



# Kent Academic Repository

**(2023) *Technology For the Greater Good? The Influence of (Ir)responsible Systems on Human Emotions, Thinking and Behavior.* [Edited Journal]**

## Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/98227/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

## The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2023.1341692>

## This document version

Publisher pdf

## DOI for this version

## Licence for this version

CC BY (Attribution)

## Additional information

## Versions of research works

### Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

### Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in **Title of Journal**, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

### Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact [ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Roberto Therón,  
University of Salamanca, Spain

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Andrea Szymkowiak  
✉ a.szymkowiak@abertay.ac.uk

RECEIVED 20 November 2023  
ACCEPTED 22 November 2023  
PUBLISHED 13 December 2023

CITATION  
Szymkowiak A, Shepherd LA, Nurse JRC,  
Brauner P and Ziefle M (2023) Editorial:  
Technology for the greater good? The  
influence of (ir)responsible systems on human  
emotions, thinking and behavior.  
*Front. Comput. Sci.* 5:1341692.  
doi: 10.3389/fcomp.2023.1341692

COPYRIGHT  
© 2023 Szymkowiak, Shepherd, Nurse, Brauner  
and Ziefle. This is an open-access article  
distributed under the terms of the [Creative  
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,  
distribution or reproduction in other forums is  
permitted, provided the original author(s) and  
the copyright owner(s) are credited and that  
the original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with these  
terms.

# Editorial: Technology for the greater good? The influence of (ir)responsible systems on human emotions, thinking and behavior

Andrea Szymkowiak<sup>1\*</sup>, Lynsay A. Shepherd<sup>1</sup>, Jason R. C. Nurse<sup>2</sup>,  
Philipp Brauner<sup>3</sup> and Martina Ziefle<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Design and Informatics, Abertay University, Dundee, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>School of Computing, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Human-Computer Interaction Center, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

## KEYWORDS

human-centered technology, artificial intelligence, user experience, social media, robotics, user characteristics, security and privacy

## Editorial on the Research Topic

[Technology for the greater good? The influence of \(ir\)responsible systems on human emotions, thinking and behavior](#)

Advanced technological systems have a tremendous impact on our lives, organizations, and societies (Stephanidis et al., 2019). We may be checking our communications on our smart phones in the morning, accessing our social media sites and operating smart systems on-the-go, while reading the latest articles based on AI-powered recommendations. Arguably every aspect of our lives is entangled with technology, be it how we communicate and interact with each other, how we entertain ourselves, how we maintain our households, safety, security, and wellbeing, how we manage our resources, or how we travel, work, or educate ourselves. Like never before, social media platforms provide a universal means of networking with others, spanning the globe, with immediate impact, and their access is only limited by cultural or political frontiers. Digital characters and robots provide us with social support and companionship, thus exceeding their traditional role of providing utilitarian value. In line with Reeves and Nass's (1996) seminal book "The Media Equation," the notion of computers as social actors continues to inspire future work, especially as digital entities appear to behave like sentient beings in increasingly sophisticated ways. Our decision-making may be influenced by recommender systems or social media, and smart systems take over tasks that we ourselves performed in the past. The ubiquitous presence of technology systems affects societies in many ways, raising interesting philosophical perspectives (Van de Poel, 2020).

Against this background, research on human-technology interactions has barely kept pace, resulting in numerous articles published concurrently with new technological inventions. Technology use is inextricably linked with some form of user experience. However, the consequences of human-technology interactions are not always clearcut. To the extent that we shape technology, it shapes us, and often in unanticipated ways. AI, due to its malleable nature, can be compromised, e.g., as in the case of Microsoft's chat bot "Tay," which produced inflammatory posts within 1 day of usage (Neff and Nagy, 2016). There is well-documented evidence regarding social media use and its (adverse) effects on psychological wellbeing (Twenge, 2019). It will be of interest to observe whether/how

law and policy makers regulate the design of choice architectures and monitor technology-induced incidents in the future to prevent harm. With the proliferation of such technologies, it can be argued that new challenges, but also opportunities, will arise for human (and non-human) users of such systems.

This Research Topic on “*Technology for the greater good?*” comprises a collection of papers exploring novel work in human-technology interaction, with the aim of identifying new challenge spaces and topics. The scope of the Research Topic, given the volume of innovations, is necessarily non-exhaustive, but aims to provide an overview of pertinent issues that affect current society and individuals.

The first group of studies explores perceived privacy and security aspects of technology. [Belen-Saglam et al.](#) studied disclosure of sensitive data and findings suggest that the use of conversational agents detrimentally affected disclosure in the health domain, but less so in the financial domain. [Hildebrandt et al.](#) explored users’ privacy concerns in mobile forensics, with users showing a preference for the release of less personal data, such as geo-spatial data over more personal data such as photographs and favoring automated rather than human evaluations. Finally, [Brauner et al.](#) examined public perceptions on the use of AI, reporting that cybersecurity threats were perceived to be highly likely and least liked. People scoring higher on dispositional trust had more favorable views of AI compared to people with lower trust. The findings highlight the intricacies of user decision-making and user acceptance in relation to handling sensitive material, which should be considered by creators of technology.

The second group of contributions investigates user experiences or behavior when interacting with robots or robot process automation. Employing a lab-based study, [Maalouly et al.](#) reported that users were more altruistic toward a humanoid robot after sustained conversation, which suggests that anthropomorphic technology can elicit pro-social behavior. [Filgueiras et al.](#) showed that, while multi-faceted, the user experience after prolonged use with robot process automation reflected user acceptance and adoption, especially where automation provided utilitarian value to the user.

The final set of articles highlights technology interactions with people’s dispositions, states, and experiences. In relation to procrastination, [Sümer and Büttner](#) reported that higher boredom proneness, lower self-control and lower perseverance were predictive of different types of online procrastination. With a focus on social media and mental health, [Scarpulla et al.](#) showed that more active social media use was associated with increased anxiety and stress as well as poorer emotion recognition skill, while passive social media use was not associated with these variables. [Protzko and Schooler](#) demonstrated that people were more inclined to view technological-societal shifts as corruptive of today’s youth if they had not encountered this technology themselves during their formative years, pointing to the role that personal experience plays

for beliefs about society and the technology it is exposed to. [Xie and Liu](#) demonstrated that trust in social media platforms relates to perceived information quality, perceived privacy, a sense of social belonging and sense of self-esteem, and positive emotion. The work by [Kaminger et al.](#) revealed that dispositional gratitude can act as a protective factor when using social media by moderating the relationship of social comparison, and malicious and general envy. The experiences of and interactions with human-centered technology are multi-faceted and give rise to equally diverse research findings.

In conclusion, we thank all involved in the preparation of this Research Topic, contributing from various disciplines, countries, and contexts. The contributions underscore the importance of considering user perceptions and experiences as pivotal factors in steering future human-technology innovations. We hope that these developments ultimately contribute to the creation of systems that assist and benefit their users and society, removing the question mark in the title of this Research Topic—in other words, in technology for the greater good.

## Author contributions

AS: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. LS: Writing – review & editing. JN: Writing – review & editing. PB: Writing – review & editing. MZ: Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Neff, G., and Nagy, P. (2016). Talking to bots: symbiotic agency and the case of Tay. *Int. J. Commun.* 10, 4915–4931.
- Reeves, B., and Nass, C. (1996). *The Media Equation: How People Treat Computers, Television, and New Media like Real People and Places*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Stephanidis, C., Salvendy, G., Antona, M., Chen, J. Y., Dong, J., Duffy, V. G., et al. (2019). Seven HCI grand challenges. *Int. J. Human-Comput. Interact.* 35, 1229–1269. doi: 10.1080/10447318.2019.1619259

Twenge, J. M. (2019). More time on technology, less happiness? Associations between digital-media use and psychological well-

being. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 28, 372–379. doi: 10.1177/0963721419838244

Van de Poel, I. (2020). Three philosophical perspectives on the relation between technology and society, and how they affect the current debate about artificial intelligence. *Human Affairs* 30, 499–511. doi: 10.1515/humaff-2020-0042