

Kent Academic Repository

Tsirogiannis, Christos, Gill, David W.J. and Chippindale, Christopher (2022) The Forger's Tale: An Insider's Account of Corrupting the Corpus of Cycladic Figures. International Journal of Cultural Property . ISSN 1028-6632.

Downloaded from

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/99198/ The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0940739122000352

This document version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies).

The Forger's Tale:

An Insider's Account of Corrupting the Corpus of Cycladic Figures

Abstract

Many of the known Cycladic figures, the late prehistoric human-shaped sculptures from the Aegean archipelago, came from 20th-century illicit excavations, especially in the 1960s and 1970s. It is also known that figures were being faked at the time, and perhaps also earlier: a few fakes have been identified, whilst other figures are under suspicion. Interviews with a man who faked Cycladic figures in the 1980s and 1990s give us a first insider's autobiographical account of the forging business. This article offers, step-by-step, the method that two forgers developed to create fake figures, to treat them so that they appeared ancient, and to sell them on on. The Forger has identified a few of these forgeries from photographs of figures; his story is consistent with other information, and seems to ring true. By verifying various elements in The Forger's testimony, from names of well-known figures in the modern antiquities market to small details and dates, we are able to evaluate the validity of the narrative; to use it in order to uncover the true paths that fake objects followed into various collections; and to highlight valuable provenance information that no one involved in trading these objects was ever willing to provide.

Introduction

A study over 20 years ago examined the looting of archaeological sites, and dismay at what is thereby lost, by exploring its consequences, material and intellectual. Its worked example was Cycladic figures, the late prehistoric sculptures whose coincidence in form – not by chance – with 20th-century modernist sculpture made them very desirable as collectables. Later, another study showed similar consequences are endemic in Classical antiquities generally. This study explores the issue of how modern creations of Cycladic figures have corrupted the corpus of knowledge, and thereby distorting the interpretation of the past. The recognition that such false figures have been presented in public exhibitions of Cycladic culture serves as a reminder that secure information about objects needs to be established as part of the research agenda. The suspicion that some of these figures were forgeries, and the proposal that their attribution to named sculptors should be considered as insecure, is now confirmed by the personal testimony of one of those involved in the process.

A high regard for Cycladic figures continues in the art market: one Cycladic figure, which "surfaced" without stated archaeological source in the Christie's antiquities auction on 9 December 2010 (lot 88) in New York, was sold for US\$16,882,500. The provenance given by Christie's was:

"Collection of Madame Marion Schuster, Lausanne, acquired before 1965. with Robin Symes, London, 1990s.

¹ Gill and Chippindale 1993. See also Renfrew et al. 2016. For the emphasis on archaeological context: Marthari et al. 2017. For the application of the same methodological approach to Athenian figure-decorated pottery: Gill 2012.

² Some of the issues are discussed in Renfrew 2003.

³ Chippindale and Gill 2000. For a discussion of classical material: Marlowe 2013.

⁴ The history of the objects exhibited at the Katonah Museum of Art were not rehearsed: Getz-Gentle 2006. Contrast this with the objects in Doumas 2002.

⁵ The implications of the 'insecure Masters' is explored in Gill 2002.

U.S. Private Collection.

with Phoenix Ancient Art, Geneva."

However, there are some serious issues related to the people and company named in the provenance section: Marion Schuster was the partner of the antiquities collector Charles Gillet. After Gillet's death in 1966, Marion Schuster apparently inherited all or most of Charles Gillet's collection. However, Gillet's collection is often cited by the market as a source of antiquities⁷ which in fact never passed through the Gillet/Schuster collection and later have proven to be illicit, e.g. the 20 Attic red figure plates attributed to the Bryn Mawr Painter that were repatriated to Italy. 8 Convicted antiquities dealers Giacomo Medici and Robin Symes (with his partner Christos Michaelides) sold mainly illicit antiquities (including the 20 plates that appear in both the confiscated Medici and Symes archives) and fakes. 9 Illicit antiquities and fakes were found also at the Phoenix Ancient Art gallery, owned by the brothers Hicham and Ali Aboutaam. Ali was convicted in Egypt in absentia for antiquities smuggling; Hicham pleaded guilty in the US to the falsification of at least one customs document. ¹⁰ In 2009 the Aboutaam brothers returned to the Italian state 251 antiquities worth \$2.7 million. 11 Phoenix Ancient Art gallery has sold illicit antiquities which were confiscated in 2021 from the collector Michael Steinhardt in Manhattan and were repatriated to their countries of origin. 12 Additionally, the Greek illicit antiquities dealer 'Nikola' Koutoulakis (see below, p. x) was supplying the Gillet collection with antiquities and fakes.¹³

_

⁶ Iran English Radio 2013.

⁷ Silver 2010, 138.

⁸ Watson and Todeschini 2007, 95-98.

⁹ Tsirogiannis 2013.

¹⁰ Watson & Todeschini 2007, 244; Amineddoleh 2009, 13-15.

¹¹ Freeman 2009.

¹² The Manhattan District Attorney's Office. 2021. Statement of facts (on the Steinhardt case). New York: The Manhattan District Attorney's Office.

¹³ Apostolides 2006, 90.

Koutoulakis sold to Gillet at least two Cycladic figurines, both in 1955: one is now in the Shelby White/Leon Levy collection.¹⁴

A great many Cycladic figures were looted, especially in the later 20th century, "surfacing" in museums, collections, dealers' stores and sale-rooms without reliable archaeological information or without any archaeological information: that is, we knew and now know nothing of where they come from, or only the name of an island rather than a better report of context, and often that island is qualified with "said to be from". At the same time, the same lack of information supports the possibility that several, at least, of those objects are fakes. While Cycladic looting was at its peak, it was common knowledge that Cycladic figures were also being faked in the islands and in Athens. Therefore, the corpus of Cycladic figures we now work with is *corrupt*. Because the corpus is corrupt, we do not know *how* corrupt it is. The article rehearses the first, first-person account of the production of fake 'Cycladic' figures and explores what little else we know about the faking of Cycladic figures.

The Forger's Tale: The Narration

One of us has interviewed a man who reported to us his work in the 1980s and 1990s as a faker of Cycladic antiquities. We here offer the complete account of his story, together with some related remarks and observations, especially concerning where his story is in accordance with what is known in other ways. We know his real name, but here we refer to him simply as 'The Forger'. What we present here is as much as can

¹⁴ *Ibid.* 85. The two figures sold to Gillet were both attributed to the Kontoleon sculptor: Getz-Preziosi 1987, nos. 23 and 24; Getz-Gentle 2001, 153–54, nos. 6 and 10, pls. 55, 57, and 65d; Getz-Gentle 2006, 29, no. 16. The figure once in the Harmon collection, also attributed to the Kontoleon sculptor, was said to come from the same dealer: Sotheby's (New York) 20 June 1990, lot 21; Getz-Gentle 2001, 154, no. 11, pl. 54.

¹⁵ The Forger is occasionally co-operating with the Greek Police Art Squad, where the primary author met him, in the course of the author's voluntary work as a forensic archaeologist from August 2004 until December 2008. Therefore, The Forger wishes to remain anonymous. The names of the other key figures in this article are also known.

be published: we dearly wish both that we knew much more and that we could print everything of what little we know. We tell his tale as a straightforward autobiographical narrative, collated from three conversations with the primary author in Greek, and translated by the primary author. The reader will notice its story is not always really clear, even about the practical procedures of fakery: true stories and reminiscences of decades ago are rarely told in a neat and orderly way, unambiguous, complete, anomalies resolved, loose ends tied up (see below for a brief report on looting with the same traits). Interpolations in square brackets are by the primary author.

"For many years I was working unofficially as a dealer of illicit antiquities. In nearly all the cases I acted as a middleman, someone who had to buy from the looter as cheap as he could, and then to sell on and up the chain, to a bigger dealer or a collector at a higher price. Since I was familiar with the people involved in these activities, the way these things were taking place and the way the Greek black market of illicit antiquities was operating, I decided that by acting as a small dealer I could earn easy money with low risk.

"Soon enough, this activity of mine proved very profitable and by the mid-1980s I realized that money would never be a problem for me again. By that time, I had made valuable contacts with looters, dealers and collectors and each one of them proved to be for me a real 'school' that offered me precious knowledge. One of the most interesting things I've learned was that each marble Cycladic idol was estimated at 1.5M drachmas per centimetre up to 25 cm high [equivalent to *c. 5,000 Euros* US\$7,400

¹⁶ Brodie (2014) has reported a very few instances of looted antiquities when the price along a chain from earth to museum is known; he shows how great are the disparities between the sums paid in successive transactions.

at the 2009 exchange rate, at the time of the three interviews taken in 2009 and 2010]. If the piece was taller, the price was much higher and the dealer negotiated with the buyer on a different basis. Since I was acting as the first dealer in a chain of buying and selling the same piece until this reached its final destination – usually a museum or a private collector – it was difficult for me to buy 'second hand' from another dealer, because the price I had to pay then would have been higher, even if the risk of earning 'big money' from a collector was surely very tempting.

"Everything began in 1986 or 1987, I cannot really remember exactly when. . . . I met a guy who painted Greek Orthodox icons and at the same time he was restoring old ones, too. When we confided in each other, I spoke to him about my 'profession' as a first middleman of illicit antiquities, and he mentioned that he used to work with marble when he was young. Immediately I told him that we could earn easy money, if we could produce fake Cycladic figurines in a way that could deceive a collector's eye. He replied that it wouldn't be easy, that it would take great effort, time and practice to reach a high level, but the cause – the serious money – was worth serious effort. He advised me to follow the exact process of production that the ancient Greek Cycladic manufacturers followed. He insisted that this was the only way if we wanted to succeed.

"First of all, we had to find marble. But, of course, not just any kind of marble. For that reason I travelled to the islands of Naxos and Paros to find pieces of this special kind of marble, the exact one that was used to produce the original idols. The pieces must be up to 50 cm long, to be free of lines of any other colour or geological layer and to be thin-grained marble, he advised me. The ancient quarries were easy to locate; usually, what archaeologists do not know, the local people are more familiar with. Since it was technically impossible for me to cut any kind of marble, I took the opportunity

to choose among many pieces that were lying all around the area, in a variety of shapes, so on each island I filled a small suitcase with the most suitable ones.

"When I returned to Athens we went to a small factory where people operated machines that cut, shape and polish marble pieces. We cut and roughly shaped our pieces and the next step was to get the appropriate tools for more accurate and detailed work – rasps and materials for working the marble to give a very general shape. To avoid hard work in the early phases, we developed an easy and interesting technique: I used to go often to Bakakos, the most well-known pharmacy in Omonoia Square in the centre of Athens, and buy a shot of liquid iron mixed with other liquid metals, which had a dark orange-brown colour, the colour that corroded iron objects full of rust have when we dig them out of the earth. It was expensive, I remember it cost 9,000–13,000 drachmas per litre and it was sold in a tin.¹⁷ Then I bought Aquaforte [a brand of hydrochloric acid], a strong liquid chemical that can burn the skin if used improperly, liquid polish glue for furniture [colourless varnish] and ... sugar! The procedure was as follows: on the surface of a marble piece my 'colleague' used to put some varnish with a paint-brush; then he put on sugar (as coarse-grained as we could find in the market), but in a way to form a general human figurine form. Then he lifted the piece to allow any sugar that wasn't glued to the varnish area to leave the marble surface. On the remaining sugar surface he used to throw spits of liquid iron, and at the very end of this procedure he used to pour *Aquaforte* on the whole marble area. The result, especially for me when I saw it for the first time, was quite impressive: Aquaforte caused an instant and massive corrosion that destroyed everything, but left untouched the area that was covered by sugar and so protected by it! My 'colleague' replied to the

_

¹⁷ 9,000 drachmas in 1988 was about US\$55.

¹⁸ The forger did not reveal the identity of his 'colleague'.

startled expression on my face that this was not a widely known characteristic of sugar. Plus, on the sugared area, the interaction of *Aquaforte* with the liquid iron created a fine brown patina and gave the impression that this piece must undoubtedly have been in the soil for a very long time.¹⁹ Using this technique, we easily and quickly got rid of the excess marble we had no need of, and at the same time we added 'age' to our marble piece.

"My 'colleague' insisted that we must find obsidian, a kind of flint, a product of volcano eruption that ancient Greeks used to take out from quarries, well known since prehistoric times on the island of Melos, in the Cyclades. That was the material that Greeks used in the Cyclades to make tools, with which they produced the famous figurines. In many of the original ones, an expert can notice easily, by using a microscope, traces made by obsidian tools. So that was what we needed and used. My 'colleague' used to work on marble with obsidian in order to sculpt a detailed figure, so no one could find out or positively prove that our figurine wasn't original.

"The last step was the polishing. This also had to be done in the ancient Cycladic manner: using hay. We used to rub for many days on each figurine produced, until the surface acquired the desired look. On some occasions we buried some figurines in buckets full of soil that we carried from the islands of Naxos and Paros and often we used to plant something upon it, like a basil plant. This created an excellent last impression and added the perfect finish to our final result.

¹⁹ During the primary author's second meeting with The Forger, The Forger specified that sometimes they used to make the general shape of a figurine using tools; the chemicals were then used for creating the patina. "Sugar also interacts with marble when only *Aquaforte* is added, without the combination of liquid iron and other chemicals or varnish, with the result that that it creates small holes on the surface, giving the impression that the figurine was found near or in the sea."

"Until the time when we managed to create our first good-looking figurine, many months passed. My colleague used to give the general shape. I was working on the details and the patina. We usually produced small pieces, up to 15 cm, because they didn't seem unusual in the market. Sometimes we made longer ones, about 40–50 cm, like our first successful one. We always worked using a couple of copies, bought from the Goulandris Cycladic Art Museum, for the dimensions, details, etc.²⁰

"We experimented with different quantities of each of the elements we used. The results at the beginning were disappointing. Our first figurine was ready in mid-1987, if I remember well. It was about 40 cm long. We asked for this 6 million drachmas [about 30,000 Euros at today's value] instead of the 80 million drachmas that would have been its actual price at the end of the chain of dealers it would pass through. We used another middleman as a dealer and we finally sold the piece easily for 8.5 million drachmas (with the commission of 2.5 million drachmas for the middleman). We used the same dealer to sell most of our figurines to the same Greek guy, Ioannis Perdios,²¹ who had connections with a foreign airline and who was unaware that the figurines were fakes.

"But three of the figurines, violin-shaped, were sold directly by me to a Greek collector, George Tsolozidis, a successful dentist who was forming a collection of antiques and antiquities, who spent a lot of money and always – especially for Cycladic figurines – used as an advisor an authority working for the Greek State. When Tsolozidis showed the figurines to the authority, the authority said, 'You don't have to

.

²⁰ During the last meeting between the primary author and The Forger, in July 2010, The Forger mentioned that one thing he noticed in publications about Cycladic figurines was that "no figurine was exactly e.g. 15cm or 16 cm. The originals were always a little bit more".

²¹ Ioannis Perdios was working in the tourist industry (according to The Forger, Perdios was the manager of the office of an Arab national airline in Athens): he was also an antiques and antiquities collector and a key figure in the Gospel of Judas affair (see below, footnote 65).

ask me about these. It's obvious that they are original!' The authority advised him never to buy stolen antiquities. ²² I remember that one of the violin figurines was about 22 cm long. It had a fine patina. I asked for it 22 million drachmas. I sold it for 13 million, he paid me by cash and a cheque, and everyone was happy. I cannot forget that when I went to get the last cheque from his office, he had in a bag a huge marble head of a man, which a looter I used to know in Marathon and Nea Makri (outside Athens) had just brought to him. I have no idea what he did with that head. I later heard that Tsolozidis died and the collection now is in the hands of his daughter (Mata Tsolozidis – Zissiadis), who is a resident of Thessaloniki. If I saw the figurines now, I could easily recognize them.

"An example I remember clearly was the production of another violin-shape figurine. I made it in my balcony, using water, *Aquaforte* and red soil to create a nice patina. I remember that one side was slightly brown and the other matt white. I sold this figurine through Perdios who was a good friend of Koutoulakis, an antiquities dealer in Switzerland; his business is now run by his son [Emmanuel (Manolis) Koutoulakis], I think. We sold the piece for 18–20 million drachmas, but they somehow found out after a month that it was fake. They tried to get their money back, but they had no luck, of course. Again, if I saw this piece in a photograph I could easily recognize it.

"I also want to add that I and my 'colleague' weren't the first that produced fake Cycladic figurines, nor were we the most successful. I know that Nikolas Koutoulakis – 'Nikola' or 'The French' were his nicknames in the market – organized with other

_

²² The Forger told the primary author, "I wanted to meet this authority, since I heard from Tsolozidis about the authority's admiration of my figurines as genuine! So, one day – between 1991 and 1993 – I visited the authority's office, asking the authority general questions which revealed my admiration for Greek prehistoric culture. We had a chat for about half an hour, the authority gave me some leaflet and I left".

partners a big production of fake 'Cycladic' figurines. They also had an archaeologist, I think a Swiss one, who was verifying their authenticity! Koutoulakis had a gallery in Paris, and some people had complained that he was selling fake antiquities amongst original ones. I think one of the biggest collectors that used to buy from Koutoulakis and complained of having bought some fakes was George Ortiz."²³

This is what we have, all we know autobiographically of the world of Cycladic fakers. Now it is 30 or so years since the faking may have peaked. Given the rare circumstances for the granting of the interview, we regard it as unlikely that something else was narrated to another party and at some point will surface from an archive. It is possible, even probable that nothing more will ever be told. We will be the first to agree that it is not the full account that all concerned with the truth about Cycladica desire!

The Forger's Tale: Value of the Narrative and Related Evidence

By verifying various elements, ranging from names of well-known figures in the modern antiquities market to small details and dates, in the testimony of The Forger, we are able to evaluate the validity of the narrative; to use it in order to uncover the true paths that fake objects followed in order to find their places in various collections; and to highlight valuable provenance information that no one involved in the trade of these objects was ever willing to provide. This is valuable new knowledge that springs out of the tale, but we consider that an equally valuable contribution of this narrative is the

_

²³ The online catalogue of the George Ortiz collection reports three Cycladic items – number 047 an "egg", 048 a bird (dove or partridge), number 049 a vase – but no Cycladic figures. (http://www.georgeortiz.com/GREEKWORLD/index.html at 2 December 2009.) Nor are there Cycladic figures in the catalogue of the 1994 exhibition of the Ortiz collection in London (Ortiz 1994). Ortiz acquired the head of a Cycladic figure in 1960 and it is now Harvard inv. 2001.178. A figure, with head and legs missing, attributed to the Schuster sculptor was part of the collection: Getz-Gentle 2001, 168, 186, no. 13, pl. 79a. This was reported to have been part of the Keros haul.

first-hand presentation –for the first time – of the different stages and elements used to create a method for the production of fake Cycladic figures. We did not test ourselves the latter by trying to produce a figure, ²⁴ but, by publishing this procedure, we are offering it as a possibility to other researchers.

The Forger's Tale, largely self-contained, does mention a few events which we can explore independently of his account. First of all, we cannot estimate how many forgeries our Sculptor of the False Figure made. There are clear hints that at least some, perhaps most, went to private collectors in the Arabian Gulf. An obvious link and route is provided by Perdios, the local manager in Athens of a national airline in the Arab world who was our Forger's chief dealer, unaware (as The Forger claims) that what he was receiving were fakes. If The Forger's creations indeed mostly went to private collections in the Gulf, and are still today in that region, this might explain why we are not aware of many later surfacing in European or North American markets.

We have been able to track down definitively two, possibly three of The Forger's works. The Forger himself has corroborated that identification by identifying these figures as his own work from the photographs we showed him. These three figures are in a private collection of antiquities owned by Tsolozidis. Under the standard Greek legal provision for registering private collections of antiquities, the relevant Ephorate²⁵ located under the Acropolis was notified of this collection in the early 1990s; a more detailed register, with descriptions and photographs, was compiled and lodged with the Ephorate in the mid-2000s. The Forger recognized two, perhaps three, items in those registration photographs as his own work. At our second meeting The Forger specified

²⁴ Papadatos and Venieris 2017. It seems that it is very easy to make these figurines once one is familiar with techniques and has the right tools.

²⁵ This specific Ephorate (*Ephoreia*) was a special archaeological office within the Greek Ministry of Culture, responsible for registering antiquities in dealers' galleries and private collections. It is now diminished to a department.

that he sold to Tsolozidis at least two violin-shaped figurines and one human-shaped figurine. First, he sold the human-shaped one, made by both forgers, then a violin-shaped one produced entirely by himself. Among many other irrelevant photos depicting Cycladic figurines that we showed to him, The Forger immediately spotted the ones in the collection of Tsolozidis. He identified one violin-shaped, and one human-shaped; he expressed some doubts about a second human-shaped one; also, he described a fourth, not among those depicted in the register of Tsolozidis' objects, as violin-shaped with a diagonal break on the surface of the front of the body. We now quote from the catalogue of 'The George Tsolozidis Collection':²⁶

No. 31. ΣT 740

"Marble human figurine. Early Cycladic I period (3200-2800 BC). Slightly chipped. Reassembled. Height: 0.145 m. Off-white marble. 'Violin' figurine. Arms schematically rendered by semicircular protuberances. Incised angle at base of neck."

No. 32. ΣT 741

"Marble female figurine. Early Cycladic II period (2800-2400 BC). Spedos type. Section of the feet missing. Slightly chipped, with chipped sections restored. Preserved height 0.18 m. White marble. On tiptoe, with knees slightly bent. Head tilted upwards. Only facial feature delineated is the nose. Arms folded beneath breasts. Pubic triangle and fingers incised. Bears an incision around the neck and down to spinal column."

.

²⁶ Kambanis (ed.) 2002, 30-32.

No. 33. ΣT 742

"Marble female figurine. Early Cycladic II period. Part of the top of the head is missing. Chipped. Reassembled. Preserved height 0.155 m. Off-white marble. Similar to cat. No. 32. Gap between the legs."²⁷

In the register of the Ephorate (now diminished to a Department), all three figures are dated to "Early Bronze Age", without any bibliographical reference. It appears that the initial documentation of the Tsolozidis collection took place in 1991–1992 (which agrees with the narration of The Forger)²⁸ after three supplementary applications had been made on Tsolozidis's behalf to the Ephorate with declarations for newly acquired objects in January, April and July 1991. The Forger' was sure the first two were his work. The third, he said, was most probably produced by him, but he could not be sure as he was for the other two because the photo was of poor quality. Combining this information with The Forger's narration gives a reasonably exact date for the sale of the forgeries to Tsolozidis.

Learning from The Forger's Tale: Is It True?

Not all stories told as true *are* true; stories are invented, elaborated, modified, misrepresented in many ways for many reasons. People are daily convicted after denying an allegation, offering a different story which judge or jury do not believe. The police equally know that the person who confesses to a crime is not always the criminal; to the point that British criminal courts no longer convict on the basis of a confession alone. We do not know if The Forger's tale is true, but, on the balance of probability,

²⁷ The authors were unable to obtain images of these three objects for this study, when they contacted the Greek Ministry of Culture, in several occasions.

²⁸ See *supra*, n. 17.

we think it is. We know that since Koutoulakis, Perdios and Tsolozidis were dead, the Forger felt able to make his story public. ²⁹ Where it should match with what is known from other sources, such as the selling of fakes to Ortiz, ³⁰ it does match that. But there are fewer matches than we would like. We see no obvious motive for this faking story itself to be faked. Telling it and allowing us to publish it puts The Forger at risk of the police taking an interest if his labours are seen as criminally fraudulent, at risk of legal action from deceived purchasers, at risk of direct action from those purchasers if they feel a physical response is fitting. We know a little about *why* he has now decided to make his story public, and that reason also rings true. But all these are, separately and together, weak arguments.

Should we, in relaying the tale by this publication, believe it ourselves? Should we ask readers of *IJCP* to believe it? This is asking much of ourselves, and even more of our readers who have not met The Forger. But we notice that statements about the history of antiquities on the market, often so vague – "Said to be from Paros", "Supposedly from Naxos", "From Asia Minor" and so on – are also insecure, and they are very rarely supported by reliable documentary evidence. (And supporting documentary evidence itself may be forged, as it was for the Getty Kouros.)³¹ If The Forger's tale is too insecure, so are a great many statements made about objects on the antiquities market, statements which scholars then depend on when they seek to deduce the real truth about — amongst many research questions — on just which island was a particular distinctive kind of Cycladic figures made?

_

²⁹ Also, the information that The Forger passes to the Greek Police authorities has always proved to be valid

³⁰ Apostolides & Zirganos 2005, Apostolides 2006, 89.

³¹ Hoving 1996, 302.

Forgeries Can in Time Reveal Themselves: Will the 'Cycladic' Forgery So Do?

There are no grounds at all for optimism that the place of recent forgeries in the Cycladic corpus will ever be clarified.³² There is slight long-term cause for hope in a common pattern seen in forgeries. Inescapably the over-confident restorer and the faker who does more than reproduce exactly an ancient object is a person of their own, modern time. Rather than following directly the ancient model, they can and must follow the ancient model as it is seen by and through their own modern eye. As time passes, and the modern viewpoint shifts, so do the restorations and the forgeries begin to resemble not only, or even not so much, the ancient models as they do the aesthetics and values of the time when they were actually made. One sees this in, for example, the celebrated re-paintings of the Minoan frescoes at the Palace of Minos, Crete, commissioned by Sir Arthur Evans: these large-scale compositions, extrapolated from very small fragments and painted by the father-and-son team of artists both named Émile Gilliéron, now look Art Nouveau / Art Deco, strongly reflecting the aesthetic values of the time when they were created after Evans finished his excavations in 1905: they now look more 20th-century AD than BC in style.33 And the 'Fitzwilliam Goddess', the faked 'Minoan' marble statue of a snake-goddess, made probably in the 1920s or a little before, ³⁴ now also shows the same and particular aesthetic of that time. The same points may become evident in the Koutsoupis harpist at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York (see the following section) as the harpist itself becomes a centuries-old object.

-

³² The issue is raised by Berg 2019, 5 who links the creation of forgeries to the popularity of figurines.

³³ MacGillivray 2000; see also Gere 2009, and some interesting conclusions in Blakolmer 2006.

³⁴ Butcher and Gill 1993.

17 Forger's tale

The complexity of forging is revealed in an anecdote recounted by Leonard Woolley who recalled an incident in Crete during the 1920s.³⁵ Woolley went with Sir Arthur Evans and Duncan Mackenzie to hear the death-bed confession of one of the restorers of antiquities at Knossos:

"The police went, they raided, and they found exactly what he said, and they asked Evans to come and look, and I never saw so magnificent a collection of forgeries as those fellows had put together.

"There were things in every stage of manufacture. For instance, people had been recently astounded at getting what they call chryselephantine statuettes from Crete ... These men were determined to do that sort of thing, and they had got there everything, from the plain ivory tusk and then the figure rudely carved out, then beautifully finished, then picked out with gold. And then the whole thing was put into acid, which ate away the soft parts of the ivory giving it the effect of having been buried for centuries. And I didn't see that anyone could tell the difference."

Circumstantial evidence suggests that this incident took place in the spring of 1921.³⁶ It is a reminder that some of those involved with the forging process had intimate knowledge of the originals and thus were able to deceive the leading experts in the field.

So it may be that with the passing decades, perhaps centuries, the fake 'Cycladics' will reveal themselves by their characteristic late-20th-century traits. They will declare themselves to be Cycladic figures as Cycladic was visualized in the late 20th century because they bear traits inconsistent with the ancient Cycladic aesthetic. We do not yet recognize those traits, and so discriminate the fakes, because we are ourselves still close

³⁵ Woolley 1962, 21-23.

³⁶ Gill 2007, 68; see also Winstone 1990; Lapatin 2002, 168-70.

to the time of their forging. Time will out them and it will help if the forgeries prove to be few in the corpus.³⁷ But if they are many, even to the point of being the majority of the Cycladic corpus as we believe it to be today, it will be harder for them so to identify themselves. If the forgeries numerically dominate the whole corpus, then their types surely will dominate future understanding.

The Koutsoupis Harpist: A Recent Pastiche

Almost twenty years ago, Craxton and Warren identified a harpist - a musician and so a member of one of the worrying classes of Cycladic figurines (worrying because not enough come from secure archaeological contexts) - as indeed being a modern object. This harpist was made at an unknown date not long before January 1947 on Ios by a local sculptor named Angelos Koutsoupis, who had been commissioned by an Athenian antiquities dealer, Theodoros Zoumboulakis.³⁸ A sketch made of it by Koutsoupis luckily survives and using the sketch to match the two, Craxton and Warren identified it with good confidence as being the harpist now in the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York.³⁹

_

³⁷ About such fakes and the problems that they create for the Cycladic corpus, see Sherratt 2000, 31 n. 20, 32, 138 (under III.7).

³⁸ Craxton and Warren 2004.

³⁹ New York, MMA inv. no. 47.100.1: Mertens 2002, 16, fig. d. The identification of the "sketch" with the New York harpist is rejected by Getz-Gentle 2006, 8, although she relies on her personal opinion ("in my opinion the piece is not a forgery").



The 'Cycladic' harpist (acc. no. 47.100.1) at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York; the image is part of the Met's Open Access Policy, which allows the free distribution of the image.

This figure, now presented as authentic, is celebrated by the Museum as a masterpiece of its Greek collections.⁴⁰ Hemingway, the museum's current Curator in Charge of the Greek and Roman Department, has used the figure as illustrative of the museum's Aegean Bronze Age art.⁴¹ Maintaining its "authenticity", he perceives the statue was "an early predecessor of the professional performers of the heroic Mycenaean age who are alluded to in Homer's epic poems and in the rich tradition of

⁴⁰ Picón *et al.* 2007, 31, no. 4 (coloured plate), 409.

⁴¹ Hemingway 2012, 4, fig. 1, 16, fig. 21.

oral poetry in ancient Greece". It has been commended by Getz-Gentle for its merits.⁴² It had also been questioned as a possible modern forgery as long ago as 1963⁴³ – independently and long before the account of its making surfaced.⁴⁴

More recently Lawergren has explored the unusual features of the harpist. Concerns were raised by P. Getz-Preziosi and S.S. Weinberg relating to a "paint ghost" on the head were dismissed; they had argued its existence made a proof it is genuine since forgers did not know about these ghosts.⁴⁵ On this, Lawergren commented:

But Getz-Preziosi and Weinberg's argument is unconvincing since forgers may be as observant as (or more observant than) art historians, and abrasive cleaning agents might produce the alleged ghosts. To evaluate their argument, one needs to know the erosion mechanism and the possibility of modern imitations.⁴⁶

It is an important reminder that we must not assume that those creating works are unable to insert features that we might assume are genuine.

The question of paint and paint ghosts is a complex one. Museums in the early 20th century know that some, at least, of the figures had been painted following such reports as Wolters in 1891 and Bosanquet in 1896-7. Then Cycladic figures came to be admired for their pristine whiteness as if they were modernist 20th century sculptures in colour as well as in form. So it was that in the 1960s most of the Ashmolean figures, and also

⁴² Getz-Gentle 2006, 8.

⁴³ Aign 1963, 33, and n. 3; and see Lawergren 2000.

⁴⁴ For a recent endorsement of the harpist as authentic: Hemingway 2012, 16, "Although some scholars have questioned its authenticity, there are compelling reasons to accept the statuette as an ambitious early work of this rare type."

⁴⁵ Getz-Preziosi and Weinberg 1970.

⁴⁶ Lawergren 2000, 3.

the marble vessels, were cleaned to remove 'unwanted deposits'.⁴⁷ Soon, Renfrew's 1969 and Getz-Preziosi and Weinberg's 1970 articles prompted archaeologists again to think of paint, and perhaps for museums to wonder if that vigorous cleaning was correct.⁴⁸

Was the Koutsoupis harpist made innocently as a pastiche, or with deception in mind? Craxton and Warren comment, "We level no criticism against Koutsoupis; there is no evidence that he knew his commission was to be used as a forgery." But they also report what Koutsoupis also told Craxton in 1947: "that he had made quite a few Cycladic figures and that he placed them in a stream in Ios, which encrusted them with lime". This may bring into question the authenticity of those unusual Cycladic figures said to be from Ios.

Comparing the information related to the Koutsoupis harpist at the Metropolitan Museum of Art with The Forger's tale, there are some obvious similarities, but also differences: both accounts refer to the ways a patina was created on the surface of the figures; both accounts allow us to believe that, to at least some extent, Koutsoupis was creating figures with an intention to deceive, something that is unquestionable about The Forger and his accomplice. However, Koutsoupis was adding unprecedented characteristics to at least one figure he created (Met), while The Forger was copying characteristics from known authentic figures, in an attempt to avoid his products being detected as fakes. One of the ways that The Forger's tale can be valued is that it verifies that there were indeed groups of people working on creating pastiches resembling as much as possible characteristics of the authentic figures, while others, like Koutsoupis,

⁴⁷ Sherratt 2000, 131 n. 14, 154 under no. 7.24: 'Heavily cleaned in the late 1960s or early 1970s, possibly with a form of paint stripper'; 'marble was sometimes treated by bathing in alcohol'.

⁴⁸ Wolters 1891, 46-48; Bosanquet 1896-7, 67; Renfrew 1969; Getz-Preziosi and Weinberg 1970.

⁴⁹ Craxton and Warren 2004, 112.

were adding 'rare', more naturalistic ones, which eventually could give away more easily the true nature of these figures.

Early Cycladic Forgers and Forgeries

If the Koutsoupis harpist was so made innocently, it only became a fake when it was presented as genuine, for faking does not reside in the made object, but in how it is declared: a fake is offered as ancient and genuine, when it is modern and a pastiche. In truth, this figure may not be ancient and at the same time has never been a fake, and it is not a fake now. Suppose this is its story: it was made as a pastiche, it was sold as a pastiche, and as it was passed on, chanced to lose its story; then, the true account of it having been lost, it was recognized as being of Cycladic character by its immanent properties; identified therefore as ancient, it was again sold on or otherwise acquired by the Metropolitan. That is, it was never falsely presented. This is a generous story; a more likely one, we admit and ourselves fear, is that at some point it was falsely presented.

Since a fake is an object falsely presented, then the deception is not in the object or its making as such, but in the presentation, in how it is seen and described, or in how a would-be acquirer is allowed to themselves gain a false understanding. The object *itself* does not change when it is so treated. We think it is useful instead to use the more neutral word *pastiche*, as a name for the object which does not imply – as do false, forgery, copy, replica and other words often used –a certain attitude, a certain context for the physical thing.⁵⁰

review and clarification is needed – not a task for this paper.

_

⁵⁰ These varied terms – ambiguous, confused and confusing – further muddy already murky waters. A

Forgers in the Cyclades are now known to include Angelos Batsalis ('Niotis') (1885–1953) who started his faking career at least by the time of the First World War (1914–18). He is important: clearly his output means we cannot – alas! – have confidence that Cycladic figures which surfaced in – say – the 1930s, two decades before the post-war collecting boom, are safely sure to be genuine.⁵¹ It seems that the Athenian antiquities dealer Theodore Zoumboulakis was "requesting" the creation of Cycladic figures by an artisan to supply the market.⁵² Interestingly there is the suggestion that the creator of the "copies" was unaware of the commercial use of his creations,⁵³ illustrating the point just made that "fake" or "forgery" is not something residing in the object itself. Consistent with this, out of one group of three uncanonical figures which there are grounds to fear are fake, two had surfaced as early as 1925 (displayed at Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York) and the third in 1934, said to be from Ios (purchased from Zoumboulakis by Winifred Lamb for the Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge).⁵⁴

Dealing in Fake Cycladic Objects, Dealing in Illicit Cycladic Objects: The Protagonists

Nikolaos or Nikolas Koutoulakis was a Greek antiquities dealer. He was born in 1908 in the Cretan town of Archanes, a location significant for the Minoans, which he later partially looted, digging under his own house.⁵⁵ He was educated in the 1920s and 1930s in Paris, since his uncle, Manolis Segredakis, maintained an antiquities gallery

⁵¹ Marthari 2001.

⁵² Craxton and Warren 2004.

⁵³ Getz-Gentle 2006, 8.

⁵⁴ Gill 1999, 139. The figure was "given" to the museum by the Friends of the Fitzwilliam Museum. For finds from Ios: Arnott 1990.

⁵⁵ Sakellarakis 2005, 126-132.

there ('Gallerie Segradakis').⁵⁶ After Segredakis' death in 1948, Koutoulakis inherited the antiquities gallery, which is when he acquired his nicknames 'Nikola' or 'The French', even among the inhabitants of Archanes.⁵⁷ Koutoulakis studied archaeology⁵⁸ and opened a second antiquities gallery in Geneva, which was advertised in the final pages of the catalogue of the 1976 exhibition 'Kunst der Kykladen' in the Badisches Landesmuseum Karlsruhe.⁵⁹ 'Nikola' Koutoulakis also maintained warehouses in the Free Port of Geneva, gradually becoming the foremost dealer of (mainly) illicit antiquities in the international market,⁶⁰ "handling some of the greatest pieces of our time". ⁶¹ For example, among the four illicit antiquities repatriated to Greece in 2007 from the Getty museum in California was a marble archaic statue of a *kore* which Koutoulakis sold to Robin Symes and Christos Michaelides,⁶² before they sold it to the Getty.⁶³ Koutoulakis' involvement in the case became one of the most valuable arguments of the Greek state in its negotiations with the Getty.

'Nikola' never smuggled antiquities himself, but rather arranged for others to export antiquities illegally. ⁶⁴ However, Koutoulakis also became a supplier of fakes to some of the greatest museums and private antiquities collections formed after WWII, such as the Getty⁶⁵ and the collections of Charles Gillet (see above p. x) and George Ortiz, ⁶⁶

⁵⁶ Pournara 2008, 1. For more on Koutoulakis: Gill 2019.

⁵⁷ Sakellarakis 2005, 121.

 $^{^{58}}$ Stated by Manolis Koutoulakis, son of 'Nikola', in Pournara 2008, 1.

⁵⁹ Thimme 1976, 614.

⁶⁰ Ortiz in Apostolides 2006, 84-88; Krosney 2006, 66; 88; 93.

⁶¹ Peter Sharrer in Krosney 2006, 80.

⁶² Robin Symes' interrogation in 2003, p. 434.

⁶³ Zirganos 2008, 15-16.

⁶⁴ Krosney 2006, 85-86; Pournara 2008, 1; Zirganos 2008, 17.

⁶⁵ Marion True's examination in 2001, 81-82.

⁶⁶ Ortiz in Apostolides 2006, 90; Ortiz in Apostolides and Zirganos 2005.

and he was involved in some of the most famous cases of illicit antiquities, including the so-called Gospel of Judas.⁶⁷ During the 1950s alone, Koutoulakis sold 16 antiquities to the Louvre Museum and donated to the same museum 19 more,⁶⁸ while the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York acquired many masterpieces from him.⁶⁹

His son, Manolis Koutoulakis (who also studied archaeology), was arrested on 10th September 1983 in the port of Patras, in Greece, about to export illegally 16 Mycenaean antiquities to Italy; for this he was sentenced on May 29, 1984 to 20 months' imprisonment by the Greek court "for illegal ownership and attempting to export illegally a considerable quantity of antiquities of great value". Manolis Koutoulakis was absent from the court procedure due to treatment he was receiving in a mental institution in Switzerland, after he claimed that he suffered a severe psychological episode. In the court procedure due to the suffered a severe psychological episode.

⁶⁷ Krosney 2006, 174; Zirganos 2008, 16. The Gospel of Judas, partly broken up and dispersed, is a Coptic manuscript, said to have been found by a looter or "treasure-hunter" near El Minya, Egypt in the late 1970s. It was then possessed by a dealer in Cairo who became a victim of a theft. Among the stolen objects was the Gospel of Judas. This haul was smuggled into Geneva and finally reached 'Nikola' Koutoulakis, then, with the help of Perdios, the Gospel of Judas was retrieved by the Cairo dealer after negotiations with Koutoulakis – who kept the rest of the theft's objects. It was then offered to various libraries and finally acquired by the Maecenas Foundation of Basel in about 2005, and published with high publicity in the National Geographic in 2006 (Cockburn 2006). Perdios was a good friend of 'Nikola' Koutoulakis and of another illicit antiquities dealer, Frieda Tchakos-Nussberger, who was also involved in the acquisition of the Gospel of Judas. See Krosney (2006) for some of the tangled and nasty story of the Gospel of Judas which is still a confused affair. Koutoulakis' and Tchakos' names appear in the "organigram" (a handwritten note depicting the Italian branch of the international illicit antiquities network) -as Robert Hecht's supplier-linked to *The Medici Conspiracy* (Watson and Todeschini 2006, 336) and Tchakos-Nussberger used to ran Galerie Nefer in Zurich. Galerie Nefer supplied some of the material that was returned to Italy by the J. Paul Getty Museum (Gill and Chippindale 2007).

⁶⁸ Sakellarakis 2005, 133-134.

⁶⁹ Zirganos 2008, 17.

⁷⁰ Sakellarakis in Apostolides 2006, 79; Zirganos 2008, 16–17 and fig. 1.

⁷¹ Zirganos, 2008, 17.

When 'Nikola' Koutoulakis died in 1996, an anonymous donor presented a rare Minoan Larnax as a gift to the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York. The museum accepted the donation and ever since the object has been on exhibition accompanied by a label which reads: 'Anonymous Gift,⁷² in memory of Nicolas and Mireille Koutoulakis [his wife], 1996 (1996.521a, b)'. This case is one of the numerous examples of other unprovenanced antiquities that passed through the hands of Nikola Koutoulakis, exactly in the same way unprovenanced authentic or fake – Cycladic or 'Cycladic' - figures passed through his hands. Regarding the involvement of 'Nikola' Koutoulakis in looted Cycladic figures, "we know that one of the ringleaders of the looting that took place in Keros was Nikola Koutoulakis". In 2014 a Cycladic figure 88cm long was repatriated to Greece from the Badisches Landesmuseum in Karlsruhe; tis provenance was 'Nikola' Koutoulakis.

It is unsurprising that the same dealer should handle both looted and fake antiquities. They both fall into the same category, of desirable objects offered to the market about which the truth cannot be said openly, and we guess the two classes of objects move about together. Any savvy buyer – even forty years ago, most certainly now – will be aware that there are looted objects, and that practically any genuine Cycladic figure being sold outside Greece must have been unlawfully exported. So the savvy seller will not be expected to provide a full story – origin, archaeology, early history of when and how it left Greece – of a looted figure. This expected reticence or silence enables

_

⁷² Although the museum's website still states in the object's entry that the larnax was an 'Anonymous Gift', a few lines below, the 'provenance' section reads: 'From the late 1920s – early 1930s, private collection, Austria; purchased by Emmanuel Koutoulakis from a private collector, Austria; until 1996, collection of Emmanuel Koutoulakis, Geneva, Switzerland; acquired in 1996, gift of Emmanuel, Ariane, and Daphne Koutoulakis' ('Nikola's' children, emphasis added).

⁷³ Getz-Gentle 2008; Papamichelakis and Renfrew 2010; Renfrew in Pournara 2011, 1; Gill 2019:71.

⁷⁴ Zoumpoulakis 2014, (online publication). For the figure see Thimme 1977, no. 151.

⁷⁵ Renfrew in Pournara 2011, 1.

⁷⁶ For the retelling of how an object makes its journey through the market: Gill 2012.

fakes to be mixed with the genuine as no full story has to be concocted, as it was for the Getty *Kouros*. This common pattern has recently been seen yet again in Chinese antiquities newly "surfacing" outside China, which are characteristically found to be a mixture of genuine and first-rate pastiches – presented together as underground exports for which it is impossible to give any kind of full account.

Epilogue

Many licit and illicit, fake and authentic figures, related one way or another to the Cycladic civilization, have been circulating in the international market and in state and private collections for more than one hundred years and without reliable provenance information that could prove their legality and authenticity. In this study, we presented the first known first-person account of how fake 'Cycladic' figures were produced and sold during the 1980s and the 1990s. We referred to the new knowledge this narrative is offering, both in valuable provenance information and paths that fakes have taken into the market, which would otherwise remain forever lost. We offered the possibility of future research to colleagues who may choose to test the method narrated also in details, although we ourselves, due to our research background, chose to examine other elements of the 'Forger's tale, comparing them with relevant knowledge that proved to be true, indicating that his narrative must be true.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

AIGN, B. P. 1963. "Die Geschichte der Musikinstrumente des ägäischen Raumes bis um 700 vor Christus." PhD diss. Univ. Frankfurt am Main.

AMINEDDOLEH, LEILA. 2009. "Phoenix Ancient Art and the Aboutaams in Hot Water Again." Art & Cultural Heritage Law Newsletter 1 (5):13-15.

- APOSTOLIDES, ANDREAS. 2006. Αρχαιοκαπηλία και εμπόριο αρχαιοτήτων. Αθήνα: εκδόσεις Άγρα.
- ARNOTT, ROBERT. 1990. "Early Cycladic Objects from Ios Formerly in the Finlay Collection." *BSA* 85:1-14.
- BERG, INA. 2019. The Cycladic and Aegean Islands in Prehistory. London: Routledge.
- BLAKOLMER, FRITZ. 2006. "The Arts of Bronze Age Crete and the European Modern Style: Reflecting and Shaping Different Identities." In Yannis Hamilakis & Nicoletta Momigliano, eds., *Archaeology and European Modernity: Producing and Consuming the "Minoans"*, *Creta Antica* 7:219–40.
- BOSANQUET, R.C. 1896-7. "Notes from the Cyclades." *Annual of the British School at Athens* 3: 52-70.
- BRODIE, NEIL. 2014. "The Antiquities Market: It's all in a Price." *Heritage and Society* 7(1): 32-46.
- BUTCHER, KEVIN & DAVID W.J. GILL. 1993. "The Director, the Dealer, the Goddess, and her Champions: The Acquisition of the Fitzwilliam Goddess." *American Journal of Archaeology* 97: 383-401.
- CHIPPINDALE, CHRISTOPHER & DAVID W.J. GILL. 2000. "Material Consequences of Contemporary Classical Collecting." *American Journal of Archaeology* 104: 463–511.
- COCKBURN, ANDREW. 2006. "The Judas Gospel". National Geographic (May): 78-95.

CRAXTON, J. & PETER WARREN. 2004. "A Neocycladic Harpist?" In *Material Engagements: Studies in Honour of Colin Renfrew*, edited by Neil Brodie & Catherine Hills: 109–13. Cambridge: MacDonald Institute.

- DOUMAS, CHRISTOS G. 2002. Silent Witnesses: Early Cycladic Art of the Third Millennium BC. New York: Alexander S. Onassis Public Benefit Foundation (USA).
- FELLMANN, B. 1981. Frühe Idole in den Münchner Antikensammlungen. Münchner Jahrbuch der Bildenen Kunst 32:7–24.
- FREEMAN, ADAM L. 2009. Swiss Gallery Surrenders EU2 Million in Antiquities to Italy, *Bloomberg*. 19 May (available at http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=a1km7Oa1Z2S U&refer=home, last accessed 8/11/2015).
- GERE, CATHY. 2009. *Knossos and the Prophets of Modernism*. Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.
- GETZ-GENTLE, PAT. 2001. *Personal Styles in Early Cycladic Sculpture*. Madison: The University of Wisconsin Press.
- ____. 2006. Ancient Art of the Cyclades. New York: Katonah Museum of Art.
- ____. 2008. "The Keros Hoard revisited." *American Journal of Archaeology* 112: 299-305.
- GETZ-PREZIOSI, PAT. 1987. Early Cycladic Art in North American Collections.

 Richmond: Virginia Museum of Fine Arts.

GETZ-PREZIOSI, PAT, AND S. S. WEINBERG. 1970. "Evidence for Painted Details in Early Cycladic Sculpture." *Antike Kunst* 13:4-16.

- GILL, DAVID W. J. 1999. "Winifred Lamb and the Fitzwilliam Museum." In *Classics in*19th and 20th Century Cambridge: Curriculum, Culture and Community,
 edited by Christopher A. Stray: 135-56. Cambridge Philological Society suppl.
 vol. 24. Cambridge: Cambridge Philological Society.
- _____. 2002. Review of Pat Getz-Gentle, Personal Styles in Early Cycladic Sculpture.

 Madison: The University of Wisconsin Press, 2001. Bryn Mawr Classical

 Review. https://bmcr.brynmawr.edu/2002/2002.09.24/>
- ____. 2007. Review of Peggy Sotirakopoulou, *The "Keros Hoard": Myth or Reality?*Searching for the Lost Pieces of a Puzzle. Athens: N.P. Goulandris Foundation

 Museum of Cycladic Art, 2005. American Journal of Archaeology 111:163-65.
- _____. 2012. "The Material and Intellectual Consequences of Acquiring the Sarpedon Krater." In *All the King's Horses: Essays on the Impact of Looting and the Illicit Antiquities Trade on our Knowledge of the Past*, edited by P. K. Lazrus and A. W. Barker: 25-42. Washington DC: Society for American Archaeology.
- ____. 2019. "Context Matters: Nicolas Koutoulakis, the Antiquities Market and Due Diligence." *Journal of Art Crime* 22: 71–78.
- GILL, DAVID W.J., & CHRISTOPHER CHIPPINDALE. 1993. "Material and Intellectual Consequences of Esteem for Cycladic Figures." *American Journal of Archaeology* 97(4):601–59.

GILL, DAVID W.J. & CHRISTOPHER CHIPPINDALE. 2007. "From Malibu to Rome: Further Developments on the Return of Antiquities." *International Journal of Cultural Property* 14:205–40.

- HEMINGWAY, SEÁN. 2012. "Art of the Aegean Bronze Age." Bulletin of the Metropolitan Museum of Art 69.
- HOVING, THOMAS. 1996. False Impressions: The Hunt for Big-time Art Fakes. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- IRAN ENGLISH RADIO. 2013. Persian relics in US, *Iran English Radio*. 13 July (available at http://english.irib.ir/radioculture/iran/history/item/150483-persian-relics-in-us, last accessed 11 April 2016).
- KAMBANIS, PANAGIOTIS, ed., 2002. *The George Tsolozidis Collection*. Thessaloniki: "Evropi", Thessaloniki's Cultural Society.
- Krosney, Herbert. 2006. The Lost Gospel: The Quest for the Gospel of Judas Iscariot. Washington (DC): National Geographic.
- LAPATIN, KENNETH D.S. 2002. Mysteries of the Snake Goddess: Art, Desire, and the Forging of History. Boston (MA): Houghton Mifflin.
- LAWERGREN, Bo. 2000. "A "Cycladic" Harpist in the Metropolitan Museum of Art."

 Source: Notes in the History of Art 20(1) (Fall 2000): 2–9. [Special issue on Forgeries of Ancient Art. Guest Editor: Oscar White Muscarella.]
- MACGILLIVRAY, JOSEPH A. 2000. Minotaur: Sir Arthur Evans and the Archaeology of the Minoan Myth. New York: Hill and Wang.

MARLOWE, ELIZABETH. 2013. Shaky Ground: Context, Connoisseurship and the History of Roman Art. Debates in Archaeology. London: Bloomsbury.

- MARTHARI, MARISA 2001. "Altering Information from the Past: Illegal Excavations in Greece and the Case of the Early Bronze Age Cyclades." In *Trade in Illicit Antiquities: The Destruction of the World's Archaeological Heritage*, edited by N. Brodie, J. Doole & C. Renfrew: 161–72. Cambridge: McDonald Institute.
- MARTHARI, MARISA, RENFREW, A. COLIN & MICHAEL J. BOYD (eds.) 2017. Early

 Cycladic Sculpture in Context. Oxford and Philadelphia: Oxbow.
- MERTENS, JOAN R. 2002. "Cycladic Art in the Metropolitan Museum, Antecedents and Acquisitions." In *Silent Witnesses: Early Cycladic Art of the Third Millennium BC*, edited by C.G. Doumas:14-19. New York: Alexander S. Onassis Public Benefit Foundation (USA).
- ORTIZ, GEORGE. 1994. In Pursuit of the Absolute: Art of the Ancient World from the George Ortiz Collection. Berne: Benteli-Werd Publishers.
- PAPADATOS, YIANNIS & EPAMINONDAS VENIERIS. 2017. "An Experimental Approach to the Manufacture of Cycladic-type Figurines with Folded Arms: Preliminary Observations. In *Early Cycladic Sculpture in Context*, edited by Marisa Marthari, Colin Renfrew and Michael J. Boyd: 483–90. Oxford and Philadelphia: Oxbow.
- PAPAMICHELAKIS, GIORGOS & COLIN RENFREW. 2010. "Hearsay about the 'Keros Hoard'". *American Journal of Archaeology* (114.1), 181-185.

PICÓN, CARLOS A., JOAN R. MERTENS, E. J. MILLEKER, C. S. LIGHTFOOT & S. HEMINGWAY. 2007. Art of the Classical World in the Metropolitan Museum of Art: Greece, Cyprus, Etruria, Rome. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

- POURNARA, MARGARITA. 2008. Έμποροι αρχαίων σε χρυσές εποχές, Καθημερινή (Τέχνες και Γράμματα). 8 June.
- . 2011. Η Αρχαιοκαπηλία αλλοιώνει την Ιστορία, Καθημερινή. 22 May.
- RENFREW, A. COLIN. 1969. "The Development and Chronology of the Early Cycladic Figurines." *American Journal of Archaeology* 73:1–32.
- ____. 2003. Figuring It Out. What Are We? Where Do We Come From? The Parallel

 Visions of Artists and Archaeologists. London: Thames & Hudson.
- RENFREW, A. COLIN, MARISA MARTHARI, AND MICHAEL BOYD. 2016. "The Curse of Looting: The Scourge of Cycladic Archaeology." In *Cycladic Society 5000 Years Ago*, edited by N. Stampolidis: 117–23. Athens: Museum of Cycladic Art.
- SAKELLARAKIS, YANNIS. 2005. Γεύση μιας προϊστορικής ελιάς. Αθήνα: εκδόσεις Ίκαρος.
- SHERRATT, SUSAN. 2000. Catalogue of Cycladic Antiquities in the Ashmolean Museum: The Captive Spirit. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- SILVER, VERNON. 2010. The Lost Chalice. New York (NY): Harper.
- SOTIRAKOPOULOU, PEGGY. 2005. The "Keros Hoard": Myth or Reality? Searching for the Lost Pieces of a Puzzle. Athens: N.P. Goulandris Foundation Museum of Cycladic Art.

THE MANHATTAN DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. 2021. Statement of facts (on the Steinhardt case). New York: The Manhattan District Attorney's Office.

- THIMME, JÜRGEN, ed. 1976. Kunst und Kultur der Kykladeninseln im 3. Jahrtausend v. Chr. Karlsruhe: C.F. Müller.
- TSIROGIANNIS, CHRISTOS. 2013 (Unpublished Ph.D thesis). *Unravelling the hidden* market of illicit antiquities: the Robin Symes–Christos Michaelides network and its international implications. University of Cambridge.
- TSIROGIANNIS CHRISTOS, DAVID W.J. GILL AND CHRISTOPHER CHIPPINDALE.

 Forthcoming. Pastiches, a corrupt corpus, and the future study of Cycladic figures. *International Journal of Cultural Property*.
- Watson, Peter, and Cecilia Todeschini. 2006. The Medici Conspiracy: The Illicit

 Journey of Looted Antiquities from Italy's Tomb Raiders to the World's Great

 Museums. New York: Public Affairs.
- WATSON, PETER, AND CECILIA TODESCHINI. 2007 (revised edition). The Medici

 Conspiracy: The Illicit Journey of Looted Antiquities from Italy's Tomb

 Raiders to the World's Great Museums. New York: Public Affairs.
- WINSTONE, H. VICTOR F. 1990. Woolley of Ur: The Life of Sir Leonard Woolley.

 London: Secker & Warburg.
- Wolters, P. 1891. Marmorkopf aus Amorgos, Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Athenische Abteilung 16:46-58.
- WOOLLEY, LEONARD. 1962. As I Seem to Remember. London: Allen and Unwin.

ZIRGANOS, NIKOLAS. 2008. Αρχαιοκάπηλοι υπεράνω πάσης υποψίας, & 7, Κυριακάτικη Ελευθεροτυπία. 22 June.

ZOUMPOULAKIS, IOANNIS. 2014. Επιστροφή αρχαιοτήτων στην Ελλάδα από το Κρατικό Μουσείο της Βάδης, www.tovima.gr . 9 June.

Unpublished sources

Examination of Marion True by the Italian public prosecutor Dr Paolo – Giorgio Ferri, 20-21/6/2001, Getty Center, Los Angeles, California, U.S.A.

Interrogation of Robin J. Symes by the Italian public prosecutor Dr Paolo – Giorgio Ferri, 28/3/2003, Rome, Italy.

Media

APOSTOLIDES, ANDREAS & ZIRGANOS NIKOLAS. 2005. The Network (documentary).