

Kent Academic Repository

Berthier, Juliette M., Newton-Fisher, Nicholas E. and Wheeler, Brandon C (2022) Studying primate emotions out of the lab: Adding an infrared thermographic camera in the basic fieldwork kit of primatologists? In: European Federation of Primatology, Arnhem, Netherlands.

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/98689/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

This document version Presentation

DOI for this version

Licence for this version UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).



Studying primate emotions out of the lab: Adding an infrared thermographic camera in the basic fieldwork kit of primatologists?

Juliette M. Berthier, Nicholas E. Newton-Fisher, Brandon C. Wheeler School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, United Kingdom (Email: jmb205@kent.ac.uk)

University of School of Anthropology and Conservation

1. INTRODUCTION

- Infrared thermography (IRT) is pioneered as a fully non-invasive, contactfree technique to examine changes in emotional states
- IRT has been used successfully to measure emotion-related changes of surface skin temperature in many domestic species and captive nonhuman primates
- IRT has been well-tested in lab and captivity
- It is time to go out of the lab to address new questions in more ecologically-relevant contexts
- However, in the wild, many environmental factors and constraints linked \bullet to a highly specific equipment can affect the accuracy of the IRT measurements

3. VARYING ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS...



- This study aims at highlighting these factors and giving some solutions to control their potential impacts on IRT measurements

2. CHOOSING THE INFRARED THERMOGRAPHIC CAMERA

- Trade-off between the needs of the study and the budget;
- Many options on the market;
- 2 examples at both sides of the price spectrum:



Small & light to carry Lower image quality but

satisfying enough at short

- Higher image quality & sensitivity
- IRT pictures & videos (30 Hz)
- Auto focus / laser meter
- Professional Analysis software and flexible
- Wet monkey Wind No wind Factors partially controllable **Distance to focal animal** 1. Taking many pictures of monkeys at different distances Angle 2. Measuring the number of pixels • N = 11 adult females Distance measured with a laser meter Nbr. of pixels measured by drawing an ellipse around the body at different angles 4600 **U** 44000 On the ground 2-3 m above the ground More than 3 m above the ground Nbr. of pixels = 26767-> no recording => Distance = 2.1 m Distance to focal animal (m) Air temperature & humidity

Lower sensitivity

distance (< 3 m)

- IRT pictures only (no IRT
- CONS

PROS

- videos)
 - Focus manually
 - Analysis Software quite basic and not flexible

4. FEW EXAMPLES OF POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS...

- Very expensive (~20 000 €)
- Quite heavy to carry



(connected to distance to the target) **1.** Using a Temperature/humidity logger = known relative air temperature/humidity at any time 2. Taking pictures of a reference at different distances = control for these factors on IRT measurements 27.8 °

27.7 °C @ 1 m

Emotions and Communication Time (mm:ss) 00:00 00:010 00:028 00:124 00:28 00:28 00:28 00:28 00:26 00:36 00:36 00:36 00:36 00:40 00:56 01:00 01:00)1:12)1:16)1:28)1:28)1:28)1:36)1:40)1:56)1:56)1:56)1:56)1:0 Production of alarm calls □ Condition 1 Condition 2 15.60 s; 10 calls 0,5 ົບ Python spotted

Emotions and (social) Behaviours

Reference:

• known emissivity ($\varepsilon = 1$)

Hanging at monkey's height

• Camera at 1, 2 & 3 m from the

• Taken after each IRT recording

reference (using a laser meter)





Thermography and Reproduction







Temperature change of the nasal region and the alarm call production after the focal animal has spotted a fake python. **Condition 1**: the focal animal heard the playback of contact calls of an affiliate groupmate before seeing the model python. **Condition 2**: the focal animal heard the playback of contact calls of a non-affiliate groupmate before seeing the model python. The baseline was recorded 30 s before the beginning of the experiment. Data extracted from IRT videos recorded with an IRT camera FLIR T560 on N = 1 adult female crested macaque.



Acknowledgments: This fieldwork has been conducted on wild but habituated crested macaques (Macaca nigra) in collaboration with the Macaca Nigra Project at the Tangkoko Nature Reserve (North Sulawesi, Indonesia). We thank the Indonesian State Ministry of Research and Technology (RISTEK) and the Department for the Conservation of Natural Resources (BKSDA), for permission to conduct research in the Tangkoko Nature Reserve. We also thank the research and field assistants who participated in this data collection: Indira N. Qomariah, Joaquim G. Lambaihang, Casa A. Kaunang and Indra R. Kaletuang.



IRT images and corresponding pictures in the visible range of the same individual (an adult female) taken at two different times of her swelling cycle

5. CONCLUSION

- IRT is **reliable** and **accurate** to study emotions in wild non-human primates in tropical forest
- Some environmental factors are not controllable = this technique is not suited to arboreal species and species living in open areas But other factors are partially controllable if rigorously assessed during data collection
- > Thanks to this technique, new questions in more ecologicallyrelevant contexts can be addressed