

Rapid acquisition and model-based analysis of cell-free transcription–translation reactions from nonmodel bacteria

Simon J. Moore^{a,b,1}, James T. MacDonald^{a,b,1}, Sarah Wienecke^c, Alka Ishwarbhai^{d,e}, Argyro Tsipa^{d,e}, Rochelle Aw^{a,f}, Nicolas Kyllis^{a,b}, David J. Bell^{b,d}, David W. McClymont^{b,d}, Kirsten Jensen^{a,b,d}, Karen M. Polizzi^{a,f}, Rebekka Biedendieck^c, and Paul S. Freemont^{a,b,d,2}

^aCentre for Synthetic Biology and Innovation, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom; ^bSection for Structural Biology, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom; ^cInstitute of Microbiology and Braunschweig Integrated Centre of Systems Biology, Technische Universität Braunschweig, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany; ^dLondon DNA Foundry, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom; ^eDepartment of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom; and ^fDepartment of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom

Edited by James J. Collins, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston, MA, and approved March 26, 2018 (received for review September 7, 2017)

Native cell-free transcription–translation systems offer a rapid route to characterize the regulatory elements (promoters, transcription factors) for gene expression from nonmodel microbial hosts, which can be difficult to assess through traditional in vitro approaches. One such host, *Bacillus megaterium*, is a giant Gram-positive bacterium with potential biotechnology applications, although many of its regulatory elements remain uncharacterized. Here, we have developed a rapid automated platform for measuring and modeling in vitro cell-free reactions and have applied this to *B. megaterium* to quantify a range of ribosome binding site variants and previously uncharacterized endogenous constitutive and inducible promoters. To provide quantitative models for cell-free systems, we have also applied a Bayesian approach to infer ordinary differential equation model parameters by simultaneously using time-course data from multiple experimental conditions. Using this modeling framework, we were able to infer previously unknown transcription factor binding affinities and quantify the sharing of cell-free transcription–translation resources (energy, ribosomes, RNA polymerases, nucleotides, and amino acids) using a promoter competition experiment. This allows insights into resource limiting-factors in batch cell-free synthesis mode. Our combined automated and modeling platform allows for the rapid acquisition and model-based analysis of cell-free transcription–translation data from uncharacterized microbial cell hosts, as well as resource competition within cell-free systems, which potentially can be applied to a range of cell-free synthetic biology and biotechnology applications.

in vitro transcription–translation | cell-free synthetic biology | *Bacillus* | modeling | automation

Cell-free transcription–translation systems use crude cell extracts (1–3) or purified components (4) to synthesize proteins encoded by plasmid DNA or linear PCR products. Recently, a renaissance in cell-free systems for synthetic biology has led to applications, such as prototyping genetic pathway designs (5, 6), medical viral biosensors (7), antibody production (8), and the engineering of microfluidic biochip devices (9).

One underexplored area is the use of cell-free systems to study native cellular machinery and gene-expression elements from difficult/intractable nonmodel microbial hosts; we conceptualize this approach as native cell-free (NCF) transcription–translation, hereafter simply referred to as NCF. For example, organisms adapted for growth in extreme environments that are able to produce valuable biomolecules at high yields from inexpensive substrates are of particular interest for the future engineering of cell factories (10, 11). Other potential areas of interest include the use of nonmodel organisms for novel antibiotic discovery (12, 13), while for healthcare applications there is great interest in developing new “living therapies” for the engineering of the human microbiome, which is

comprised of thousands of microbial species, many of which remain uncharacterized (14). Currently, many nonmodel microbial systems are not amenable to genetic manipulation nor fully characterized, and the range of genetic parts (e.g., promoters, transcription factors) can be limiting. Furthermore, strain engineering for bioproduction can require long design cycles (Fig. 1A). In contrast, NCF provides a rapid method to study gene expression using the endogenous components for transcription, translation, and energy regeneration (6, 15, 16), while DNA parts, such as promoters, have shown high correlation between in vivo and in vitro activity (17). In general, these studies have largely focused on the use of well-characterized *Escherichia coli* cell-free transcription–translation platforms and there is little work on using nonstandard microbial hosts.

Bacillus megaterium is a giant Gram-positive bacterium with a rich history in industrial biotechnology (18), which includes the production of penicillin G amidase (19), β -amylases, and vitamin

Significance

Nonmodel bacteria have essential roles to play in the future development of biotechnology by providing new sources of biocatalysts, antibiotics, hosts for bioproduction, and engineered “living therapies.” The characterization of such hosts can be challenging, as many are not tractable to standard molecular biology techniques. This paper presents a rapid and automated methodology for characterizing new DNA parts from a non-model bacterium using cell-free transcription–translation. Data analysis was performed with Bayesian parameter inference to provide an understanding of gene-expression dynamics and resource sharing. We suggest that our integrated approach is expandable to a whole range of nonmodel bacteria for the characterization of new DNA parts within a native cell-free background for new biotechnology applications.

Author contributions: S.J.M., J.T.M., D.W.M., K.J., K.M.P., R.B., and P.S.F. designed research; S.J.M., J.T.M., S.W., A.I., A.T., R.A., N.K., D.J.B., D.W.M., and K.J. performed research; J.T.M. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; S.J.M., J.T.M., S.W., A.T., D.J.B., D.W.M., and R.B. analyzed data; and S.J.M., J.T.M., D.W.M., K.J., K.M.P., R.B., and P.S.F. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Data deposition: The plasmids reported in this paper have been deposited in the AddGene database (accession no. [1715806115-DCSupplemental](https://doi.org/10.6017/1715806115-DCSupplemental)).

¹S.J.M. and J.T.M. contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: p.freemont@imperial.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1715806115/-DCSupplemental.




Fig. 1. Cell-free prototyping of a nonmodel microbe. (A) Testing of synthetic gene-expression plasmids in NCF using endogenous energy regeneration and transcription–translation components. (B) Parallel transcription–translation measurements with the MGapt (mRNA) aptamer and GFP in *B. megaterium* NCF. (C) A semi-automated workflow incorporating liquid-handling robotics for rapid screening of cell-free reactions (RXNs).

B_{12} (20, 21). *B. megaterium* is relatively uncharacterized due to a low-efficiency protoplast transformation procedure that takes 3 d to complete (22). However, in comparison with the highly characterized *Bacillus subtilis*, *B. megaterium* provides major advantages, such as stable plasmid maintenance (23), minimal neutral-alkaline protease activity (24), and the ability to metabolize low-cost substrates (25). Moreover, *B. megaterium* also offers a strong native Sec-dependent secretion apparatus (22), which is a desirable feature for downstream processing, while for recombinant gene expression the xylose-inducible promoter system (26, 27) produces recombinant proteins to the gram per liter scale (22), which is comparable to genetically enhanced commercial *E. coli* strains, such as the BL21 and Rosetta. A recent and bespoke application of its use includes characterizing the vitamin B_{12} pathway (21, 28) along with isolating soluble vitamin B_{12} -dependent enzymes (29, 30). Previous attempts to isolate these proteins from *E. coli*, resulted in the production of inclusion bodies or inactive enzymes (21, 29, 30).

In this study, we demonstrate how readily a *B. megaterium* NCF transcription–translation system can be established and used as a platform to prototype gene-expression elements from this host. We have also combined this cell-free system with factorial experimental design, acoustic liquid-handling robotics with nanolitre controllability, and Bayesian model parameter inference methods to demonstrate a rapid prototyping platform to enable the rigorous characterization of gene-expression tools within hours, in comparison with weeks for in vivo analyses (Fig. 1 A and B). A significant advantage of cell-free systems is that the defined reaction conditions permit the accurate characterization of genetic parts and resource usage through mathematical modeling techniques (6, 9, 15, 31). However, such approaches have previously generally been applied to *E. coli* gene-expression circuits and none have used Bayesian statistical inference methods. The ordinary differential equations (ODE) models used in this work have a large number of correlated but uncertain kinetic parameters with complicated likelihood function surfaces. Bayesian methods are ideally suited to tackling these types of difficult parameter inference problems, where maximum-likelihood point estimates could be misleading, by treating the model parameters themselves as being random variables with defined probability density functions. This provides a simple and consistent framework to quantify how our knowledge of the model parameters has increased with

each experimental measurement (i.e., the probability density functions become more narrowly distributed as we gain information). Robustly parameterized genetic parts are a prerequisite of computational genetic circuit design (32).

Here, we have applied a Bayesian parameter inference scheme to model the obtained experimental data, aided by quantitative proteomics, to rigorously infer unknown kinetic parameters describing the cell-free reaction. We tested a selection of Sigma A (σ^A) constitutive promoters from central energy pathways and also validated a xylose-inducible promoter using an automated factorial experimental design process (Fig. 1C), experimental set-up, data acquisition, and analysis. As part of this work, we have also released a general open-source C++ framework (ODE_MCMC tools) for Bayesian parameter inference for systems of ODEs. The development of a *B. megaterium* NCF transcription–translation system serves as an exemplar test case for rapidly prototyping novel genetic regulatory elements from poorly characterized hosts, thus providing a basis for the future engineering of this nonmodel organism. We also suggest that this approach is expandable to a range of other important microbial chassis currently being explored for synthetic biology applications.

Results

Isolation of a Strong Constitutive Promoter and NCF Assay Optimization.

To initially test and optimize a NCF transcription–translation system in *B. megaterium*, we first isolated and characterized a strong constitutive σ^A promoter from *B. megaterium*. Previously, a strong σ^A promoter was isolated from the pyruvate dehydrogenase (*pdh*) operon in *B. subtilis* (33). For *B. megaterium*, we isolated the equivalent promoter from the *pdhABCD* (*bmd_1326-1329*) gene cluster by PCR along with the ribosome binding site (RBS) of *pdhA* (*bmd_1326*), and used this to control the expression of *gfp* (pRBBm258) or *mCherry* (pRBBm267). To initially validate the performance of gene expression in vivo, the optimized xylose-inducible promoter plasmid GFP variants (22) were used for comparison and tested under plate-reader conditions. As expected, the growth rate of the empty vector control and noninduced xylose promoter recombinant strains was faster than the *pdh* or xylose-promoter strains, with all strains reaching the stationary phase after ~10 h of growth (*SI Appendix, Figs. S3 and S4*). A qualitative

assessment of GFP and mCherry production was also verified by SDS/PAGE (SI Appendix, Fig. S1).

Next, to test constitutive and inducible promoter systems in vitro, a *B. megaterium* cell-free extract was prepared using the *E. coli* S30 protocol (34) with some optimization (SI Appendix, Extended Materials and Methods and Figs. S5–S7). Importantly, we have now found this protocol to be generally adaptable to a selection of Gram-positive bacterial species, including *B. megaterium* (35, 36). Using this protocol, up to 4.96 μM of GFP was synthesized within a 4-h batch reaction using the strong PDH (pRBBm258) plasmid.

LC-MS/MS Quantitation of NCF Transcription–Translation Enzymes. To initially parameterize the *B. megaterium* NCF extract, we next quantified a selection of proteins from transcription–translation. For *E. coli* cell-free, this is well-characterized (1, 37). However, for nonmodel microbes, such as *B. megaterium*, this is generally not the case. From *E. coli* studies, cell-free protein synthesis is restricted by a combination of limiting factors, such as active 70S ribosomes, RNA polymerase (RNAP) holoenzyme, energy, and substrate resources, with NTP regeneration and inorganic phosphate accumulation thought to be major limiting factors under batch-synthesis mode (2, 38). Transcription and translation processes require ~ 36 proteins and 70S ribosomes to undertake these reactions (4). In this work, we selected key marker proteins for quantitation including the ribosomal proteins (30S and 50S subunits), RNAP, and the σ^A factor, using targeted LC-MS/MS (SI Appendix, Figs. S25–S27). We also measured the methionyl-tRNA (Met-tRNA) and alanyl-tRNA (Ala-tRNA) synthetases because they encode for both low- and high-frequency amino acids, respectively (39). In comparison with *E. coli* measurements (1, 40), the values we obtained were similar (SI Appendix, Fig. S25 and Table S5). For example, the average 30S and 50S ribosome content (S4, S7, L2, and L4 ribosomal proteins) was determined at $2.18 \pm 0.86 \mu\text{M}$ across three biological replicates in a standard 10 mg mL^{-1} cell-extract reaction. For *E. coli* cell-free systems, active ribosomes range from 1.6 to 2.3 μM (1, 40) under the same cell-extract concentration (10 mg mL^{-1}). Our total protein quantitation is likely to provide an overestimate, since a fraction ($\sim 20\text{--}30\%$) of ribosomes are dissociated as 50S and 30S subunits (41). In addition, we calculated the RNAP β -subunit at $0.41 \pm 0.15 \mu\text{M}$ and the σ^A factor at $53 \pm 30 \text{ nM}$. The two aminoacyl tRNA synthetases (methionyl- and alanyl) we selected ranged from 0.13 to 0.22 μM .

Experimental Characterization of the Model Xylose-Inducible Promoter System by Acoustic Liquid-Handling Robotics. Before characterizing unknown gene-expression elements, we first investigated the relatively well-characterized model xylose-repressor system native to *B. megaterium*. Here we analyzed its regulatory interactions using liquid-handling robotic-assisted experiments and model-based analyses with Bayesian parameter inference. We inferred parameters using quantitative time-course data for both mRNA levels (with the malachite green aptamer) and protein levels (using the GFP fluorescent reporter), as well as incorporating the proteomic parameters obtained earlier by LC-MS/MS. We then carried out two separate experiments with the xylose-inducible promoter (described below) to obtain both quantitative mRNA/protein data and xylose-repressor system behavior for our modeling analyses.

The xylose-inducible promoter is derived from the native *B. megaterium* *xylABT* operon, which encodes the xylose isomerase (*xylA*), xylulokinase (*xylB*), and permease (*xylT*) genes (42, 43). To characterize the kinetics of the xylose promoter, we used our cell-free system to simultaneously monitor transcription and translation in vitro. To monitor mRNA expression, we modified the 3' UTR of the xylose-inducible promoter plasmid (pKMMBm5) to provide real-time fluorescent measurement of mRNA synthesis as

previously described (5, 16) (SI Appendix, Figs. S11–S16). First, using an in vitro-synthesized GFP-MGapt transcript, we measured the fluorescence for this aptamer in a *B. megaterium* cell-free reaction for 2 h to generate a calibration curve and observed that mRNA degradation followed a single-phase exponential decay rate (SI Appendix, Figs. S13 and S14). The half-life for this transcript was estimated at 15.6 min, which is similar (18 min) to previously observed *E. coli* cell-free measurements (6). In a parallel time-course measurement, RT-qPCR confirmed that actual mRNA levels correlated well with real-time fluorescence measurement (SI Appendix, Fig. S15).

We next tested a range of DNA concentrations in cell-free reactions with simultaneous measurement of MGapt and GFP fluorescence (Fig. 2). With an increasing DNA concentration of pKMMBm5-MGapt, the rate of MGapt fluorescence rose rapidly to a peak concentration of $\sim 235 \text{ nM}$ of GFP-MGapt transcript at 25–27 min after the start of incubation (Fig. 2). Thereafter, the signal decays suggesting that NTP levels become limiting for further mRNA synthesis. In fact, spiking the reaction with a purified GFP-MGapt transcript, shows that mRNA concentrations decay more slowly throughout the assay when expressed from plasmid DNA, suggesting that continuous transcription provides mRNA substrate for translation throughout the reaction time period (SI Appendix, Fig. S16). Additionally, we also independently verified that the extracts displayed undetectable interference from native XylR (SI Appendix, SI Text and Fig. S28).

A second, larger-scale experiment was carried out to separately characterize the XylR promoter in cell-free reactions. This experiment was designed to simultaneously monitor GFP translation in 108 unique conditions in triplicate (324 total reactions) made up of an augmented full factorial combination of different concentrations of purified recombinant XylR repressor protein (0–1,000 nM) (SI Appendix, Figs. S18–S22), D-xylose (0–1,000 μM), and pKMMBm5-MGapt DNA template (1, 2, and 5 nM) in a 384-well microtiter plate using an acoustic liquid-handling robot to




Fig. 2. Transcription and translation of the xylose-inducible promoter. Cell-free extracts (10 mg mL^{-1}) were incubated at 30°C for 6 h with a range of DNA concentrations (1–10 nM) of the pKMMBm5-MGapt plasmid. Fluorescence data were collected every 60 s for (A) GFP (protein) and (B) MGapt (mRNA) signals and displayed as black points in the plot with gray bars representing 5E. Experimental data were modeled using ordinary differential equations with a system of 14 species and 26 parameters (SBML model available in the GitHub software repository, see Methods), and parameters were inferred using MCMC (SI Appendix, Fig. S18 and Table S9). Simulated trajectories using these inferred parameters are shown with green (for GFP) and red (mRNA) lines.



provide nanolitre control of liquid transfers (Fig. 1C). Factorial experimental design and transfer instructions for the robot were automatically generated using a Python script (available in the GitHub repository, see *Methods*). In summary, when XylR^{HIS} is titrated in the absence of D-xylose, a repression effect on GFP expression is observed, as expected (Fig. 3A). Following the titration of D-xylose in the presence of 0.1–1.0 μM XylR^{HIS}, GFP synthesis is recovered, which is consistent with the release of the XylR^{HIS} repressor from the promoter region upon binding of D-xylose.

Mathematical Modeling of the Xylose-Repressor System. To date, the binding affinities and Hill coefficients of the XylR repressor protein to DNA and the inducer (xylose) to the repressor protein haven't been experimentally determined. To estimate these and other unknown parameters, we modeled the system using a coarse-grained system of ODEs describing cell-free transcription–translation (*Methods* and *SI Appendix*), with 18 chemical reactions, 14 species, and 26 parameters (*SI Appendix, Table S9*). For the xylose-inducible promoter, the initial concentration of free DNA was approximated

using the Hill equation. The mRNA degradation reaction was assumed to be a first-order reaction. The translation reaction produced nonfluorescent immature GFP and this was assumed to mature in a first-order reaction into the detectable fluorescent species, GFP_{mat}.

Initial concentrations for all species other than NTP, the secondary energy source, ribosome, and RNAP were set to zero. The LC-MS/MS determined concentrations for RNAP:σ^A holoenzyme and the ribosome 70S complex were incorporated as upper bounds for initial values during parameter inference (0.08 and 2.5 μM, respectively). Although initial NTP concentrations in the reaction are known, the overall NTP capacity of the system was unknown as the efficiency of NTP regeneration from the secondary energy source was unknown. For this reason, the initial concentrations of NTP and the secondary energy source were inferred. In all experimental conditions, the mature GFP concentration was measured during the course of the reaction. However, where the mRNA concentrations were also experimentally determined, this was also included in the log-likelihood function.




Fig. 3. Cell-free quantitative characterization of the xylose-inducible promoter system. D-xylose (mM), purified XylR^{HIS} (μM), and pKMMBm5 plasmid DNA (nM) was titrated into a cell-free reaction using an acoustic liquid-handling robot with full factorial experimental design and transfer instructions automatically generated using a Python script (*SI Appendix, SI Text*), giving a total of 108 unique conditions in triplicate (324 reactions). The full experimental time-course data (*SI Appendix, Figs. S19–S21*) from the xylose experiment were simultaneously used to infer ODE model parameters (*SI Appendix, Fig. S22 and Table S9*), but for simplicity only end-point values are shown in this figure. (A) Experimentally measured end-point GFP concentrations are shown as black points, the green surface contour map represents simulated end-point GFP values as a function of XylR^{HIS} and xylose concentrations. Differences between the experimental values and the simulated values are displayed as vertical black lines. (B) The inferred univariate and bivariate posterior distributions (the diagonal and off-diagonal plots, respectively) over the K_D and Hill-coefficients for binding of XylR and xylose (see *SI Appendix, Fig. S22* for the full posterior over all model parameters). The posterior distribution is the inferred probability density function of the model parameters given the experimental data. The points were sampled from the posterior using MCMC and are colored by local point density.

497 Model parameters were first inferred from the DNA titration
498 dataset from both GFP and mRNA time-course observations
499 using our ODE_MCMC_tools software (*Methods*). The posterior
500 distribution from the first experiment (*SI Appendix, Fig. S18 and*
501 *Table S9*) was then used as a prior distribution for parameter
502 inference on the full factorial dataset. Multiple Markov chain
503 Monte Carlo (MCMC) runs were generated from different pa-
504 rameter set starting points and convergence was confirmed for
505 all parameters using the Gelman–Rubin diagnostic (44). Simu-
506 lated trajectories from the model closely matched the experi-
507 mental time-course data for both GFP and mRNA concentration
508 at different DNA template concentrations (Fig. 2), while the
509 posterior distribution was found to be unimodal (*SI Appendix,*
510 *Fig. S18*). The translational machinery appeared to be saturated
511 at around 5-nM template DNA with no further increase in total
512 protein produced; however, mRNA expression continued in-
513 creasing with higher DNA concentrations. This implied that the
514 ribosomes were saturated with mRNAs but the host RNAPs
515 were not saturated with DNA template.


515 Over the diverse range of conditions in the full factorial XylR/
516 xylose experiment, both the simulated end-point GFP concen-
517 trations (Fig. 3A) and the time-course trajectories were found to
518 fit the experimental data remarkably well (*SI Appendix, Figs. S19–*
519 *S21*). The posterior distribution for these experiments was also
520 found to be unimodal and confidence intervals were determined
521 (Fig. 3B and *SI Appendix, Fig. S22 and Table S9*). The maximal
522 translation rate was inferred to be 5.4–6.3 amino acids min⁻¹
523 (95% confidence intervals), which is lower than previously esti-
524 mated values for *E. coli* cell-free reactions (45), while the maximal
525 transcription rate was inferred at between 488 and 688 bp min⁻¹,
526 similar to previously estimated values for *E. coli* cell-free (300–
527 600 bp min⁻¹) (1). Previously, the maturation rate of GFP⁺ (a
528 synonym for GFPuv3) was measured to be 0.066 min⁻¹ (46). Here,
529 we inferred the maturation rate to be between 0.058 and
530 0.063 min⁻¹ without taking into account any prior knowledge. The
531 difference may reflect experimental inaccuracies, simplicities in
532 the model, or indicate that a lower oxygen concentration was
533 limiting maturation in the reaction mixture. Moreover, the XylR
534 repressor was found to cooperatively bind to its operator sequence
535 (dissociation constant 12.9–14.2 nM and Hill coefficient 1.74–1.8),
536 while xylose appeared to cooperatively bind XylR (dissociation
537 constant 12.2–13.5 μM and Hill coefficient 1.44–1.49). In sum-
538 mary, our modeling approach provides a detailed kinetic analyses
539 of the XylR promoter and repressor without any prior knowledge,
540 illustrating the potential of integrating Bayesian inference mod-
541 eling into NCF part characterization.

540 **Resource Competition and Energy Usage in Our NCF System.** We next
541 wanted to identify the strengths and limitations within our new
542 NCF system by focusing on RNAP/ribosome availability and
543 energy consumption. We anticipated that by providing a rigorous
544 characterization of our NCF system, we would be able to prop-
545 erly assess the limits of quantifying new DNA parts in *B. mega-*
546 *terium* NCF extracts. To achieve this, we designed a competition
547 experiment described below.

547 To gain insight into the sharing of resources in our NCF sys-
548 tem, we investigated the simultaneous expression of two differ-
549 ent proteins, both under the control of a strong constitutive
550 promoter, inspired by previous studies (47). Our plasmid con-
551 structs allowed the simultaneous real-time measurement of GFP,
552 RFP, and the GFP mRNA transcript concentrations. The two
553 plasmids were then titrated together into cell-free reactions at
554 different plasmid concentrations (from 0 to 40 nM) (Fig. 4). It
555 was found to be difficult to avoid leakage from the mCherry
556 fluorescence signal into the MGapt signal (*SI Appendix, Fig.*
557 *S30*); however, a peak for the mRNA signal was observed at
558 around 50 min, before significant mature mCherry was detected

present, the full mRNA time-course signal was used in param-
eter inference. Where the mCherry plasmid was present, the
mRNA MGapt data up to 90 min was used.

As in the previous XylR experiment, our ODE_MCMC_tools
software was used to infer model parameters. Based on the re-
action scheme described in *Methods*, a new ODE model was
created to account for the simultaneous expression of two proteins
from two different promoters in the same reaction. This model
included accounting for the use of shared resources (NTPs, amino
acids, RNAP, and ribosomes) and resulted in a model with
31 parameters and 29 species. The LC-MS/MS determined con-
centrations for the RNAP:σ^A holoenzyme and the ribosome 70S
complex were incorporated as upper bounds for initial values
during parameter inference (0.08 and 2.5 μM, respectively).
Model parameters were inferred simultaneously from the full
time-course datasets using MCMC. The two-promoter model with
full resource accounting, was able to simultaneously fit the
36 different experimental conditions with time-course data for
mature GFP, mature mCherry, and GFP mRNA species (Fig. 4
and *SI Appendix, Fig. S30*). The maturation kinetics of mCherry
are not as well understood as GFP, and the fluorescence curves
can be seen to have a significantly longer lag-time. For this reason,
mCherry maturation was modeled to have a three-step maturation
process similar to its progenitor, dsRed (48). The mCherry mRNA
species were unobserved and were assumed to have the same



586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620

Fig. 4. Competition for cell-free shared resources. GFP (*pdh-RiboJ-RBS-GFP-MGapt-Bba_B0015*) and mCherry (*pdh-RiboJ-RBS-mCherry-Bba_B0015*) encoding plasmid DNA were simultaneously titrated into cell-free reactions at a range of concentrations from 0 to 40 nM. The black points (with light green error bars indicating SEs) represent the experimentally measured GFP concentrations, while the dark green lines represent the simulated trajectories. The black points (with light red error bars indicating SE) represent the experimentally measured mCherry concentrations, while the dark red lines represent the simulated trajectories. In reactions where no mCherry plasmid was present, the full mRNA time course signal was used in parameter inference. Where the mCherry plasmid was present, the mRNA MGapt data up to 90 min was used. The system was modeled using an ODE model with 29 species and 31 parameters (an SBML model is available in the GitHub software repository, see *Methods*). Model parameters were simultaneously inferred from all experimental data points (GFP mRNA time-course data are shown in *SI Appendix, Fig. S30*) using MCMC (*SI Appendix, Fig. S29 and Table S10*).

degradation rate as the GFP mRNA species. Transcription elongation rate was assumed to be the same for both transcripts, but other parameters related to transcription and translation were not assumed to be identical. Nevertheless, as would be expected given that the same RiboJ-insulated *pdh* promoter was used to express both proteins, transcriptional parameters (promoter escape rate and promoter binding constant) were inferred to fall within similar ranges (SI Appendix, Table S10).

The effect of competition for both transcription and translational resources can be seen experimentally. Where both promoters were competing for RNAPs, the levels of GFP mRNA decreased, implying that the transcriptional machinery is fully saturated (SI Appendix, Fig. S30). Taking the most transcriptionally burdened condition (40 nM *pdh*-RiboJ-GFP-MGapt and 40 nM *pdh*-RiboJ-mCherry plasmid concentrations), we find that our model predicts that increasing the transcription elongation rate and increasing the RNAP concentration can increase the total amount of translated amino acids, suggesting there is some spare translational capacity in this condition and that, in some circumstances, the transcriptional capacity of the system can be the limiting factor (SI Appendix, Fig. S32).

Parameters related to protein translation were found to vary a lot more widely, with an order-of-magnitude difference in translation elongation rate and almost two orders-of-magnitude difference in the RBS binding constant (SI Appendix, Table S10). The maximal final level of mCherry synthesis was $\sim 0.4 \mu\text{M}$ for a *Bacillus* codon-optimized variant (low G + C%), with a high G + C% variant providing up to $0.09 \mu\text{M}$ of mCherry for comparison (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). In vivo synthesis of mCherry was also comparatively lower than GFP (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). This suggests that mCherry translation elongation is rate-limiting and therefore creating more burden to the translational machinery. To investigate this further, 500 random parameter sets were drawn from the posterior distribution and simulated free-ribosome concentration trajectories were plotted as a function of the 36 different experimental conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S33). In all experimental conditions, the model predicts a minimum in the concentration of free ribosomes at around 50 min into the reaction after an initial lag-phase where mRNAs are increasing in concentration and while there is still a high NTP concentration available for translation. It can be seen that the *pdh*-RiboJ-mCherry plasmid exerts a greater burden on the ribosomes, even though total protein expression from this plasmid is much lower than for the *pdh*-RiboJ-GFP-MGapt plasmid. Our model suggests that this is due to the longer ribosome residency time on the mCherry mRNA during the elongation process, predicting a much higher mean number of ribosomes per polysome for the mCherry mRNA than for the GFP mRNA (SI Appendix, Figs. S34 and S35). We also find that only around 6–7% of the total theoretical energy input was accessible to the system, with only 0.6–0.7% of the theoretical energy input directed to protein translation. A detailed analysis of the energy usage can be found in SI Appendix, SI Text, Fig. S36, and Table S10. In summary, our detailed characterization illustrates how important a full kinetic understanding of a particular NCF system and reporter protein is for robust measurements of new parts in a high-throughput context.

Characterization of *B. megaterium* Promoter Parts in Vitro and in Vivo. After testing the transcriptional, translational, and energy resource limitations of the *B. megaterium* NCF system, we next sought to use our platform to identify new DNA parts for synthetic biology applications. The primary advantage of developing a NCF platform in a nonmodel host is to characterize new gene-expression elements using the endogenous polymerase and σ factors from the native host. Additionally, we also measured the promoter activities in vivo. Previously, we have demonstrated that *E. coli* promoter activity correlates between in vivo and in cell-free-based measurement (17).

To provide a proof-of-concept as to whether this trend is reproducible in another cell-free host, a small selection of uncharacterized *B. megaterium* glycolysis and gluconeogenesis promoters were chosen for promoter testing (SI Appendix, SI Text). Unlike some better-characterized microbes, no prior proteomic or RNaseq information was available to guide this selection process. However, we selected a set of promoters from central metabolism, which share some partial conservation to the -10 and -35 consensus (SI Appendix, SI Text) sequence ($^{-35}\text{TGACA}$ and $^{-10}\text{TATAAT}$). This represents a characteristic recognition motif for transcription initiation by the σ^A protein, the major growth regulatory factor of *Bacillus* species (49). This indicated that the *B. megaterium* promoters are putative σ^A promoters. In addition, the *pdh* promoter used in the competition experiments, was used as a positive control for a strong σ^A constitutive promoter.

To test these promoters under the same context, the well-characterized RiboJ insulator (50) was introduced and assembled by EcoFlex (51) with an RBS (derived from pKMMBm5), GFP, MGapt, Bba_B0015 terminator, and *Bacillus* shuttle vector backbone (*tetA-rebB*). For cell-free, the strongest levels of mRNA and GFP were produced with the *pdh* and *fba* promoters (SI Appendix, Figs. S8, S9, and S37), with *pdh* achieving a maximum GFP yield of $3.40 \mu\text{M}$ with 20 nM of plasmid DNA (Fig. 5A and B). The other promoters, *gap*, *fbp*, *pgi*, and *pgk*, displayed medium strength activities with an end-point range of $0.20\text{--}0.61 \mu\text{M}$ GFP synthesized. The *pgc* promoter produced the weakest end-point yield of $0.08 \mu\text{M}$ GFP, while the activities of the *pyk* and *tpi* promoters were not detectable. These observations were also proportional to the mRNA signal (SI Appendix, Figs. S8 and S9). However, while *pdh* and *fba* demonstrated similar levels of GFP expression, *pdh* showed stronger mRNA expression (Fig. 5A and SI Appendix, Fig. S8), suggesting that cell-free translation is saturated with the strongest promoter, *pdh*.

In comparison, in vivo promoter activities displayed a similar trend to the cell-free data (Fig. 5B). The promoters *fbp*, *gap*, *pgc*, *pgi*, and *pgk* were found to be relatively low strength (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Figs. S8 and S9), while *pyk* and *tpi* were below the limit of detection (see SI Appendix, SI Text for further discussion). Overall, the expression levels of the tested promoters (*pdh*, *fba*, *fbp*, *gap*, *pgc*, *pgi*, and *pgk*) showed a statistically significant correlation coefficient of 0.916 ($P = 0.0001$) (SI Appendix, Fig. S10), providing a further proof-of-concept of a relationship between in vivo and cell-free measured promoter activities in a prokaryotic system.

Semiautomated Screening of a Synthetic RBS Library. To demonstrate robustness and scalability of our NCF system, we developed a semiautomated workflow for screening libraries of biological parts using an acoustic liquid-handling robot (Fig. 6A). For *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*, the RBS is well-characterized, unlike *B. megaterium*, where only a handful have been tested for recombinant protein expression, providing an ideal test case for our platform (18). The RBS is composed of a semiconserved polypurine motif ($5'\text{-AGGAGGA-3}'$) Shine–Dalgarno sequence, followed typically by an A/T-rich spacer of 2–10 nucleotides. In addition, a spacer range of 5–10 nucleotides for *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* was previously determined as being optimal for translation initiation (52).

To generate a synthetic RBS library, a degenerate poly-R (A/G) Shine–Dalgarno sequence and poly-W (A/T) spacer varying from 3 to 8 nucleotides was designed and combined with the strong PDH promoter described earlier in this paper. Libraries with varying spacer sequence lengths (3–8 bases, termed RBS-3, -4, -5, -6, -7, and -8) were generated using PCR and individually screened in NCF. The upper library sizes for the RBS-3 and RBS-8 libraries were 1,024 (2^{10}) and 32,768 (2^{15}) combinations, respectively. These libraries were transformed into *E. coli* and 44 colonies were randomly sampled from each RBS spacer variant library category ($n = 264$) and isolated using 96-well DNA purification. The library

807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826

APPLIED BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868




Fig. 5. σ^A Constitutive promoter activity correlates between in vivo and cell-free transcription-translation characterization. (A and B) RNA and GFP cell-free time-course of σ^A constitutive promoters. (C) Normalized cell-free and in vivo promoter characterization data. SD is representative of three measurements in cell-free, and four biological replicates in vivo.

was screened in two stages, with an initial screen to find active hits, followed by a more detailed analysis of selected variants.

To minimize reagent usage and reduce automated assay set-up time, we scaled down our cell-free reactions to 2- μ L reaction volumes using 0.5 μ L of DNA and 1.5 μ L of NCF mix. This gave a mean final DNA concentration of 7.5 ± 1.8 nM within the NCF reaction with a range of 1.4–11.0 nM from the preliminary DNA screened (SI Appendix, Fig. S38). DNA concentrations were not normalized in this initial screen, as we were only concerned with selecting active variants at this stage. This reaction scale gave typical z-factor scores of between 0.517 and 0.744 with the positive control plasmid (PDH-GFP) versus a buffer negative control, indicating a sufficient statistical effect size for this screening assay. For comparison, a 10- μ L volume reaction gave a stronger z-factor score of 0.90, although this was offset by increased transfer time (30 min) and reagent cost (2,880 μ L NCF mix) for a 384-well plate. In contrast, despite increased variability at a 2- μ L scale, this has the advantage of rapid transfer (10 min), minimal reagent cost (576 μ L NCF mix), and low dead volume (200 μ L for a six-well source plate). At the 2- μ L reaction scale, \sim 1 L of cell culture (\sim 6 mL cell-extract) provides enough NCF mix (\sim 18 mL) for 12,000 reactions, enabling scalable cell-free-based screening assays. Moreover, to account for transfer delay differences using the acoustic liquid-handling robot and positional effects, four technical repeats for each RBS variant and controls were randomly distributed in the plate.

Aside from the RBS-3 library, which displayed minimal hits, all libraries provided a range of positive hits, which is consistent with previous *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* RBS studies (52, 53). Fifteen variants above the limit of detection (Fig. 6B) from each of the RBS-4, -5, -7, and -8 libraries, along with 30 from the RBS-6 library, were selected for sequencing and more detailed analysis.

After elimination of duplication events (four in RBS-6 group and two in RBS-4 to -7), each RBS variant was characterized in our NCF system with normalized DNA concentrations of 10 nM as four technical repeats and is presented as a ranked RBS activity library in SI Appendix, Fig. S39 and Tables S12 and S13.

Focusing on the RBS-6 library, additional in vivo and in silico data provided a comparison of methods. Here 26 RBS-6 variants were transformed and relative RBS activity was determined as a steady-state measurement with cell-free, in vivo, and through in silico prediction, using the RBS Calculator (54) and UTR Designer (55) models. Interestingly, two variants (pSJM1211 - 5'-GAGGA-GGTATTAT-3' and pSJM1223 - 5'-AAGGGGGTATTAT-3') identified from the cell-free screen displayed a twofold enhanced activity in vivo in comparison with the positive control RBS (5'-AGGAGGTGAATGTCAT-3'). This was also consistent with in silico predictions (SI Appendix, Tables S12 and S13). Statistically significant ($P < 0.001$) correlations were found between cell-free, in vivo, and in silico datasets (Fig. 6C).

Importantly, the strongest correlation was found to be between cell-free and in vivo cell data ($r = 0.87$, $P < 0.001$). This not only provides further support to the earlier proof-of-concept findings with the natural *B. megaterium* promoters, but also complements in silico prediction methods and demonstrates the speed and precision of using liquid-handling robotics in a semi-automated cell-free screening workflow.

Discussion

E. coli-based cell-free platforms have become an established cornerstone of cell-free synthetic biology for prototyping gene expression (6, 15, 16) and optimizing metabolic pathway designs (1, 5, 56). Led by the early developments of Swartz and colleagues (57), cell-free has demonstrated the potential for scalable industrial protein manufacturing to provide an alternative and controlled environment for engineering valuable biomolecules outside of the living cell (58). However, in depth studies of cell-free systems have generally focused on the well-characterized synthetic biology chassis, such as *E. coli*. To address this shortcoming, we have developed the concept of NCF. Prerequisites for a minimum NCF proof-of-concept study include the ability to harvest a sufficient concentration of active cell-extracts (\sim 15–30 mg mL⁻¹), which can be limiting for slow-growing bacteria, and an initial handful of gene-expression tools. If energy regeneration, transcription, or translation present a bottleneck, this can be alleviated by the addition of purified components, such as creatine kinase, T7 polymerase, or 70S ribosomes, respectively. We have found the 3-PGA S30 energy mix (34) to be active in a selection of Gram-positive bacteria species (35, 36), including *B. megaterium*. This energy mix may be found to be more generally active in new NCF systems in the future. In this paper, we have extended this work to establish an integrated NCF platform that uses acoustic liquid-handling robotics (nanolitre transfers) combined with a Bayesian model parameter inference approach, providing a quantitative model-based parameterization of cell-free transcription-translation dynamics. We also further apply a semiautomated workflow for characterizing new library parts and show that reaction volume can be scaled down to minimize reagent usage at scale, while still permitting the acquisition of precise and statistically significant data. We believe this is particularly useful for cell-free screening applications where reagent cost or availability (e.g., mammalian cell-free) is a limiting factor for automation.

Our choice of *B. megaterium* is in part due to the important use of industrial microbes for the production of valuable biomolecules, ranging from vitamins (20), amino acids (59), and commodity fine chemicals (60). *B. megaterium* has industrial potential for the overproduction of proteins but suffers from a lack of genetic tools, poor genetic tractability, and an uncharacterized metabolome (18). Indeed many industrial microbial hosts have been established through strain evolution using random mutagenesis coupled with




Fig. 6. Rapid quantification of RBS library parts in *B. megaterium* NCF. (A) A semiautomated workflow and time-scale from library generation to cell-free screening of RBS activities. (B) Distribution of library groups (RBS-3, -4, -5, -6, -7, and -8) rapidly screened in 2- μ L reactions in 384-well plates. Solid black line represents mean negative control (NC) signal and dotted red line represents limit of detection (3σ from mean NC signal). Four measurement repeats per RBS variant (44 per group) were randomly distributed within the plate by the Echo PickList software. (C) Correlation of cell-free, in vivo, and in silico prediction (54, 55) activities of 26 RBS-6 variants with correlation coefficients and statistical significance shown within each individual plot. For full data, please see [SI Appendix, Figs. S38 and S39 and Tables S12 and S13](#).

screening to optimize growth rates, improve resistance to toxic metabolites, and elevate product titers of a chosen biomolecule (20). Given the plethora of microbial species that have not been characterized, there is a need to develop new approaches that would enable such microbial systems to be explored rapidly for biotechnology applications, including and beyond bioprocessing. One such application is in the engineering of the human gut microbiome, which comprises thousands of poorly characterized bacterial species (14). For such an application, there is a need for novel bacterial strains to colonize and persist in the human gut for extended periods of time and such strains need to be genetically engineered to perform specific tasks, like sensing and memory (61).

Using our NCF platform we were able to characterize and model the native *B. megaterium* xylose-inducible promoter system, as well as a selection of *B. megaterium* σ^A constitutive promoters and RBS library members, which significantly expands the available toolset for this organism. Our NCF platform uses endogenous RNAP, translational apparatus, and energy regeneration enzymes for coupled protein synthesis and does not require the addition of exogenous components, such as T7 RNAP or enzymes for ATP synthesis (e.g., pyruvate kinase, creatine kinase) and thus provides, in part, a native environment for measurement of en-

dogenous components. Cell-free systems also have the general advantages of permitting direct access to the reaction and providing a simple fluorescent read-out of complex assays. Here, we have used these properties to rapidly infer previously unknown XylR transcription factor binding affinities, which would be difficult or impossible to access using live-cell experiments. Other inferred model parameters, such as GFP maturation rates and transcription elongation rates, were found to closely match previously known values ([SI Appendix, Tables S9 and S10](#)).

For *B. megaterium* we found that in vivo RBS and promoter activities show strong correlation with cell-free activity, providing further evidence to our and other earlier findings for *E. coli* cell-free (17, 62). However, cell-free does present certain limitations. For example, cell-free is unable to fully capture biological perturbations resulting from growth-associated stress (63, 64). In addition, while two new strong RBS variants were identified in vivo, these displayed similar activity to the standard RBS (pRBm258) in cell-free. From our prior resource competition and modeling experiments, we suggest that in cell-free, ribosomes are rate-limiting, therefore presenting a bottleneck for identifying stronger RBS or promoter elements. Therefore, while NCF provides a fast tool to initially test uncharacterized gene-expression elements from

1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116

APPLIED BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

Q-19



Q-20



993 nonmodel organisms, secondary verification in the in vivo plat-
994 form will also further inform this design process. We anticipate
995 that NCF can open up the possibility of designing in vivo genetic
996 circuits for less well-characterized organisms.

997 While the quantitative parameterization of individual genetic
998 elements in vitro is important, their behavior in living cells is cou-
999 pled to other genetic elements by the use of shared resources, such
1000 as free ribosomes. A combination of robustly parameterized genetic
1001 components, together with models capable of accounting for re-
1002 source competition, could help address the particularly challenging
1003 problem of complex dynamical circuit design (65, 66). To analyze
1004 how such resources are utilized in cell-free reactions under condi-
1005 tions that potentially mimic cellular stress, we have used multi-
1006 plexed absolute MS quantification of transcription–translation
1007 components together with cell-free reactions with multiple pro-
1008 moters. By titrating varying quantities of two competing promoters
1009 into our cell-free reactions, we were able to estimate resource
1010 sharing using mathematical modeling with Bayesian parameter in-
1011 ference (Fig. 4 and *SI Appendix*, Figs. S29–S36). Interestingly, for
1012 two different genes (one expressing GFP and one mCherry), we
1013 observed strikingly different propensities to exert translational
1014 burden on the system. The mCherry mRNA was inferred to have a
1015 much slower translation elongation rate, depleting the concentra-
1016 tion of free ribosomes at much lower promoter concentrations than
1017 the corresponding GFP-expressing promoter (*SI Appendix*, Fig.
1018 S33). The reasons for this are unclear, but it could be due to the
1019 codon usage being suboptimal or it could be an intrinsic property of
1020 the mCherry protein sequence itself.

1021 In comparison with a fully optimized *E. coli* cell-free reaction
1022 (38), our initial *B. megaterium* system is approximately one order-
1023 of-magnitude less active, even though the protein synthesis capa-
1024 city of wild-type *B. megaterium* in vivo is comparable (gram per
1025 liter scale) to commercial *E. coli* strains (22). From the LC-MS
1026 analysis, this confirmed that the level of core transcription–
1027 translation components is comparable to previous summarized
1028 *E. coli* cell-free literature and therefore is unlikely to be rate-limiting
1029 (1). Taking into account the combined experimental and modeling
1030 analysis, we suggest that a number of factors are currently rate-
1031 limiting for our *B. megaterium* cell-free transcription–translation
1032 system. These include inefficient NTP regeneration, energy
1033 wastage, suboptimal codon usage, and ribosome elongation rates.
1034 Surprisingly our model, with parameters inferred from the two-
1035 promoter resource competition experiment, suggests that only
1036 ~6% of the total theoretical energy input into the system is ac-
1037 cessible in practice. Our model predicts that improving the me-
1038 tabolism of the secondary energy source would increase the
1039 available energy and, therefore, substantially increase the overall
1040 protein yield (*SI Appendix*, *SI Text*). We find that of the total
1041 accessible energy, a large fraction (>74%) is typically diverted to
1042 competing nonspecific phosphorylase activity as previously dis-
1043 cussed (67). In the future, we will look to improve energy re-
1044 generation in *B. megaterium* NCF to assess its potential use for
1045 scaled-up recombinant protein production processes in vitro.

1046 In summary, we have established an integrated experimental
1047 platform that combines automation, factorial experimental design,
1048 and modeling to study NCF extracts from different organisms (35,
1049 36). Our approach opens up the possibility of systematically ex-
1050 ploring gene-expression tools, cell-free transcription–translation,
1051 and energy regeneration machinery from understudied host cells
1052 for new synthetic biology and biotechnology applications.

1. Garamella J, Marshall R, Rustad M, Noireaux V (2016) The all *E. coli* TX-TL Toolbox 2.0: A platform for cell-free synthetic biology. *ACS Synth Biol* 5:344–355.
2. Jewett MC, Swartz JR (2004) Mimicking the *Escherichia coli* cytoplasmic environment activates long-lived and efficient cell-free protein synthesis. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 86:19–26.
3. Swartz JR (2009) Universal cell-free protein synthesis. *Nat Biotechnol* 27:731–732.
4. Kuruma Y, Ueda T (2015) The PURE system for the cell-free synthesis of membrane proteins. *Nat Protoc* 10:1328–1344.

Methods

Strains and Plasmids. *B. megaterium* wild-type strain DSM319 was used for preparing the cell-free extract (68), *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3) Star-pLys5 (LifeTechnologies) for the recombinant production of the His₆-tagged *B. megaterium* DSM319 repressor protein XylR (*SI Appendix*, *SI Text*), and *E. coli* strain DH10B (Invitrogen) for routine cloning. All of the plasmids and oligos used in this study are listed in *SI Appendix*, Tables S1–S4, respectively. For construction of plasmids, please see *SI Appendix*, *SI Text*.

Growth and Recombinant Protein Production in *B. megaterium*. We used a fluorescence variant (GFP⁺-F64L/S65T/Q80R/F99S/M153T/V163A) of the wild-type GFP, herein simply referred to as GFP, to monitor protein production in *B. megaterium* cell-free transcription–translation, along with mCherry protein. *B. megaterium* cells were individually transformed with the corresponding plasmids and cultivated as described previously (22).

***B. megaterium* Cell-Extract Preparation and Transcription–Translation Reaction Conditions.** A *B. megaterium* DSM319 cell-free transcription–translation system was developed based on the *E. coli* method of Sun et al. (34). Full details and modifications are outlined in *SI Appendix*, *SI Text* and Table S6. This method yielded between 27–34 mg mL⁻¹ of cell-free crude lysate. All cell-free transcription–translation experiments were repeated with at least two biological repeats and three repeat measurements. Calibration standards of purified GFP-MGapt mRNA, His₆-tagged GFP and mCherry were prepared in the standard reaction mixture (*SI Appendix*, Figs. S2 and S14).

Acoustic Liquid-Handling Robotics and Experimental Set-Up. Full factorial experimental design and Echo525 liquid handler (Labcyte) pick list transfer instructions were automatically generated using a Python script (available at https://github.com/jmacdona/ODE_MCMC_tools) or Labcyte PickList software. Liquid droplets were transferred as multiples of 25 nL to a final volume of 10 μL as three triplicate replicates. Plates were sealed with Breathe-Easy sealing membrane (Sigma) for 10-μL reactions and a sealed aluminum foil for 2-μL reactions, and briefly centrifuged at 1,000 × g for 10 s. A CLARIOstar plate reader (BMG Labtech) was used for cell-free incubations and fluorescence measurements. Standard measurements were recorded every 10 min for 40 cycles at 30 °C with 10 s of 250 rpm orbital shaking before measurement. Further details and fluorescence settings are provided in *SI Appendix*, *SI Text*. For details of XylR experiments, please see *SI Appendix*, *SI Text* and Fig. S17.

Multiplexed Protein Quantification by LC-MS/MS. A proteomics LC-MS/MS protocol based on previous studies was developed to quantitate cell-free transcription–translation enzymes from a triplicate biological replicates of *B. megaterium* cell extracts. Full information is provided in *SI Appendix*, *SI Text*, Figs. S23–S26, and Tables S7, S8, and S11.

Mathematical Modeling of Cell-Free Transcription–Translation. A general ODE model scheme was created to account for the expression of single or multiple genes in the same reaction. This model included accounting for the use of shared resources (NTPs, amino acids, RNAP, and ribosomes). Further details are provided in *SI Appendix*, *SI Text*. SBML models are available from Github, as linked below.

Bayesian Parameter Inference. A general C++ framework, called ODE_MCMC_tools, for inferring model parameters and initial values from experimental datasets for systems of ODEs using adaptive MCMC and multithreaded parallel tempering MCMC (PT-MCMC) was developed. Further details are in *SI Appendix*, *SI Text*. Source code and precompiled binaries are available from https://github.com/jmacdona/ODE_MCMC_tools.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. S.W. and R.B. would like to thank Dieter Jahn for support and discussion. This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [EP/K038648/1 (to S.J.M.) and EP/L011573/1 (to J.T.M., A.I., A.T., D.J.B., D.W.M., and K.J.)]; Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Case Studentship DTG BB/F017324/1 (to N.K.); and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft priority program SPP1617 (to S.W. and R.B.).

5. Takahashi MK, et al. (2015) Rapidly characterizing the fast dynamics of RNA genetic circuitry with cell-free transcription–translation (TX-TL) systems. *ACS Synth Biol* 4:503–515.
6. Siegal-Gaskins D, Tuza ZA, Kim J, Noireaux V, Murray RM (2014) Gene circuit performance characterization and resource usage in a cell-free “breadboard”. *ACS Synth Biol* 3:416–425.
7. Pardee K, et al. (2016) Rapid, low-cost detection of Zika virus using programmable biomolecular components. *Cell* 165:1255–1266.

1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178

8. Groff D, et al. (2014) Engineering toward a bacterial “endoplasmic reticulum” for the rapid expression of immunoglobulin proteins. *MAbs* 6:671–678.
9. Geertz M, Shore D, Maerkl SJ (2012) Massively parallel measurements of molecular interaction kinetics on a microfluidic platform. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109: 16540–16545.
10. Eisenstein M (2016) Living factories of the future. *Nature* 531:401–403.
11. Florea M, et al. (2016) Engineering control of bacterial cellulose production using a genetic toolkit and a new cellulose-producing strain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113: E3431–E3440.
12. Ling LL, et al. (2015) A new antibiotic kills pathogens without detectable resistance. *Nature* 517:455–459.
13. Gomez-Escribano JP, Bibb MJ (2012) *Streptomyces coelicolor* as an expression host for heterologous gene clusters. *Methods Enzymol* 517:279–300.
14. Huttenhower C, et al.; Human Microbiome Project Consortium (2012) Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 486:207–214.
15. Tuza ZA, Singhal V, Kim J, Murray RM (2013) An in silico modeling toolbox for rapid prototyping of circuits in a biomolecular “breadboard” system. *Proceedings of the 52nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control* (IEEE, Firenze, Italy), pp 1404–1410.
16. Sun ZZ, Yeung E, Hayes CA, Noireaux V, Murray RM (2014) Linear DNA for rapid prototyping of synthetic biological circuits in an *Escherichia coli* based TX-TL cell-free system. *ACS Synth Biol* 3:387–397.
17. Chappell J, Jensen K, Freemont PS (2013) Validation of an entirely in vitro approach for rapid prototyping of DNA regulatory elements for synthetic biology. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41:3471–3481.
18. Korneli C, David F, Biedendieck R, Jahn D, Wittmann C (2013) Getting the big beast to work—Systems biotechnology of *Bacillus megaterium* for novel high-value proteins. *J Biotechnol* 163:87–96.
19. Yang Y, et al. (2006) High yield recombinant penicillin G amidase production and export into the growth medium using *Bacillus megaterium*. *Microb Cell Fact* 5:36.
20. Martens JH, Barg H, Warren MJ, Jahn D (2002) Microbial production of vitamin B12. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 58:275–285.
21. Moore SJ, et al. (2013) Elucidation of the anaerobic pathway for the corrin component of cobalamin (vitamin B₁₂). *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:14906–14911.
22. Stammen S, et al. (2010) High-yield intra- and extracellular protein production using *Bacillus megaterium*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 76:4037–4046.
23. Yang G, et al. (2008) Expression of recombinant *Clostridium difficile* toxin A and B in *Bacillus megaterium*. *BMC Microbiol* 8:192.
24. Wittchen KD, Meinhardt F (1995) Inactivation of the major extracellular protease from *Bacillus megaterium* DSM319 by gene replacement. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 42:871–877.
25. Korneli C, Biedendieck R, David F, Jahn D, Wittmann C (2013) High yield production of extracellular recombinant levansucrase by *Bacillus megaterium*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 97:3343–3353.
26. Rygut T, Hillen W (1992) Catabolite repression of the *xyl* operon in *Bacillus megaterium*. *J Bacteriol* 174:3049–3055.
27. Biedendieck R, Yang Y, Deckwer W-D, Malten M, Jahn D (2007) Plasmid system for the intracellular production and purification of affinity-tagged proteins in *Bacillus megaterium*. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 96:525–537.
28. Moore SJ, et al. (2013) Characterization of the enzyme CbiH₆₀ involved in anaerobic ring contraction of the cobalamin (vitamin B₁₂) biosynthetic pathway. *J Biol Chem* 288:297–305.
29. Payne KAP, et al. (2015) Reductive dehalogenase structure suggests a mechanism for B₁₂-dependent dehalogenation. *Nature* 517:513–516.
30. Bridwell-Rabb J, Zhong A, Sun HG, Drennan CL, Liu HW (2017) A B₁₂-dependent radical SAM enzyme involved in oxetanocin A biosynthesis. *Nature* 544:322–326.
31. Niederholtmeyer H, Xu L, Maerkl SJ (2013) Real-time mRNA measurement during an in vitro transcription and translation reaction using binary probes. *ACS Synth Biol* 2: 411–417.
32. Nielsen AAK, et al. (2016) Genetic circuit design automation. *Science* 352:aac7341.
33. Tojo S, Kumamoto K, Hirooka K, Fujita Y (2010) Heavy involvement of stringent transcription control depending on the adenine or guanine species of the transcription initiation site in glucose and pyruvate metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 192:1573–1585.
34. Sun ZZ, et al. (2013) Protocols for implementing an *Escherichia coli* based TX-TL cell-free expression system for synthetic biology. *J Vis Exp* e50762.
35. Moore SJ, Lai H-E, Needham H, Polizzi KM, Freemont PS (2017) *Streptomyces venezuelae* TX-TL—A next generation cell-free synthetic biology tool. *Biotechnol J* 12: 1600678.
36. Kelwick R, Webb AJ, MacDonald JT, Freemont PS (2016) Development of a *Bacillus subtilis* cell-free transcription-translation system for prototyping regulatory elements. *Metab Eng* 38:370–381.
37. Bremer H, Dennis PP (2008) Modulation of chemical composition and other parameters of the cell at different exponential growth rates. *EcoSal Plus* 3.
38. Caschera F, Noireaux V (2014) Synthesis of 2.3 mg/ml of protein with an all *Escherichia coli* cell-free transcription-translation system. *Biochimie* 99:162–168.
39. Akashi H, Gobjori T (2002) Metabolic efficiency and amino acid composition in the proteomes of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99: 3695–3700.
40. Li J, Gu L, Aach J, Church GM (2014) Improved cell-free RNA and protein synthesis system. *PLoS One* 9:e106232.
41. Varricchio F, Monier R (1971) Ribosome patterns in *Escherichia coli* growing at various rates. *J Bacteriol* 108:105–110.
42. Rygut T, Hillen W (1991) Inducible high-level expression of heterologous genes in *Bacillus megaterium* using the regulatory elements of the xylose-utilization operon. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 35:594–599.
43. Rygut T, Scheler A, Allmansberger R, Hillen W (1991) Molecular cloning, structure, promoters and regulatory elements for transcription of the *Bacillus megaterium* encoded regulon for xylose utilization. *Arch Microbiol* 155:535–542.
44. Gelman A, Rubin DB (1992) Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences. *Stat Sci* 7:457–472.
45. Karzbrun E, Shin J, Bar-Ziv RH, Noireaux V (2011) Coarse-grained dynamics of protein synthesis in a cell-free system. *Phys Rev Lett* 106:048104.
46. Iizuka R, Yamagishi-Shirasaki M, Funatsu T (2011) Kinetic study of de novo chromosome maturation of fluorescent proteins. *Anal Biochem* 414:173–178.
47. Gyorgy A, Murray RM (2016) Quantifying resource competition and its effects in the TX-TL system. *2016 IEEE 55th Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)* (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ), pp 3363–3368.
48. Verkhusa VV, Akovbian NA, Efremenko EN, Varfolomeyev SD, Vrzheschch PV (2001) Kinetic analysis of maturation and denaturation of DsRed, a coral-derived red fluorescent protein. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* 66:1342–1351.
49. Haldenwang WG (1995) The sigma factors of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Microbiol Rev* 59:1–30.
50. Lou C, Stanton B, Chen Y-J, Munsky B, Voigt CA (2012) Ribozyme-based insulator parts buffer synthetic circuits from genetic context. *Nat Biotechnol* 30:1137–1142.
51. Moore SJ, et al. (2016) EcoFlex: A multifunctional MoClo kit for *E. coli* synthetic biology. *ACS Synth Biol* 5:1059–1069.
52. Chen H, Bjerknes M, Kumar R, Jay E (1994) Determination of the optimal aligned spacing between the Shine-Dalgarno sequence and the translation initiation codon of *Escherichia coli* mRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22:4953–4957.
53. Guiziou S, et al. (2016) A part toolbox to tune genetic expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:7495–7508.
54. Salis HM (2011) The ribosome binding site calculator. *Methods Enzymol* 498:19–42.
55. Seo SW, et al. (2013) Predictive design of mRNA translation initiation region to control prokaryotic translation efficiency. *Metab Eng* 15:67–74.
56. Karim AS, Jewett MC (2016) A cell-free framework for rapid biosynthetic pathway prototyping and enzyme discovery. *Metab Eng* 36:116–126.
57. Liu DV, Zawada JF, Swartz JR (2005) Streamlining *Escherichia coli* S30 extract preparation for economical cell-free protein synthesis. *Biotechnol Prog* 21:460–465.
58. Zawada JF, et al. (2011) Microscale to manufacturing scale-up of cell-free cytokine production—A new approach for shortening protein production development timelines. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 108:1570–1578.
59. Krömer JO, Sorgenfrei O, Klopprogge K, Heinze E, Wittmann C (2004) In-depth profiling of lysine-producing *Corynebacterium glutamicum* by combined analysis of the transcriptome, metabolome, and fluxome. *J Bacteriol* 186:1769–1784.
60. Parekh S, Vinci VA, Strobel RJ (2000) Improvement of microbial strains and fermentation processes. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 54:287–301.
61. Riglar DT, et al. (2017) Engineered bacteria can function in the mammalian gut long-term as live diagnostics of inflammation. *Nat Biotechnol* 35:653–658.
62. Noireaux V, Bar-Ziv R, Libchaber A (2003) Principles of cell-free genetic circuit assembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:12672–12677.
63. Münch KM, et al. (2015) Polar fixation of plasmids during recombinant protein production in *Bacillus megaterium* results in population heterogeneity. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 81:5976–5986.
64. Ceroni F, Algar R, Stan G-B, Ellis T (2015) Quantifying cellular capacity identifies gene expression designs with reduced burden. *Nat Methods* 12:415–418.
65. Barnes CP, Silk D, Sheng X, Stumpf MPH (2011) Bayesian design of synthetic biological systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:15190–15195.
66. Kim J, Khetarpal I, Sen S, Murray RM (2014) Synthetic circuit for exact adaptation and fold-change detection. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:6078–6089.
67. Calhoun KA, Swartz JR (2007) Energy systems for ATP regeneration in cell-free protein synthesis reactions. *In Vitro Transcription and Translation Protocols*, ed Tymms MJ (Humana Press, Totowa, NJ), pp 3–17.
68. Eppinger M, et al. (2011) Genome sequences of the biotechnologically important *Bacillus megaterium* strains QM B1551 and DSM319. *J Bacteriol* 193:4199–4213.

1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

1

- Q: 1_Please contact PNAS_Specialist.djs@sheridan.com if you have questions about the editorial changes, this list of queries, or the figures in your article. Please include your manuscript number in the subject line of all email correspondence; your manuscript number is 201715806.
- Q: 2_Please (i) review the author affiliation and footnote symbols carefully, (ii) check the order of the author names, and (iii) check the spelling of all author names, initials, and affiliations. To confirm that the author and affiliation lines are correct, add the comment “OK” next to the author line. This is your final opportunity to correct any errors prior to publication. Misspelled names or missing initials will affect an author’s searchability. Once a manuscript publishes online, any corrections (if approved) will require publishing an erratum; there is a processing fee for approved erratum. Please check with your coauthors about how they want their names and affiliations to appear.
- Q: 3_Please review the information in the author contribution footnote carefully. Please make sure that the information is correct and that the correct author initials are listed. Note that the order of author initials matches the order of the author line per journal style. You may add contributions to the list in the footnote; however, funding should not be an author’s only contribution to the work.
- Q: 4_Please note that the spelling of the following author names in the manuscript differs from the spelling provided in the article metadata: David J. Bell (David Bell), David W. McClymont (David McClymont), and Karen M. Polizzi (Karen Marie Polizzi). The spelling provided in the manuscript has been retained; please confirm.
- Q: 5_You have chosen the PNAS open access option for your paper and have agreed to pay additional open access fees. Please confirm that this is correct. If this is incorrect, and you decline to pay open access fees, please note this in the margin.
- Q: 6_Supporting Information (SI) that is not composed in the main SI PDF (appendices, datasets, movies, and “Other Supporting Information Files”) has not been altered in any way from your originally submitted file. The composed portion of your SI is included in this proof as subsequent pages following the last page of the main text. The noncomposed pieces of SI are available via separate links in the Author Center. If you did not receive the proofs with your composed SI or if you are unable to view your noncomposed SI in the Author Center, please contact PNAS_Specialist.djs@sheridan.com.
- Q: 7_Please check the order of your keywords and approve or reorder them as necessary. Note that PNAS allows up to five keywords; please do not add new keywords unless you wish to replace others.
- Q: 8_Per PNAS style, certain compound terms are hyphenated when used as adjectives and unhyphenated when used as nouns. This style has been applied consistently throughout where (and if) applicable.
- Q: 9_PNAS italicizes genes and alleles. All genes, alleles, and proteins will appear as indicated in your proofs. Please carefully check use of italics and capital letters throughout the proof and correct as necessary. If, by “**■■■■** gene,” you mean “the gene that encodes protein **■■■■**,” then italic type is

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

2

not necessary. (NOTE: If all instances of a gene/allele should be changed, please make only one correction and indicate that it is a global change.)

- Q: 10_Please indicate whether the sequences have been deposited in AddGene or another publicly accessible database before your page proofs are returned. It is PNAS policy that the data be deposited BEFORE the paper can be published. Please update the footnote with the applicable accession no. information.
- Q: 11_PNAS does not allow claims of priority or primacy, hence the term “new” has been deleted in the sentence beginning “We have combined. . .”
- Q: 12_PNAS does not allow claims of priority or primacy, hence the term “new” has been deleted in the sentence beginning “The development of a. . .”
- Q: 13_PNAS articles should be accessible to a broad scientific audience. As such, “RBS” has been spelled out as “ribosome binding site.” Please confirm the definition or amend as necessary.
- Q: 14_PNAS articles should be accessible to a broad scientific audience. As such, “RNAP” has been spelled out as “RNA polymerase.” Please confirm the definition or amend as necessary.
- Q: 15_Whereas “qRT-PCR” is considered a standard abbreviation, “RT-qPCR” is not. Please either expand what is meant by “RT-qPCR” or change all versions of this to “qRT-PCR” in the text.
- Q: 16_It has been assumed that the website provided for the GitHub repository in the Methods is the one intended at each mention of the repository. As such, the phrase “see Methods” has been added following these callouts. Correct?
- Q: 17_Does the edit preserve your intent? Please check the change of “high-through context” to “high-throughput context” in the sentence beginning “In summary. . .”
- Q: 18_PNAS does not allow claims of priority or primacy, hence the term “new” has been deleted.
- Q: 19_In the sentence beginning “SBML models are. . .” it has been assumed that “the software repository linked below” is referring to “Github.” Correct?
- Q: 20_In the Acknowledgments, please check the names of persons thanked for assistance/contributions, names of granting agencies, grant numbers, and initials of grant recipients for accuracy.
- Q: 21_Please verify ref. 13 as amended.
- Q: 22_Please verify the legend to Fig. 5 as amended to account for panel C. The original callout for panel A has been changed to “A and B” and the original callout for panel B (“Normalized cell-free. . .”) has been changed to “C.” Correct?
-
-