

Kent Academic Repository

Rutkauskaite, Andzelika, White, Lisa-Jane, Boles, Jessica E., Hilton, Kira L.F., clifford, Melanie, Patenall, Bethany L., Streather, Bree, Mulvihill, Daniel P., Henry, Samantha A., Shepherd, Mark and others (2022) *Adamantane appended antimicrobial supramolecular self-associating amphiphiles.* Supramolecular Chemistry . ISSN 1061-0278. (In press)

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/99274/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

This document version Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).

Adamantane appended antimicrobial supramolecular self-associating amphiphiles

Authors

Andzelika Rutkauskaite, ‡^a Lisa J.White, ‡^a Jessica E. Boles,^a Kira L. F. Hilton,^a

Melanie Clifford,^b Bethany Patenall,^b Bree R. Streather,^{a/c} Daniel P. Mulvihill,^c

Samantha A. Henry,^c Mark Shepherd,^c J. Mark Sutton,^{*b} Charlotte K. Hind,^{*b} and

Jennifer R. Hiscock^{*a}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Forensic Science, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent. Email: <u>J.R.Hiscock@Kent.ac.uk</u>

^bNational Infection Service, UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA), Porton Down, Salisbury SP4 0JG, UK. Email: <u>charlotte.hind@UKHSA.gov.uk</u> or <u>Mark.Sutton@UKHSA.gov.uk</u>

^cSchool of Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent.

Adamantane appended antimicrobial supramolecular self-associating amphiphiles

Biographies

Dr. Lisa White is a first-generation higher educated woman, who returned to education at the University of Kent as a mature student in her late 30's. At this time she had four children at home two of which were under the age of four. In 2016 she obtained her bachelor's degree with hons. in Forensic science. Following this she chose to continue her academic pathway, going on to study for an MRes in chemistry under the supervision of Prof. Jennifer Hiscock. During her MRes, Lisa became a grandmother for the first time, and three years later her second grandson made his appearance, due to family commitments and the vicinity of the campus to her home the University of Kent was ideally situated. Lisa then remained with Prof. Hiscock for a further three years whilst working towards her PhD, subsequently followed by a PDRA position, where her work explores the potential for supramolecular self-associating amphiphiles for use as antimicrobial agents.

Melanie Clifford is a first-generation higher educated woman, who returned to education as a mature student at the age of 34. At this time, she also worked full time for the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) as a research scientist, firstly evaluating tAK as a decontamination analysis tool then, secondly evaluating novel antimicrobial compounds. In 2018 she obtained her bachelor's degree with hons in Natural Sciences from the Open University. Since completing her degree she continued to work for UKHSA researching novel antimicrobial compounds and their effectiveness against antimicrobial resistant pathogens. She has recently moved on to Defence Science and Technology Laboratories as a workplace manager for the Cyber and Information Systems Division.

Bree Streather is the first in her family to have entered into higher education. After her A levels in 2017, she began her studies at the University of Kent, and was awarded a 1st

class Bachelor's degree in Biochemistry. She went on to complete a Master's by research at the University under the supervision of Dr Chris Mulligan, where she investigated the structure of a membrane transporter thought to be involved in antimicrobial resistance. During this period, she discovered an inner passion for biological laboratory research, and subsequently applied and was accepted onto the BBSRC funded SocoBio Doctoral Training Programme, where she has the opportunity to work in labs across 4 universities, and will undertake a period working in industry. She is now in the second year of this 4year PhD programme, working with Professors Mulvihill and Hiscock on a multidisciplinary project examining the mode of action of novel Supramolecular Selfassociating (SSA) antimicrobials.

Professor Dan Mulvihill is a first-generation higher educated adult, who returned to higher education in his 20's to undertake a B.Sc. in Genetics at the University of London, and subsequent PhD in Cell Biology at the Universities of Dundee and Manchester. His passion for biological research led him to postdoctoral research positions at the University College London and Hannover Medical School. Based upon the success of his research, he was subsequently awarded a 5-year BBSRC David Phillips Research Fellowship, which provided him with the opportunity to return to the UK to set up an independent research group at the University of Kent, to study the role of molecular motors in regulating the growth and cell division. His research group has flourished in the supportive and collegiate environment within the Kent School of Biosciences ever since. Having stepped down from roles of Associate Dean for Research for Sciences, and the Head of Biosciences, he is currently the Professor of Cell and Molecular Biology.

Abstract

Herein, we present the synthesis of two novel adamantane appended supramolecular self-associating amphiphiles. The antimicrobial efficacy of these compounds is determined against both clinically relevant Gram-positive methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and Gram-negative *Escherichia coli*. We also explore the self-associative properties of these amphiphiles in both polar organic DMSO- d_6 0.5% H₂O and H₂O (D₂O)/EtOH 19:1 solutions, confirming aggregate stability through the determination of zeta potential values, aggregate size through a combination of ¹H NMR DOSY and dynamic light scattering studies as appropriate, and critical aggregate concentration through the derivation of concentration dependent surface tension values. We also perform a variety of ¹H NMR dilution studies and in-silico modelling to further explore the roles of intermolecular hydrogen bonding and lipophilicity within aggregate formation and antimicrobial efficacy. Finally, we perform haemolysis and *Galleria mellonella* toxicity assays to establish the potential of these compounds to undergo further development as antibiotic agents.

Keywords: hydrogen bond; antimicrobial; self-association; amphiphile

Introduction

One of the greatest threats to the global economy and public health is the rise of antimicrobial resistant (AMR) bacterial infections. In 2019 the primary effects of AMR were found to be responsible for ≈ 3.57 million deaths worldwide, making it the third leading cause of global mortality.¹ A report commissioned by the UK government has predicted that this number will rise significantly by the year 2050, where an estimated 10 million deaths per year will be attributed to AMR alone,² resulting in an annual one trillion dollar decrease in the global gross domestic product.³ The cause of this continued rise in AMR related deaths is considered to be due to multiple factors, which include the misuse of antibiotics/antimicrobials

and antiseptics in both the veterinarian⁴ and clinical^{5,6} sectors, as well as failure to complete prescribed medicinal courses as advised by physicians.⁷ In addition, the SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19) pandemic has also contributed to the rise of AMR,^{8–10} even though the spread of infection was limited due to restricted mobility,⁸ there has been a substantial increase in use of antibiotic agents worldwide.¹⁰ Most commonly administered amongst those who already have a compromised immune system,¹¹ or are hospitalized, where \approx 72% of patients were treated with antibiotics even though only 8% showed evidence of infection.¹² Thus, there is a crucial need for the development of new antimicrobial agents in order to help combat the rise of AMR, the 'silent' global pandemic.¹⁰

To date the field of supramolecular chemistry has provided a variety of small molecular approaches to the development of novel antimicrobial agents. Examples of which include work by Cai and co-workers who investigated the therapeutic potential of a series of small-molecular-weight host-defence peptide mimicking antibiotic agents. These agents showed antimicrobial activity against clinically relevant multi-drug resistant bacterial pathogens which included Gram-positive methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) and Gram-negative *Escherichia coli*. (*E. Coli*), with minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) values of between 0.16-1.56 μ g/mL and 0.75-3.12 μ g/mL respectively.¹³ In addition, Haldar and co-workers reported a new class of small antimicrobial molecule (SAM), incorporating aliphatic chains, quaternary ammonium, and ethanol functionalities with a design principle focussing on a hydrophobic and hydrophilic balance. These SAMs displayed low toxicity with a haemolytic concentration of 577 μ g/mL required to lyse 50% of human erythrocytes. Furthermore, SAMs also demonstrated antimicrobial activity (MIC = 1-4 μ g/mL) against clinical isolates of MRSA and vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus*

(VRSA).¹⁴ Finally, Al-Tel and co-workers investigated the antimicrobial efficacy and selectivity of 29 cationic polyheterocyclic compounds, with 17 displaying activity against Gram-positive MRSA, *Bacillus subtilis and Enterococcus faecalis* with MIC values ranging from $3.1-12.5 \mu g/mL$.¹⁵

Our own efforts in this area have focused on the development of a novel class of >70 supramolecular self-associating amphiphilic salts (SSAs),^{16–22} the anionic component of which contains two principle hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) sites and a single hydrogen bond donating (HBD) site, meaning these units self-associate in a *'frustrated'* manor, as not all of the possible hydrogen bonding modes can be fulfilled simultaneously.²³ To date SSAs have been shown to: selectively co-ordinate to phospholipid membranes of differing headgroup composition;^{24,25} act as cisplatin enhancement agents against ovarian cancer cells;²⁶ enhance the activity of antimicrobial agents against clinically relevant microbes and ESKAPE pathogens;^{26–28} have potential to act as drug delivery vehicles^{20,21} and finally; to act as antimicrobial agents against clinically relevant Gram-positive MRSA and Gram-negative *E. coli*.^{20,29,30}

It is hypothesized that the mode of action for this class of compounds is related to their inherent ability to selectively interact with, and permeate phospholipid membranes of differing composition.²⁹ To enable us to explore how simple structural modifications to our generic SSA structure,³¹ increasing lipophilicity, affects the physicochemical properties and resultant antimicrobial activity²⁹ of our systems, we introduce compounds **1** and **3** (Figure 1). These molecules were designed to allow us to explore the effects of the adamantane functionality within the SSA structure, as this functionality is known to increase molecular biological membrane permeation properties, through increased lipophilicity. In addition, this functionality is also used to ensure molecular stability, improving molecular

pharmacokinetic properties, which is an important consideration for novel therapeutic agents.^{32,33}



Figure 1 Chemical structures of SSAs 1 and 3, and intermediate 2.

Pioneering early work saw the adamantane moiety incorporated into effective antiinfluenza drugs against Influenza A in particular.^{34–37} In addition, therapeutics incorporating the adamantane moiety have been used to combat immunodeficient viral infections such as HIV^{38–41} and Hepatitis C,⁴² as well as in the development of anti-malarials,^{43,44} anti-inflammatories^{45–47} and antimicrobials.^{48–50} Specific examples relating to the use of this functionality within the structure of antimicrobial agents includes work be Kadi and co-workers, who developed a novel series of 2-(1-adamantyl)-5-substituted-1,3,4-oxadiazoles and 2-(1adamantylamino)-5-substituted-1,3,4-thiadiazoles. The results of antimicrobial efficacy studies showed selectivity towards Gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis* IFO 3007 over *S. aureus* IFO 3060 and Gram-negative *E. coli* 3301.⁴⁶ In addition, Orzeszko and co-workers established the antimicrobial efficacy for a series of 14 adamantane derivatives against a range of clinically relevant bacteria. Of these 14 compounds, 12 displayed activity towards Gram-positive *cocci* strains with MIC₅₀ values ranging from 0.02-10 µg/mL.⁵¹

Synthesis

SSA **1** was obtained through the addition of tetrabutylammonium (TBA) aminomethane sulfonate (AMS) to 1-adamantyl isocyanate and isolated as a yellow oil in a yield of 84%. SSA **3** was obtained through the reduction of intermediate **2**, to produce the corresponding amine, which was then reacted with triphosgene, to give the corresponding isocyanate. This isocyanate was then reacted with TBA AMS, to give **3**, which was obtained as a white solid in a yield of 62%.

Results and discussion

To enable the effective characterisation of SSA self-association events, an effective multi-component experimental approach has been developed (Figure 2).⁵²



Figure 2 A schematic representation of the multi-component experimental approach to enable the characterisation of SSA self-association events.

In the solution state the self-associative properties of SSAs **1** and **3** were explored in DMSO- $d_6/0.5\%$ H₂O and H₂O(D₂O)/EtOH 19:1, to enable comparison with previously published SSA data.^{16,53} Quantitative ¹H NMR (qNMR) studies allow, though comparative signal integration with an internal standard, for the percentage of a molecular component apparently 'lost' from solution to be calculated. Here, the presence of higher order self-association events causes those molecules involved in these processes to adopt solid-like properties, as larger aggregated species are produced, no longer detectable through traditional solution state ¹H NMR techniques.

Proton qNMR studies conducted in D₂O/EtOH 19:1, where EtOH acts as the internal standard, confirmed the presence of aggregated species of SSA **3** at 5.56 mM. Under these conditions 50% of the SSA cationic and anionic components were found to be involved in the construction of these higher-order self-association events. Interestingly these aggregated species also prevail in an organic solvent system; however, the percentage SSA **3** 'loss' is shown to decrease by approximately half. At a concentration of 112 mM in DMSO-*d*₆ standardised with 1% DCM, a \approx 25% 'loss' of both SSA cationic and anionic components is observed. SSA **1** displayed no loss of either component in any of those same solvent systems, therefore we find no evidence of higher-order SSA self-association events for this SSA at the concentrations tested, within detectable limits. We hypothesise that self-association events of SSA **1** are hindered due to the positioning of the lipophilic adamantane moiety limiting access to the principal HBD urea group within the SSA molecular structure.

Table 1 Summary of quantitative ¹ H NMR studies. Values given in % represent the
observed proportion of compound that has become NMR silent, thus is apparently 'lost'
from solution for 1 and 3. Studies performed at concentrations of ≈ 112 mM in DMSO-
d_6 standadised with 1% DCM and 5.56 mM in D ₂ O standardised with 5% EtOH.

	Quantitative ¹ H NMR					
SSA	DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆ (%)		D2O (%)			
	anion	cation	anion	cation		
1	0	0	0	0		
3	26	27	48	49		

After undergoing an annealing process, the hydrodynamic diameter ($d_{\rm H}$) of those self-associated aggregates produced by SSA **3** in a H₂O/EtOH 19:1 solution at 5.56 mM and DMSO at 112 mM were obtained via dynamic light scattering (DLS) studies, Table 2. In a H₂O/EtOH 19:1 solution, the $d_{\rm H}$ of aggregates formed from SSA **3**, obtained from intensity distribution peak maxima were found to be 127 nm, a value in line with results from previous studies.^{16,30,53} Switching to a DMSO solvent system the $d_{\rm H}$ was found to be seven times greater. Here a value of 897 nm was obtained, likely to be due to the amalgamation of smaller aggregated units instead of that of singular units as observed in an H₂O/EtOH 19:1 solvent system.

Table 2 Summary of physicochemical data produced to characterise SSA selfassociation events. DLS intensity particle size distribution peak maxima of **3** obtained at 5.56 mM in a solution of H₂O/EtOH 19:1 and at 111.2 mM in DMSO. Critical aggregate concentration (CAC) was derived at approximately 291 K from surface tension measurements.⁵⁴

554	d н (nm)	CAC (mM)	Surface tension at CAC (mN/m)
557	DMSO	H ₂ O/EtOH 19:1		
1 3	a 897	a 127	85.4 <i>b</i>	34.86 <i>b</i>

a- No 'loss' observed in ¹H qNMR studies *b*- CAC above the limit of solubility.

Surface tension data derived from tensiometry measurements were used to calculate critical aggregate concentration (CAC) at 298 K. The CAC value for an SSA was determined as the point at which the surface tension of a solution no longer decreases with increasing compound concentration.⁵⁴ However, at concentrations below CAC aggregates can still exist in solution,⁵⁵ thus allowing for the observation of those larger self-associated aggregates at concentrations

lower than the CAC. The CAC value for **1** in a H₂O/EtOH 19:1 solution was calculated to be 85.4 mM. At this concentration, the aggregates present were found to be relatively stable, exhibiting a zeta potential of -36 mV. Due to the limit of solubility the CAC value for SSA **3** was recorded at 6 mM, at which the aggregates formed were shown to be comparatively unstable, exhibiting a zeta potential value of -8 mV.

To verify the presence of any low-level hydrogen-bonded self-association events involving 1 or 3, ¹H NMR dilution and ¹H NMR DOSY (diffusion-ordered spectroscopy) studies were performed in a DMSO- $d_6/0.5\%$ H₂O solvent system, in line with previous studies.^{16,17,31} Calculated molecular length values for the anionic component of both SSA 1 and 3 were obtained using ChemBio3D after application of MM2 energy minimisation methods. The results showed the anionic component of SSAs 1 and 3 have a molecular length of 9.87 Å (0.99 nm) and 12.30 Å (1.23 nm) respectively. Comparatively a ¹H NMR DOSY study performed with 1, confirmed the formation of only these lower order self-associated or monomeric species present in solution at 112 mM, with the anionic component of the SSA exhibiting a $d_{\rm H}$ of 1.41 nm. This is supported by the results obtained from our MM2 energy minimised computational modelling methods. The change in up field chemical shift of the urea HBD groups observed through ¹H NMR dilution studies was used to confirm the presence of hydrogen bonding self-association events, while fitting these data to self-associative binding isotherms (where possible) using BindFit v 0.5^{56} enables the quantification of the strength of these same interactions. The data obtained from ¹H NMR dilution studies conducted with SSA 1 (Figure 3a) could not be fitted to either the *Cooperative Equal K* or *Equal K/Dimerization model* binding isotherms, which is unsurprising as the overall change in chemical shift observed for these HBD urea NH's is small, which, coupled with the linear nature of these trends, suggests the absence of significant SSA anion hydrogen bonding self-association events present under these experimental conditions. Again, this furthers supports our earlier hypothesis that this is due to the limited accessibility to the principle HBD site present within this SSA anion because of the steric hinderance provided by the adamantane functionality. Although the chemical shift data for SSA **3** could not be fitted to either the *Cooperative Equal K* or *Equal K/Dimerization model* binding isotherms due to the presence of higher-order aggregates species within the solution (Table 1), it was possible to verify that the phenyl amide NH was not involved in any low-level self-association events as depicted in Figure 3b. However, we do see evidence of the SSA anion association through the formation of urea mediated hydrogen bonds, through the downfield change in chemical shift for these NH resonances with increasing compound concentration.



Figure 3 Graph summarizing the ¹H NMR down-field change in chemical shift of urea and amide NH resonances with increasing concentration of a) SSA 1 and b) SSA 3 in DMSO-d₆ 0.5% H₂O (298 K).

We have previously shown that simple low-level computational modelling techniques can be utilised in the prediction of physicochemical properties of our library of SSAs.^{16,29,53} Derived from low level computational modelling using geometry optimized, semi-empirical PM6 molecular models, electrostatic surface potential maps were used to identify the location and quantify theoretical electrostatic potential surface maxima (E_{max}) and minima (E_{min}) values, in line with previous work from both Hunter and Stewart.^{57,58} Using this combined methodology, the E_{max} and E_{min} values were calculated for optimized linear versions of the anionic component of SSAs **1** and **3**. The E_{max} and E_{min} values were found to correlate with the primary HBD and HBA functionalities within the molecular structure, supporting the findings oh Hunters original work (Figure 4).⁵⁷



Figure 4 Electrostatic potential maps calculated for the anionic component of a) SSA **1** and b) SSA **3**. E_{max} and E_{min} values depicted in the figure legends are given in KJ/mol. Dots indicate inaccessible areas on the molecular surface.

These data support our earlier hypothesis that for SSA 1, inaccessibility of the urea

NH's was a contributing factor towards a decreased prevalence of solution state

SSA self-association events in comparison to SSA **3**. In addition, the E_{max} values obtained from these electrostatic potential maps, that are hypothesised to correlate with principle HBD activity suggest the urea NH's of SSA **3** to be better optimised towards the formation of stronger self-associative hydrogen bonds then SSA **1**, exhibiting values of +23 KJ/mol and -101 KJ/mol respectively.

Antimicrobial Activity and Toxicity Studies

We have previously shown that SSAs exhibit antimicrobial activity against clinically relevant strains of Gram-positive MRSA USA300 and Gram-negative *E. coli* DH10B.^{18,29,30} Here, we add to those previously published data sets elucidating the activity of both SSA **1** and SSA **3** against these same model bacterial strains. To establish whether these SSA's are bactericidal or bacteriostatic, complete death must occur, which is beyond the limit of solubility for SSAs **1** and **3**.The results from these MIC₅₀ microbial efficacy studies are presented in Figure 5. At 5 mM SSA **1** exhibited activity against both strains of bacteria resulting in a 46% inhibition of bacterial growth against MRSA and a 56% against *E. coli* (Figure 5a and c). Unlike SSA **1**, SSA **3** exhibits Gram-positive bacterial selectivity, resulting in an 86% inhibition of MRSA (Figure 5b) versus no inhibition of *E. coli* bacterial growth (Figure 5d).



Figure 5 Average (n =3) bacterial growth profiles of: MRSA USA300 incubated with 0 mM – 10 mM of a) SSA **1** and b) SSA **3**; *E. coli* DH10B incubated with 0 mM – 10 mM of c) SSA **1** and d) SSA **3**. Here the SSAs were supplied to the bacterial culture as a EtOH/H₂O 1:19 solution, therefore these experimental results are standardised against a control EtOH/H₂O 1:19 solution. OD₆₀₀ measurements were taken at 900 mins.

Interestingly, through a simple structural modification – removal of the phenyl amide functionality present in SSA **3**, to produce SSA **1** – we find SSA **1** maintains activity against Gram-negative *E. coli*, while SSA **3** becomes inactive towards this same bacteria. This is hypothesised to be due to the increase in lipophilicity of the anionic component of the SSA (as a result of amide and phenyl substituent removal), and perhaps deactivation of the urea NH functionality. These data provide evidence towards the hypothesis that it is possible to further develop SSA technology, tailoring the activity of an SSA towards specific target bacteria.

To explore the potential for this SSA technology to be developed towards the clinic, a preliminary toxicity profile was established for SSAs 1 and 3 against human erythrocytes (Table 3). Here the SSA was added to the erythrocytes in a $H_2O/EtOH$ 19:1 solution, to ensure that the SSA haemolysis effects can be related

to those SSA aggregates discussed previously, and present within the antimicrobial

activity studies.

Table 3 Summary of % haemolysis results obtained for **1** and **3** at 1.39 mM and a $H_2O/EtOH$ 19:1 control solution, obtained in PBS buffer.⁵⁹ Error = standard deviation to 2 dp. The haemolysis results were obtained at this concentration due to limited SSA solubility in PBS buffer.

	% Haemolysis					
SSA	repeat 1	repeat 2	repeat 3	AVG	SD	
1	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.7	0.29	
3	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.11	
5% EtOH	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.05	

The average percentage haemolysis of human erythrocytes in the presence of SSAs **1** and **3** (1.39 mM) was found to be < 1.0 %. Furthermore, the concentration of SSAs **1** and **3** required to lyse 10% of those erythrocytes present was found to be >5 mM in both instances, showing that these SSAs demonstrate a greater activity towards bacterial cells (specifically MRSA) over human erythrocytes at this concentration.

To establish the toxicity of SSAs **1** and **3** towards multicellular organisms, *Galleria mellonella* larvae were injected with 10 μ L of SSA (5mM) in a dH₂O/EtOH 19:1 solution and then incubated at 37 °C for a maximum of five days. Each day, the number of surviving larvae were counted (Table 4). The results of these studies showed SSA **3** to be far more toxic then SSA **1**. This demonstrates a clear structure activity relationship, the presence of the amide appended phenyl ring system within the anionic component of SSA **3** dramatically increases the toxicity of this compound towards this multicellular organism. In addition, the maintenance of larvae survival rates (days 2-5) provides some evidence that SSA **3** maybe acutely toxic, as after an initial 60 % death rate over 24 hours, the rest of the larvae survived the five-day experiment.

	SSA	Quantity of Galleria mellonella larvae alive days 0-5					
		0	1	2	3	4	5
-	1	10	9	9	9	9	9
	3	10	4	4	4	4	4
	PBS	10	10	10	10	10	10
	5% EtOH	10	10	10	10	10	10

Table 4 Results from the *Galleria mellonella* toxicity studies for **1** and **3** (5 mM, 10 μL), phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 5% EtOH, over a 5-day period.

Conclusion

We have synthesised two novel, next-generation adamantane incorporated SSAs, 1 and 3 (Figure 1). The self-associative properties of these SSAs in both a DMSO $d_6/0.5\%$ H₂O and H₂O(D₂O)/EtOH 19:1 were explored. The presence of the amide substituted phenyl ring system within the structure of SSA 3 results in the production of higher-order aggregated species within both solvent systems at lower concentrations then was observed with SSA 1. This is thought to be due to a combination of decreased accessibility to the principle HBD group of 1, and comparative deactivation of this same functionality toward the formation of selfassociative hydrogen bonds. This hypothesis is supported by the results of complimentary low-level computational modelling and ¹H NMR dilution studies. Furthermore, we report the antimicrobial efficacy of 1 and 3 against clinically relevant Gram-positive MRSA and Gram-negative E. coli, and show that SSA 1 demonstrates limited activity against both model bacteria, which we hypothesise is due to the removal of the amide appended phenyl ring system, increasing the general lipophilic properties of the SSA anionic component. Finally, we confirm both 1 and 3 to demonstrate limited toxicity towards erythrocytes, however when injected into G. mellonella larvae, SSA 3 was found to demonstrate considerably higher levels of toxicity towards this multicellular organism over SSA 1.

Acknowledgements

A. Rutkauskaite, L.White, J.Boles, K. Hilton and J. Hiscock would like to thank the University of Kent for funding. Additionally, J. Hiscock and L. White would also like to thank UKRI for the funding of her Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/T020415/1). J. Boles thank UKHSA for the funding of her PhD studentship.

References

C. J. Murray, K. S. Ikuta, F. Sharara, L. Swetschinski, G. Robles Aguilar, A. 1 Gray, C. Han, C. Bisignano, P. Rao, E. Wool, S. C. Johnson, A. J. Browne, M. G. Chipeta, F. Fell, S. Hackett, G. Haines-Woodhouse, B. H. Kashef Hamadani, E. A. P. Kumaran, B. McManigal, R. Agarwal, S. Akech, S. Albertson, J. Amuasi, J. Andrews, A. Aravkin, E. Ashley, F. Bailey, S. Baker, B. Basnyat, A. Bekker, R. Bender, A. Bethou, J. Bielicki, S. Boonkasidecha, J. Bukosia, C. Carvalheiro, C. Castañeda-Orjuela, V. Chansamouth, S. Chaurasia, S. Chiurchiù, F. Chowdhury, A. J. Cook, B. Cooper, T. R. Cressey, E. Criollo-Mora, M. Cunningham, S. Darboe, N. P. J. Day, M. De Luca, K. Dokova, A. Dramowski, S. J. Dunachie, T. Eckmanns, D. Eibach, A. Emami, N. Feasey, N. Fisher-Pearson, K. Forrest, D. Garrett, P. Gastmeier, A. Z. Giref, R. C. Greer, V. Gupta, S. Haller, A. Haselbeck, S. I. Hay, M. Holm, S. Hopkins, K. C. Iregbu, J. Jacobs, D. Jarovsky, F. Javanmardi, M. Khorana, N. Kissoon, E. Kobeissi, T. Kostyanev, F. Krapp, R. Krumkamp, A. Kumar, H. H. Kyu, C. Lim, D. Limmathurotsakul, M. J. Loftus, M. Lunn, J. Ma, N. Mturi, T. Munera-Huertas, P. Musicha, M. M. Mussi-Pinhata, T. Nakamura, R. Nanavati, S. Nangia, P. Newton, C. Ngoun, A. Novotney, D. Nwakanma, C. W. Obiero, A. Olivas-Martinez, P. Olliaro, E. Ooko, E. Ortiz-Brizuela, A. Y. Peleg, C. Perrone, N. Plakkal, A. Ponce-de-Leon, M. Raad, T. Ramdin, A. Riddell, T. Roberts, J. V. Robotham, A. Roca, K. E.

Rudd, N. Russell, J. Schnall, J. A. G. Scott, M. Shivamallappa, J. Sifuentes-Osornio, N. Steenkeste, A. J. Stewardson, T. Stoeva, N. Tasak, A. Thaiprakong, G. Thwaites, C. Turner, P. Turner, H. R. van Doorn, S. Velaphi, A. Vongpradith, H. Vu, T. Walsh, S. Waner, T. Wangrangsimakul, T. Wozniak, P. Zheng, B. Sartorius, A. D. Lopez, A. Stergachis, C. Moore, C. Dolecek and M. Naghavi, *Lancet*, 2022, **399**, 629–655.

- 2 S. Davies, J. Farrar, J. Rex, L. White, R. Murry and J. O'Neill, *Antimicrobial Resistance: Tackling a crisis for the health and wealth of nations*, 2014.
- 3 *Drug-Resistant Infections*, World Bank, Washington, DC, 2017.
- 4 S. J. Bright-Ponte, *Zoonoses Public Health*, 2020, **67**, 1–5.
- P. Davey, C. A. Marwick, C. L. Scott, E. Charani, K. McNeil, E. Brown, I. M. Gould, C. R. Ramsay and S. Michie, *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.*, 2017, 1–315.
- 6 P. H. Kazanjian, Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol., 2022, 43, 1119–1122.
- 7 J. C. Pechère, *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 2001, **33**, S170–S173.
- G. M. Knight, R. E. Glover, C. F. McQuaid, I. D. Olaru, K. Gallandat, Q. J.
 Leclerc, N. M. Fuller, S. J. Willcocks, R. Hasan, E. van Kleef and C. I. R.
 Chandler, *Elife*, 2021, 10, e64139.
- 9 C.-C. Lai, S.-Y. Chen, W.-C. Ko and P.-R. Hsueh, *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*, 2021, 57, 106324.
- R. C. Founou, A. J. Blocker, M. Noubom, C. Tsayem, S. P. Choukem, M. Van Dongen and L. L. Founou, *Futur. Sci. OA*, 2021, 7, 8
- P. Zhou, Z. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. Xiao, X. Huang and X.-G. Fan, *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.*, 2020, 41, 1124–1125.
- 12 J. Ruiz, J. Hosp. Infect., 2021, 107, 114–115.

- M. Zhou, M. Zheng and J. Cai, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 21292– 21299.
- R. Dey, K. De, R. Mukherjee, S. Ghosh and J. Haldar, *Medchemcomm*, 2019, 10, 1907–1915.
- M. Hamad, F. Al-Marzooq, V. Srinivasulu, H. A. Omar, A. Sulaiman, D. M.
 Zaher, G. Orive and T. H. Al-Tel, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2022, 13, 1–14.
- L. J. White, N. J. Wells, L. R. Blackholly, H. J. Shepherd, B. Wilson, G. P.
 Bustone, T. J. Runacres and J. R. Hiscock, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 7620–7630.
- L. J. White, S. N. Tyuleva, B. Wilson, H. J. Shepherd, K. K. L. Ng, S. J. Holder,
 E. R. Clark and J. R. Hiscock, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 7761–7773.
- 18 L. J. White, J. E. Boles, M. Clifford, B. L. Patenall, K. H. L. F. Hilton, K. K. L. Ng, R. J. Ellaby, C. K. Hind, D. P. Mulvihill and J. R. Hiscock, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 57, 11839–11842.
- 19 K. K. L. Ng, M. Dimitrovski, J. E. Boles, R. J. Ellaby, L. J. White and J. R. Hiscock, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2020, **32**, 414–424.
- L. J. White, J. E. Boles, N. Allen, L. S. Alesbrook, J. M. Sutton, C. K. Hind, K.
 L. F. Hilton, L. R. Blackholly, R. J. Ellaby, G. T. Williams, D. P. Mulvihill and J.
 R. Hiscock, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2020, **8**, 4694–4700.
- L. J. White, J. E. Boles, K. L. F. Hilton, R. J. Ellaby and J. R. Hiscock, *Molecules*, 2020, 25, 4126.
- 22 T. L. Gumbs, L. J. White, N. J. Wells, H. J. Shepherd and J. R. Hiscock, Supramol. Chem., 2018, 30, 42–51.
- L. R. Blackholly, H. J. Shepherd and J. R. Hiscock, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, 18, 7021–7028.
- 24 G. Townshend, G. S. Thompson, L. J. White, J. R. Hiscock and J. L. Ortega-

Roldan, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 4015–4018.

- E. Medina-Carmona, L. Varela, A. C. Hendry, G. S. Thompson, L. J. White, J. E.
 Boles, J. R. Hiscock and J. L. Ortega-Roldan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 11665–11668.
- N. O. Dora, E. Blackburn, J. E. Boles, G. T. Williams, L. J. White, S. E. G.
 Turner, J. D. Hothersall, T. Askwith, J. A. Doolan, D. P. Mulvihill, M. D. Garrett and J. R. Hiscock, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 14213–14217.
- J. E. Boles, G. T. Williams, N. Allen, L. J. White, K. L. F. Hilton, P. I. A.Popoola, D. P. Mulvihill and J. R. Hiscock, *Adv. Ther.*, 2022, 5, 1–9.
- J. E. Boles, R. J. Ellaby, H. J. Shepherd and J. R. Hiscock, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 9550–9556.
- N. Allen, L. J. White, J. E. Boles, G. T. Williams, D. F. Chu, R. J. Ellaby, H. J.
 Shepherd, K. K. L. Ng, L. R. Blackholly, B. Wilson, D. P. Mulvihill and J. R.
 Hiscock, *ChemMedChem*, 2020, 15, 2193–2205.
- S. N. Tyuleva, N. Allen, L. J. White, A. Pépés, H. J. Shepherd, P. J. Saines, R. J.
 Ellaby, D. P. Mulvihill and J. R. Hiscock, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 95–98.
- 31 J. R. Hiscock, G. P. Bustone, B. Wilson, K. E. Belsey and L. R. Blackholly, *Soft Matter*, 2016, **12**, 4221–4228.
- 32 L. Wanka, K. Iqbal and P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 3516–3604.
- 33 A. Štimac, M. Šekutor, K. Mlinarić-Majerski, L. Frkanec and R. Frkanec, *Molecules*, 2017, 22, 297.
- G. Dong, C. Peng, J. Luo, C. Wang, L. Han, B. Wu, G. Ji and H. He, *PLoS One*, 2015, 10, 1–20.
- V. G. Vernier, J. B. Harmon, J. M. Stump, T. E. Lynes, J. P. Marvel and D. H.Smith, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 1969, 15, 642–665.

- N. Kolocouris, G. B. Foscolos, A. Kolocouris, P. Marakos, N. Pouli, G. Fytas, S.
 Ikeda and E. De Clercq, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1994, **37**, 2896–2902.
- R. A. Bright, M. Medina, X. Xu, G. Perez-Oronoz, T. R. Wallis, X. M. Davis, L.
 Povinelli, N. J. Cox and A. I. Klimov, *Lancet*, 2005, 366, 1175–1181.
- 38 A. A. El-Emam, O. A. Al-Deeb, M. Al-Omar and J. Lehmann, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2004, **12**, 5107–5113.
- S. S. Printsevskaya, S. E. Solovieva, E. N. Olsufyeva, E. P. Mirchink, E. B.
 Isakova, E. De Clercq, J. Balzarini and M. N. Preobrazhenskaya, *J. Med. Chem.*,
 2005, 48, 3885–3890.
- M. E. Burstein, A. V Serbin, T. V Khakhulina, I. V Alymova, L. L. Stotskaya, O.
 P. Bogdan, E. E. Manukchina, V. V Jdanov, N. K. Sharova and A. G.
 Bukrinskaya, *Antiviral Res.*, 1999, **41**, 135–144.
- J. Balzarini, B. Orzeszko, J. K. Maurin and A. Orzeszko, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2007, 42, 993–1003.
- 42 H. L. Tillmann, *Metab. Brain Dis.*, 2004, **19**, 351–356.
- 43 C. Singh, R. Kanchan, U. Sharma and S. K. Puri, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **50**, 521–
 527.
- X. Wang, Y. Dong, S. Wittlin, D. Creek, J. Chollet, S. A. Charman, J. Santo
 Tomas, C. Scheurer, C. Snyder and J. L. Vennerstrom, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2007, 50, 5840–5847.
- 45 O. Kouatly, A. Geronikaki, C. Kamoutsis, D. Hadjipavlou-Litina and P.
 Eleftheriou, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 44, 1198–1204.
- 46 A. A. Kadi, N. R. El-Brollosy, O. A. Al-Deeb, E. E. Habib, T. M. Ibrahim and A.
 A. El-Emam, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2007, 42, 235–242.
- 47 C. Shen, D. Bullens, A. Kasran, P. Maerten, L. Boon, J. M. F. G. Aerts, G. van

Assche, K. Geboes, P. Rutgeerts and J. L. Ceuppens, *Int. Immunopharmacol.*, 2004, **4**, 939–951.

- H. Bai, H. Yuan, C. Nie, B. Wang, F. Lv, L. Liu and S. Wang, *Angew. Chemie -Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 13208–13213.
- 49 Y. Yang, Z. Cai, Z. Huang, X. Tang and X. Zhang, *Polym. J.*, 2018, **50**, 33–44.
- 50 A. Orzeszko, B. Kamińska and B. J. Starościak, *Farm.*, 2002, **57**, 619–624.
- A. Orzeszko, B. Kamińska, G. Orzeszko and B. J. Starociak, *Farmaco*, 2000, 55, 619–623.
- 52 L. J. White, J. E. Boles, K. L. F. Hilton, R. J. Ellaby and J. R. Hiscock, *Molecules*, 2020, **25**, 4126.
- L. J. White, S. N. Tyuleva, B. Wilson, H. J. Shepherd, K. K. L. Ng, S. J. Holder,
 E. R. Clark and J. R. Hiscock, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 7761–7773.
- Á. Piñeiro, X. Banquy, S. Pérez-Casas, E. Tovar, A. García, A. Villa, A. Amigo,
 A. E. Mark and M. Costas, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, 111, 4383–4392.
- 55 R. Nagarajan and E. Ruckenstein, *Langmuir*, 1991, 7, 2934–2969.
- 56 Supramolecular.org Binding Constant Calculators | Supramolecular, http://app.supramolecular.org/bindfit/, (accessed 1 July 2020).
- 57 C. A. Hunter, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 5310–5324.
- 58 J. J. P. Stewart, J. Mol. Model., 2007, 13, 1173–1213.
- 59 S. M. Travis, N. N. Anderson, W. R. Forsyth, C. Espiritu, B. D. Conway, E. P. Greenberg, P. B. McCray, Jr., R. I. Lehrer, M. J. Welsh and B. F. Tack, *Infect. Immun.*, 2000, 68, 2748.