \& A_{1} \square g_{2} ; A_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parallelism Introduction 2 (D.31).

```
\(c ? x \rightarrow A_{1}(x) ; A_{2}(x)\)
\(=[C .38]\)
\(\left\{c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup\right.\) usedC \(\left.\left(A_{2}\right)\right\}\)
\(\left\{\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{used} V\left(A_{2}\right)=\emptyset\right\}\)
\(\left\{w r t V\left(A_{1}\right) \subseteq n s_{1} \cup n s_{1}^{\prime}\right\}\)
\(\left\{\operatorname{wrtV}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq n s_{2} \cup n s_{2}^{\prime}\right\}\)
\(c ? x \rightarrow\left(\left(c_{1}!x \rightarrow A_{1}(x)\right) \|\left\{\left\{c_{1} \mid\right\}\right] \mid\left(c_{1} ? y \rightarrow A_{2}(y)\right)\right) \backslash\left\{\mid c_{1}\right\}\)
\(=[C .42]\)
\(\left\{c_{1} \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)\right\}\)
\(c ? x \rightarrow\left(A_{1} \|\{\{\emptyset \mid\}] \mid A_{2}\right)\)
\(=[D .6]\)
\(\left\{c \notin \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{used} C\left(A_{2}\right)\right\}\)
\(\left.=\left(c ? x \rightarrow A_{1}\right) \| n s_{1}|\{|c|\}| n s_{2}\right] \mid\left(c ? x \rightarrow A_{2}\right)\)
```


## Communication Introduction (D.35).

```
\(\left(A_{1}\left|\left[n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right]\right| A_{2}\right) \backslash c s_{2}\)
\(=[C .25, C .58]\)
\(\left(\left(\left(\right.\right.\right.\) true \& \(\left.A_{1}\right) \square(\) false \& \(\left.\left.\left.A)\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2}\right) \backslash c s_{2}\)
\(=[\) Logical Calculus \(]\)
\(\left.\left(\left(\left(e=e \& A_{1}\right) \square(e \neq e \& A)\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] \mid A_{2}\right) \backslash c s_{2}\)
\(=[D .36]\)
\(\left\{c \in c s_{1}\right\}\)
\(\left\{c \in c s_{2}\right\}\)
\(\left\{x \notin F V\left(A_{2}\right)\right\}\)
\(\left.\left(\left(c ? x \rightarrow\left(x=e \& A_{1}\right) \square(x \neq e \& A)\right) \| n s_{1}\left|c s_{1}\right| n s_{2}\right] \mid\left(c!e \rightarrow A_{2}\right)\right) \backslash c s_{2}\)
```


## Appendix G

## Case Study - Some Refinement Steps

## Data refinement: including a new state component

 process FireControl $_{1} \hat{=}$ beginstate

```
FireControlState \({ }_{1}\)
mode \(_{1}\) : Mode
controlledZones \({ }_{1}:\) AreaId \(\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
activeZones \(:\) : AreaId \(\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\) ZoneId
discharge \(_{1}:\) AreaId \(\rightarrow\) Bool
active \(_{1}:\) AreaId \(\rightarrow\) Bool
\(\log : \mathbb{N}\)
mode \(_{A}\) : Mode
controlledZones \(_{1}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) getZones \((\) area \()\}\)
\(\forall\) area : AreaId •
    \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) automatic \() \Rightarrow\)
        \(\operatorname{active}_{1}(\) area \()=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\exists z_{1}, z_{2}\) : controlledZones \({ }_{1}\) (area) •
                \(z_{1} \neq z_{2} \wedge\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq\) activeZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \()\)
    \(\left(\right.\) mode \(_{1}=\) manual \() \Rightarrow\)
        \(\operatorname{active}_{1}(\) area \()=\) true \(\Leftrightarrow\)
            \(\left\{\right.\) area : AreaId \(\mid \exists z\) : controlledZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \()\)
                \(z \in\) activeZones \(_{1}(\) area \(\left.)\right\}\)
    activeZones \(_{1}(\) area \() \subseteq\) controlledZones \(_{1}(\) area \()\)
```

```
RetrFireControl
    AbstractFireControlState
    FireControlState
    mode }=\mathrm{ mode
    controlledZones 
    activeZones }=\mathrm{ activeZones
    discharge }\mp@subsup{}{1}{}=\mathrm{ discharge
    active}\mp@subsup{}{1}{}=\mathrm{ active
```

    InitFire Control \(_{1}\)
    FireControlStaté
    mode \(e_{1}^{\prime}=\) automatic
    controlledZones \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) getZones \((\) area \()\}\)
    activeZones \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto \emptyset\}\)
    discharge \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
    active \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\{\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) false \(\}\)
    \(\log =0\)
    mode \({ }_{A}^{\prime}=\) automatic
    SwitchFireControlMode \({ }_{1}\)
    \(\Delta\) FireControlState \(_{1}\)
    newMode? : Mode
    mode \(1_{1}^{\prime}=\) newMode ?
    controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \(_{1}\)
    activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(_{1}\)
    \(\log ^{\prime}=\log\)
    mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) newMode ?
    ```
SwitchFireControl2 AutomaticMode 
\DeltaFireControlState,
modee
controlledZones1}= controlledZones (1
activeZones1}= = activeZones
discharge
active
log}=lo
mode}\mp@subsup{A}{A}{\prime}=\mp@subsup{mode}{A}{
```

```
SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode. 
\Delta F _ { i r e C o n t r o l S t a t e } ^ { 1 }
    mode}\mp@subsup{1}{1}{\prime}=\mathrm{ disabled
    controlledZones'}=\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ controlledZones }}{1}{
    activeZones' = activeZones 
    discharge}\mp@subsup{1}{1}{=}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ discharge }}{1}{
    active',}=\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ active }}{1}{
    log}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}=lo
    mode'A}= mode e
```

    ActivateZone \({ }_{1}\)
    \(\Delta\) FireControlState \(_{1}\)
    newZone? : ZoneId
    \(\operatorname{mode}_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
    controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \(_{1}\)
    activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1} \oplus\)
        \(\left\{\right.\) area \(:\) AreaId \(\mid\) newZone \(? \in\) controlledZones \({ }_{1}\) (area)
        area \(\mapsto\) activeZones \({ }_{1}(\) area \() \cup\{\) newZone? \(\left.\}\right\}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)
    \(l o g^{\prime}=\log\)
    mode \(_{A}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
    ```
ActivateDischarge \(_{1}\)
    \(\Delta\) FireControlState \(_{1}\)
    mode \(e_{1}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{1}\)
    controlledZones \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) controlledZones \(_{1}\)
    activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
    discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1} \oplus\)
    \(\left\{\right.\) area : AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in \operatorname{dom}\) active \(_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)
    active \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) active \(_{1}\)
    \(\operatorname{mode}_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
```

FireSysStart ${ }_{1} \hat{=}$
${\text { systemState! } \text { fireSysStart }_{s} \rightarrow \text { switchOn } \rightarrow}$
switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on $\rightarrow$
InitFireControl ; FireSys ${ }_{1}$
SwitchLampsOff ${ }_{1} \widehat{=}$
(switchBuzzer!off $\rightarrow$ Skip
||| id : (LampId <br>{ circuitFaultLamp, systemOnLamp\}) }
switchLamp[LampId].id!off $\rightarrow$ Skip
||| zone : ZoneId • switchLamp[ZoneId].zone!off $\rightarrow$ Skip
||| area : AreaId • switchLamp[AreaId].area!off $\rightarrow$ Skip)
SwitchLampsDischarge $_{1} \hat{=}$
(9 area $:$ dom active $_{1} \triangleright\{$ true $\} \bullet$ switchLamp $[$ AreaId].area!on $\rightarrow$ Skip)
FireSys $_{1} \hat{=}$
systemState! $!$ ireSys $_{s} \rightarrow$
modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode $\rightarrow$
SwitchFireControlMode ${ }_{1}$; FireSys ${ }_{1}$
$\square$ detection? newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZone ${ }_{1}$;
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$ alarm!firstStage $\rightarrow$
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$ Manual $_{1}$
$\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ automatic $) \&$ Auto $_{1}$
$\square$ fault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$
switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$ FireSys ${ }_{1}$
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ alarm! alarmOff $\rightarrow$
InitFireControl ${ }_{1}$; SwitchLampsOff $f_{1}$; FireSys ${ }_{1}$

```
Manual }\mp@subsup{\hat{=}}{}{=
    systemState!manual }
        detection?newZone: ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZone ;
            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Manual 
        \square \text { \slenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset } _ { 1 }
        \square \text { - externalManualDischarge?area : AreaId } \rightarrow
            (area }\in\mathrm{ dom active }\triangleright{true}) &
                switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }->\mathrm{ ActivateDischarge }\mp@subsup{}{1}{}
                    SwitchFireControl2DisabledMode }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{M}}{1}{}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{1}{
```



```
                \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                        switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Manual }}{1}{
Auto 
    systemState!autos }
        (active}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } }=\emptyset\mathrm{ ) &
            alarm!secondStage }->\mathrm{ Countdown
            \square ( \text { active } \triangleright \{ \text { true } \} = \emptyset ) ~ \& ~
                reset }->\mathrm{ alarm!alarmOff }->\mathrm{ SwitchLampsOff ;
                InitFireControl ; FireSys
            \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZone ;}
                        switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Auto1
                \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
                        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                        switchBuzzer!on }->\mathrm{ Auto 
\mp@subsup{Reset }{1}{}
    systemState!resets}
        actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }
            SwitchFireControl2AutomaticMode }\mp@subsup{}{1}{};\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{1}{
            \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZone }
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{1}{
            \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff ;}
            (mode }\mp@subsup{e}{1}{= disabled) & FireSysD}\mp@subsup{D}{1}{
                \square ( \text { mode } _ { 1 } \neq \text { disabled } ) \& ~ \& ~ I n i t F i r e C o n t r o l ~ _ { 1 } ^ { \prime } ; \text { FireSys } _ { 1 }
            \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                    switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{1}{
Countdown
```

WaitingClock ${ }_{1}$ clockFinished $\rightarrow$ Discharge $_{1}$detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ ActivateZone ${ }_{1}$; switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$ WaitingClock ${ }_{1}$fault?faultId: FaultId $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$ WaitingClock ${ }_{1}$

FireSys $D_{1} \hat{=}$
systemState! fireSys $_{s} \rightarrow$ actuatorsReplaced $\rightarrow$ alarm!alarmOff $\rightarrow$

SwitchLampsOff ${ }_{1}$;InitFireControl ${ }_{1}$; FireSys ${ }_{1}$ $\square$ fault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$ FireSys $D_{1}$

Discharge $_{1}$ =
${\text { systemState! }{ }^{\text {discharge }} \rightarrow \rightarrow}$ exit $\rightarrow$

SwitchLampsDischarge ${ }_{1}$;
((dom active $\triangleright\{$ true $\} \neq \emptyset)$ \&
SwitchFireControlSystem2DisabledMode ${ }_{1}$
$\square($ dom active $\triangleright\{$ true $\}=\emptyset) \&$ SwitchFireControlSystem2AutomaticMode ${ }_{1}$ );
ActivateDischarge ${ }_{1} ;$ Reset $_{1}$

- FireSysStart 1
end


## Process refinement: upgrading the partitions into separated processes (InternalSystem and Areas)

## Process InternalSystem

process InternalSystem $\widehat{=}$ begin
state
InternalSystemState
mode $_{1}$ : Mode

InitInternalSystem $\qquad$
InternalSystemState ${ }^{\prime}$
mode $e_{1}^{\prime}=$ automatic

SwitchInternalSystemMode
$\Delta$ InternalSystemState
newMode? : Mode
mode $1_{1}^{\prime}=$ newMode ?

SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode
$\Delta$ InternalSystemState
mode ${ }_{1}^{\prime}=$ automatic

SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode $\qquad$
$\Delta$ InternalSystemState
mode $1_{1}^{\prime}=$ disabled

FireSysStart ${ }_{2} \hat{=}$
systemState! fireSysStarts $\rightarrow$ switchOn $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[LampId].systemOnLamp!on $\rightarrow$ InitInternalSystem; FireSys ${ }_{2}$

FireSys $_{2}$ 슬
systemState $!$ fireSys $_{s} \rightarrow$
modeSwitch?newMode : SwitchMode $\rightarrow$
SwitchInternalSystemMode; FireSys ${ }_{2}$detection?newZone : ZoneId $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on $\rightarrow$ alarm!firstStage $\rightarrow$ $\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ manual $) \&$ Manual $_{2}$ $\square\left(\right.$ mode $_{1}=$ automatic $) \&$ Auto $_{2}$
$\square$ fault?faultId : FaultId $\rightarrow$ switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on $\rightarrow$ switchBuzzer!on $\rightarrow$ FireSys ${ }_{2}$
$\square$ reset $\rightarrow$ alarm! alarmOff $\rightarrow$
InitInternalSystem; SwitchLampsOff ${ }_{2} ;$ FireSys $_{2}$

```
SwitchLampsOff2 =
    (switchBuzzer!off ->Skip
    || id :(LampId \ { circuitFaultLamp, systemOnLamp})
        switchLamp[LampId].id!off -> Skip
    || zone : ZoneId \bullet switchLamp[ZoneId].zone!off -> Skip
    \| \| \| ~ a r e a : A r e a I d ~ \bullet ~ s w i t c h L a m p [ A r e a I d ] . a r e a ! o f f ~ \rightarrow ~ S k i p )
Manual }\mp@subsup{}{\mathrm{ 人 }}{
    systemState!manuals }
        detection?newZone: ZoneId }
            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mp@subsup{Manual }{2}{
        \square \text { silenceAlarm } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { Reset } _ { 2 }
        \square ~ e x t e r n a l M a n u a l D i s c h a r g e ? a r e a ~ : ~ A r e a I d ~ \rightarrow ~
            manualDischarge.area }
                gasDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[AreaId].area!on }
                    SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode; Reset 
                        \square \text { gasNotDischarged.area } \rightarrow M _ { M a n u a l } ^ { 2 }
            \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d ~ : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                        switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{M}{Manual}{2
Auto 
    systemState!auto s}
        countdown }->\mathrm{ countdownStarted!true }
                alarm!secondStage }->\mathrm{ Countdown 
        \square \text { reset } \rightarrow \text { alarm!alarmOff } \rightarrow \text { SwitchLampsOff2;}
            InitInternalSystem; FireSys,
```

```
            detection?newZone: ZoneId }
                switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ Auto 
            \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                switchBuzzer!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Autor}}{2}{
```

```
Reset }\mp@subsup{}{2}{
    systemState!reset }\mp@subsup{}{s}{}
        actuatorsReplaced }->\mathrm{ switchLamp[LampId].circuitFaultLamp!off }
            SwitchInternalSystem2AutomaticMode; Reset 
        \square \text { detection?newZone: ZoneId } \rightarrow
            switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Reset }}{2}{
        \square ~ r e s e t ~ \rightarrow ~ a l a r m ! a l a r m O f f ~ \rightarrow ~ S w i t c h L a m p s O f f 2 ;
            (mode }\mp@subsup{e}{1}{= disabled) & FireSysD}\mp@subsup{D}{2}{
                \square ( \text { mode } _ { 1 } \neq \text { disabled ) \& InitInternalSystem; FireSys } 2
            \square ~ f a u l t ? f a u l t I d : ~ F a u l t I d ~ \rightarrow ~
                switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
                    switchBuzzer!on -> Reset 
                        \square { \text { countdown } \rightarrow \text { countdownStarted!false } \rightarrow \text { Reset } _ { 2 } } ^ { \prime }
```

```
Countdown}\mp@subsup{n}{2}{}\hat{=}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ systemState!countdown}}{s}{}->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ startClock }}{->\mathrm{ WaitingClock}}{2
```

Countdown}\mp@subsup{n}{2}{}\hat{=}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ systemState!countdown}}{s}{}->\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ startClock }}{->\mathrm{ WaitingClock}}{2
WaitingClock}\mp@subsup{2}{2}{}\widehat{=
clockFinished }->\mathrm{ Discharge
\square detection?newZone : ZoneId \rightarrow
switchLamp[ZoneId].newZone!on }->\mathrm{ WaitingClock

```
```

    fault?faultId : FaultId }
        switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on }
            switchBuzzer!on -> WaitingClock2
    \square \text { countdown } \rightarrow \text { countdownStarted!true } \rightarrow \text { WaitingClock2}
    ```
FireSys \(D_{2}\) 气
    systemState! fireSysD \(_{s} \rightarrow\)
        actuatorsReplaced \(\rightarrow\) alarm!alarmOff \(\rightarrow\)
        SwitchLampsOff 2 ; InitInternalSystem; FireSys \({ }_{2}\)
        \(\square\) fault?faultId: FaultId \(\rightarrow\)
            switchLamp[LampId].getLampId(faultId)!on \(\rightarrow\)
                switchBuzzer!on \(\rightarrow\) FireSysD \({ }_{2}\)
    \(\square\) countdown \(\rightarrow\) countdownStarted!false \(\rightarrow\) FireSysD \(D_{2}\)
```

Discharge $_{2} \hat{=}$
systemState! discharge $_{s} \rightarrow$
exit $\rightarrow$
$(\operatorname{var} \log : \mathbb{N}$
$\log :=0 ;$
(\% area : AreaId •
automaticDischarge.area $\rightarrow$
gasDischarged.area $\rightarrow$
switchLamp[AreaId].area!on $\rightarrow \log :=\log +1$
$\square$ gasNotDischarged.area $\rightarrow$ Skip);
$((l o g=0) \&$ SwitchInternalSystem 2 AutomaticMode
$\square(\log >0) \&$ SwitchInternalSystem2DisabledMode $)$ );
Reset $_{2}$

- FireSysStart ${ }_{2}$
end

```

Process Areas
process Areas \(\hat{=}\) begin
state
```

AreasState
mode $_{A}$ : Mode
controlledZones ${ }_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ ZoneId
activeZones $1:$ AreaId $\rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ ZoneId
discharge $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow$ Bool
active $_{1}:$ AreaId $\rightarrow$ Bool
controlledZones ${ }_{1}=\{$ area $:$ AreaId $\bullet$ area $\mapsto$ getZones $($ area $)\}$
$\forall$ area : AreaId •
$\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ automatic $) \Rightarrow$
$\operatorname{active}_{1}($ area $)=$ true $\Leftrightarrow$
$\exists z_{1}, z_{2}$ : controlledZones ${ }_{1}($ area $)$
$z_{1} \neq z_{2} \wedge\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq$ activeZones ${ }_{1}$ (area)
$\wedge\left(\right.$ mode $_{A}=$ manual $) \Rightarrow$
$\operatorname{active}_{1}($ area $)=$ true $\Leftrightarrow$
$\left\{\right.$ area : AreaId $\mid \exists z:$ controlledZones ${ }_{1}($ area $) \bullet$
$z \in$ activeZones $_{1}($ area $\left.)\right\}$
$\wedge$ activeZones $_{1}($ area $) \subseteq$ controlledZones $_{1}($ area $)$

```
```

InitAreas

```
\(\qquad\)
```

    AreasState'
    mode }\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= automatic
    activeZones'}={\mathrm{ area : AreaId • area }\mapsto\emptyset
    discharge
    ```

SwitchAreasMode \(\qquad\)
\(\Delta\) AreasState
newMode? : Mode
\(\operatorname{mode}_{A}^{\prime}=\) newMode ?
activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1}\)

ActivateZoneAS \(\qquad\)
\(\Delta\) AreasState
newZone? : ZoneId
```

mode $_{A}^{\prime}=$ mode $_{A}$
activeZones ${ }_{1}^{\prime}=$ activeZones $_{1} \oplus$
$\left\{\right.$ area : AreaId $\mid$ newZone $? \in$ controlledZones ${ }_{1}($ area $)$
area $\mapsto$ activeZones $_{1}($ area $) \cup\{$ newZone? $\left.\}\right\}$
discharge $_{1}^{\prime}=$ discharge $_{1}$

```

ActivateDischargeAS
\(\Delta\) AreasState
mode \(_{A}^{\prime}=\) mode \(_{A}\)
activeZones \({ }_{1}^{\prime}=\) activeZones \(_{1}\)
discharge \(_{1}^{\prime}=\) discharge \(_{1} \oplus\)
\(\left\{\right.\) area : AreaId \(\mid\) area \(\in\) dom active \({ }_{1} \triangleright\{\) true \(\} \bullet\) area \(\mapsto\) true \(\}\)

StartAreas \(\widehat{=}\) switchOn \(\rightarrow\) InitAreas \(;\) AreasCycle
```

AreasCycle
(reset }->\mathrm{ InitAreas
\square modeSwitch?newMode:SwitchMode \rightarrow SwitchAreasMode
\square detection?newZone: ZoneId \rightarrow ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas

```
```\(\square\) area : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip
```

```\(\square\) area : AreaId • manualDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) Skip);
AreasCycle
ActiveAreas \(\widehat{=}\)
(mode}\mp@subsup{A}{A}{= automatic) &
        (active}\mp@subsup{}{1}{}\triangleright{\mathrm{ true } # Ø) &
            countdown }->\mathrm{ countdownStarted? answer : Bool }
                    (answer = true) & WaitingDischarge
                    \square ( \text { answer = false) \& DisabledAreas}
        \square ( a _ { \text { ctive } } \triangleright \{ \text { true \} = Ø) \&}
                reset }->\mathrm{ InitAreas
                \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas}
```

```\(\square\) area : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) ActiveAreas
\square ( m o d e _ { A } = \text { manual ) \&}
        reset }->\mathrm{ InitAreas
        \square \text { detection?newZone : ZoneId } \rightarrow \text { ActivateZoneAS;ActiveAreas}
```

```area : AreaId
                    manualDischarge.area }
                (area }\in\operatorname{dom}\mp@subsup{a}{\mathrm{ active }}{}\triangleright{{true}) &
                                    gasDischarged.area }
                    ActivateDischargeAS; DisabledAreas
                \square ( \text { area \# dom active } \triangleright \{ \text { true \}) \&}
                    gasNotDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ ActiveAreas
WaitingDischarge \(\widehat{=}\)
detection?newZone : ZoneId \(\rightarrow\) ActivateZoneAS; WaitingDischarge
```

```ReplyDischarge; DisabledAreas
```

```
ReplyDischarge \widehat{=}
    (||| area : AreaId
        (automaticDischarge.area }
            (area }\in\operatorname{dom}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ active }}{\perp}{}\triangleright{true}) &
                gasDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ Skip
                \square ( \text { area } \notin \mathrm { dom } \text { active } _ { 1 } \triangleright \{ t r u e \} ) ~ \& ~
                                    gasNotDischarged.area }->\mathrm{ Skip));
    ActivateDischargeAS
DisabledAreas }\widehat{=
    reset }->\mathrm{ InitAreas
```

```
    detection?newZone : ZoneId }->\mathrm{ ActivateZoneAS;DisabledAreas
```

```\(\square\) area : AreaId • automaticDischarge.area \(\rightarrow\) gasNotDischarged.area \(\rightarrow\) DisabledAreas
```

- StartAreas
end


## Redefinition of Process FireControl ${ }_{2}$

process FireControl ${ }_{2} \hat{=}$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { InternalSystem } \\ \left.\| \alpha(\text { InternalSystemState })\left|\Sigma_{2}\right| \alpha(\text { AreasState })\right] \mid \\ \text { Areas }\end{array}\right) \backslash$ GasDischargeSync
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