1	Logged tropical forests have amplified and diverse ecosystem energetics

3	Yadvinder Malhi ¹ , Terhi Riutta ^{1,2} , Oliver Wearn ³ , Nicolas J. Deere ⁴ , Simon L. Mitchell ⁴ , Henry Bernard ⁵ ,
4	Noreen Majalap ⁶ , Reuben Nilus ⁶ , Zoe G. Davies ⁴ , Robert M. Ewers ⁷ , Matthew J. Struebig ⁴
5	
6	¹ Environmental Change Institute, School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, South Parks
7	Road, Oxford, UK
8	² Department of Geography, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
9	³ Fauna & Flora International, Vietnam Programme, 118 Tu Hoa, Tay Ho, Hanoi, Vietnam
10	⁴ Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology (DICE), School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of
11	Kent, Canterbury, UK
12	⁵ Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia
13	⁶ Forest Research Centre, Sabah Forestry Department, Sandakan, Sabah, Malaysia
14	⁷ Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Ascot, UK
15	
-	
16	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity and
16 17	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity and high biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degraded
16 17 18	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity and high biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degraded ecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear:
16 17 18 19	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity and high biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degraded ecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear: shifts in the strength and resilience of key ecosystem processes in large suites of species have rarely been
16 17 18 19 20	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity and high biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degraded ecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear: shifts in the strength and resilience of key ecosystem processes in large suites of species have rarely been assessed in an ecologically integrated and quantitative framework. Here we adopt an ecosystem
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity and high biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degraded ecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear: shifts in the strength and resilience of key ecosystem processes in large suites of species have rarely been assessed in an ecologically integrated and quantitative framework. Here we adopt an ecosystem energetics lens to gain new insight into the impacts of tropical forest disturbance on a key integrative
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity andhigh biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degradedecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear:shifts in the strength and resilience of key ecosystem processes in large suites of species have rarely beenassessed in an ecologically integrated and quantitative framework. Here we adopt an ecosystemenergetics lens to gain new insight into the impacts of tropical forest disturbance on a key integrativeaspect of ecological function: food pathways and community structure of birds and mammals. We focus
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity andhigh biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degradedecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear:shifts in the strength and resilience of key ecosystem processes in large suites of species have rarely beenassessed in an ecologically integrated and quantitative framework. Here we adopt an ecosystemenergetics lens to gain new insight into the impacts of tropical forest disturbance on a key integrativeaspect of ecological function: food pathways and community structure of birds and mammals. We focuson a gradient spanning old growth and logged forests and oil palm plantations in Borneo. In logged
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity andhigh biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degradedecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear:shifts in the strength and resilience of key ecosystem processes in large suites of species have rarely beenassessed in an ecologically integrated and quantitative framework. Here we adopt an ecosystemenergetics lens to gain new insight into the impacts of tropical forest disturbance on a key integrativeaspect of ecological function: food pathways and community structure of birds and mammals. We focuson a gradient spanning old growth and logged forests and oil palm plantations in Borneo. In loggedforest there is a 2.5-fold increase in total resource consumption by both birds and mammals compared to
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Old growth tropical forests are widely recognised as immensely important for their biodiversity andhigh biomass ¹ . Conversely, selectively logged tropical forests are usually characterised as degradedecosystems ² . However, whether logging results in a degradation in ecosystem functions is less clear:shifts in the strength and resilience of key ecosystem processes in large suites of species have rarely beenassessed in an ecologically integrated and quantitative framework. Here we adopt an ecosystemenergetics lens to gain new insight into the impacts of tropical forest disturbance on a key integrativeaspect of ecological function: food pathways and community structure of birds and mammals. We focuseon a gradient spanning old growth and logged forests and oil palm plantations in Borneo. In loggedforest there is a 2.5-fold increase in total resource consumption by both birds and mammals compared toold growth forests, likely driven by greater resource accessibility and vegetation palatability. Most major

Conversion of logged forest into oil palm plantation results in the collapse of most energetic pathways.
Far from being degraded ecosystems, even heavily logged forests can be vibrant and diverse ecosystems
with enhanced levels of ecological function.

30

31 Human-modified forests, such as selectively logged forests, are often characterised as degraded 32 ecosystems because of their altered structure and low biomass. The concept of ecosystem degradation 33 can be a double-edged sword. It rightly draws attention to the conservation value of old growth systems 34 and the importance of ecosystem restoration. However, it can also suggest that human-modified 35 ecosystems are of low ecological value and therefore, in some cases, suitable for conversion to 36 agriculture (such as oil palm plantations) and other land uses ^{3–5}. 37 38 Selectively logged and other forms of structurally altered forests are becoming the prevailing vegetation 39 cover in much of the tropical forest biome ². Such disturbance leads to a frequent decline in old growth 40 specialist species¹, and also in non-specialist species in some contexts⁶⁻⁸. However, species-focused 41 biodiversity metrics are only one measure of ecosystem vitality and functionality, and rarely consider 42 the collective role that suites of species play in maintaining ecological functions⁹. 43 44 An alternative approach is to focus on the energetics of key taxonomic groups, and the number and 45 relative dominance of species contributing to each energetic pathway. Energetic approaches to 46 examining ecosystem structure and function have a long history in ecosystem ecology¹⁰. Virtually all 47 ecosystems are powered by a cascade of captured sunlight through an array of autotroph tissues and into 48 hierarchical assemblages of herbivores, carnivores and detritivores. Energetic approaches shine light on 49 the relative significance of energy flows among key taxa and provide insight into the processes that 50 shape biodiversity and ecosystem function. The common currency of energy enables diverse guilds and 51 taxa to be compared in a unified and physically meaningful manner: dominant energetic pathways can

be identified, and the resilience of each pathway to the loss of individual species can be assessed.
Quantitative links can then be made between animal communities and the plant-based ecosystem
productivity on which they depend. The magnitude of energetic pathways in particular animal groups
can often be indicators of key associated ecosystem processes, such as nutrient cycling, seed dispersal and
pollination, or trophic factors such as intensity of predation pressure or availability of resource supply,

57 all unified under the common metric of energy flux^{11,12}.

58

59 Energetics approaches have rarely been applied in biodiverse tropical ecosystems because of the range of 60 observations they require^{11–13}. Such analyses rely on: (i) population density estimates for a very large 61 number of species; (ii) understanding of the diet and feeding behaviour of the species; and (iii) reliable 62 estimation of net primary productivity, NPP. Here, we take advantage of uniquely rich datasets to apply 63 an energetics lens to examine and quantify aspects of the ecological function and vitality of habitats in 64 Sabah, Malaysia, which comprise old growth forests, logged forest and oil palm plantation (Figure 1; 65 ED1). Our approach is to calculate the short-term equilibrium production or consumption rates of food 66 energy by specific species, guilds or taxonomic groups. We focus on three taxonomic groups (plants, 67 birds and mammals) that are frequently used indicators of biodiversity and are relatively well-68 understood ecologically.

69

We ask the following questions: (1) what fraction of primary productivity is consumed by birds and mammals, and how does this vary along the disturbance gradient?; (2) how and why do various food energetic pathways in mammals and birds, and the diversity of species contributing to those pathways, vary along the disturbance gradient? To estimate the density of 104 mammal and 144 bird species in each of the three habitat types, we aggregated data from 882 camera sampling locations (a total of 42,877 camera trap-nights), 508 bird point count locations, 1488 small terrestrial mammal trap locations (34058 live trap nights) and 336 bat trap locations (Figure 1; ED1). We then calculate Daily Energetic

77	Expenditure for each species based on their body mass, assign each species to a dietary group and
78	calculate total food consumption in energy units. For primary productivity, we relied on 34 plot-years
79	(summation of plots x number of years each plot is monitored) of measurements of the key components
80	of NPP (canopy litterfall, woody growth, fine root production) using the protocols of the Global
81	Ecosystem Monitoring Network ^{14–16} across old growth (n=4), logged (n=5) and oil palm (n=1) plots. This
82	dataset encompasses over 14,000 measurements of litterfall, 20,000 tree diameter measurements and
83	2700 fine root samples.

84

Overall bird species diversity is maintained across the disturbance gradient and peaks in the logged forest; for mammals there is also a slight increase in the logged forest, followed by rapid decline in the oil palm (Figure 2b, c). Strikingly, both bird and mammal biomass increases substantially (144% and 231% respectively) in the logged forest compared to the old growth forest, with mammals contributing ~75% of total (bird plus mammal) biomass in both habitat types (Figure 2b, c).

90

91 The total flow of energy flux through consumption is amplified across all energetic pathways by a factor 92 of 2.5 (2.2-3.0; all ranges reported are 95% confidence intervals) in logged forest relative to old growth 93 forest. In all three habitat types, total energy intake by birds is much greater than by mammals (Figure 94 2d, e; Table ED1). Birds account for 67%, 68% and 90% of the total direct consumption by birds and 95 mammals combined in old growth forests, logged forests and oil palm respectively. Although mammal 96 biomass is higher than bird biomass in the old growth and logged forests, the metabolism per unit mass 97 is much higher in birds because of their small body size; hence in terms of the energetics and 98 consumption rates, the bird community dominates. The total energy intake by birds alone increases by a 99 factor of 2.6 (2.1-3.2) in the logged forest relative to old growth forest. This is mainly driven by a 2.5-100 fold (1.7-2.8) increase in foliage-gleaning insectivory (the dominant energetic pathway), and most other 101 feeding guilds also show an even larger increase (Figure 2d, 3). However, total bird energy intake in the

oil palm drops back to levels similar to those in the old growth forest, with a collapse in multiple guilds.
For mammals, there is a similar 2.4-fold (1.9-3.2) increase in total consumption when going from old
growth to logged forest, but this declines sharply in oil palm plantation. Most notable is the 5.7-fold
(3.2-10.2) increase in the importance of terrestrial mammal herbivores in the logged forests relative to
old growth. All four individual old growth forest sites show consistently lower bird and mammal
energetics than the logged forests (Figure ED5).

108

109 The fraction of NPP flowing through the bird and mammal communities increases by a factor of 2.1 110 (1.5-3.0) in logged forest relative to old growth forest. There is a modest increase in net primary 111 productivity (NPP) in logged forest relative to old growth (Figure 2a) because increased NPP in patches 112 of relatively intact logged forest is offset by very low productivity in more structurally degraded areas 113 such as former logging platforms^{14,15}. In oil palm plantations, oil palm fruits account for a large 114 proportion of NPP, although a large fraction of these is harvested and removed from the ecosystem¹⁷. As 115 a proportion of NPP, 1.62% (1.35-2.13%) is directly consumed by birds and mammals in the old growth 116 forest; this rises to 3.36% (2.57-5.07%) in the logged forest but drops to 0.89% (0.57-1.44%) in oil palm (Figure 1f, g; Table ED2). 117

118

119 If all invertebrates consumed are herbivores or detritivores (*i.e.* at a trophic level of 2.0), and trophic 120 efficiency is 10%¹⁰, the total amount of NPP supporting the combined bird and mammal food intake 121 would be 9%, 16% and 5% for old growth forest, logged forest and oil palm respectively. However, if 122 the mean trophic level of consumed invertebrates is 2.5 (*i.e.* a mix of herbivores and predators), the 123 corresponding proportions would be 27%, 51% and 17% (Figure 1f, g). As insectivory is the dominant 124 feeding mode for the avian community, these numbers are dominated by bird diets. For birds in the old 125 growth forests, 0.35% of NPP supports direct herbivory/frugivory, but around 22% of NPP (assumed 126 invertebrate trophic level 2.5) is indirectly required to support insectivory. The equivalent numbers for

birds in logged forest are 0.83% and 46%. Hence birds account for a much larger indirect consumption
of NPP. Bird diet studies in old growth and logged forest in the region suggest that consumed
invertebrates have a mean trophic level of 2.5 ¹⁸ (K. Sam, unpublished analysis), indicating that the
higher-end estimates of indirect NPP consumption (i.e. around 50% in logged forests) are plausible.

132 It is interesting to compare such high fractions of NPP to direct estimates of invertebrate herbivory. 133 Scans of tree leaf litter from these forests suggest that just 7.0% of tree canopy leaf area (1-3% of total 134 NPP) is removed by tree leaf herbivory ^{14,16}, but such estimates do not include other pathways available 135 to invertebrates, including herbivory of the understory, above- and below-ground sap-sucking, leaf-136 mining, fruit and wood-feeding, and canopy, litter and ground-layer detritivory. An increase in 137 invertebrate biomass and herbivory in logged forest compared to old growth forest has previously been 138 reported in fogging studies in this landscape ¹⁹. Such high levels of consumption of NPP by invertebrates 139 could have implications on ecosystem vegetation biomass production, firstly, suggesting that 140 invertebrate herbivory has a major influence on recovery from logging, and, secondly, that insectivorous 141 bird densities may exert substantial indirect controls on ecosystem recovery.

142

143 The distributions of energy flows among feeding guilds are remarkably stable among habitat types 144 (Figure 3), indicating the amplified energy flows in the logged forests do not distort the overall trophic 145 structure of vertebrate communities. Overall bird diet energetics are dominated by insectivory, which 146 accounts for a strikingly invariant 66%, 63% and 66% of bird energetic consumption in old growth 147 forest, logged forest and oil palm respectively. Foliage-gleaning dominates as a mode of invertebrate 148 consumption in all three habitat types, with frugivory the second most energetically important feeding 149 mode (26%, 27% and 19% respectively). Mammal diet is more evenly distributed across feeding guilds 150 but frugivory (31%, 30%, 30%) and folivory (24%, 38%, 26%) dominate. Small mammal insectivores are 151 probably undersampled (see Methods) so the contribution of mammal insectivory may be slightly

152	greater than that estimated here. The apparent constancy of relative magnitude of feeding pathways
153	across the intact and disturbed ecosystems is noteworthy and not sensitive to plausible shifts in feeding
154	behaviour between habitat types (see supplementary discussion). There is no evidence of a substantial
155	shift in dominant feeding guild: the major feeding pathways present in the old growth forest are
156	maintained in the logged forest.
157	
158	When examining change at species level in the logged forests, the largest absolute increases in bird food
159	consumption were in arboreal insectivores and omnivores (Figure 4a, ED2a). In particular, this change
160	was characterised by significant increases in the abundance of bulbul species (<i>Pycnonotus</i> spp.). No bird
161	species showed a significant or substantial reduction in overall energy consumption. In the oil palm
162	plantation, total food consumption by birds was less than in logged forests, but similar to old growth
163	forests. However, this was driven by very high abundance of a handful of species, notably a single
164	arboreal omnivore (yellow-vented bulbul Pycnonotus goiavier) and three arboreal insectivores
165	(Mixornis bornensis, Rhipidura javanica; Copsychus saularis), whilst energy flows through most bird
166	species were greatly reduced (Figure 4b, ED2b).
167	
168	For mammals, the increase in consumption in logged forests is dominated by large terrestrial herbivores
169	increasing by a factor of 5.7 (3.2-10.2), particularly sambar deer (<i>Rusa unicolor</i>) and Asian elephant
170	(<i>Elephas maximus</i> , Figure 4a, ED2b, ED3), along with small omnivores, predominantly rodents (native
171	spiny rats, non-native black rat; Figure 4). A few rainforest species show a strong decline (e.g. greater
172	mouse deer Tragulus napu and brown spiny rat Maxomys rajah). In the oil palm, most mammal species
173	collapse (Figure 4b) and the limited consumption is dominated by a few disturbance-tolerant habitat
174	generalists (e.g. red muntjac Muntiacus muntjak, black rat Rattus rattus, civets), albeit at lower densities
175	than observed in old growth forest (Figure ED2).
176	

177 With very few exceptions, the amplified energy flows in logged forest appear to retain the same level of 178 resilience as in old growth forest. The diversity and dominance of species within any pathway can be a 179 measure of the resilience of that pathway to loss of species. We assessed energetic dominance within 180 individual pathways by defining an Energetic Shannon-Wiener index (ESWI) to examine distribution of 181 energy flow across species; low ESWI indicates a pathway with high dependence on a few species and 182 hence potential vulnerability (Figure 3). The overall ESWI across guilds does not differ between the old 183 growth and logged forest (t_{2,34}= -0.363, p=0.930), but does decline substantially from old growth forest to 184 oil palm (t_{2,34}= -3.826, p=0.0015), and from logged forest to oil palm (t_{2,34}= -3.639, p=0.0025; linear mixed 185 effects models, with habitat type as fixed effect and guild as random effect; for model coefficients see 186 Table S3).

187

188 Hence for birds, the diversity of species contributing to dominant energetic pathways is maintained in 189 the transition from old growth to logged forests but declines substantially in oil palm. Mammals 190 generally show lower diversity and ESWI than birds, but 6 out of 10 feeding guilds maintain or increase 191 ESWI in logged forest relative to the old growth forests but collapse in oil palm (Figure 3). Terrestrial 192 herbivory is the largest mammal pathway in the logged forest but is dependent on only four species and 193 is probably the most vulnerable of the larger pathways: a few large mammals (especially sambar deer) 194 play a major role in the logged forest. In parallel, bearded pigs (*Sus barbatus*), the only wild suid in 195 Borneo, form a significant and functionally unique component of the terrestrial omnivory pathway. 196 These larger animals are particularly sensitive to anthropogenic pressures such as hunting, or associated 197 pathogenic pressures as evidenced by the recent precipitous decline of the bearded pig in Sabah due to 198 an outbreak of Asian swine fever (after our data were collected) ²⁰.

199

Vertebrate populations across the tropics are particularly sensitive to hunting pressure²¹. Our study site
 has little hunting, but as a sensitivity analysis we explored the energetic consequences of 50% reduction

202 in population density of those species affected by targeted and/or indiscriminate hunting (Figure ED4). 203 Targeted hunted species include commercially valuable birds, and gun-hunted mammals (bearded pig, 204 ungulates, banteng and mammals with medicinal value). Indiscriminately hunted species include birds 205 and mammals likely to be trapped with nets and snares. Hunting in the logged forests lowers both bird 206 and mammal energy flows but still leaves them at levels higher than in faunally intact old growth 207 forests. Such hunting brings bird energetics levels close to (but still above) old growth forests. For 208 mammals, however, even intensively hunted logged forests seem to maintain higher energetic flows 209 than the old growth forests. Hence only very heavy hunting is likely to "offset" the amplified energetics 210 in the logged forest.

211

212 The amplified energetic pathways in our logged forest probably arise as a result of bottom-up trophic 213 factors including increased resource supply, palatability and accessibility. The more open forest structure 214 in logged forest results in more vegetation being near ground-level ^{22,23} and hence more accessible to 215 large generalist mammal herbivores, which show the most striking increase of the mammal guilds. The 216 increased prioritisation by plants of light-competition and therefore rapid vegetation growth strategies 217 in logged forests results in higher leaf nutrient content and reduced leaf chemical defences against 218 herbivory^{24,25}, along with higher fruiting and flowering rates¹⁹ and greater clumping in resource supply⁹. 219 This increased resource availability and palatability likely supports high invertebrate and vertebrate 220 herbivore densities²⁵. Top-down trophic factors might also play a role in amplifying the energy flows, 221 through mechanisms such as increased protection of ground-dwelling or nesting mammals and birds 222 from aerial predators in the dense vegetation ground layer. This might partially explain the increased 223 abundance of rodents but there is little evidence of trophic release at this site because of the persisting 224 high density of mammal carnivores²⁶. Overall, the larger number of bottom-up mechanisms and surge in 225 invertebrate consumption suggests that increased resource supply and palatability largely explains the 226 amplification of consumption pathways in the logged forest. An alternative possibility is that the

amplified vertebrate energetics do not indicate amplified overall animal energetics but rather a large
diversion of energy from unmeasured invertebrate predation pathways (e.g. parasitoids); this seems
unlikely but warrants further exploration.

230

231 The proportion of NPP consumed by mammals and birds in oil palm shows a large decline relative to 232 logged forests ¹². Mammal populations collapse because they are more vulnerable and avoid humans, and 233 there is no suite of mammal generalists that can step in ^{27,28}. Birds show a more modest decline, to levels 234 similar to those observed in old growth forests, as there is a broad suite of generalist species that are able 235 to adapt to and exploit the habitat types across the disturbance gradient, and because their small size and 236 mobility renders them less sensitive to human activity²⁹. There is a consistent decline in the oil palm in 237 ESWI for birds and especially for mammals, indicating a substantial increase in ecosystem vulnerability 238 in many pathways.

239

240 In conclusion, our analysis demonstrates the tremendously dynamic and ecological vibrant nature of the 241 studied faunally intact logged forests, even heavily and repeatedly logged forests such as those found 242 across Borneo. It is likely that the patterns and basic ecological energetics we describe are general to 243 most tropical forests; amplification of multiple ecosystem processes after logging has also been reported 244 for logged forests in Kenya⁹, but detailed analyses are needed for a range of tropical forests to elucidate 245 the importance of biogeographic, climatic or other factors. We stress that our findings do not diminish 246 the importance of protecting structurally intact old growth forests, but rather questions the meaning of 247 degradation by shining a new light on the ecological value of logged and other structurally "degraded" 248 forests, reinforcing their significance to the conservation agenda³⁰. We have shown that a wide diversity 249 of species not only persist but thrive in the logged forest environment. Moreover, such ecological 250 vibrancy likely enhances the prospects for ecosystem structural recovery. In terms of faunal intactness, 251 our study landscape is close to a best-case scenario because hunting pressures were low. If logged forests

252	can	be protected from heavy defaunation, our analysis demonstrates they can be vibrant ecosystems,
253	prov	iding many key ecosystem functions at levels much higher than old growth forests.
254		
255	Refe	rences
256		
257	1.	Gibson, L. et al. Primary forests are irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. Nature 478,
258		378–381 (2011).
259	2.	Malhi, Y., Gardner, T. A., Goldsmith, G. R., Silman, M. R. & Zelazowski, P. Tropical Forests in the
260		Anthropocene. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 39, 125–159 (2014).
261	3.	Meijaard, E. & Sheil, D. A logged forest in Borneo is better than none at all. <i>Nature</i> vol. 446 974
262		(2007).
263	4.	Bergius, M., Benjaminsen, T. A., Maganga, F. & Buhaug, H. Green economy, degradation
264		narratives, and land-use conflicts in Tanzania. World Dev. 129, 104850 (2020).
265	5.	Giam, X., Clements, G. R., Aziz, S. A., Chong, K. Y. & Miettinen, J. Rethinking the 'back to
266		wilderness' concept for Sundaland's forests. Biol. Conserv. 144, 3149–3152 (2011).
267	6.	Burivalova, Z., Sekercioğlu, C. H. & Koh, L. P. Thresholds of logging intensity to maintain tropical
268		forest biodiversity. Curr. Biol. 24, 1893–1898 (2014).
269	7.	França, F. M., Frazão, F. S., Korasaki, V., Louzada, J. & Barlow, J. Identifying thresholds of logging
270		intensity on dung beetle communities to improve the sustainable management of Amazonian
271		tropical forests. Biol. Conserv. 216, 115-122 (2017).
272	8.	Bicknell, J. E., Struebig, M. J., Edwards, D. P. & Davies, Z. G. Improved timber harvest techniques
273		maintain biodiversity in tropical forests. Curr. Biol. 24, R1119-20 (2014).
274	9.	Schleuning, M. et al. Forest fragmentation and selective logging have inconsistent effects on
275		multiple animal-mediated ecosystem processes in a tropical forest. <i>PLoS One</i> 6 , e27785 (2011).
276	10.	Lindeman, R. L. The Trophic-Dynamic Aspect of Ecology. <i>Ecology</i> 23, 399–417 (1942).

- 277 11. Odum, H. T. & Pigeon, R. F. A tropical rain forest: a study of irradiation and ecology at El Verde,
- 278 Puerto Rico. http://www.sidalc.net/cgi-
- bin/wxis.exe/?IsisScript=ORTON.xis&method=post&formato=2&cantidad=1&expresion=mfn=08714
 3 (1970).
- 12. Barnes, A. D. *et al.* Consequences of tropical land use for multitrophic biodiversity and ecosystem
 functioning. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5351 (2014).
- 13. Reagan, D. P. & Waide, R. B. *The Food Web of a Tropical Rain Forest*. (University of Chicago
 Press, 1996).
- 14. Riutta, T. *et al.* Logging disturbance shifts net primary productivity and its allocation in Bornean
 tropical forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 2913–2928 (2018).
- 15. Malhi, Y. *et al.* The Global Ecosystems Monitoring network: Monitoring ecosystem productivity
 and carbon cycling across the tropics. *Biol. Conserv.* 253, 108889 (2021).
- Riutta, T. *et al.* Major and persistent shifts in below-ground carbon dynamics and soil respiration
 following logging in tropical forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 27, 2225–2240 (2021).
- 291 17. Kotowska, M. M., Leuschner, C., Triadiati, T., Meriem, S. & Hertel, D. Quantifying above- and
- belowground biomass carbon loss with forest conversion in tropical lowlands of Sumatra
- 293 (Indonesia). *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **21**, 3620–3634 (2015).
- 18. Edwards, D. P. *et al.* Trophic flexibility and the persistence of understory birds in intensively
- 295 logged rainforest. *Conserv. Biol.* **27**, 1079–1086 (2013).
- 296 19. Ewers, R. M. *et al.* Logging cuts the functional importance of invertebrates in tropical rainforest.
 297 *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6836 (2015).
- 298 20. Ewers, R. M., Nathan, S. K. S. & Lee, P. A. K. African swine fever ravaging Borneo's wild pigs.
- 299 *Nature* vol. 593 37–37 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-01189-3 (2021).
- 300 21. Benítez-López, A. *et al.* The impact of hunting on tropical mammal and bird populations. *Science*
- **301 356**, 180–183 (2017).

302	22.	Döbert, T. F., Webber, B. L., Sugau, J. B., Dickinson, K. J. M. & Didham, R. K. Logging, exotic plant
303		invasions, and native plant reassembly in a lowland tropical rain forest. <i>Biotropica</i> 50 , 254–265
304		(2018).
305	23.	Milodowski, D. T. et al. The impact of logging on vertical canopy structure across a gradient of
306		tropical forest degradation intensity in Borneo. J. Appl. Ecol. (2021) doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13895.
307	24.	Swinfield, T. et al. Imaging spectroscopy reveals the effects of topography and logging on the leaf
308		chemistry of tropical forest canopy trees. Glob. Chang. Biol. 26, 989–1002 (2020).
309	25.	Brodie, J. F. & Giordano, A. Lack of trophic release with large mammal predators and prey in
310		Borneo. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> 163 , 58–67 (2013).
311	26.	Wearn, O. R. et al. Mammalian species abundance across a gradient of tropical land-use intensity:
312		A hierarchical multi-species modelling approach. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> 212 , 162–171 (2017).
313	27.	Deere, N. J. et al. High Carbon Stock forests provide co-benefits for tropical biodiversity. J. Appl.
314		<i>Ecol.</i> 55 , 997–1008 (2018).
315	28.	Mitchell, S. <i>et al.</i> Severity of deforestation mediates biotic homogenisation in an island archipelago.
316		<i>Ecography</i> (2022) doi:10.1111/ecog.05990.
317	29.	Reynolds, G., Payne, J., Sinun, W., Mosigil, G. & Walsh, R. P. D. Changes in forest land use and
318		management in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo, 1990–2010, with a focus on the Danum Valley region.
319		Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 366, 3168–3176 (2011).
320	30.	Asner, G. P. et al. Mapped aboveground carbon stocks to advance forest conservation and recovery
321		in Malaysian Borneo. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> 217 , 289–310 (2018).
322	31.	Martin, A. R. & Thomas, S. C. A reassessment of carbon content in tropical trees. <i>PLoS One</i> 6,
323		e23533 (2011).
324		
325		

326 Figure Legends

Figure 1: Map of the study sites in Sabah Borneo, showing locations of net primary productivity (NPP)plots and biodiversity surveys in old growth forest, logged forest and oil palm plantations. The insetshows the location of the four sites within Sabah Shade of green indicates old growth (dark green), twicelogged (intermediate green) or heavily logged (light green) forests. The camera and trap grid includescameras and small mammal traps. White areas indicate oil palm plantations.

332

333 Figure 2 Variation of energy production and consumption along the disturbance gradient from old 334 growth forest (OG) through logged forest to oil palm (OP): total net primary productivity (NPP) along 335 the gradient (mean of intensive 1 ha-plots; n=4 for OG, n=5 for Logged and n=1 for OP, error bars are 336 95% confidence intervals derived from propagated uncertainty in the individually measured NPP 337 components), with individual data points overlaid (a); total body mass (left axis) and number of species 338 counted (right axis) of birds (b); and mammals (c); total direct energetic food intake by birds (d) and 339 mammals (e); and percentage of NPP directly consumed by birds (f) and mammals (g); in (b-e), body 340 mass and energetics were estimated for individual bird and mammal species, with the bars showing the 341 sum. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals derived from 10,000 Monte Carlo simulation estimates 342 incorporating uncertainty in body mass, population density, the daily energy expenditure equation, 343 assimilation efficiency of the different food types, composition of the diet of each species, and NPP. In (f) and (g), the white bars denote the % of NPP supporting bird and mammal food intake when the mean 344 345 trophic level is assumed to be 2.5, with the error bars denoting mean trophic levels of 2.4 and 2.6. Note 346 the log scale of the y axis in (f) and (g).

347

Figure 3: Magnitude of consumption energetic pathways in old growth forest, logged forest and oil palm.
Size of circles indicates magnitude of energy flow, and colour indicates birds or mammals. S = number of
species, E = Energetic Shannon-Wiener index, an index of species redundancy and, therefore, resilience

- 351 (high values indicate high redundancy; see main text). For clarity, guilds with small energetic flows are
- 352 not shown, but are listed in Supplementary Table 4 Figure art: Jacob Bentley

353

354	Figure 4: Changes in energy consumption by species in logged forest relative to old growth forest (a);
355	and oil palm relative to old growth forest (b). The twenty species experiencing the largest increase (red)
356	and decrease (blue) in both habitat types are shown. Bird species are shown in lighter tone and mammal
357	species in darker tone. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals, derived from 10,000 Monte Carlo
358	simulation estimates incorporating uncertainty in body mass, population density, the daily energy
359	expenditure equation, assimilation efficiency of the different food types and composition of the diet of
360	each species.

- 361
- 362 Methods
- 363 Field sites

364 Data from logged forests were collected across the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystems (SAFE) Project 365 Landscape (4° 43' N, 117° 35' E) in Sabah, Malaysia ³¹, a lowland mosaic landscape of logged forest and oil 366 palm plantation (Figure 1). The logged forest had been through one round of selective logging (removing 367 113 m³ ha⁻¹) in the 1970s and an additional cumulative volume of 37-66 m³ ha⁻¹ during the subsequent 368 rotations up until the early 2000s, which is similar to the mean extracted volume of 152 m³ ha⁻¹ within a 369 larger, 220,000 ha area in Sabah ^{32,33}. The most heavily logged plots have been logged over four times, 370 while the less logged plots only twice, hence logging intensity was high throughout the landscape. Data 371 for oil palm plantations were collected from adjoining oil palm estates. Data for the old growth forests 372 (Figure 1) were collected from the Braintian-Tantulit Virgin Jungle Reserve (VJR, a large fragment 373 adjoining the logged forest landscape), and also in three other old growth forest reserves in Sabah, the 374 Maliau Basin Conservation Area (vegetation, birds and mammals), the Danum Valley Conservation Area 375 (vegetation and birds) and Sepilok Forest Reserve (birds only). Data collection took place between 2010

and 2017. The sample sites spanned the gradient of logging intensity and biomass observed across the
landscape (Figure ED1). The study sites have experienced very low hunting pressure compared to other
areas of Borneo due to difficult access from nearby towns and cultural factors, including the relatively
limited forest use among local populations ²⁷. Data on every species surveyed or estimated are given in
Supplementary Data 1.

381

382 Vegetation and NPP surveys

383 Net primary productivity was measured in five logged 1 ha plots in the SAFE Project area with varying 384 intensity of logging (five years of data), in four old growth forest 1 ha plots in the Maliau Basin (two 385 plots, four years of data) and Danum Valley Conservation Areas (two plots, two years of data) 14,16, and 386 one 0.36 ha mature oil palm plot (two years of data), following the standardised protocols of the Global 387 Ecosystems Monitoring (GEM) network ¹⁵ (Figure 1, ED1). We quantified the following NPP 388 components: woody NPP (stems, coarse roots and branches), canopy NPP (leaves, twigs and 389 reproductive parts) and fine root NPP¹⁰. All plots had at least two tree censuses for quantifying stem and 390 coarse root NPP. Canopy NPP (litter traps) and fine root NPP (root ingrowth cores) were monitored 391 monthly and quarterly, respectively. Oil palm plantation NPP estimates were based on palm censuses 392 and allometry with height, monthly counts of flower bunches, fruit bunches and attached and pruned 393 fronds combined with a one-off survey of their mass, and quarterly harvest of the root ingrowth cores.

394

395 Mammal surveys

To characterise the terrestrial medium/large non-volant mammal community, we obtained detection/nondetection data from remotely operated digital camera traps (Reconyx HC500, Wisconsin, USA) between May 2011and December 2017 ^{27,34}. Camera traps were deployed at 882 locations, stratified across old growth forest (N=236), logged forest (N=539) and oil palm (N=107). Two survey designs were adopted: (i) a hierarchical, clustered design whereby cameras were placed 23-232 m apart in grids (42,877 camera trap 401 nights (CTN), with cameras deployed 49 consecutive nights on average ³⁵), and (ii) a systematic design 402 with pairs of cameras spaced more broadly over the landscape at stations >1 km apart (11,403 CTN, with 403 cameras deployed 47 consecutive nights on average³⁴). In both cases, cameras were deployed 20–50 cm off 404 the ground, disturbance to vegetation was kept to a minimum, and no baits or lures were used.

406 Terrestrial small mammals were surveyed between May 2011 and July 2014 using locally-made steel-407 mesh traps, deployed at 1,488 locations stratified across the habitat types (432, 768 and 288 in old 408 growth forest, logged forest and oil palm, respectively³⁵). Trap locations were clustered into 1.75 ha 409 trapping grids of 12 x 4 locations with 23 m spacing. Each location was sampled using two traps (spaced 410 5-20 m apart) placed at or near ground level (0-1.5 m) and baited with oil palm fruit. Traps were 411 checked for seven consecutive mornings and captured individuals were marked using a subcutaneous 412 passive inductive transponder tag before being released at the capture location^{27,35}. Some trapping grids 413 were sampled more than once (14 of 31 grids) and the total sampling effort was 34,058 trap nights. 414

For volant mammals we used bat capture data from harp traps set in forests between April 2011 and June 2012 ³³. Bats were captured at 42 sampling points in each of 12 sites (3 old growth forest, 9 logged forest), in traps set 50-150 m apart. Up to seven traps were set across forest trails and logging skids each night and then moved to a new position the following day. Bats were marked with unique forearm bands or wing biopsies before release so that recaptured individuals could be identified and removed from analyses. No comparable data were available for oil palm as harp traps are ineffective in open habitats.

421

405

422 *Bird surveys*

Avian point counts were conducted across 356 locations spanning forests and surrounding oil palm estates,
with sites separated by 180-220 m ³⁶. Each count involved a single experienced observer (SLM) recording
all species seen and heard within an unlimited distance over a 15-minute period, including birds flying

over. Four counts were conducted at each site between 05:00 and 11:00 on mornings without rain between
2014 and 2016. Sites were sampled at mean intervals of 72 days between first and last visits. Three species
of swift (*Aerodramus maximus*, *A. salangana* and *A. fuciphagus*) that cannot be reliably separated in most
field conditions were collectively considered as *Aerodramus* spp.

430

431 Density estimation

432 For the terrestrial medium/large mammals, we estimated density at each camera trap point using the 433 Random Encounter Model (REM) ³⁷. This approach uses information about the size of the camera trap 434 detection zone, and the movement speeds of animals, in order to correct the trapping rate data (number 435 of animal passes per unit time) and estimate density. Specifically, the parameters required for REM 436 include, for each species: (i) the activity level (i.e., proportion of 24 hr diel cycle spent active and available 437 for detection); (ii) movement speed when active; (iii) effective detection angle of camera traps; (iv) 438 effective detection distance of camera traps; and (v) the trapping rate. Activity levels were estimated based 439 on the timestamps of the camera trap detections³⁸, whilst movement speeds and the detection zone 440 parameters were estimated based on animal location data recovered from the camera trap image sequences. 441 This was possible because we 'calibrated' both camera trap locations (using an object of known size, *i.e.*, a 442 1 m pole) and the specific camera trap model that we used (by taking pictures of objects of known size at 443 known distances from the camera). This allowed us to recover the distance and angle of animals in image 444 sequences and thereby estimate animal speed when active³⁹. The effective detection angle and distance 445 were estimated using an adapted distance sampling approach ³⁸. We implemented the REM using multi-446 species Bayesian approaches, in which species are treated as random effects and estimates for rare species, 447 with only sparse data available, become possible by 'borrowing' information from the more common 448 species ⁴⁰. Separate multi-species models (with land-use type included as a covariate) for activity levels, 449 speeds and the detection zone parameters were used to estimate the posterior distributions for each species 450 in each land use. These posterior distributions were then combined with the trapping rate data in order

- 451 to estimate density, with bootstrapping of the data providing the uncertainty estimates. The final density
- 452 estimates are broadly comparable with published estimates for other sites in the region.

453

454 To estimate terrestrial small mammal densities⁴¹, we used spatially explicit capture-recapture modelling 455 (SECR ⁴²). This modelling framework explicitly accounts for the fact that some individuals with home 456 ranges at the edge of a trapping grid may not always be available for capture. The SECR approach therefore 457 controls for variation in the effective sampling area of a trapping grid that might occur (e.g. across the 458 disturbance gradient). Separate models for each land-use type were fit in the R package 'secr' ⁴³ using 459 default parameters (i.e., a Poisson-distribution of animal home-range centres and a half-normal detection 460 function) and no covariates. A buffer of 100 m around the trap locations defined the region of model 461 integration. Sufficient data were available to estimate density for 14 species of small mammal in old growth 462 and logged forest. There were too few captures in oil palm to allow for model fitting.

463

464 Unlike the terrestrial mammals, bat data were not acquired via a repeated survey design. Therefore, 465 densities were derived based on a 20m detection radius (i.e., 0.126 ha) around each trap, and estimates 466 calculated as the total counts of each species per cumulative detection area in each habitat type.

467

We estimated mean local abundance of birds as a function of per capita detection using the Royle-Nichols model ⁴⁴. Prior to analysis, species-specific detection histories were constructed by pooling detection/nondetection data into discrete sampling occasions according to site visit. Our modelling framework described abundance and detection using categorical habitat-specific intercepts (old growth forest, logged forest and oil palm), incorporating species-specific slopes and intercepts, drawn as random effects from a common community-level distribution. Model specification and checking procedures followed established protocols ³⁴. We scaled modelled bird abundance (number of individuals within the effective sampling 475 area: 7,854 m² buffer around each point count) to density per km² post-hoc using a conversion factor of
476 0.785.

477

478 Expert judgement and independent estimates

479 For some other mammal species that could not be reliably sampled by camera traps or small animal traps, 480 for example due to obligately arboreal habitat use (e.g. some primate and squirrel species) or migratory 481 behaviour (e.g. Asian elephant *Elephas maximus*), we relied on estimates based on encounter rates with 482 these species during the course of fieldwork, or on independent studies in the same study area 483 (Supplementary Data 1), e.g. for Bornean orangutan (Pongo pygmaeus)⁴⁵. Asian elephant densities in 484 logged forest and oil palm were estimated based on the observed behaviour of the single 15-elephant herd 485 in the SAFE landscape, and for old growth forest as an average of the low densities reported in Maliau 486 and the higher values densities in other Sabah old growth forests⁴⁶. Due to bias introduced by highly 487 mobile bird life-histories, modelled densities of five species of hornbill (Anthracoceros malayanus, 488 Anorrhinus galeritus, Buceros rhinoceros, Rhinoplax vigil, Rhyticeros undulatus) as well as great argus 489 (Argusianus argus) and crested serpent-eagle (Spilornis cheela) were corrected using available information 490 from the literature. Home-range estimates of each hornbill species in each habitat type were centred 491 around the mean value and scaled to one-unit standard deviation. This was multiplied by a conversion 492 factor of 465.3 ha based on the mean home-range reported across the seven species (radio telemetry 493 studies; Supplementary Data 1) to calculate scaled home range estimates for each species. Per hectare 494 density estimates were inferred as the inverse of scaled home-range. These large bird species contributed 495 a very small part to total ecosystem energetics.

496

497 Aggregation to habitat type

498 Since we combined data across taxa for which we needed the largest sampling effort and 'best'

499 description of the community possible, we aggregated species abundance estimates to a single value per

500	habitat type. For the REM modelling, data for a given habitat were used in the model to estimate a single
501	value of each of the required REM parameters (e.g. speed, detection angle/distance, activity level, trap
502	rate and density) in each habitat. Hence our unit of replication is guild, which has no spatial component
503	within habitat type and hence no spatial autocorrelation variable that can be explored.
504	
505	Daily Energetic Expenditure and Food Uptake
506	Daily energetic expenditure for each individual species was calculated from body mass using published
507	multi-species allometric equations for field metabolic rates for mammals and birds ⁴⁷ (see Table S1 for the
508	equations and parameter values). The fractions of diet deriving from each food type were assigned to
509	each species based on specialist expert judgement by three coauthors (OW, NJD, SM), and food uptake
510	rates were calculated based on assimilation efficiency for each feeding guild and food type 48,49
511	(summarised in Table S2).

512

513 Energetic Shannon-Wiener Index (EWSI)

514 We define and employ ESWI to examine the partition of energy flow across species by analogy to the 515 diversity Shannon-Wiener Index which is a measure of how population abundance is spread across 516 species.

517
$$ESWI = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i ln (e_i)$$

518

519 where *e* is the proportion energy flow through species *i* in a total community of *N* species, relative to 520 total energy flow through the community.

521

522 Comparison across guilds and habitat types

523 To test for significant difference in ESWI across habitat types, we applied linear mixed-effects models

524 with ESWI as a response variable, habitat type (old-growth forest, logged forest, oil palm) as a fixed

525 effect and guild (n=18, see Supplementary Data 1) as a random effect (random intercept), with variance 526 structure to allow for unequal variances among habitat types (*'varIdent'*function, which implements a 527 different variance for each habitat; model with variance structure is better than without, AIC of 120.5 528 vs. 128.3, respectively), using the *'nlme'* package ⁵⁰. Pairwise post hoc comparison of the habitats, with 529 Tukey adjustment, was carried out using the *'emmeans'* package ⁵¹.

530

531 Uncertainty calculation

532 We assumed there was uncertainty in the following variables: body mass of species, population density, 533 daily energy expenditure (DEE) equation, assimilation efficiency of the different food types, composition 534 of the diet of each species, and NPP. For body mass, we drew from a truncated normal distribution 535 (lower bound = 1 g), where mean was the observed body mass and standard deviation was 15 %. We 536 based this standard deviation for birds on a study of tropical birds⁵² and applied the same 15% for 537 mammals for consistency, in the absence of other data⁵². For population density, we used the 10,000 538 bootstrapped estimates of the population density models. In addition, for birds and bats (the population 539 density estimates of which were based on a detection radius around the sampling point) we incorporated 540 the uncertainty in the radius by drawing from a truncated normal distribution with standard deviation 541 of 20%, and lower and upper bounds of 50% and 150% of the estimated radius. We assigned 30% uncertainty for each of the few 'expert guess' species, which had a very minor influence in the final 542 543 results. For DEE, we estimated the 95% confidence intervals for the predictions as described in ⁴⁷. For 544 assimilation efficiency, we drew from a random beta distribution, using the mean and standard 545 deviation by food type and guild from the literature (Table S2). For fractional diet composition, we 546 generated a symmetrical beta distribution, with the peak uncertainty of 20% when the food group made 547 up 50% of a species' diet and no uncertainty when the food group made up 0% or 100% of the diet. It is 548 possible that logging and conversion to oil palm results in systematic shifts in diet composition towards 549 arthropods. Therefore, we also carried out two additional analyses where the fractional consumption of

(i) arthropods and (ii) leaves was increased by 30% for species that had a mixed diet. Uncertainty in NPP was drawn from a truncated normal distribution with the mean and standard deviation derived from the field data^{14,16} and lower and upper bounds of the distribution set at mean ± 2 standard deviations.

553

To quantify the uncertainty in our estimates for energetic intake and proportion of NPP consumed, we 554 555 ran 10,000 simulations, replacing the values in our original calculations with values drawn from the 556 random distributions. Firstly, we estimated the total uncertainty by assuming uncertainty in all 557 components simultaneously and calculated the 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles of the simulations to derive 558 95% confidence intervals for our estimates. Secondly, to quantify how much each variable contributed 559 to the total uncertainty, we ran sets of 10,000 simulations where only one variable at the time had 560 uncertainty while others were kept constant. We calculated the 5% to 95% percentile range for the 561 'uncertainty-in-one-variable-at-the-time' estimates and the 'uncertainty-in-all-variables' estimates, and 562 the contribution of each variable to the total uncertainty considered to be the ratio of the two 53.

563

564 Uncertainty estimates of absolute consumption are dominated by uncertainty in the population density 565 and DEE allometry of the dominant consumers (Figure ED6). Uncertainties in diet fraction allocation, 566 assimilation efficiency or the consumption allometric equation make relatively modest contributions. Hence further reduction in uncertainty is best targeted in improving estimates of the abundance and 567 568 producing better DEE allometries specific to the few dominant consumers (Figure ED7), as well as better 569 assessing key undersampled groups such as small forest-floor and arboreal mammals. When calculating 570 the fraction of NPP consumed, the uncertainty in NPP estimates dominates over the uncertainty in 571 consumption estimates, and particularly so in logged forest and oil palm.

572

573 Caveats

574 There are a number of caveats in our analysis. Some taxa are probably under sampled. These include 575 several small ground-layer insectivorous mammals (in particular, shrews) that cannot be reliably 576 detected using either camera traps or fruit-baited live traps (pitfall traps with drift fence would be 577 required) and 16 frugivorous or nectivorous bat species that are difficult to capture in the tropical forest 578 understorey ⁵⁴ and are likely to utilise the study area to some degree. Fully arboreal mammal species 579 such as primates and flying squirrels were estimated from other studies in the same region 580 (Supplementary Data 1). We did not measure NPP in old-growth forests within same landscaped as the 581 logged forests. However, the variation of measured NPP across old growth sites in NE Borneo with very 582 strongly varying soil is fairly small (range 12.03 – 15.53 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (i.e. ±9%)¹⁴, so we fully expect 583 that NPP in old-growth forests in the SAFE landscape to be within this range. Our analysis also assumes 584 no dietary shifts within species across the disturbance gradients, e.g. invertebrates make up the same 585 fraction of diet of particular species whether in old growth or logged forest, but a sensitivity test shows 586 possible dietary shifts have negligible effect (Supplementary Discussion). Finally, we assume a 587 correlation between animal presence and consumption of resources that may not hold in all cases. As 588 noted above, the animals observed in the oil palm plantation may be passing between fragments of 589 logged and/or riparian forest, and hence our estimate of consumption within oil palm is likely to be an 590 overestimate. There are, nonetheless, a small number of animals such as bearded pig, macaques and 591 small carnivores that favour the oil palm as a feeding area, with its abundance of palm fruit and rodents 592 ^{27,55}. Our logged forest landscape is adjacent to a larger area of more moderately logged forests to the 593 north (Figure 1). More isolated and fragmented logged forests might be more defaunated than those 594 studied here, even in the absence of hunting, and would there show a smaller increase in energetics. 595

596 Methods References

- Gibson, L. *et al.* Primary forests are irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. *Nature* 478,
 378–381 (2011).
- Malhi, Y., Gardner, T. A., Goldsmith, G. R., Silman, M. R. & Zelazowski, P. Tropical Forests in the
 Anthropocene. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 39, 125–159 (2014).
- 602 3. Meijaard, E. & Sheil, D. A logged forest in Borneo is better than none at all. *Nature* vol. 446 974
 603 (2007).
- 604 4. Bergius, M., Benjaminsen, T. A., Maganga, F. & Buhaug, H. Green economy, degradation narratives,
 605 and land-use conflicts in Tanzania. *World Dev.* **129**, 104850 (2020).
- 606 5. Giam, X., Clements, G. R., Aziz, S. A., Chong, K. Y. & Miettinen, J. Rethinking the 'back to
- 607 wilderness' concept for Sundaland's forests. *Biol. Conserv.* 144, 3149–3152 (2011).
- 608 6. Burivalova, Z., Sekercioğlu, C. H. & Koh, L. P. Thresholds of logging intensity to maintain tropical
 609 forest biodiversity. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 1893–1898 (2014).
- 610 7. França, F. M., Frazão, F. S., Korasaki, V., Louzada, J. & Barlow, J. Identifying thresholds of logging
- 611 intensity on dung beetle communities to improve the sustainable management of Amazonian
- 612 tropical forests. *Biol. Conserv.* **216**, 115–122 (2017).
- 8. Bicknell, J. E., Struebig, M. J., Edwards, D. P. & Davies, Z. G. Improved timber harvest techniques
 maintain biodiversity in tropical forests. *Curr. Biol.* 24, R1119-20 (2014).
- 615 9. Schleuning, M. *et al.* Forest fragmentation and selective logging have inconsistent effects on
- 616 multiple animal-mediated ecosystem processes in a tropical forest. *PLoS One* **6**, e27785 (2011).
- 617 10. Lindeman, R. L. The Trophic-Dynamic Aspect of Ecology. *Ecology* 23, 399–417 (1942).
- 618 11. Odum, H. T. & Pigeon, R. F. A tropical rain forest: a study of irradiation and ecology at El Verde,
- 619 Puerto Rico. http://www.sidalc.net/cgi-
- 620 bin/wxis.exe/?IsisScript=ORTON.xis&method=post&formato=2&cantidad=1&expresion=mfn=08714
- 621 3 (1970).

- Barnes, A. D. *et al.* Consequences of tropical land use for multitrophic biodiversity and ecosystem
 functioning. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5351 (2014).
- Reagan, D. P. & Waide, R. B. *The Food Web of a Tropical Rain Forest*. (University of Chicago Press,
 1996).
- Riutta, T. *et al.* Logging disturbance shifts net primary productivity and its allocation in Bornean
 tropical forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 2913–2928 (2018).
- Malhi, Y. *et al.* The Global Ecosystems Monitoring network: Monitoring ecosystem productivity
 and carbon cycling across the tropics. *Biol. Conserv.* 253, 108889 (2021).
- 630 16. Riutta, T. *et al.* Major and persistent shifts in below-ground carbon dynamics and soil respiration
- 631 following logging in tropical forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **27**, 2225–2240 (2021).
- 632 17. Kotowska, M. M., Leuschner, C., Triadiati, T., Meriem, S. & Hertel, D. Quantifying above- and
- 633 belowground biomass carbon loss with forest conversion in tropical lowlands of Sumatra
- 634 (Indonesia). *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **21**, 3620–3634 (2015).
- Edwards, D. P. *et al.* Trophic flexibility and the persistence of understory birds in intensively logged
 rainforest. *Conserv. Biol.* 27, 1079–1086 (2013).
- 637 19. Ewers, R. M. *et al.* Logging cuts the functional importance of invertebrates in tropical rainforest.
 638 *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6836 (2015).
- 639 20. Ewers, R. M., Nathan, S. K. S. & Lee, P. A. K. African swine fever ravaging Borneo's wild pigs.
- 640 *Nature* vol. 593 37–37 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-01189-3 (2021).
- 641 21. Benítez-López, A. *et al.* The impact of hunting on tropical mammal and bird populations. *Science*642 **356**, 180–183 (2017).
- Döbert, T. F., Webber, B. L., Sugau, J. B., Dickinson, K. J. M. & Didham, R. K. Logging, exotic plant
 invasions, and native plant reassembly in a lowland tropical rain forest. *Biotropica* 50, 254–265
- 645 (2018).

- 646 23. Milodowski, D. T. *et al.* The impact of logging on vertical canopy structure across a gradient of
- 647 tropical forest degradation intensity in Borneo. *J. Appl. Ecol.* (2021) doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13895.
- 648 24. Both, S. *et al.* Logging and soil nutrients independently explain plant trait expression in tropical
- 649 forests. *New Phytol.* **221**, 1853–1865 (2019).
- 650 25. Swinfield, T. *et al.* Imaging spectroscopy reveals the effects of topography and logging on the leaf
- 651 chemistry of tropical forest canopy trees. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **26**, 989–1002 (2020).
- Brodie, J. F. & Giordano, A. Lack of trophic release with large mammal predators and prey in
 Borneo. *Biol. Conserv.* 163, 58–67 (2013).
- 654 27. Wearn, O. R. *et al.* Mammalian species abundance across a gradient of tropical land-use intensity: A
- hierarchical multi-species modelling approach. *Biol. Conserv.* **212**, 162–171 (2017).
- 656 28. Deere, N. J. *et al.* High Carbon Stock forests provide co-benefits for tropical biodiversity. *J. Appl.*657 *Ecol.* 55, 997–1008 (2018).
- Mitchell, S. *et al.* Severity of deforestation mediates biotic homogenisation in an island archipelago.
 Ecography (2022) doi:10.1111/ecog.05990.
- 660 30. Reynolds, G., Payne, J., Sinun, W., Mosigil, G. & Walsh, R. P. D. Changes in forest land use and
- 661 management in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo, 1990–2010, with a focus on the Danum Valley region.
- 662 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **366**, 3168–3176 (2011).
- 663 31. Ewers, R. M. *et al.* A large-scale forest fragmentation experiment: the Stability of Altered Forest
- 664 Ecosystems Project. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* vol. 366
- 665 3292–3302 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0049 (2011).
- 666 32. Fisher, B., Edwards, D. P., Giam, X. & Wilcove, D. S. The high costs of conserving Southeast Asia's
- 667 lowland rainforests. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* vol. 9 329–334 Preprint at
- 668 https://doi.org/10.1890/100079 (2011).

- 669 33. Struebig, M. J. *et al.* Chapter Three Quantifying the Biodiversity Value of Repeatedly Logged
- 670 Rainforests: Gradient and Comparative Approaches from Borneo. in *Advances in Ecological*
- 671 *Research* (eds. Woodward, G. & O'Gorman, E. J.) vol. 48 183–224 (Academic Press, 2013).
- 672 34. Deere, N. J. *et al.* Implications of zero-deforestation commitments: Forest quality and hunting
- 673 pressure limit mammal persistence in fragmented tropical landscapes. *Conserv. Lett.* **13**, (2020).
- 674 35. Wearn, O. R., Carbone, C., Rowcliffe, J. M., Bernard, H. & Ewers, R. M. Grain-dependent responses
- of mammalian diversity to land use and the implications for conservation set-aside. *Ecol. Appl.* 26,
 1409–1420 (2016).
- 677 36. Mitchell, S. L. *et al.* Riparian reserves help protect forest bird communities in oil palm dominated
 678 landscapes. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 55, 2744–2755 (2018).
- 87. Rowcliffe, J. M., Field, J., Turvey, S. T. & Carbone, C. Estimating animal density using camera traps
 without the need for individual recognition. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 45, 1228–1236 (2008).
- 38. Rowcliffe, J. M., Kays, R., Kranstauber, B., Carbone, C. & Jansen, P. A. Quantifying levels of animal
 activity using camera trap data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 5, 1170–1179 (2014).
- 683 39. Rowcliffe, J. M., Jansen, P. A., Kays, R., Kranstauber, B. & Carbone, C. Wildlife speed cameras:
- measuring animal travel speed and day range using camera traps. *Remote Sens. Ecol. Conserv.* 2,
 84–94 (2016).
- 40. Kery, M. & Royle, J. A. *Applied Hierarchical Modeling in Ecology: Volume 1: Prelude and Static Models.* (Elsevier Science, 2015).
- 688 41. Chapman, P. M. *et al.* Inter-annual dynamics and persistence of small mammal communities in a
 689 selectively logged tropical forest in Borneo. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 27, 3155–3169 (2018).
- 690 42. Borchers, D. L. & Efford, M. G. Spatially explicit maximum likelihood methods for capture-
- 691 recapture studies. *Biometrics* **64**, 377–385 (2008).
- 692 43. Efford, M. & Efford, M. M. Package 'secr.' (2021).

- 693 44. Royle, J. A. & Nichols, J. D. Estimating abundance from repeated presence–absence data or point
 694 counts. *Ecology* 84, 777–790 (2003).
- 695 45. Seaman, D. J. I. *et al.* Densities of Bornean orang-utans (Pongo pygmaeus morio) in heavily
- 696 degraded forest and oil palm plantations in Sabah, Borneo. *Am. J. Primatol.* **81**, e23030 (2019).
- 697 46. Cheah, C. & Yoganand, K. Recent estimate of Asian elephants in Borneo reveals a smaller
- 698 population. *Wildlife Biol.* (2022) doi:10.1002/wlb3.01024.
- 47. Nagy, K. A., Girard, I. A. & Brown, T. K. Energetics of free-ranging mammals, reptiles, and birds.
 700 *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 19, 247–277 (1999).
- 701 48. Bairlein, F. Energy and nutrient utilization efficiencies in birds--a review. in *Proceedings of the*
- 702 *22nd International Ornithological Congress (ed. NJ Adams and RH Slotow)* 2221–2246 (1999).
- 703 49. Crocker, D., Hart, A., Gurney, J., McCoy, C. *Estimating daily food intake of wild birds and*
- 704 *mammals*. https://www.hse.gov.uk/pesticides/resources/R/Research_PN0908.pdf (2002).
- 705 50. Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S. & Sarkar, D. R. Core Team. nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed
 706 Effects Models. 2017. *R package version* 3–1 (2021).
- 51. Lenth, R. V. Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package version 1.7.3. Preprint
 at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans (2022).
- 709 52. Read, Q. D. *et al.* Tropical bird species have less variable body sizes. *Biol. Lett.* 14, (2018).
- 710 53. Fatichi, S. *et al.* Uncertainty partition challenges the predictability of vital details of climate change.
- 711 *Earths Future* **4**, 240–251 (2016).
- 712 54. Struebig, M. J., Christy, L., Pio, D. & Meijaard, E. Bats of Borneo: diversity, distributions and
- 713 representation in protected areas. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **19**, 449–469 (2010).
- 714 55. Love, K. *et al.* Bearded pig (Sus barbatus) utilisation of a fragmented forest–oil palm landscape in
- 715 Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *Wildl. Res.* **44**, 603–612 (2018).
- 716 56. Asner, G. P. *et al.* Mapped aboveground carbon stocks to advance forest conservation and recovery
- 717 in Malaysian Borneo. *Biol. Conserv.* **217**, 289–310 (2018).

57. Martin, A. R. & Thomas, S. C. A reassessment of carbon content in tropical trees. *PLoS One* 6,
e23533 (2011).

720

721

722 Acknowledgements

723 This study was part of the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystem (SAFE) Project, funded by the UK 724 Natural Environment Research Council's Human-Modified Tropical Forests Program (NE/K016407/1 725 and NE/K016377/1), the Sime Darby Foundation and Bat Conservation International. This paper is also a 726 product of the GEM network (gem.tropicalforests.ox.ac.uk) which provided training and methods. 727 Maliau Basin and Danum Valley Management Committees, Royal Society Southeast Asia Rainforest 728 Research Partnership (SEARRP), Sabah Foundation, Benta Wawasan, the State Secretary, Sabah Chief 729 Minister's Departments, Sabah Forestry Department, Sabah Biodiversity Council, and the Economic 730 Planning Unit are acknowledged for their support and access to the sites in Sabah. YM was supported by 731 the Jackson Foundation and European Research Council Advanced Investigator Grant, GEM-TRAIT 732 (321131). M.J.S. was supported by a Leverhulme Trust Research Leadership Award and R.M.E. by the 733 NOMIS Foundation.

Author Contributions: YM conceived the analysis and led the paper-writing with input from TR, ORW,
NJD, ZD, RME and MS. ORW developed the REM modelling, ORW, NJD, SM and MS collected and
analysed the animal data, TR collected and analysed the vegetation data and worked on energetic data
analysis. RME conceived and implemented the SAFE project. HB, NM, RN assisted in collection of field
data with supervision from YM, ZD, RME and MS. All authors commented on the draft.

739 **Competing Interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

740 Data Availability: The per-species energetics data, and REM model parameters (mammals) are available
741 in Supplementary Data Tables 1 and 2.

742 **Code Availability:** The data processing statistical analysis code is available as Supplementary Methods.

743 The REM analysis code will be available and described in detail in a forthcoming publication led by OW.

744 Extended Data Legends

Table ED1: Energetic food intake (kJ m⁻² year⁻¹) of different food types by birds and mammals and 95% confidence intervals of the estimates across the disturbance gradient from old growth forest through logged forest to oil palm. Numbers with an asterisk include estimates for some bats, values without the bat estimates are 47.7 kJ m⁻² year⁻¹ in old growth and 99.3 kJ m⁻² year⁻¹ in logged forest. The confidence intervals are derived from 10,000 Monte Carlo simulation estimates incorporating uncertainty in body mass, population density, the daily energy expenditure equation, assimilation efficiency of the different food types, and composition of the diet of each species.

752

Table ED2: Proportion of NPP (%), and 95% confidence intervals of the estimates, consumed by food type across the disturbance gradient from old growth forest (OG) through logged forest to oil palm. Numbers with an asterisk include estimates for some bats, values without the bat estimates are 0.081% in old growth and 0.138% in logged forest. The confidence intervals are derived from 10,000 Monte Carlo simulation estimates incorporating uncertainty in body mass, population density, the daily energy expenditure equation, assimilation efficiency of the different food types, composition of the diet of each species, and NPP.

761 Figure ED1: Distribution of sampling locations across a gradient of logging intensity, characterised using 762 aboveground biomass (t/ha), We estimate biomass from a spatially-explicit surface of carbon density (30 763 m resolution) derived from airborne Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data (see ⁵⁶ for full sampling 764 details) and convert carbon to biomass using a conversion factor of 0.47 (57). To provide a representative 765 sample of local habitat conditions, biomass was extracted as mean values from 100 m radii buffers around 766 each sampling point. At this resolution there are a broad range of biomass values in both old growth and 767 logged forests, but the mean values are clearly distinguished. The sampling points for vegetation primary 768 productivity, bats, birds and terrestrial mammals span this gradient well.

769

Figure ED2: Species-level resource consumption in birds (a-c) and mammals (d-f) (top 20 consumers in
each forest type). Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals, derived from 10,000 Monte Carlo
simulation estimates incorporating uncertainty in body mass, population density, the daily energy
expenditure equation, assimilation efficiency of the different food types and composition of the diet of
each species.

775

Figure ED3: Direct energetic intake of birds (a) and mammals (b) by body mass class (logarithmic scale)
in old growth forest (OG), logged forest and oil palm plantation (OP). The numbers next to the bars
indicate the number of species in each class. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals, derived from
10,000 Monte Carlo simulation estimates incorporating uncertainty in body mass, population density,
the daily energy expenditure equation, assimilation efficiency of the different food types and
composition of the diet of each species.

783 Figure ED4: Body mass of birds (a) and mammals (b) and energetic food intake of birds (c) and mammals 784 (d) in old growth forest (OG, dark grey, no hunting) and in logged forest (light grey) under four different 785 hunting scenarios: observed low hunting pressure (baseline) and simulated 50% reduction in population 786 density of targeted hunted species, indiscriminately hunted species and both targeted and 787 indiscriminately hunted species. Targeted hunted species include commercially valuable birds, and gun-788 hunted mammals (bearded pig, ungulates, banteng and mammals with medicinal value). 789 Indiscriminately hunted species include birds and mammals likely to be trapped with nets and snares. 790 For the list of species in each category see Supplementary Data 1. Note that this is not an exhaustive 791 analysis of the hunting pressure in the study area but an illustrative estimate of the potential impact of 792 hunting on trophic energetics. 793 Targeted hunted bird species potentially include 13% of bird species, which account for 17% of bird 794 body mass and 14% of bird energy consumption under the observed low hunting pressure. Targeted 795 hunted mammal species potentially include 10% of mammal species, which account for 46% of body 796 mass, 42% of mammal energy consumption under the observed low hunting pressure. Indiscriminately hunted bird species potentially include 72% of bird species, which account for 78% of bird body mass 797 798 and 82% of bird energy consumption under the observed low hunting pressure. Indiscriminately hunted 799 mammal species potentially include 22% of mammal species, which account for 2% of mammal body 800 mass and 2% of mammal energy consumption. 801 With both hunting pressures applied simultaneously, hunted bird species potentially include 86% of 802 species, 95% of bird body mass and 96% of bird energy consumption under the observed low hunting

803 pressure, and hunted mammal species potentially include 32% of mammal species, 48% of mammal body

804 mass and 44% of mammal energy consumption under the observed low hunting pressure.

806 Figure ED5: Body mass and species richness of birds (a) and mammals (b) and energetic food intake of 807 birds (c) and mammals (d) across old growth forests (OG), logged forests and oil palm plantations (OP). 808 OG forest data were analysed separately by four OG sites for birds and two sites for mammals (see Fig 1 809 for map), and the logged forest data were split into twice logged and heavily logged areas. For mammals, 810 only species studied using camera traps and harp traps were included (63%, 63% and 77% of mammal 811 species, and 53%, 45% and 63% of total energetic food intake in OG, logged forest and OP, respectively). 812 Error bars are 95% confidence intervals derived from 10,000 Monte Carlo simulation estimates 813 incorporating uncertainty in body mass, population density, the daily energy expenditure equation, 814 assimilation efficiency of the different food types and composition of the diet of each species.

815

816 Figure ED6: Sources of contribution to uncertainty in energetic intake (a) and proportion of net primary 817 productivity (NPP) consumed (b) for birds and mammals across the habitat types of old growth forest 818 (OG), logged forest and oil palm plantation (OP). We assumed there was uncertainty in the following 819 variables: body mass of species, population density, the daily energy expenditure (DEE) equation, 820 assimilation efficiency of the different food types, fractional composition of the diet of each species, and 821 NPP. Uncertainty estimates were derived from 10,000 Monte Carlo simulations, and the contribution of 822 each variable to the total uncertainty was assessed by running the simulations assuming uncertainty in 823 all variables simultaneously and in one variable at a time.

824

Figure ED7: The proportion of total uncertainty contributed by each species ranked by energyconsumption for birds (a) and mammals (b).