Bifurcation of critical points along gap–continuous families of subspaces

Anna Maria Candela* and Nils Waterstraat†

Abstract

We consider the restriction of twice differentiable functionals on a Hilbert space to families of subspaces that vary continuously with respect to the gap metric. We study bifurcation of branches of critical points along these families and apply our results to semilinear systems of ordinary differential equations.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47J15, 58E07, 14M15, 34B15, 34C23.

Keywords. Bifurcation, gap metric, Grassmannian, spectral flow, semilinear ordinary differential equation.

1 Introduction

Let H be a real separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{J} : H \to \mathbb{R}$ a C^2–functional. We denote the derivative of $\mathcal{J}$ at $u \in H$ by $d_u\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{L}(H, \mathbb{R})$ and in what follows we assume that $d_0\mathcal{J} = 0$, i.e. $0 \in H$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}$. Usually, critical points of functionals $\mathcal{J}$ on Hilbert spaces H are studied as they can be solutions of differential equations. Correspondingly, critical points of a restriction $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H'}\colon H' \to \mathbb{R}$ to a subspace $H' \subset H$ may yield solutions of differential equations under additional constraints.

In [2] Abbondandolo and Majer studied the *Grassmannian* of a Hilbert space H, i.e. the set of all closed subspaces of H. As there is a canonical metric on this set, which is induced by orthogonal projections, we can define paths $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ in it. Clearly, for each $t \in [a, b]$ the element $0 \in H_t$ is a critical point of the restriction $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_t}\colon H_t \to \mathbb{R}$ as $d_0\mathcal{J} = 0$, and the aim of this paper is to investigate bifurcation from this branch of critical points in a sense that we will introduce below in Definition 3.1. Our main results show the existence of bifurcation in terms of the second derivative of $\mathcal{J}$ at the critical point 0, which are based on [9] and [16]. To this aim, we introduce a family of functionals $f_t : H \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in [a, b]$, such that each f_t involves the orthogonal projection onto the space H_t, and such that its critical points are the critical points of the restriction $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_t}$. Consequently, $0 \in H$ is a critical point of any $f_t : H \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in [a, b]$, and by considering the second derivative $d_0^2 f_t$ of f_t at 0 we can define a path $\{L_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ of bounded selfadjoint operators by the Riesz representation theorem. The assumptions of our theorems ensure that each L_t is actually a Fredholm operator, and we prove that bifurcation of critical points of f along $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ arises if the *spectral flow* of $L : t \mapsto L_t$ does not vanish. Let us recall that the spectral flow is an integer valued homotopy invariant for paths of selfadjoint Fredholm operators that was introduced by Atiyah, Patodi and Singer in [4]. Its relevance to bifurcation theory was discovered in [9]. For example, if all operators L_t have a finite Morse index $\mu_{Morse}(L_t)$, then the spectral flow of L is

*Supported by Research Funds *Fondi d'Ateneo 2014*.

†Supported by the Berlin Mathematical School, the *SFB 647 "Space–Time–Matter"*, and by the program *Professori Visitatori Junior 2014* of GNAMPA–INdAM.

just the difference of the Morse indices at the endpoints, i.e. $\mu_{Morse}(L_a) - \mu_{Morse}(L_b)$. Hence a non–vanishing spectral flow of L corresponds to a jump in the Morse indices of L, which implies bifurcation of critical points of f by a well known theorem in bifurcation theory (cf. [15, §8.9] or also [12, §II.7.1]). However, if $\mu_{Morse}(L_t) = +\infty$ for some $t \in [a, b]$, then the spectral flow may depend on the whole path L and not only on its endpoints, which makes the theory more complicated.
The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries that we need in order to state our theorems. We recall some facts about the Grassmannian of a Hilbert space H, essentially following Abbondandolo and Majer's paper [2]. However, we also state and prove a folklore result which shows that the kernels of families of surjective bounded operators on H yield paths in the Grassmannian and which we use in the final section in our examples. In Section 2 we briefly recall the definition of the spectral flow from [9]. In the third section, we introduce the path L and state our main theorems and a corollary, which we prove in Section 4. Finally, we apply our theory to a Dirichlet problem for semilinear ordinary differential operators in Section 5.

2 Grassmannians and spectral flows

As before, we let H be a real separable Hilbert space of infinite dimension, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(H)$ the Banach space of all linear bounded operators on H equipped with its standard norm $\|\cdot\|$ and by $I_H \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ the identity operator. Let us recall that a *Fredholm operator* T on a Hilbert space H is an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that both its kernel and its cokernel are of finite dimension. We denote the open subset of all Fredholm operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ by $\Phi(H)$.

2.1 The Grassmannian of a Hilbert space

In this section, we recall briefly the definition and some properties of the *Grassmannian* $\mathcal{G}(H)$ of H, i.e. the set of all closed linear subspaces of H, where we refer for more details to the comprehensive exposition [2].
For every $U \in \mathcal{G}(H)$, there exists a unique orthogonal projection $P_U : H \to H$ onto U and the distance

$$d(U, V) := \|P_U - P_V\|, \quad U, V \in \mathcal{G}(H),$$

makes $\mathcal{G}(H)$ a complete metric space (cf. also [11]). Moreover, one can show that $\mathcal{G}(H)$ is an analytic Banach manifold, and the map

$$\mathcal{G}(H) \ni V \ \mapsto \ P_V \in \mathcal{L}(H)$$

embeds $\mathcal{G}(H)$ analytically into $\mathcal{L}(H)$ (cf. [2, Proposition 1.1]). In what follows, we denote by $\{V_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ paths in $\mathcal{G}(H)$, i.e. continuous maps $[a, b] \to \mathcal{G}(H)$, $t \mapsto V_t$.

Lemma 2.1. *The connected components of $\mathcal{G}(H)$ are the sets*

$$\mathcal{G}_{nk}(H) = \{V \in \mathcal{G}(H) : \dim V = n, \operatorname{codim} V = k\},$$

with n, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that $k + n = +\infty$.

Proof. Let us first recall that if $\|P_U - P_V\| < 1$ for $U, V \in \mathcal{G}(H)$, then $\dim U = \dim V$ and $\dim U^\perp = \dim V^\perp$ (cf. [11, I.4.6]). Consequently, if U and V belong to the same component of $\mathcal{G}(H)$, then they must have both the same dimension and the same codimension.
Now, let us assume that $U, V \in \mathcal{G}_{nk}(H)$ for some k, n such that $k + n = +\infty$. Since H is

separable, it is easy to construct an orthogonal operator $O : H \to H$ such that $O(U) = V$. Denoting by $\mathcal{O}(H)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ consisting of all orthogonal operators, it is easily seen from functional calculus that $\mathcal{O}(H)$ is connected[1]. Hence, there is a path $M : [0,1] \to \mathcal{O}(H)$ joining the identity operator I_H to O. Finally, since $P_{M_t(U)} = M_t P_U M_t^{-1}$ for each $t \in [0,1]$, we have that $\{M_t(U)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ is continuous and so a path in $\mathcal{G}(H)$ that joins U to V. □

Remark 2.2. A computation of all homotopy groups $\pi_i(\mathcal{G}_{nk}(H))$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, can be found in [2, Section 2].

The following lemma is essentially well known (cf. e.g. [7, Appendix A]), but as we are not aware of a proof in the literature, we include it here for the sake of completeness. The reader may compare it with a related assertion on Banach bundles, which can be found e.g. in [25] and also [23], and on which our argument is based.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A : [a,b] \to \mathcal{L}(H,X)$ be a continuous family of bounded surjective operators, where X is a Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(H,X)$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded linear operators. Then*

$$\{\ker A_t\}_{t\in[a,b]} := \{u \in H : \ A_t u = 0\}_{t\in[a,b]}$$

is a path in $\mathcal{G}_{nk}(H)$, where $k = \dim X$ and $n = \dim H - \dim X$.

Proof. Let us first fix some $t_0 \in [a,b]$. Since A_{t_0} is surjective, there exists $M_0 \in \mathcal{L}(X,H)$ such that $A_{t_0} M_0 = I_X$, with I_X the identity operator on X. From the fact that the invertible elements in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ are open, we see that $A_t M_0$ is invertible for all t in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{I}_0$ of t_0.
Now, if we set $M_{0,t} := M_0(A_t M_0)^{-1}$ for $t \in \mathcal{I}_0$, then $A_t M_{0,t} = I_X$.
Note that if $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{L}(X,H)$ are such that $A_t M_i = I_X$, then $A_t(\alpha M_1 + (1-\alpha)M_2) = I_X$ for all $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. Consequently, by using a partition of unity, we may conclude that there exists a path $M : [a,b] \to \mathcal{L}(X,H)$ such that $A_t M_t = I_X$ for all $t \in [a,b]$.
Defining $R_t := M_t A_t \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, we note that R_t is a projection since

$$R_t^2 \ = \ M_t A_t M_t A_t \ = \ M_t A_t \ = \ R_t.$$

Moreover, since M_t is clearly injective, we infer that

$$\ker(R_t) = \ker(M_t A_t) = \ker(A_t)$$

so that $Q_t := I_H - R_t$ is a continuous family of projections such that $\mathrm{im}(Q_t) = \ker(A_t)$. Thus, taking

$$P_t \ = \ Q_t Q_t^* (Q_t Q_t^* + (I_H - Q_t^*)(I_H - Q_t))^{-1},$$

it follows by [6, Lemma 12.8 a)] that $\{P_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is a continuous family of orthogonal projections such that $\mathrm{im}(P_t) = \ker(A_t)$. Hence, $\{\ker(A_t)\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is a continuous family of subspaces in $\mathcal{G}(H)$. Finally, that $\ker(A_t) \in \mathcal{G}_{nk}(H)$ with $k = \dim X$ and $n = \dim H - \dim X$ is an immediate consequence of the rank–nullity theorem in linear algebra. □

[1] Actually, even more is true: in [13] Kuiper proved that $\mathcal{O}(H)$ is contractible.

2.2 The spectral flow

We denote by $\Phi_S(H) \subset \Phi(H)$ the subspace of all selfadjoint Fredholm operators, which is well known to consist of three connected components (cf. [5]). Two of them are given by

$$\Phi_S^+(H) = \{L \in \Phi_S(H) : \sigma_{ess}(L) \subset (0, +\infty)\},$$
$$\Phi_S^-(H) = \{L \in \Phi_S(H) : \sigma_{ess}(L) \subset (-\infty, 0)\},$$

where $\sigma_{ess}(L) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : L - \lambda I_H \notin \Phi_S(H)\}$ is the *essential spectrum* of an operator $L \in \Phi_S(H)$. Their elements are called *essentially positive* or *essentially negative*, respectively, and it is readily seen that both of these spaces are contractible. Elements of the remaining component $\Phi_S^i(H) = \Phi_S(H) \setminus (\Phi_S^+(H) \cup \Phi_S^-(H))$ are called *strongly indefinite*, and in contrast to $\Phi_S^+(H)$ and $\Phi_S^-(H)$, this space has a non–trivial topology. Indeed, $\Phi_S^i(H)$ has the same homotopy groups as the stable orthogonal group (cf. [22]) and the spectral flow provides an explicit isomorphism between its fundamental group and the integers. There are several different, but equivalent, constructions of the spectral flow in the literature. Here, we follow the approach developed by Fitzpatrick, Pejsachowicz and Recht in [9], and we refer to the introduction of [16] for further references on the subject.
We call two selfadjoint invertible operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ *Calkin equivalent* if $S - T$ is compact. It is well known that in this case the *relative Morse index*

$$\mu_{rel}(S, T) = \dim(E^-(S) \cap E^+(T)) - \dim(E^+(S) \cap E^-(T))$$

is well defined and finite, where $E^-(\cdot)$ and $E^+(\cdot)$ denote the negative and positive subspaces of a selfadjoint operator for which 0 is an isolated point of the spectrum.
From the second resolvent identity it follows that for Calkin equivalent operators S, T, also the difference of the associated resolvent operators

$$(\lambda - T)^{-1} - (\lambda - S)^{-1} = (\lambda - T)^{-1}(T - S)(\lambda - S)^{-1}, \qquad \lambda \notin \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(S),$$

is compact whenever it is defined, where $\sigma(T)$ and $\sigma(S)$ denote the spectrum of T and S, respectively. Finally, since the set of compact operators is closed in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, it follows that also the difference of the spectral projections

$$P_{[a,b]}(T) - P_{[a,b]}(S) \;=\; \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \left[(\lambda - T^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} - (\lambda - S^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}\right] d\lambda\right)$$

is compact, where a, b do not belong to $\sigma(S) \cup \sigma(T)$ and Γ is the circle around $\frac{a+b}{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ intersecting the real axis at a and b. Here, $S^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $T^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of operators and Re the real part of an operator on a complexified Hilbert space (cf. [24, Subsection 2.1] for more details).
The group $GL(H)$ of all invertible operators on H acts on $\Phi_S(H)$ by mapping $M \in GL(H)$ and $L \in \Phi_S(H)$ to M^*LM, which is called the *cogredient action*. One of the main theorems in [9] states that for any path $L : [a, b] \to \Phi_S(H)$ there exist a path $M : [a, b] \to GL(H)$ and a selfadjoint invertible operator $J \in \Phi_S(H)$, such that $M_t^* L_t M_t = J + K_t$ with K_t selfadjoint and compact for each $t \in [a, b]$.

Definition 2.4. Let $L : [a, b] \to \Phi_S(H)$ be a path such that L_a and L_b are invertible. The *spectral flow* of L is the integer

$$\mathrm{sf}(L, [a, b]) \;=\; \mu_{rel}(J + K_a, J + K_b),$$

where $J + K : [a, b] \to \Phi_S(H)$ is any path of compact selfadjoint perturbations K_t, $t \in [a, b]$, of a selfadjoint invertible operator $J \in \Phi_S(H)$ which is cogredient to L.

It follows from well known properties of the relative Morse index that the spectral flow does not depend on the choice of the path $J+K$, and moreover it has the following properties:

(i) If L_t is invertible for all $t \in [a,b]$, then $\mathrm{sf}(L,[a,b]) = 0$.

(ii) If H_1 and H_2 are separable Hilbert spaces and the paths $L_1 : [a,b] \to \Phi_S(H_1)$ and $L_2 : [a,b] \to \Phi_S(H_2)$ have invertible endpoints, then

$$\mathrm{sf}(L_1 \oplus L_2, [a,b]) \;=\; \mathrm{sf}(L_1,[a,b]) + \mathrm{sf}(L_2,[a,b]).$$

(iii) Let $h : [0,1] \times [a,b] \to \Phi_S(H)$ be a homotopy such that $h(s,a)$ and $h(s,b)$ are invertible for all $s \in [0,1]$. Then,

$$\mathrm{sf}(h(0,\cdot),[a,b]) \;=\; \mathrm{sf}(h(1,\cdot),[a,b]).$$

(iv) If $L_t \in \Phi_S^+(H)$, $t \in [a,b]$, and L_a, L_b are invertible, then the spectral flow of L is the difference of the Morse indices at its endpoints:

$$\mathrm{sf}(L,[a,b]) \;=\; \mu_{Morse}(L_a) - \mu_{Morse}(L_b),$$

where

$$\mu_{Morse}(L_t) \;=\; \sup \dim\{V \subset H : \langle L_t u, u\rangle_H < 0 \text{ for all } u \in V \setminus \{0\}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Finally, let us note that the spectral flow is actually uniquely characterised by the properties *(i)–(iv)* above (cf. [8]). A further uniqueness theorem for the spectral flow, which is based on the different but equivalent construction [17], can be found in [14, §5.2].

3 Bifurcation along gap continuous paths of subspaces

As before, let H be a real Hilbert space and $\mathcal{J} : H \to \mathbb{R}$ a C^2-functional having 0 as a critical point. We denote by $d_u\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{L}(H,\mathbb{R})$ the derivative of $\mathcal{J}$ at $u \in H$, and we let T be the Riesz representation of the Hessian $d_0^2\mathcal{J} : H \times H \to \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ at 0, i.e. the unique selfadjoint operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ which satisfies

$$d_0^2\mathcal{J}[u,v] \;=\; \langle Tu, v\rangle_H, \quad u,v \in H. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]} \subset \mathcal{G}(H)$ be a gap continuous path of closed subspaces of H for some real numbers $a < b$, and let us point out that $0 \in H$ is in any H_t, $t \in [a,b]$. In what follows we denote by $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_t}\colon H_t \to \mathbb{R}$ the restriction of the functional $\mathcal{J}$ to the closed subspace $H_t \subset H$. Note that $0 \in H$ is a critical point of all $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_t}$, $t \in [a,b]$, which is a direct consequence of the uniqueness of the derivative.

Definition 3.1. We say that $t^* \in [a,b]$ is a *bifurcation point of $\mathcal{J}$ along $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$* if there exist two sequences $(t_n)_n \subset [a,b]$ and $(u_n)_n \subset H$ such that

(i) $t_n \to t^*$ in $[a,b]$ and $u_n \to 0$ in H as $n \to +\infty$;

(ii) $u_n \in H_{t_n}$ and $u_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(iii) u_n is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_{t_n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is a continuous path of subspaces, there exists a family P_t, $t \in [a,b]$, of orthogonal projections such that $\operatorname{im} P_t = H_t$. We set $P_t^\perp := I_H - P_t$, and define

$$L_t = P_t T P_t + P_t^\perp \quad \text{for each } t \in [a,b], \tag{3.2}$$

which is a continuous path of selfadjoint operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. We call $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ *admissible* if both operators

$$P_a T P_a : H_a \to H_a \quad \text{and} \quad P_b T P_b : H_b \to H_b$$

are invertible. Since H_t and $H_t^\perp$ reduce L_t, and $L_t \mid_{H_t^\perp} = I_{H_t^\perp}$ is invertible, we see at once that L_a and L_b are invertible if $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is admissible.
Now, let us state our main theorems and a corollary, which we are proving in the next section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ be an admissible path in $\mathcal{G}_{nk}(H)$ such that either $n \neq +\infty$ or $k \neq +\infty$, and let us assume that the operator T introduced in* (3.1) *is Fredholm.*
Then the operators L_t in (3.2) *are Fredholm, and if $\operatorname{sf}(L,[a,b]) \neq 0$, then there is a bifurcation point of $\mathcal{J}$ along $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$. Moreover, if $n \neq +\infty$ and $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is analytic, then there are at least*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|\operatorname{sf}(L,[a,b])|}{n} \right\rfloor \tag{3.3}$$

distinct bifurcation points (here, $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ denotes the integer part of a positive real number).

Note that the case in which the path $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is in the connected component $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(H)$ of $\mathcal{G}(H)$ is excluded in Theorem 3.2. Our second theorem deals with this setting, but we have to impose a restriction on the form of the operator T.

Theorem 3.3. *We assume that $T = I_H + K$ for some compact operator K, and that $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is an admissible path in $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(H)$. Then the operators L_t in* (3.2) *are Fredholm, and if $\operatorname{sf}(L,[a,b]) \neq 0$, then there is a bifurcation point of $\mathcal{J}$ along $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$.*

Let us point out that $L_t \in \Phi_S^+(H)$, $t \in [a,b]$, and so

$$\operatorname{sf}(L,[a,b]) = \mu_{Morse}(L_a) - \mu_{Morse}(L_b) = \mu_{Morse}(T \mid_{H_a}) - \mu_{Morse}(T \mid_{H_b}),$$

in each of the following cases:

- if $n \neq +\infty$ in Theorem 3.2, since each L_t is positive on the subspace $H_t^\perp$ which is of finite codimension;
- if $T \in \Phi_S^+(H)$ in Theorem 3.2, as $\mu_{Morse}(L_t) \leq \mu_{Morse}(T)$ for all $t \in [a,b]$;
- for all compact operators K in Theorem 3.3 by the same argument as in the previous item.

Finally, in the next section we will prove a corollary of the proof of Theorem 3.2, which rephrases a well known fact from bifurcation theory in our setting. Let us point out that both Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 do not give any information about the location of the bifurcation point in the interval (a,b).

Corollary 3.4. *We assume that either the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 or the ones of Theorem 3.3 hold. If t^* is a bifurcation point, then*

$$\operatorname{im}(T \mid_{H_{t^*}}) \cap H_{t^*}^\perp \neq \{0\}.$$

4 Proofs of the main theorems

Our proofs are based on the main theorem of [16], which deals with the relation between the spectral flow and the bifurcation theory that was previously established in [9]. Let us first briefly recall this theorem: We assume that $f : [a,b] \times H \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous map such that each $f_t := f(t,\cdot)$ is C^2 and all its derivatives depend continuously on $t \in [a,b]$. In what follows, if $0 \in H$ is a critical point of all f_t, we call t^* a *bifurcation point of critical points of the functional* f if there exist two sequences $(t_n)_n \subset [a,b]$ and $(u_n)_n \subset H \setminus \{0\}$ such that $t_n \to t^*$ in $[a,b]$, $u_n \to 0$ in H and u_n is a critical point of f_{t_n} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The second derivatives $d_0^2 f_t$ of f_t, $t \in [a,b]$, define selfadjoint operators L_t by the Riesz representation theorem, i.e.

$$d_0^2 f_t[u,v] \ = \langle L_t u, v\rangle_H, \quad u,v \in H, \quad t \in [a,b].$$

The following theorem is the main result of [16] (cf. also [1]):

Theorem 4.1. *If each L_t, $t \in [a,b]$, is a Fredholm operator, both L_a and L_b are invertible and $\mathrm{sf}(L,[a,b]) \neq 0$, then there is a bifurcation point of critical points of the functional f in (a,b). Moreover, if there are only finitely many $t \in (a,b)$ such that $\ker(L_t) \neq 0$ and*

$$m \ := \ \sup_{t\in(a,b)} \dim\ker(L_t) \ < \ +\infty,$$

then the number of bifurcation points is at least

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|\,\mathrm{sf}(L,[a,b])|}{m} \right\rfloor.$$

Now, in the setting of Section 3, we define a one–parameter family of functionals by

$$f_t : H \ni u \ \mapsto \ f_t(u) = \mathcal{J}(P_t u) + \frac{1}{2}\|P_t^\perp u\|^2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *The critical points of f_t are precisely the critical points of $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_t}$, $t \in [a,b]$.*

Proof. If u is a critical point of f_t, then

$$d_u f_t(v) \ = \ d_{P_t u}\mathcal{J}(P_t v) + \langle P_t^\perp u, P_t^\perp v\rangle \ = \ 0 \qquad \text{for all } v \in H. \tag{4.1}$$

In particular, taking $v = P_t^\perp u$, it follows that

$$0 \ = \ d_{P_t u}\mathcal{J}(P_t P_t^\perp u) + \|P_t^\perp u\|^2 \ = \ d_{P_t u}\mathcal{J}(0) + \|P_t^\perp u\|^2$$

as $P_t P_t^\perp u = 0$. Hence, $P_t^\perp u = 0$ and we see that $u \in H_t$. Consequently, from (4.1) we obtain that

$$0 \ = \ d_{P_t u}\mathcal{J}(P_t v) \ = \ d_u\mathcal{J}(v) \qquad \text{for all } v \in H_t,$$

which shows that u is a critical point of the restriction of $\mathcal{J}$ to H_t.
Conversely, if u is a critical point of the restriction of $\mathcal{J}$ to H_t, then $u \in H_t$ and

$$d_u f_t(v) \ = \ d_{P_t u}\mathcal{J}(P_t v) + \langle P_t^\perp u, P_t^\perp v\rangle \ = \ d_u\mathcal{J}(P_t v)$$

which vanishes for all $v \in H$ as $P_t v \in H_t$. □

Consequently, it follows from Definition 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 that $t^* \in [a,b]$ is a bifurcation point of $\mathcal{J}$ along $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ if and only if it is a bifurcation point for the family of functionals f_t.
By applying Theorem 4.1, for each $t \in [a,b]$ we have to consider the Hessian of f_t at the critical point $0 \in H$, which is given by

$$d_0^2 f_t[u,v] \;=\; d_0^2\mathcal{J}[P_t u, P_t v] + \langle P_t^\perp u, P_t^\perp v\rangle \qquad \text{for all } u,v \in H.$$

Using that $P_t^* = P_t$ and $(P_t^\perp)^* = (P_t^\perp)^2 = P_t^\perp$, we see that the corresponding Riesz representation is given by

$$L_t = P_t T P_t + P_t^\perp.$$

Note that these are exactly the operators introduced in (3.2).
Now, we deduce Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 from Theorem 4.1 but before we note for later reference the following immediate consequence of the definition of Fredholm operators.

Lemma 4.3. *If H_1, H_2 are Hilbert spaces and $T_1 : H_1 \to H_1$, $T_2 : H_2 \to H_2$ are Fredholm operators, then*

$$T_1 \oplus T_2 : H_1 \oplus H_2 \ni u_1 + u_2 \mapsto\; (T_1 \oplus T_2)(u_1+u_2) = T_1u_1 + T_2u_2 \in H_1 \oplus H_2$$

is a Fredholm operator of index $\operatorname{ind}(T_1 \oplus T_2) = \operatorname{ind}(T_1) + \operatorname{ind}(T_2)$.

In what follows, we will apply Lemma 4.3 to $L_t\,|_{H_t} \colon H_t \to H_t$ and $L_t\,|_{H_t^\perp} \colon H_t^\perp \to H_t^\perp$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us first assume that $n \neq +\infty$. Then, by Lemma 4.3 the operator L_t is Fredholm as it is invertible on the subspace $H_t^\perp$ and Fredholm on the finite dimensional space H_t. Furthermore, L_a and L_b are invertible by assumption and so Theorem 3.2 follows from Theorem 4.1. This shows the first part of the assertion of Theorem 3.2. Now, if $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is analytic, then P_t and so L_t depends analytically on t. As in [16, Section 2], this implies that the set of all t such that $\ker(L_t) \neq \{0\}$ is discrete. Moreover, it is readily seen that

$$\ker L_t \;=\; \operatorname{im}(T\,|_{H_t}) \cap H_t^\perp \tag{4.2}$$

for any $t \in [a,b]$, and so

$$\dim\ker(L_t) \;\leq\; \dim\operatorname{im}(T\,|_{H_t}) \;\leq\; \dim H_t \;=\; n.$$

Hence, also (3.3) follows from Theorem 4.1.
Let us now assume that $k \neq +\infty$. Since L_a and L_b are again invertible by assumption, in order to apply Theorem 4.1 it is enough to show that L_t is Fredholm for all $t \in (a,b)$. However, by Lemma 4.3 we just need to prove that P_tTP_t is Fredholm on H_t. Now the kernel and cokernel of the projection P_t are $H_t^\perp$, which is of finite dimension $k < +\infty$, and so P_t is a Fredholm operator. This shows that indeed P_tTP_t is Fredholm as the composition of Fredholm operators is again Fredholm (cf. [10, Theorem 3.2]). □

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Our aim is again to apply Theorem 4.1, for which we need to prove that L_t is Fredholm for all $t \in [a,b]$. However, as $k = n = +\infty$, none of the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 can be applied here. Instead, by the assumption that T is a compact perturbation of the identity, we see that

$$L_t \;=\; P_tTP_t + P_t^\perp \;=\; P_t(I_H + K)P_t + P_t^\perp \;=\; P_t + P_tKP_t + P_t^\perp \;=\; I_H + P_tKP_t,$$

which is a compact perturbation of I_H as the set of compact operators is an ideal in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. Now, L_t is Fredholm by a classical result of Riesz and Schauder saying that compact perturbations of the identity are Fredholm operators (cf. [10, Corollary XII.2.5]). □

Proof of Corollary 3.4. We have already shown that a bifurcation point $t^* \in (a,b)$ exists under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 or Theorem 3.3, respectively. Now we assume for a contradiction that $\mathrm{im}(T\mid_{H_{t^*}}) \cap H_{t^*}^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Then $\ker(L_{t^*}) = \{0\}$ by (4.2), and so L_{t^*} is invertible as it is Fredholm of index 0 by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.
We now consider the map

$$F : [a,b] \times H \ni (t,u) \ \mapsto \ F(t,u) = d_u f_t \in \mathcal{L}(H,\mathbb{R})$$

and we note that $F(t,0) = 0$ for all $t \in [a,b]$ by assumption. Since $d_0 F_{t^*}(u)[v] = \langle L_{t^*}u, v\rangle$, $u,v \in H$, and as L_{t^*} is invertible, we see that $d_0 F_{t^*} : H \to \mathcal{L}(H,\mathbb{R})$ is invertible. Consequently, by the implicit function theorem all solutions of the equation $F(t,u) = 0$ in a neighbourhood of $(t^*,0) \in [a,b] \times H$ are of the form $(t,0)$ and so t^* is not a bifurcation point of critical points of f_t. This is a contradiction, as the bifurcation points of critical points of f_t are the bifurcation points of $\mathcal{J}$ along $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$. □

5 An example

Throughout this section, we set $I := [0,1]$ and we denote by $H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Hilbert space of all absolutely continuous functions $u : I \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the derivative u' is square integrable.
Our aim is to investigate the existence of nontrivial solutions for the semilinear system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} -(A(x)u'(x))' + \nabla_\xi g(x,u(x)) \ = \ 0, \quad x \in I, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $A : I \to GLS(n,\mathbb{R})$ is a smooth family of invertible symmetric matrices, and $g : I \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $g = g(x,\xi)$, is a C^2 function such that $\nabla_\xi g(x,0) = 0$ for all $x \in I$.
Let us consider the functional $\mathcal{J} : H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}(u) \ = \ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \langle A(x)u'(x), u'(x)\rangle \, dx \ + \ \int_0^1 g(x,u(x))\, dx. \tag{5.2}$$

It is well known (see, e.g., [21, Proposition B.34]) that $\mathcal{J}$ is of class C^2 in $H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$d_u\mathcal{J}(v) \ = \ \int_0^1 \langle A(x)u'(x), v'(x)\rangle \, dx + \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_\xi g(x,u(x)), v(x)\rangle \, dx \tag{5.3}$$

for any $u,v \in H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence the critical points of $\mathcal{J}$ are precisely the weak solutions of problem (5.1).
In particular, $0 \in H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a critical point and one can show that the corresponding Hessian is given by

$$d_0^2\mathcal{J}[u,v] \ = \ \int_0^1 \langle A(x)u'(x), v'(x)\rangle \, dx + \int_0^1 \langle S(x)u(x), v(x)\rangle \, dx \quad \text{for all } u,v \in H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $S(x) = D_\xi^2 g(x,0)$ is a family of symmetric matrices which is continuous with respect to x.
Let us recall that for every $t \in I$ there is the evaluation map

$$ev_t : H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \ni u \mapsto \ ev_t(u) = u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which is a bounded linear operator that is surjective if $t \in (0,1)$. Moreover, ev_t depends continuously on t in $(0,1)$. Indeed, for every $t_0 \in (0,1)$ and $u \in H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$u(t) \;=\; u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} u'(s)\,ds, \quad t \in I,$$

which implies that

$$\|ev_t - ev_{t_0}\| \;\leq\; \sqrt{|t-t_0|}.$$

Now, Lemma 2.3 shows that for every $0 < a < b < 1$ we get a continuous family of subspaces $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ by

$$H_t \;=\; \ker(ev_t) \;=\; \{u \in H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) : u(t) = 0\},$$

and moreover, it follows by a straightforward computation that the orthogonal projection in $H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto H_t is given by

$$(P_t u)(x) = u(x) - \frac{\min\{t,x\} - tx}{t(1-t)}\,u(t), \quad x \in I. \tag{5.4}$$

Definition 5.1. We say that $t^* \in (a,b)$ is a *bifurcation point for* (5.1) if there exist two sequences $(t_k)_k \subset [a,b]$ and $(u_k)_k \subset H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

(i) $t_k \to t^*$ in $[a,b]$ and $u_k \to 0$ in $H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \to +\infty$;

(ii) $u_k \not\equiv 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$;

(iii) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the restriction $u_{0,k} := u_k\,|_{[0,t_k]}$ satisfies

$$-(A(x)u_{0,k}'(x))' + \nabla_\xi g(x, u_{0,k}(x)) = 0, \qquad x \in [0,t_k];$$

(iv) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the restriction $u_{1,k} := u_k\,|_{[t_k,1]}$ satisfies

$$-(A(x)u_{1,k}'(x))' + \nabla_\xi g(x, u_{1,k}(x)) = 0, \qquad x \in [t_k,1],$$

(v) $u_{0,k}(t_k) = u_{1,k}(t_k) = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us note that the two restrictions $u_{0,k}$ and $u_{1,k}$ in Definition 5.1 define a global solution of (5.1) if and only if $u_{0,k}'(t_k) = u_{1,k}'(t_k)$.

Lemma 5.2. *There is a bifurcation point of* (5.1) *at* $t^* \in (a,b)$ *if and only if* t^* *is a bifurcation point of* $\mathcal{J}$ *along* $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$.

Proof. If $t^* \in (a,b)$ is a bifurcation point of (5.1), then there are sequences $(t_k)_k \subset [a,b]$ and $(u_k)_k \subset H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ which satisfy the properties *(i)–(v)* in Definition 5.1. Hence, for all $v \in H_{t_k}$ we have that

$$\int_0^{t_k} \langle A(x)u_{0,k}'(x), v'(x)\rangle\,dx + \int_0^{t_k} \langle \nabla_\xi g(x, u_{0,k}(x)), v(x)\rangle\,dx \;=\; 0$$

and

$$\int_{t_k}^{1} \langle A(x)u_{1,k}'(x), v'(x)\rangle\,dx + \int_{t_k}^{1} \langle \nabla_\xi g(x, u_{1,k}(x)), v(x)\rangle\,dx \;=\; 0.$$

It follows from (5.3) that $u_k \in H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a non–trivial critical point of $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_{t_k}}$, and as $u_k \to 0$, we see that t^* is a bifurcation point of $\mathcal{J}$ along $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ (see Definition 3.1).
Conversely, let $(t_k)_k \subset [a,b]$ and $(u_k)_k \subset H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)\setminus\{0\}$ be such that $u_k \in H_{t_k}$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_{t_k}}$, with $t_k \to t^*$ and $u_k \to 0$ in $H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$. Setting $u_{0,k}$ and $u_{1,k}$ as in *(iii)* and *(iv)* of Definition 5.1, we get that

$$\int_0^{t_k} \langle A(x)u_{0,k}'(x), v'(x)\rangle\,dx + \int_0^{t_k} \langle \nabla_\xi g(x,u_{0,k}(x)), v(x)\rangle\,dx \;=\; 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1([0,t_k],\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\int_{t_k}^1 \langle A(x)u_{1,k}'(x), v'(x)\rangle\,dx + \int_{t_k}^1 \langle \nabla_\xi g(x,u_{1,k}(x)), v(x)\rangle\,dx \;=\; 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1([t_k,1],\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence u_k satisfies *(iii)* and *(iv)* in Definition 5.1, while *(v)* is an immediate consequence of the definition of H_{t_k}. Thus t^* is a bifurcation point of (5.1). □

In summary, from Lemma 5.2 the existence of bifurcation points of (5.1) can be reduced to the study of bifurcation points of the functional $\mathcal{J}$ on $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$. One may wonder if our approach is really necessary to study bifurcation points as in Definition 5.1, or whether there is a simple transformation from $\mathcal{J}\,|_{H_t}$ to some functional $\mathcal{J}_t : H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ whose form is similar to that of $\mathcal{J}$ in (5.2). If so, Theorem 4.1 might be directly applied to obtain bifurcation points. However, this is not possible, as the functions $u_k \in H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Definition 5.1 do not belong to $H^2(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ in general, whereas critical points of $\mathcal{J}_t$ would be in this space by elliptic regularity.
For applying Theorem 3.2, we now assume that the bilinear form $d_0^2\mathcal{J}$ is non–degenerate on H_a and H_b, which implies that the path $\{H_t\}_{t\in[a,b]}$ is admissible. A straightforward computation shows that, in our example, the operator $T : H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \to H_0^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ from (3.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(x) \;=\; & \int_0^x A(s)u'(s)\,ds - x\int_0^1 A(s)u'(s)\,ds \\ & - \int_0^x \int_0^s S(\tau)u(\tau)\,d\tau ds + x\int_0^1\int_0^s S(\tau)u(\tau)\,d\tau ds. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by using (5.4) we can write down the path L_t in (3.2) explicitly and so we have everything at hand in order to claim the existence of a bifurcation point for (5.1) by Theorem 3.2 if we just can show that $\mathrm{sf}(L,[a,b]) \neq 0$.
In what follows, we restrict to the special case of positive definite matrices $A(x)$ in which our theory turns out to be particularly applicable. For $t \in [a,b]$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let us introduce the following linear spaces

$$\begin{aligned} E(t_-,\lambda) &= \{u \in H_t : -(A(x)u'(x))' + S(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x),\ x \in [0,t]\} \\ E(t_+,\lambda) &= \{u \in H_t : -(A(x)u'(x))' + S(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x),\ x \in [t,1]\} \end{aligned}$$

as well as the non-negative integer

$$\mu(t) = \sum_{\lambda<0} (\dim E(t_-,\lambda) + \dim E(t_+,\lambda) + (\dim E(t_-,\lambda))\cdot(\dim E(t_+,\lambda))).$$

Note that $\mu(t) < +\infty$ as $A(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in I$.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that the matrices $A(x)$, $x \in I$, are positive definite. If*

(i) $E(a_-,0)\cap E(a_+,0) = E(b_-,0)\cap E(b_+,0) = \{0\}$,

(ii) $\mu(a) \neq \mu(b)$,

then there is a bifurcation point for (5.1).

Proof. It follows in our setting by (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\mu_{Morse}(L_t) \;=\; \sup\dim\{V \subset H_t :\, d_0^2\mathcal{J}[u,u] < 0,\; u \in V\setminus\{0\}\} \quad \text{for any } t \in I$$

and so in view of Theorem 3.2 we need to show that:

(1) the restrictions of $d_0^2\mathcal{J}$ to H_a and H_b are non-degenerate,

(2) $\mu_{Morse}(L_a) \neq \mu_{Morse}(L_b)$.

We note at first that if there exists $u \in H_t$ such that $d_0^2\mathcal{J}[u,v] = 0$ for all $v \in H_t$, then $u \in E(t_-,0)\cap E(t_+,0)$, which proves (1) by assumption (i).
Now, in order to show (2), we choose $\alpha > 0$ such that the matrix $\alpha I_n + S(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in [0,1]$, where I_n is the identity matrix on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we get a scalar product on H_t by

$$\langle u,v\rangle_{t,\alpha} = \int_0^1 \langle A(x)u'(x), v'(x)\rangle\,dx + \int_0^1 \langle(\alpha I_n + S(x))u(x), v(x)\rangle\,dx, \quad u,v \in H_t,$$

and by the Riesz representation theorem there exists a bounded operator M on H_t such that

$$d_0^2\mathcal{J}[u,v] = \langle Mu, v\rangle_{t,\alpha}, \quad u,v \in H_t. \tag{5.5}$$

Hence $\mu_{Morse}(L_t)$ is the number of negative eigenvalues of M counted with multiplicities. Now $Mu = \gamma u$ for some $\gamma < 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Mu, v\rangle_{t,\alpha} &= \gamma\langle u,v\rangle_{t,\alpha}\\ &= \gamma\int_0^1 \langle A(x)u'(x), v'(x)\rangle\,dx + \gamma\int_0^1 \langle S(x)u(x), v(x)\rangle\,dx + \gamma\alpha\int_0^1 \langle u(x), v(x)\rangle\,dx\end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_t$. By (5.5), this is equivalent to

$$-(A(x)u'(x))' + S(x)u(x) \;=\; \frac{\gamma\alpha}{1-\gamma}u(x), \quad x \in [0,t)\cup(t,1],$$

and consequently, we see that

$$\mu_{Morse}(L_t) \;=\; \sum_{\lambda<0}\dim\{u \in H_t :\, -(A(x)u'(x))' + S(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x),\; x \in [0,t)\cup(t,1]\}.$$

Finally, there is a canonical isomorphism $H_0^1([0,t],\mathbb{R}^n)\oplus H_0^1([t,1],\mathbb{R}^n) \to H_t$ which shows that the right hand side of the previous equality is indeed $\mu(t)$. □

In addition, let us mention that a related bifurcation problem is studied in [18, 19, 24] and [20], where the authors consider the Dirichlet problem for elliptic partial differential equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + g(x,u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega\end{cases}$$

on a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ which is assumed to be star–shaped with respect to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Denoting

$$\Omega_t \ := \ \{tx \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \in \Omega\} \subset \Omega \quad \text{for all } t \in [a,1],$$

for some $0 < a < 1$, they study bifurcation of functionals on $H_0^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R})$ along the subspaces $\{H_0^1(\Omega_t,\mathbb{R})\}_{t\in[a,1]}$. However, our Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 cannot be applied in this setting as the spaces $H_0^1(\Omega_t,\mathbb{R})$ do not vary continuously with respect to the metric of $\mathcal{G}(H_0^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}))$. Indeed, if $0 < s < t < 1$, then there is a function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega_t,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|u\| = 1$ and with support in $\Omega_t \setminus \Omega_s$ (here, $\|\cdot\|$ is the standard norm in $H_0^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R})$). Consequently, $\langle u,v\rangle_{H_0^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R})} = 0$ for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega_s,\mathbb{R})$ and so

$$\|P_{H_0^1(\Omega_t,\mathbb{R})} - P_{H_0^1(\Omega_s,\mathbb{R})}\| \ \geq \ \|P_{H_0^1(\Omega_t,\mathbb{R})}u - P_{H_0^1(\Omega_s,\mathbb{R})}u\| \ = \ \|P_{H_0^1(\Omega_t,\mathbb{R})}u\| \ = \ \|u\| \ = \ 1,$$

which clearly contradicts the continuity.

Acknowledgements

This work was commenced when the first author stayed at the *Institut für Mathematik*, *Humboldt Universität zu Berlin*, and it was continued during a visit of the second author at the *Dipartimento di Matematica*, *Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro*. The authors would like to thank both departments for their kind hospitality.

References

[1] J.C. Alexander, P.M. Fitzpatrick, *Spectral flow is a complete invariant for detecting bifurcation of critical points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), 4439–4459.

[2] A. Abbondandolo, P. Majer, *Infinite dimensional Grassmannians*, J. Operator Theory **61** (2009), 19–62.

[3] A. Ambrosetti, G. Prodi, *A Primer of Nonlinear Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[4] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi, I.M. Singer, *Spectral Asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry III*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), 71–99.

[5] M.F. Atiyah, I.M. Singer, *Index Theory for skew–adjoint Fredholm operators*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **37** (1969), 5–26.

[6] B. Booss–Bavnbek, K.P. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic Boundary Problems for Dirac Operators*, Math. Theory Appl., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.

[7] B. Booss–Bavnbek, C. Zhu, *The Maslov index in weak symplectic functional analysis*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **44** (2013), 283–318.

[8] E. Ciriza, P.M. Fitzpatrick, J. Pejsachowicz, *Uniqueness of spectral flow*, Math. Comp. Mod. **32** (2000), 1495–1501.

[9] P.M. Fitzpatrick, J. Pejsachowicz, L. Recht, *Spectral flow and bifurcation of critical points of strongly–indefinite functionals. Part I: General Theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **162** (1999), 52–95.

[10] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, M.A. Kaashoek, *Classes of Linear Operators*, Vol. I, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **49**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.

[11] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators*, 2nd Edition, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **132**, Springer, Berlin, 1976.

[12] H. Kielhöfer, *Bifurcation Theory. An Introduction with Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Appl. Math. Sci. **156**, Springer, New York, 2012.

[13] N.H. Kuiper, *The homotopy type of the unitary group of Hilbert space*, Topology **3** (1965), 19–30.

[14] M. Lesch, *The uniqueness of the spectral flow on spaces of unbounded self-adjoint Fredholm operators*, Cont. Math. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2005), 193–224.

[15] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Appl. Math. Sci. **74**, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1989.

[16] J. Pejsachowicz, N. Waterstraat, *Bifurcation of critical points for continuous families of C^2 functionals of Fredholm type*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **13** (2013), 537–560.

[17] J. Phillips, *Self-adjoint Fredholm operators and spectral flow*, Canad. Math. Bull. **39** (1996), 460–467.

[18] A. Portaluri, N. Waterstraat, *On bifurcation for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems and the Morse-Smale index theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **408** (2013), 572–575.

[19] A. Portaluri, N. Waterstraat, *On bifurcation for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems on geodesic balls*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **415** (2014), 240–246.

[20] A. Portaluri, N. Waterstraat, *A Morse-Smale index theorem for indefinite elliptic systems and bifurcation*, J. Differential Equations **258**, 2015, 1715–1748.

[21] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. **65**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.

[22] H. Schröder, *Funktionalanalysis*, 2. korrigierte Aufl., Thun, Frankfurt am Main, 2000.

[23] N. Waterstraat, *The index bundle for Fredholm morphisms*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **69** (2011), 299–315.

[24] N. Waterstraat, *On bifurcation for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems on shrinking domains*, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **119**, 2015, 273–291.

[25] M.G. Zaidenberg, S.G. Krein, P.A. Kuchment, A.A. Pankov, *Banach bundles and linear operators*, Russian Math. Surveys **30** (1975), 115–175.

Anna Maria Candela
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro
Campus Universitario
Via E. Orabona, 4
70125 Bari
Italy
E-mail: annamaria.candela@uniba.it

Nils Waterstraat
School of Mathematics,
Statistics & Actuarial Science
University of Kent
Canterbury
Kent CT2 7NF
UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail: n.waterstraat@kent.ac.uk