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## C. Abbreviations

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | proton (magnetic nuclei: $100 \%$ natural abundance) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ | deuteron ( $0.015 \%$ natural abundance) |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | carbon isotope-13 (magnetic nuclei: $1.11 \%$ natural abundance) |
| ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ | nitrogen isotope-15 (magnetic nuclei: $0.36 \%$ natural abundance) |
| 2D | two dimensional |
| 3D | three dimensional |
| $\gamma_{\text {H }}$ | proton gyromagnetic ratio |
| $\gamma_{\mathrm{N}}$ | nitrogen gyromagnetic ratio |
| $\tau_{\text {e }}$ | effective correlation time |
| $\tau_{\text {m }}$ | global correlation time |
| $\omega_{\mathrm{H}}$ | proton larmor frequency |
| $\omega_{\mathrm{N}}$ | nitrogen larmor frequency |
| $\varphi$ | phi angle |
| $\psi$ | psi angle |
| $\mu_{0}$ | permeability of free space |
| ћ | Plank's constant |
| $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | applied field |
| CCPN | Collaborative Computing Project for NMR |
| CPMG | Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill |
| 2DA | D346A/D348A |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | deuterium oxide |
| Da | Dalton |
| DANGLE | Dihedral ANgles from Global Likelihood Estimates |
| $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | distilled water |
| DNA | deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DTT | Dithiothreitol |
| EDTA | ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid |
| GdmCl | guanidine hydrochloride |
| hetNOE | heteronuclear Overhausen effect |
| hPDI | human Protein Disulphide Isomerase |


| HSQC | Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherance |
| :--- | :--- |
| IPTG | isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside |
| $J(\omega)$ | spectral density |
| LB | Luria Bertani |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| NOE | nuclear Overhausen effect |
| PCR | polymerase chain reaction |
| PDB | protein databank |
| PDI | Protein Disulphide Isomerase |
| ppm | parts per million |
| Rex $^{\text {re }}$ | rate of chemical exchange |
| rpm | revolutions per minute |
| S $^{2}$ | protein backbone flexibility order parameter |
| SDS | sodium dodecyl sulfate |
| SDS-PAGE | sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis |
| $T_{1}$ | longitudinal/ spin-lattice relaxation |
| $T_{2}$ | transverse/spin-spin relaxation |
| UV | ultraviolet |
| yPDI | yeast Protein Disulphide Isomerase |

## D. Abstract

Protein disulphide isomerase (PDI) was the first catalyst of protein folding to be identified and is a key cellular chaperone required for the rapid formation of correctly folded disulphide bonded proteins in the secretory pathway. PDI is the archetype of a large family of ER-resident PDI-like proteins and contains four thioredoxin-like domains, two of which, like thioredoxin itself, have redox-active (CGHC) catalytic sites (a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ ) and two of which do not ( $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ ). The domain order is $\mathbf{a b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{c}$ where $\mathbf{x}$ is a 19 residue linker between the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains and $\mathbf{c}$ is a C-terminal acidic tail containing the KDEL ERretention signal. The $\mathbf{x}$ linker has been shown to occlude the primary ligand binding site in the human b'x construct; an event called "capping". In previous work, site-directed mutants were identified that stabilise both the capped and uncapped conformations of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. Furthermore, the binding of $\mathbf{x}$ was shown to compete with peptide ligands and could prove to be an important physiological mechanism controlling access to the hydrophobic binding site. The movement of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker in gating the binding site could also lead to wider structural arrangement of the protein and control other aspects of PDI structure and function.

This study focuses on the characterisation of the smallest PDI fragment with isomerase activity, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, to further understand the role of $\mathbf{x}$ capping and its interaction with adjacent $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains. Mutants of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, which in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ favoured capping or uncapping of the ligand binding site, were generated by site-directed mutagenesis. All $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins presented as a mixture of monomer and dimer, which on gel filtration presented with larger hydrodynamic volumes than expected for globular proteins of similar sizes. Denaturation studies using guanidine hydrochloride showed that the capping I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ presented with a higher conformational stability than WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, whereas the uncapping mutant L343A was less conformationally stable than WT and I272A b'xa'c. b'xa'c proteins presented with a biphasic denaturation curve in which the first phase could be attributed to the unfolding of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and the second phase was due to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ unfolding.

NMR studies of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ p proved challenging due to broad and poorly resolved spectra. Studies on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{a c}$ were carried out as a stepping stone to the investigations of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$. The oxidation state of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, temperature and pH had a significant effect on the line widths seen for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, and allowed the backbone resonance assignment of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ c to be carried out. Relaxation dynamics studies showed that the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain has a more flexible backbone than $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and that neighbouring domains affect the conformational behaviour of one another.

NMR and intrinsic fluorescence showed no conclusive evidence of capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, but mutants 1272 A , L343A and D346A/D348A had a significant effect on the conformational stability of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

## CHAPTER 1

Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

Disulphide bonds are covalent linkages formed between the side chains of cysteine residues. They are an integral part of many of life's essential proteins as they not only help stabilise protein structure, but they are also vital to their function. It is crucial that during the formation of disulphide bonds, the correct cysteine residues are paired in order to form the desired three-dimensional (3D) structure. Protein disulphide isomerase (PDI) is a central player in this process as it is involved in the formation (oxidation), breakage (reduction) and rearrangement (isomerisation) of disulphide bonds and therefore helps newly expressed proteins assume their correct 3D structure. In addition to its role in disulphide bond formation, PDI is also the $\beta$ subunit of prolyl-4-hydroxylase (Koivu et al., 1987) and microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (Wetterau et al., 1991).

PDI was the first folding catalyst to be identified (Goldberger et al., 1963) and is a member of a large family of thiol-disulphide oxidoreductants found within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of eukaryotic cells (Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009) which contain the C-terminal KDEL-ER retention sequence (Pelham, 1990). PDI and its related proteins are members of the thioredoxin superfamily, as they all contain at least one domain with the thioredoxin-like fold and often the thioredoxin-like active site with the
amino acid sequence CXXC (Edman et al., 1985). This thesis will focus on the characterisation of the minimal human PDI unit with isomerase activity, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ (Pirneskoski et al., 2001).

### 1.2 Protein folding in the ER

Protein synthesis in eukaryotic cells starts in the nucleus with transcription of specific DNA segments by RNA polymerase to produce messenger RNA (mRNA). mRNA is then translated on the surface of ribosomes in the cytoplasm with the aid of transfer RNA (tRNA) into an amino acid sequence which requires folding into a 3D structure in order to become a functionally active protein. Protein folding occurs soon after the synthesis of the polypeptide chain and proteins destined for the secretory pathway contain a signal sequence, usually at the N -terminus, which directs their translocation into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) ready for folding. A ribosome binds to the endoplasmic reticulum when it begins the synthesis of proteins destined for the secretory pathway. It is estimated that approximately one third of human proteins fold in the ER (Chen et al., 2005) but it is still not fully understood how the amino acid sequence determines a protein's 3D fold (Dobson, 2003). The lumen of the ER is rich in enzymes that catalyse the oxidation, reduction and isomerisation of proteins containing disulphide bonds, among which PDI is one of the most abundant. Disulphide bond isomerisation is thought to be the key rate limiting step in the biogenesis of most proteins and it is the final steps of isomerisation of nascent proteins that are catalysed by members of the PDI family (Klappa et al., 1995). The most important member in this family of oxidoreductases is PDI itself, a protein found in all eukaryotic organisms.

### 1.3 PDI (Protein Disulphide Isomerase)

Since its discovery in 1963, PDI (EC 5.3.4.1) has been the subject of extensive studies, but its mechanism of action and structure/function relationship are still not fully understood. It was not until the mid-1980s that the sequence of rat PDI was published (Edman et al., 1985) revealing the initial structural information about PDI. This sequence revealed two distinct regions which were highly homologous to thioredoxin, a small ubiquitous protein which catalyses disulphide bond reduction, but most importantly it proved that PDI catalysed protein folding by oxidation and reduction of disulphide bonds due to the presence of vicinal thiol groups in the characteristic thioredoxin active site motif CGHC.

Human PDI is a 57 kDa multi-domain protein resident in the ER of a variety of tissues and organs. It is a key enzyme and chaperone (Wilson et al., 1998, Wang et al., 2010) implicated in a range of cellular functions such as catalysis of disulphide bond oxidation, reduction and isomerisation, degradation of mis-folded proteins in the ER and the unfolding of the cholera toxin before its retrotranslocation into the cytosol (Tsai and Rapoport, 2002). PDI can also be found in non-ER locations, such as the cell surface where it participates in the activation of fusion of HIV virus (Fenouillet et al., 2007) and mediates the adhesion, secretion and aggregation of platelets (Essex, 2009).

Over the years, a number of excellent reviews have been written discussing the role of PDI in disulphide bond formation, breakage and rearrangement and its implications in protein folding; as well as the structure/function relationship and the impact on human health (Ellgaard and Ruddock, 2005, Freedman et al., 1994, Ferrari and Söling, 1999, Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009). Recently, PDI has been recognized to play a critical role in a number of neurodegenerative diseases related to protein mis-folding and aggregation such as Parkinson disease, Alzheimer disease and Huntington disease (Uehara et al., 2006).

### 1.3.1 PDI Structure

Although PDI was discovered over 40 years ago, it is only in the last 15 years that the structures for each of the PDI domains have emerged and to date a structure for full length human PDI (hPDI) is yet to be solved. However, recent years have seen a number
of successful structural studies with the most exciting outcome of decades of research being the publication of two crystal structures of yeast PDI (yPDI) (Tian et al., 2006, Tian et al., 2008). Also, very recently, two crystal structures of oxidised and reduced hPDI (4EL1.pdb and 4EKZ.pdb respectively) lacking the C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, are currently in press but the coordinates are on hold in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) until April 2013. The structure of $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x a}$ of hPDI (3UEM.pdb) was also solved in 2011 and is to date the only available structure of hPDI consisting of both the ligand binding domain, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, and the catalytic $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. Table 1.1 summarises the structures of PDI single and multi-domain constructs that have been solved by a mixture of NMR and X-ray crystallography.

| PDI <br> domain | PDB code | Organism | Method | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | 1MEK | H. sapiens | NMR | Kemmink et al., 1996 |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 1BJX | H. sapiens | NMR | Kemmink et al., 1999 |
| $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ | 3BJ5 | H. sapiens | X-ray | Nguyen et al., 2008 |
| $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ | 1X5C | H. sapiens | NMR | RSGI., 2005 (not published) |
| $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ | 2K18 | H. sapiens | NMR | Denisov et al., 2009 |
| $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ | 3UEM | H. sapiens | X-ray | Wang et al., 2011 |
| $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$ | 4EKZ (red) <br> 4EL1 (oxi) | H. sapiens | X-ray | Wang et al., 2012 (on hold in <br> PDB) |
| $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a ' c}$ | 3B5E (4 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ <br> 3BOA (22 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | S. cerevisiae | X-ray | Tian et al., 2006 <br> Tian et al., 2008 |
| $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ | 2KP2 | H. insolens | NMR | Serve et al., 2009 |
| $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ | 2KP1 | H. insolens | NMR | Serve et al., 2009 |

Table 1.1 PDI constructs for which structures have been solved. The coordinates for abb' $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime}$, oxidised and reduced, are available from the PDB but the structures are on hold until April 2013. The structure of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain (1X5C) has not been published despite its deposition in the PDB in 2005 by the Riken Structural Genomics/Proteomics Initiative (RSGI).

Full length hPDI consists of four structural domains, each consisting of a thioredoxin fold, a C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, and a linker region, $\mathbf{x}$, connecting the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains. PDI also contains an N -terminal signal sequence which is cleaved during transport of the newly synthesised protein to the ER. Numbering of PDI residues in this
thesis refers to that for the mature PDI after cleavage of the N -terminal signal sequence. The domain arrangement is $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as shown in figure 1.1.
a)

b)

| 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DAPEEEDHVL | VLRKSNFAEA | LAAHKYLLVE | FYAPWCGHCK ALAPEYAKAA | GKLKAEGSEI |  |
| 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 |
| RLAKVDATEE | SDLAQQYGVR | GYPTIKFFRN | GDTASPKEYT | AGREADDIVN | WLKKRTGPAA |
| 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 |
| TTLPDGAAAE | SLVESSEVAV | IGFFKDVESD | SAKQFLQAAE AIDDIPFGIT | SNSDVFSKYQ |  |
| 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 |
| LDKDGVVLFK KFDEGRNNFE | GEVTKENLLD | FIKHNQLPLV | IEFTEQTAPK IFGGEIKTHI |  |  |
| 250 | 260 | 270 | 280 | 290 | 300 |
| LLFLPKSVSD YDGKLSNFKT | AAESEKGKIL | FIFIDSDHTD | NQRILEFFGL KKEECPAVRI |  |  |
| 310 | 320 | 330 | 340 | 350 | 360 |
| ITLEEEMTKY KPESEELTAE | RITEFCHRFL | EGKIKPHLMS | QELPEDWDKQ PVKVLVGKNF |  |  |
| 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 |
| EDVAFDEKKN | VFVEFYAPWC | GHCKQLAPIW | DKLGETYKDH | ENIVIAKMDS | TANEVEAVKV |
| 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 |
| HSFPTLKFFP | ASADRTVIDY | NGERTLDGFK | KFLESGGQDG AGDDDDLEDL | EEAEEPDMEE |  | 490

DDDQKAVKDE L

Figure 1.1 The domain architecture and amino acid sequence of mature hPDI. a) the arrangement of the domains and $\mathbf{b}$ ) the amino acid sequence of mature hPDI. Residue numbering is for mature PDI after cleavage of the signal sequence. The domain boundaries are as reported by Wang et al (2010). The active site sequence is shown in the yellow box and the domains are coloured as follows: $\mathbf{a}$ in pink, $\mathbf{b}$ in green, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in blue and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in purple. The $\mathbf{x}$ linker and $\mathbf{c}$ extension are shown in red and grey, respectively.

The $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains are also known as the catalytic domains as they contain the thioredoxin-like active site motif CGHC, whereas $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ are the non-catalytic domains as although they both consist of a thioredoxin fold, they lack the active site sequence. The b domain, previously thought to play a structural stabilising role, has recently been reported to form part of the hydrophobic binding surface whereas, the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain has been shown to contain the primary ligand binding site (Byrne et al., 2009, Pirneskoski et al.,

2001, Wang et al., 2012a). b' contains two cysteine residues (C295 and C326) but they are unlikely to form disulphide bonds as they have been found to be inaccessible to solvent (Darby et al., 1998, Pirneskoski et al., 2004). The $\mathbf{c}$ tail located at the C-terminus of PDI is rich in acidic residues and contains the ER-retention signal sequence, KDEL. Additionally, $\mathbf{x}$ is a flexible linker region located between the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ domains (Pirneskoski et al., 2004, Tian et al., 2006). Domain boundaries have been determined by studying structures of individual domains, protease sensitivity, as well as looking at the solubility of recombinantly expressed domains (Yao et al., 1997, Ferrari and Söling, 1999, Sun et al., 2000).

For many years crystallisation of full length hPDI has proved challenging, so structural studies have been carried out on individual domains, or more recently double and triple domain constructs. The published structures of yeast PDI have aided in better understanding the domain rearrangement of hPDI, but there is only $37 \%$ sequence identity between the two species and therefore there are likely to be important structural differences. Figure 1.2 shows a sequence alignment between human and yeast PDI obtained by submiting the amino acid sequences of the proteins in the ClustalW2 tool in the EMBL-EBI website (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/).


Figure 1.2 Sequence alignment of human and yeast PDI. Alignment was carried out using ClustalW2 in the EMBL-EBI website. Domain boundaries have been coloured as described in figure 1.1 with the active sites in the yellow boxes. Residues that are identical in both sequence are highlighted.

The first human PDI structure to be solved was that of the a domain (1MEK.pdb) (Kemmink et al., 1996), which revealed the highly conserved thioredoxin fold comprising the $\beta 1-\alpha 1-\beta 2-\alpha 2-\beta 3-\alpha 3-\beta 4-\beta 5-\alpha 4$ structure, forming a five stranded $\beta$-sheet flanked by four $\alpha$-helices (Ferrari and Söling, 1999). The active site thioredoxin motif is located between $\beta 2$ and $\alpha 2$. Sequence homology between the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain led to the hypothesis that $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ also consisted of a thioredoxin fold. This was confirmed by the subsequent deposition of the NMR structure for the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain (1X5C.pdb) in the PDB by Riken Structural Genomics/Proteomics Initiative (RSGI) in 2005. Despite the low sequence identity between the catalytic, a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, and non-catalytic, $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, domains and the lack of the thioredoxin-like active site motif in the latter, $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ were also shown to consist of thioredoxin folds as revealed by the NMR structure of the $\mathbf{b}$ domain (1BJX.pdb) and the crystal structure of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (3BJ5.pdb) (Nguyen et al., 2008, Kemmink et al., 1999). The structures for all of the individual human PDI domains are shown in figure 1.3 against the human thioredoxin structure ( $1 \mathrm{~W} 4 \mathrm{~V} . \mathrm{pdb}$ ) to illustrate the similarity of the fold in all cases.


Figure 1.3 Structures of individual PDI domains deposited in the Protein Data Bank. a) human thioredoxin 1 W 4 V .pdb is shown for fold comparison b) human a domain 1MEK.pdb $\mathbf{c}$ ) human $\mathbf{b}$ domain 1BJX.pdb d) human $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ domain 3BJ5.pdb e) human $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain 1X5C.pdb. The cysteines of the active site in thioredoxin, PDI a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains are shown as yellow sticks. All of the above structures have been solved by NMR spectroscopy except $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ which is a crystal structure of the I272A mutant.

The first crystal structure of full length PDI from yeast (3B5E.pdb) revealed that the four thioredoxin domains are arranged in the shape of a twisted " $U$ " with the noncatalytic $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ ' domains forming the base of the " $U$ " and the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}$ ' domains forming the arms of the " $U$ " as shown in figure 1.4 (Tian et al., 2006).


Figure 1.4 The crystal structures of full length yeast PDI from crystals grown at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Domains are shown as $\mathbf{a}$ in pink, $\mathbf{b}$ in green, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in blue, $\mathbf{x}$ region in red, $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in purple and $\mathbf{c}$ tail in grey. Cysteines of the active sites are shown as Corey-Pauling-Koltun (CPK) space fill in yellow.

Figure 1.4 shows the active sites on $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ face each other from a distance of $\sim 28$ $\AA$ (Tian et al., 2006). A hydrophobic patch on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ together with other hydrophobic residues around the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active sites form a continuous hydrophobic surface inside the cleft of the "U" facilitating interactions with mis-folded proteins. All four domains of yeast PDI were found to be structurally similar to thioredoxin and the C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, was partially ordered into a helix. The second $\alpha$ helix in the a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains, the N -terminal end of which contains the active site, is the longest helix in the fold extending from the end of one parallel strand ( $\beta 2$ ) to the next ( $\beta 3$ ). This is facilitated by the presence of a conserved proline residue in both of the catalytic domains, which introduces a kink in the first half of the helix. The large interface between $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ helps to form a rigid base to the " $U$ " with a buried surface area of $\sim 700 \AA^{2}$. In contrast, the interfaces between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ are considerably smaller with buried surface area of $\sim 200 \AA^{2}$ allowing the
arms of the "U"-shaped molecule to be much more flexible than the base. This flexibility would also allow moderation of the hydrophobic cleft to accommodate substrates of varying sizes.

A second yeast structure solved from crystals grown at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (3BOA.pdb), in contrast to the structure grown from crystals at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, revealed PDI in a more open conformation forming a "boat" like structure as shown in figure 1.5 (Tian et al., 2008).


Figure 1.5 The crystal structures of full length yeast PDI from crystals grown at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Domains and active sites are shown as described in figure 1.3.

The spatial arrangement of the $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains is similar between the two structures, whereas the relative position of the a domain is considerably different in the $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ structure, giving the whole molecule a more extended conformation. The most important feature of this second structure is that the active sites on $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ no longer face each other as the a domain is dislocated by $\sim 22 \AA$. This suggests that PDI is a highly flexible molecule that is able to adjust to substrates of different sizes and adopt a range of conformations (Tian et al., 2008).

Although PDI is highly conserved from yeast to mammals, with around $70 \%$ sequence homology, the full length structure of human PDI can be predicted to a certain extent, but there are likely to be important differences in the domain orientation between yeast and human PDI structures. In contrast to yPDI, where the flexibility of the molecule
is due to movement of the a domain, the C-terminal half of hPDI, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, is more flexible than the N-terminal ab region (Wang et al., 2010). The NMR structure of the bb' construct ( $2 \mathrm{~K} 18 . \mathrm{pdb}$ ) of PDI revealed extensive contacts between the $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domains forming a rigid structural unit as shown in figure 1.6a (Denisov et al., 2009).


Figure 1.6 Structures of bb' (2K18.pdb) and b'x (3BJ5) of hPDI. a) NMR structure of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ with the $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domains displayed in green and blue, respectively. Only part of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, shown in red, is present in this construct. b) Crystal structure of the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutant with the $\mathbf{x}$ linker folding back on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain is shown for comparison.

The main structural difference between the human and yeast PDI bb' fragment is the extra helix, $\alpha 3$, in the $\mathbf{b}$ domain of hPDI and an extra helix in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of yeast PDI (Denisov et al., 2009). When the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain in the $\mathbf{b b}$ ' structure was compared to the crystal structure of the I272A mutant of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of hPDI (figure 1.6b), differences were seen for a number of amino acids (Denisov et al., 2009). This could have been due to the presence of the $\mathbf{b}$ domain in $\mathbf{b b}$ ', the I272A mutation or the presence of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The $\mathbf{x}$ linker in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ structure binds to the ligand binding site in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain (Nguyen et al., 2008), whereas in the bb' structure, only half of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker is present and this could affect the conformation of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain.

The bb'xa' structure of hPDI revealed a conformationally active molecule which was greatly affect by the oxidation state of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain (Wang et al., 2012b). The reduced crystal structure showed the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain tightly packed with $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ to form a compact structural unit joined by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (figure 1.7).


Figure 1.7 Crystal structure of the reduced bb'xa' of hPDI. The $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains are coloured in green, blue and purple, respectively. The $\mathbf{x}$ linker region is shown in red and the cysteines of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site are displayed as yellow sticks. Residues E305 and E306 are missing from the structure.

The reduced $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' crystal structure is the only available structure which allows the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ region to be studied in detail. Superposition of the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (3UEM.pdb) structure with the solution structure of the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ fragment ( 2 K 18 ).pdb, showed that although the $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domains in the $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' structure are similar to those in the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ structure, inter-domain motions were visible between the two structures. The $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$ structure is similar to the isolated $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ crystal structure (1X5C.pdb). The crystal structure of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (3BJ5.pdb) was also very similar to the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of the $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ structure, but differences were seen in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, which in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ is found capping the ligand binding site. Figure 1.8 shows a superposition of the individual $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ structures with the crystal structure of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$.


Figure 1.8 Superposition of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}^{\prime}$. Domains of the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ structure $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ are shown in green, blue and purple respectively. The $\mathbf{x}$ linker is shown in red. a) bb' ( $2 \mathrm{~K} 18 . \mathrm{pdb}$ ) and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (1X5C.pdb) structures are shown in cyan and are superpositioned on their respective domains of the $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ structure. $\mathbf{b}$ ) I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in yellow and $\mathbf{x}$ in cyan is aligned with the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$. Structure alignment was carried out in PyMOL.

The bb'xa' crystal structure also showed significant differences from both of the yeast crystal structures, especially in the position of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain relative to the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ base (Wang et al., 2012b). This is due to a $\sim 35-45^{\circ}$ rotation of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain toward the hydrophobic surface of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. Although there is no structure for $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in the oxidised state, Wang et al. (2011) showed through protease sensitivity and fluorescence studies that oxidised bb'xa' undergoes redox-dependent conformational changes triggered by a redox switch of the active site in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain (Wang et al., 2012b).

Recent structures of oxidised and reduced abb' $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ of PDI, lacking the C-terminal extension, revealed the four thioredoxin domains arranged in a horseshoe shape with $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ forming the base and the catalytic $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains at the two ends. The reduced structure shows the $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domains located in the same plane, whereas $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ is twisted out of the $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime}$ plane by $\sim 45^{\circ}$. The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$ region of $\mathbf{a b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$ is very similar to that of the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$ structure in the reduced state, and differences are seen only in the $\mathbf{b}$ domain, possibly due to contacts between domains $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in the $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ structure. Comparison of the hPDI abb' $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$ with the yPDI structure ${ }^{\text {at }} 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed that the structures were very similar to each other. However, the catalytic a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains of hPDI displayed rotations of $\sim 30^{\circ}$ and
$\sim 20^{\circ}$, respectively, with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site moving closer towards the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ hydrophobic ligand binding site.

The oxidised abb'xa' structure also forms a horseshoe shape, but in contrast to the reduced structure, the thioredoxin domains are arranged in the same plane. The distance between the active sites increases from $27.6 \AA$ in the reduced to $40.3 \AA$ in the oxidised state. But the most important difference between the reduced and oxidised abb' $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime}$ hPDI structures was in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ region, where the oxidised $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain rotates $\sim 45^{\circ}$ around the $\mathbf{x}$ linker and away from the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ ligand binding site, forming a more extended structure than the compact reduced molecule. The redox-dependent conformational change of hPDI ultimately controls the access to the hydrophobic surface inside the horseshoe molecule, which consists of a hydrophobic pocket in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain and extended hydrophobic patches around the active sites and the $\mathbf{b}$ domain. As the oxidised structure displays a more open conformation, revealing a cleft that is $\sim 2$ fold larger than that of reduced $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$, oxidised hPDI can accommodate larger substrates than reduced PDI, as a result controlling the proteins chaperone activity (Wang et al., 2012a).

### 1.3.2 Activity

PDI displays thioredoxin-like activity although there is a difference in redox potential between thioredoxin and the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains due to variation in the CXXC active site sequences (Lundström and Holmgren, 1990). The thioredoxin active site, CGPC, is mainly responsible for catalysing the reduction of disulphide bonds, whereas that of PDI, CGHC, is involved mainly in disulphide bond oxidation and isomerisation. In addition to thiol-disulphide exchange catalysis, PDI has been suggested to have molecular chaperone activity, as it aids folding of disulphide bond-containing proteins (Winter et al., 2002, Yao et al., 1997) as well as assisting in the refolding of proteins lacking disulphide bonds (Cai et al., 1994, Song and Wang, 1995) in order to prevent aggregation of unfolded or mis-folded proteins (Freedman et al., 1994). This chaperone activity was previously reported to require full length PDI but fragments like bb'xa' have recently been shown to exhibit chaperone activity (Sun et al., 2000, Wang et al., 2012b). A C-terminal truncation, in which the $\mathbf{c}$ region and the C-terminus of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain were deleted, resulted in the loss of chaperone activity (Dai and Wang, 1997). It is also possible that this truncation could
have resulted in loss of ligand binding by the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain due to destabilisation of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and subsequent loss of chaperone activity.

PDI has also been shown to have antichaperone activity in instances when substrate concentration is too high for its chaperone capacity. In this case, PDI forms inactive, disulphide bonded aggregates that are retained in the ER for degradation (Puig and Gilbert, 1994). PDI has also been shown to be a subunit of larger multi protein complexes, such as its role as the two $\beta$-subunits of the ER luminal enzyme prolyl-4-hydroxylase (Freedman, 1989), involved in the catalysis of procollagen pro- $\alpha$-chain prolyl hydroxylation.

The redox activity of PDI is dependent on the redox potential of the surrounding media. For example, the ER consists of a highly oxidizing environment caused by a higher proportion of oxidised glutathione (GSSG) than its reduced form (GSH) allowing the formation and rearrangement of disulphide bonds (Hwang et al., 1992). In more reducing conditions, such as the cytosol, PDI is able to reduce disulphide bonds of oxidised proteins, but thioredoxin is more efficient in this process than PDI (Noiva, 1999).

In an important study by Darby and co-workers, the contribution of each domain to the activity of PDI was explored using a number of substrates such as two different disulphide bonded BPTI non-native intermediates, a 28 amino acid long peptide based on the BPTI sequence, and the insulin reduction assay (Darby et al., 1998). They showed that all of the domains contributed to the thiol-disulphide exchange activity of PDI and that the minimal constructs required for catalysis were $\mathbf{a b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ or $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$. This study also showed that the isolated $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains had poor activity towards protein substrates but they were still capable of catalysing redox and isomerisation reactions. However, for full activity, PDI requires further domains in addition to $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, especially the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain which plays an essential role in substrate binding (Darby et al., 1998, Pirneskoski et al., 2004).

### 1.3.3 Mechanism

PDI is responsible for catalysing oxidation, reduction and isomerisation of disulphides in the ER. A schematic representation of the mechanism of catalysis of oxidation, reduction and isomerisation is shown in figure 1.9.

## Oxidation




Isomerisation


Figure 1.9 Mechanism of catalysis by PDI. Oxidation, reduction and isomerisation of disulphides by PDI. PDI is shown in black and the substrate in blue.

The redox activity of PDI is attributed to the presence of the two thioredoxin-like motifs, CGHC, in the a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains, which account for the catalytic activity in thioldisulphide exchange reactions (Edman et al., 1985). PDI consists of two active domains, so two reactions can happen simultaneously.

During oxidation, the substrate dithiol is oxidised to the disulphide state. This reaction requires the conversion of a disulphide CGHC active site to a dithiol. Consequently PDI is reduced, so in order to complete the catalytic cycle it must be reoxidised. Reoxidation in vitro can be carried out by using GSSG, glutathione disulphide which is made up of two disulphide bonded glutathione molecules, as the terminal electron acceptor. As a result GSH is generated and there is a change in reduction potential of the solution. If no electron acceptor is present, PDI is able to reduce a disulphide bond in a non-native protein molecule in order to subsequently form a disulphide in another substrate. PDI reoxidation in vivo is carried out by members of the ER oxidoreductin 1
family, $\operatorname{Ero} 1 \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Erol} \beta$ (Tavender and Bulleid, 2010). It is not clear why two family members are needed, but Ero $1 \alpha$ is expressed during hypoxia and ER stress, whereas Ero $1 \beta$ expression is induced by stress due to accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER (Marciniak et al., 2004, Gess et al., 2003, Pagani et al., 2000). Disulphide transfer from Erol to reduced PDI occurs through contacts between the PDI CGHC active site motif and the "outer" active site of Ero1. Following disulphide transfer to PDI, the Erol active site is reoxidised by disulphide exchange with its "inner" active site (Tavender and Bulleid, 2010).

Substrate reduction is the reaction in which the substrate disulphide is reduced to the dithiol state and consequently the PDI active site gains a disulphide and is oxidised. In vitro, PDI is reduced by DTT or GSH.

Isomerisation is the reaction in which the substrate disulphides are arranged to form a different combination of disulphide bonds (Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009). In this reaction, there is no net change in the redox state of the PDI active site, therefore PDI is recycled without the need for an electron acceptor or donor like in the redox reactions (Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009).

The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the active site cysteine residues plays an important role in regulating the mechanism of action of PDI. The N-terminal active site cysteines of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C} 36$ and C380, have been reported to have $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in the range of 4.4 to 6.7 , lower than the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of a protein cysteine thiol (Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009). This abnormally low $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is partly due to its location in the protein structure. It is located at the N -terminus of a $\alpha$-helix which has a permanent positive dipole associated with it leading to a decrease in $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (Kortemme and Creighton, 1995). The ionisable state of the this cysteine side chain is also partly stabilised by the histidine imidazole group located within the active site motif. A combination of these factors maintains the cysteine as a thiolate (S-) as opposed to a thiol (SH) which is a weaker nucleophile. The second cysteine, located at the C-terminus of the active site has been described to have limited solvent exposure as it is buried within the 3D structure of the protein (Darby and Creighton, 1995).

The C-terminal active site cysteines of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, C39 and C383, require a higher than average $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value, calculated value of 128 (Lappi et al., 2004), to favour the formation of mixed disulphides with substrate molecules. Consequently, this allows a thiolate from the substrate to displace the mixed disulphide and cause the isomerisation of the substrate. However, this process inhibits the reoxidation of the PDI molecule which
requires nucleophilic attack by the C-terminal cysteine thiolate. In the a domain, this problem is circumvented by the presence of a conserved arginine residue, R120, located between $\beta 5$ and $\alpha 4$ of the thioredoxin fold. The side chain of R120 is thought to come into proximity with the active site creating a microenvironment and lowering the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the C terminal cysteine, which acts as a nucleophile to enable reoxidation and generate the PDI disulphide bond (Lappi et al., 2004). This process generates the reduced form of the substrate which can undergo further reduction or oxidation during the isomerisation reaction. The $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI uses the same mechanism.

### 1.3.4 Ligand binding

The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI provides the principal ligand binding site and is essential and sufficient for peptide binding, although additional domains are required for larger substrates (Klappa et al., 1998, Pirneskoski et al., 2004, Byrne et al., 2009). Studies using a range of peptides, including $\Delta$-somatostatin and mastoparan, have revealed that interactions with the ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain are based primarily on reversible hydrophobic interactions and to date there is no specific amino acid sequence to which PDI will preferentially bind (Okubara et al., 2003). However, whereas $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ provides the ligand binding site, both the catalytic domains, $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, are required for binding of mis-folded proteins. Substrate binding by $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ is sensitive to the conformational stability of other domains, as destabilizing mutations in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain indirectly affect ligand binding on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. This could be due to the disordered mutated region occupying the binding site and therefore inhibiting ligand binding (Klappa et al., 2000).

The ligand binding site has been mapped by NMR spectroscopy and it consists primarily of residues from the core $\beta$-sheet and $\alpha$-helices 1 and 3 (Byrne et al., 2009). The isolated b'x construct of PDI has been found in conformational exchange and its structure can be stabilized by binding of peptide ligands or the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (Byrne et al., 2009). NMR and intrinsic fluorescence data have shown that $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ can exist in at least two different conformations in solution. One of these conformations, termed the "capped" conformation, shows the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region bound to the hydrophobic ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. The other "uncapped" conformation consists of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region free in solution leaving the ligand binding site on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ free for other substrates to bind (Nguyen et al., 2008). Figure 1.10 shows the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ structure in the "capped" conformation.


Figure 1.10 Crystal structure of the ligand binding domain $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (I272A mutant 3BJ5.pdb). a) b'x is shown in blue with residues from the ligand binding site in red mapped by NMR (residues A228, F232, T238, I240, L270, F271, L300, I301, T302, Y310). The $\mathbf{x}$ linker region is shown in yellow capping the ligand binding site. b) Surface charge density map for the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain with $\mathbf{x}$ in ribbon format (blue = positive potential, red $=$ negative potential, white $=$ neutral $)$.

The ligand binding site on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ is also required for the assembly of PDI with the $\beta$ subunit of prolyl-4-hydroxylase, but additional sites on $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ are required for the assembly of the tetramer (Koivunen et al., 2005). Therefore substrate binding involves cooperation from at least three of the PDI domains. Additionally, the crystal structure of yeast PDI shows that the $\mathbf{b}$ domain is also implicated in substrate binding (Tian et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2012a).

### 1.4 Human PDI family members

Although PDI is considered the main catalyst of thiol-disulphide exchange reactions, it is the founding member of an ever-growing family, the PDI family. To date, there are 20 defined human PDI family members, each containing at least one thioredoxinlike domain with redox activity for ER folding and secretion of proteins. Not all of the PDI family members have been extensively studied, but because they share similarity to at least one PDI domain, they generally share similar catalytic abilities but can have distinct substrates. Figure 1.11 shows all of the current members of the human PDI family of proteins.


Figure 1.11 The human PDI family (adapted from Kozlov et al 2010). Catalytic thioredoxin like domains, a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, are shown in purple and pink, and non-catalytic domains, $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, are coloured in green and blue, respectively. The $\mathbf{x}$ linker region between domains $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ is shown in red. The DnaJ domain of ERdj5 and the C-terminal helical domain of ERp29 are shown in yellow. White boxes indicate trans-membrane domains. The active site sequence is written in each catalytic domain.

### 1.4.1 PDIp

PDIp, unlike most PDI family members, is specifically located in the aciner cells of the pancreas (Darby and Creighton, 1995), although recent database analysis implies that it may also be found in the brain and in low levels in organs surrounding the pancreas, such
as the bladder and stomach (Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009). It has the same domain structure as PDI with the abb'xa' fragment displaying $49.5 \%$ identity. The $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain of PDIp has a unique WCTHC active site sequence. The $\mathbf{c}$ tail at the C -terminus is much less acidic than that of PDI, instead an additional acidic N -terminal extension occurs before the a domain (Ferrari and Söling, 1999). It is able to bind a range of peptides derived from pancreatic digestive enzymes such as pancreatic lipase and pancreatic trypsin inhibitor as well as the hormone somatostatin (Kortemme and Creighton, 1995).

### 1.4.2 ERp57

ERp57 shares a similar domain architecture to PDI, with the main difference being in the C-terminal extension, where $\mathbf{c}$ in PDI is rich in acidic residues, the $\mathbf{c}$ tail of ERp57 contains multiple lysine residues. It is located in the ER via the retention sequence QDEL and as in PDI, the active sites contain the CGHC thioredoxin motif (Frickel et al., 2004). The crystal structure of the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ domain construct of ERp57 is shown in figure 1.12 (Kozlov et al., 2006).


Figure 1.12 The crystal structure of the bb' fragment of ERp57 (2H8L.pdb). Domains $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ as well as the termini of the construct are labelled in the figure.

ERp57 is thought to play an important role in the oxidative folding of N glycosylated proteins such as hemagglutinin, rhodopsin and transferrin, as it interacts with the ER lectins, calnexin and calreticulin, which bind to these glycoproteins (Oliver et al., 1999, Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009). It is also involved in folding of MHC (Major Histocompatibility Complex) class I and forms part of the MHC I peptide loading complex
together with calreticulin, TAP and tapasin (Peaper and Cresswell, 2008). ERp57 is important in early development and differentiation of embryonic cells as its deletion has been shown to be lethal in embryonic mice (Garbi et al., 2006).

### 1.4.3 ERp72

ERp72, also known as CaBP2, was one of the first members of the PDI family to be reported, after PDI itself, but it has still not been studied as extensively as some other members of the PDI family. It shares considerable sequence homology with ERp57 and it has been reported that under physiological conditions, ERp72 can replace ERp57 in knock out cell lines (Hiniker and Bardwell, 2004). It is localised in the ER via a slightly unusual retention sequence, KEEL, and it is thought to possess significant redox and isomerase activity due to the presence of the three active site domains, each with the CGHC sequence (Mazzarella et al., 1990). There is no full length structure available for ERp72 but bb' (3EC3.pdb) and $\mathbf{a}^{0} \mathbf{a}$ (3IDV.pdb) have been solved by X-ray crystallography.


Figure 1.13 The crystal structures of the $b^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{0} \mathbf{a}$ fragment of ERp72. a) $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime}$ (3EC3.pdb) b) $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{a}$ (3IDV.pdb).

ERp72 forms a complex with other PDI family members like PDI, P5, ERdj3, BiP as well as HSP40, GRP94 and GRP70 (Creighton et al., 1980) but it has been found not to bind peptides (Frand and Kaiser, 1998).

### 1.4.4 P5

P5, also known as ERp5, was the third member of the PDI family to be identified, but like ERp72 is less well studied than the other family members (Lundström and Holmgren, 1990). It is the smallest redox-active thioredoxin family member with a domain distribution $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{- \mathbf { a } - \mathbf { b }}$. Although it contains the KDEL ER-retrieval signal, most reports for P5 function are outside the ER and include a role in platelet function (Song and Wang, 1995) and tumour metastasis (Winter et al., 2002).

### 1.4.5 ERp44

Human ERp44 was first identified in 2002, with homologues across metozoans (Anelli et al., 2002). It is thought to bind Erol $\alpha$, a protein responsible for maintaining the oxidized state of PDI. ERp44 is highly expressed in secretory tissues and during B-cell differentiation (Wang et al., 2007). The crystal structure of ERp44 showed the a-b-b' domains forming a " V " shaped molecule with similar features to PDI, such as the thioredoxin folded domains (Wang et al., 2008). However, ERp44 contains an unusual active site sequence, WCRFS, which lacks the C-terminal cysteine residue.


Figure 1.14 The crystal structure of ERp44 (2R2J.pdb) showing the $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domains arranged in a " $V$ " shape.

### 1.4.6 PDIr

PDIr has an unusual $\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a}^{0}-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain architecture as its three C -terminal domains are a like domains. Two of the thioredoxin motifs are similar to PDI, CXHC, suggesting that it may function as a thiol-disulphide oxidase/isomerase. The physiological function of PDIr is still unknown.

### 1.4.7 Other PDI family members

ERp29 is an ER resident protein found in rats which is highly expressed on ER stress (Mkrtchian et al., 1998). It consists of two domains and its crystal structure shows the N -terminal domain to be $\mathbf{b}$-like, whereas its C-terminal domain is an all $\alpha$-helical fold (Barak et al., 2009). ERp29 function has been linked to the folding of thyroglobulin within the ER of mammalian cells (Sargsyan et al., 2002).

ERdj5 is a PDI related protein whose function is still unknown. It is localised in the ER via the KDEL retrieval sequence and is induced during ER stress (Cunnea et al., 2003). It is classed as a type III DnaJ as it contains a J domain.


Figure 1.15 The crystal structure of full length ERdj5. The J domain is located at the N -terminus of the protein.

ERp18 is the smallest protein of the human PDI family members, consisting of a single catalytic domain as shown in figure 1.16 (Rowe et al., 2009). It has an unusual active site, with a CGAC motif, and is able to catalyse thiol-disulphide exchange reactions (Alanen et al., 2003).


Figure 1.16 The solution structure of ERp18 (2KV8.pdb).

PDILT is highly tissue-specific as it is expressed mainly in the testes after puberty and it is the first family member to show developmental control (Van Lith et al., 2007).

ERp46, also known as endoPDI, has a unique domain arrangement with 3 catalytic domains linked by long spacers. It has been reported to protect cells against hypoxia and can functionally replace PDIp in mice (Knoblach et al., 2003, Sullivan et al., 2003).

ARG2 and ARG3 are single domain proteins localised in the ER and the latest members of the PDI family.

TMX, TMX2, TMX3, TMX4 and TMX5 are transmembrane PDI family members and have putative type I transmembrane protein ER localisation signals but they are not very well characterised (Hatahet and Ruddock, 2009).

ERp27 is a small PDI family member lacking a catalytic domain and its physiological function is still unclear. It contains a $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$-like domain through which it binds peptides and non-native proteins, it also interacts with ERp57 via the same mechanism that ERp57 binds to calreticulin and calnexin (Alanen et al., 2006).

### 1.5 Introduction to Biomolecular NMR

Structural information is invaluable when it comes to understanding a protein's function and mechanism of action. Over the years a number of techniques such as X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) have been used to solve the 3D structure of not only individual proteins but also protein complexes, although cryo-EM is not as detailed as X -ray. The main technique used in this study is nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) as it cannot only be used to solve 3D structures, but it can also provide information on dynamics and ligand interactions of proteins in solution.

Biomolecular NMR spectroscopy is made possible due to the fundamental property of nuclei known as "spin" which interacts with a static magnetic field. The spin of a nucleus is the same for any given isotope and has half integer value. Isotopes that are studied are either naturally abundant (eg. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ) or if their natural abundance is too low for NMR, they are artificially enriched into the protein of interest $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ as they have nuclei with spin $1 / 2$ and give rise to high resolution NMR data with narrow well resolved peaks. Isotopes like ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ have a spin of zero and are NMR inactive. NMR experiments collected in this thesis exploited the nuclei of three isotopes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, to gather information about the dynamic properties of PDI proteins as well as to explore the structural changes upon ligand binding. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ is the primary NMR isotope with a natural abundance of $99.99 \%$. Naturally occurring ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ are not suitable for high resolution NMR as ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ gives prohibitive broad peaks and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is inactive. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopes were used instead, but their natural abundances are much lower at $0.23 \%$ and $1.10 \%$, respectively. To circumvent this issue, the isotopes were enriched into the protein during recombinant expression in E. coli.

When placed within a magnetic field, the spin of NMR active nuclei generate a magnetic momentum, $\mu$. The magnetic moment aligns with or against the field and will resonate at a frequency that is related to the strength of the applied magnetic field, $\mathrm{B}_{0}$. In this thesis, a 14.1 Tesla magnet was used where ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclei precess with a frequency of around $600 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ nuclei precess with a frequency of 60 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 150 MHz . Further theory into the NMR experiments used in this thesis are described in Chapters 4 and 5.

NMR is also very powerful in detecting subtle changes in the chemical and structural environment of individual nuclei using a property known as the chemical shift in
units of parts per million (ppm). Each nucleus has a potentially different local environment and therefore a unique chemical shift. The chemical shifts for each ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ can be used for the assignment of resonances and subsequent NMR studies of the protein of interest.

### 1.6 Project Aims

This project aims to characterise the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}$ construct of hPDI by a number of biophysical techniques and NMR spectroscopy. This C-terminal half of PDI has been described as the minimal unit with isomerase activity due to the presence of the ligand binding site on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and the active site on the catalytic a' domain (Pirneskoski et al., 2001, Wang et al., 2010). As the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region has been shown to act as a gate towards ligand binding, the aim is to investigate the capping mechanism when the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain is also present. One of the main objectives of this study is to determine what happens to the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region when it is tethered by both of its neighbouring domains, $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, as capping could prove to be an important physiological mechanism controlling access to the hydrophobic binding site and could lead to wider structural arrangement of the protein as well as control other aspects of PDI structure/function.

The aims of this thesis were:

1. Site-directed mutagenesis of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ to generate the I272A, L343A, D346A/D348A (2DA) mutants in the WT and W390F backgrounds and optimisation of a protocol to allow expression and purification of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins using the pET23b vector.
2. Ensuring isotopic enrichment of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{c}$, its mutants and other PDI constructs such as WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$.
3. Determination of capping in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ using intrinsic fluorescence and characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins using gel filtration and protease sensitivity.
4. Determination of the conformational stability of WT b'xa'c and mutants, xa'c and a'c.
5. Characterisation of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, WT and I272A, by NMR including triple resonance assignments of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, ligand binding and investigations into the dynamic properties of these proteins.
6. NMR characterisation of WT, I272A, L343A and 2DA b'xa'c through temperature and pH titrations, as well as further NMR investigations of WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, including triple resonance assignments of the I272A mutant, dynamic properties and ligand binding investigations to determine if capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region occurs in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

## CHAPTER 2

## Mutagenesis, Protein Production and Purification of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$

### 2.1 Introduction

In order to carry out characterisation studies by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), milligram quantities of protein are required. For many years protein scientists have been exploiting bacterial expression systems in order to recombinantly over-express their protein of interest. E. coli is the most widely used host for the expression of recombinant proteins due to its ability to grow rapidly on inexpensive media at relatively high densities (Francois, 1999). A wide range of vectors and protocols are also available for expression of recombinant proteins in E. coli. Recombinant expression also allows uniform isotope labelling with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in order to obtain NMR signals due to the low natural abundance of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}(0.23 \%)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(1.1 \%)$.

### 2.1.1 E.coli strains and vectors used for protein expression

For this project, two different E. coli strains were used, DH5 $\alpha$ and BL21 (DE3) pLysS. E. coli DH5a cells have a high transformation efficiency and produce high plasmid yields. This strain does not contain the T7 RNA polymerase gene, therefore eliminating plasmid instability due to the production of proteins potentially toxic to the host cell. For these reasons, E. coli DH5 a cells were used for production and manipulation of DNA.

In contrast, E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells are widely used in protein production. They carry a prophage, $\lambda \mathrm{DE} 3$, encoding the T7 RNA polymerase enzyme which is under the control of the isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) inducible lacUV5 promoter. BL21 strains lack the proteases lon and omp $T$ which degrade proteins and are therefore ideal for the expression of target genes (Grodberg and Dunn, 1988). They also contain a chloramphenicol-resistant plasmid, pLysS, that provides a small amount of T7 lysozyme, which is a natural inhibitor of T7 RNA polymerase and inhibits leaky transcription. T7 lysozyme also assists in cell lysis so that soluble protein can be released for purification. T7 RNA polymerase binds specifically to the T7 promoter initiating transcription and consequently protein production.

The vector used for the expression of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was the ampicillin-resistant pHIA241, a derivative of pET-23b $(+)$ plasmid (Novagen), modified so that the region between XbaI and NdeI was replaced by synthetic oligonucleotides. Therefore, cloning into the NdeI site results in the addition of a non-cleavable His-tag (MHHHHHHM) where the final two residues are encoded by the NdeI site. Otherwise the vector is unchanged. pHIA241 was received as a gift from Prof. Ruddock (Oulu University, Finland).


Figure 2.1 Map of pHIA241 (a derivative of pET-23b) showing the location of the restriction enzyme sites BamHI and NdeI , where the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ coding sequence is inserted and the T 7 terminator and promoter sequences used in the PCR reactions.

### 2.1.2 Mutagenesis by overlap extension

The pHIA241 vector containing the WT sequence for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was used as a template for the generation of mutants via site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension. The mutants generated for the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ insert were I272A, L343A and D346A/D348A (2DA). These are the same mutations that were previously engineered in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ construct of PDI in order to favour the capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, in the case of I272A and 2DA, or favour uncapping like in the case of L343A (Nguyen et al., 2008). The purpose of these mutations was to observe if they had the same effect in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ as they have been shown to have in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ construct. I272A, 2DA and L343A mutants were compared to the WT b' $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in NMR and fluorescence studies.

The environment of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ has also been studied by intrinsic fluorescence. This has been possible due to the presence of the unique $\operatorname{Trp}$ residue in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, W347. In the capped conformation, where $\mathbf{x}$ is buried in the hydrophobic ligand binding site, the emission spectra are characterised by a blue shift in fluorescence. Whereas, in the uncapped conformation, where $\mathbf{x}$ is more free in solution, spectra display a red shift in fluorescence (Nguyen et al., 2008).

However, the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ construct contains three tryptophan residues, one in $\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{W} 347$, and two in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, W379 and W390, as a result the fluorescence emitted will be a combination of the local environments of the Trp in the $\mathbf{x}$ region as well as those of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. W35 in the WCGHC active site motif of the a domain of PDI has been shown to be heavily quenched in both the dithiol and the disulphide states (Lappi et al., 2004). For that reason it is believed that W 379 , analogous to W 35 and located in the WCGHC a' active site, is also heavily quenched and does not contribute to the fluorescence emission spectra of the protein. Therefore, W390 was mutated to a phenylalanine to ensure that W347 was the sole emitter of fluorescence in the b'xa'c construct. Thus any fluorescence emitted was representative of the environment of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region and capping could be tracked.

For that reason, the W390F mutation was introduced into the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and its three mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA. The mutations that were made are shown highlighted in yellow in the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ amino acid sequence in figure 2.2.
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| 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 | 250 | 260 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MHHHHHHMPL | VIEFTEQTAP | KIFGGEIKTH | ILLFLPKSVS | DYDGKLSNFK | TAAESFKGKI |
| 270 | 280 | 290 | 300 | 310 | 320 |
| LFIFIDSDHT | DNQRILEFFG | LKKEECPAVR | LITLEEEMTK | YKPESEELTA | ERITEFCHRF |
| 330 | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 |
| LEGKIKPHLM | SQETPEDWDK | QPVKVLVGKN | FEDVAFDEKK | NVFVEFYAPW | CGHCKQLAPI |
| 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 |
| WDKLGETYKD | HENIVIAKMD | STANEVEAVK | VHSFPTLKFF | PASADRTVID | YNGERTLDGF |
| 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 |  |
| KKFLESGGQD | GAGDDDDLED | LEEAEEPDME | EDDDQKAVKD | EL |  |

Figure 2.2 Amino acid sequence of WT b'xa'c. The mutations introduced are highlighted in yellow and the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site is underlined. The different components of the constructs are shown in colours: His tag (blue), $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ (green), $\mathbf{x}$ (red), $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (purple), $\mathbf{c}$ (grey).

Mutagenesis of proteins is a useful tool for better understanding the relationship between protein structure and function. Site-directed mutagenesis helps to understand the function and role of single amino acid residues in a protein of interest by comparing the wild type protein to the mutant protein carrying the desired amino acid change. There are now several methods that can be used to introduce a mutation at a specific residue and so produce the desired amino acid substitution, insertion or deletion (Higuchi, 1988, Ho et al., 1989, Senanayake, 1995). The method used in this project was site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension first described in 1989 (Ho et al., 1989).

Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension uses complementary primers and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to generate two DNA fragments with overlapping ends in two separate PCR reactions. This method requires four primers in order to introduce a site-specific mutation. The nucleotide sequence of the primers used is shown in table 2.1 in the Materials and Methods section.

One pair of primers was used to amplify the DNA that contained the mutation site together with downstream sequences (see figure 2.3). The forward mutagenic primer encoded the mutations to be introduced into the wild type template DNA, whereas the reverse primer contained the wild type sequence. In this case, the reverse primer was the T 7 terminator encoded by the plasmid. These two primers were used in an amplification reaction, PCR 1 , to make the $3^{\prime}$ fragment of the desired gene.

The other pair of primers was used to amplify the DNA that contained the mutation site and the upstream sequences. The reverse mutagenic primer of this second pair included the mutations to be introduced into the wild type DNA and the forward primer had a wild type sequence. In this case the forward primer was the T7 promoter encoded by the plasmid. At least 15 bases of the reverse primer in this pair were complementary to the forward primer in the first pair of primers. Amplification of this second pair of primers, in the PCR 2 reaction, generated the $5^{\prime}$ end of the desired gene.

The PCR 1 and PCR 2 products were purified by gel electrophoresis in order to obtain a high purity of mutant DNA (Ho et al., 1989). In a third PCR reaction, these fragments were mixed, denatured and annealed to generate heteroduplexes which could then be amplified into full length DNA using the T7 promoter and T7 terminator primers (the two universal primers that bound to the extremes of the two initial fragments). Sitedirected mutagenesis by overlap extension is also described in figure 2.3.

The newly generated full length DNA was then cut with the appropriate restriction endonucleases (BamHI and NdeI) and gel purified so that the insert was ready to be ligated into the empty pHIA241 vector. Ligation mixture was then used to transform E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ cells. Colonies were grown and the DNA from these colonies was used to verify the sequence of the amplified DNA fragment in the vector and to ensure that no mutations other than those in the forward and reverse primers were introduced during these manipulations. Once the mutant sequences were confirmed, the DNA was used for transformation of each mutant into E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS to enable protein production.

Figure 2.3 illustrates the principle of site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension.

## Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension



Figure 2.3 In separate amplifications, 1 and 2, two fragments of the target gene are amplified. PCR 1 uses the forward mutagenic primer and the T7 terminator, whereas PCR 2 uses the reverse mutagenic primer and the T7 promoter. The mutation site in the template DNA is shown by the broken line red box. The part of the figure in the broken line box represents the intermediate steps that are likely to occur during PCR 3 , in which the denatured fragments of the target gene anneal at the region of overlap and are extended as shown by the dashed lines to form full length double-stranded mutant DNA. Also in PCR 3, the full length mutant DNA is amplified using the T7 promoter and T7 terminator. (Adapted from Molecular Cloning a laboratory manual by Sambrook and Russell, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition).

### 2.1.3 Protein expression and purification

All proteins discussed in this thesis were expressed and purified using the protocols described in the materials and methods section. Where ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and/or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ enrichment was required for NMR samples, cells were grown in media containing ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ ammonium sulphate and/or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ glucose as sole nitrogen and carbon sources. Protein used for biophysical characterisation was initially expressed in cells grown in LB media, but when purified by gel filtration, it was evident that the majority of the protein was in the dimeric form. However, in minimal media, the proportions of monomer and dimer for WT b'xa'c were approximately equal. Therefore, all proteins needed for non-NMR experiments were expressed in minimal media containing laboratory standard ammonium sulphate and glucose as nitrogen and carbon sources.

Protein purity is very important for NMR studies where the protein sample needs to be at least $95 \%$ pure. Therefore, after expression, the $E$. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells were lysed to release the cell contents, including the over-expressed PDI proteins, into solution. The lysis process was achieved by freeze-thawing of the E. coli cells containing the pLysS plasmid which encodes for T7 lysozyme disrupting the cell wall (Inouye et al., 1973, Studier and Moffatt, 1986). The lysate was then treated with DNase I to break down the DNA that was released during the cell lysis.

PDI proteins were purified from the soluble lysate using nickel affinity chromatography utilising the non-cleavable His tag at the N -terminus of the protein which bound tightly to the nickel ions bound to the chelating sepharose beads. Cellular proteins lacking the His tag should not bind to nickel and were washed away. The bound PDI proteins were eluted from the column with a buffer containing a high concentration of imidazole or EDTA. Imidazole chelated the nickel, removing it from the matrix and the protein. Further impurities were removed by anion exchange chromatography where the negatively charged protein, with a theoretical pI of 4.8 , bound to a matrix of positively charged tertiary amine groups. The final purification step, gel filtration, was carried out to separate the different protein conformers according to size into monomer and dimer species. The monomer species were used in further characterisation and structural NMR experiments.

SDS- and native PAGE analysis was carried out throughout the purification process to characterise PDI proteins. Electrospray mass spectrometry was used to confirm the molecular weight of each PDI construct.

### 2.2 Materials and Methods

All laboratory reagents were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, United Kingdom) unless otherwise stated.

### 2.2.1 Mutagenesis by overlap extention

### 2.2.1.1 Bacterial Strains

The E. coli strain DH5 $\alpha$ was used for DNA manipulation steps and recombinant protein expression was carried out in E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS.

### 2.2.1.2 Luria Bertani Media

Luria Bertani media was prepared using $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tryptone, $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ yeast extract and $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl} .15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ agar was added when solid media was required. The contents were made up to the required amount with MilliQ ${ }^{\circledR}$ water in a Schott ${ }^{\mathbb{®}}$ bottle of approximately twice the liquid volume. The media was sterilised using a standard laboratory autoclave. Antibiotics; $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin and $34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ chloramphenicol (Melford Laboratories, Chelsworth, UK) were added at the correct concentrations to the cooled ( $\left.\sim 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ media when required.

### 2.2.1.3 SOB Media

SOB media was prepared using $20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tryptone, $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Yeast extract, $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}$, $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L} 250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$ solution and $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$. This was made up with the required amount of MilliQ ${ }^{\circledR}$ water, corrected to pH 7.0 and sterilised using a standard autoclave before use.

### 2.2.1.4 Oligonucleotides

HPLC purified forward and reverse oligonucleotides for mutants I272A, L343A, 2DA and W390F were synthesised and supplied by MWG (London, UK). The sequences and melting points of these are shown in Table 2.1.
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| Oligonucleotide $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Type | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I272A } \\ & 62.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Forward | 5' - GATCCTGTTCGCCTTCATCGAC - 3' |
|  | Reverse | $5^{\prime}$ - GTCGATGAAGGCGAACAGGATC - $3^{\prime}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { L343A } \\ 69.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Forward | 5' - CCAGGAGGCGCCGGAGGACTG - 3' |
|  | Reverse | 5' - CAGTCCTCCGGCGCCTCCTGG - $3^{\prime}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 2DA } \\ 69.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | Forward | $5^{\prime}$ - CGGAGGCCTGGGCCAAGCAGC - $3^{\prime}$ |
|  | Reverse | 5' - GCTGCTTGGCCCAGGCCTCCG - 3' |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { W390F } \\ & \mathbf{6 2 . 7}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Forward | $5^{\prime}$ - GGCTCCCATTTTCGATAAACTGGG - $3^{\prime}$ |
|  | Reverse | $5^{\prime}$ - CCCAGTTTATCGAAAATGGGAGCC $-3^{\prime}$ |
| ```T7 promoter 54 '0 T7 terminator 58 %``` | Forward | $5^{\prime}$ - TTAATACGACTCACTATAGG - ${ }^{\prime}$ |
|  | Reverse | 5' - CTAGTTATTGCTCAGCGGT - 3' |

Table 2.1 The forward and the reverse oligonucleotide sequences and their respective melting points of the primers used for the generation of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutant proteins. The codons responsible for the mutants are highlighted in grey.

### 2.2.1.5 Preparation of E. coli competent cells for DNA transformation

E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ and BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells were made competent for transformation with DNA using an adapted method from Sambrooks and Russell (2001). Vector free cells from glycerol stocks were streaked out onto solid LB medium without antibiotics and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A single colony was picked with a sterile loop and used to inoculate a 50 mL culture of sterile SOB medium. Cultures were incubated for growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \mathrm{rpm}$ until they reached an $\mathrm{A}_{600 \mathrm{~nm}}$ of 0.5 . Cells were transferred into a sterile 50 mL Falcon tube and harvested by centrifugation at $4,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min .

Once the supernatant was discarded, the pellet was re-suspended in 25 mL ice-cold $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and incubated on ice for 30 min . Cells were centrifuged at $4,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was re-suspended in 2 mL icecold $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$. $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol was added to a final concentration of $15 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The contents were mixed and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots were flash-frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stored at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.1.6 DNA transformation of E. coli cells

$25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of competent $E$. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS or DH5 $\alpha$ cells were transformed with $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pHIA241 (pET23-b) vector, gently mixed and incubated on ice for 10 min . The cells were heat-shocked at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 s before incubating on ice for a further 5 min . $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ LB medium were added to the cells and shaken at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h at 200 rpm before spreading on LB plates containing $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin. $34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ chloramphenicol was added to the plates for E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS cell growth. The cells were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.1.7 Preparation of E. coli glycerol stocks

Glycerol stocks of E. coli cells containing the expression plasmid were prepared when cells growing in LB media had reached an optical density of 0.5 at $\mathrm{A}_{600 \mathrm{~nm}}$. $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cells were transferred into a cryovial and mixed with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \%$ (v/v) glycerol that was previously sterilised by autoclaving. The cells were flash-frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for future use.

### 2.2.1.8 Preparation of template DNA

E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ cells from the WT b'xa'c glycerol stock were streaked on an agar/ampicillin plate for growth overnight. The next day, single colonies were picked and grown in 150 mL of LB media containing $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 rpm for 16 h . After growth the cells were centrifuged at $3,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 15 min . The vector DNA was isolated using a Qiagen HiSpeed plasmid Midi kit using the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. The DNA collected was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The concentration of DNA was estimated using the absorbance at 260 nm , assuming that $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of double stranded DNA gives an absorbance of 1.0 at 260 nm .

### 2.2.1.9 Digestion of DNA with restriction endonucleases

PCR-amplified DNA and plasmid DNA (to obtain the empty vector) were restricted with BamHI and NdeI (Promega, Southampton, UK) before proceeding to any cloning procedures. The protocol followed for the restriction reactions was as recommended by the restriction enzyme supplier (New England Biolabs/ Promega). The conditions used for DNA restriction digests were:

| DNA | $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| BamHI | 1 U |
| NdeI | 1 U |
| 10x enzyme buffer (supplied with restriction enzyme) | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| 100x BSA (supplied with restriction enzyme) | $0.02 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Volume made up to $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |

For larger scale digests, the reactions were scaled-up accordingly. The DNA was digested for $1-3 \mathrm{~h}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Control experiments were carried out where the DNA was uncut and also cut with one enzyme at a time.

### 2.2.1.10 Agarose gel electrophoresis of DNA

$1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ agarose gels were made up in TAE buffer ( 2 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 55.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ glacial acetic acid, $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ EDTA, pH 8.0$) .0 .5 \mathrm{~g}$ of laboratory grade agarose (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempsted, UK) was dissolved in 50 mL of 1x TAE buffer in an Erlenmeyer flask by heating in a microwave oven until the agarose was dissolved. When the solution had cooled to around $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ethidium bromide was added to a final concentration of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The solution was mixed by swirling and poured into a gel former with combs to from wells for sample loading. The gel was allowed to set for 1 h before sample loading and addition of 1 x TAE running buffer to cover the gel. DNA samples were mixed with 6 x loading buffer $(0.25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ bromophenol blue, $0.25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ xylene cyanol FF, $30 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol) and loaded into the wells with a micropipette. Gels were run at 100 V for approximately 1 h until the fastest migrating dye front had travelled about $3 / 4$ of the gel length. The DNA was visualised using a short wave UV transiluminator.

A 1 kb DNA ladder (Promega or Fermentas) was run in the first lane of all agarose gels in order to estimate the size of any DNA fragments.

### 2.2.1.11 DNA purification from agarose gels

DNA bands of interest were cut out of agarose gels with a clean razor blade. DNA was extracted using the QIAquick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN) following the instructions recommended by the supplier. DNA was eluted in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of elution buffer.

### 2.2.1.12 PCR amplification

PCR reactions were set up in amplification tubes at $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume. All PCR reactions were carried out using the high fidelity enzyme Pfu polymerase (Promega), as specified by the manufacturer. Table 2.2 summarises the composition of the PCR mixture.

| Reagent | Stock | Final Concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Template DNA | $56.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Amplification buffer | 10 x | 1 x |
| Forward primer | $100 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ | $30 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ |
| Reverse primer | $100 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ | $30 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ |
| dNTPs | 10 mM | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{P f u}$ polymerase | $1 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ | 1.25 U |

Table 2.2 PCR mixture composition

PCR reactions consisted of 25 cycles of 1 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (denaturation), 1 min at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (annealing) and 2 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (elongation). The first denaturation step was carried out for 2 min and the last elongation step for 5 min . The PCR parameters are summarised in table 2.3 below.

| Step | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time (min) | Number of cycles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial denaturation | 95 | 2 | 1 |
| Denaturation | 95 | 1 |  |
| Annealing | 50 | 1 | 25 |
| Extension | 72 | 2 |  |
| Final extension | 72 | 5 | 1 |
| Soak | 4 | Indefinite | 1 |

Table 2.3 PCR thermocycling parameters used for DNA amplification

### 2.2.2.13 Splice by overlap extension PCR

PCR-generated DNA fragments (PCR 1 and PCR 2 products, see figure 2.3) were first purified to remove components of the PCR reaction and any contaminating PCRamplified products and then used in a subsequent overlap extension reaction. Purification
of PCR products was performed by gel extraction using the gel extraction method described in section 2.2.1.11.

The following reagents were mixed in a sterile amplification tube in a final reaction volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in order to join the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends of the target gene and to amplify the final product:

| Amplification product PCR 150 ng |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Amplification product PCR 250 ng |  |
| dNTP mix $(200 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| 10 x amplification buffer | $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ T7 promoter | $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ T7 terminator | $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Pfu polymerase $(1 \mathrm{u} / \mu \mathrm{I})$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |

PCR reaction was carried out as shown in table 2.3 except the extension time was increased to 3 min to match the increased size of the product and the number of cycles was increased from 25 to 30 to increase the final DNA concentration. The newly generated uncut insert was purified as above before undergoing a double digest with restriction enzymes $B a m \mathrm{HI}$ and $N d e I$ to create the insert ready for ligation into the empty vector.

### 2.2.1.14 Ligation of insert into empty vector

Ligation of the mutation-carrying insert into the empty pET23 derivative vector, pHIA241, was carried out overnight at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or for 2 h at room temperature. The insert was present at approximately 5 times molar excess compared to the vector concentration. The following reagents were mixed in a sterile microcentrifuge tube to give a final reaction volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ :

| NE Buffer4 (10x) | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| T4 Ligase | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Vector | 20 ng |
| Insert | 100 ng |

After ligation, the mixture was used to transform competent E. coli $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$ cells. The same transformation protocol as described in section 2.2.1.6 was used. The transformed cells were spread on agar plates containing $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin. The plates were incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for growth. Controls were carried out where cells were transformed
with empty vector to determine the rate of self-ligation. Colonies from the plates were picked and used to inoculate 10 mL LB media containing ampicillin for growth overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 rpm . Following growth, the cultures were centrifuged at $4,000 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . DNA was extracted from the pelleted cells using QIAprep ${ }^{\circledR}$ Miniprep kit as instructed by the manufacturer (Qiagen). The DNA was eluted in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ elution buffer ( 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.5 ) from the kit.

### 2.2.1.15 Screening

Colony screening was carried out in order to identify colonies containing the vector with the correct insert. Colonies were picked from the transformation plates and grown as described in 2.2.1.14. The vector DNA was purified using QIAprep ${ }^{\circledR}$ Miniprep kit as described above. The vector DNA was digested with restriction enzymes BamHI and NdeI (Promega) for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the digested DNA was run on agarose gels next to a control containing uncut vector.

### 2.2.1.16 DNA sequencing

Mutant DNA from E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ transformants (extracted using QIAprep ${ }^{\text {® }}$ Miniprep kit) was sent to Cogenics for sequencing.

## Protein expression and purification techniques

### 2.2.2.1 Minimal Medium

For the preparation of isotopically enriched $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ or $\left.{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ recombinant proteins, the minimal medium, (MM) described in Table 2.4 was used. $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}\right) \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, EDTA trace elements and MilliQ ${ }^{\circledR}$ water were combined in an Erlenmeyer flask that was five times the volume of the media required and sterilized by autoclaving. All other components were sterilized using $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ single use syringe filters (Sartorious, Eastbourne, UK) and added aseptically to the flask prior to inoculation.

| Component (stock concentration) | Stock solution |  | Volume /L | Method of sterilization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathbf{x 1 0 0})$ | $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 20 mL | Filter |
| 2. $\mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{x10})$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ NaCl | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \\ & 30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \\ & 5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 100 mL | Autoclave |
| 3. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{x1}, 000)$ | 42.6 g/L |  | 1 mL | Autoclave |
| 4. EDTA trace (x100) | EDTA | $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10 mL | Autoclave |
|  | $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$ | $3.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ | $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ | $0.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ | $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ | $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| 5. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(\mathrm{x} 1,000)$ | $246 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 1 mL | Filter |
| 6. $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{x} 1,000)$ | $44.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 1 mL | Filter |
| 7. Biotin (x1,000) | $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 1 mL | Filter |
| 8. Thiamine ( $\mathrm{x} 1,000$ ) | $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 1 mL | Filter |
| 9. Glucose (x50) | $150 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 20 mL | Filter |
| 10. MilliQ water |  |  | 845 mL | Autoclave |
| 11. Ampicillin (x100) | $100 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 1 mL | Filter |
| 12. Chloramphenicol (x100) | $34 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 1 mL | Filter |

Table 2.4 Minimal Medium components and their appropriate concentrations. Components 2, 3 and 4 were autoclaved. All other components were filter sterilised into sterile containers and added to the flask aseptically, once the media was cooled prior to inoculation.

### 2.2.2.2 Recombinant protein expression in E. coli

A starter culture of 50 mL of growth media (LB or MM) containing $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin and $34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ chloramphenicol was inoculated with a single colony from a
streaked plate and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking ( 200 rpm ) until $\mathrm{A}_{600}=0.6-1.0$. The cells were sedimented ( $4,000 \mathrm{rpm}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and re-suspended in 2 mL of media. This was used to inoculate a 1 L culture containing antibiotics, which was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200$ rpm for growth until an $\mathrm{A}_{600 \mathrm{~nm}}$ of $0.6-0.8$ was reached.

The cells were then induced with 0.45 mM IPTG for 3 h , cooled on ice for 5 min and sedimented by centrifugation in a JA 10 rotor at $6,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was discarded and the cells were re-suspended in 10 mL lysis buffer ( 20 mM $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3$ ) before freezing at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.2.3 Lysis

Cells were thawed at room temperature before the addition of Triton ${ }^{\circledR}$ X-100 ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ stock) and then incubated for 20 min at room temperature. For DNase treatment, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ ( 10 mM final concentration) and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNasel (20 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ final concentration) were added and the cells were incubated at room temperature for a further 20 min . The supernatant was separated from the insoluble cell debris by centrifugation at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, for 20 min , at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a JA 20 rotor and used for further protein purification.

### 2.2.2.4 Metal Affinity Chromatography

This purification step was carried out using immobilised metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC), where nickel bound to chelating sepharose (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) was used to bind to the hexa-histidine tag at the N -terminus of the protein. A simple column was produced by packing glass wool into the bottom of an empty 20 mL plastic syringe.

Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) slurry was poured into the syringe body and the resin was allowed to settle at the bottom to get a final column volume of 5 mL . The resin was stored in $20 \%$ ethanol which was washed out of the column with 10 column volumes (CV) of water. The column was then charged with 1 CV of $\mathrm{NiSO}_{4}$ in water ( 0.2 M ), washed again with 5 CV of MilliQ ${ }^{\circledR}$ water and equilibrated with 10 CV of binding buffer ( $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3$ ).

The supernatant containing the soluble protein was filtered with a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ single use syringe filter, loaded onto the column and allowed to flow through by gravity. The flow through was collected for SDS-PAGE analysis. The bound protein was washed with 10

CV of binding buffer followed by another 10 CV of wash buffer ( $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, 50$ mM imidazole, $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3$ ) to remove loosely bound impurities. Protein was eluted with 10 CV of elution buffer ( $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl , $\mathrm{pH} 7.3)$. The $\mathrm{NiSO}_{4}$ was stripped from the column with 10 CV of strip buffer ( 20 mM $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3$ ). After use, the column was cleaned with 10 CV of water and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $20 \%$ ethanol for use at a later date. All wash, elution and strip fractions were collected for SDS-PAGE analysis.

### 2.2.2.5 Dialysis

The eluted protein was transferred into dialysis tubing (MWCO 12-14 kDa) to be dialysed overnight into 2 L of Buffer $\mathrm{A}\left(20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3\right)$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in order to desalt the sample ready for purification by anion-exchange.

### 2.2.2.6 Anion-exchange Chromatography

Anion-exchange chromatography was carried out using a Source 30Q column (5 mL CV with $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ beads) attached to the ÄKTA FPLC analyser (GE Healthcare). Buffers A ( $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3$ ) and B( $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3$ ) were filtered and degassed before use. Prior to loading the protein, the anion-exchange column was prepared by washing with 10 CV of Buffer A, 10 CV of Buffer B and equilibrated with 10 CV of Buffer A. $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filtered protein was loaded onto the column via an injection superloop and eluted from the column by washing with a gradient of Buffer B from 0 to $100 \%$ over 20 CV at a flow rate of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. 2 mL fractions were collected throughout the gradient and the presence of protein was measured by an increase in absorbance at 280 nm . Fractions of interest were analysed by SDS and native PAGE.

### 2.2.2.7 Gel Filtration

Preparative and analytical gel filtration were carried out on Superdex 200 matrix 300 mL bed volume (GE Healthcare), poured into an XK 26 column with Buffer B as running buffer. Samples loaded onto the gel filtration column were pooled fractions from the anion-exchange chromatography which were concentrated to a maximum volume of 2 mL using Vivaspin 20 centrifugal filters ( 10 kDa MWCO; Sartorious, Eastbourne, UK). The sample was loaded onto the column by gravity flow via a three way tap and eluted
with 1.5 CV of Buffer B at a flow rate of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. 5 mL fractions were collected throughout the elution with in-line $\mathrm{A}_{280}$ monitoring using the ÄKTA FPLC analyser.

The column was calibrated using a low molecular weight gel filtration marker kit from GE Healthcare consisting of bovine lung aprotinin ( 6.5 kDa ), bovine pancreas ribonuclease A ( 13.7 kDa ), bovine erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase ( 29 kDa ), hen egg ovalbumin ( 44 kDa ), chicken egg white conalbumin ( 75 kDa ) and Blue Dextran ( 2,000 kDa ). Blue Dextran 2,000 was used to determine the void volume of the column which was equal to the retention volume for the dye. Aldolase ( 161 kDa ) from rabbit muscle (Sigma, Gillingham, UK) was used as a high molecular weight marker in addition to the kit.

### 2.2.2.8 Mass Determination

The molecular mass of purified proteins were determined by Electrospray Mass Spectrometry carried out by Kevin Howland. In order to confirm that the correct proteins were expressed, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein were analyzed using a Finnigan/Agilent 1100 MAT LQC ion-trap mass spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a ThermoFinnigan LQC Classic ion trap mass spectrometer. Samples were desalted by in-line reverse-phase HPLC on a Phenomonex Jupiter C4 column running on an Agilent 1100 HPLC system. Mass spectra were deconvoluted with an expected accuracy of $+/-0.01 \%$ using the BioExplore package from ThermoFinnigan to get protein masses.

### 2.2.2.9 SDS-PAGE analysis

Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was prepared using the Tris-Glycine buffer system to identify polypeptides under denaturing conditions (Laemmli, 1970). The discontinuous gel system consisted of a resolving gel at pH 8.8 and a stacking gel at pH 6.8 . SDS-PAGE gels were produced with 10 or $12.5 \%$ $(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ resolving gels depending upon the molecular weight of the protein to be resolved, overlaid with $4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ stacking gel using gel cassettes from Novagen. The composition of the resolving and stacking gel is given below in Table 2.5.

| Gel Type | Reagent | 10\% <br> Volume (mL) | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \% \\ & \text { Volume } \\ & (\mathrm{mL}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Gel Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4\% } \\ & \text { Volume } \\ & (\mathrm{mL}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resolving gel | 40\% acrylamide (37.5:1) | 6.25 | 7.81 | Stacking gel | 1 |
|  | 1.5 M Tris, pH 8.8 | 6.25 | 6.25 |  | 2.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 11.99 | 10.43 |  | 6.29 |
|  | 10\% SDS | 0.25 | 0.25 |  | 0.1 |
|  | 10\% APS | 0.25 | 0.25 |  | 0.1 |
|  | TEMED | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |

Table 2.5 Composition of 10 and $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ SDS-PAGE resolving and stacking gels.

25 mL of the resolving gel and 10 mL of the stacking gel premix were sufficient to make three gels after polymerization with $10 \%$ APS (ammonium persulphate) and TEMED (Bio-Rad, Hertfordshire, UK). Protein standards SDS7 and Precision Plus were purchased from Sigma and Bio-Rad, respectively. Gel running buffer ( 5 x stock) consisted of 0.95 M glycine ( pH 8.3 ), 0.125 M Tris and $0.5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) SDS. Non-reducing gel loading buffer ( 4 x ) consisted of 0.33 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 8 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ SDS, $0.4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ bromophenol blue and glycerol to a final concentration of $40 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). DTT was added to a final concentration of 200 mM for a reducing buffer. The aliquoted buffer was stored at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until required.
$40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ samples were prepared for analysis by mixing $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of protein with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 4 x reducing gel loading buffer and incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min . Once cooled, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample were loaded on the gel. Empty wells, if any, were loaded with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 x sample buffer. Gels were run at constant 125 V for approximately 1.5 h . They were then stained for 1 h in a $40 \%$ methanol, $10 \%$ acetic acid solution containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Brilliant Blue G and de-stained in a solution containing $10 \%$ methanol and $10 \%$ acetic acid. Once completely de-stained, gels were transferred in gel drying buffer ( $30 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ethanol, $3 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) glycerol) for at least 1 h and then dried between two sheets of cellulose stretched over a frame overnight (Bio-Rad).

### 2.2.2.10 Native PAGE analysis

Continuous native PAGE analysis was carried out to determine the oligomeric state of the PDI proteins under non-denaturing conditions. $12.5 \%$ or $10 \%$ continuous resolving gels, lacking the stacking gel, were prepared using the Tris-Glycine buffer system described in 2.2.2.9 at pH 8.3 with the exception of SDS from the buffer mixture. Gel running buffer ( 5 x
stock) consisted of 0.95 M glycine and 0.125 M Tris. Non-reducing gel loading buffer (2x) consisted of 25 mL 0.5 Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 6.8,20 \mathrm{~mL}$ glycerol, 1 mg bromophenol blue and made up to 100 mL with water.
$30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ samples were prepared for analysis by mixing $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of protein with $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 x gel loading buffer. $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample were loaded on the gel. Gels were run at constant 10 mA for approximately 3 h . They were then stained, de-stained and dried as the SDS-PAGE gels described in 2.2.2.9.

### 2.2.2.11 Determination of protein concentration

The absorbance of proteins at $\mathrm{A}_{280} \mathrm{~nm}$ was measured in an Ultropsec 2000 UV/Visible Spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech). Where necessary, proteins were diluted 100 x by adding $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of protein to $990 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the appropriate buffer that was used to zero the spectrophotometer. The extinction coefficient was calculated by submitting the protein amino acid sequence into ProtParam in the ExPASy website (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/). The extinction coefficient for WT b'xa'c and I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants was $24200 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, whereas the extinction coefficient for the W390F mutants was $18700 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The protein concentrations were calculated in molar using the Beer-Lambert Law.

### 2.3 Results

### 2.3.1 Preparation of vector DNA

The purified vector containing $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was cut with restriction enzymes $\operatorname{BamHI}$ and NdeI in order to obtain the empty pHIA241 vector to be used for the ligation of the inserts carrying the desired mutation. Controls were carried out in which the circular DNA was linearised with each of the restriction enzymes independently to ensure that the DNA was being digested by both enzymes. As seen from figure 2.4 , the template $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ DNA was cut successfully by both enzymes. The insert released could be seen between 750 and $1,000 \mathrm{bp}$, which matches with its expected size of 855 bp . The empty purified vector, lacking the insert, seen in figure 2.4, appeared between 3,000 and $4,000 \mathrm{bp}$, compatible with its expected size of 3633 bp .


Figure 2.4 1\% Agarose gels showing the digest of pHIA241 vector. Lane M: 1kb DNA ladder; Lane 1: intact vector; Lane 2: double digested vector; Lane 3: vector linearised with NdeI only; Lane 4; vector linearised with BamHI only.

### 2.3.2 Generation of PCR products

The 1272A, 2DA, L343A and W390F mutant inserts were generated by PCR overlap extension. The $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends of each insert were generated in two separate PCR reactions using two sets of primers. The products were then joined and amplified in a third PCR reaction as shown in figure 2.3. Table 2.6 shows the expected sizes of each of the fragments generated by PCR.

| Mutants | PCR 1 product <br> size (bp) | PCR 2 product <br> size (bp) | PCR 3 product <br> size (bp) | Size of <br> Bam $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{1 / N d e 1}$ <br> cut insert <br> $(\mathbf{b p})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I272A | 811 | 282 | 1067 | 855 |
| 2DA | 580 | 509 | 1067 | 855 |
| L343A | 591 | 497 | 1067 | 855 |
| W390F | 453 | 637 | 1067 | 855 |

Table 2.6 Expected sizes of the PCR-generated fragments and the cut inserts.

Agarose gel analysis of the PCR 1 and PCR 2 fragments for all three mutants showed that all fragments had the correct sizes, shown in figure 2.5. PCR 1 and PCR 2 fragments were gel-purified to remove components of the PCR reaction which might interfere with subsequent reactions. Joining the PCR 1 and PCR 2 fragments resulted in the formation of the uncut inserts carrying the desired mutations, which appeared to have the right sizes on agarose gels (figure 2.5). PCR 1 and PCR 2 products were successfully joined together in an amplification reaction which resulted in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ insert carrying the desired mutation. The insert was effectively digested with restriction nucleases BamHI and NdeI to generate the correct sticky ends for ligation into the empty vector.

b)



Figure 2.5 1\% Agarose gels of PCR products for the generation of the I272A mutant. a) I272A PCR 1 and PCR 2 products, b) uncut insert which is the result of the amplification reaction where PCR 1 and PCR 2 products were joined, $\mathbf{c}$ ) the uncut insert was digested with BamHI and NdeI to prepare the insert for ligation into the pHIA241 empty vector, $\mathbf{d}$ ) the newly generated mutant DNA was digested with $B a m \mathrm{HI}$ and NdeI to check that the insert was ligated into the vector. 1 kb DNA ladder from Promega was loaded in the first lane of each gel except for the gel showing the empty vector which contains 1 kb DNA ladder from Fermentas.

### 2.3.3 Generation of mutant DNA

Ligation mixture of the mutant insert and the empty vector was used to transform E. coli $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$ cells. The presence of colonies after overnight incubation confirmed that the insert had been ligated into the vector. DNA extracted from these cells was sent for sequencing to establish that the newly generated DNA carried the desired mutation. Sequencing results confirmed that the I272A, L343A, 2DA as well as all of the W390F mutants were successfully engineered. The nucleotide and amino acid sequences for the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ mutants are shown in appendix 2.1 .

### 2.3.4 Protein Expression

Expression of the construct in E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS produced round, white colonies on agar plates. Growth in LB media was normal with a 30 min generation time. Growth in minimal medium was slower, with a doubling time of approximately 60 min . The expression and purification results shown relate to WT b'xa'c and are a typical example of the analysis carried out at each stage. The same expression and purification protocol generated similar results for the mutant proteins.

All PDI constructs used in this project were expressed as soluble proteins as shown in figure 2.6. By comparison with the molecular weight markers, the over-expressed proteins were estimated to have a molecular weight between 25 and 37 kDa . The expected molecular weight of WT b'xa'c and its I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants is 32.5 kDa for the WT and 32.4 kDa for the mutant proteins.


Figure 2.6 SDS-PAGE analysis of the soluble and insoluble fractions of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ after cell lysis. Lane 1: WT pellet; lane 2: WT supernatant; lane 3: I272A pellet; lane 4: I272A supernatant; lane 5: L343A pellet; lane 6: L343A supernatant; lane 7: 2DA pellet; lane 8 : 2DA supernatant.

### 2.3.5 Metal Affinity Chromatography

Purification by IMAC proved to be successful in removing the bulk of contaminants from the protein sample as seen in the SDS-PAGE in figure 2.7. However, some high and low molecular weight impurities were still seen in the protein elution fractions.


Figure 2.7 SDS-PAGE analysis of WT b'xa'c from metal affinity chromatography. Lanes 1 and 12: protein before metal affinity purification; lane 2: flow through; lanes 3-6: fractions from wash with binding buffer ( 10 mM imidazole); lanes 7-11: fractions from wash with wash buffer ( 50 mM imidazole); lanes 13-18: fractions from elution with 200 mM imidazole; lanes 19-21: fractions from EDTA strip.

To ensure that these impurities were removed, the fractions containing protein were pooled, buffer exchanged into Buffer A and further purified by anion exchange chromatography.

### 2.3.6 Anion-exchange Chromatography

Anion exchange was successful in removing the majority of the remaining impurities from the protein samples. To analyse the homogeneity of the sample, fractions across the peak were run on SDS and native PAGE.

The chromatogram in figure 2.8 shows that the ion exchange profile of WT b'xa'c presented with an asymmetric peak suggesting the presence of multiple protein species. Furthermore, even when the elution peak appeared symmetrical, suggesting a single species homogenous in charge and conformation, analysis by native PAGE revealed the protein comprised of at least two forms.


Figure 2.8 Anion-exchange chromatogram of WT b'xa'c using Source 30Q column. The absorbance at 280 nm is shown by the black solid line and the conductivity is shown by the red dotted line. The blue line across the peak represents fractions analysed in figure 2.9 .


Figure 2.9 a) SDS-PAGE analysis of anion exchange fractions of WT b'xa'c. Lane 1: protein before anion exchange purification; lanes 2-8: fractions across the anion exchange peak. b) native-PAGE of anion exchange fractions. Control: b'x protein mixture of monomer and dimer; lane 1: protein before anion exchange purification; lanes 2-8: fractions across the peak.

Early fractions from the ion-exchange peak consisted of a species which had high mobility on native PAGE, whereas late fractions had low mobility. Fractions in the middle of the peak contained a mixture of the two species. However, fractions across the ionexchange profile appeared homogenous on SDS-PAGE as well as electrospray mass spectrometry. Therefore, the forms observed on non-denaturing gels must reflect differences in conformation or oligomerization state. These forms are likely to be monomer and dimer.

### 2.3.7 Gel Filtration

Fractions across the ion-exchange elution profile were pooled and further purified on a Superdex 200 column. Preliminary gel filtration data from protein expression in LB showed that the majority of the protein appeared to be dimeric with very little monomer present (figure 2.10). This was a problem as monomer was needed preferentially for NMR experiments. But, protein expressed in minimal media, although presenting as a mixture of monomer and dimer contained a higher proportion of monomer than an LB expression.


Figure 2.10 Gel filtration profile of WT b'xa'c using Superdex 200 media in a $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ m L}$ column volume. Absorbance at 280 nm of protein expressed in LB (blue) and minimal media (black). The first peak represents the dimer and the second peak the monomer. Conductivity is shown in the red dotted line.

Although the exact proportions of monomer and dimer are dependent on expression conditions, it was evident from the beginning that in order to get enough monomer for the characterisation of b'xa'c, expression in minimal media had to be used instead of expression in LB. For this reason, all of the PDI proteins have been expressed in minimal media containing ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ when isotopic enrichment was not required.

### 2.3.8 Molecular weight confirmation by Mass Spectrometry

The predicted molecular weight of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ with the thiol groups of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site in the reduced state is 32467.5 Da . Mass spectrometry analysis carried out on WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ c under reducing and non-reducing conditions confirmed that the gel filtration fractions of the monomer and dimer species contained protein that matched the exact molecular weight to that of WT b'xa'c in the oxidised state. The reduced protein was 2 Da heavier than protein without DTT which accounts for the addition of two hydrogen atoms upon reduction of the active site.



Figure 2.11 Mass Spectrometry analysis of WT b'xa'c monomer. a) Protein-DTT, b) protein +DTT. The expected mass of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ in the oxidised state is 32465 Da .

Mass spectrometry also showed that there were two additional species present in both of the protein samples. The first species were around 57 Da higher in mass than WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and the second around 178 Da higher. All of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutants were subjected to mass spectrometry analysis to confirm their molecular weights. They all contained a small amount of the +57 Da modified species, but not the +178 Da species, in addition to the main species with the correct molecular weight in the oxidised state.

Mass spectrometry analysis of the dimer revealed that the dimerization was independent of the oxidation state of the protein as the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ dimer gave similar mass spectrometry data as the monomer.


Figure 2.12 Mass Spectrometry analysis of WT b'xa'c dimer. a) Protein -DTT, b) protein + DTT. The expected mass of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ in the oxidised state is 32465 Da .

### 2.3.9 Characterisation of WT b'xa'c and mutants by gel filtration

Like WT b'xa'c, the I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants also presented as a mixture of monomer and dimer on gel filtration. Figure 2.13 shows a chromatogram of the gel filtration profiles of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and its mutants. The mutants present with a very similar profile to the WT protein but with slightly different elution volumes suggesting different hydrodynamic volumes for each protein species. In terms of the monomer species for each protein 2DA was eluted first, followed by L343A, WT and lastly I272A. A similar pattern was followed by the dimer species with the exception of L343A and WT, which were eluted in exactly the same elution volume.


Figure 2.13 Size exclusion chromatography of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ (blue), I272A (red), L343A (purple), 2DA (green). The absorbance at 280 nm is shown by the solid lines and the conductivity is shown by the red dotted line. The dotted lines represent the maxima of each elution peak in their respective colours.

SDS- and native PAGE analysis of fractions across the gel filtration peaks from WT b'xa'c was not very well resolved as there appeared to be a mixture of both species in all of the samples. Several attempts at running these proteins on native PAGE to separate the two conformers seen on gel filtration were unsuccessful. The SDS and native gels are shown in figure 2.14.


Figure 2.14 a) SDS-PAGE of WT b'xa'c gel filtration fractions. Lanes 1-8: fractions across the gel filtration peaks. b) native PAGE of WT b'xa'c gel filtration fractions. Control: b'x protein mixture of monomer and dimer; lanes 1-8: fractions across the gel filtration peaks.

As can be seen from figure 2.14, fractions across the WT b'xa'c gel filtration profile appear homogenous on SDS-PAGE with very few impurities. However, when the same fractions are run on native PAGE, two conformations appear. This agrees with the gel filtration chromatogram where two peaks are seen. But these conformers do not appear well separated on the native gel as there appears to be a mixture of monomer and dimer in the early fractions where there should be exclusively dimer. The late monomer fractions also appear to be eluted contaminated with some dimer although to a lesser extent. SDS and native gels for the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutants are not shown as they were very similar to the gels shown for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

The gel filtration column was calibrated using a set of standard proteins which allowed the determination of the molecular weights for the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and the mutants. This was carried out by comparing an elution volume parameter, such as the gel phase distribution coefficient ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{av}}$ ) of the protein of interest, with the values obtained from the known calibration standards. The molecular weight of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins could be determined from the calibration curve (plot of $K_{a v}$ versus $\log \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) once the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{av}}$ value had been calculated from the measured elution volume. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{av}}$ was calculated using equation 2.1.
$2.1 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{av}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}}$

Where: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ Elution volume of the peak of interest
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ Void volume as determined by the elution volume of Blue Dextran 2,000
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ Geometric column volume

Figure 2.15 shows the calibration curve with the standard proteins as well as the position of the monomer and dimer species for each of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins.


Figure 2.15 Gel filtration column calibration curve. The standard calibration proteins are represented by the black circles. The monomer (M) species of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins are displayed as squares and the dimers (D) as triangles with WT in blue, I272A in red, L343A in purple and 2DA in green.

The sizes calculated from the gel filtration column as well as the expected sizes are shown in table 2.7.

| b'xa'c <br> construct | Expected MW <br> (Da) | Mass Spec <br> data (Da) | Gel filtration <br> data (kDa) | Oligomeric <br> state |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | 32467.5 | 32465.7 | 54 | Monomer <br> Dimer |
| I272A | 32425.4 | 32423.4 | 51 | Monomer |
|  |  |  | 109 | Dimer |
| L343A | 32425.4 | 32423.8 | 61 | Monomer |
|  |  |  | 116 | Dimer |
| 2DA | 32379.5 | 32377.2 | 64 | Monomer |
|  |  |  | 138 | Dimer |

Table 2.7 Expected and measured molecular weights of b'xa'c constructs. The expected molecular weight was calculated based on amino acid sequence. Mass spectrometry data was obtained by electrospray MS of the purified protein. The molecular weight obtained by gel filtration was calculated by comparison to protein standards as described above.

Table 2.7 shows that all of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ constructs have been expressed in the oxidised state evident from the 2 Da difference of the mass spectrometry data compared to the expected molecular weight. Molecular mass calculations from gel filtration chromatography revealed that all of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ constructs appear to have a larger hydrodynamic volume than expected for globular proteins of similar sizes. WT b'xa'c monomer was $\sim 1.7$ times bigger than expected whereas the dimer presented $\sim 1.8$ times bigger than its expected size. I272A appeared to have a smaller hydrodynamic volume than all of the other proteins, including WT b'xa'c, with the monomer $\sim 1.6$ and the dimer $\sim 1.7$ times bigger than their expected sizes. 2DA appeared larger in hydrodynamic volume than all of the proteins with the monomer and dimer species $\sim 2$ times bigger than expected. L343A monomer and dimer species were also $\sim 1.9$ and $\sim 1.8$ respectively bigger than expected. These calculated masses form gel filtration suggest that there is a possibility that the proteins may in fact be dimer-tetramer, rather than monomer-dimer. However, this will be discussed in the discussion section of this chapter.

### 2.3.10 Final protein yields

The overall protein yield for the expression of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ was determined using the $\mathrm{A}_{280}$ values from pooled ion-exchange fractions. The yield of material from expression in minimal media was calculated to be around $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutants had very similar expression yields to the WT. The proportions of monomer and dimer varied between expressions, especially for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

### 2.4 Discussion

Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension has been described to be $100 \%$ efficient as it is a simple method and eliminates the need for single-stranded DNA template and viral vector intermediates, therefore eliminating a cloning step (Ho et al., 1989). In this case, this was proved to be true as all the PCR steps leading to the ligation reaction were successful for all mutants, leading to the generation of inserts which could be cut with the appropriate restriction enzymes. All mutant inserts were successfully ligated into the empty vector and contained the correct nucleotide sequence, including the desired mutations. There were no other mutations in any of the DNA sequences. This was to be expected as a high fidelity enzyme, Pfu polymerase, was used in all PCR reactions, minimising the possibility of undesired mutations. The low error rate of Pfu DNA polymerase is about 1 in $10^{6}$ base pairs duplicated as shown by direct measurement of PCR-generated point mutant DNA by denaturing constant capillary electrophoresis (Andre et al., 1997). This mutagenesis method was successful in introducing the desired point mutations in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and generating the desired mutants.

All of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutants were successfully expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS with expression yields similar to the WT protein. All $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins were soluble, as shown by their presence in the supernatant, and could be recovered by purification of the lysate by metal affinity chromatography using the N-terminal His tag. This first purification step proved to be very successful as it removed the majority of the impurities from the protein samples. Purification of the WT and mutant proteins by anion-exchange chromatography often gave rise to an asymmetric protein peak, indicating the presence of multiple species. Analysis of fractions from the anion-exchange profile by non-denaturing PAGE revealed that the proteins comprised of two forms which could be separated by gel filtration. After gel filtration, the two different conformers appeared as a single homogenous band on SDS-PAGE with the same molecular mass. This was true for all $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ constructs. Mass spectrometry data revealed that both species had a molecular mass matching that of the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ of 32.47 kDa . The first peak from the gel filtration profile was believed to be the protein in the dimeric form, and the second was believed to be the monomer form. Another method, other than gel filtration, to confirm that the first peak was indeed a dimer would be to run the protein on native mass spectrometry so that the quaternary protein structure is preserved (Heck, 2008). Mass spectrometry data of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ also revealed the presence of two other species in the sample which had higher
molecular masses than WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ at +57 and +178 Da . These are possibly due to posttranslational modifications during expression inside the E.coli cells (Veniamin N. Lapko 2000). The +178 modification has been previously attributed to a His tag post-translational modification (Geoghegan et al., 1999). However, this modification did not interfere with the IMAC purification of the protein which utilised the His tag. However, the most likely explanation for the presence of these two extra species could be due to the presence of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ions, with molecular mass $\sim 59 \mathrm{Da}$, from the affinity purification. Therefore, the +57 Da species could be attributed to the presence of one $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ion, whereas the +178 Da species to the presence of three $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ions $(3 \times 59=177)$. The Mass spectrometry analysis carried out in the presence of the reducing agent DTT revealed that the majority of the sample consisted of protein that was 2 Da heavier in mass than the protein without DTT. This 2 Da difference, from the non-reduced protein, accounts for the addition of 2 hydrogen atoms to the catalytic site cysteines upon reduction. The addition of DTT also confirmed that the species with the +57 Da modification was not a disulphide adduct.

The concentration of these modified species compared to that of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ is very small and therefore it is unlikely that they will have interfered with any characterisation experiments. Mass spectrometry analysis of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ mutants confirmed that the all of the mutants had the correct molecular weight for protein in the oxidised form. Just like the wild type protein they also included a very small amount of the +57 Da species, but less than WT, but none of the +178 Da modification.

The molecular sizes of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins could be calculated by comparison to a set of known standard proteins run on the same gel filtration. The calculated sizes revealed that the two conformers were monomer and dimer species. The molecular sizes of the two species were around 1.6 to 2 times higher than the actual size expected for the monomer and dimer, therefore one could assume that they are more likely to be dimer and tetramer. However, they are more likely to be monomer and dimer as a number of PDI constructs have been reported to give anomalously higher molecular sizes on gel filtration (Wallis et al., 2009). Full length PDI itself has been found as a mixture of monomer and dimer with anomalously higher molecular masses on gel filtration with the monomer with an apparent size of 116 kDa and the dimer eluting at over 200 kDa (Wallis et al., 2009, Li et al., 2006). This is thought to be due to the structure of PDI where the four domains adopt a nonspherical conformation. The unstructured C-terminal tail of PDI has been reported to contribute to the anomalously high dynamic volume and the elongated shape of the
molecule adds to this discrepancy (Li et al., 2006). Therefore, it is not surprising that $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ also presents with these abnormal high masses on gel filtration. Other constructs such as $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ also present with high molecular masses on gel filtration with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ monomer and dimer having apparent masses of 23 kDa and 49 kDa , around 1.4 times larger than expected, and $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ monomer and dimer species with apparent masses of 35 kDa and $77 \mathrm{kDa}, 1.3$ and 1.5 times larger than expected respectively (Wallis et al., 2009). Ultracentrifugation has been previously used to determine the correct masses of these proteins, but another method to confirm the oligomeric state of PDI constructs is small angle X-ray scattering, SAXS, which reveals information about the shape and size of molecules. PDI constructs containing the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain have been previously reported to form dimers. More specifically, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ present exclusively as homodimers, whereas $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{b b}$ ' $\mathbf{x}$ form a mixture of monomer and dimer (Byrne et al., 2009). Therefore, the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain has been described to drive oligomerisation via hydrophobic interactions (Wallis et al., 2009).

The I272A mutant appeared to have a smaller hydrodynamic volume not only than L343A and 2DA, but also than WT b'xa'c as seen in table 2.7. WT b'xa'c presented with a larger hydrodynamic volume than I272A, followed by L343A and 2DA which was the largest of the proteins according to gel filtration data. This must be attributed to a difference in the conformation of the proteins. In $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, the I272A and 2DA mutants have been shown to stabilise the capped conformation in which the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region is found to be bound in the hydrophobic binding pocket on the surface of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain (Nguyen et al., 2008). Therefore, if the same capping event was taking place in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ construct, we would expect these two mutants to behave in a very similar manner. However, the 2DA mutant has a larger hydrodynamic volume on gel filtration suggesting that it behaves differently to the I272A and L343A mutant. The L343A mutant in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ has been found to favour the uncapping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$. So, if this mutant were to have the same effect in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{c}$ we would expect it to have be slightly larger in size than the capped mutants, which should be more compact in structure due to capping. As WT b'xa'c has a hydrodynamic volume that is larger than the capped I272A mutant but smaller than the L343A uncapped mutant, one could hypothesise that WT b'xa'c could be a mixture of capped and uncapped protein. However, it is not possible to determine the conformation of $\mathbf{x}$ just by comparing gel filtration data and further investigations into the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ and its mutants has been carried out. The monomeric state of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins has
been confirmed by NMR in chapters 4 and 5, where the rotational correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$, of the proteins shows that they tumble in solution at rate that is consistent with molecules of such sizes in the monomeric form.

In 2006, Li et al. reported that the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ c construct eluted from gel filtration as two peaks with apparent molecular masses of around 700 kDa , presumably a higher order disulphide-bonded oligomer, and 62 kDa consistent with a dimer (Li et al., 2006). However, growth conditions of the $E$. coli expressing the PDI proteins are unclear from the paper, but it is likely that expression was carried out in rich media rather than minimal media as no isotopic labelling was required for their experiments. This supports the gel filtration results obtained from growth in LB which show that the majority of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ is in the dimeric form. Studies have shown that cells grown in LB media with a good supply of carbon and energy, grow rapidly with a 20 min generation time. As a consequence their expression of genes involved in protein synthesis is elevated. Whereas cells in minimal media grow on a single carbon and energy source, in this case glucose, from which they have to synthesise metabolic components including all of the amino acids from scratch. Consequently, growth is significantly slower with a generation time of around 60 min . To deal with this burden, the genes responsible for stress tolerance are up-regulated and the cell is protected from the stress of living in a self-formed acidic environment due to the production of acetate (Tao et al., 1999). With this in mind, it is likely that cells grown in minimal media expressed protein at a slower rate and therefore leading to diminished dimerization of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ compared to expression in LB. Also, it is likely that growth in minimal media reduces the rate of protein synthesis therefore the protein has the opportunity to fold without interference. In contrast, when $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ is expressed rapidly in LB it is most likely to dimerise due to the close proximity to other folding molecules.

## CHAPTER 3

## Biophysical characterisation of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ and mutants

## by intrinsic fluorescence and limited proteolysis

### 3.1 Introduction

### 3.1.1 Intrinsic fluorescence

In fluorescence spectroscopy, molecules under investigation have a ground low energy electronic state and a higher energy excited electronic state. Vibrational states exist within each of these electronic states. Absorption of radiation occurs from the lowest vibrational level of the ground state to a higher vibrational level of the excited state. Then, there is a loss of vibrational energy to give the lowest vibrational level of the excited state. Fluorescence is the emission of radiation from the lowest vibrational energy level of the excited electronic state of a molecule as it decays to the ground state. The principle of fluorescence is shown in figure 3.1 (Price and Nairn, 2009).


Figure 3.1 The process of absorption of energy and emission of fluorescence. Absorption of radiation, or excited light (blue arrow), promotes an electron from the ground state to a higher vibrational level of the excited state. This is followed by a loss of vibrational energy to the lowest vibrational level of the excited state. Fluorescence is the emission of this radiation to the ground energy state (red arrow).

Intrinsic fluorescence has been used for many years to monitor structural changes in proteins as well as measure their conformational stability. This is brought about by the chemical properties of aromatic or highly conjugated molecules or groups, and in the case of proteins those of tryptophan, tyrosine and phenylalanine side chains. The fluorescence emitted from tryptophan is much stronger than that from the other two aromatic amino acids and therefore dominates the emission spectra of proteins. Tyrosine can contribute to fluorescence at 280 nm as it is usually present in high numbers in proteins. However its fluorescence can be quenched through energy transfer to a nearby tryptophan when the tyrosine is in the excited state. Tyrosine quenching can also occur from loss of the proton on the aromatic hydroxyl group through ionisation in the excited state. Phenylalanine comprises of only one benzene ring and a methylene group, so it is only weakly fluorescent. Occasionally, tryptophan can become quenched by neighbouring protonated acidic groups, for instance aspartic acid or glutamic acid, resulting in a decrease in fluorescence intensity.

It has been known for many years that tryptophan fluorescence is very sensitive to the polarity of its local environment, with the wavelength of maximum emission, $\lambda_{\max }$, ranging from 308 nm (azurin) to 355 nm (glucagon) (Vivian and Callis, 2001). The wavelength of maximal fluorescence, $\lambda_{\max }$, is an excellent measure of polarity as it is responsive to the extent of which a tryptophan side chain is exposed on the surface of a protein so accessible to the surrounding aqueous solution, or buried in a protein's hydrophobic core. A blue-shift in fluorescence is characteristic of the tryptophan residue buried in the hydrophobic core of the protein and the spectrum shifts to shorter wavelength as the polarity of the solvent decreases, whereas a red-shift represents the exposure of the tryptophan side chain to the aqueous solvent on the surface of the protein. Excitation of a protein at 280 nm is dominated by the tryptophan fluorescence with very little contribution from tyrosine and essentially none from phenylalanine, so this wavelength is often used to follow changes in tryptophan emission spectra in denaturation studies. Tryptophan is a relatively rare amino acid and most proteins contain only one or few tryptophan residues in their sequence. In the case of a protein with multiple tryptophans, the emission spectrum is the sum of the individual tryptophan emissions. This extra complication can be circumvented by mutating all but one of the tryptophan residues so that the fluorescence originates from a single fluorophore. Conversely, a tryptophan residue can be mutated into the core of a protein lacking the fluorophore to allow fluorescence studies. Extrinsic probes can be attached as an alternative approach to introduce fluorescent properties in a protein.

### 3.1.2 Determination of conformations of PDI by intrinsic fluorescence

Intrinsic fluorescence has proved to be an invaluable tool in recent PDI studies owing to the presence of tryptophan residues in the catalytic a (W35 and W311) and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (W379 and W390) domains, and most importantly in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (W347) which act as reporters on environment changes. Fluorescence, in conjunction with NMR studies, has assisted investigations into the conformational diversity of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in a number of constructs including full length PDI itself.

Studies of the PDI fragment containing the ligand binding domain and the 19 residue $\mathbf{x}$ linker, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, have shown that $\mathbf{x}$ can exist in at least two different conformations in
solution. In one of the conformations, $\mathbf{x}$ is found bound to a hydrophobic patch on the surface of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain, shown to be the ligand binding site (Byrne et al., 2009, Nguyen et al., 2008). This has been termed the "capped" conformation. In the alternative "uncapped" conformation, $\mathbf{x}$ is found to be away from the ligand binding site and free in solution. The unique tryptophan residue in $\mathbf{x}$, W347, allows tracking of this "capping" event by NMR and intrinsic fluorescence. Excitation at 280 nm of WT b'x in the capped conformation is characterised by a blue-shift in fluorescence emission spectra with maximum fluorescence around 334 nm . This is indicative of the hydrophobic environment of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker capping the ligand binding site. Conversely, emission spectra of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in the uncapped state are distinguished by a red-shift with maximum fluorescence around 355 nm suggestive of the hydrophilic environment of the tryptophan in solution. NMR is also a very efficient tool that has been used to track capping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$, but this will be described in more detail in subsequent chapters. Mutants of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ that favour the capped and uncapped conformations were selected for further investigations into the mechanism of capping. A screen of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutants revealed that mutations I272A in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and D346A/D348A (2DA) in $\mathbf{x}$ favoured the capped conformation. This was further confirmed by the crystal structure of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ shown in figure 3.2., where $\mathbf{x}$ is seen capping the hydrophobic site on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. Whereas the L343A mutation in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region has been shown to favour uncapping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$ (Nguyen et al., 2008).


Figure 3.2 Crystal structure of I272A b'x (PDB accession code 3BJ5). The $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (cyan) is shown capping the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain (blue). The unique W347, which allows tracking of the $\mathbf{x}$ region by fluorescence, is shown in green with its side chain buried into the hydrophobic core of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain and therefore displaying a blue-shift in fluorescence. The arrows point to the mutations that favour the capped (I272A and 2DA in yellow) and the uncapped (L343A in red) conformations.

The orientation of $\mathbf{x}$ in yeast PDI adopts a different conformation to its human homologue as neither of the two published structures for full length yeast PDI show capping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$ (Tian et al., 2008, Tian et al., 2006). However, fluorescence emission spectra have been collected for full length human PDI, where W111F and W390F mutations were introduced so that W347 was the primary emitter of fluorescence. Excitation at 280 nm revealed that $\mathbf{x}$ was present in two conformations, represented by different fluorescence maxima in the spectra. The I272A, 2DA and L343A mutations appeared to have a similar effect on the conformation of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker in full length PDI as they did in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, though not to the same extent as the difference in fluorescence on blue and red-shifting is smaller in full length PDI than that observed in
$\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. Evidence of capping has also been seen in the fluorescence of $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{a b b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{x}$ of PDI but there have been no fluorescence studies on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ to date to explore the capping event when $\mathbf{x}$ is tethered by both its neighbouring domains $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (Byrne et al., 2009, Wang et al., 2010).

In this chapter, the fluorescence properties of various PDI constructs will be compared to those of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and mutants in order to determine the effect of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain on the conformation of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region.

### 3.1.3 Determination of the conformational stability of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$

The three dimensional structure of a protein is essential for its biological function, but the unfolded state is equally as important as the native state when determining conformational stability. The denatured state is a form where the protein has lost its biological activity due to the disruption of its secondary and tertiary structure. It is well known that the most frequently used denaturants to study stability and unfolding pathways of proteins are urea and guanidine hydrochloride ( GdmCl ). Although they have been used extensively throughout many years, their mode of action on protein conformation is still poorly characterised. It is thought that they may bind directly to the biomolecule thereby weakening hydrogen bonds within the protein, or indirectly induce denaturation by altering the solvent environment and weakening the hydrophobic effect (Schellman, 2002, Vanzi et al., 1998).

Unfolding can be followed by a variety of techniques including biological activity, NMR, intrinsic fluorescence and circular dichroism (CD). Fluorescence is one the primary ways of following unfolding as it requires the least amount of material, whereas NMR and CD are more expensive techniques. For this reason, GdmCl denaturation of PDI constructs was followed by intrinsic fluorescence at 280 nm .

### 3.1.4 Determination of conformational flexibility of b'xa'c by proteolysis

Recently, the conformational plasticity of full length PDI as well as that of a number of PDI constructs has been studied by limited proteolysis. Susceptibility to proteases such as trypsin, chymotrypsin and proteinase K has been used as a measure of
flexibility, as flexible regions of the protein are more susceptible to proteolytic cleavage than those parts of the structure that are rigid (Wang et al., 2010). Contrary to the yPDI structure, where the N -terminal half of the protein is more flexible than the C-terminal half, in hPDI the C-terminal region has been shown to be more susceptible to proteolytic cleavage and therefore considered more flexible (Tian et al., 2008, Wang et al., 2010). The oxidation state of the a and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active sites has also been shown to contribute to the flexibility of hPDI as reduction of the disulphide bond in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain leads to a conformational change that reduces the protein's accessibility to proteases (Wang et al., 2012b).

The susceptibility of WT b'xa'c to proteinase K , in the presence and absence of DTT, was compared to that of the I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants to determine the effect of the mutations on the conformational flexibility of this protein.

### 3.2 Materials and Methods

### 3.2.1 Sample preparation

Protein production and purification was carried out as described in section 2.2.2. After gel filtration, fractions corresponding to the monomer and the dimer were pooled accordingly. The protein was concentrated and buffer-exchanged using 50 mM Tris- HCl , $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$ to make a 0.5 mM stock which was used to make $5 \mu \mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ samples for fluorescence.

### 3.2.2 Fluorescence studies to determine the conformation of $x$

Spectra of PDI constructs were collected on a Cary Eclipse fluorimeter, Scan Software Version: 1.1 (132), using a 1 mL quartz cuvette at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in order to determine the effect of the mutations on the conformations of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ construct. Spectra were collected for the monomer and dimer species of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, I272A, L343A, 2DA in the W390F and WT backgrounds. For comparison with published data, proteins were excited at 290 nm and emission spectra (average of 5 scans) were collected from 310-400 nm at 0.5 nm intervals. Both emission and excitation slits were set at 5 nm . Blank samples, where the protein was omitted, were run and their fluorescence spectra was subtracted from that of the protein samples to ensure that the fluorescence measured was representative of the protein with no interference from the buffer. The wavelength of maximum fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\max }$ ) was used as the measured parameter, but the barycentric mean emission wavelength $\left(\lambda_{m}\right)$ was also calculated as an alternative to the $\lambda_{\max }$. The full emission data from 310 to 400 nm was used to calculate the barycentric mean emission wavelength $\left(\lambda_{m}\right)$, the "centre of gravity" of the spectrum, which reduced the entire spectrum for each protein to a single value using equation 3.1. $\lambda_{\mathrm{m}}$ is not sensitive to changes in concentration and was used as an objective measure of spectral shift on the mutants (Chalton and Lakey, 2010).
$3.1 \quad \lambda_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{\Sigma(F(\lambda) \times(\lambda))}{\Sigma F(\lambda)}$

Where $F(\lambda)$ is the fluorescence intensity at wavelength $\lambda$.

### 3.2.2.1 Determination of conformational stability by GdmCl denaturation

For analysis of denaturation studies, the parameter examined was $\lambda_{\max }$, which is insensitive of protein concentration and enables comparison with other published fluorescence data (Nguyen et al., 2008, Wang et al., 2010). $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein samples in 50 mM Tris, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$ with varying concentrations of $\mathrm{GdmCl}, 0$ to 6 M , were incubated at room temperature for 1 h prior to data collection. Spectra were collected as an average of 4 or 5 scans, with excitation at 280 nm and emission at $300-400 \mathrm{~nm}$. Slit widths were set at 5 nm for excitation and 10 nm for emission. Data were collected at a scan rate of $300 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ at 0.5 nm intervals, or $600 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ at 1.0 nm intervals. Control samples were run for each GdmCl concentration and these spectra were subtracted from the protein emission spectra to minimise the interference of the buffer and GdmCl with the protein fluorescence data.

### 3.2.2.2 Determination of the free energy of denaturation $\Delta G^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{ }_{a p p}$

Changes in the fluorescence spectra of the proteins were used to monitor the unfolding where the wavelength of maximum fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\max }$ ) was plotted for each denaturant concentration. Data analysis was carried out assuming a two-state model of denaturation where the stability of the fully folded native protein $(\mathrm{N})$, relative to that of the denatured (D) is described in terms of the standard free energy, $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}{ }_{\text {app }}$, where $K_{D}$ is the equilibrium constant between these two states:
$3.2 \mathrm{~N} \stackrel{K_{D}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{D}$

The fraction of protein which was unfolded, $f_{D}$, was calculated at each concentration of the denaturing agent, GdmCl , using equation 3.3 assuming a two-state model where y is the measured parameter $\left(\lambda_{\max }\right), \mathrm{y}_{0}$ is the baseline value for the parameter for folded protein and $y_{\max }$ is the maximum value of $\lambda_{\max }$ when the protein is fully unfolded (Kurtin and Lee, 2002).
$3.3 \quad f_{D}=\frac{y-y_{0}}{y_{\text {max }}-y_{0}}$

Figure 3.3 shows a simple representation of a denaturation illustrating the terms used to calculate fraction unfolded, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$.


Figure 3.3 A simple schematic of a denaturation curve illustrating the terms used for the calculation of fraction unfolded.

Consequently this allowed the apparent equilibrium constant, $K_{D}$, to be calculated for each GdmCl concentration where:
$3.4 \quad K_{D}=\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}}{1-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}}$

Protein denaturation data usually exhibit a sigmoid dependence of the measured parameter on the concentration of denaturant. Assuming that there is an equilibrium constant between the native and the denatured state, the data ( GdmCl concentration versus fraction unfolded) were fit with the Hill equation in which a is the difference between the maximum value of $f_{D}$ when the protein is fully unfolded and the baseline value ( $y_{\text {max }}-y_{0}$ ), x is the denaturant concentration, b is the Hill coefficient necessary to fit the data and c is the interaction constant which is equal to the denaturant concentration where $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$ and corresponds to the midpoint of denaturation (Kurtin and Lee, 2002).
$3.5 \quad y=y_{0}+\frac{a x^{b}}{c^{b}+x^{b}}$
The free energy required for the unfolding of the proteins was determined by extrapolation of the line of best fit in the graph of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}{ }_{\text {app }}$ versus denaturant concentration and confirmed using the calculated $K_{D}$ value and equation 3.6.
$3.6 \Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}=-\mathrm{R} \ln K_{D}$

Where $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}$ app is the change in standard Gibbs free energy at each denaturant concentration, R is the gas constant $\left(8.31 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{T}$ is the temperature and $\ln$ is the natural logarithm. The $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ value at 0 denaturant concentration was obtained using the linear extrapolation method (Ibarra-Molero and Sanchez-Ruiz, 1997). The midpoint of denaturation, where the proportion of the unfolded protein is equal to the fully folded state, was obtained from the plot of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}{ }_{\text {app }}$ against denaturant concentration where $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}{ }_{\text {app }}=0$.

### 3.2.3 Limited Proteolysis

Limited proteolysis was carried out using proteinase K. After testing a range of concentrations, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of enzyme was used on $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of protein. Reactions were allowed to take place for 1 h in a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath. Proteolysis was stopped by flashfreezing samples in liquid nitrogen or adding reducing sample buffer and boiling immediately for SDS-PAGE analysis. Proteolysis was carried out in the presence and absence of DTT in order to determine the effect of the oxidation state on conformational flexibility of proteins.

### 3.3 Results

### 3.3.1 Determination of capping in b'xa'c by fluorescence

Previous studies on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ have exploited the fluorescence properties of the tryptophan residue in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region as a sensitive probe to the alternative conformations of $\mathbf{x}$ with respect to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, where $\mathbf{x}$ can be bound to the ligand binding site in the capped conformation or free in solution in the uncapped conformation (Nguyen et al., 2008). The capped conformation is characterised by a blue-shift in fluorescence whereas the uncapped form presents with a red-shift in fluorescence. Isolated $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutants, I272A and 2DA, favour the capped conformation and consequently their emission maxima are at a shorter wavelength than L343A in the uncapped form. In order to determine if such conformations occur in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$, fluorescence emission spectra were collected for the monomer and dimer species of the WT protein as well as the I272A, L343A and 2DA mutant proteins (figure 3.4). The measured parameter for all of the emission spectra was the wavelength of maximum fluorescence emission ( $\lambda_{\max }$ ) as it is insensitive to small differences in protein concentration and has been shown to be an excellent indicator of the conformation of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (Nguyen et al., 2008). The excitation wavelength was set at 290 nm for comparison with published data (Wang et al., 2010).


Figure 3.4 Intrinsic fluorescence emission spectra of WT b'xa'c and mutants with excitation at $\mathbf{2 9 0} \mathbf{n m}$. a) emission spectra of WT b'xa'c monomer and dimer, b) emission spectra of the monomers of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA, $\mathbf{c}$ ) emission spectra of the dimer species of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA. The dashed lines indicate the maximal emission wavelength ( $\lambda_{\max }$ ).

As shown in chapter 2, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ consisted of a mixture of monomer and dimer which could be separated by gel filtration. Excitation of the oligomeric states at 290 nm showed that they differ in their fluorescence properties with the dimer being more red-shifted than the monomer. This was also true for 1272A, L343A and 2DA mutants as can be seen in figure 3.4. The fluorescence properties of the mutants also differed not only from the WT but also from each other. As expected, I272A was more blue-shifted than the WT and the other two mutants, suggesting that the tryptophan side chains in this mutant were in a more hydrophobic environment; consistent with the capped conformation. L343A appeared more red-shifted than the WT and I272A suggesting that this mutant was in the uncapped conformation. The 2DA mutant, which in b'x promotes capping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$, appeared more red-shifted than WT but very similar to the uncapped L343A mutant. This suggests that any mechanism of capping promoted by 2DA must be different to that promoted by I272A. As 2DA fluorescence spectra are very similar to those of L343A, the presence of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain has disrupted capping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$ in the 2DA mutant of $\mathbf{b}$ 'xa'c.

However, there are three tryptophan residues in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, W347 in the $\mathbf{x}$ region and W379 and W390 in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, which means that the emission spectra above showed the sum of the fluorescence from the environments of the tryprophans in $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{x}$, making it difficult to determine individual environments. In full length PDI, the fluorescence of W35 has been shown to be heavily quenched by the adjacent active site of the a domain in both the disulphide and dithiol states (Lappi et al., 2004). Therefore it was considered safe to assume that the fluorescence of W379, next to the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site, was also quenched and did not contribute significantly to the emission spectra of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. Hence the W390F mutation was introduced into the WT b'xa'c and the I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants to ensure that emission spectra were dominated by the fluorescence of W347 and the conformation of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region could be tracked. Emission spectra of WT b'xa'c, I272A, L343A and 2DA in the W390F background are shown in figure 3.5.

Fluorescence of the W390F mutants presented with a similar pattern to that of the proteins in the WT background, suggesting that even with contribution in fluorescence from the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ tryptophan, W347 was the main fluorophore in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. However, L343A in the W390F background, both monomer and dimer species, appeared more blue-shifted than in the WT background, making it more similar to I272A than 2DA.


Figure 3.5 Intrinsic fluorescence emission spectra of WT b'xa'c and mutants in the W390F background with excitation at $\mathbf{2 9 0} \mathbf{~ n m}$. a) emission spectra of W390F b'xa'c monomer and dimer, $\mathbf{b}$ ) emission spectra of the monomers of W390F b'xa'c mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA, c) emission spectra of the dimer species of W390F b'xa'c mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA. The dashed lines indicate the maximal emission wavelength $\left(\lambda_{\text {max }}\right)$.

There is a significant quenching of fluorescence when the dimer is formed as shown by the decrease in fluorescence intensity in figure 3.5 a. This could be due to the displacement of the tryptophan from the hydrophobic patch in the monomer in order to form the dimer species.

The wavelength of maximum fluorescence $\left(\lambda_{\max }\right)$ for each of the proteins has been summarised in table 3.1. The barycentric mean emission wavelength ( $\lambda_{m}$ ) was also calculated as an alternative to the $\lambda_{\max }$ as described in section 3.2.2.

| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ c protein | $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | Shifts in $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | Barycentric mean <br> wavelength $\left(\lambda_{m}\right)$ | Shift in $\lambda_{m}$ (nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | M 342 |  | 349.30 |  |
|  | D 345 |  | 350.89 |  |
| I272A | M 340 | -2 | 347.35 | -1.95 |
|  | D 342 | -3 | 348.24 | -2.65 |
| L343A | M 345 | +3 | 350.29 | +0.99 |
|  | D 346 | -1 | 350.77 | -0.12 |
| 2DA | M 345 | +3 | 350.96 | +1.66 |
|  | D 346 | -1 | 351.22 | +0.33 |


| W390F | M | 342 |  | 348.80 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D | 343 |  | 348.70 |  |
| I272A/W390F | M | 340 | -2 | 348.39 | -0.41 |
|  | D | 342 | -1 | 348.18 | -0.52 |
| L343A/W390F | M | 341 | -1 | 348.27 | -0.53 |
|  | D | 343 | 0 | 349.00 | +0.30 |
| 2DA/W390F | M | 351 | +9 | 353.46 | +4.66 |
|  | D | 351 | +8 | 353.45 | +4.75 |

Table 3.1 Intrinsic fluorescence properties of WT b'xa'c and mutants, monomer (M) and dimer (D) forms. Shifts in $\lambda_{\max }$ and $\lambda_{m}$ for the mutants are the values subtracted from the $\lambda_{\max }$ or $\lambda_{m}$ of the corresponding background proteins. - and + correspond to blue-shift and red-shift, respectively.

The I272A mutant showed a blue-shift in fluorescence with maxima at 340 and 342 nm for the monomer and dimer species, respectively, whereas L343A appeared red-shifted with maxima at 345 and 346 nm , suggesting that the I272A is in the capped conformation and the L343A in the uncapped. This agrees with published $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data where these mutations had similar effects on the fluorescence properties of W347. In contrast with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, the 2DA mutation in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ seemed to be red-shifted supporting an uncapped state. This was more accentuated when the W390F mutation was introduced and the emission maximum shifted from 345, in the WT background, to 351 nm in the W390F background. Overall, the W390F mutants confirmed the effect of the mutations in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were the same as when the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ tryptophan was not mutated but smaller in magnitude (table 3.1). WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ monomer presented with a $\lambda_{\max }$ at 342 , which when compared to I272A at 340 and L343A at 345, suggested that a mixture of the capped and uncapped conformations made up WT b'xa'c.

The barycentric mean emission wavelength also showed a similar pattern of shifts in fluorescence but moved to a higher wavelength than the $\lambda_{\max }$ and the differences were even smaller between the mutants and the WT. Therefore this method was not considered the most suitable method to analyse this data. The barycentric mean wavelength is not objective and but it is dependent on the shape of the curve, therefore a broad asymmetric spectrum will skew the result.

### 3.3.2 Determination of conformational stability of PDI constructs

Denaturation was carried out by incubating the proteins in varying concentrations of GdmCl for 1 h at room temperature prior to data collection. Protein samples were excited at 280 nm and fluorescence emission spectra were used for the determination of the $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ at each concentration of denaturant in the presence and absence of the reducing agent DTT. Figure 3.6 demonstrates the shift in wavelength and fluorescence intensity that occurs in WT b'xa'c in the presence of 6 M GdmCl .


Figure 3.6 Reduced WT b'xa'c in the presence of $0 \mathrm{M}(\odot)$ and $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{M ( \ominus )} \mathbf{~ G d m C l}$.

The denaturation curves of WT and mutant $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins in the absence and presence of DTT are shown in figure 3.7.


Figure 3.7 Denaturation of WT b'xa'c (O) and mutants I272A (O), L343A (O) and 2DA ( $O$ ). The wavelength of maximal emission ( $\lambda_{\max }$ ) plotted at each denaturant concentration shows a bi-phasing transition for all the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ proteins in a) the absence and b) presence of DTT.

Guanidine denaturation of WT and mutant $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ showed two phases of transition, with the first phase at around $0-0.6 \mathrm{M}$ and the second $1-2.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GdmCl}$ concentration. All proteins were completely denatured from $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{M}$ denaturant. As seen in figure 3.6 , mutants of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ presented with different conformational stabilities not only from the WT but also from each other. The presence of DTT had a considerable effect on the first phase of denaturation, especially in the 2DA mutant where the first phase was essentially missing in the absence of DTT. Thermodynamic parameters were calculated separately for each transition phase assuming that they could be fitted to the two state denaturation model. The denatured state for the first phase was assumed to be the native state for the second denaturation phase when the fraction unfolded, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$, was calculated using equation 3.3 (figure $3.8 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ ). The free energy for each point in the transition was calculated using equation 3.6 and plotted against GdmCl concentration to determine the conformational stability in the absence of denaturant, $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)} \mathrm{U}$, (figure 3.8 d and $\mathbf{e}$ ). the Hill equation was used to fit the transition region in phase 2 only but could not be fitted to phase 1 .


Figure 3.8 GdmCl denaturation of WT b'xa'c in the presence and absence of DTT. a) plot of $\lambda_{\max }$ against denaturant concentration shows a bi-phasic transition. The fraction unfolded for phase 1 (b) and phase 2 (c) were used to calculate the equilibrium constant, $K_{D}$, which was applied to equation 3.6 to determine the energy required for the unfolding of each phase in the absence of denaturant $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)} \mathrm{U}$, d) and e) respectively, in the presence ( O ) and absence $(\mathrm{O})$ of DTT.

Mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA showed bi-phasic denaturation curves similar to WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ (figure 3.9). The first denaturation step could not be fitted to the Hill equation by KaleidaGraph as it did not fit the 2 -state denaturation system due to the lack of protein stability in the presence of low denaturant concentrations, but the $K_{D}$ was calculated assuming that the native state of the protein was that at 0 M denaturant concentration. Just like in the WT protein, this first denaturation phase may represent a domain that is already partially unfolded, whose stability was significantly increased on addition of DTT suggesting that this phase could be due to the unfolding of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. This is also supported by $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data on page 20 . This phase was considerably more stable in I272A and L343A than in 2DA.


Figure 3.9 GdmCl denaturation of $b^{\prime} \times \mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA. a) - c) I272A $\lambda_{\text {max }}$, fraction unfolded and $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}$ app against GdmCl concentration, d) - f) L343A $\lambda_{\max }$, fraction unfolded and $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {app }}^{0}$ against GdmCl concentration, $\left.\left.\mathbf{g}\right)-\mathbf{i}\right) 2 \mathrm{DA} \lambda_{\max }$, fraction unfolded and $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}{ }_{\text {app }}$ against GdmCl concentration. ( $\bullet$ ) phase $1-\mathrm{DTT}$, ( $)$ phase $1+\mathrm{DTT}$, (O) phase $2-\mathrm{DTT}$, ( O ) phase $2+\mathrm{DTT}$.

The second transition phase also showed a difference in stability between the mutants, where I272A was more cooperative than L343A and 2DA. Like the first transition phase, the second phase was also affected by the addition of DTT but to a smaller extent.

The energy required and the denaturant concentration for the unfolding of phase 1 was much lower than that of phase 2 . The $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {app }}^{0}$ for phase 2 was very similar to the energy required for the unfolding of WT b'x with very similar midpoints as shown in published work as well as data collected from other members of the laboratory
(Pirneskoski et al., 2004). Therefore, assuming that phase 2 of denaturation was due to the unfolding of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, phase 1 must be attributable to the denaturation of the $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ domain. To prove that the phases were indeed due to the unfolding of individual domains, denaturation data for $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathrm{W} 390 \mathrm{~F} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were also collected.

Emission spectra at different GdmCl concentrations for $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were recorded with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site in the reduced and non-reduced state to determine the effect of the oxidation state on the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain (figure 3.10). Production and purification of these PDI constructs was carried out as described in chapter 2 for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. W390F $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ denaturation data were only collected in the absence of DTT as the sole fluorescence reporter in this mutant is W347 in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ where there are no disulphide bond-forming cysteines. This was also carried out to confirm that the tryptophan to phenylalanine mutation did not interfere with the structural stability of b'xa'c and that the $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ shifts in fluorescence are indeed due to a change in the conformation of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker. As expected, the denaturation profile of this mutant was very similar to that of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. a'c appeared to be partially unfolded as it had very low stability even in very low GdmCl concentrations. Addition of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, in $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}$, improved the stability of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ somewhat but not enough to form a fully folded domain and produce a cooperative sigmoidal curve.


Figure 3.10 GdmCl denaturation of a'c, xa'c and W390F b'xa'c. a) - c) a'c $\lambda_{\text {max }}$, fraction unfolded and $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}$ values against denaturant concentration, respectively. d) - f) $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c} \lambda_{\text {max }}$, fraction unfolded and $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {app }}^{0}$ dependence on GdmCl concentration. $\left.\mathbf{g}\right)$ - $\mathbf{i}$ ) W390F b'xa'c $\lambda_{\text {max }}$, fraction unfolded and $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {app }}^{0}$ dependence on GdmCl concentration. $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ were collected in the absence ( O ) and presence of DTT ( O ).

The conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ was similar to that of phase 1 in WT b'xa'c and mutants, confirming that this phase was in fact due to the unfolding of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$. The denaturation curve for the W390F mutant was comparable to the second denaturation phase of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins. As this mutant is mainly a $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ reporter, the second transition phase in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ must be caused by the unfolding of the ligand binding domain $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$.

Table 3.2 summarises the thermodynamic properties of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and its mutants as well as $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ constructs without DTT for comparison with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ proteins.

| Protein -DTT | Phase $1 a^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ |  |  | Phase $2 \mathrm{~b}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{0}\right)_{\mathrm{U}}}^{\left(\mathrm{kJol}^{-1}\right)} . \end{aligned}$ | $[\mathrm{GdmCl}]_{1 / 2}$ <br> (M) | $m$ value $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right.$ $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathbf{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)}{ }_{\mathrm{U}}{ }_{\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)} \end{gathered}$ | $[\mathbf{G d m C l}]_{1 / 2}$ <br> (M) | $m_{U}$ value ( $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ ) |
| WT b'xa'c | $4.41 \pm 0.3$ | 0.28 | -15.51 | $22.85 \pm 2.5$ | 2.10 | -10.88 |
| I272A b'xa'c | $5.12 \pm 0.4$ | 0.50 | -20.70 | $59.82 \pm 1.2$ | 2.00 | -30.10 |
| L343A b'xa'c | $4.05 \pm 0.2$ | 0.15 | -26.96 | $10.40 \pm 0.5$ | 2.00 | -5.21 |
| 2DA b'xa'c | - | - | - | $13.48 \pm 0.4$ | 1.98 | -6.81 |
| xa'c | $7.87 \pm 0.2$ | 0.57 | -13.79 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ | $3.75 \pm 0.2$ | 1.06 | -3.53 | - | - | - |
| W390F b'xa'c | - | - | - | $19.48 \pm 0.2$ | 2.00 | -9.71 |
| *WT b'x | - | - | - | 29.11 | 2.04 | -14.62 |
| *I272A b'x | - | - | - | 28.60 | 2.17 | -13.18 |
| ${ }^{*}$ L343A b'x | - | - | - | 19.09 | 1.67 | -11.43 |
| *2DA b'x | - | - | - | 43.05 | 2.33 | -18.48 |

Table 3.2 Thermodynamic properties of WT b'xa'c and b'x and their respective mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA, a'c and xa'c in the non-reduced state. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}{ }_{\text {app }}$ was calculated as $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {app }}^{0}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln K_{D}$. The midpoint of denaturation, $[\mathrm{GdmCl}]_{1 / 2}$,was the denaturant concentration where $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {app }}^{0}=0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The $m$ value is the slope of the gradient of the dependence of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}$ on denaturant concentration. ${ }^{*} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data were collected by Holly Baldock and Rebbeca Hayton.

The free energy of unfolding, $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)}{ }_{\mathrm{U}}$, for the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain was much smaller than that for the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. However, the $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}{ }_{\text {app }}$ for the first denaturation phase was calculated assuming that the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain was fully folded in the absence of denaturant when in fact it must have been partially unfolded due to the absence of a stable form at low denaturant concentrations. The extent of unfolding of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ must have been different for the WT protein and the mutants but it was not possible to determine the proportion of the unfolded population, therefore the denaturation midpoints for this domain are misleading. The midpoints of denaturation for the second transition phase of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$ proteins, the concentration of denaturant where $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {app }}^{0}=0$, are similar, not only to each other, but also the b'x proteins. The differences between WT b'xa'c and its mutants were seen in the $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{U}}$ values for the denaturation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The free energy of unfolding for the
denaturation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$ was nearly three times larger than that of the WT protein. This is supported by previous data where I272A has been described as a $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ stabilising mutant due to stabilisation of $\mathbf{x}$ capping the ligand binding site (Nguyen et al., 2008). Conversely, the uncapped L343A mutant presented with a $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)}{ }_{U}$ that was only half that of the WT, suggesting that this mutant has a destabilising effect on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$. Surprisingly, the free energy of unfolding of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in the 2DA mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ was similar to that of L343A. 2DA in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ promotes capping and has a greater $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)} \mathrm{U}$ not only than WT b'x but also I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The 2DA mutation in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ caused significant destabilisation of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, demonstrated by the absence of the first denaturation phase, which in turn must have affected the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. Denaturation of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ showed that this domain is conformationally unstable and partly unfolded even in the absence of GdmCl . Its stability increased with the addition of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, but it was still difficult to determine if the domain was fully folded in native conditions. This is supported by NMR data discussed in chapters 4 and 5. Overall, the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain is substantially less stable to chemical denaturation than the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain.

The $m$ value is an experimental measure of the dependence of $\Delta G^{0}$ on denaturant concentration, which is related to the chemical groups within a proteins that are exposed to the solvent in the denatured state (Myers et al., 1995). The $m$ values for the denaturation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ followed a similar trend to the $\Delta G^{0}$ values. L343A and 2DA presented with higher $m$ values than the WT and I272A, suggesting that they have more groups exposed to the solvent and as a result a different conformation. I272A was more conformationally stable than WT b'xa'c as it had a lower $m$ value and as a consequence less exposed groups.

The W390F mutant had similar denaturation midpoint, $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}$ app and $m$ value to WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ confirming that this mutation did not affect $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ structurally and that any shifts in fluorescence were due to the conformation of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region.

Table 3.3 shows the effect of the addition of DTT on the conformational stability of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins. Reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site caused an increase in stability of the proteins especially in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. This was more noticeable in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain of the 2DA mutant, which in the non-reduced form appeared almost completely unfolded. Although addition of DTT increased the stability of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and caused a blue-shift in fluorescence, this domain never appeared fully folded and therefore the thermodynamic
parameters shown in table 3.3 are still misleading. In summary, the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain denaturation data did not fit the 2-phase denaturation system and the cooperativity was low compared to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

\left.| Protein + DTT | Phase 1 a'c |  |  |  | Phase 2 b'x |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\right]$

Table 3.3 Thermodynamic properties of WT b'xa'c and it mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA, a'c and xa'c in the reduced state.

Table 3.3 illustrates that reduced $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ demonstrated an increase in the midpoint for the denaturation of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain but had no significant effect on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. However the $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}$ values for the unfolding of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ were slightly lower compared to the non-reduced protein. Overall, the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain appeared to be less conformationally stable than $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. The above data shows that $\mathbf{x}$ has a stabilising effect not only on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, as stated in the literature, but also on the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and that mutations in $\mathbf{x}$, like in L343A and 2DA, have a significant effect on the stability of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$. Reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site directly affects the stability of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ by lowering the energy required for its unfolding, whereas a more stable $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in I272A has a positive effect on the stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. Conformational stability data supports the shifts in $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of the WT and mutant $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, as red-shifted mutants have a lower conformational stability and the blue-shifted mutant is more stable than WT b'xa'c.

### 3.3.3 Determination of conformational flexibility by limited proteolysis

Limited proteolysis of WT b'xa'c and mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA, with proteinase K , was carried out in order to compare the effect of the mutations on the conformational flexibility of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. Initial observations showed that WT and I272A
presented with similar proteolytic products on SDS-PAGE. L343A had a similar band pattern to WT and I272A, but proteolytic products were more intense on SDS-PAGE, suggesting increased flexibility of the L343A mutant compared to WT and I272A. 2DA was almost completely digested by proteinase K showing greater susceptibility to the protease than the WT and the other two mutants (figure 3.11). This must have been due to the fact that the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain was unfolded to a greater extent in this mutant and therefore was more prone to proteolytic cleavage. A thick band between 15 and 20 kDa in in lane 8 could be due to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.


Figure $3.11 \mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ SDS-PAGE analysis of proteolysis products of WT b'xa'c and mutants. $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of each protein were digested with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of proteinase K for 30 minutes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Controls consisted of undigested proteins. Lane 1: WT b'xa'c control; Lane 2: WT b'xa'c proteolysis products; Lane 3: I272A control; Lane 4: I272A proteolysis products; Lane 5: L343A control; Lane 6 L343A proteolysis products; Lane 7: 2DA control; Lane 8: 2DA proteolysis products.

The oxidation state of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain has been shown to be important for the flexibility of PDI, therefore limited proteolysis was repeated with the proteins in the reduced and non-reduced states. Addition of DTT decreased the susceptibility of the proteins to proteinase K suggesting that the proteins are less conformationally flexible when the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site is in the reduced state. Figure 3.12 shows the susceptibility of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ to proteinase K in the presence of DTT.


Figure $3.12 \mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ SDS-PAGE analysis of WT b'xa'c and mutants in the presence and absence of DTT. Digestion was allowed to occur for 1 hour at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lanes $1-3$ : WT b'xa'c control, proteolysis products + DTT and - DTT respectively; Lanes $4-6$ : I272A control, proteolysis products + DTT and - DTT respectively; Lanes $7-9 \mathrm{~L} 343 \mathrm{~A}$ control, proteolysis products + DTT and - DTT respectively; Lanes $10-12$ : 2DA control, proteolysis products + DTT and - DTT respectively.

Figure 3.11 shows that WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were less susceptible to proteolytic cleavage by proteinase K than L343A and 2DA, both in the presence and absence of DTT. L343A and 2DA were completely digested in the absence of DTT, whereas WT and I272A in lanes 3 and 6 respectively still contained some undigested protein. Even in the reduced state, L343A and 2DA were more susceptible to cleavage by the protease than WT and I272A b'xa'c.

## Chapter 3 - Biophysical characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and mutants

### 3.4 Discussion

### 3.4.1 Determination of capping in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ by fluorescence

Capping of the ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region has been shown to occur in a number of PDI constructs and there has even been evidence presented that full length PDI itself exhibits this conformational change (Wang et al., 2010, Nguyen et al., 2008). The presence of tryptophan residues in PDI has assisted in the determination of the alternative conformations of PDI. W347 in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region has proved an excellent tool in tracking the capped and uncapped conformations by intrinsic fluorescence. I272A and 2DA mutants in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ have been shown to favour the capped conformation, where the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region caps the ligand binding site on the surface of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. During this conformational change the side chain of W347 is buried in the hydrophobic environment of the binding site and as a consequence displays a blue-shift in fluorescence. Conversely, the L343A mutant of b'x promotes uncapping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$, therefore W347 is found exposed to the surrounding aqueous solution. A red-shift in fluorescence is observed as a consequence of the hydrophilic environment of the W347 side chain. The I272A and L343A mutants have been shown to have a similar effect on the fluorescence of properties of full length PDI as in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, but the shifts in the wavelength of maximal intensity between the mutants and the wild type have been at a smaller magnitude.

Fluorescence studies on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ cof PDI revealed that the I272A and L343A mutants had a similar effect on the conformation of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region as they do in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, with I272A being more blue-shifted and L343A more red-shifted than WT b'xa'c (figure 3.4). This suggests that these mutants also stabilise capping and uncapping, respectively, and as the $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of the WT protein fell in between the values of these two mutants, WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ must be present as a mixture of the two conformations. However, the differences in $\lambda_{\max }$ shifts between the mutants and the WT are smaller than those seen in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. So, it is likely that the I272A mutation is promoting capping, but as $\mathbf{x}$ has another domain on its side, the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ binding site is not fully capped but W347 is close enough to report on its hydrophobic environment. Therefore capping in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ may not be as complete as in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, but $\mathbf{x}$ is still in close proximity with hydrophobic patch on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. Also, the structural arrangement of capping is most likely different in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ compared to the crystal structure of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. It is not surprising that I272A and L343A have these effects on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. L343 is one of the residues in $\mathbf{x}$ that interacts with the ligand binding site and when mutated to an alanine, the
interaction is disrupted and consequently capping is inhibited (Nguyen et al., 2008). The side chain of I272 is found behind the hydrophobic pocket on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and mutating this residue to an alanine has been shown to decrease peptide binding; most likely due to competition from the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (Pirneskoski et al., 2004). 2DA was surprisingly red-shifted in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ when the same mutant promotes capping in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and displays a blue-shift in fluorescence. As the 2DA mutations are in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region and this change could detrimentally inhibit $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ interactions as well as affect $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ interactions and the capping event.

Dimers of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and mutants were more red-shifted than the monomers. This was not surprising as dimerisation is thought to occur through interaction of the hydrophobic ligand binding patch on the surface of each monomer therefore displacing $\mathbf{x}$ from the ligand binding site and setting it free in solution giving more red-shifted fluorescence than the monomers.

### 3.4.2 Determination of conformational stability of PDI constructs

Very little is known about the conformational stability of PDI, possibly due to its complicated fluorescence profile and conformational flexibility. Previous fluorescencebased denaturation studies using GdmCl have shown a single transition phase from native to the denatured form of $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, with midpoints for denaturation at 1.65 and 2.32 M respectively. The denaturation midpoint for full length PDI has been reported as 1.35 M (Pirneskoski et al., 2004).

GdmCl denaturation of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA presented as a bi-phasic denaturation curve, with the first phase owing to the unfolding of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and the second phase as a result of the unfolding of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The free energy of unfolding of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ was much lower than that for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, as the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain appeared to be partially unfolded even in the absence of denaturant. Therefore the denaturation midpoints for the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain were misleading as the data did not fit to the two-state denaturation system and it was difficult to measure the folded base-line. Denaturation of the a'c and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ constructs as well as the W390F mutant confirmed that the bi-phasic denaturation curve was indeed as a result of the unfolding of the individual domains suggesting that the domains in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ behave like two domains on a string rather than as a globular protein, as reported by the gel filtration data in chapter 2.

Mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA appeared to have different conformational stabilities compared to WT b'xa'c. The I272A was the most stable $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ protein, confirming its role as a $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ stabilising mutant. 2DA $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was the mutant with the lowest conformational stability as the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain appeared nearly completely unfolded and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ stability was also reduced compared to the WT protein. Therefore, the 2DA mutant does not have the same stabilising effect in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ as it does in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The L343A mutation also decreased the conformational stability of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, but this was to be expected as L343A in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ is considered $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ destabilising mutant as it favours uncapping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (Nguyen et al., 2008). The a'c construct in isolation showed very low conformational stability and did not show a simple two state reaction for a folded protein. Addition of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in the $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ construct significantly increased the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain suggesting that the $\mathbf{x}$ linker confers stability to the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, possibly through interactions.

Addition of DTT significantly increased the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in all of the proteins, especially in the 2DA mutant where the first denaturation phase in the absence of DTT was nearly non-existent, but on addition of DTT the free energy of denaturation could be calculated. DTT increased the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in all of the PDI proteins. This is in agreement with recently published data which shows the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain form interactions with the $\mathbf{x}$ linker and the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain forming a compact structure upon reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site.

The $m$ values are a measure of the change in accessible surface area on unfolding of the protein. Therefore, a high $m$ value denotes a large number of exposed amino acid groups and a greater extent of unfolding than a lower $m$ value. The $m$ values for WT and mutant $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ proteins are similar to each other, suggesting that the folded structures of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ proteins are similar. This is not the case for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins, which show a variation in the change of accessible surface area, therefore suggesting structural differences in the folded form. This difference is seen in the presence and absence of DTT, giving confidence in the data. As the change in accessible surface area for the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ domain in I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ is smaller than that of WT b'xa'c, this may suggest that I272A has a smaller accessible surface area than the WT protein in the native state. Whereas, the accessible surface area is larger for L343A and even larger for 2DA, suggesting an uncapped conformation. This is reasonable if the accessible surface area of the unfolded forms of the proteins is the same and a two state system is assumed.

Interestingly, the I272A and 2DA mutants appear to behave differently across the two data sets, i.e $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. In the case of I272A, the $m$ value is much higher than the WT in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ background compared to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ only, whereas in the case of $2 \mathrm{DA}, m$ is higher than the WT b'x background but lower in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' $\mathbf{c}$. Presumably these differences are due to the behaviour of $\mathbf{x}$ when it is tethered by unfolded $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$. The 2DA mutation in $\mathbf{x}$ is able to stabilise $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ when $\mathbf{x}$ is 19 residues, as in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, but not when it is lengthened by the unfolded $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

### 3.4.3 Determination of conformational flexibility by limited proteolysis

The C-terminal half of PDI, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ has been shown to be more susceptible to proteolytic cleavage than the N-terminal ab fragment (Wang et al., 2010). This has been attributed to the more flexible conformation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ compared to $\mathbf{a b}$. Mutants of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$ also showed different susceptibility to proteinase K , implying that they are in a different conformation to the WT protein. Limited proteolysis supported the denaturation data in highlighting the negative effect of the 2DA mutant on the conformational stability and flexibility of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ showed similar susceptibility to proteinase K , whereas L343A was slightly more susceptible. 2DA was completely digested by proteinase $K$ due to the low conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. This data also shows that the 2DA mutant is definitely not capping the ligand binding site in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

Addition of DTT decreased the susceptibility of the proteins to proteinase K suggesting that the proteins were less conformationally flexible when the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site is in the reduced state. This was supported by the GdmCl data which showed that the proteins exhibited increased conformational stability upon reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site. Both reduced bb'xa' and abb' $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime}$ have been shown to be less susceptible to proteolytic cleavage. This is due to a redox-dependent conformational change upon reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site, in which the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x a}^{\prime}$ fragment assumes a more compact structural unit (Wang et al., 2012a, Wang et al., 2012b)

## CHAPTER 4

## Characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ by NMR Spectroscopy

### 4.1 Introduction

NMR assignments allow identification of individual resonances from observed NMR active nuclei within a protein. For many years, sequential assignment has been the primary method of amino acid backbone resonance assignment of proteins (Wütherich, 1986). This approach, used for the assignment of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, exploits a combination of throughbond triple resonance experiments to match a distinctive spin system to the type of amino acid to which it belongs, followed by the identification of the adjacent spin systems and their position in the amino acid sequence of the protein. This method has enabled the assignment of large complex molecules in a short period of time (Kay, 2005). Once completed, NMR assignments could be used for chemical shift mapping in pH and temperature titrations, ligand binding and structural NMR relaxation dynamics studies.

Characterisation of the single domain constructs $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ of PDI has been carried out as a stepping stone to assist in the investigations of the double domain construct $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ in the next chapter. $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ has already been the subject of extensive studies as the Xray crystal structure of the capping I272A mutant and NMR assignments of WT b'x have already been solved (Nguyen et al., 2008, Byrne et al., 2009). Conversely, very little
research has been carried out on the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain of human PDI, possibly due to the challenging nature of the NMR data from this domain. Initial studies showed that expression levels, in E. coli of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, without the C-terminal tail and the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region were very low and insufficient for NMR studies. Protein levels increased when the $\mathbf{c}$ tail was part of the construct in $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, but ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra appeared line broadened and of poor quality, suggestive of conformational exchange. However, the addition of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ significantly improved the quality of the NMR data as peaks appeared better resolved and less line broadened. For this reason, $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was used in triple resonance experiments for the assignment of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain as well as relaxation and ligand binding studies.

### 4.1.1 ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC experiments

Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation (HSQC) is the standard 2D NMR experiment that shows the correlations between ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and the directly bonded NMR active ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ heteroatoms. These are mainly the backbone amide groups but indole groups of $\operatorname{Trp}(\mathrm{N} \varepsilon-\mathrm{H} \varepsilon)$ and $\mathrm{Asn} / \mathrm{Gln}$ side chain $(\mathrm{N} \delta-\mathrm{H} \delta 2 / \mathrm{N} \varepsilon-\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 2)$ groups are also visible. Therefore the HSQC spectrum contains a peak for each unique proton attached to the labelled heteroatom, except Pro residues which do not contain an amide proton in their structure so are not seen in ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra.

### 4.1.2 Sequential backbone assignment by Triple Resonance

Triple resonance assignment experiments for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ required protein that was ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopically enriched to enable the assignment of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$. Each resonance in the NMR spectrum is associated with a specific nucleus in the molecule being investigated and is assigned to a spin system in a particular amino acid residue in the protein sequence. So, each resonance must not only be precisely assigned to the amino acid type, but each assignment must be specific for individual nuclei in each amino acid in the protein sequence. These assignments are enabled by through-bond triple resonance experiments which are typically run in pairs. The first experiment of the pair correlates the amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei in a particular amino acid (designated " i ") and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei of the preceding amino acid (designated " $\mathrm{i}-1$ "). The second experiment
correlates the same amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei of the preceding amino acid only. These experiments are named after the correlations that they detect. For example, in the CBCA(CO)NH and CBCANH pair of experiments that were used to assign xa'c, CBCANH correlates the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ nuclei of the same and preceding amino acid, whereas the $\mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ correlates the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with only the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ of the preceding amino acid. Figure 4.1 shows how these experiments are used to link one NH group to the next into a long chain.


Figure 4.1 Dipeptide segment of a protein backbone showing correlations obtained in CBCANH and CBCA(CO)NH experiments. CBCANH correlates each NH group with the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ chemical shifts of its own residue and of the residue preceding. $\mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ only correlates the NH group of the preceding $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ chemical shifts.

This approach utilises the distinctive $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ chemical shifts which are characteristic of the amino acid type. The chemical shifts of certain amino acids, such as threonine and serine, are very different to those of the other amino acids as their $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ present at a higher field than the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$. Conversely, the $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ of alanine lies at a lower field than the $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ of all the other amino acids making it easy to identify. Glycine is also easy to spot as it does not contain $C_{\beta}$, therefore it is only presents as one peak at around 45 ppm which represents its $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$. Valine, isoleucine and proline are also likely to stand out as they have higher than normal $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ chemical shifts. The random coil NMR chemical shift values for all of the amino acids obtained by Sykes and co-workers is shown in the figure 4.2 (Wishart et al., 1995).


Figure 4.2 Chemical shift patterns of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{(})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}(\odot)$ resonances for amino acids in random coil conformation (Wishart et al., 1995). The distinctive patterns of glycine, alanine, serine and threonine can be seen compared to the other amino acids. The chemical shifts for cysteine in the reduced (C) and oxidized (C) form are also shown.

The data from the triple resonance experiments was loaded into CcpNmr Analysis software which placed each data pair into clusters and interrogated the cluster lists to provide matches in rank order of best fit. Matching clusters were then linked together to form a sequential assignment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ resonances could be unambiguously assigned using the unique chemical shift pattern for glycine, alanine, serine and threonine.

### 4.1.3 Chemical Shift Mapping

Frequency and wavelength are the common units used in spectroscopy. However, NMR spectroscopy uses chemical shifts instead, as frequencies of NMR lines are directly proportional to the magnetic field strength. This means that doubling the field strength doubles the frequency so it is not possible to compare absorption frequencies between spectrometers which operate at different field strengths. Whereas on the chemical shift scale, the positions of the peaks are independent of field strength (Keeler, 2005).

Chemical shift mapping is routinely used to monitor changes in chemical shifts when a protein is altered in solution. Mapping uses two-dimensional experiments like the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC to follow changes in the backbone amide chemical shift which can then be mapped onto the proteins structure or amino acid sequence. Chemical shifts are sensitive to variations in chemical environment which could be due to oxidation status, temperature, pH or addition of a ligand that interacts with the protein. All of these changes are reported to have an effect on the PDI constructs discussed in this thesis.

### 4.1.4 Secondary Structure Prediction from chemical shifts

DANGLE (Dihedral Angles from Global Likelihood Estimates) is a program built into CcpNmr Analysis Version 2 used for secondary structure prediction (Cheung et al., 2010, Vranken et al., 2005). DANGLE is an algorithm which uses a Bayesian inference statistical approach to predict the secondary structure of a protein based on the amino acid type and its chemical shift, as well as taking into account the adjacent amino acids and interrogating a chemical shift/structure database for related sequences. This information is then used to predict the most likely secondary structure. The chemical shifts obtained from the triple resonance assignment for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ were used for the secondary structure prediction in DANGLE.

Secondary structure defines specific dihedral angles across the protein backbone as they rotate about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds, $\Psi(\mathrm{Psi})$ and $\Phi(\mathrm{Phi})$ respectively. These allow the backbone to adopt regular structure arrangements which are also affected by the type of amino acids and their side chains. Typical backbone secondary structures and their angles are represented by the Ramachandran plot in figure 4.3 (Ramachandran et al., 1963). DANGLE generates Ramachandran plots for all of the amino acids in the protein sequence for which the chemical shifts have been previously assigned.


Figure 4.3 Simple representation of a Ramachandran plot. Angles $\Phi$ (Phi) between $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and N bond and $\Psi$ (Psi) between the C and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ bond characterise the different types of secondary structure. Typical angles and secondary structure types of the protein backbone are shown by the blue shaded regions.

### 4.1.5 ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ Backbone NMR Dynamics

NMR relaxation dynamics consist of a suite of experiments that provide detailed information related to the global and internal structural motions of the protein under investigation, as well as conformational exchange between two or more states. Molecular dynamics can be calculated using in depth quantum mechanics and mathematical modelling in order to quantify the degree of motion that exists within a protein molecule as proteins are flexible dynamic molecules that can assume a number of different conformations in solution. The dynamic motion of a protein in solution is defined by the global correlation time $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ (sometimes referred to as $\tau_{\mathrm{c}}$ ). The global correlation time is defined as the time taken for a molecule to rotate through one radian or $57.3^{\circ}$ (Abragam, 1961). The correlation time is related to the diffusion coefficient for the protein in solution and so it is dependent not only on the size of the molecule but also the temperature and viscosity of the solution. Equation 4.1 shows a generalized relationship between the number of residues in a protein and the global correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ (Daragan and Mayo, 1997).
$4.1 \quad \tau_{m}=\frac{9.18 \times 10^{-3}}{T} \exp \left[\frac{2416}{T}\right] N^{0.93}$
Where T is the temperature in Kelvin and N is the number of residues in the protein under investigation, which is assumed to be isotropic in shape. The global correlation time, $\tau_{m}$, reports on the overall shape of the protein and increases with size (therefore molecular weight). As few proteins are truly spherical, molecular tumbling anisotropy provides different effective correlation times for each nucleus in the protein (Palmer, 2001).

Molecular motions occur in different timescales and this allows for the analysis of individual sites and sequence-specific flexibility in a protein. Proteins primarily tumble in solution in the nanosecond timescale, whereas parts of the structures like loops and termini of the protein exhibit much faster internal motion, usually with motions in the picosecond timescale. Side chains and regions of secondary structure can display additional slower motions in the milli to nanosecond timescale. Therefore over the years, several NMR experiments have been designed to interrogate protein motions occurring in a broad range of timescales as shown in figure 4.4.

## Timescale



Figure 4.4 Timescales available for interrogation by different NMR experiments. Measurements of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ show motions mainly in the nanosecond timescale, but can also display movement in the picosecond timescale. $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ experiments can furthermore detect micro and millisecond motions. HetNOE experiments detect motions in the subnanosecond timescale. Experiments such as $T_{2}$ CPMG (Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill) and Hydrogen exchange monitor longer timescale motions.

Protein backbone dynamics have been investigated using three types of throughbond experiments that measure NMR relaxation rates for each assigned amino acid residue in the protein: ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and heteronuclear Nuclear Overhauser Effect (hetNOE). These experiments reveal different aspects of molecular dynamics and provide insight into the motion of individual nuclei at both the residue and bond level.

Longitudinal relaxation time $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$, also known as spin-lattice relaxation, is influenced by molecular motions within the nano and sub-nanosecond timescale. Its classical interpretation is that nuclear spins relax to equilibrium with energy lost to the surroundings. It enables the recovery of bulk magnetization required for the detection of NMR signals, revealing information about molecular anisotropy and overall tumbling motion representative of molecular size. The inverse of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ gives the relaxation rate $\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ (Barbato et al., 1992, Rule and Kevin Hitchens, 2006).

Transverse relaxation time ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ), also known as spin-spin relaxation, is not only influenced by the molecular motion in the nano to sub-nanosecond timescale, but is also sensitive to events in the micro and millisecond time scale as well as the overall size of the molecule and any chemical exchange. Its classical interpretation is that nuclear spins relax with a loss of phase coherence to adjacent spins. During $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation, the detected signal is reduced when the bulk magnetization is perturbed by the phase coherence. The inverse of $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ gives the relaxation rate $\mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ (Palmer, 1997).

Heteronuclear Nuclear Overhauser Effect (hetNOE) measures the cross-relaxation that occurs between the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ nuclei of the amide bond and therefore reports on the internal motion of proteins in the sub-nanosecond timescale. The hetNOE is observed as a difference in cross peak intensity when the saturation of the amide protons is selectively switched on and off. This is calculated using equation 4.2 where $I$ is the saturated and $I_{0}$ is the reference experiment:
4.2 hetNOE $=\frac{I-I_{0}}{I_{0}}$

The $I$ and $I_{0}$ hetNOE experiments give rise to negative values for each cross peak where more negative values suggest faster internal motions in the sub-nanosecond timescale.

### 4.1.6 Model-free Formalism

Although plots of $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE against residue number provide vital information about the molecular motions of a protein, NMR relaxation data can be further analysed using basic models, such as the Model-free formalism (Lipari and Szabo, 1982a, Lipari and Szabo, 1982b). Longitudinal and transverse relaxation can be theoretically defined from the global correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$, and are described by a series of equations containing the spectral density function.

NMR relaxation is associated with the frequencies exhibited during the global or local motions of a protein, and the spectral density function, $\mathrm{J}(\omega)$, describes the distribution of these frequencies diplayed by the protein under investigation. The spectral density function is shown in equation 4.3:
$4.3 \quad J(\omega)=\frac{2}{5} \frac{\tau_{m}}{1+\left(\omega \tau_{m}\right)^{2}}$

Where $\mathrm{J}(\omega)$ represents spectral density, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ global molecular correlation time and $\omega$ is the frequency of the NMR relaxation. Therefore, the spectral density is a function of motion from the correlation time and the frequency of the resonance. NMR relaxation is perturbed by anisotropic tumbling and exchange contributions. More specifically, $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is modified by the anisotropic shape of the protein described as the overall rotational diffusion term, $D$. Whereas $T_{2}$ is modified using terms associated with the rate of exchange, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$, and correlation time of exchange, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$. This results in $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ containing information about the global $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ based motion, whereas $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ containing information about the global nanosecond $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and slower micro to nanosecond $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ based motions. Consequently this will also perturb the spectral density function, which lacks the necessary terms to describe individual nuclei in a protein. Therefore, Lipari and Szabo proposed the modelfree formalism which uses the spectral density function, including additional terms, to
describe NMR relaxation data of macromolecules as shown in equation 4.9 (Lipari and Szabo, 1982a, Lipari and Szabo, 1982b):
$4.4 J(\omega)=\frac{2}{5}\left[\frac{S^{2} \tau_{m}}{1+\left(\tau_{m} \omega\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left(1-S^{2}\right) \tau}{1+(\tau \omega)^{2}}\right]$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Global } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Internal } \\ \text { motion } \\ \text { motion }\end{array}\end{array}$

Where definitions are as stated in equation 4.3 with the addition of $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ and $\tau^{\prime} . \mathrm{S}^{2}$ is known as the order parameter and can take a value between 0 and $1 ; \tau$ is a combination of the global correlation time $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and the internal correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$, so that $\tau^{\prime}=\tau_{m}{ }^{-1}+\tau_{e}{ }^{-1}$. As well as defined as the internal correlation time, $\tau^{\prime}$ is also used to describe fast internal motions and is known as the effective correlation time. The order parameter $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ is a crucial component of the model-free formalism that describes the rigidity of the protein backbone. A rigid structural ennvironment is represented by $S^{2}=1$ whereas a completely random flexible backbone is represented by $\mathrm{S}^{2}=0$. Therefore the dynamic nature of a protein and that of each backbone amide can be quantified through the derivation of $S^{2}$ and $\tau$ where global motion is represented by $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and internal motion by $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$. Additional parameters used to fit NMR relaxation data include a general exchange rate, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$, and the expansion of the spectral density function into $\mathrm{S}^{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ with the $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ modified into $\tau_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{s}}$ where " f " and " s " represent fast and slow internal motions respectively. Therefore, it is through the derivation of $S^{2}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ for each backbone amide that the dynamic nature of a protein can be quantified in a simple way.
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ plots are a simplified version of the model-free formalism as they include the order parameter, $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, and global correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$, as contour lines to allow a visual comparison and analysis of experimental data. The plots also provide immediate comparison between different proteins as well as estimation of the $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ for each residue. However these plots do not include experimental hetNOE values whereas the model-free formalism incorporates all three relaxation parameters in order to define the backbone flexibility of the protein.

The Modelfree4 program developed by Palmer and colleagues (free to download at http://www.palmer.hs.columbia.edu/software/modelfree.html) can provide molecular
dynamics optimization from $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE data (Palmer et al., 1991, Mandel et al., 1995). If the three relaxation parameters have been measured at a single magnetic field, then no more than three model-free parameters can be fit to this data, in addition to the rotational correlation time or the diffusion tensor. There are five possible sets of modelfree parameters that can be fit to three experimental data points:

Model 1: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$
Model 2: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$
Model 3: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$
Model 4: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}, \tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$
Model 5: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$

Here the term $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ is the same as $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}=1$ for models 1-4. Whereas in model 5, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}$ refer to internal motions on slow and fast timescales respectively. The order parameter $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ can also be defined as $\mathrm{S}^{2}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ when fast/slow motions are active. The term $R_{\text {ex }}$ is a numerical definition used to describe the chemical exchange contribution to the relaxation values.

The ModelFree4 program is widely used in the analysis of NMR relaxation data, for both peptides and proteins. One of hundreds of publications using ModelFree4 is the study of the dynamics of ribosomal protein S 6 and its mutant $\mathrm{P}^{54-55}$ (Ohman et al., 2010). Model-free analysis of the relaxation data for S 6 revealed a correlation time of 7.3 ns with residues in secondary structure elements displaying high ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{-}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ order parameter $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, with an average of 0.91 . Whereas model-free analysis of the relaxation data for the $\mathrm{P}^{54-55}$ permutant yielded a correlation time of 6.9 ns and an average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of 0.92 . The relaxation studies combined with model-free analysis revealed flexible regions of the protein that were not apparent from the crystal structure of S6. Overall, the structure and dynamic properties of S 6 in solution revealed that the overall stability of the protein was not sensitive to mutations in the flexible region.

### 4.1.7 Spectral Density and Spectral Density Mapping

Spectral density is a description of motion that occurs at specific frequencies and is related to the field stregth of the NMR spectrometer. All relaxation experiments shown in this thesis were collected at 600 MHz , which means that for ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ relaxation, 5 frequencies can be examined: $\mathrm{J}(0)$ with a frequency of $0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ at $60 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{J}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ at 600 MHz , $\mathrm{J}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}+\omega_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ at 540 MHz and $\mathrm{J}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}-\omega_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ at 660 MHz . Although five different frequencies are observed, the spectral density values are derived from the same three sets of relaxation data, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE. True spectral density requires relaxation data collected at more than one magnetic field strength, so when only one field is used the resulting motion data is referred to as reduced spectral density and provides a reduced set of parameters $\mathrm{J}\left(0_{\mathrm{eff}}\right), \mathrm{J}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{J}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$.

### 4.2 Materials and Methods

### 4.2.1 NMR sample preparation

Protein samples for NMR experiments were expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS grown in Minimal Media enriched with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ or ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ as required. The proteins were purified as described in chapter 2 and concentrated using Vivaspin 4 concentrators (MWCO 10 kDa ) to a final concentration between 0.4 and 2.0 mM as determined by absorbance at $\mathrm{A}_{280} \mathrm{~nm}$. At the same time, proteins were buffer exchanged into the required buffers containing $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Goss Scientific Ltd.).

### 4.2.2 NMR Data acquisition and processing

NMR data was acquired with the assistance of Dr. Mark Howard and Dr. Michelle Rowe. Most of the experiments were carried out on a Varian UnityINOVA spectrometer operating at 14.1 Tesla ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance frequency of 600 MHz ) equipped with a 5 mm HCN z-pulse field gradient probe (except where stated). Experiments were collected at various temperatures $\left(15,25,35\right.$ and $\left.40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift referencing was based on the position of the water resonance with the exact value being related to the known ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ resonance with temperature, whereas ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ dimensions were referenced using a spectrometer based macro that utilises the gyromagnetic ratios relationship (Wishart and Sykes, 1994). All NMR experiments were solvent suppressed using WATERGATE (Piotto et al., 1992) in order to reduce the intensity of the signal from water.

NMR relaxation experiments for b'x, both WT and I272A, were collected on a Bruker spectrometer operating at the same magnetic field, whereas all $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ data were collected on the Varian UnityINOVA spectrometer.

All NMR data were processed on a Linux workstation running SuSE 10.2, using NMRpipe software (Cornilescu et al., 1999) with Gaussian processing function.

### 4.2.2.1 ${ }^{15} N /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC NMR experiments of PDI constructs

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ heteronulear single quantum correlation (HSQC) experiments (Cavanagh et al., 2007) were typically acquired with 2048 points ( 9000 Hz ) in the direct F2 dimension $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 256 points ( 2100 Hz ) in F1 ( ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ ). For ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ enriched samples, a modified ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC was used to incorporate ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ decoupling during both $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ acquisition periods.

### 4.2.2.2 Amino acid sequential backbone resonance assignment

Backbone resonance assignments were obtained from a 2.0 mM reduced ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ enriched $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ sample in a pH 7.0 buffer containing 20 mM MOPS, 50 mM NaCl and 20 mM DTT. CBCANH and $\mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ triple resonance data sets were acquired, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with 1024 points $\left(9000 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ) in the direct F3 dimension $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 60$ points $(10000 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in F2 $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and 20 points ( 2100 Hz ) in F1 $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ indirect dimensions. Carrier frequencies for the triple resonance experiments were set to $4.766 \mathrm{ppm}, 45.919 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 119.454 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ respectively.

### 4.2.2.3 ${ }^{15}$ N NMR Relaxation

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ Relaxation experiments were carried out for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (WT and I272A). Each $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ experiment was run with 2048 points $(9000 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in the direct $F 2$ dimension and 256 points $(2100 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in the F1 dimension. All xa'c data were run on the Varian UnityINOVA spectrometer at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the carrier frequencies set to $4.766 \mathrm{ppm}, 59.143$ ppm and 118.477 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ respectively. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ relaxation delays for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were set to $128,256(\mathrm{x} 2), 385,513,641(\mathrm{x} 2), 769$ and 894 ms . $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation delays were set to $20,40,60(x 2), 80,100,120(x 2), 140$ and 160 ms .

WT and I272A b'x relaxation data were run on a 600 MHz Bruker AV3 spectrometer with QCI-F cryoprobe at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the carrier frequencies were adjusted accordingly at $4.766 \mathrm{ppm}, 59.143 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 119.08 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ respectively. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ relaxation delays were set to $256,384,512,640$ ( x 2 ), 768,894 and 1024 ms . $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation delays were set to $33.92,50.88,67.84,84.80$ (x2), 101.76, 118.72 and 135.68 ms.
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HetNOE data were obtained by observing the intensity of the NH peaks with and without saturation of amide protons. HetNOE experiments were run with the same spectral widths as the $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ experiments.

### 4.2.3 NMR Data analysis

### 4.2.3.1 Triple Resonance assignment

Sequential assignment of the NMR backbone resonances for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was carried out in software package CcpNmr Analysis Version 2 (Vranken et al., 2005). This was achieved
using triple resonance data from experiments CBCANH and $\mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ and the distinctive patterns of the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ of amino acids such as alanine, glycine, threonine and serine as well as the random coil shifts obtained by Sykes and co-workers shown in figure 4.2 (Wishart et al., 1995). The principle of triple resonance assignment using these experiments is described in the introduction section of this chapter.

### 4.2.3.2 Chemical Shift Mapping

Chemical shift mapping was used to show changes in chemical shifts ascertained from ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC data obtained for proteins under identical conditions as an indication of change in the chemical environment for each residue. This type of analysis was used to generate a graph showing the minimal chemical shift difference with residue number. This type of analysis is carried out when comparing spectra when only one of the spectra is fully assigned and the distances from signals in the assigned spectrum to the nearest peak of the unassigned spectrum is measured. The minimal chemical shift difference was calculated using equation 4.5 :
4.5 shift difference $=\sqrt{\left(\Delta^{1} H_{N}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{6} \Delta^{15} N\right)^{2}}$

Where $\Delta^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the chemical shift change in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ dimension and $\Delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ is the chemical shift change in the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ dimension, both in ppm. As each value is squared, the shift difference is always positive regardless of the $\Delta^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ or $\Delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ value. The ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ difference is factored down to $1 / 6$ to compensate for the chemical shift range of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ being $\sim 30 \mathrm{ppm}$ whereas that of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is $\sim 5 \mathrm{ppm}$.

### 4.2.3.3 Secondary Structure Prediction using DANGLE

Secondary structure prediction for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was completed using the DANGLE (Dihedral Angles from Global Likelihood Estimates) program built into the CcpNmr Analysis software. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ chemical shifts obtained from the triple resonance assignment of xa'c were used for DANGLE to generate a Ramachandran plot for each residue in the sequence. During the analysis, prediction limits were set on the number of acceptable islands (regions within the Ramachandran plot) of possible dihedral angles that are implied by the chemical shifts: all predictions used in this study set limits to two islands. Any residue with more than two predicted dihedral angle islands was
excluded from the secondary structure prediction. In addition to the Ramachandran plots, DANGLE also provided predictions in a form on an individual residue basis where H represented a helix, $\mathrm{C}=$ coil and $\mathrm{E}=$ strand.

### 4.2.3.4 NMR Relaxation Analysis

All processed NMR spectra were assigned using the Analysis software package by copying peaks from spectra assigned using triple resonance experiments. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ experiments measure the intensity of each ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlation over time, t . Peak heights were fitted to a decaying exponential in Analysis using equation 4.6.

## $4.6 \quad A \cdot \exp (-B x)$

Where A is the peak height for each relaxation delay, x is the relaxation delay and $B$ is the calculated ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ time.

HetNOE values were calculated using $\left(I-I_{0}\right) / I_{0}$ where $I$ is the peak height with saturation of amide protons and $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ is the peak height of the reference spectrum.
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE data was entered into rplot, an internally developed program which accurately measures the $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of the protein under investigation and from which data can be exported directly into model-free. Model-free analysis of the relaxation data was carried out using ModelFree version 4.0 program (Lipari and Szabo, 1982a, Lipari and Szabo, 1982b). The model type used for each residue was based on the recommendation from the program developers starting with the simplest model (model 1: $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ only), then working through to the more complex models whilst observing the improvement in the error of the calculated results. For $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ versus $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ plots, model-free order parameter contours, $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$, were generated in KaleidaGraph4.1 from a spreadsheet created by Dr. Mark Howard using the equations $4.7-4.10$ with $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ set at 50 ps (Farrow et al., 1995).
$4.7 \frac{1}{T_{1}}=\frac{d^{2}}{4}\left[J\left(\omega_{H}-\omega_{N}\right)+3 J\left(\omega_{N}\right)+6 J\left(\omega_{H}+\omega_{N}\right)\right]+\frac{c^{2} J\left(\omega_{N}\right)}{3}$
$4.8 \frac{1}{T_{2}}=\frac{d^{2}}{8}\left[4 J(0)+J\left(\omega_{H}-\omega_{N}\right)+3 J\left(\omega_{N}\right)+6 J\left(\omega_{H}\right)+6 J J\left(\omega_{H}+\omega_{N}\right)\right]+\left(\frac{c^{2}}{6}\right)\left[3 J\left(\omega_{N}\right)+4 J(0)\right]$
$4.9 \quad d^{2}=\left(\frac{\gamma_{H} \gamma_{N} \hbar \frac{\mu_{0}}{4 \pi}}{r^{3}}\right)$
$4.10 \quad c^{2}=\left(\omega_{N} \Delta_{N}\right)^{2}$

Where $\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{N}}$ are the gyromagnetic ratios of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ nuclei, $\mu_{0}$ is the permeability of free space, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{NH}}$ is the length of the amide bond, $\Delta_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the difference between the parallel and perpendicular components of the chemical shift tensor taken from Broadhurst and co-workers (1995), $\hbar$ is reduced Planck's constant and $\omega_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{H}}$ are the Larmor frequencies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ at 14.1 Tesla (Broadhurst et al., 1995).

### 4.2.4 NMR temperature and $\mathbf{p H}$ titrations

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC NMR experiments were used to observe the effect of temperature and pH on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as these conditions played a significant role in stabilising conformational exchange in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, the data for which will be shown in the next chapter. Temperature experiments, ranging from $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, were carried out on 1 mM protein samples containing 10 mM DTT, $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer and 50 mM NaCl at $\mathrm{pH} 6.5 . \mathrm{pH}$ experiments were carried out on the same protein samples that were used for the temperature studies ( 1 mM protein containing 10 mM DTT). The proteins were buffer exchanged into the appropriate buffers for the pH required and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra were recorded at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Table 4.1 shows the buffers used for each pH .

| pH | Buffer composition |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0}$ | $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{2-(N-morpholino)}$ ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 50 mM NaCl |
| $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ | $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{3-(N-morpholino)}$ propanesulfonic acid (MOPS), 50 mM NaCl |
| $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ | 20 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris), 50 mM NaCl |

Table 4.1 Composition of buffers used in the NMR pH experiments.

NMR experiments for temperature and pH titrations were run in the following order:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Temperature experiments at } \mathrm{pH} 6.5 & 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{pH} \text { experiments at } 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{pH} 6.5 \rightarrow \mathrm{pH} 6.0 \rightarrow \mathrm{pH} 7.0 \rightarrow \mathrm{pH} 8.0
\end{array}
$$

### 4.2.5 Ligand binding using $\Delta$-somatostatin

Ligand binding data of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ to $\Delta$-somatostatin have been published in the literature therefore these experiments were not repeated in this study (Byrne et al., 2009). ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labelled $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ was prepared to give a final concentration of 0.4 mM in a pH 7.0 buffer containing 20 mM MOPS and 50 mM NaCl . The protein was reduced with 4.0 mM DTT before the addition of the peptide ligand. Unlabelled $\Delta$-somatostatin, present as a lyophilised powder, was prepared by making a stock solution of 1.2 mM stock in the MOPS buffer. The ligand was added to the protein in equimolar concentration. The sample was incubated for 30 min at room temperature before the addition of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for NMR ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC experiments. NMR spectra were run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the Varian UnityINOVA 600 MHz spectrometer as described in section 4.2.2.1. A reference $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ sample was also run without ligand as a control.
$\Delta$-somatostatin was synthetically prepared by Dr. Kevin Howland and consisted of 14 amino acids (AGSKNFFWKTFTSS) where S3 and S14 have replaced C3 and C14 in the original somatostatin amino acid sequence. The ligand concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance at $\mathrm{A}_{280} \mathrm{~nm}$ due to the presence of the $\operatorname{Trp}$ residue in the peptide. The extinction coefficient for $\Delta$-somatostatin is $5500 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.3 Results

### 4.3.1 Expression and purification of $b^{\prime} x$, WT and I272A, and xa'c

Expression and purification of $\mathbf{b}$ 'x, WT and I272A, and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were carried out as described in chapter 2. All protein samples were run on gel filtration to separate the monomer from the dimer species as shown in figure 4.5. This was not only carried out as part of the purification protocol, but it was also used as part of the characterisation of PDI constructs.


Figure 4.5 Gel filtration of b'x and xa'c using Superdex $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ media. The absorbance at $\mathrm{A}_{280} \mathrm{~nm}$ of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (red), I272A b'x (blue) and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ (green) is shown by the solid lines and the conductivity is displayed as the red dotted line.

Table 4.2 shows the expected molecular sizes for WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ compared to those calculated from gel filtration. WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ appeared $\sim 1.1$ times larger in hydrodynamic volume than expected, whereas $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was $\sim 1.4$ times bigger.

| Protein | Expected MW (kDa) | Gel filration MW (kDa) | Oligomeric state |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT b'x | 16.8 | 19 | Monomer |
|  |  | 38 | Dimer |
| I272A b'x | 16.8 | 19 | Monomer |
|  |  | 38 | Dimer |
| xa'c | 19.3 | 27 | Monomer |

Table 4.2 Expected and calculated molecular weights of WT and I272A b'x and xa'c. Molecular weight calculations were carried out as described for table 2.7 in chapter 2.

As seen in previous publications, WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ was a mixture of monomer and dimer (Wallis et al., 2009). I272A presented primarily as a monomer with a very small proportion of dimeric protein, whereas $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was exclusively in the monomeric form. Both monomer b'x proteins, WT and I272A, were eluted with the same volume of elution buffer, suggesting a similar hydrodynamic volume for both proteins. Conversely, xa'c, was eluted in fractions prior to the monomer of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}, 16.8 \mathrm{kDa}$, and after the dimer, 33.6 kDa , in agreement with its molecular weight of 19.3 kDa . The monomer species of the proteins were carried forward for further analysis by NMR.

### 4.3.2 The effect of temperature and pH on PDI constructs

NMR experiments have shown the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ fragment of PDI to be in conformational exchange, demonstrated by the line broadening and poor quality of the spectra. This set of data will be discussed in more detail in the next chapter, but it is important to mention here that studies showed that reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site, temperature and pH contributed to lowering the degree of conformational exchange and hence improved peak dispersion and resolution of NMR ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra. For this reason, the effect of temperature and pH on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were also investigated. As $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ has previously been shown to be a good target for NMR studies (Nguyen et al., 2008, Byrne et al., 2009), it was decided that a change in temperature and pH was not necessary to improve the already good quality of NMR spectra. However, the isolated $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain expressed very low levels of protein, less than $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, therefore the sample prepared for NMR analysis was only $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in concentration, compared to the standard 1.0 mM samples prepared for the other constructs. The reasons for the poor expression levels of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ were not thoroughly investigated, however the results imply that the acidic C-terminal extension, c, plays an important part in increasing the stability and hence the expression levels of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain.

Initial ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra showed the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in isolation was not a suitable target for NMR studies due to the poor peak dispersion and resolution. Low concentration of the a' protein contributed to the reduced quality of the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra due to the long acquisition time and water suppression issues. Figure 4.6 shows that $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in the absence of the reducing agent DTT appeared to be line broadened beyond detection, the peak intensities were very weak and the spectrum was plotted closer to the noise in $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$-DTT than in the other spectra in order to distinguish some of the peaks that were from to the protein.


Figure $4.6{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI. $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ in the absence of DTT (a) shows an absence of NMR peaks which improve on addition of DTT (b).

Addition of DTT improved peak dispersion but due to the poor expression levels of the isolated $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ was consequently investigated as a suitable target for NMR studies of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI.


Figure $4.7{ }^{115} \mathrm{~N}^{1} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectra of the $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI with the C-terminal extension, c. a'c in the absence of DTT (a) shows the absence of NMR peaks, except for the $\mathbf{c}$ extension, improve on addition of DTT (b).

Although a'c expressed high levels of protein and upon addition of DTT the quality of the NMR spectra was significantly improved, there were sharp resonances localised between 8.0 and 8.5 ppm in the random coil region of the spectrum due to the unstructured C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$. As the fluorescence data in chapter 3 showed that $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ was in fact folded, NMR data indicates that this protein must be experiencing a high degree of conformational exchange leading to extensive line broadening. Consequently, the xa'c construct was considered as a better candidate for the characterisation of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI. An added advantage to studying this construct was that the behaviour of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker in $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ could be compared to that of $\mathbf{x}$ in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ construct. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra of $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ showed a major improvement in peak resolution and dispersion compared to a'c, as can be seen in figure 4.8.


Figure $4.8{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of reduced xa 'c at pH 6.5 run at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The minimal chemical shift perturbation between $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ was calculated using peaks from an $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ assigned spectrum, peaks from an $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ unassigned spectrum and
equation 4.5. The minimal shift map is shown in figure 4.9. The data is shown in tabulated form in appendix 4.1.


Figure 4.9 Minimal chemical shift map to the nearest peak for backbone amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ resonances of $x a^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ with a'c and mapped on the NMR structure of the $a^{\prime}$ domain (1X5C.pdb). Chemical shift differences greater than 0.1 ppm are mapped on the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ structure in grey and shifts greater than 0.15 ppm are coloured in blue. The cysteines of the active site are shown as yellow sticks.

Chemical shift perturbations were seen throughout the chemical shift plot with major differences (shifts above 0.15 ppm ) seen for residues V352, G357, N359, F365, D366, E367, N370, F372, K392, N402, I403, D409, T411, E414, V418 and T436.

Once xa'c was established as a suitable target for NMR studies, experiments were run at different temperatures with the protein in buffers with varying pH in order to find the optimal conditions for this protein. Change in temperature and pH showed no major improvement in the quality of the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra, but peak height was increased with the rise in temperature and pH as shown in figure 4.10. The data used for the graphs is shown in appendices 4.2 and 4.3 for the temperature and pH experiments respectively.


Figure 4.10 The effect of temperature and pH on the peak height of reduced $\mathrm{xa}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ ${ }^{15} \mathbf{N} /{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectra. a) peak height increases with a rise in temperature as well as b) an increase in pH . The dotted lines represent the domain boundaries.

The highest peak heights are observed at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 8.0 . However as there was no significant difference in spectral quality, it was decided for future NMR experiments to be conducted at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 7.0 . In addition to this, it was noted that $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{c}$ was unstable at pH 8.0 and as comparable data was required, pH 7.0 was used in further experiments. This set of data also showed that the sharp peaks between 8.0 and 8.5 ppm were due to residues in the C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, as they presented with the highest peaks in the spectra, suggesting that this region of the protein was unstructured.

The same experiments were also conducted for WT b'x, but as this construct already gave good quality NMR spectra at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 6.5 , and as previously published data for this protein was collected under the same conditions, it was decided that a change in temperature and pH was unnecessary (Nguyen et al., 2008, Byrne et al., 2009).

In order to further characterise $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ by NMR spectroscopy, assignment of the proteins was essential. WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ was prevously assigned by other members of the group and those assignments could be mapped onto I272A b'x (Byrne et al., 2009). Therefore, assignments for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were completed as shown in section 4.3.3.

### 4.3.3 Sequential backbone assignment of reduced xa'c

Sequential backbone assignments for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{H}},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ nuclei for reduced xa'c were made from the pair of triple resonance experiments $\operatorname{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ and CBCANH. These are shown in Appendix 4.4. Ignoring the His tag, backbone assignments for $\mathbf{x a}$ 'c were obtained for $77 \%$ of amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}, 83 \%$ of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $78 \%$ of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$. Specific residues such as Ser/Thr and Gly were identified from the triple resonance experiments and linked sequentially as the example shown in figure 4.11 for the amino acid stretch from Glu 454 to Gln 458 .


Figure 4.11 Backbone triple resonance sequential assignment example from xa'c experiments. $\mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ and CBCANH strips, a and $\mathbf{b}$ respectively, show the assignment stretch for residues E454 to Q458. Sequential matches are highlighted by the dotted line. The $\operatorname{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ experiment shows the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ (black) atoms of the preceding amino acid. The CBCANH strips show the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ as positive peaks in blue and the $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ as negative peaks in red. The relevant ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ chemical shift position of each plane is shown above the strip.

Residue-labelled ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum of reduced $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ is shown in figure 4.12. The assignments were obtained as part of the triple resonance sequential assignments shown in figure 4.11 and listed in Appendix 4.4.


Figure $4.12{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum for reduced xa'c. All known amide resonance assignments are labelled in red on the spectrum. Experiments were run at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein sample at pH 7.0 .

Once sequential backbone assignments were completed, further characterisation of $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ could be carried out using NMR spectroscopy.

### 4.3.4 Assignment of WT and I272A b'x

Triple resonance assignment of WT b'x, which have been previously carried out by other members of the group (Byrne et al., 2009), are displayed on the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in figure 4.13.


Figure 4.13 ${ }^{15} \mathbf{N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. All known amide resonance assignments are labelled in red on the spectrum. Experiments were run at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein sample at pH 6.5 .

As the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutant could be overlaid on WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, the majority of the assignments could be mapped with confidence from the WT to the mutant protein peaks. Ambiguous assignments for peaks that had shifted as a result of the mutation were omitted from the I272A $\mathbf{b}$ 'x spectra. Unmapped assignments were K213, V220, F222, F223, E225, Q226, L241, S264, I274, N281, I284, I285, F288, L290, I301, S314, E316, R321, K333, H337, M339, Q341, E342, W347 and D348. An assigned ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ is shown in figure 4.14.


Figure $4.14{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum for I272A b'x with mapped assignments from WT b'x. All known amide resonance assignments are labelled in red on the spectrum. Experiments were run at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein sample at pH 6.5 .

### 4.3.5 Secondary Structure Prediction using DANGLE

The assignment of resonances to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ for xa'c as well as existing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ assignments for b'x enabled secondary structure prediction to be carried out using DANGLE. The program predicted $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ angles and the most likely secondary structure type for each backbone residue generating one of three possible outcomes: C (coil), E (strand) and H (helix). Typical outputs for predictions for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ residues F 365 , F372, K392 and G381 are shown in figure 4.15 in the form of Ramachandran plots.


Figure 4.15 Examples of the types of structure given in DANGLE for secondary structure prediction. a) prediction of coil at this residue b) the single island predicts a $\beta$ strand and $\mathbf{c}) \alpha$-helix predicted $\mathbf{d}$ ) where more than two islands at multiple locations were present, the residue was excluded from the prediction.

When angles were predicted in two islands such as those shown in figure 4.15a, coil was assigned to that residue. Angles predicted at a single location as in figure 4.15b and c suggested a $\beta$-strand and $\alpha$-helix for those residues respectively. On occasions where more than two islands were present, as shown in figure 4.15 d , the residue was rejected from the prediction.

Figure 4.16 summarises the predictions made by DANGLE for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The cylinders represent $\alpha$-helix, arrows represent $\beta$-sheet and line coil. The residues in bold represented excluded data.


Figure 4.16 The predicted secondary structure of $\mathbf{x a}$ 'c and WT and I272A b'x using DANGLE. The predicted structures are shown as a) red for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{b}$ ) green for I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ ) yellow for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, where $\alpha$-helices are shown as cylinders, $\beta$-sheets as arrows and coils represented by lines. The His tag is shown in blue and the active site motif is shown in green. Residue numbers are those for mature PDI. The secondary structure motifs of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (1X5C.pdb) and I272A b'x (3BJ5.pdb) are shown in blue for comparison under their respective amino acid sequences. The residues in bold were excluded from the structure prediction.

DANGLE was successful in predicting the secondary structures for $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, both WT and I272A. The predicted structure for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ were in agreement with the published structures for these constructs. Small differences were seen in the DANGLE prediction for $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ where $\alpha$-helices 2 and 3 were predicted as a single long helix, possibly due to their proximity to each other. The residues in the long loop between strands 2 and 4 were predicted to have $\beta$-sheet elements. The C-terminal extension has been predicted to consists of secondary structure elements such as a short $\alpha$-helix and three $\beta$-sheets.

The predicted structures for WT and I272A b'x were very similar to each other and matched the I272A crystal structure with the exception of residues around strands 4 and 6, which DANGLE has predicted to consist of and $\alpha$-helix, possibly because of a number of excluded residues in these regions of the sequence. Numbering of strands in the sequences of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ was taken from their respective PDB files 1X5C.pdb and 3BJ5.pdb.

### 4.3.6 Ligand binding

The ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI has been mapped by NMR chemical shift perturbation in the presence of peptide ligands, such as $\Delta$-somatostatin, which have been shown to compete with the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region for the binding site. As this is published data, ligand binding experiments with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in the presence of $\Delta$-somatostatin were not repeated. Unlike $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ did not appear to bind $\Delta$-somatostatin as there were no visible chemical shift changes in the NMR ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of the protein upon addition of the unlabelled peptide ligand (figure 4.17).


Figure 4.17 Overlaid ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of $x a^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ in the presence and absence of $\Delta$ somatostatin. Addition of the unlabelled peptide ligand to xa'c caused no chemical shift changes in the protein as the spectrum containing $\Delta$-somatostatin (cyan) was exactly the same as the spectrum without $\Delta$-somatostatin (purple).

### 4.3.7 ${ }^{15} \mathbf{N}$ NMR Relaxation Dynamics of $x a^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $b^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$

Tables of peak heights for ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE data as well as tables of results from model-free analysis for $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, both WT and I272A, are available in Appendix 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 respectively. All graphs shown in this section were created from data in these appendices.

### 4.3.7.1 ${ }^{15} N T_{1}, T_{2}$ and hetNOE data for $x a^{\prime} c$

Figure 4.18 shows an example of the data fitting carried out in KaleidaGraph for the relaxation decay of $\mathbf{x a}$ 'c residues D348 (located in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region), W379 (located next to the active site motif just before the start of helix 2 ) and K427 (located in strand 4).


Figure 4.18 Example of the relaxation decay curves for the calculation of $T_{1}$ values with fitting by KaleidaGraph. Residues shown are D348 in red, W379 in blue and K427 in green.

Figure 4.19 displays plots of amide ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ on the same graph and hetNOE for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. The secondary structure for $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ ( $1 \mathrm{X} 5 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{pdb}$ ) is shown at the top of the graphs. Values for ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times and their respective errors were calculated using equation 4.6 in CCPN Analysis. The mean ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values obtained from rplot were $952 \pm 52$ ms and $68 \pm 6 \mathrm{~ms}$, respectively, giving a mean $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{T}_{2}$ ratio of 14 . Rplot also provided a correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$, of 11.8 ns and an average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value of $0.87 \pm 0.02$. This set of data
was then exported into ModelFree4 in order to obtain individual residue model-free parameter results. Deviations in data were observed in loops between stands 2 and 4 as well as strands 4 and 5 . Greatest deviations were seen at the termini of the protein, with the longest $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times and lowest hetNOE values observed at the C -terminus.


Figure $4.19 \mathbf{x a}^{\prime}{ }^{15}{ }^{15} \mathbf{T}_{1}, \mathbf{T}_{2}$ and heteronuclear NOE data. a) hetNOE data is shown in red and b) $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ data shown in green and blue, respectively. Values for the error bars were taken directly from CCPN Analysis. The schematic secondary structure of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ is displayed at the top of the figure with cylinders as helices and arrows as strands.

### 4.3.7.2 ${ }^{15} N T_{1}, T_{2}$ and hetNOE data for $b^{\prime} x$

Figure 4.20 displays ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE data for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and its I272A mutant. The secondary structure of the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutant (3BJ5.pdb) is shown at the top for each protein.


Figure $4.20{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and heteronuclear NOE data for WT $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ and its I272A mutant. a) hetNOE data for each protein is displayed in red with WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ on the graph on the left and I272A on the right. b) $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ data are shown in green and blue, respectively. The schematic secondary structure of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ is displayed at the top of the figure with cylinders as helices and arrows as strands.

Values for ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times and their respective errors were calculated using equation 4.6 in CCPN Analysis as for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. The mean ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ values calculated from rplot were $833 \pm 37 \mathrm{~ms}$ and $645 \pm 22 \mathrm{~ms}$ for WT and $\mathrm{I} 272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ respectively. The mean $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values for each of the proteins were $70 \pm 6 \mathrm{~ms}$ for WT and $71 \pm 2 \mathrm{~ms}$ for $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The mean $T_{1} / T_{2}$ ratios were 11.9 for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and 9.1 for the $I 272 \mathrm{~A}$ mutant. Surprisingly, the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ times for I272A appear to be low compared to the WT protein, whereas $T_{2}$ values are very similar to those of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. Rplot calculated average $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ values of 10.7 ns and 0.93 $\pm 0.02$ respectively for $W T \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. However due to the abnormally low $T_{1}$ values for 1272 A it was not possible to calculate an accurate $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ value for 1272 A but an average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value of $0.91 \pm 0.03$ was taken from rplot. Deviations in hetNOE values between WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ highlighted the different dynamic properties of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, as in $\mathrm{WT} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ hetNOE values drop after K333 whereas in I272A it is not until L343 that a decrease in hetNOE values is observed.

### 4.3.7.3 ${ }^{15} N T_{1}$ versus $T_{2}$ relaxation data for $x a^{\prime} c$ and $b^{\prime} x$

A plot of $T_{1}$ against $T_{2}$ is a simple analysis of relaxation data that allows the first insight into the motional events within proteins. Figure 4.21 shows a plot of $T_{1}$ vs $T_{2}$ for ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ amides of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and I272A. Data points considered to be outliers are coloured in black and were excluded from rplot analysis. Both $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fall inside the theoretical lines for the order parameter $S^{2}$. Almost all of the $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ residues fall below the $S^{2}=1.0$ contour line suggesting that 1272 A has an average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value that is greater than 1.0 which is not theoretically possible in model-free, but this anomaly is due to the low $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values observed in figure 4.20 b . This observation now explains the differences in figure 4.20 b between $W$ T and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ as demonstrating a reduction in $T_{2}$ for I272A and not $T_{1}$, making these times similar for those observed for WT . In fact, all those residues that fall under the $S^{2}=1.0$ line could be undergoing conformational exchange and suggest contribution from $R_{e x}$. If no $R_{e x}$ contribution existed in the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data, the expected $T_{2}$ values would be larger and all I272A points in figure 4.21 would fall within the $S^{2}=1.0$ line. Visual observation of both $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ proteins in the $T_{1}$ vs $T_{2}$ plot showed them to be anisotropic due to the elongated shape the residues form in the plot.


Figure 4.21 Plot of experimental $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ against $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ of amide protons for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and 1272A. Experimental data plotted with theoretical values of order parameter $S^{2}=0.6,0.8$ and 1.0 and $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 8,10 and 12 ns when $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ is 50 ps at 600 MHz . xa'c data are shown in red circles, WT b'x in blue squares and I272A b'x in green crosses. Residues coloured in black that deviate from the main plot were excluded from rplot analysis.

The theoretical $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ contour lines in figure 4.21 were drawn using model values of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ with a constant $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ of 50 ps and do not take into account hetNOE values, but they are useful in estimating $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values required for model-free analysis. I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ was estimated to have an average $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 8.0 ns from the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ versus $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ plot due to the low $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values. Table 4.3 shows a summary of the $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values exported form rplot, which uses a plot of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ vs $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ to calculate the average $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$. The expected $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ proteins, if isotropic, were estimated using equation 4.1 which takes into account the number of residues in the protein.

| Protein | Molecular weight <br> $(k D a)$ | Theoretical $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{ns})$ <br> based on $\mathbf{M W}$ | Measured $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{ns})$ <br> from rplot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WT b'x | 16.8 | 10.1 | 10.7 |
| I272A b'x | 16.8 | 10.1 | 8.0 |
| xa'c | 19.3 | 11.8 | 11.8 |

Table 4.3 A summary of the $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ times estimated from experimentally derived ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{2}$ times. The theoretical $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values were calculated using the Daragan and Mayo equation 4.1 which takes into account the residue number and therefore the molecular weight for each protein.

The average $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ value obtained for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ matched the expected theoretical value exactly for a protein of its size. The average $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ observed for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ was also very close to the expected $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ value. Conversely, the I272A $\mathbf{b}$ ' $\mathbf{x}$ mutant displayed a $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ value that was $\sim 2 \mathrm{~ns}$ shorter than the expected value, and as I272A tumbles faster in solution than WT b'x and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ it must be more structurally compact than WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

### 4.3.7.4 15N Model-free analysis of $x a^{\prime} c$ and $b^{\prime} x$

All three relaxation measurements $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE were used to define the molecular motion of each protein using the Modelfree 4 program. Figure 4.22 summarises the model-free data for $\mathbf{x a}$ 'c, WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and the I272A mutant. $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ values obtained from Modelfree 4 for $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ showed that most of the residues have internal motion in the region of 100 ps or less, justifying the use of 50 ps for $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ used in the contour lines in figure 4.20. Exceptions were seen for residues at the termini of the protein, in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker and the $\mathbf{c}$ extension, as well as residues K358, G394, T411, E416, A417, G456, G457, Q458. S ${ }^{2}$ values for this protein displayed a similar trend with the residues in $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ having lower average $S^{2}$ values of $0.32 \pm 0.02$ and $0.16 \pm 0.03$ respectively, than the rest of the protein, suggesting fast internal motion and high flexibility in these regions of the protein. WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ presented similar trends in dynamics with the residues in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region showing lower $S^{2}$ values, $0.35 \pm 0.05$, than the rest of the protein. As with $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, loops in the secondary structure also displayed lower $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ values than the structured regions. A larger number of WT b'x residues displayed $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ values greater than 100 ps , suggesting a higher degree of internal motion for this protein than $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. Only two residues in $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ displayed contribution
from $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}, \mathrm{K} 398$ and H 400 , whereas in WT b'x, a number of residues making up structured region of the protein, such as strand 2 and helix 4 , displayed conformational exchange. These residues are V220, E222, F223, E225, Q226, T227, K230, F232, G233, S247, D252, G253, L270, F271, I272, F273, I274, Q282, L285, I301, T302, I322, G332 and L338. The majority of residues in I272A $\mathbf{b}$ 'x showed contribution from $R_{e x}$, suggesting that the whole protein was undergoing conformational exchange. As for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, the $\mathbf{x}$ region in I272A showed lower $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ values than the rest of the protein but to lesser extent than the WT protein.


Figure 4.22 Graphs of model-free fit parameters for the characterisation of motion of xa'c, WT b'x and I272A b'x. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE values for each protein were used with analysis program Modelfree 4 to calculates residue specific order parameter values of $\mathrm{S}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ where needed) and $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ contribution was used for those residues that were detected by Modelfree 4 to display conformational exchange.

### 4.4 Discussion

### 4.4.1 The conformational stability of the $a^{\prime}$ domain

Studies on the conformational stability of PDI constructs, in chapter 3, showed that the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ fragment of PDI is a challenging construct to study due to the low conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. Indeed, expression of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in isolation (domain boundaries D348-G462) in E. coli proved difficult as protein yields were too low for NMR studies. However, addition of the acidic $\mathbf{c}$ tail improved expression levels suggesting that $\mathbf{c}$ may be involved in increasing the stability of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and in turn regulating expression of PDI in addition to its role in retaining the protein in the ER. The resulting protein, $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, was so conformationally active and exchange broadened beyond detection that it gave an initial appearance that the protein was unfolded, but close analysis of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC NMR spectra indicated insufficient number of peaks to account for all residues. Reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site proved that the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain was never unfolded, but in fact experiencing a high degree of conformational exchange and the oxidation state of this domain played a crucial role in its conformational stability, as seen previously in chapter 3 . Recently it was shown that the structure of the reduced $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' revealed that $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ was tightly packed with both the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain and the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region to form a compact structural module. Oxidation of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ released the protein from this compact conformation in order to facilitate its high chaperone activity (Wang et al., 2012b). Therefore, it is not surprising that addition of DTT to $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ had such a dramatic effect on the peak dispersion and resolution of the NMR spectra and supports the observations from the crystal structure seen by Wang and co-workers (Wang et al., 2012b). The $\mathbf{x}$ linker region also increased the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain even further as the reduced $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ construct presented with such good quality spectra that it could be used for further NMR studies, such as triple resonance assignments and relaxation dynamics. Minimal chemical shift mapping of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ against $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ revealed shifts throughout the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, especially the core $\beta$ sheet, as can be seen in figure 4.9 , suggesting that $\mathbf{x}$ could be packing into the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and as a consequence lowering its degree of conformational exchange. So, the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region not only binds to the ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ domain, an event known as "capping", but it may also interact with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and consequently affecting its conformational stability. Interaction of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain has been recently reported in the crystal structure of the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime}$ construct in which hydrogen bonds are formed between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ residues M339-E414, Q341-N413, S334-G357, W347-V354
and D348-K353 (Wang et al., 2012b). The effect of temperature and pH on the conformational stability of xa'c were also investigated as they had a major impact on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ protein, the results of which are discussed in the next chapter. It was found that running NMR experiments at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein in a pH 7.0 buffer gave suitable data and did not compromise the conformational stability of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

### 4.4.2 Sequential backbone assignment of xa'c

The percentage of NMR backbone assignments for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was reported in section 4.3.3. $100 \%$ assignment was not possible due to the challenging nature of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and the presence of the acidic $\mathbf{c}$ tail of the protein which gave very sharp resonances in the random coil region of the spectrum between 8.0 and 8.5 ppm . The assignments carried out using the triple resonance experiments $\mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ and CBCANH as well as the residues which could not be assigned are shown in appendix $4.4 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ resonance assignment of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain has been previously carried out but the assigned protein was lacking the C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, as well as the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (Dijkstra et al., 1999) and as figure 4.9 showed, the presence of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ causes significant shifts in the backbone ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ resonance of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, so these assignments were essential for further studies. Figure 4.23 shows the amino acid sequence of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ with the residues that could not be assigned from triple resonance experiments, due to missing $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ resonances or ambiguous data, highlighted in red. The secondary structure of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain (1X5C.pdb) as well as the predicted secondary structure from DANGLE are also shown.


Figure 4.23 Amino acid sequence of xa'c showing the unassigned residues. The residues that could not be assigned from triple resonance experiments are shown in red and the active site residues in green. The secondary structure elements of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ structure and those of the DANGLE predictions are displayed in blue and red respectively.

The majority of the unassigned residues were present in the C-terminal region of the protein due to sharp resonances from the $\mathbf{c}$ extension present in the random coil region of the spectrum, between 8.0 and 8.5 ppm . This suggests that the $\mathbf{c}$ tail of PDI is in fact unstructured despite the secondary structure elements predicted by DANGLE. This highlights one of the differences between yeast and human PDI, as the acidic C-terminal extension of yeast PDI forms a helix in the crystal structure (Tian et al., 2006).

Protein backbone NMR assignments for xa'c were invaluable for providing ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC assigned spectra which could be used for backbone dynamics studies of this construct.

### 4.4.3 Secondary Structure Prediction using DANGLE

DANGLE was used to predict the secondary structure of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as well as WT and I272A b'x using the protein NMR data analysis software package CCPN Analysis Version 2. The package used the sequence information and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ chemical shifts for the three proteins as well as the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ shifts for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ to predict the likely secondary structure. Overall, DANGLE was successful in predicting the secondary structure of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, as can be seen from figure 4.16 , proving confidence in the chemical shift data being used in further NMR studies.

The discrepancies seen in the structure predictions, such as helices 2 and 3 in $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ are predicted as a single helix and in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ strand 6 in $\mathbf{x}$ is predicted as a helix, could be due to the fact that the software interrogates chemical shift values used in conjunction with published protein structures to generate a prediction rather than calculate an NMR structure, therefore some deviations are to be expected.

### 4.4.4 Ligand binding

The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of PDI has been shown to provide the ligand binding site which has been mapped by NMR and shown to consist of residues from the core $\beta$-sheet and $\alpha$ helices 1 and 3 highlighted in figure 1.10 in the introduction (Byrne et al., 2009). To date there is no evidence to show that the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in isolation is capable of binding peptide ligands without cysteine residues, however it does contribute to binding of larger misfolded proteins. Therefore it was not surprising to find that the $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ construct did not bind $\Delta$-somatostatin as it does not contain a ligand binding site.

### 4.4.5 ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR Relaxation Dynamics of $\mathrm{xa}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$

We have already seen in in chapter 3 that PDI constructs $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ have different conformational stabilities as studied by intrinsic fluorescence in the presence of denaturant. Therefore it is not surprising that the backbone dynamic properties of these proteins are also very diverse, not only between the different domains but also between the WT and mutant $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. To date, there are no published NMR relaxation studies on human PDI or any of its domains separately, therefore the molecular motions of this protein in solution are still unknown but crystallographic data from hPDI and yPDI suggest that conformational motions do exist in the protein (Wang et al., 2012a, Tian et al., 2008).

### 4.4.5.1 Relaxation data

All three relaxation parameters, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE, must be taken into account when describing the molecular motions of the proteins under investigation. HetNOE values for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, which report on internal motion, successfully highlight loops and regions of structure in all three proteins. Upon visual observation of the hetNOE graph of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ it is instantly obvious that the C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, is unstructured and free in solution due to the low hetNOE values and motions in the sub-nanosecond timescale compared to the rest of the protein, in agreement with the $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ assignments described in section 4.4.2. Figure 4.19a also presents evidence that the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ must be behaving as a structured part of the protein, possibly due to interaction with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. The secondary structure for the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain displayed at the top of the hetNOE graph in 4.19a shows that strand 1 of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ starts at residue 352 , but residues 340-351 of $\mathbf{x}$ also appear structured, adding to the evidence that $\mathbf{x}$ must be interacting with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. The I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ crystal structure shows $\mathbf{x}$ consists of a $\beta$-strand in residues P336L338 as well as an $\alpha$-helix made up of residues P344-D348. The strand in $\mathbf{x}$ is conserved in the recent bb'xa' structure (3EUM.pdb), where it is present in residues M339-S340, whereas the $\alpha$-helix does not appear as part of the structure in this construct. $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ values for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ are also in agreement with the hetNOE data with the longest $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ and shortest $T_{1}$ values observed at the unstructured $\mathbf{c}$ tail.

HetNOE data for $\mathbf{b}$ 'x highlighted flexible regions of the WT and I272A proteins. Figure 4.24 shows the crystal structure of the I272A mutant with the deviated hetNOE values for the WT (a) and I272A (b) proteins.


Figure 4.24 I272A b'x crystal structure (3BJ5.pdb) showing flexible regions highlighted by the hetNOE data. Residues in red show were deviations from the normal hetNOE values (>-0.5) for a) WT and b) I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

WT b'x appeared more flexible than I272A, especially in the $\mathbf{x}$ region and helix 4 , possibly due to the fact that WT b'x exists in equilibrium as a mixture of capped and uncapped protein, whereas the I272A mutant favours the uncapped conformation therefore displaying a more rigid backbone. Residues involved in ligand binding in the core $\beta$-sheet are highlighted in both proteins, confirming interactions with the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region.
$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ values are sensitive to molecular motions in the nano to sub-nanosecond timescale, whereas $T_{2}$ times are also sensitive to motion in the micro to millisecond timescale as well as the overall size of the molecule and conformational exchange. Both $\mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and WT b'x present with $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{T}_{2}$ and global correlation times of 11.8 ns and 10.7 ns , at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, appropriate for their respective sizes. The estimated $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ is 10.1 ns assuming a globular compact shape. xa'c has a longer $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ than $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ so tumbles slower in solution. This is not surprising as $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ not only has a higher molecular weight than $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ but also a larger hydrodynamic volume, confirmed by gel filtration data in figure 4.5 , due to the presence of the unstructured $\mathbf{c}$ tail. In contrast, the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutant displayed $T_{2}$ values that were too low for a protein of 143 amino acids and as a consequence the estimated global correlation time was 8.0 ns , corresponding to a protein of 111 amino acids
long, assuming a compact and spherical molecule at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and using the relationship in equation 4.1. As mentioned above, protein conformational exchange is usually detected by a change in $T_{2}$ times and $T_{1}$ times are less affected due to the longer time window. Although initial observations in figure 4.20 b showed that the $T_{1}$ values for the $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutant were lower than expected, it is in fact the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values that are attenuated when taking into account the global correlation time of the protein at 8 ns rather than the expected 10 ns like WT b'x. Figure 4.25 shows a simple representation of the relationship between the global correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$, and the expected $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values.


Figure 4.25 The relationship between $\tau_{m}$ and $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ values. Example $T_{1}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ and $T_{2}$ $(*)$ values for proteins exhibiting global correlation times of a) $10 \mathrm{~ns} \mathbf{b}) 8 \mathrm{~ns}$ and $\mathbf{c}) 6 \mathrm{~ns}$. Larger proteins present with higher $T_{1}$ and lower $T_{2}$ values than smaller proteins. $T_{2}$ values are sensitive to conformational exchange and become attenuated as shown in b) where $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ values remain constant and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values are lowered $(\diamond)$.

As already mentioned, the global correlation time of a protein is dependent on its molecular weight, as larger molecules tumble slower in solution than smaller molecules. As $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ takes into account $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values, these are also dependent on the molecular weight of the protein. Therefore a larger molecule with a $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 10 ns , as shown in figure 4.25 a , presents with $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ values that are higher and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values that are lower than those of a smaller molecule which tumbles at 6 ns in figure 4.25 c . In the case of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, the WT protein presents with the expected $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values for a protein of its size. Conversely, the I272A mutant tumbles faster in solution, with a $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 8 ns suggesting a more compact conformation than the WT protein. Its $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ values are as would be expected of a protein with that particular correlation time, but $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values are lower than expected, as shown in
figure 4.25 b , suggesting conformational exchange between two or more states of I272A b'x.

### 4.4.5.2 Model-free analysis

Model-free analysis of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ was carried out to further characterise the motional events occurring within these proteins. All three proteins presented with similar average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ values of $0.87 \pm 0.02$ for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}, 0.93 \pm 0.02$ for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $0.91 \pm 0.03$ for $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ indicating the backbone rigidity of the thioredoxin fold. $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ has a slightly lower $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ than $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ possibly due to the lower conformational stability of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ compared to the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. Surprisingly, $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{c}}$ had only two residues with contribution from $\mathrm{R}_{\text {ex }}$, K398 and H400 but a number of residues exhibiting internal motion above 100 ps.


Figure 4.27 Model-free parameters displayed on the a' structure. a) residues with contribution from model-free parameters $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}$ are shown in green. b) residues experiencing $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ higher than 100 ps are shown in red and cyan, respectively. The cysteines of the active site are displayed as sticks in yellow.

As expected, residues with slow internal motion, above 100 ps , were also present in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, G332, I334, K335, L338 and Q350, as well as the $\mathbf{c}$ extension of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, A461, G462, D463, A473, E474, K485, A486, V487, K488, D489 and E490, as the termini
of the protein exhibit a higher degree of molecular motion and increased flexibility, as shown by the $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ graph in figure 4.23.

Interestingly, WT b'x had more residues than $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ undergoing conformational exchange and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ had most of it residues with contributions from $\mathrm{R}_{\text {ex }}$ as shown in figure 4.28.


Figure 4.28 I272A b'x crystal structure (3BJ5.pdb) showing regions in conformational exchange. Residues in red show contribution from $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ under model-free for $\mathbf{a}) \mathrm{WT}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ ) I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

As expected, residues in the core $\beta$-sheet of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, which have been previously identified to be involved in binding of peptide ligands as well as the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, appear in conformational exchange. This is due to the equilibrium between the capped and uncapped conformations of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region showing that $\mathbf{x}$ is interacting with the ligand binding site on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. The I272A mutant, which favours capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, is experiencing a higher degree of conformational exchange than the WT protein, so it is possible to hypothesise that capping of the ligand binding site creates a
$\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ that is conformationally active and in exchange and raises the question: Is this event an "activation" in preparation for ligand binding?

WT b'x, regions experiencing conformational exchange also display internal motions above 100 ps , suggestive of slow conformational exchange. These residues are highlighted in cyan and are displayed on the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ structure in figure 4.29.


Figure 4.29 1272A b'x crystal structure (3BJ5.pdb) showing regions of slow internal motion. Residues in cyan displayed $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ values higher than 100 ps for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

WT b'x does not only display slow internal motions in loops between helix 4 and 5 as well as between strands 4 and 5 , high $\tau_{e}$ values are also seen in helix 4 , a region known to form part of the hydrophobic binding site, and helix 1 involved in ligand binding.

NMR relaxation data presented in this chapter assumes that all proteins under investigation are isotropic in solution. However, it is evident from the $T_{1}$ versus $T_{2}$ plots that these proteins fall on the theoretical contour line of $S^{2}=1$, with a number of residues falling below this line. In the case of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, all of the residues fall below the $\mathrm{S}^{2}=1$ line. Therefore the data indicated that $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, both WT and I272A, are anisotropic.

Modelling the data to an anisotropic case can be achieved using more advanced methods which were beyond the timescale available for this project when combined with protein production, generation of mutants and biophysical characterisation of the proteins discussed in this thesis (Palmer, 1997, Palmer, 2001). More in depth relaxation dynamics can also be achieved by ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ uniformly labelled proteins as ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ' can provide information that may have been missed by ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ dynamics (Mittermaier and Kay, 2009).

However, as the NMR relaxation properties of full length human PDI or any of its domains have not been published in the literature, the purpose of this study was to characterise the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains separately in order to compare their behaviour in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ protein.

## CHAPTER 5

## Characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{c}$ by NMR Spectroscopy

### 5.1 Introduction

As described in previous chapters, capping of the hydrophobic ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region has been shown to act as a gate towards ligand binding in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ construct of hPDI (Nguyen et al., 2008). Therefore, one of the primary aims of this study is to determine if capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker occurs when the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain is also present in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ C-terminal half of hPDI. If indeed capping of the ligand binding site does occur when $\mathbf{x}$ is tethered by both of its neighbouring domains, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, this could prove to be an important physiological mechanism controlling access to the hydrophobic binding site and could lead to wider structural arrangement of the protein as well as control other aspects of PDI structure/function.

Capping of the ligand binding site in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of PDI has been previously characterised by a combination of intrinsic fluorescence and NMR(Nguyen et al., 2008). As previously described in chapter 3, intrinsic fluorescence utilises the unique tryptophan residue, W347, in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region to monitor capping by following the change in the environment of the Trp side chain upon binding to the hydrophobic ligand binding site of the $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ domain. This change in the environment of W347 in $\mathbf{x}$, consequently capping, can
also be monitored by NMR and has proven crucial in the determination of capping not only in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ construct, but also bb'x and now b'xa'c (Nguyen et al., 2008, Byrne et al., 2009). This chapter focuses on the characterisation of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and mutants 1272 A , L343A and 2DA (D346A/D348A) as well as capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region using NMR spectroscopy.

Characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ and its mutants has proved challenging, primarily due to the conformational stability and exchange of this protein. Denaturation studies revealed that the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain has very low conformational stability and presents with a high degree of conformational exchange in NMR data, both of which improved on addition of DTT. This chapter presents data on the effect of temperature, pH and the oxidation state of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain on WT b'xa'c and the I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants by NMR. The effect of the peptide ligand $\Delta$-somatostatin on WT b'xa'c and the 1272 A mutant was also investigated. However, in order to enable any kind of characterisation by NMR, assignment of the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutant was carried out. In turn, this allowed mapping of these assignments onto ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT b'xa'c, enabling backbone relaxation dynamic studies of WT b'xa'c and the I272A mutant to be completed.

### 5.1.1 NMR and conformational exchange

One advantage of NMR spectroscopy is its ability to probe timescales of motion through NMR relaxation but timescale effects can also have an effect on chemical shift. One protein molecular process that influences NMR observables is conformational exchange (chemical exchange). The effect of chemical exchange on a NMR spectrum depends on several factors, including the rate of exchange and the chemical shift difference between exchange environments and can be described below:

Consider a system that exchanges between two sites A and B with a rate $k_{e x}$. State A has a NMR chemical shift of $\delta_{\mathrm{A}}$ and state B has a NMR chemical shift of $\delta_{\mathrm{B}}$ and the chemical shift difference between the two states is $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{AB}}$. Depending on the magnitude of the rate, $k_{A B}$, the NMR spectrum will vary as shown in figure 5.1:


Figure 5.1. Two-site chemical exchange modelled using the equations of McConnell (McConnell, 1958). The left set are for when the proportions of $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}$ and right set for when the proportion of $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}$.

When the rate is 'slow', we clearly see two states A and B. When the rate is 'fast', we see only an average state that is positioned according to the populations of A and B. When exchange events approach and pass through an intermediate condition; this is the point where we describe the system as experiencing conformational line broadening and this condition is seen throughout this thesis. To sharpen NMR lines you have to slow or speed up the exchange process and cooling or heating the sample can do this. The relationship when intermediate exchange exists is normally where $k_{A B} \sim \Delta \delta_{A B}$ and $\Delta \delta_{A B}$ is measured in Hz and so spectrometer dependent. This means exchange regimes can be moved by changing magnetic field and one method is to record data on $600,700,800$ and

900 MHz spectrometers because 1 ppm of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ is $600,700,800$ and 900 Hz respectively on these instruments.

### 5.1.2 Evidence of capping in PDI proteins

The first evidence of capping was confirmed by the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of PDI, which presented as a mixture of capped monomer and uncapped dimer in solution(Nguyen et al., 2008). Generation of blue-shifted mutants like I272A and 2DA that promote capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, and the red-shifted L343A mutant that favours uncapping, allowed characterisation of this event by NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra for the capped monomeric species showed significant differences between the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutants in the dispersion and resolution of resonances. 1272 A and 2DA $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ gave well resolved spectra consistent with a folded protein of the expected molecular size. Conversely, spectra of L343A appeared line broadened, indicative of conformational exchange on the NMR experimental timescale and suggested the possible presence of multimeric interactions. In the study conducted by Nguyen and co-workers (2008), as well as fluorescence which is described in chapter 3, NMR was used to track capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker by following the chemical shift of the W347 indole NH which shifts downfield upon capping. As $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ contains a single tryptophan residue, the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum of a homogenous protein sample should contain only one side chain peak at approximately $10.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $130.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, representing the indole NH of W347. However, two resonances were seen in this region indicating that at least the W347 side chain was present in two different environments, representing the two conformations of $\mathbf{x}$ in slow exchange, capped and uncapped seen in figure 5.2 (Nguyen et al., 2008).


Figure $5.2{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{1}^{1}$ H HSQC of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. WT monomeric $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ presents as a mixture of capped and uncapped protein as shown by the presence of two peaks for W347 in the boxed indole region.

Figure 5.3 shows a ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum of full length hPDI focused on the indole region, overlaid with spectra of WT b'x and mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA.The I272A and 2DA mutants of the monomer form of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ favour the capped conformation due to the shift of the W347 resonance at lower field in the spectrum, whereas L343A favours the uncapping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region. Monitoring the chemical shift of the W347 indole in combination with intrinsic fluorescence forms the basis of tracking the different conformations of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region.


Figure 5.3 Conformational exchange in the environment of W347 of b'x. Overlay of the indole region of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of full length hPDI (black), WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (blue) $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutants I272A (red), L343A (purple) and 2DA (green). Assignments of full length hPDI resonances are indicated.

### 5.1.3 Sequential backbone assignment of reduced $b^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$

Backbone assignments were essential for the characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ by NMR. However, due to the large size of the WT protein and a high degree of line broadening, triple resonance assignments were challenging. In contrast to WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}^{\prime},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra for the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ mutant presented with resonances that were less broadened and better resolved, making this mutant a more ideal target for NMR triple resonance assignments.

Triple resonance experiments for the 1272 A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ required ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ labelled protein to enable the assignment of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$. The triple resonance pair of experiments used for the backbone assignment of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ in chapter $4, \mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ and CBCANH, could not be used for the assignment of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as they were of poor quality due
to the larger size of the protein and the presence of the unstructured C -terminal extension, c, therefore $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ nuclei could not be assigned. Instead, the $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CA}$ and HNCA pair of triple resonance experiments was used, in conjunction with previous assignments from WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a}$ 'c. HNCA correlates the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ nuclei of the same (designated "i") and preceding amino acid (designated " $\mathrm{i}-1$ "), whereas the $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CA}$ correlates the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with only the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ of the preceding amino acid. This approach utilises the distinctive $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ chemical shifts characteristic of the amino acid type as described in 4.1.2 for the CBCA(CO)NH and CBCANH. However, as there is a lack of information for the $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ nuclei from this pair of experiments, the already assigned spectra of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, shown in figures 4.14 and 4.13 (chapter 4)were used to confirm the assignments that could be mapped onto I272A b'xa'c.

The data from the triple resonance experiments was loaded into Analysis Version 2 program which, in combination with assigned $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ spectra, allowed the sequential assignment of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \alpha$ nuclei of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

### 5.1.4 The redox-dependent conformational changes of bb' $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime}$ and abb' $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime}$

Recent full length PDI studies have shown the N -terminal ab region as less susceptible to proteolytic cleavage than the C-terminal region and is considered proof of a difference in flexibility between both halves of the protein, and most importantly, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{c}$ undergoes significant structural changes upon reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site(Wang et al., 2010, Wang et al., 2012b). Recent structures of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ and $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ', the latter both in the reduced and the oxidised state, have shown that the conformational changes in PDI are triggered by the redox state switch of the active site in the a' domain (Wang et al., 2012b, Wang et al., 2012a). In addition to a catalytic domain, the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain and the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region are also required and are essential for the conformational change of hPDI. As a result, the b'xa'c fragment determines the redox-dependent conformational changes of hPDI.

As mentioned in the introduction of this thesis, the recently solved reduced and oxidised abb'xa' structures confirm the conformational flexibility of hPDI as the protein assumes a more compact conformation upon reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site when compared to the oxidised structure, where the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain moves out by $\sim 45^{\circ}$ releasing the compact nature of the molecule. ANS fluorescence studies showed that the reduced compact
structure of hPDI revealed a smaller hydrophobic area than the oxidised structure (Wang et al., 2012a). In addition, reduced PDI shows a blue-shift in fluorescence when compared to the oxidised form, consistent with the compact conformation. This blue shift in fluorescence has also been observed for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{c}$ in this project as described in chapter 3, confirming that redox-regulated conformational changes in PDI are mainly located in the C-terminal half of the protein. This redox dependent conformational change has allowed a glimpse into the mechanism of action of PDI as it has been shown to regulate not only the chaperone activity of PDI but also its ability to recognise different substrates depending on its redox state.

To date, there are no publications on the redox-dependent conformational changes of full-length hPDI or the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ fragment by NMR spectroscopy. However, Serve and coworkers (2010) showed chemical shift changes in ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of the C-terminal half of PDI, from the thermophilic fungus Humicola insolens, in the reduced and oxidised states. Mapping these chemical shift changes onto their structural model of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ revealed that the redox state of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain influenced the dynamic properties of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain(Serve et al., 2010).

Therefore, this chapter focuses on the characterisation of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ fragment of hPDI, its dynamic properties, ligand binding ability and the effect of the reducing agent DTT, temperature and pH on this protein.

### 5.2Materials and Methods

### 5.2.1 NMR sample preparation

Samples for NMR analysis were prepared as described in chapter 4. I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ protein required for triple resonance experiments, was ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Cand}^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labelled. Proteins for all other NMR experiments were only ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labelled. The monomer species were concentrated using Vivaspin 4 concentrators (MWCO 10 kDa ) to 0.4 mM or 1.0 mM final sample concentration as determined by absorbance at $\mathrm{A}_{280} \mathrm{~nm}$. Also, proteins were buffer exchanged into the required buffers containing $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Goss Scientific Ltd.).

### 5.2.2NMR Data acquisition and processing

NMR data was acquired with the assistance of Dr. Mark Howard and Dr. Michelle Rowe. pH , temperature, ligand binding and triple resonance experiments were completed on a 600 MHz Varian UnityINOVA spectrometer operating at 14.1 Tesla ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance frequency of 600 MHz ) equipped with a 5 mm HCN z-pulse field gradient probe. Relaxation dynamics experiments for WT and I272A b'xa'c were run on a 600 MHz AV3 Bruker spectrometer with a QCI-F cryoprobe. Data acquisition and processing was completed as described in chapter 4, section 4.2.2.

### 5.2.2.1Amino acid sequential backbone resonance assignment

Backbone resonance assignments were obtained from a 1.0 mM reduced ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labelled I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ sample in a pH 7.0 buffer consisting of 20 mM MOPS, 50 mMNaCl and 10 mM DTT. HNCA and $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CA}$ triple resonance data sets were acquired at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1024 points $(9000 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in the direct F3 dimension $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 20$ points $(2100 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in F2 $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and 58 points ( 4564 Hz ) in F1 $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ indirect dimensions. Carrier frequencies for the triple resonance experiments were set to $4.588 \mathrm{ppm}, 55.704 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 119.284 ppm for the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ respectively.

### 5.2.2.2 $2^{15}$ N NMR Relaxation

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ relaxation experiments were carried out for WT and I272A b'xa'c. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ experiments were run with $2048(9000 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in the direct F2 dimension and 256 points
$(2100 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in the indirect F1 dimension. Experiments were collected at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the AV3 Bruker spectrometer at 600 MHz with the carrier frequencies set at $4.766 \mathrm{ppm}, 59.143 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 119.08 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ respectively. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ relaxation delays were set to 256 , $384,512,640(x 2), 768,894$ and 1024 ms . $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation delays were set to $33.92,50.88$, $67.84,84.80(x 2), 101.76,118.72$ and 135.68 ms .

As with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ relaxation, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HetNOE data were obtained by observing the intensity of the NH peaks with and without saturation of amide protons. HetNOE experiments were run with the same spectral widths as the $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ experiments.

### 5.2.3 NMR Data analysis

### 5.2.3.1 Triple Resonance experiments HNCA and HN(CO)CA

The completed backbone assignments for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and WT b'x were used alongside triple resonance experiments to assign $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ by assignment mapping and sequential assignments of the backbone $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$. Data analysis was carried out in CcpNmr Analysis Version 2 (Vranken et al., 2005) as described in chapter 4.

### 5.2.3.2NMR temperature titrations

Temperature titrations were carried out on 1.0 mMreduce protein samples of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $1272 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~L} 343 \mathrm{~A}$ and 2DA mutants. All proteins were in a pH 6.5 buffer containing $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{Na}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}$ and $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC experiments were run as described in 4.2 .2 . 1 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.2.3.3NMR pH titrations

pH titrations were carried out on 1.0 mM reduced protein samples of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC experiments were run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described in 4.2.2.1. Proteins were buffer exchanged into four different buffers at pH 6.0 , $6.5,7.0$ and 8.0 as described in 4.2.4.

### 5.2.3.4 Ligand binding using d-somatostatin

Ligand binding experiments were performed with 0.4 mM protein, WT and I272Ab' $\mathbf{x a}$ 'c, in the presence of varying concentrations of $\Delta$-somatostatin. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} H S Q C$ spectra were recorded at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein and ligand in a pH 7.0 buffer containing 20 mM MOPS, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT and $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Four samples were made up for each protein containing $0.4 \mathrm{mM} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ and the peptide ligand was added to the protein at concentrations of $0.08 \mathrm{mM}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ and 0.4 mM . A control sample was also used containing protein in the absence of peptide ligand. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra were recorded for each sample and the differences in chemical shifts were calculated using equation 4.5 as described in section 4.2.3.2. The chemical shifts from the control spectrum containing no peptide were used as a reference for calculating the chemical shift that occurred due to the addition of peptide ligand.

### 5.2.3.5Secondary structure prediction using DANGLE

Secondary structure prediction for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, WT and I272A, was completed using the DANGLE (Dihedral Angles from Global Likelihood Estimates) program built into CcpNmr Analysis Version $2 .{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ chemical shifts obtained from the triple resonance assignment of $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$ c were used for the structure prediction of this mutant. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ chemical shifts from the I272A triple resonance assignment were mapped on WT b'xa'c spectra and used to generate a structure prediction in DANGLE. Analysis was carried out as described in section 4.2.3.3.

### 5.2.3.6NMR Relaxation Analysis

Analysis of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE backbone relaxation and model-free data was carried out as described in section 4.2.3.4.

### 5.2.3.7 Minimal Shift Mapping

Minimal maps were constructed using assigned spectra of I272A b'xa'c against unassigned spectra of WT, L343A and 2DA b'xa'c. Equation 4.5 was used to estimate the chemical shift distances from the signals in the assigned I272A spectrum to the nearest peak of the unassigned WT b'xa'c spectrum. This was repeated with L343A and 2DA.

### 5.3 Results

### 5.3.1 Initial NMR investigations on WT b'xa'c and mutants

Initial NMR studies of WT b'xa'c revealed ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra with poorly resolved and line broadened peaks, indicative of conformational exchange. Similarly to the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ construct discussed in chapter 4 , reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site upon addition of DTT, improved spectral quality and reduced line broadening. Figure 5.4 shows an overlay of WT b'xa'c in the presence and absence of DTT. Both spectra were collected at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein in a pH 6.5 buffer.


Figure $5.4{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ at $\mathbf{2 5}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{p H}$ 6.5. Peak dispersion and resolution is improved on addition of DTT (red) compared to the spectrum in the absence of DTT (blue).

As addition of DTT resulted in reduced line broadening and better resolved peaks, all subsequent NMR experiments were carried out in the presence of DTT. This supports the chemical denaturation data which showed an increase in the conformational stability of
the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in the reduced state. Although reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site resulted in improved peak dispersion, resonances were still broad and the quality of the spectra was insufficient for further NMR studies. Sharp resonances, due to the unstructured C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, were present between 8.0 and 8.5 ppm and added to the poor quality of the spectrum. Spectra of I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants also presented with poorly resolved peaks at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 6.5 as shown in figures $5.5,5.6$ and 5.7 respectively.


Figure $5.5{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} /{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectrum of the I272A b'xa'c mutant. Sample contained 1.0 mM protein and 10 mM DTT in a pH 6.5 buffer containing $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ NaCl and $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Spectrum was collected at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the 600 MHz Varian UnityINOVA spectrometer.

The I272A b'xa'c mutant spectrum was very similar to that of the WT protein in figure 5.4 showing a high degree of line broadening. Although this mutant in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ favours capping of the ligand binding site that results in better resolved NMR spectra than the WT protein, the I272A mutation causes only a marginal improvement in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and with the proteins at pH 6.5 .
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum of the L343A b'xa'c mutant presented with peaks that were even more line broadened and less well resolved than WT and $I 272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, as can be seen in figure 5.6.


Figure $5.6{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{H}^{1} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectrum of the L343A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutant.The L343A protein sample was made up in the same buffer conditions as for WT and I272A b'xa'c. Spectrum was acquired at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the 600 MHz Varian UnityINOVA spectrometer.

In $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, the L343A mutant favours uncapping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region resulting in poor quality NMR spectra due to increased line broadening. The L343A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, shown in figure 5.6 , presented with peaks that were even more line broadened and less well resolved than WT and I272A b'xa'c and therefore supports the previously published $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data (Nguyen et al., 2008).

Like I272A, the 2DA mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ also favours capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region and generated good quality NMR spectra with well resolved peaks (Nguyen et al., 2008). However, the 2DA mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ does not appear to have the
same effect as in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. On the contrary, 2DA $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum resembles those of $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ shown in chapter 4, where a number of peaks are line broadened beyond detection due to the high degree of conformational exchange. This is supported by the chemical denaturation data in chapter 3, where this mutant had the lowest conformational stability out of all the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins.


Figure $5.7{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} /{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectrum of the 2DA b'xa'c mutant. Protein sample and experimental conditions were as described for WT, I272A and L343A b'xa'c.

Visual inspection of the spectra revealed that the 2DA mutant presented with a higher degree of line broadening than WT b'xa'c and I272A and L343A mutants, demonstrated by the absence of peaks around the periphery of the spectrum in figure 5.7. L343A b'xa'c also showed diminished peak dispersion and increased line broadening compared to the WT protein. The I272A mutant was the only mutant that appeared to show a marginal improvement in peak resolution, but the quality of the NMR spectra was still insufficient for further NMR characterisation.

As WT b'xa'c and its mutants presented with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra that were not of adequate quality for NMR investigations, it was necessary to find suitable experimental conditions to improve the quality of NMR spectra in order to allow backbone assignments and further NMR studies. Therefore, the effects of temperature and pH on WT and mutant $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ proteins were investigated.

### 5.3.2 The effect of temperature and $\mathbf{p H}$ on WT b'xa'c and mutants

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC experiments were run at a range of temperatures with $\mathbf{b}$ 'xa'c proteins in buffers of varying pH to find suitable conditions which lowered the degree of line broadening and as a consequence improved the quality of the NMR spectra to allow NMR characterisation. All ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} / /^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra for WT and mutant $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins under different conditions are shown in Appendix 5.1.

Initially, NMR spectra of the proteins were run over a variety of temperatures in the order $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein samples at pH 6.5 . It was evident by visual inspection of the spectra that an increase in temperature resulted in an increase in peak resolution and dispersion. An increase in temperature causes a shift from intermediate to fast exchange (figure 5.1) resulting in reduced line broadening as an average peak is seen between the states in exchange. Figure 5.8 shows ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT b'xa'c and mutants I272A, L343A and 2DA acquired at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the proteins at pH 6.5 in order to demonstrate the positive effect of increasing temperature on lowering the degree of line broadening in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$.

A similar effect was observed with an increase in pH , as spectra collected from protein samples at pH 6.0 at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ displayed line broadening and lack of peak dispersion. Conversely, a change into pH 8.0 lowered the degree of line broadening, and in turn increased peak resolution and dispersion, as can be seen in figure 5.9.


Figure $5.8{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT b'xa'c and mutants at $\mathbf{p H}$ 6.5.a) and $\mathbf{b}$ ) WT at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{c}$ ) and d) 2272 A at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ e) and f) L343A at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ g) and h) 2 DA at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively.

Chapter 5 - Characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ by NMR Spectroscopy


Figure $5.9{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}$ 'c and mutants at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. a) and b) WT at pH 6.0 and pH 8.0 c ) and d) I272 A at pH 6.0 and pH 8.0 e) and $\mathbf{f}$ ) L343A at pH 6.0 and pH 8.0 g ) and $\mathbf{h}$ ) 2 DA at pH 6.0 and pH 8.0 , respectively.

As can be seen from figures 5.8 and 5.9 , an increase in temperature and pH dramatically increased the quality of the NMR spectra with the best spectra acquired at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 8.0. The I272A mutant produced the best quality spectra out of all the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins, followed by WT, L343A and finally 2DA. Both L343A and 2DA showed an improvement as temperature and pH increased, but the quality of the spectra was still insufficient for NMR studies. As the I272A spectra showed better resolved and dispersed peaks, its peak heights at the different temperature and pH conditions are shown in figure 5.10. The data used for the temperature and pH graphs is shown in appendices 5.2 and 5.3 respectively. The graphs were constructed using the triple resonance assignments obtained for I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, which will be discussed in section 5.3.4.


Figure 5.10 The effect of temperature and pH on the peak height of reduced I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} /{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectra. a) peak heights increase with temperature and b) increasing pH . The horizontal dotted lines show the domain boundaries.

The highest peak heights were observed at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, therefore subsequent experiments were run at this temperature. The lowest peak heights were observed at pH 6.0 followed by an increase at pH 6.5 . However, there was no significant increase in peak height from pH 7.0 to pH 8.0 and as some degradation was seen at the higher pH , therefore, it was decided for all future experiments to be run with the protein in a pH 7.0 buffer.

Surprisingly, I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ assignments obtained from triple resonance experiments, discussed in section 5.3.4, could be later mapped onto the WT b'xa'c NMR
spectra run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 7.0 and pH 8.0 but not at the lower temperatures or pH conditions due to missing peaks caused by excessive line broadening. WT b'xa'c peak heights from spectra acquired at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 7.0 and pH 8.0 were very similar to those obtained for the I272A mutant and therefore are not shown here.

I272A b'xa'c assignments could not be mapped on L343A or $2 \mathrm{DA}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC NMR spectra due to large scale spectrum differences. Therefore, the analysis of temperature and pH spectra for these mutants was limited. However, it is evident from figures 5.8 and 5.9 that the L343A and 2DA mutations have had a negative effect on the conformational rigidity of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ protein over the NMR timescale of detection. This supports the denaturation data in chapter 3, which shows that these mutants have a lower conformational stability than WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, especially in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain.

### 5.3.3 Assignment of I272A b'xa'c and mapping assignments on WT b'xa'c

Sequential backbone assignments for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ nuclei for the 1272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ mutant, with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site in the reduced state, were made from the pair of triple resonance experiments HNCA and $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CA}$. Previously assigned ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ were used in combination with the triple resonance experiments to aid in the assignment of I272A b'xa'c. Ignoring the His tag, backbone assignments for I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' $\mathbf{c}$ were obtained for $66 \%$ of amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and $70 \%{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$. The indole $\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\varepsilon}$ for W347, W379 and W390 were also assigned using an overlay of full length PDI for which the indole region had been previously assigned. Residues were linked sequentially as the example shown in figure 5.11 for the amino acid stretch Ile398 to Leu393.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift (ppm)
Figure 5.11 Backbone triple resonance sequential assignment example from I272A b'xa'c experiments. $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CA}$ and HNCA strips, a and b respectively, show the assignment stretch for residues I389 to L393. The red dotted line shows the walkthrough along the sequential matches. The relevant ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ chemical shift position of each plane is shown above the strip.

Residue-labelled ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum of reduced I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ is shown in figure 5.12. Assignments were obtained as part of the triple resonance sequential assignments shown above and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ chemical shifts are summarised in Appendix 5.4.


Figure $5.12{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum for reduced I272A b'xa'c. All known amide resonance assignments are labelled in red. Experiments were run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein sample in a pH 7.0 buffer.

It was surprising to find that assignments obtained for the I272A mutant could be mapped onto ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ in order to allow further characterisation of the protein by NMR. Although WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ spectra presented with peaks that were more line broadened than I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ spectra, assignment mapping was achieved for most of the residues except for those residues that had shifted due to the mutation. The shifted or missing residues were L241, H239, L300, E305 and R435. G233, G267 and D366 presented with weak resonances in the I272A spectrum but were even more line broadened in the WT protein. Figure 5.13 shows mapping of the assignments from the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$
mutant onto ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectrum of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with the sample in a pH 7.0 buffer.


Figure $5.13{ }^{15} \mathbf{N} /{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ HSQC spectrum for reduced WT b'xa'c. Assignments shown in red are those mapped from an overlay of the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ spectrum in figure 5.12. Experiments were run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the protein sample in a pH 7.0 buffer.

### 5.3.4 The effect of the mutations on b'xa'c

In order to observe the effect of mutation on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ protein, the I272A assignments were used to follow the chemical shift in WT b'xa'c and the L343A and 2DA mutants. Minimal maps can be constructed by measuring distances from signals in an assigned spectrum, in this case I272A, to the nearest peak in the unassigned spectra of WT, L343A and 2DA b'xa'c. Figure 5.14 shows the shifts that occurred due to the I272A mutation of $\mathbf{b}$ 'xa'c.


Figure 5.14 Minimal chemical shift map to the nearest peak for backbone amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ resonances of 1272 A with WT $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{xa}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ and mapped on the crystal structure of bb'xa' (3UEM.pdb). Chemical shift differences greater than 0.1 ppm are highlighted in yellow on the structure. The $\mathbf{b}$ domain is shown in grey, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in blue, $\mathbf{x}$ in red and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in purple. The dotted lines represent the domain boundaries.

Chemical shifts observed in figure 5.14, due the I272A mutation in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{c}$, were mainly located in the core $\beta$ sheet of the $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ domain, consisting of the site of the mutation and the ligand binding site. Only three residues in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain appeared to be affected by the mutation in the b'x domain. They were F365, D366 located at the end of helix 7 and N 370 located at the start of strand 8 . These residues are usually weak and line broadened in the HSQC spectra. The average chemical shift due to the I272A mutation was 0.03 ppm with a standard deviation of 0.06 ppm .

Figure 5.15 shows that the L343A mutation had a greater effect on the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain than I272A, as more a' residues appeared to have shifted.


Figure 5.15 Minimal chemical shift map for backbone amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ resonances of I272A with L343A $b^{\prime} \times a^{\prime} c$ and mapped on the crystal structure of bb'xa' (3UEM.pdb). Chemical shift differences greater than 0.1 ppm are highlighted in yellow on the structure. The $\mathbf{b}$ domain is shown in grey, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in blue, $\mathbf{x}$ in red and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in purple. The dotted lines represent the domain boundaries.

The majority of the chemical shifts in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain are the same as those in figure 5.14 caused by the I272A mutation, with the addition of L241 in strand 3 and L300 in strand 4 which forms part of the ligand binding site. The L343A mutation in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region had a greater effect on the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain more than that of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, as most of the chemical shifts were mapped on the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. The average chemical shift due to the L343A mutation was 0.08 ppm with a standard deviation of 0.16 ppm .

The double mutation at the C-terminus of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, 2DA, had a more pronounced effect on the chemical shift perturbations of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain than the other mutants as apart from the same $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ shifts observed in figures 5.14 and 5.15 , the biggest chemical shifts were located in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain.


Figure 5.16 Minimal chemical shift map for backbone amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ resonances of I272A with 2DA b'xa'c and mapped on the crystal structure of bb'xa' (3UEM.pdb). Chemical shift differences greater than 0.1 ppm are highlighted in yellow on the structure. The $\mathbf{b}$ domain is shown in grey, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in blue, $\mathbf{x}$ in red and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in purple. The dotted lines represent the domain boundaries.

The large degree of line broadening in 2DA manifests as large minimal shift differences when compared to I272A; clearly many $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ peaks are broadened beyond detection, giving rise to large shift perturbations. The average chemical shift perturbation due to the 2DA mutation was 0.12 ppm with a standard deviation of 0.17 ppm .
However, it is important to remember the differences between the NMR spectra of the L343A and 2DA mutants when comparing the minimal maps. This is because L343A and 2DA displayed a high degree of line broadening; therefore some of the shifts in figures 5.15 and 5.16 may not necessarily be due to actual peak shifts but due to peaks that are line broadened beyond detection, consequently missing in the L343A and 2DA spectra. However, the minimal maps did successfully show the effect of the mutations on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, whether it was due to peak shifts or line broadening.

### 5.3.5 Determination of capping in $b^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ 'c by NMR spectroscopy

Capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region has been previously determined by tracking of the indole NH of W347 in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of PDI. As described in 5.1.2, capping is characterised by a downfield shift of the W347 indole NH. In order to determine if capping of the ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region did occur in the presence of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, the indole region of full length PDI was overlaid with spectra of WT b'xa'c and the I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants, shown in figure 5.17.


Figure 5.17 Conformational exchange in the environment of W347 of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ 'c. Overlay of the indole region of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of full length hPDI (black), WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ (blue) $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ 'c mutants I272A (red), L343A (purple) and 2DA (green). Assignments of full length PDI resonances are indicated.

As can be seen from figure 5.17 , there was no significant downfield shift in the indole NH of WT b'xa'c and its mutants. However, the indole NH of W347 of I272A, shown in red, was split into two peaks and indicative of at least two different environments
of the tryptophan indole that could support two conformations of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region. The indole NH of the 2DA mutant also appeared in two states, confirming the conformational exchange observed in figure 5.16. However, as the quality of the 2DA NMR spectra was very poor, splitting of indole W347 may not always be due to capping. In addition, the indole NH of W379 and W390 in 2DA did not appear on the spectra due to line broadening. The W347 indole NH of WT b'xa'c did not show a downfield shift and therefore evidence of binding site capping was inconclusive, but the protein was in at least two conformational states demonstrated by the splitting of the W379 peak. The L343A mutation in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ also showed no evidence of capping, but this was to be expected as this mutant does not favour capping in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ construct of PDI.

### 5.3.6 Secondary Structure Prediction using DANGLE

The assignment of resonances to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ for the I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and mapping of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ assignments on WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}^{\prime}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra enabled a secondary structure prediction to be carried out using DANGLE. Similarly to $\mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in chapter 4 , the program predicted $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ angles and the most likely secondary structure for each backbone residue generating one of three possible outcomes: C (coil), E (strand) and H (helix). Outputs are also shown in the form of Ramachandran plots, as shown in figure 4.15 for $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, where the number of islands is limited to two and on occasions where more than two islands were present, the residue was excluded from the prediction. Figure 5.18 summarises the predictions made by DANGLE for WT b'xa'c. An $\alpha$-helix is represented by a cylinder, an arrow predicts a $\beta$-sheet and line predicts coil. Residues in bold represent data that were excluded from the structure prediction due to missing assignments or ambiguous predictions from DANGLE.


Figure 5.18 The predicted secondary structure of WT b'xa'c using DANGLE. The predicted structure is shown in yellow with $\alpha$-helices shown as cylinders, $\beta$-sheets as arrows and coils as lines. The secondary structure motifs of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ are those from the bb'xa' crystal structure (3UEM.pdb) and are shown in blue under the amino acid sequence for comparison with the DANGLE predicted structure. The His tag is shown in blue and the active site motif is shown in green. Residues in bold were excluded from the structure prediction. Residue numbering is for mature PDI.

Overall, DANGLE was successful in its prediction of the secondary structure of WT b'xa'c with few small differences from the published bb'xa' crystal structure (3UEM.pdb). Most of the predicted secondary structure elements were in agreement with the published structure except for helices 2 and 3 which were predicted as a single helixpossibly because the program was unable to distinguish the two helices due to their close proximity. Helices 4 and 5 were also predicted as a single helix. Helix 1 is missing from the prediction due to missing assignments for that part of the amino acid sequence. Other small differences included an extra small helix between helix 5 and strand 4 as well as strands 4 and 5. Helix 6 was predicted as two helices instead of one. Interestingly, part of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region has been predicted to consists of a helix between residues E345D348, which is not seen in the crystal structure of bb'xa' but is present in the crystal
structure of the I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (3BJ5.pdb). An extra helix has also been predicted in the loop between strands 7 and 9 as well as part of the $\mathbf{c}$ extension.

The same structure prediction was run for the I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}$ 'xa'c and the results generated from DANGLE are shown in figure 5.19.


Figure 5.19 The predicted secondary structure of I272A b'xa'c using DANGLE. The predicted structure of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ is shown in green below the secondary structure of bb'xa'c (3UEM.pdb) in blue. Symbols for the secondary structure elements are as stated in figure 5.18.

DANGLE was also successful in predicting the secondary structure elements for I272A b'xa'c as overall, the predicted structure was in agreement with the published $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ structure of PDI. As with WT b'xa'c, helix 1 was missing from the prediction due to lack of assignments and helices 2 and 3 were predicted as a single helix. An extra helix was predicted between helix 5 and strand 4 and small strands were present just before helix 6 and strand 9. A small helix similar to that predicted in WT b'xa'c was predicted in the $\mathbf{c}$ tail.

Generally, the predicted structures for WT and I272A b'xa'c were similar not only to the published bb'xa' structure (3UEM.pdb) but also to each other, giving confidence in mapping of the assignments from I272A to the WT protein.

### 5.3.7 Ligand binding

The effect of the peptide ligand $\Delta$-somatostatin on WT and I272A b'xa'c were investigated by adding $0.08 \mathrm{mM}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ and 0.4 mM of $\Delta$-somatostatin to 0.4 mM protein so that the concentration ratio of protein to ligand was $5: 1,2: 1$ and $1: 1$ respectively. Figure 5.20 shows an overlay of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ in the presence of the different concentrations of $\Delta$-somatostatin.


Figure 5.20 Overlay of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT b'xa'c with and without $\Delta$ somatostatin. A control spectrum with the protein in the absence of $\Delta$-somatostatin was run as a reference (black). $\Delta$-somatostatin was added at a protein to ligand ratio of 5:1 (green), 2:1 (blue) and 1:1 (red). Dashed boxes show two of the regions that show chemical shift changes due to addition of ligand.

The chemical shift changes that occurred due to the addition of $\Delta$-somatostatin to WT b'xa'c were so small that they could not be easily seen from figure 5.20 , so as an example, figure 5.21 shows a zoomed in view of two of the regions that undergo chemical shift changes, highlighted by the dashed boxes in figure 5.20.


Figure 5.21 Chemical shift changes in WT b'xa'c due to the addition of $\Delta$ somatostatin. A zoom in of a) the indole region and b) C380 of the active site. The black peaks represent the protein in the absence of ligand. Green, blue and red peaks represent the sample with a protein to ligand ratio of 5:1, 2:1 and 1:1 respectively.

The indole NH of W347 did not appear to be affected by the addition of ligand, but there were small shifts in the indole NH of W379, the Trp residue adjacent to the active site, and C380, the N -terminal cysteine residue of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site.

Although changes in chemical shifts upon addition of ligand are not easily seen in the spectra overlay in figure 5.20 ,chemical shifts were monitored by comparison to a control spectrum of the protein in the absence of ligand. The chemical shift perturbation due to binding of the peptide ligand was calculated using equation 4.5 and the minimal map is shown in figure 5.22. The changes in chemical shifts were mapped onto the crystal structure of bb'xa' (3UEM.pdb).


Figure 5.22 Minimal map showing the chemical shift changes of WT b'xa'c due to the addition of $\Delta$-somatostatin. The concentration ratio of protein to ligand is $5: 1$ (green), 2:1 (blue) and 1:1 (red). Chemical shifts above 0.02 ppm were mapped in yellow on the surface representation of the crystal structure of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (3UEM.pdb) where the $\mathbf{b}$ domain is shown in grey, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in blue, $\mathbf{x}$ in red and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in purple.

Chemical shift changes due to addition of $\Delta$-somatostatin to WT b'xa'c were seen throughout the structure and most importantly in the core $\beta$-sheet of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain consisting of the ligand binding site. Shifts were also seen throughout the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, such as helices 7 and 9 of the catalytic domain. The loop connecting strands 4 and 5 in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain was also highlighted by large chemical shifts, possibly due to its proximity to the active site on the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. Chemical shift perturbations observed throughout the spectra appeared to be dependent on the concentration of ligand, with the greatest shifts seen when the protein to ligand concentration ratio was 1:1 with an average and standard deviation of 0.012 and 0.015 ppm respectively. Shifts changes seen at $5: 1$ protein to ligand in the minimal map presented with an average of 0.011 and a standard deviation of 0.03.A protein to ligand ratio of $2: 1$ caused chemical shifts with an average of 0.013 ppm and a standard deviation of 0.023 ppm . However the chemical shifts observed in WT b'xa'c were very small, in fact on the same scale as figures $5.14-5.16$, they were almost insignificant. However, shifts in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain do map with those observed by Byrne et al. (2009), but they are a whole order of magnitude smaller. Most of the shifts appeared to form an extended surface at the interface between the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains. It is also worth mentioning here that assignments for WT b'xa'c spectra were those mapped from I272A and not confirmed by triple resonance.

The 1272A b'xa'c mutant also appeared to bind to the peptide ligand $\Delta$ somatostatin as chemical shift changes were observed in the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra at different concentrations of ligand. The largest shifts were observed at the protein to ligand concentration ratio of $1: 1$ and the lowest chemical shift perturbations were seen at the protein to ligand concentration of $5: 1$. Figure 5.23 shows an overlay of the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ in the presence of the different concentrations of $\Delta$-somatostatin.


Figure 5.23 Overlay of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of 1272 A b'xa'c with and without $\Delta$ somatostatin. A control spectrum with the protein in the absence of $\Delta$-somatostatin was run as a reference (black). $\Delta$-somatostatin was added at a protein to ligand ratio of 5:1 (green), 2:1 (blue) and 1:1 (red). Dashed boxes show two of the regions that show chemical shift changes due to addition of ligand.

A closer view of the areas in the dashed boxes is displayed in figure 5.24 .


Figure 5.24 Chemical shift changes in I272A b'xa'c due to the addition of $\Delta$ somatostatin. A zoom in of a) the indole region and b)A261 in helix 2 and E304 in the loop linking strands 4 and 5 . The black peaks represent the protein in the absence of ligand. Green, blue and red peaks represent the sample with a protein to ligand ratio of $5: 1,2: 1$ and $1: 1$ respectively.

Similarly to WT b'xa'c, chemical shift changes in the I272A mutant also appeared to be dependent on ligand concentrations as a linear relationship is observed between the peak shift and the concentration of ligand. Also, the main shift in the indole region, in figure 5.24 a, was due to W379 and W347 in $\mathbf{x}$ did not appear affected by $\Delta$-somatostatin. Figure 5.25 shows the chemical shift perturbations that occurred due to the addition of $\Delta$ somatostatin at different concentrations to the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutant.

The chemical changes in the $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ spectra caused by $\Delta$-somatostatin were mainly located in the core $\beta$ sheet of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain consisting of the ligand binding site as previously mapped by NMR (Byrne et al., 2009). Chemical shift perturbations were also seen in helix 2 and loops between helix 5 and strand 5 as well as strand 4 and strand 5 , the latter of which is in close proximity to the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site in the $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ structure. The interface between $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, especially residues $300-305$, which have been described by Byrne et al. (2009) to be implicated in ligand binding were also highlighted. Shift differences were observed in residues of helix 7 in $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ similarly to the WT protein, in addition to shifts in helix 9 .

Overall, the average chemical shifts caused to the I272A mutant by the addition of $\Delta$-somatostatin were also very small, similar to those observed in the WT protein, but as assignments were carried out by triple resonance experiments, there was more confidence in the data. At a protein to ligand ratio of 5:1 the average chemical shift for the I272A mutant was 0.006 ppm with the same value for the standard deviation. At 2:1 ratio of protein to ligand the average and standard deviation were 0.009 ppm and at $1: 1$ chemical shift perturbations had an average and standard deviation of 0.013 ppm .



Figure 5.25 Minimal map showing the chemical shift perturbations of $1272 \mathrm{~A} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ xa'c due to the addition of $\Delta$-somatostatin. The concentration ratio of protein to ligand is $5: 1$ (green), $2: 1$ (blue) and 1:1 (red). Chemical shifts above 0.02 ppm were mapped in yellow and green on the surface representation of the crystal structure of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (3UEM.pdb) where the $\mathbf{b}$ domain is shown in grey, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ in blue, $\mathbf{x}$ in red and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ in purple.

### 5.3.8 Relaxation Dynamics

Tables of peak heights for ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE data as well as tables of results from model-free analysis for WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ are available in Appendix 5.5 and 5.6 respectively. All graphs shown in this section were created from data in these appendices.

### 5.3.8.1 ${ }^{15} N T_{1}, T_{2}$ and hetNOE data for WT and I272A $b^{\prime} x a a^{\prime} c$

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE relaxation data for WT and I272A b'xa'c are shown in figure 5.26. The secondary structure elements of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ c taken from the crystal structure of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (3UEM.pdb) are shown at the top of the graphs. The mean ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times obtained from rplot for WT b'xa'c were $1078 \pm 187.9 \mathrm{~ms}$ and $88.20 \pm 28.09 \mathrm{~ms}$, respectively, giving a mean $T_{1} / T_{2}$ ratio of 12.22 . The mean ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values for the I272A b'xa'c mutant were similar to those of the WT protein at $1056 \pm 136.6$ for $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $90.74 \pm 38.50$ for $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, giving a mean $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{T}_{2}$ ratio of 11.64.Rplot provided average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values of $0.68 \pm 0.07$ and 11.30 ns for WT b'xa'c and $0.71 \pm 0.04$ and 11.00 ns for the I272A mutant, respectively. The ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE relaxation data for both proteins showed similar trends with deviations observed in loops between helix 5 and strand 4 of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain as well as helix 6 and strand 6 in $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$. Deviations were also seen at residues comprising the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region as well as the termini of the proteins, which similarly to $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{c}}$ showed the longest $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times and lowest hetNOE values at the C -terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ errors for WT b'xa'c were notably larger than those of the 1272A mutant, possibly because WT b'xa'c presents with NMR data that is of poorer quality than the I272A mutant. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ values were obtained using a curve fit and poor data with low signal to noise can reduce the precision of data fitting.


Figure $5.26{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and heteronuclear NOE data for WT b'xa'c and its I272A mutant. a) hetNOE data for each protein is displayed in red with WT b'xa'c on the graph on the left and I272A on the right. b) $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ data are shown in green and blue, respectively. The secondary structure elements of the bb'xa' structure are displayed at the top of the figure with helices represented by cylinders and strands shown as arrows. The dotted lines represent the domain boundaries.

The ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE relaxation data was exported into ModelFree4 to allow the calculation of model-free parameters for individual residues in both WT and I272A b'xa'c.

### 5.3.8.2 ${ }^{15} N T_{1}$ versus $T_{2}$ relaxation data for WT and I272A b'xa'c

As described in chapter 4, plots of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ versus $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation data provide information about the global correlation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$, and the order parameter, $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, of the protein under investigation. Figure 5.27 shows a plot of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ versus $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ for ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ amides of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. Data points considered to be outliers are displayed as empty circles and were excluded from rplot analysis in order to get accurate $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ values.


Figure 5.27 Plot of experimental $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ against $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ of amide protons for WT b'xa'c. Experimental data plotted with theoretical values of order parameter $\mathbf{S}^{2}=0.6,0.8$ and 1.0 and $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of $8,10,12$ and 14 ns when $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ is 50 ps at 600 MHz . $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ residues are displayed as blue circles and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ residues as red circles. Empty circles represent residues that deviate from the plot and were excluded from rplot analysis.

The majority of the residues for WT $\mathbf{b}$ 'xa'c fell inside the theoretical lines for the order parameter $S^{2}$. A number of residues that fell under the $S^{2}=1.0$ contour line suggested an $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value greater than 1.0 for these residues. However, as this is not theoretically possible in model-free, it is possible that these residues were undergoing conformational exchange and suggest contribution from $R_{\text {ex }}$. The wide $T_{1}$ vs $T_{2}$ plot for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ signifies an anisotropic system because there is a large variation in $\mathrm{T}_{1}$.

The theoretical $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ lines were constructed using model values of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ with a constant $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ of 50 ps , without taking into account hetNOE values, and are useful in estimating $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values required for model-free analysis. Taking into account the number of residues and temperature that was used during the acquisition of the relaxation data, the theoretical value for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ is 12.29 ns , using equation 4.1. The measured $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ from rplot is 11.30 ns , approximately 1.0 ns lower than expected. This is probably due to interdomain motion which lowers the apparent $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$. Interestingly, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ residues of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$ fell in the same area of the plot, therefore displaying similar tumbling times.

The $T_{1}$ vs $T_{2}$ plot generated from the I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ experimental data displayed similar results to the WT protein with an average $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 11.00 ns . Unlike WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, I272A residues clustered in a smaller area of the plot suggesting a more compact structure. A greater number of residues fell outside of the theoretical $\mathrm{S}_{2}=1.0$ line due to conformational exchange similar to I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ seen in chapter 4. The $T_{1}$ vs $T_{2}$ plot for I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ with theoretical $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ lines is shown in figure 5.28.


Figure 5.28 Plot of experimental $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ against $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ of amide protons for I272A b'xa'c. Experimental data plotted with theoretical values of order parameter $\mathrm{S}^{2}=0.6,0.8$ and 1.0 and $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of $8,10,12$ and 14 ns when $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}$ is 50 ps at 600 MHz . b'x residues are displayed as blue circles and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ residues as red circles. Empty circles represent residues that deviate from the plot and were excluded from rplot analysis.

### 5.3.8.3 Model-free analysis of WT and I272A b'xa'c

Model-free analysis of $\mathbf{b}$ 'xa'c, both WT and I272A, used all three relaxation parameters ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE in order to define the molecular motions of each protein, using the Modelfree4 program. Model-free data for WT and I272A b'xa'c is summarised in figure 5.29. As both proteins displayed values outside the $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ curves in figures 5.27 and 5.28 , the model 3 , which calculated $S^{2}$ and $R_{\text {ex }}$ values for each residue, was used.


Figure 5.29 Graphs of model-free fit parameters for the characterisation of motion of WT and I272A b'xa'c. a) ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE values for each protein were used with analysis program Modelfree4 to calculate residue specific order parameter values of $S^{2}$ b) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ contribution was used for those residues that were detected by Modelfree 4 to display conformational exchange. The secondary structure elements of the bb'xa' structure (3UEM.pdb) are displayed at the top of the graphs. The dotted lines represent the domain boundaries.

WT b'xa'c had an average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of $0.68 \pm 0.07$, whereas I272A presented with an $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value which was slightly higher at $0.71 \pm 0.04$. Both proteins showed the C-terminal extension, $\mathbf{c}$, to have a lower $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value than the rest of the residues in the protein, with $\mathbf{c}$ in

WT b'xa'chaving an $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of $0.25 \pm 0.01$ and in I272A presenting with an $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of $0.22 \pm 0.01$. Table 5.1 summarises the average $S^{2}$ values in specific regions of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{W T}$ and I272A proteins derived from the model-free analysis.

| Fragment of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{S}^{2}$ of WT protein | $\mathbf{S}^{2}$ of I272A mutant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ | $0.68 \pm 0.07$ | $0.71 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ | $0.75 \pm 0.07$ | $0.79 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ | $0.74 \pm 0.07$ | $0.80 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $0.79 \pm 0.08$ | $0.71 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ | $0.62 \pm 0.06$ | $0.64 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ | $0.72 \pm 0.08$ | $0.70 \pm 0.05$ |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | $0.25 \pm 0.01$ | $0.22 \pm 0.01$ |

Table 5.1 A summary of the average $S^{2}$ values of specific regions of WT and I272A $b^{\prime} \times \mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ calculated from model-free analysis.

Overall the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ had a lower $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value than the I272A mutant. The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ also had a higher $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ than the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ fragment in both the WT protein and the I272A mutant. The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of I272A had a more rigid backbone than the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ of the WT protein, presenting with an $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of $0.8 \pm 0.04$ for I272A and an $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of $0.74 \pm 0.07$ for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. Whereas the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain appeared to have similar backbone flexibility in both the WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of I272A had a higher $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value than that of it's $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain by 0.1 order parameter units. Conversely, the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain in WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ had a similar $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value to that of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. Interestingly, the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ presented with a slightly higher $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of $0.79 \pm 0.08$ than in the I272A mutant where $\mathbf{x}$ had an $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value of $0.71 \pm 0.04$.

Deviations from the average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ were observed in residues L300-E320 of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ which stretch from the end of strand 4 to the start of helix 6 . This region had an average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ of $0.57 \pm 0.07$. This same region did not appear to deviate from average values in I272A b'xa'c. Whereas in I272A b'xa'c, the region with reduced $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ values of $0.65 \pm$ 0.05 consisted of residues V352 - F372, which in the structure make up strand 6, helix 7 and part of strand 8 .

A number of residues in WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, the majority of which are located in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain, displayed contribution from $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ that supports conformational exchange. However, I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ had more residues with $R_{\text {ex }}$ contribution evenly distributed between the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains, highlighting the fact that the mutation in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ also affects the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain.

### 5.4 Discussion

### 5.4.1 The alternative conformations of $b^{\prime} x a^{\prime} c$ studied by NMR

It was evident from initial ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra that $\mathrm{WT} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ was a conformationally active protein displaying line broadened and poorly resolved peaks at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 6.5 (figure 5.4). The low conformational stability of the $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ domain, determined by fluorescence, may have contributed to the poor quality of the NMR spectra. Similarly to $\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, the unstructured acidic region at the C-terminus of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x}$ presented with sharp peaks between 8.0 and 8.5 ppm , adding to the poor quality of NMR spectra. Chemical reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site significantly improved the quality of NMR spectra, suggesting that the redox state of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain influenced a conformational change in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ leading to this improvement. This is in agreement with recently published data on $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ where it was shown that reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site turns the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ fragment of PDI into a more compact structure where $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ forms interactions with the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain and the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region (Wang et al., 2012b, Wang et al., 2012a). A more compact structure would tumble more isotropically and have potential to produce higher quality NMR data. In contrast, oxidation of the active site on the a' domain of PDI favours a more conformationally variable structure where $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ moves away from $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ and exposes a larger hydrophobic binding pocket, presumably to accommodate larger ligands. As the reduced protein forms a more compact conformation, as opposed to the more flexible oxidised structure, NMR spectra appear less line broadened with better resolved peaks. However, NMR data for b'xa'c was still challenging as, although the reduced and oxidised crystal structures of abb'xa' describe the change in conformation that occurs due to the redox switch in $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, it is not known how this conformational change affects the protein in solution. Even in the reduced state, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was still presented with line broadened ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra.
$\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ 'c mutants, I272A, L343A and 2DA, were generated to investigate if capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region occurred in the presence of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. Initial NMR spectra acquired at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 6.5 showed that, like WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, these mutants produced spectra that were line broadened due to conformational exchange. NMR spectra acquired at a range of temperatures provided sharper peaks at the higher temperature of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This is because temperature can affect NMR spectra in two ways. First, increasing temperature reduces viscosity and increases correlation time, which in turn narrows NMR lines. Second, temperature increases conformational rates of exchange
and moves NMR time regimes from intermediate line broadening into fast exchange. An increase in pH also proved to have a positive effect on the quality of the NMR spectra with the best spectra acquired at pH 7.0 and 8.0. This is not surprising as the pH of the endoplasmic reticulum is around 7.3 so at pH 7.0 , PDI fragments are closer to their physiological pH and therefore more likely to be at their most stable conformation.

Once it was established that addition of DTT and an increase in temperature and pH improved the quality of NMR spectra and decreased line broadening, it was possible to compare the effects of the different mutants on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$. The I272A mutant had a similar stabilising effect on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as it does in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. The quality of the NMR spectra improved and line broadening was reduced due to the I272A mutation in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. This finding supports the denaturation data discussed in chapter 3, which showed an increase in the conformational stability of both the $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ domain of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. As the conformational stability of both domains was affected by the I272A mutation in the core $\beta$ sheet of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain, it is likely that there is "cross-talk" between the domains in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, which means that $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ do not act independently but influence each other's conformational behaviour. The I272A mutant of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of PDI favours capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region and has been described as a $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ stabilising mutant(Nguyen et al., 2008), but in I272A b'xa'c there does not appear to be any conclusive evidence that capping occurs in this protein. However, the indole NH of W347 in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ does not shift to the same extent as the indole NH of W347 in b'x, but figure 5.17 suggests that W347 is in at least two different environments demonstrated by the presence of two peaks for the indole NH of W347. This implies that, even though $\mathbf{x}$ may not be fully capping the ligand binding site, it is possible that it could be undergoing conformational changes that bring it closer to the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain resulting in a more compact structure for I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ than that of the WT protein. This is supported by gel filtration data discussed in chapter 2, where the I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a ' c}$ has a smaller hydrodynamic volume than the WT protein.

The double mutation at the C-terminus of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, in the 2DA mutant, has also been found to be a capping mutant in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, but in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ it has a detrimental effect in the conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain leading to line broadened ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra. This highlights the differences in the b'x capping mutants and suggests that although both I272A and 2DA favour capping of the ligand binding site in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, they must do so by different mechanisms. It is also important to mention that 2DA (D346A/D348A)
is a double mutation at either side of W347 in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, in which two negatively charged aspartic acids are substituted with two hydrophobic alanine residues. Therefore hydrophobic interactions between the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region and the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ ligand binding site are increased due the presence of three hydrophobic residues A346, W347 and A348 in $\mathbf{x}$. W347 has been shown to interact with M307 in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and the two alanine residues either side of W347 could strengthen this interaction. It is possible that $\mathbf{x}$ could be trying to form these interactions in 2DA $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, but the presence of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain could obstruct these interactions. So, even though the indole of W347 in 2DA may present as two peaks in the line broadened NMR spectra, it is not necessarily indicative of capping.

The L343A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ appears very similar to its $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ homologue, in that it also produces line broadened NMR spectra and shows no evidence of capping of the ligand binding site by $\mathbf{x}$. The indole NH of W347 of L343A appears as a single peak in HSQC spectra shown in figure 5.17, unlike that of I272A or 2DA, suggesting that $\mathbf{x}$ must be free in solution.

So, even though W347 in I272A and 2DA b'xa'c appears in at least two conformational states, represented by two peaks in NMR spectra, it does not necessarily mean that capping of the ligand binding site occurs in these proteins. This is because capped $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ mutants produce high quality NMR spectra, whereas the 2DA $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutant does not. Therefore splitting of the W347 indole peak must not be due to capping. So, if splitting of W347 in 2DA is not necessarily indicative of capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region, there is no conclusive evidence to show that capping occurs in I272A b'xa'c. Splitting of the W347 indole NH simply means that this residue in $\mathbf{x}$ is in two or more different conformations.

The NMR data for WT b'xa'c and its mutants supports the denaturation studies discussed in chapter 3, as the conformational stability if these proteins is reflected in the quality of the NMR spectra that they produce. The I272A mutant showed increased conformational stability in fluorescence and gave better resolved peaks in ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra. L343A and 2DA especially appear to be destabilising mutants in b'xa'c. However, the most important finding is that, although all of these mutations are in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ fragment of the protein, their effect on the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain is noticeable, suggesting that the domains have an influence in each other's conformational stability and behaviour. Although capping of the ligand binding site in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ does not appear to be as certain as in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, evidence of at least two alternative conformations in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region is obvious.

### 5.4.2 Assignment of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and mapping assignments on WT b'xa'c

The percentage of the completed NMR backbone assignments for I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ was reported in section 5.3.3. Assignment of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{c}$ was even more challenging than the assignment of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as in addition to the sharp resonances from the unstructured $\mathbf{c}$ extension observed between 8.0 and 8.5 ppm and the challenging nature of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ is nearly twice the molecular mass of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ at 32.5 kDa and NMR data suggests that this protein is very conformationally active and prone to line broadening due to conformational exchange. For these reasons triple resonance experiments CBCA(CO)NH and CBCANH were of such poor quality that they could not be used for the assignment of this protein. Instead, the $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CA}$ and HNCA pair of triple resonance experiments were used which correlate the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with only the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ of the preceding amino acid and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ nuclei of the same and preceding amino acid, respectively. As no information could be obtained for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ nuclei, the assignments obtained from $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ were used to confirm the new I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ assignments. Similarly to $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, most of the residues in the unstructured $\mathbf{c}$ extension could not be assigned. A number of residues at the N -terminus of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain could not be assigned due to differences in chemical shifts and ambiguous triple resonance data. Residues of strand 2 could not be assigned because of chemical shifts brought about by the mutation. Interestingly, this strand forms part of the ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain and changes in chemical shifts or line broadening could also be brought about by changes in conformation due to possible interactions with the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region.

Figure 5.30 shows the amino acid sequence of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ with the residues that could not be assigned due to line broadening, peak shifts or missing triple resonance data, highlighted in red.


Figure 5.30 Amino acid sequence of I272A b'xa'c showing unassigned residues. Residues that could not be assigned from the triple resonance experiments are shown in red. The secondary structure elements from the bb'xa' crystal structure (3UEM.pdb) and those from the DANGLE prediction are shown in blue and green, respectively. Proline residues were unassignable as they do not contain a backbone NH.

Assignments of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ were essential for further NMR characterisation of the construct and although $100 \%$ assignments were not possible for this protein due to reasons already mentioned, $66 \%$ of amide ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ assignments were invaluable for backbone relaxation dynamics and ligand binding studies. Additionally, the majority of I272A assignments could be mapped on ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of WT b'xa'c. Residues that could not be mapped from the I272A mutant to the WT protein, such as L241, H239, L300, E305 and R435, have been previously shown to be involved in ligand binding or capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region and are therefore prone to conformational exchange and line broadening (Byrne et al., 2009).

### 5.4.3 Secondary Structure Prediction using DANGLE

DANGLE (Dihedral Angles from Global Likelihood Estimates) was able to predict the secondary structure of WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ cusing the sequence information, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and


#### Abstract

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ chemical shifts for both $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins and the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ chemical shifts from the triple resonance assignment of I272A b'xa'c. Overall, DANGLE was successful in its prediction of the secondary structure elements for both WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ with few deviations from the recently solved crystal structure of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ (3UEM.pdb), as shown in figures 5.16 and 5.17. The discrepancies that are seen are mainly in regions where there are missing assignments and therefore the there are no chemical shifts available for the software to use in the interrogation of chemical shifts from published structures.


### 5.4.4 Ligand binding

The $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain has been described as the ligand binding domain of hPDI for several years now and its ligand binding site has been mapped by chemical shift perturbations using NMR spectroscopy (Pirneskoski et al., 2004, Byrne et al., 2009). Interactions of the ligand binding site with ligands have been shown to be primarily hydrophobic in nature. The recent crystal structures of $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' and $\mathbf{a b b} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{a}$ show the hydrophobic binding site of PDI extending to the surfaces of the $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}$ ' domains on the inside cleft of the "U"-shaped PDI molecule (Wang et al., 2012b, Wang et al., 2012a). Binding of the peptide ligand $\Delta$ somatostatin to the WT b'xa'c fragment of PDI displayed chemical shift perturbations in both the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains, seen in figure 5.22 , suggesting that both domains contribute to ligand binding. Chemical shifts could be mapped to the core $\beta$-sheet of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain, consisting of the primary ligand binding site, as well as residues in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. The changes in chemical shifts were mainly distributed across the interface between the $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domains suggesting conformational changes in that part of the protein upon ligand binding. This is in agreement with published data showing a contribution from both domains in ligand binding (Wang et al., 2012a, Wang et al., 2012b).

The I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ also presented with chemical shift perturbations on ligand binding, but the majority of these shifts were in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain of the protein with few shifts on the surface of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. The chemical shift perturbations in the $\beta$ sheet of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ ligand binding site were greater in the I272A mutant than in the WT protein but the overall chemical shift perturbations were greater in WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ due to shifts in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. This suggests that ligand binding in the mutant protein has a greater effect on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ than the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, possibly because the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain could be partly occluded by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region and therefore it needs to undergo a greater conformational change in order to
expose the ligand binding site. Whereas, WT b'xa'c forms a more extended conformation, as it has a larger hydrodynamic volume on gel filtration, and more of its hydrophobic surfaces are exposed for ligand binding.

However, the magnitude of the chemical shifts in both the WT and I272A b'xa'c is very small compared to published data on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ (Byrne et al., 2009), casting doubt on the significance of these shifts. Also, the W347 indole NH has been shown to shift towards the uncapped state in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ as $\mathbf{x}$ is displaced from the ligand binding site with increasing concentrations of ligand. This is seen neither with the WT or I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, but the indole NH of W379 appears affected by the addition of ligand. There are two possible explanations for this. First, b'xa'c could be binding ligand but $\mathbf{x}$ is not capping the ligand binding site, therefore its environment does not change and there is no chemical shift difference in the W347 indole NH. Second, b'xa'c is not binding $\Delta$-somatostatin, therefore the indole NH of W347 does not shift as it does in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

### 5.4.5 ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR Relaxation Dynamics of WT and I272A $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$

Differences in the conformational stability of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and the I272A mutant have already been shown by intrinsic fluorescence in the presence of denaturant as described in chapter 3. In addition to this, the backbone dynamics of WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ as well as xa'c were discussed in chapter 4 and highlighted the effect of the I272A mutation on the $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ domain. Therefore it was expected that the dynamic properties of WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ would be different to those of its I272A mutant. Also, recently published crystallography data on $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{x a}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' have shown the protein to be very conformationally active, especially in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}$ ' region.

### 5.4.5.1 Relaxation data

The three relaxation parameters used to describe the molecular motions of WT and I272A b'xa'c were ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE. From the graphs in figure 5.25 there do not appear to be any major differences in the HetNOE values for both WT and I272A, suggesting similar internal motions. However, subtle differences were noticed when plotting deviating values onto the bb'xa' structure, shown in figure 5.31.


Figure 5.31 bb'xa' crystal structure (3UEM.pdb) showing regions of rapid motion highlighted by the hetNOE data. Residues in yellow show values -0.5 to -1.0 and those in green show values above -1.0 for $\mathbf{a}$ ) WT and b) I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

HetNOE data, which report on rapid internal motion of proteins, show that WT b'xa'chas a greater number of residues with lower hetNOE values than the I272A mutant. Similarly to the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ relaxation data discussed in chapter 4 where WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ appeared more flexible than I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ also displayed regions of greater flexibility than its I272A mutant. This suggests that the I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ has a more rigid backbone than the WT protein, possibly due to the fact that I272A has been described as a $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ stabilising mutant. The I272A mutation does not only affect the backbone flexibility of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain, but it also influences that of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. This supports the denaturation data discussed in chapter 3, where it was not only the conformational stability of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ that increased due to the I272A mutation but also that of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. This could also explain the fact that ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ were better resolved than those of the WT protein. The lowest hetNOE values were seen at the C-terminus of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and the acidic $\mathbf{c}$ extension, consistent with its lack of structure as in $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values were in agreement with hetNOE data as the shortest $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values are observed at the C-terminus of b'xa'c.
$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ values are sensitive to molecular motions in the nano to sub-nanosecond timescale. In addition to this, $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values are also sensitive to motions in the micro to millisecond timescale, conformational exchange and the overall size of the protein. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ values for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ had larger errors than those of the I272A mutant as well as those
seen for WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ in chapter 4, but this is possibly as a result of the poorer spectral quality and lower peak resolution due to the large size and line broadening of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

The estimated $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ value for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$, both WT and I272A, at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{Cis} 12.29 \mathrm{~ns}$, only 1.0 ns higher than the experimental value of 11.30 ns for WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and 1.30 ns higher than the experimental $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 11.00 ns for I272A $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{x a}$. However, as the former is only an estimate value, there are bound to be discrepancies from the experimental value. It is also likely that this shift is caused by inter-domain motions. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ versus $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ plots show the WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ to have similar $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values suggesting that they tumble in solution at a comparable rate and therefore display similar sizes in the nanosecond timescale.

### 5.4.5.2 Model-free analysis

In order to further characterise the backbone dynamics of WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$, model-free was carried out utilising all three relaxation parameters ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and hetNOE. The I272A mutant had a higher average $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ value than WT b'xa'c suggesting a more flexible backbone for the WT protein. I272A b'x also displayed a more rigid backbone than WT $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{x}$, suggesting that the I272A mutation creates a more compact and rigid $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain. The $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in the I272A mutant displayed a slightly more flexible backbone than $\mathbf{x}$ in the WT protein. This could be because the $\mathbf{x}$ linker of I272A needs to be more flexible in order to undergo the necessary conformational changes to form a more compact I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ structure. The $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain was more flexible than the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain in both WT and mutant $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, highlighting the fact that $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ is the more conformationally active domain in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$.

Model-free analysis highlighted areas of the WT and I272A b'xa'c proteins undergoing $\mathrm{R}_{\text {ex }}$ contributions. These regions are displayed on the structure of $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{x a}}{ }^{\prime}$ in figure 5.32.


Figure 5.32 The crystal structure of bb'xa' (3UEM.pdb) showing regions in conformational exchange. Residues in green show contribution from $R_{e x}$ from the modelfree analysis of a) WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ ) I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$.

Similar to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data shown in chapter 4, the I272A mutant of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ shows a higher degree of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ contributions than the WT protein. This could be because the I272A mutation favours a more compact conformation due to capping of the ligand binding site. But, capping could prove more challenging in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ than it is in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, due to the presence of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain. Also, residues of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \beta$-sheet in the I272A mutant are highlighted by model-free as having $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ contribution, which could be as a result of interactions with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain while forming the compact structural module favoured by the I272A mutation.

## CHAPTER 6

## General Summary

Previous research into human PDI has shown the C-terminal fragment, $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, to be the minimal unit exhibiting isomerase activity (Pirneskoski et al., 2001). Most importantly, recent crystal structures of $\mathbf{b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a b b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ have elucidated the critical role of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in the redox-dependent conformational changes that occur in PDI (Wang et al., 2012b, Wang et al., 2012a). The b'x fragment has also been shown to undergo significant conformational changes in which the $\mathbf{x}$ linker occludes, and consequently controls access of ligands to the hydrophobic ligand binding site on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain, an event called "capping" (Nguyen et al., 2008). Therefore understanding the conformational changes that occur in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ could shed light on the structure/function relationship of full length PDI.

The main aim of this thesis was to characterize the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ fragment, and consequently to determine if capping of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region occurred when $\mathbf{x}$ was tethered by both of its neighbouring domains $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$. Generation of the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ mutants $1272 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~L} 343 \mathrm{~A}$ and 2DA (D346A/D348A) by site-directed mutagenesis was essential to this study as they have been shown to favour capped (I272A and 2DA) or uncapped (L343A) conformations of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

Expression of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ in minimal media produced $\sim 45 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of protein, which consisted of a mixture of monomer and dimer species. Expression in rich media, such as LB, produced protein that was exclusively in the dimer form, possibly due to the high expression rate producing higher concentrations of protein. However, as sufficient quantities of monomeric protein could be purified away from the dimer form by gel filtration, protein expression was carried out in minimal medium. A number of other PDI fragments, such as $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ and WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ were also expressed to aid in the characterisation of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$. Several methods were used for the characterisation of the PDI constructs such as gel filtration, intrinsic fluorescence (including GdmCl denaturation) and NMR spectroscopy to follow conformational changes, ligand binding and backbone dynamics.

Initial biophysical characterisation by gel filtration showed that $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins presented with a hydrodynamic volume that was $\sim 1.5$ times larger than expected for globular proteins of similar sizes, thought to be due to the non-spherical conformation adopted by the PDI fragment. However, differences between the WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ protein and the mutants were immediately obvious (figure 2.13). The I272A mutant presented with a smaller hydrodynamic volume than all of the b'xa'c proteins, followed by WT, L343A and lastly 2DA. This variation in hydrodynamic volume must be attributed to differences in the conformation of the proteins. So, I272A b'xa'c presented with a more compact conformation than the WT protein, supporting previous $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data that shows this mutant to favour capping of the ligand binding site. L343A had a more "open" conformation, therefore supporting uncapping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region. However, the larger hydrodynamic volume of 2DA b'xa'c showed that this mutant must have a capping mechanism in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ that is different in detail than that exhibited by the I272A mutant. This data was supported by intrinsic fluorescence which showed the I272A mutant to be more blue-shifted than WT, L343A and 2DA b'xa'c. 2DA and L343A appeared redshifted in comparison with the WT protein, indicative of an uncapped conformation (figure 3.4).

Studies on the conformational stability of WT b'xa'c and the I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants in the presence of GdmCl revealed a biphasic transition, in which the first phase was attributed to the unfolding of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, and the second phase was due to the unfolding of $\mathbf{b}$ ' $\mathbf{x}$. This was confirmed by measuring the conformational stability of the
individual domains. The $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain had a much lower conformational stability than $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ as it appeared to be unfolding even at low denaturation concentrations. This made the analysis challenging, as calculations of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)} \mathrm{U}$ assumed that the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain was fully folded in the absence of denaturant. However, the a' domain started to unfold immediately on addition of GdmCl , consequently the denaturation curve lacked a plateau at the low denaturant concentrations. Therefore it is difficult to know if the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain was fully folded in the absence of denaturant. If indeed $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ was partly unfolded, the unfolding of this domain did not fit a 2 -state model and the fraction of the protein that was folded could not be accurately calculated. However, differences in stability between WT b'xa'c and I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants were obvious. The I272A mutation in the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain had a stabilising effect not only on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, but also the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, indicating that the domains have an effect on each other's conformational stability and that I272A has a similar stabilising role in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as it did in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. Both L343A and 2DA presented with lower conformational stabilities than WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{e}$, suggesting the loss of some stabilising interactions.

Addition of DTT caused a blue-shift in fluorescence and increased the conformational stability of all of the proteins, suggesting a conformational change in the folded state of the reduced proteins making them more resistant to unfolding in the presence of a denaturant. This is in agreement with recently published data, which shows that reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site forms a more compact structural module in $\mathbf{b b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and abb'xa' (Wang et al., 2012b, Wang et al., 2012a). This finding was supported by proteolysis data, which showed that addition of DTT reduced the flexibility of the proteins rendering them less susceptible to proteolytic cleavage, in agreement with previously published data (Wang et al., 2010). Proteolysis also showed that the 2DA mutant was more susceptible to proteolytic cleavage, therefore it had an increased flexibility compared to the other $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins, suggesting that this mutant has a more open conformation, unlike the capped conformer of 2DA in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$.

WT b'xa'c and its mutants appear to be conformationally active proteins displaying a high degree of NMR line broadening. NMR analysis also proved challenging due to the low conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain and sharp peaks due to the unstructured C terminal extension, c. Reduction of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ active site improved the quality of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra and lowered the degree of line broadening but the quality of the spectra was
too poor for further NMR investigations until an increase in temperature and pH reduced line broadening further and so improved spectral quality.

Both NMR and denaturation studies showed an improvement in NMR spectra and conformational stability of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain in the presence of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of the a'c fragment in isolation consisted of poorly resolved peaks, some of which were line broadened beyond detection. Addition of the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region in the $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ construct resulted not only in increased conformational stability but also in better resolved and less line broadened NMR spectra, suggesting that the $\mathbf{x}$ linker interacts with the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain to make it more conformationally stable. This was also evident in the hetNOE data of $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, which showed that $\mathbf{x}$ behaved as a structured part of the protein.

Characterisation of WT and I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ as well as $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ proved valuable in investigations of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. Backbone assignments of I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ could only be achieved using assignments from WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ in combination with triple resonance experiments. NMR data for $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$ supported the denaturation studies in confirming the effect of the mutants on $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$. As L343A and 2DA had lower conformational stabilities, especially in the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, they produced NMR spectra that could not be used for further investigations.

Backbone relaxation studies showed that I272A b'xa'c had a more rigid backbone than WT b'xa'c, but the mutant had a greater number of residues in conformational exchange than the WT protein. This was in agreement with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ data which showed the I272A mutant to have contribution from $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ for the majority of its residues. Therefore, the I272A mutation, which in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ favours capping of the ligand binding site, creates a protein that is more compact but conformationally active. $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a}$ had a more rigid backbone than the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ halves of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ showing that $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ is a flexible protein, in support of published proteolysis data (Wang et al., 2010).
$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ vs $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ plots showed the WT and I272A b'xa'c to have similar $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ values, suggesting that they tumble in solution at a comparable rate. However, gel filtration showed the I272A mutant to be 3 kDa lighter in molecular weight than WT b'xa'c, indicating a more compact conformation. This suggests that WT b'xa'c undergoes slow inter-domain motions on the second to minute timescale which can be detected by gel filtration, giving the WT protein a larger hydrodynamic volume than the I272A mutant. A
number of experiments could be used to further investigate this slow inter-domain motion such as multi-angle light scattering (MALS), hydrogen exchange (HX) and NMR CPMG experiments which look at molecular motions on a longer timescale than backbone relaxation dynamics (Arifin and Palmer, 2003, Wales and Engen, 2006, Mittermaier and Kay, 2009). In $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, I272A mutant experienced motions on a faster timescale than WT $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ which was detected by relaxation experiments and shown by its lower global correlation time, suggesting that I272A $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ formed a more compact conformation than the WT protein due to capping of the ligand binding site. This difference is size was not identified by gel filtration due to the longer detection timescale of this method. This variation in molecular motion seen in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, when compared to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$, is due to the presence of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain which is affecting the behaviour of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$. This is further evidence of the influence that PDI domains have on each other, suggesting that in order for PDI function, its domains must be able to "communicate" with each other.

So, a key question in this thesis was : Does capping occur in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ ?
The I272A mutant had a smaller hydrodynamic volume than WT b'xa'c and the other two mutants. It is also showed a blue-shift in fluorescence compared to the WT protein. Its conformational stability was higher than that of the WT and as a consequence ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra were less line broadened. The indole NH of W347 presented as two peaks in NMR spectra, representing different environments for the side chain of this tryptophan residue. This mutant also had a more rigid backbone than WT b'xa'c as shown by the relaxation data. All of this data supports a more compact and possibly capped conformation. However, ligand binding data was very similar to that of the WT protein. In both cases, the chemical shift perturbations measured were very small compared to published data of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ in the presence of varying concentrations of $\Delta$-somatostatin (Byrne et al., 2009). Most importantly, if $\Delta$-somatostatin was binding to I272A b'xa'c, and if this mutant was in favour of the capped conformation, there should have been an upfield shift in the downfield indole NH of W347 as a result of $\mathbf{x}$ being displaced from the ligand binding site by $\Delta$-somatostatin. This shift was not seen in ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra of I272A in the presence of peptide ligand. This could have been because $\mathbf{x}$ was not capping the ligand binding site in the first place and therefore its chemical environment would not change upon ligand binding, or $\mathbf{x}$ was capping the ligand binding site but $\Delta$-somatostatin was not binding and therefore $\mathbf{x}$ could not be displaced.

Also, 2DA in b' $\mathbf{x}$ is a stabilising mutant favouring capping of the ligand binding site by the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region. However, this mutation had the opposite effect in $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$ as it had a larger hydrodynamic volume than WT, I272A and even L343A. Its conformational stability, especially that of the $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ domain, was lower than that of the other $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ proteins and its high susceptibility to proteolytic cleavage showed it to be a very flexible protein. It also produced ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra with poorly resolved and line broadened peaks and showed a red-shift in fluorescence compared not only to the WT but also the L343A mutant. All of these features are not to be expected from a mutant that is supposed to favour capping of the ligand site. But, its W347 indole NH presented as two peaks, indicative of two conformations for W347 in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker. However, as splitting of the W347 indole peak is the only evidence to suggest that W347 in 2DA is in at least two different environments, it does not necessarily mean it is capping the ligand binding site. This suggests that even though the two peaks may be seen for the W347 indole, it does not mean that they represent the capped and uncapped conformations.

The L343A mutant does support an uncapped conformation as it appeared with a larger hydrodynamic volume on gel filtration than WT b'xa'c. It displayed a red-shift in fluorescence and its conformational stability was lower than that of the WT protein. NMR spectra of L343A were poorly resolved and very line broadened. Also, there was no evidence of W347 being in more than one state as there was no splitting of the indole peak.

In summary, data shows that the I272A mutant had a positive effect in the conformational stability of $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}$, whereas the 2DA and L343A mutations in the $\mathbf{x}$ linker region had a negative effect not only on the $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ domain but also $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$. Although, I272A has stabilised $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x a} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{c}}$ through a more compact conformation, there is no conclusive evidence to prove that $\mathbf{x}$ is capping the ligand binding site in this mutant.
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## Appendix 2.1 DNA sequencing of $b^{\prime} \mathbf{x a}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$ mutants

WT b'xa'c was used as the template for the generation of the I272A, L343A, 2DA and WT/W390F mutants. I272A/W390F, L343A/W390F and 2DA/W390F were generated using I272A, L343A and 2DA mutants, respectively, as templates. So, only the DNA and amino acid sequences of the W 390 F mutants are shown. The $\mathbf{b}$ 'xa'c sequence is highlighted in grey and the mutation is shown in green.

## WT/W390F

Frame 5' - 3'
nnnnnggttctctagatattttgtttactttaagaaggagatataatgcatcaccatcac X X V L - I F C L L $\quad$ E G D I M H H H H caccatatgccccttgtcatcgagttcaccgagcagacagccccgaagatttttggaggt H H M P L V I E F T E Q T A P gaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttgcccaagagtgtgtctgactatgacggcaaa
 ctgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagcttcaagggcaagatcctgttcatcttcatc
 gacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatcctcgagttctttggcctgaagaaggaagag
 tgcccggccgtgcgcctcatcaccctggaggaggagatgaccaagtacaagcccgaatcg C P A V R L I T L E E E M T K gaggagctgacggcagagaggatcacagagttctgccaccgcttcctggagggcaaaatc
 aagccccacctgatgagccaggagctgccggaggactgggacaagcagcctgtcaaggtg
 cttgttgggaagaactttgaagacgtggcttttgatgagaaaaaaaacgtctttgtggag L V G K N F E D V A F D ttctatgccccatggtgtggtcactgcaaacagttggctcccattttcgataaactggga $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { F } & Y & A & P & W & C & G & H & C & K & Q & L & A & P & I & F & D & K & L & G\end{array}$ gagacgtacaaggaccatgagaacatcgtcatcgccaagatggactcgactgccaacgag
 gtggaggccgtcaaagtgcacagcttccccacactcaagttctttcctgccagtgccgac
 aggacggtcatcgattacaacggggaacgcacgctggatggttttaagaaattcctggag $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{R} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{E}\end{array}$ agcggtggccaggatggggcaggggatgatgacgatctcgaggacctggaagaagcagag S G G Q D G A G D D D D L E D gagccagacatggaggaagacgatgatcagaaagctgtgaaagatgaactgtaataagga E P D M E E D D D Q K A V K D E L tccgaattcactagtgagctccgtcgacaagcttgcggccgcactcgagcaccaccacca $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}S & E & F & T & S & E & L & R & R & Q & A & C & G & R & T & R & A & P & P & P\end{array}$ ccaccactgagatccggctgctaacaaagcccgaaaggaagctgagttggctgctgccac $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}P & P & L & R & S & G & C & - & \text { Q } & \text { S } & \text { P } & \text { K } & G & S & - & V & G & C & C & H\end{array}$ cgctgaacaataactagcataaccccttggggcctctaaacgggtcttgaggggtttttt R - T I T S I T P W G L gctgaaaggaggaactatatccggattggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtagcggcgcatta $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { A } & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{L}\end{array}$ agcgcggcggnnnnggtggttacgcgcagcgtgaccgctacacttgccagcgccctagcg
 cccnnncctttnnntttnttcccttctttnnnnncacgttcgccggntttccccgtcaag
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}P & X & P & X & X & X & F & P & S & X & X & X & R & S & P & X & F & P & V & K\end{array}$ ctctaaatcggggnntncctttnnggnnccaattnnnnnnttanggnentnnnccccaaa
 ancttgnnnaggggnaggntnnnnnatgggncenncccctgaaaancggtttnncccctt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & L & X & R & X & R & X & X & X & G & X & X & P & - & K & X & V & X & P & L\end{array}$ tgnnntgganncccnnntcttnnaaagggnnncttnnncnnaatggnnnnnacnnnncen $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & G & X & X & X & L & X & K & X & X & X & X & X & M & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ nnnnngnnnnnt
$\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}$

## WT/W390F

Frame 3'-5'
nnnnnnnnnnntnnnggnnntttnnnnnngggnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnntncc $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ cnnnenggtnnnnnnnaannnnnncennntnnnnnnancnnnnnngnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}X & X & G & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ nnnnnnnnnggnccennnaannnnnnnnnnngnncannnccagcgtnnnnnnnnnncnnnnn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & P & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & Q & R & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ nnggnttcccnnnggnaccnnncaccnccttggnnnnnnntccggannnnnatggtnnnn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & S & X & X & X & X & X & X & P & W & X & X & X & R & X & X & M & X & X\end{array}$ ggnngtgattncnnnnttccagnenttnggaacacggaanccgaagcccattcatgtngt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & D & X & X & X & P & X & X & X & N & T & E & X & E & A & H & S & C & X\end{array}$ gttcagnnncagacgtttgcagcagcagtcgnttcacgttcgencggntatcggtgattc $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}V & Q & X & Q & T & F & A & A & A & V & X & S & R & S & X & X & Y & R & - & F\end{array}$ attttgctaaccagtaaggcaaccccgccagcctagccgggtcctcaacgacaggagcac $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}I & L & L & T & S & K & A & T & P & P & A & - & P & G & P & Q & Q & E & H\end{array}$ gatcatgcgcacccgtggccaggacccaacgctgcccgagatctcgatcccgcgaaatta $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { D } & \text { H } & \text { A } & \text { H } & \text { P } & \text { W } & \text { P } & \text { G } & \text { P } & \text { N } & \text { A } & \text { A } & \text { R } & \text { D } & \text { L } & \text { D } & \text { P } & \text { A } & \text { K } & \text { L }\end{array}$ atacgactcactatagggagaccacaacggtttccctctagaaataattttgtttaactt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}I & R & L & T & I & G & R & P & Q & R & F & P & S & R & N & N & F & V & - & L\end{array}$ taagaaggagatataatgcatcaccatcaccaccatatgccecttgtcatcgagttcacc
 gagcagacagccccgaagatttttggaggtgaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttg
 cccaagagtgtgtctgactatgacggcaaactgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagc
 ttcaagggcaagatcctgttcatcttcatcgacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatc F K G K I L F I F I D S D H T D N Q R I ctcgagttctttggcctgaagaaggaagagtgcccggccgtgcgcctcatcaccctggag L E F F G L K K E E C $\quad$ K $\quad$ A gaggagatgaccaagtacaagcccgaatcggaggagctgacggcagagaggatcacagag
 ttctgccaccgcttcctggagggcaaaatcaagccccacctgatgagccaggagctgccg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}F & C & H & R & F & L & E & G & K & I & K & P & H & L & M & S & Q & E & L & P\end{array}$ gaggactgggacaagcagcctgtcaaggtgcttgttgggaagaactttgaagacgtggct E D W D K Q P V K V L V G K N F E D V A tttgatgagaaaaaaaacgtctttgtggagttctatgccccatggtgtggtcactgcaaa
 cagttggctcccattttcgataaactgggagagacgtacaaggaccatgagaacatcgtc Q L A P I F D K L G E T Y K atcgccaagatggactcgactgccaacgaggtggaggccgtcaaagtgcacagcttcccc
 acactcaagttctttcctgccagtgccgacaggacggtcatcgattacaacggggaacgc
 acgctggatggttttaagaaattcctggagagcggtggccaggatggggcaggggatgat
 gacgatctcgaggacctggaagaagcagaggagccagacatggaggaagacgatgatcag D D L E D L E E A E E P D M E E D D D D
aaagctgtgaaagatgaactgtaataaggatccgaattcactagtgagctccgtcgacaa
 gcttgcggccgcactcgagcaccaccaccaccaccactgagatccggctgctaacaagcc
 nnnaagagnnnnnnnn
X $\quad \mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}$

## I272A/W390F <br> Frame 5' - 3'

nnnnnnngttctcnagatattttgtttactttaagaaggagatataatgcatcaccatcac
 caccatatgccccttgtcatcgagttcaccgagcagacagccccgaagatttttggaggt
 gaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttgcccaagagtgtgtctgactatgacggcaaa E I K T H I L L F L P K S V S D Y D G K ctgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagcttcaagggcaagatcctgttcgccttcatc L S N F K T A A E S F K G K I L F A F I gacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatcctcgagttctttggcctgaagaaggaagag
 tgcccggccgtgcgcctcatcaccctggaggaggagatgaccaagtacaagcccgaatcg
 gaggagctgacggcagagaggatcacagagttctgccaccgcttcctggagggcaaaatc
 aagccccacctgatgagccaggagctgccggaggactgggacaagcagcctgtcaaggtg
 cttgttgggaagaactttgaagacgtggcttttgatgagaaaaaaaacgtctttgtggag
 ttctatgccccatggtgtggtcactgcaaacagttggctcccattttcgataaactggga
 gagacgtacaaggaccatgagaacatcgtcatcgccaagatggactcgactgccaacgag
 gtggaggccgtcaaagtgcacagcttccccacactcaagttctttcctgccagtgccgac V E A V K V H S F P T L aggacggtcatcgattacaacggggaacgcacgctggatggttttaagaaattcctggag
 agcggtggccaggatggggcaggggatgatgacgatctcgaggacctggaagaagcagag
 gagccagacatggaggaagacgatgatcagaaagctgtgaaagatgaactgtaataagga E P D M E E D D D Q K A V K D E L - - G tccgaattcactagtgagctccgtcgacaagcttgcggccgcactcgagcaccaccacca
 ccaccactgagatccggctgctaacaaagcccgaaaggaagctganttggctgctgccac
 cgctgancaataactagcataaccccttggggcctctaaacgggtcttgaggggtttttt R - X I T S I T P W G L gctgaaaggaggaactatatccggattggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtannggcgcatta
 agcgcggcggnnnnggtggttacgcgcaccgtgaccgctacacttgccannnncctaacg
 congctcctttcnntttcttccettcctttnnnnncangttcnccggntttccongtcaa
 gnnnnaaatcggggnntnccttnanggttcnnattnannnnttannnnentnnnccicaa $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}X & X & N & R & X & X & P & X & X & F & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & P & Q\end{array}$ aannttgnnaaggnnnnggttnnnnnaagggncctncccctnnnaaacggtttnncccet
 tnnncntnganncnnnntttnnnannnnnnnnnnnnncnnnnnngnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
 nnnnngnnnnnt

## I272A/W390F

Frame 3' - 5'
nnggnnannnnnnnnnnnnntnnnnnnnnnnnggnccnnmnnnaannnnnttenggnnnna
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ nnnnccnnnnnnnnnnnnaaccnnnnnnanggnttcccnanggnnnnenngennnnncct $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & N & X & X & X & X & F & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & P\end{array}$ nngnnnncannnncggaannnaannnngncaggnnnntgatttconggnttccnnncttn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & E & X & X & X & X & R & X & X & I & S & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ ngnaacacggnaaccgnnnnccattcatgttnntgttcaggtnncagacgttttgcanca $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{X}\end{array}$ gcagtcgcttcacgttcgctcgcgtatcggtgattcattctgctaaccagtaaggcaacc
 ccgccagcctagccgggtcctcaacgacaggagcacgatcatgcgcacccgtggccagga
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}P & P & A & - & P & G & P & Q & R & E & H & D & H & A & H & P & W & P & G\end{array}$ cccaacgctgcccgagatctcgatcccgcgaaattaatacgactcactatagggagacca $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{P}\end{array}$ caacggtttccctctagaaataattttgtttaactttaagaaggagatataatgcatcac
 catcaccaccatatgccccttgtcatcgagttcaccgagcagacagcccogaagattttt
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}H & H & H & H & M & P & L & V & I & E & F & T & E & Q & T & A & P & K & I & F\end{array}$ ggaggtgaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttgcccaagagtgtgtctgactatgac G G E I K T H I L L F L ggcaaactgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagcttcaagggcaagatcctgttcgec
 ttcatcgacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatcctcgagttctttggcctgaagaag
 gaagagtgcccggccgtgcgcctcatcaccetggaggaggagatgaccaagtacaagccc E E C P A V R L I T L gaatcggaggagctgacggcagagaggatcacagagttctgccaccgcttcctggagggc $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{E} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{G}\end{array}$ aaaatcaagccccacctgatgagccaggagctgccggaggactgggacaagcagcctgtc $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}K & I & K & P & H & L & M & S & Q & E & L & P & E & D & W & D & K & Q & P & V\end{array}$ aaggtgcttgttgggaagaactttgaagacgtggcttttgatgagaaaaaaaacgtcttt K V L V G K N F E D V A F D gtggagttctatgccccatggtgtggtcactgcaaacagttggctcccattttcgataaa V E F Y A P W C G H C K Q L A P I F ctgggagagacgtacaaggaccatgagaacatcgtcatcgccaagatggactcgactgcc
 aacgaggtggaggccgtcaaagtgcacagcttccccacactcaagttctttcctgccagt
 gccgacaggacggtcatcgattacaacggggaacgcacgctggatggttttaagaaattc
 ctggagagcggtggccaggatggggcaggggatgatgacgatctcgaggacctggaagaa L E S G G Q D G A G D D D D L gcagaggagccagacatggaggaagacgatgatcagaaagctgtgaaagatgaactgtaa
 taaggatccgaattcactagtgagctccgtcgacaagcttgcggccgcactcgagcacca - $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{P}\end{array}$ ccaccaccaccactgagatccggctgtaacaagcengnaagancnnngn

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}
P & P & P & P & L & R & S & G & C & N & K & X & X & R & X & X & X
\end{array}
$$

## L343A/W390F

Frame 5' - 3'
nnnnnnnnnnnccggttctctagaatattttgtttactttaagaaggagatataatgcat
 caccatcaccaccatatgccccttgtcatcgagttcaccgagcagacagccccgaagatt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}H & H & H & H & H & M & P & L & V & I & E & F & T & E & Q & T & A & P & K & I\end{array}$ tttggaggtgaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttgcccaagagtgtgtctgactat

F G G E I K T H I L L F L P K S V S D Y gacggcaaactgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagcttcaagggcaagatcctgttc
 atcttcatcgacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatcctcgagttctttggcctgaag
 aaggaagagtgcccggccgtgcgcctcatcaccctggaggaggagatgaccaagtacaag $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\text { K } & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{K}\end{array}$ cccgaatcggaggagctgacggcagagaggatcacagagttctgccaccgcttcctggag $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}P & E & S & E & E & L & T & A & E & R & I & T & F & C & H & R & F & L\end{array}$ ggcaaaatcaagccccacctgatgagccaggaggcgccggaggactgggacaagcagcct
 gtcaaggtgcttgttgggaagaactttgaagacgtggcttttgatgagaaaaaaaacgtc
 tttgtggagttctatgccccatggtgtggtcactgcaaacagttggctcccattttdgat
 aaactgggagagacgtacaaggaccatgagaacatcgtcatcgccaagatggactcgact
 gccaacgaggtggaggccgtcaaagtgcacagcttccccacactcaagttctttcctgcc A $\quad$ N $\quad$ E $\quad$ V $\quad$ E $A$ agtgccgacaggacggtcatcgattacaacggggaacgcacgctggatggttttaagaaa $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}S & A & D & R & T & V & I & D & Y & N & G & E & R & T & L & D & G & F & K & K\end{array}$ ttcctggagagcggtggccaggatggggcaggggatgatgacgatctcgaggacctggaa F L E S G G Q $\quad$ D G A G D gaagcagaggagccagacatggaggaagacgatgatcagaaagctgtgaaagatgaactg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { E } & \text { A } & E & E & P & D & M & E & E & D & D & D & \text { Q } & K & A & V & K & D & E & L\end{array}$ taataaggatccgaattcactagtgagctccgtcgacaagcttgcggccgcactcgagca - $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll} & \text { G } & \text { S } & \text { E } & \text { F } & T & \text { S } & \text { E } & \text { L } & R & R & \text { Q } & A & C & G & R & T & R\end{array}$ ccaccaccaccaccactgagatccggctgctaacaaagcccgaaaggaagctgagttggc $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}P & P & P & P & P & L & R & S & G & C & - & Q & S & P & K & G & S & - & V & G\end{array}$ tgctgccaccgctgancaataactagcataaccccttggggcctctaaacgggtcttgga C C H R $\quad$ H X I T ggggttttttgctgaaanggaggaactatatcccggattggcgaatgggacgcgccetgg
 aaccgnnncattaanncncggenggnnntgnnggttaccnnnaacctggaccgctaacnn
 ttgecagenccenaancgncennnnccttnenntttnntccenncctttnnccgecnnnt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X}\end{array}$ tnngccggnnnttccccgtcannnnnntnaatccgggggnnncccttnnnnggttccnnn
 ttnnnnnntttnnnggnnccnnnnncncnnaaannnntnnnnnaanggnnganggttnnn $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & K & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & V & X\end{array}$ nnntnnnngn

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X}
\end{array}
$$

## L343A/W390F <br> Frame 3' - 5'

agcaaccennccagcctagccgggtcctnaacgacaggagcacgatcatgcgcacccgtggc
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{G}\end{array}$ caggacccaacgctgcccgagatctcgatcccnnggaaattaatacgactcactataggg $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Q } & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{G}\end{array}$ agaccacaacggtttccctctagaaataattttgtttaactttaagaaggagatataatg
 catcaccatcaccaccatatgccecttgtcatcgagttcaccgagcagacagccccgaag $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}H & H & H & H & H & H & M & P & L & V & I & E & F & T & E & Q & T & A & P & K\end{array}$
atttttggaggtgaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttgcccaagagtgtgtctgac
 tatgacggcaaactgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagcttcaagggcaagatcctg Y D G K L S N F K T A A ttcatcttcatcgacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatcctcgagttctttggcctg F I F I I D S D $\quad$ I aagaaggaagagtgcccggccgtgcgcctcatcaccctggaggaggagatgaccaagtac $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}K & K & E & E & C & P & A & V & R & L & I & T & L & E & E & E & M & T & K & Y\end{array}$ aagcccgaatcggaggagctgacggcagagaggatcacagagttctgccaccgcttcctg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { K } & \text { P } & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{L}\end{array}$ gagggcaaaatcaagccccacctgatgagccaggaggcgccggaggactgggacaagcag
 cctgtcaaggtgcttgttgggaagaactttgaagacgtggcttttgatgagaaaaaaaac $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\text { P } & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{N}\end{array}$ gtctttgtggagttctatgccccatggtgtggtcactgcaaacagttggctcccattttd V $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll} & F & V & F & Y & A & P & W & C & G & H & C & K & Q & L & A & P & I\end{array}$ gataaactgggagagacgtacaaggaccatgagaacatcgtcatcgccaagatggactcg
 actgccaacgaggtggaggccgtcaaagtgcacagcttccccacactcaagttctttcct
 gccagtgccgacaggacggtcatcgattacaacggggaacgcacgctggatggttttaag
 aattcctggagagcggtggccaggatggggcaggggatgatgacgatctcgaggacctg
 gaagaagcagaggagccagacatggaggaagacgatgatcagaaagctgtgaaagatgaa E E A E E P $\quad$ E ctgtaataaggatccgaattcactagtgagctccgtcgacaagcttgcggccgcactcga L gcaccaccaccaccaccactgagatccggctgtaacaagcccaaagancgannnnnnnnn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { A } & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X}\end{array}$ nnn
X

## 2DA/W390F

Frame 5' - 3'
nnnnccggttctcagatattttgtttactttaagaaggagatataatgcatcaccatcac
 caccatatgccccttgtcatcgagttcaccgagcagacagccccgaagatttttggaggt
 gaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttgcccaagagtgtgtctgactatgacggcaaa E I K T H I L L F L P K S V S D Y D G K ctgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagcttcaagggcaagatcctgttcatcttcatc L S N F K T A A E S F K G K I L F I F F I gacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatcctcgagttctttggcctgaagaaggaagag
 tgccoggccgtgcgcctcatcaccctggaggaggagatgaccaagtacaagcccgaatcg C P A V R L I T L E E E M T K Y K P E S gaggagctgacggcagagaggatcacagagttctgccaccgcttcctggagggcaaaatc E E L T A E R I I T $\quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{I}$ aagccccacctgatgagccaggagctgccggaggcctgggccaagcagcctgtcaaggtg K P H L M S Q E L P E A W A K Q P V K V cttgttgggaagaactttgaagacgtggcttttgatgagaaaaaaaacgtctttgtggag L V G K N F E D V A F ttctatgccccatggtgtggtcactgcaaacagttggctcccattttcgataaactggga F Y A P W C G H C K Q L A P I F D K L G gagacgtacaaggaccatgagaacatcgtcatcgccaagatggactcgactgccaacgag
 gtggaggccgtcaaagtgcacagcttccccacactcaagttctttcctgccagtgccgac



## 2DA/W390F

Frame 3' - 5'
nnnnnnnnntnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnannmnnnnnnnnnnnnngnntn
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ nnnnnmnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnntncnntnnnnngtnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnccnnnnnn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ nnnnnannnnnnnnantannnnnnngnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnccnnnnaaantnnnn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & K & X & X\end{array}$ nngggnnnnanncnnanggtttnnnnnnnnnnnnngtannngtcconnnggnnnnnnnnnn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & X & X & V & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & S & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}$ nnntccnnnnnanncnnntncggaantannntnggccaggnnnntgnnttcnggtttcca $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & S & X & X & X & X & X & G & X & X & X & G & Q & X & X & X & F & X & F & P\end{array}$ nncttnngaancacggnaaccnnnnncenttcnnnttnntgnncangtnncagacgtttn $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & X & X & T & X & T & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & R & R & X\end{array}$ nnancagcagtcgcttcacgttcgctcgcgtatcggtgattcattctgctaaccagtaag $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}X & X & A & V & A & S & R & S & L & A & Y & R & - & F & I & L & L & T & S & K\end{array}$ gcaaccccgccagcctagccgggtcctcaacgacaggagcacgatcatgcgcacccgtgg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { A } & T & P & P & A & - & P & \text { P } & \text { Q } & \text { R } & \text { E } & H & D & H & A & H & P & W\end{array}$ ccaggacccaacgctgcccgagatctcgatcccgcgaaattaatacgactcactataggg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { P } & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{G}\end{array}$ agaccacaacggtttccctctagaaataattttgtttaactttaagaaggagatataatg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}R & P & Q & R & F & P & S & R & N & N & F & V & L & & \text { L }\end{array}$ catcaccatcaccaccatatgccccttgtcatcgagttcaccgagcagacagccccgaag $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}H & H & H & H & H & H & M & P & L & V & I & E & F & T & E & Q & T & A & P & K\end{array}$ atttttggaggtgaaatcaagactcacatcctgctgttcttgcccaagagtgtgtctgac
 tatgacggcaaactgagcaacttcaaaacagcagccgagagcttcaagggcaagatcctg Y D G K L S N F K T A A ttcatcttcatcgacagcgaccacaccgacaaccagcgcatcctcgagttctttggcctg


## Appendix 4.1 Minimal shift map values of $x a^{\prime} c$ vs a'c

| Residue | Minimal shift | Resonances not present in amino acid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350GIn | 0.105302454 |  |
| 351Pro |  | Resonances could not be assigned |
| 352Val | 0.235969206 |  |
| 353Lys | 0.061952593 |  |
| 354Val | - |  |
| 355Leu | - |  |
| 356Val | 0.118135566 |  |
| 357Gly | 0.401743424 |  |
| 358Lys | 0.073344916 |  |
| 359Asn | 0.160227521 |  |
| 360Phe | 0.116001642 |  |
| 361Glu | 0.11221198 |  |
| 362Asp | 0.105900584 |  |
| 363Val | 0.124712531 |  |
| 364Ala | 0.03873699 |  |
| 365Phe | 0.150549281 |  |
| 366Asp | 0.502386317 |  |
| 367Glu | 0.153124887 |  |
| 368Lys | 0.02749247 |  |
| 369Lys | 0.064855802 |  |
| 370Asn | 0.163612192 |  |
| 371Val | - |  |
| 372Phe | 0.169631509 |  |
| 373Val | 0.033568439 |  |
| 374Glu | 0.115434244 |  |
| 375Phe | 0.012804765 |  |
| 376Tyr | - |  |
| 377Ala | 0.138174481 |  |
| 378Pro |  |  |
| 379Trp | 0.029013092 |  |
| 380Cys | 0.038212885 |  |
| 381Gly | - |  |
| 382His | 0.078461413 |  |
| 383Cys | 0.082831225 |  |
| 384Lys | 0.089913032 |  |
| 385GIn | 0.039129115 |  |
| 386Leu | 0.063939925 |  |
| 387Ala | 0.039949264 |  |
| 388Pro |  |  |
| 3891le | 0.034492744 |  |


| 390Trp | 0.057523396 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 391Asp | 0.066213438 |
| 392Lys | 0.173527887 |
| 393Leu | 0.043794901 |
| 394Gly | 0.141101565 |
| 395Glu | 0.057627043 |
| 396Thr | 0.018414661 |
| 397Tyr | 0.040517154 |
| 398Lys | 0.056462071 |
| 399Asp | - |
| 400His | 0.025243531 |
| 401Glu | 0.021952335 |
| 402Asn | 1.636305756 |
| 403Ile | 0.151952688 |
| 404Val | 0.104571984 |
| 405Ile | 0.016150901 |
| 406Ala | 0.138213238 |
| 407Lys | 0.138189405 |
| 408Met | - |
| 409Asp | 0.189154747 |
| 410Ser | 0.047484281 |
| 411Thr | 0.243929218 |
| 412Ala | 0.0753587 |
| 413Asn | 0.130391597 |
| 414Glu | 0.158118835 |
| 415Val | 0.127034391 |
| 416Glu | 0.110928204 |
| 417Ala | 0.121703328 |
| 418Val | 0.195725608 |
| 419Lys | 0.049809923 |
| 420Val | - |
| 421His | - |
| 422Ser | 0.124588649 |
| 423Phe | 0.03089 |
| 424Pro |  |
| 425Thr | - |
| 426Leu | 0.037853459 |
| 427Lys | 0.061119214 |
| 428Phe | 0.017949444 |
| 429Phe | 0.070312486 |
| 430Pro |  |
| 431Ala | 0.054389177 |
| 432Ser | 0.102344213 |
| 433Ala | 0.117432677 |


| 434Asp | 0.030725269 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 435Arg | 0.079300019 |
| 436Thr | 0.200877487 |
| 437 Val | 0.055221008 |
| 438IIe | 0.050048983 |
| 439Asp | 0.06162254 |
| 440Tyr | - |
| 441Asn | - |
| 442Gly | - |
| 443Glu | 0.018118877 |
| 444Arg | 0.016634211 |
| 445Thr | 0.037884681 |
| 446Leu | 0.007932276 |
| 447Asp | 0.016277653 |
| 448Gly | 0.013508472 |
| 449Phe | 0.007303671 |
| 450Lys | 0.02524802 |
| 451 Lys | 0.014029203 |
| 452Phe | 0.033262837 |
| 453Leu | 0.057904712 |
| 454Glu | 0.052961478 |
| 455Ser | 0.031965349 |
| 456Gly | 0.011366032 |
| 457Gly | 0.022912771 |
| 458GIn | 0.04346048 |
| 459Asp | - |
| 460Gly | 0.044650269 |
| 461Ala | 0.041260103 |
| 462Gly | 0.019633986 |
| 463Asp | 0.015563756 |
| 464Asp | - |
| 465Asp | - |
| 466Asp |  |
| 467Leu | - |
| 468Glu | - |
| 469Asp | - |
| 470Leu | - |
| 471Glu | - |
| 472Glu | - |
| 473Ala | 0.024385605 |
| 474Glu | 0.018510179 |
| 475Glu | - |
| 476Pro |  |
| 477Asp | - |


| 478Met | - |
| :--- | :---: |
| 479Glu |  |
| 480Glu | - |
| 481Asp | - |
| 482Asp | - |
| 483Asp | - |
| 484GIn | - |
| 485Lys | 0.048254078 |
| 486Ala | 0.007248743 |
| 487Val | 0.012852175 |
| 488Lys | 0.016167359 |
| 489Asp | 0.05224063 |
| 490Glu | 0.018961069 |
| 491 Leu | 0.016087497 |

Appendix 4.2 xa'c peak heights for temperature experiments at $\mathbf{p H} 6.5$

| Residue | Peak heights |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 332Gly | 2389870 | 1457270 | 491282 | 214040 |
| 333Lys | 2763400 | 2159460 | 822528 | 506369 |
| 334Ile | 8804990 | 4866580 | 3342750 | 2661760 |
| 335Lys | 3699670 | 4768140 | 3477910 | 2809800 |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 337His | - | - | - | - |
| 338Leu | 1192640 | 2217470 | 1918620 | 1639640 |
| 339Met | 793738 | 1647320 | 2150150 | 2136650 |
| 340Ser | 840182 | 1198950 | 1039850 | 893141 |
| 341GIn | 372932 | 810316 | 1254570 | 1452120 |
| 342Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 343Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 345Glu | 1284490 | 1992460 | 472376 | 740254 |
| 346Asp | 540235 | 1493170 | 2291150 | 2555880 |
| 347Trp | 655862 | 1598370 | 2234320 | 2784230 |
| 348Asp | 1035670 | 2073840 | 3100060 | 1263630 |
| 349Lys | 855592 | 1798580 | 2663160 | 3128050 |
| 350GIn | 1289810 | 2451250 | 3291690 | 3566750 |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 352Val | 763434 | 1898700 | 2825540 | 3237920 |
| 353Lys | 212301 | 602150 | 1039760 | 1417010 |
| 354Val | - | - | - | - |
| 355Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 356Val | 538689 | 1234390 | 1766180 | 2022220 |
| 357Gly | 276763 | 701388 | 1090520 | 1342870 |
| 358Lys | 485224 | 1128920 | 1813700 | 194179 |
| 359Asn | 851142 | 1581620 | 2120640 | 2383710 |
| 360Phe | 557990 | 1298080 | 1968230 | 2365300 |
| 361Glu | 834222 | 1684160 | 2405060 | 2779280 |
| 362Asp | 765604 | 1743510 | 2791990 | 3325390 |
| 363Val | 758052 | 1592290 | 2196040 | 2319170 |
| 364Ala | 151228 | 255063 | 654827 | 993612 |
| 365Phe | 55024.9 | 167951 | 446991 | 787305 |
| 366Asp | -9027.73 | 3346.653 | 117554 | 211863 |
| 367Glu | 361586 | 335838 | 837493 | 1182530 |
| 368Lys | 170838 | 319799 | 968805 | 1470220 |
| 369Lys | 210867 | 151651 | 427458 | 682860 |
| 370Asn | 151420 | 201862 | 73177.1 | 76049.8 |
| 371Val | - | - | - | - |


| 372Phe | 54194.6 | 765322 | 1184030 | 1288780 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 373Val | 417097 | 975943 | 1398060 | 1508190 |
| 374Glu | 272553 | 712597 | 1067740 | 1191130 |
| 375Phe | 378239 | 821385 | 1179850 | 1228480 |
| 376Tyr | - | - | - | - |
| 377Ala | 479666 | 886579 | 1251620 | 1384650 |
| 378Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 379Trp | 420522 | 953108 | 1338220 | 1596430 |
| 380Cys | 563173 | 1148390 | 1600730 | 1771700 |
| 381Gly | - | - | - | - |
| 382His | 312125 | 281837 | 118717 | 77134.4 |
| 383Cys | 223113 | 342345 | 282724 | 213575 |
| 384Lys | 815097 | 1416400 | 1906000 | 2181790 |
| 385Gln | 924714 | 1829970 | 2567580 | 2887390 |
| 386Leu | 665248 | 1319440 | 1979210 | 2300700 |
| 387Ala | 924476 | 1918380 | 2718380 | 3125150 |
| 388Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 389Ile | 598694 | 1201470 | 1888120 | 2167220 |
| 390Trp | 454451 | 1087950 | 1643920 | 1985610 |
| 391Asp | 1063820 | 2093370 | 2944920 | 3132210 |
| 392Lys | 917446 | 2100800 | 2862110 | 3380720 |
| 393Leu | 724825 | 1576900 | 2322700 | 2501270 |
| 394Gly | 581115 | 1290290 | 1930780 | 2171250 |
| 395Glu | 1183160 | 2738090 | 3374710 | 3745410 |
| 396Thr | 370833 | 1070610 | 1803200 | 567231 |
| 397Tyr | 663742 | 1520190 | 2035980 | 2297110 |
| 398Lys | 197873 | 890252 | 1969500 | 2617340 |
| 399Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 400His | 315245 | 1037350 | 1975930 | 2385820 |
| 401Glu | 731521 | 1627270 | 2099430 | 2032390 |
| 402Asn | 386724 | 831361 | 1156690 | 1189270 |
| 403Ile | 99568.2 | 253132 | 551938 | 701091 |
| 404Val | 375821 | 952395 | 1430040 | 1635390 |
| 405Ile | 359777 | 859811 | 1588320 | 1757390 |
| 406Ala | 504941 | 1069440 | 1575250 | 1669990 |
| 407Lys | 642784 | 1394590 | 1841970 | 1983150 |
| 408Met | - | - | - | - |
| 409Asp | 315107 | 702258 | 1137270 | 1369690 |
| 410Ser | 403955 | 822364 | 1241350 | 1507140 |
| 411Thr | 384889 | 825311 | 1287200 | 1454830 |
| 412Ala | 436556 | 932689 | 1394770 | 1617410 |
| 413Asn | 944955 | 1995150 | 2485290 | 2559810 |
| 653909 | 1497180 | 2141230 | 2422260 |  |
|  | 963190 | 1767210 | 2230300 | 2579710 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 4.2

| 416Glu | 737668 | 1718980 | 2391750 | 2510480 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 417Ala | 830395 | 1927790 | 2325370 | 2463210 |
| 418Val | 7832850 | 36065.5 | 411214 | 934168 |
| 419Lys | 452305 | 1543450 | 1380880 | 530562 |
| 420Val | - | - | - | - |
| 421His | - | - | - | - |
| 422Ser | 1435490 | 1679990 | 1480210 | 1191650 |
| 423Phe | 1108730 | 2490420 | 1423520 | 1524960 |
| 424Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 425Thr | - | - | - | - |
| 426Leu | 541868 | 1097250 | 1443970 | 1591730 |
| 427Lys | 647402 | 1334120 | 1799210 | 135670 |
| 428Phe | 453542 | 999612 | 1386550 | 1481350 |
| 429Phe | 249422 | 619620 | 1031250 | 1212320 |
| 430Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 431Ala | 549860 | 1167460 | 1899450 | 2344440 |
| 432Ser | 196176 | 450260 | 1087530 | 1610470 |
| 433Ala | 336365 | 409662 | 248542 | 164293 |
| 434Asp | 723182 | 1561510 | 2200260 | 2340360 |
| 435Arg | 168731 | 539624 | 946240 | 1120820 |
| 436Thr | 308371 | 827113 | 1657650 | 2219710 |
| 437Val | 645882 | 1521560 | 2299500 | 2574850 |
| 438Ile | 451938 | 864861 | 1385500 | 1565890 |
| 439Asp | 676877 | 1603180 | 2153760 | 2372710 |
| 440Tyr | - | - | - | - |
| 441Asn | - | - | - | - |
| 442Gly | - | - | - | - |
| 443Glu | 1354730 | 1222270 | 2134530 | 1110300 |
| 444Arg | 420572 | 558954 | 254823 | 168445 |
| 445Thr | 996437 | 1863150 | 2370840 | 2567700 |
| 446Leu | 869843 | 2440540 | 4152670 | 4600590 |
| 447Asp | 1167640 | 2175960 | 3117950 | 3320660 |
| 448Gly | 549654 | 1163470 | 1693260 | 1895840 |
| 449Phe | 1188110 | 2467910 | 3875080 | 3496830 |
| 450Lys | 897872 | 1674590 | 1901710 | 2269230 |
| 451Lys | 933558 | 2012530 | 2726000 | 3162860 |
| 452Phe | 562189 | 1206610 | 1740070 | 1840940 |
| 453Leu | 1227700 | 901840 | 1368990 | 1520380 |
| 454Glu | 536036 | 1048350 | 1413800 | 1558980 |
| 455Ser | 3050.234 | 30826.3 | 392834 | 858356 |
| 456Gly | 836720 | 1646650 | 2095790 | 2177280 |
| 457Gly | 571017 | 1134810 | 1410330 | 1508170 |
| 458Gln | 678888 | 1033630 | 1440020 | 1461790 |
| 459Asp | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 460Gly | 1078160 | 1598090 | 1885220 | 1812130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 461Ala | 1435870 | 2117520 | 2185610 | 2165640 |
| 462Gly | 2897780 | 4707840 | 4391460 | 3785080 |
| 463Asp | 5292410 | 8470820 | 7184710 | 6164750 |
| 464Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 465Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 466Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 467Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 468Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 469Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 470Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 471Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 472Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 473Ala | 7493820 | 10069900 | 8751560 | 7933700 |
| 474Glu | 225628 | 196776 | 112905 | 138118 |
| 475Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 477Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 478Met | - | - | - | - |
| 479GIu | - | - | - | - |
| 480Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 481Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 482Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 483Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 484GIn | - | - | - | - |
| 485Lys | 21366100 | 13529000 | 10091100 | 8901500 |
| 486Ala | 14539500 | 12540200 | 7025110 | 5312580 |
| 487Val | 15284100 | 15178600 | 10162400 | 8561390 |
| 488Lys | 1061660 | 1549370 | 1100190 | 1893830 |
| 489Asp | 7275020 | 13602500 | 8580010 | 6479070 |
| 490Glu | 5261920 | 12032400 | 9174250 | 8646500 |
| 491 Leu | 2044410 | 2135910 | 1797690 | 1683890 |

## Appendix 4.3 xa'c peak heights for $\mathbf{p H}$ experiments at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Residue | Peak heights |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pH 6.0 | pH 6.5 | pH 7.0 | pH 8.0 |
| 332Gly | 332255 | 214040 | 592829 | 591794 |
| 333Lys | 240112 | 506369 | 193202 | 223104 |
| 334Ile | 1331430 | 2661760 | 1187770 | 429715 |
| 335Lys | 1402200 | 2809800 | 1289970 | 289224 |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 337His | - | - | - | - |
| 338Leu | 739941 | 1639640 | 782923 | 848440 |
| 339Met | 1137500 | 2136650 | 1052220 | 1406650 |
| 340Ser | 431921 | 893141 | 432888 | 135172 |
| 341GIn | 704689 | 1452120 | 944236 | 1289860 |
| 342Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 343Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 345Glu | 658690 | 740254 | 365096 | 831735 |
| 346Asp | 1223790 | 2555880 | 1548980 | 1743510 |
| 347Trp | 1343240 | 2784230 | 1839240 | 2682550 |
| 348Asp | 1435860 | 1263630 | 2085950 | 1430780 |
| 349Lys | 1387030 | 3128050 | 1989380 | 3302130 |
| 350GIn | 1439500 | 3566750 | 2115890 | 3613910 |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 352Val | 1430710 | 3237920 | 1951900 | 3439300 |
| 353Lys | 692718 | 1417010 | 998375 | 1592700 |
| 354Val | - | - | - | - |
| 355Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 356Val | 932892 | 2022220 | 1298460 | 2220480 |
| 357Gly | 609316 | 1342870 | 851784 | 1548560 |
| 358Lys | 851318 | 194179 | 1242110 | 2164600 |
| 359Asn | 1173500 | 2383710 | 1498940 | 2516930 |
| 360Phe | 1070040 | 2365300 | 1531520 | 2610480 |
| 361Glu | 1385620 | 2779280 | 1801040 | 2722270 |
| 362Asp | 1490510 | 3325390 | 2151400 | 3492980 |
| 363Val | 1100360 | 2319170 | 1542270 | 2595850 |
| 364Ala | 1088940 | 993612 | 1030270 | 1034390 |
| 365Phe | 908224 | 787305 | 735547 | 716909 |
| 366Asp | 821455 | 211863 | 287844 | 1033290 |
| 367Glu | 1113740 | 1182530 | 1023200 | 1223170 |
| 368Lys | 1284130 | 1470220 | 1248450 | 1554920 |
| 369Lys | 1007840 | 682860 | 677634 | 676578 |
| 370Asn | 136002 | 76049.8 | 479020 | 149527 |
| 371Val | - | - | - | - |


| 372Phe | 705355 | 1288780 | 862574 | 1443330 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 373Val | 677883 | 1508190 | 990904 | 1802890 |
| 374Glu | 596086 | 1191130 | 803278 | 1480930 |
| 375Phe | 585981 | 1228480 | 768696 | 1464130 |
| 376Tyr | - | - | - | - |
| 377Ala | 643846 | 1384650 | 914348 | 1635180 |
| 378Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 379Trp | 403283 | 1596430 | 974044 | 1423290 |
| 380Cys | 829406 | 1771700 | 1185820 | 1920580 |
| 381Gly | - | - | - | - |
| 382His | 434.7871 | 77134.4 | 59645.6 | 351483 |
| 383Cys | -29224.5 | 213575 | 145035 | 315907 |
| 384Lys | 871322 | 2181790 | 1318850 | 2440910 |
| 385GIn | 1355650 | 2887390 | 1944790 | 3423750 |
| 386Leu | 1029390 | 2300700 | 1505330 | 2845020 |
| 387Ala | 1333200 | 3125150 | 1969630 | 3564710 |
| 388Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 389IIe | 948522 | 2167220 | 1383400 | 2532350 |
| 390Trp | 925350 | 1985610 | 1281250 | 2341070 |
| 391Asp | 1368010 | 3132210 | 2044120 | 3592430 |
| 392Lys | 1543170 | 3380720 | 2120080 | 3667460 |
| 393Leu | 1189340 | 2501270 | 1786640 | 3247510 |
| 394Gly | 979855 | 2171250 | 1366860 | 2515230 |
| 395Glu | 1678030 | 3745410 | 2346610 | 4173800 |
| 396Thr | 1016830 | 567231 | 1420890 | 2461580 |
| 397Tyr | 1069610 | 2297110 | 1415830 | 2547070 |
| 398Lys | 1250930 | 2617340 | 1693510 | 2711930 |
| 399Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 400His | 1185440 | 2385820 | 1531350 | 2394780 |
| 401Glu | 1148690 | 2032390 | 1340480 | 969681 |
| 402Asn | 546732 | 1189270 | 708576 | 835454 |
| 403IIe | 412269 | 701091 | 501392 | 796193 |
| 404Val | 814788 | 1635390 | 1089440 | 1854120 |
| 405Ile | 812280 | 1757390 | 1164620 | 2050980 |
| 406Ala | 768037 | 1669990 | 1095310 | 1930070 |
| 407Lys | 938108 | 1983150 | 1285600 | 2207460 |
| 408Met | - | - | - | - |
| 409Asp | 637316 | 1369690 | 877800 | 1526950 |
| 410Ser | 687151 | 1507140 | 972394 | 1788910 |
| 411Thr | 688582 | 1454830 | 984796 | 1697420 |
| 412Ala | 731482 | 1617410 | 1022630 | 1787160 |
| 413Asn | 1111870 | 2559810 | 1549850 | 2674070 |
| 414Glu | 946451 | 2422260 | 1453310 | 2187790 |
| 415 Val | 1004700 | 2579710 | 1595840 | 2721920 |


| 416Glu | 1242470 | 2510480 | 1475220 | 1141730 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 417Ala | 1290040 | 2463210 | 1358190 | 946363 |
| 418Val | 127654 | 934168 | 238798 | 961566 |
| 419Lys | 1032240 | 530562 | 1045180 | 1057900 |
| 420Val | - | - | - | - |
| 421 His | - | - | - | - |
| 422Ser | 713204 | 1191650 | 685571 | 470689 |
| 423Phe | 676836 | 1524960 | 974993 | 1714030 |
| 424Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 425Thr | - | - | - | - |
| 426Leu | 701903 | 1591730 | 1013230 | 1682000 |
| 427Lys | 785241 | 135670 | 1117270 | 2229610 |
| 428Phe | 663150 | 1481350 | 970355 | 1749120 |
| 429Phe | 611218 | 1212320 | 792363 | 1508500 |
| 430Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 431Ala | 1183370 | 2344440 | 1625590 | 2446630 |
| 432Ser | 1265600 | 1610470 | 1246710 | 2395890 |
| 433Ala | 344970 | 164293 | 94335.2 | -8520.38 |
| 434Asp | 1376440 | 2340360 | 1537020 | 1352830 |
| 435Arg | 758721 | 1120820 | 804778 | 367983 |
| 436Thr | 1355120 | 2219710 | 1570730 | 2540680 |
| 437Val | 978768 | 2574850 | 1507590 | 2772650 |
| 438IIe | 652022 | 1565890 | 948279 | 1933750 |
| 439Asp | 921011 | 2372710 | 1282850 | 2655980 |
| 440Tyr | - | - | - | - |
| 441Asn | - | - | - | - |
| 442Gly | - | - | - | - |
| 443Glu | 927838 | 1110300 | 951123 | 3118840 |
| 444Arg | 243593 | 168445 | 108250 | 60003.3 |
| 445Thr | 963056 | 2567700 | 1487300 | 2814920 |
| 446Leu | 2426320 | 4600590 | 2689740 | 1909150 |
| 447Asp | 1661990 | 3320660 | 2177310 | 2874650 |
| 448Gly | 924283 | 1895840 | 1280120 | 2098070 |
| 449Phe | 1530080 | 3496830 | 2088680 | 3411330 |
| 450Lys | 1118770 | 2269230 | 1169350 | 1926990 |
| 451 Lys | 1260870 | 3162860 | 1927060 | 3914410 |
| 452Phe | 3525120 | 1840940 | 3477630 | 2617620 |
| 453Leu | 2328320 | 1520380 | -173773 | 2219970 |
| 454Glu | 583507 | 1558980 | 833088 | 2797690 |
| 455Ser | 976021 | 858356 | 882595 | 164013 |
| 456Gly | 925149 | 2177280 | 1293930 | 1118370 |
| 457Gly | 678293 | 1508170 | 790507 | 1105320 |
| 458GIn | 7734.479 | 1461790 | 514864 | 1244000 |
| 459Asp | - | - | - | - |


| 460Gly | 741181 | 1812130 | 775998 | 11520.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 461Ala | 155483 | 2165640 | 1075190 | 7667220 |
| 462Gly | 1253800 | 3785080 | 1897280 | 875930 |
| 463Asp | 4104540 | 6164750 | 4375620 | 4866930 |
| 464Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 465Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 466Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 467Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 468Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 469Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 470Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 471Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 472Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 473Ala | 1257830 | 7933700 | 3536080 | 3785530 |
| 474Glu | 78914.2 | 138118 | 69568.8 | -6964.83 |
| 475Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 477Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 478Met | - | - | - | - |
| 479Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 480Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 481Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 482Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 483Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 484GIn | - | - | - | - |
| 485Lys | 4377380 | 8901500 | 4562380 | 2623490 |
| 486Ala | 3389990 | 5312580 | 2672030 | 508451 |
| 487 Val | 4830750 | 8561390 | 4368660 | 2608860 |
| 488Lys | 2356910 | 1893830 | 146046 | 717784 |
| 489Asp | -121444 | 6479070 | 4208240 | 2674650 |
| 490Glu | 2944060 | 8646500 | 3653030 | 3544270 |
| 491Leu | 6261280 | 1683890 | 8164890 | 1215910 |

Appendix 4.4 Resonance assignment for xa'c

| Residue | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 324Met | - | - | - | - |
| 325His | - | - | - | - |
| 326His | - | - | - | - |
| 327His | - | - | - | - |
| 328His | - | - | - | - |
| 329His | - | - | - | - |
| 330His | - | - | - | - |
| 331Met | - | - | 54.76 | 33.8 |
| 332Gly | 8.38 | 109.76 | 45.40 |  |
| 333Lys | 8.09 | 120.65 | 55.18 | 34.45 |
| 33411e | 8.10 | 122.12 | 59.38 | 39.39 |
| 335Lys | 8.38 | 127.18 | 53.35 | 33.9 |
| 336Pro |  |  | - | - |
| 337His | - | - | 54.99 | 32.15 |
| 338Leu | 7.97 | 123.87 | 53.38 | 42.78 |
| 339Met | 8.73 | 122.43 | 55.29 | 35.21 |
| 340Ser | 8.30 | 115.26 | 56.42 | 63.64 |
| 341GIn | 8.46 | 126.87 | 55.21 | 33.67 |
| 342Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 343Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 344Pro |  |  | 61.23 | 33.84 |
| 345Glu | 9.01 | 122.46 | 57.57 | 31.17 |
| 346Asp | 8.47 | 115.57 | 51.94 | 40.21 |
| 347Trp | 7.60 | 119.59 | 58.81 | 28.85 |
| 348Asp | 7.48 | 119.11 | 51.31 | 38.25 |
| 349Lys | 7.40 | 118.69 | 55.94 | 34.66 |
| 350GIn | 8.04 | 117.31 | 52.53 | 29.49 |
| 351Pro |  |  | 64.14 | 32.80 |
| 352Val | 7.19 | 112.25 | 59.69 | 32.95 |
| 353Lys | 9.33 | 128.76 | 53.99 | - |
| 354Val | - | - | - | - |
| 355Leu | - | - | 51.22 | 43.87 |
| 356Val | 8.54 | 111.96 | 57.08 | 35.7 |
| 357Gly | 8.92 | 109.88 | 47.92 |  |
| 358Lys | 8.14 | 114.8 | 57.58 | 34.67 |
| 359Asn | 7.45 | 115.71 | 51.40 | 40.30 |
| 360Phe | 7.73 | 120.65 | 61.50 | 40.76 |
| 361Glu | 9.00 | 117.10 | 59.25 | 31.02 |
| 362Asp | 7.73 | 117.49 | 55.55 | 41.24 |
| 363Val | 7.04 | 116.19 | 62.29 | 34.29 |
| 364Ala | 8.18 | 118.70 | 54.34 | 19.86 |
| 365Phe | 6.94 | 108.91 | 55.21 | - |


| 366Asp | 6.51 | 119.17 | 53.57 | 42.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 367Glu | 9.02 | 128.97 | 56.57 | 31.06 |
| 368Lys | 8.72 | 115.78 | 54.51 | 34.25 |
| 369Lys | 7.26 | 116.17 | 52.52 | 37.52 |
| 370Asn | 9.12 | 122.96 | - | - |
| 371Val | - | - | 59.88 | 34.38 |
| 372Phe | 9.79 | 133.51 | 51.6 | 41.64 |
| 373Val | 9.61 | 125.67 | 59.87 | 36.47 |
| 374Glu | 7.81 | 125.40 | 53.00 | 27.91 |
| 375Phe | 9.54 | 130.68 | 56.25 | 38.97 |
| 376Tyr | - | - | 54.05 | 43.49 |
| 377Ala | 7.17 | 120.02 | 47.35 | - |
| 378Pro |  |  | 62.71 | 33.38 |
| 379Trp | 5.95 | 109.99 | 53.05 | 31.02 |
| 380Cys | 6.59 | 126.20 | 58.59 | 31.84 |
| 381Gly | - | - | 47.40 |  |
| 382His | 9.54 | 127.59 | 57.97 | 32.34 |
| 383Cys | 9.80 | 128.34 | 62.45 | 30.38 |
| 384Lys | 8.63 | 123.75 | 58.85 | 33.75 |
| 385GIn | 7.56 | 117.45 | 57.13 | 30.6 |
| 386Leu | 7.45 | 117.64 | 54.5 | 42.95 |
| 387Ala | 7.42 | 121.69 | 56.06 | 18.6 |
| 388Pro |  |  | 63.73 | 32.68 |
| 389Ile | 7.31 | 119.52 | 62.35 | 38.88 |
| 390Trp | 8.57 | 124.41 | 58.76 | 31.39 |
| 391Asp | 8.35 | 116.20 | 56.21 | 40.69 |
| 392Lys | 7.56 | 121.73 | 57.18 | 33.30 |
| 393Leu | 8.63 | 126.89 | 57.04 | 41.57 |
| 394Gly | 8.25 | 105.71 | 47.33 |  |
| 395Glu | 8.14 | 121.16 | 58.53 | 31.26 |
| 396Thr | 8.01 | 115.96 | 64.62 | 66.59 |
| 397Tyr | 7.24 | 117.33 | 58.11 | 38.99 |
| 398Lys | 7.30 | 123.19 | - | - |
| 399Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 400His | 7.90 | 122.42 | 58.27 | 34.05 |
| 401Glu | 8.56 | 126.65 | 57.98 | 31.94 |
| 402Asn | 10.63 | 115.74 | 54.06 | 40.88 |
| 403Ile | 9.04 | 127.08 | 60.18 | 39.83 |
| 404Val | 8.80 | 129.84 | 59.92 | 37.02 |
| 405Ile | 9.43 | 129.28 | 56.67 | 36.52 |
| 406Ala | 9.27 | 128.10 | 49.99 | 26.36 |
| 407Lys | 9.12 | 119.27 | 53.83 | 37.98 |
| 408Met | - | - | 54.54 | 39.86 |
| 409Asp | 8.63 | 125.46 | 51.57 | 39.66 |


| 410Ser | 8.82 | 128.26 | 60.16 | 63.23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 411Thr | 8.83 | 110.82 | 60.77 | 66.72 |
| 412Ala | 6.66 | 122.75 | 50.10 | 24.10 |
| 413Asn | 7.07 | 114.71 | 51.83 | 45.37 |
| 414Glu | 8.79 | 123.06 | 54.43 | 34.8 |
| 415Val | 8.68 | 116.67 | 57.56 | 35.68 |
| 416Glu | 8.76 | 122.94 | 57.82 | 31.85 |
| 417Ala | 8.66 | 116.61 | 53.44 | 23.28 |
| 418Val | 6.78 | 112.4 | 58.85 | 36.18 |
| 419Lys | 8.33 | 125.10 | 51.58 | 32.24 |
| 420Val | - | - | - | - |
| 421His | - | - | 54.74 | 33.52 |
| 422Ser | 7.06 | 113.31 | 55.56 | 62.8 |
| 423Phe | 8.60 | 120.00 | 53.37 | 42.24 |
| 424Pro |  |  | - | - |
| 425Thr | - | - | 61.78 | - |
| 426Leu | 9.41 | 130.74 | 52.94 | 43.55 |
| 427Lys | 8.90 | 120.37 | 53.68 | 39.45 |
| 428Phe | 9.72 | 124.15 | 53.81 | 43.99 |
| 429Phe | 9.16 | 127.19 | 53.07 | - |
| 430Pro |  |  | 60.40 | 34.74 |
| 431Ala | 7.70 | 125.90 | 51.57 | 19.84 |
| 432Ser | 7.87 | 119.12 | 55.65 | 63.14 |
| 433Ala | 8.98 | 127.81 | 52.43 | 21.32 |
| 434Asp | 8.17 | 117.20 | 53.16 | 41.87 |
| 435Arg | 8.24 | 116.71 | 55.28 | 32.33 |
| 436Thr | 7.47 | 115.67 | 61.78 | 67.67 |
| 437 Val | 8.34 | 124.38 | 59.84 | 35.74 |
| 438Ile | 9.45 | 129.26 | 58.50 | 41.84 |
| 439Asp | 8.92 | 128.84 | 54.09 | 42.13 |
| 440 Tyr | - | - | - | - |
| 441Asn | - | - | - | - |
| 442Gly | - | - | 44.42 |  |
| 443Glu | 8.67 | 118.38 | 55.7 | 32.10 |
| 444Arg | 9.32 | 130.02 | 54.62 | 29.65 |
| 445Thr | 6.87 | 108.29 | 56.24 | 69.27 |
| 446Leu | 8.99 | 122.46 | 57.52 | 41.95 |
| 447Asp | 8.27 | 115.36 | 56.42 | 41.24 |
| 448Gly | 8.23 | 110.18 | 47.01 |  |
| 449Phe | 8.54 | 121.24 | 57.10 | 40.44 |
| 450Lys | 8.73 | 118.40 | 59.10 | 34.17 |
| 451 Lys | 8.04 | 118.45 | 58.20 | 33.74 |
| 452Phe | 7.88 | 120.32 | 59.11 | 40.79 |
| 453Leu | 8.48 | 121.35 | 56.66 | 42.19 |


| 454Glu | 8.88 | 118.28 | 30.58 | 57.09 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 455Ser | 7.41 | 111.49 | 56.96 | 62.78 |
| 456Gly | 7.86 | 111.39 | 46.13 |  |
| 457Gly | 7.87 | 106.63 | 44.98 |  |
| 458Gln | 7.06 | 116.94 | 54.95 | 31.86 |
| 459Asp | - | - | 53.45 | 42.03 |
| 460Gly | 8.44 | 110.16 | 45.43 |  |
| 461Ala | 8.06 | 122.49 | 52.02 | 22.60 |
| 462Gly | 8.38 | 107.74 | 45.07 |  |
| 463Asp | 8.27 | 120.61 | 53.44 | 41.81 |
| 464Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 465Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 466Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 467Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 468Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 469Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 470Leu | - | - | - | - |
| 471Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 472Glu | - | - | 55.21 | 32.18 |
| 473Ala | 8.34 | 126.02 | 51.69 | 22.51 |
| 474Glu | 7.98 | 125.64 | 56.85 | 32.85 |
| 475Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 476Pro |  |  | - | - |
| 477Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 478Met | - | - | - | - |
| 479Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 480Glu | - | - | - | - |
| 481Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 482Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 483Asp | - | - | - | - |
| 484Gln | - | - | 53.56 | 32.19 |
| 485Lys | 8.33 | 121.29 | 55.57 | 34.24 |
| 486Ala | 8.10 | 124.30 | 51.78 | 22.06 |
| 487Val | 8.02 | 119.65 | 60.74 | 34.06 |
| 488Lys | 8.32 | 125.30 | 55.15 | 34.48 |
| 489Asp | 8.28 | 121.94 | 53.74 | 41.71 |
| 490Glu | 8.24 | 121.26 | 55.26 | 32.17 |
| 491Leu | 7.88 | 129.00 | 55.69 | 43.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 4.5 Relaxation and Model-free data for $\mathrm{xa}^{\prime} \mathbf{c}$

| Residue | T1/s | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ error/s | T2/s | T error/s | hetNOE | $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ error | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2} \\ \text { error } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2} \\ \text { error } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\tau_{\text {e }}$ | $\tau_{\text {e }}$ error | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {ex }}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 332Gly | 0.753683 | 0.044878 | 0.143523 | 0.006187 | -0.45 | 0.361 | 0.021 |  |  |  |  | 1282.043 | 79.092 |  |  |
| 333Lys | 0.950634 | 0.144729 | 0.808153 | 0.138136 | -0.53564 | 0.081 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 1.649 | 0.359 |  |  |
| 334IIe | 0.785768 | 0.030916 | 0.444341 | 0.022743 | -4.03353 | 0.062 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  | 348.407 | 29.621 |  |  |
| 335Lys | 0.63522 | 0.045718 | 0.161621 | 0.017525 | -1.02159 | 0.299 | 0.043 |  |  |  |  | 959.316 | 30.044 |  |  |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338Leu | 0.70122 | 0.020457 | 0.142941 | 0.002041 | -0.64041 | 0.329 | 0.007 |  |  |  |  | 1098.693 | 35.588 |  |  |
| 339Met | 0.729539 | 0.037551 | 0.075175 | 0.002643 |  | 0.768 | 0.031 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 419.771 |  |  |
| 340Ser | 0.944354 | 0.023323 | 0.060728 | 0.000981 | -0.28861 | 0.957 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  | 13.775 | 0 |  |  |
| 341GIn | 1.051467 | 0.026886 | 0.056492 | 0.000931 | -0.18607 | 0.958 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 343Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 345Glu |  |  | 0.075694 | 0.000425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 346Asp | 0.920493 | 0.021816 | 0.062209 | 0.000933 | -0.16369 | 0.951 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 347Trp | 0.968783 | 0.02516 | 0.061478 | 0.001022 | -0.16144 | 0.943 | 0 | 0.998 | 0 | 0.945 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 348Asp | 0.946118 | 0.008386 | 0.056775 | 0.00055 | -0.14358 | 0.963 | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 349Lys | 0.938093 | 0.026195 | 0.067861 | 0.000562 | -0.13505 | 0.881 | 0.007 |  |  |  |  | 9.769 | 25.909 |  |  |
| 350GIn | 0.909855 | 0.012014 | 0.077046 | 0.00078 | -0.27719 | 0.789 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  | 116.739 | 14.013 |  |  |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 352Val | 1.04254 | 0.024885 | 0.054882 | 0.000564 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 353Lys | 0.937076 | 0.036079 | 0.061944 | 0.001234 | -0.10806 | 0.953 | 0.074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 354 Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 381Gly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 382His | 1.004112 | 0.041881 | 0.066689 | 0.003951 | -0.1067 | 0.868 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 383Cys | 0.968527 | 0.042193 | 0.069405 | 0.001873 | -0.0662 | 0.867 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384Lys | 0.890782 | 0.0204 | 0.071373 | 0.000787 | -0.13885 | 0.847 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  | 58.022 | 21.213 |  |  |
| 385GIn | 1.054544 | 0.152763 | 0.070474 | 0.000948 | -0.1232 | 0.846 | 0.011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 386Leu | 0.969819 | 0.018142 | 0.068394 | 0.001245 | -0.1328 | 0.879 | 0.011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 387Ala | 0.979146 | 0.02063 | 0.066393 | 0.00088 | -0.08836 | 0.892 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 388Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 389Ile | 0.941793 | 0.026899 | 0.068871 | 0.001018 | -0.11035 | 0.874 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 5.089 | 25.352 |  |  |
| 390Trp | 0.86632 | 0.019785 | 0.06998 | 0.001181 | -0.1408 | 0.88 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  | 72.98 | 29.272 |  |  |
| 391Asp | 0.950552 | 0.015262 | 0.069948 | 0.000789 | -0.15377 | 0.86 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  | 35.599 | 18.479 |  |  |
| 392Lys | 0.911584 | 0.017649 | 0.067751 | 0.000834 | -0.16239 | 0.889 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  | 46.432 | 26.361 |  |  |
| 393Leu | 0.914406 | 0.01838 | 0.06876 | 0.000668 | -0.12361 | 0.874 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  | 43.265 | 23.603 |  |  |
| 394Gly | 0.84931 | 0.011035 | 0.065624 | 0.000606 | -0.1309 | 0.909 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  | 579.446 | 124.696 |  |  |
| 395Glu | 0.907834 | 0.015214 | 0.06804 | 0.00158 | -0.19541 | 0.905 | 0.016 |  |  |  |  | 55.598 | 29.989 |  |  |
| 396Thr | 0.951507 | 0.035493 | 0.059122 | 0.000911 | -0.13582 | 0.99 | 0 | 0.995 | 0 | 0.995 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 397Tyr | 0.985479 | 0.018351 | 0.071394 | 0.000939 | -0.15431 | 0.842 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  | 17.285 | 16.794 |  |  |
| 398Lys | 0.998301 | 0.030794 | 0.049816 | 0.000828 | -0.18486 | 0.861 | 0 | 0.955 | 0 | 0.902 | 0 |  |  | 5.63 | 0.61 |
| 399Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 His | 0.965204 | 0.02124 | 0.022968 | 0.001297 | -0.15801 | 0.9 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 2.481 |
| 401Glu | 1.00776 | 0.026166 | 0.068148 | 0.000738 | -0.17627 | 0.872 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 402Asn | 0.969208 | 0.019556 | 0.059105 | 0.000941 | -0.17029 | 0.955 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 403Ile | 0.971097 | 0.04686 | 0.076857 | 0.002254 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 404Val | 0.95084 | 0.014551 | 0.064599 | 0.000963 | -0.1107 | 0.914 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 405Ile | 0.973023 | 0.019661 | 0.06908 | 0.00027 | -0.15687 | 0.863 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  | 11.417 | 19.241 |  |  |
| 406Ala | 0.979287 | 0.027954 | 0.061787 | 0.000529 | -0.14956 | 0.957 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 459Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 460Gly | 0.849438 | 0.083244 | 0.126281 | 0.011103 | -0.51657 | 0.548 | 0.038 |  |  |  |  | 22.401 | 4.698 |  |  |
| 461Ala | 0.773507 | 0.009352 | 0.44654 | 0.009239 | -1.05643 | 0.027 | 0.003 |  |  |  |  | 1023.214 | 4.311 |  |  |
| 462Gly | 0.66483 | 0.10173 | 0.191682 | 0.000629 | -0.81921 | 0.177 | 0.002 |  |  |  |  | 1243.718 | 24.303 |  |  |
| 463Asp | 0.761382 | 0.005611 | 0.254112 | 0.003712 | -0.91831 | 0.211 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  | 931.421 | 5.494 |  |  |
| 464Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 465Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 466Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 467Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 468Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 469Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 470Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 471Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 472Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 473Ala | 0.797248 | 0.006624 | 0.420339 | 0.006315 | -1.37794 | 0.06 | 0.002 |  |  |  |  | 539.965 | 6.64 |  |  |
| 474Glu | 1.09002 | 0.025704 | 0.404196 | 0.027564 | -2.085 | 0.148 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  | 208.63 | 9.612 |  |  |
| 475Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 477Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 478Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 479Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 480Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 481 Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 482Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 483Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 484GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 485Lys | 0.75777 | 0.026033 | 0.425447 | 0.008139 | -1.30319 | 0.019 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  | 843.97 | 14.646 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486Ala | 0.561065 | 0.07359 | 0.14637 | 0.029571 | -0.94419 | 0.302 | 0.088 |  |  |  |  | 1028.001 | 62.872 |  |  |
| 487Val | 0.603923 | 0.080918 | 0.176621 | 0.035989 | -1.33353 | 0.241 | 0.076 |  |  |  |  | 785.098 | 49.733 |  |  |
| 488Lys | 0.62504 | 0.090743 | 0.152714 | 0.031498 | -0.9341 | 0.32 | 0.086 |  |  |  |  | 1024.272 | 64.356 |  |  |
| 489Asp | 0.545033 | 0.053603 | 0.151476 | 0.034477 | -1.43959 | 0.225 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  | 742.442 | 56.774 |  |  |
| 490Glu | 1.210261 | 0.03701 | 0.896622 | 0.030155 | -2.05386 | 0.006 | 0.003 |  |  |  |  | 256.862 | 10.535 |  |  |

## Appendix 4.6 Relaxation and Model-free data for WT b'x

| Residue | $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ error/s | T2/s | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ error/s | hetNOE | $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{S}^{2} \\ \text { error } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2} \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2} \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | $\tau_{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{e}}$ error | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 213Lys | 0.767382 | 0.026255 | 0.2040184 | 0.005173 | -1.62876 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 941.729 | 47.172 |  |  |
| 214His | 0.80075 | 0.046944 | 0.1284862 | 0.003005 | -0.79088 | 0.443 | 0.029 | 0.808 | 0.03 | 0.549 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 215Asn |  |  |  |  | -0.62511 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 59.85 |  |  |
| 216GIn | 0.681718 | 0.032714 | 0.1739383 | 0.004602 | -0.66916 | 0.325 | 0.022 | 0.714 | 0.027 | 0.455 | 0.025 | 930.608 | 31.857 |  |  |
| 217Leu | 0.705516 | 0.012593 | 0.1349465 | 0.004461 | -0.63415 | 0.498 | 0.015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 218Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 243.36 |  |  |
| 219Leu | 0.751632 | 0.016201 | 0.0784011 | 0.002572 | -0.39428 | 0.795 | 0.031 | 0.966 | 0.026 | 0.823 | 0.023 | 17.701 | 27.362 | 14.244 | 2.66 |
| 220Val | 0.905614 | 0.013861 |  |  | -0.22132 | 0.881 | 0.021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 221Ile | 0.790221 | 0.02477 | 0.0701609 | 0.004026 | -0.18809 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 231.517 | 0 | 0.008 | 0 |
| 222Glu | 0.816174 | 0.018304 | 0.0584411 | 0.00341 | -0.27386 | 0.979 | 0.022 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.762 | 1.824 |
| 223Phe | 0.845002 | 0.032797 | 0.040428 | 0.002805 | -0.16633 | 0.954 | 0.039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224Thr |  |  |  |  | -0.18803 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 | 1.989 | 4.388 | 1.967 |
| 225Glu | 0.682886 | 0.049963 |  |  | -0.2161 | 0.862 | 0.076 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.006 | 0 |
| 226GIn | 0.773418 | 0.024568 | 0.0605947 | 0.002636 | -0.24375 | 1 | 0.076 |  |  |  |  | 62.642 | 86.837 | 1.789 | 0.626 |
| 227Thr | 0.830241 | 0.018221 | 0.0592804 | 0.001637 | -0.22316 | 0.96 | 0.028 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 427.922 |  |  |
| 228Ala | 0.771435 | 0.01954 | 0.0763882 | 0.003036 | -0.31225 | 0.831 | 0.038 | 0.96 | 0.032 | 0.865 | 0.028 |  |  |  |  |
| 229Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1221.264 | 474.49 | 3.277 | 0.868 |
| 230Lys | 0.763799 | 0.010218 | 0.0555313 | 0.002292 | -0.26662 | 0.926 | 0.031 |  |  |  |  | 874.009 | 799.043 |  |  |
| 23111 e | 0.796942 | 0.039427 | 0.0671716 | 0.002006 | -0.25833 | 0.942 | 0.042 | 0.989 | 0.03 | 0.953 | 0.031 | 728.819 | 655.33 | 4.746 | 1.803 |
| 232Phe | 0.790843 | 0.035144 |  |  | -0.287 | 0.946 | 0.045 |  |  |  |  | 1732.186 | 469.233 | 5.511 | 1.429 |
| 233Gly | 0.677243 | 0.019289 |  |  | -0.31176 | 0.814 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 397.368 |  |  |
| 234Gly | 0.711935 | 0.034901 |  |  | -0.37787 | 0.829 | 0.058 |  |  |  |  | 6.508 | 62.457 |  |  |
| 235Glu | 0.865595 | 0.025631 | 0.0606231 | 0.004831 | -0.20236 | 0.942 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  | 25.063 | 40.966 |  |  |
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| 236Ile | 0.872527 | 0.033618 | 0.0694608 | 0.000668 | -0.22078 | 0.917 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  | 148.025 | 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 237Lys | 0.899179 | 0.059498 | 0.065079 | 0.001108 | -0.28347 | 0.97 | 0.015 |  |  |  |  | 0.538 | 45.543 |  |  |
| 238Thr | 0.887517 | 0.019335 | 0.0630806 | 0.002888 | -0.2231 | 0.924 | 0.023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 239His | 0.887789 | 0.021886 | 0.0664738 | 0.001849 | -0.09822 | 0.929 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240Ile |  |  | 0.0638512 | 0.001501 | -0.21502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 241 Leu | 0.777286 | 0.005739 | 0.0595743 | 0.001103 | -0.12604 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.827 | 49.346 |  |  |
| 242Leu | 0.861594 | 0.026124 |  |  | -0.20149 | 0.929 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 243Phe | 0.839215 | 0.044564 | 0.0638029 | 0.001678 | -0.09955 | 0.991 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 31.832 | 37.619 |  |  |
| 244Leu | 0.866366 | 0.011851 | 0.0715494 | 0.003375 | -0.21226 | 0.916 | 0.019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 245Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.894 | 53.907 |  |  |
| 246Lys | 0.830892 | 0.018596 | 0.068442 | 0.000941 | -0.23236 | 0.935 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 53.907 | 0.007 | 0 |
| 247Ser | 0.788144 | 0.023451 | 0.0595856 | 0.001503 | -0.25892 | 1 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 920.065 | 281.795 |  |  |
| 248 Val | 0.796726 | 0.023575 | 0.0745263 | 0.003027 | -0.36903 | 0.839 | 0.036 | 0.952 | 0.029 | 0.881 | 0.027 | 48.394 | 20.743 |  |  |
| 249Ser | 0.859234 | 0.040785 | 0.0814582 | 0.004218 | -0.31897 | 0.837 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250Asp | 0.902821 | 0.041831 | 0.0632934 | 0.002903 | -0.19405 | 0.944 | 0.035 |  |  |  |  | 0.667 | 1.959 |  |  |
| 251Tyr |  |  |  |  | -0.20076 | 0.323 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  | 5.37 | 34.25 | 4.381 | 1.725 |
| 252Asp | 0.898282 | 0.013703 | 0.0542646 | 0.004988 | -0.18693 | 0.895 | 0.022 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 0.961 | 1.511 | 0.947 |
| 253Gly | 0.779014 | 0.021809 | 0.0599141 | 0.002705 | -0.19835 | 0.962 | 0.045 |  |  |  |  | 889.69 | 360.234 |  |  |
| 254Lys | 0.799187 | 0.028653 | 0.072279 | 0.002673 | -0.23675 | 0.915 | 0.028 |  |  |  |  | 751.601 | 407.184 |  |  |
| 255Leu | 0.795891 | 0.012279 | 0.0669484 | 0.00255 | -0.23363 | 0.961 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  | 614.39 | 230.336 |  |  |
| 256Ser | 0.801072 | 0.009882 | 0.0692843 | 0.001775 | -0.1669 | 0.948 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 257Asn | 0.83652 | 0.018883 | 0.0624339 | 0.001027 | -0.20392 | 1 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 0 |  |  |
| 258Phe | 0.797366 | 0.014999 | 0.0649749 | 0.001761 | -0.04662 | 0.992 | 0.017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 259Lys | 0.879883 | 0.036643 | 0.0636154 | 0.000952 | -0.20951 | 0.99 | 0 | 0.995 | 0 | 0.995 | 0 | 50.391 | 39.259 |  |  |
| 260Thr | 0.830413 | 0.020002 | 0.0709677 | 0.001117 | -0.1618 | 0.911 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  | 569.4 | 110.377 |  |  |
| 261Ala | 0.801195 | 0.010693 | 0.0708756 | 0.000301 | -0.19678 | 0.889 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  | 17.126 | 63.059 |  |  |
| 262Ala | 0.853352 | 0.023877 | 0.0670166 | 0.001768 | -0.20338 | 0.946 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 263Glu | 0.792204 | 0.009541 | 0.0689485 | 0.001672 | -0.24063 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47.386 | 11.278 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264Ser | 0.847026 | 0.025039 | 0.0874072 | 0.001017 | -0.29089 | 0.74 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  | 71.827 | 25.125 |  |  |
| 265Phe | 0.842703 | 0.017631 | 0.074719 | 0.001008 | -0.21579 | 0.866 | 0.011 |  |  |  |  | 89.99 | 51.11 |  |  |
| 266Lys | 0.833016 | 0.01597 | 0.0694865 | 0.00182 | -0.2553 | 0.932 | 0.018 |  |  |  |  | 36.157 | 25.031 |  |  |
| 267Gly | 0.8217 | 0.044725 | 0.0760786 | 0.002433 | -0.24789 | 0.861 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  | 493.482 | 0 |  |  |
| 268Lys | 0.758095 | 0.041518 | 0.0669077 | 0.00093 | -0.35863 | 0.943 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 9.515 | 42.939 |  |  |
| 2691le | 0.87598 | 0.019862 | 0.0682948 | 0.001132 | -0.21724 | 0.927 | 0.014 |  |  |  |  | 30.453 | 82.042 | 2.214 | 0.836 |
| 270Leu | 0.838456 | 0.031977 | 0.0580886 | 0.001868 | -0.20206 | 0.956 | 0.041 |  |  |  |  | 181.02 | 378.058 | 6.383 | 4.064 |
| 271Phe |  |  |  |  | -0.37022 | 0.928 | 0.068 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 1.395 | 1.694 | 1.395 |
| 272Ile |  |  |  |  | -0.21722 | 0.973 | 0.061 |  |  |  |  | 100.668 | 233.228 | 2.097 | 0.457 |
| 273Phe | 0.813649 | 0.012748 | 0.0569767 | 0.000885 | -0.20264 | 0.984 | 0.025 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.26 | 0.934 |
| 2741le | 0.813349 | 0.020379 | 0.056129 | 0.002439 | -0.14535 | 0.991 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  | 679.023 | 196.43 |  |  |
| 275Asp | 0.801655 | 0.015857 | 0.0744004 | 0.00244 | -0.21099 | 0.91 | 0.023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 276Ser | 0.839581 | 0.01401 | 0.0642568 | 0.001954 | -0.14898 | 0.967 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  | 53.566 | 54.061 |  |  |
| 277Asp | 0.819507 | 0.025939 | 0.0692068 | 0.00133 | -0.19875 | 0.931 | 0.016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 278His | 0.785815 | 0.031536 | 0.0669469 | 0.001633 | -0.20322 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.932 | 25.489 |  |  |
| 279Thr | 0.884152 | 0.059517 |  |  | -0.21156 | 0.865 | 0.055 |  |  |  |  | 24.747 | 27.637 |  |  |
| 280Asp | 0.801342 | 0.036727 | 0.0765123 | 0.002347 | -0.17067 | 0.87 | 0.023 |  |  |  |  | 654.454 | 450.548 |  |  |
| 281 Asn | 0.809115 | 0.021298 | 0.0670945 | 0.00103 | -0.21129 | 0.952 | 0.015 |  |  |  |  | 258.684 | 98.463 | 3.851 | 0.253 |
| 282GIn | 0.832885 | 0.028609 | 0.0595939 | 0.000686 | -0.75427 | 0.811 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  | 72.755 | 16.46 |  |  |
| 283Arg |  |  | 0.0777446 | 0.001178 | -0.47487 | 0.813 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 87.509 |  |  |
| 284Ile | 0.757186 | 0.043581 |  |  | -0.63814 | 0.39 | 0.031 | 0.699 | 0.04 | 0.557 | 0.031 | 1000 | 0.732 | 2.167 | 0.548 |
| 285Leu | 0.783479 | 0.021513 | 0.0570052 | 0.001466 | -0.16142 | 0.976 | 0.043 |  |  |  |  | 49.577 | 35.276 |  |  |
| 286Glu | 0.854726 | 0.016765 | 0.0725134 | 0.002382 | -0.21807 | 0.91 | 0.019 |  |  |  |  | 262.581 | 0 |  |  |
| 287Phe | 0.692511 | 0.014881 | 0.0643344 | 0.000819 | -0.51683 | 1 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  | 105.876 | 13.658 |  |  |
| 288Phe | 0.936278 | 0.024247 |  |  | -0.7311 | 0.744 | 0.019 |  |  |  |  | 150.105 | 66.545 |  |  |
| 289Gly | 0.817045 | 0.01237 | 0.072622 | 0.002353 | -0.2329 | 0.934 | 0.019 |  |  |  |  | 115.906 | 27.252 |  |  |
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| 290Leu | 0.870409 | 0.019117 | 0.0723895 | 0.001327 | -0.4619 | 0.871 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  | 39.487 | 20.889 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 291Lys | 0.905961 | 0.014126 | 0.0709166 | 0.002179 | -0.31144 | 0.873 | 0.016 |  |  |  |  | 86.851 | 31.447 |  |  |
| 292Lys | 0.840074 | 0.014601 | 0.0735741 | 0.001527 | -0.23311 | 0.895 | 0.015 |  |  |  |  | 655.431 | 202.472 |  |  |
| 293Glu | 0.803626 | 0.015846 | 0.0691673 | 0.00043 | -0.21489 | 0.914 | 0.007 |  |  |  |  | 204.378 | 56.968 |  |  |
| 294Glu | 0.826245 | 0.015457 | 0.0787241 | 0.003829 | -0.49817 | 0.877 | 0.016 |  |  |  |  | 77.441 | 25.735 |  |  |
| 295Cys | 0.834198 | 0.018968 | 0.0749577 | 0.001083 | -0.24696 | 0.865 | 0.011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 296Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 636.864 | 0 |  |  |
| 297Ala | 0.851842 | 0.020152 | 0.0640264 | 0.001845 | -0.16927 | 0.956 | 0.018 | 0.956 | 0.018 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 298Val | 0.881193 | 0.032418 | 0.0620972 | 0.001518 | -0.22707 | 0.987 | 0 | 0.993 | 0 | 0.993 | 0 | 59.546 | 0 |  |  |
| 299Arg | 0.886328 | 0.041316 | 0.0605468 | 0.002174 | -0.24468 | 0.988 | 0.028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300Leu | 0.858874 | 0.019069 | 0.064134 | 0.002819 | -0.14047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.062 | 0 |
| 301 Ile | 0.807311 | 0.028373 | 0.0563884 | 0.001095 | -0.15659 | 0.999 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.337 | 2.095 |
| 302Thr | 0.874013 | 0.044181 |  |  | -0.15941 | 0.923 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  | 43.401 | 43.487 |  |  |
| 303Leu | 0.826295 | 0.025981 | 0.0720524 | 0.002227 | -0.18838 | 0.917 | 0.022 |  |  |  |  | 981.629 | 204.767 |  |  |
| 304Glu | 0.794344 | 0.014861 | 0.0790477 | 0.002883 | -0.41024 | 0.785 | 0.03 | 0.931 | 0.024 | 0.843 | 0.023 | 990.84 | 43.988 |  |  |
| 305Glu | 0.679413 | 0.012428 | 0.109873 | 0.00185 | -0.49228 | 0.535 | 0.011 |  |  |  |  | 770.615 | 39.363 |  |  |
| 306Glu | 0.779569 | 0.014475 | 0.0969692 | 0.000865 | -0.53088 | 0.623 | 0.007 |  |  |  |  | 855.013 | 270.408 |  |  |
| 307Met | 0.764397 | 0.036171 |  |  | -0.40249 | 0.866 | 0.045 |  |  |  |  | 203.299 | 0 |  |  |
| 308Thr | 0.840015 | 0.027194 | 0.0658684 | 0.001964 | -0.39935 | 0.933 | 0.016 |  |  |  |  | 49.09 | 118.98 |  |  |
| 309Lys | 0.822399 | 0.026418 | 0.0664325 | 0.00307 | -0.19807 | 0.969 | 0.028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 310Tyr | 0.806931 | 0.014459 | 0.0459519 | 0.002657 | -0.20875 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.896 | 77.782 |  |  |
| 311Lys |  |  | 0.0671471 | 0.001506 | -0.21972 | 0.948 | 0.021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 312Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117.717 | 47.458 |  |  |
| 313Glu | 0.830616 | 0.016363 | 0.071332 | 0.002052 | -0.30679 | 0.917 | 0.018 |  |  |  |  | 649.291 | 73.896 |  |  |
| 314Ser | 0.780458 | 0.022489 |  |  | -0.75632 | 0.72 | 0.029 |  |  |  |  | 57.937 | 30.216 |  |  |
| 315Glu | 0.834848 | 0.035732 | 0.0752342 | 0.002842 | -0.28734 | 0.879 | 0.026 |  |  |  |  | 719.742 | 147.877 |  |  |
| 316Glu | 0.813039 | 0.023814 | 0.0815931 | 0.004201 | -0.54229 | 0.76 | 0.038 | 0.931 | 0.029 | 0.816 | 0.031 | 99.008 | 21.845 |  |  |
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| 317Leu | 0.837161 | 0.016515 | 0.078086 | 0.001313 | -0.32433 | 0.842 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 82.518 | 20.486 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 318Thr | 0.891214 | 0.026808 | 0.0751333 | 0.001464 | -0.43131 | 0.845 | 0.014 |  |  |  |  | 47.165 | 31.391 |  |  |
| 319Ala | 0.787663 | 0.04045 | 0.0726758 | 0.001169 | -0.24315 | 0.884 | 0.014 |  |  |  |  | 29.439 | 53.927 |  |  |
| 320Glu | 0.814981 | 0.034342 | 0.0689577 | 0.001201 | -0.17807 | 0.931 | 0.015 |  |  |  |  | 54.777 | 54.27 |  |  |
| 321Arg | 0.826188 | 0.026512 | 0.0684169 | 0.000636 | -0.21339 | 0.932 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 0 | 0.257 | 0 |
| 322Ile | 0.776729 | 0.022683 | 0.0644019 | 0.000982 | -0.16832 | 0.968 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 323Thr | 0.787046 | 0.022781 | 0.0663657 | 0.001076 | -0.10246 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.537 | 59.222 |  |  |
| 324Glu | 0.824761 | 0.0285 | 0.069443 | 0.002061 | -0.16749 | 0.938 | 0.022 |  |  |  |  | 30.893 | 124.466 |  |  |
| 325Phe | 0.813284 | 0.022837 | 0.0664096 | 0.001584 | -0.16093 | 0.971 | 0.019 |  |  |  |  | 974.827 | 241.415 |  |  |
| 326Cys | 0.776049 | 0.016784 | 0.0699864 | 0.00073 | -0.19278 | 0.901 | 0.011 |  |  |  |  | 74.377 | 99.288 |  |  |
| 327His | 0.815509 | 0.024512 | 0.066506 | 0.000835 | -0.2069 | 0.96 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  | 90.161 | 105.481 |  |  |
| 328Arg | 0.810412 | 0.022793 | 0.0669565 | 0.001328 | -0.20616 | 0.96 | 0.017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 329Phe | 0.763928 | 0.031182 | 0.0698515 | 0.003063 | -0.20483 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 243.694 |  |  |
| 330Leu |  |  |  |  | -0.43336 | 0.8 | 0.045 |  |  |  |  | 86.08 | 27.207 |  |  |
| 331Glu | 0.842084 | 0.014134 | 0.0728917 | 0.000658 | -0.19665 | 0.879 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 0 | 1.455 | 0 |
| 332Gly | 0.760581 | 0.030171 | 0.0601229 | 0.001427 | -0.1802 | 0.962 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 333Lys | 0.858599 | 0.014854 | 0.0663632 | 0.001023 | -0.20647 | 0.951 | 0.014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 334IIe | 0.933836 | 0.032948 | 0.0613793 | 0.002314 | -0.21709 | 0.929 | 0.033 |  |  |  |  | 50.208 | 87.598 |  |  |
| 335Lys | 0.829839 | 0.022908 | 0.0666774 | 0.002179 | -0.20917 | 0.959 | 0.023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337His |  |  |  |  |  | 0.944 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 168.776 | 57.835 | 5.984 | 1.168 |
| 338Leu | 0.833762 | 0.015158 | 0.0492051 | 0.002725 | -0.41413 | 0.909 | 0.021 |  |  |  |  | 959.165 | 15.525 |  |  |
| 339Met | 0.757813 | 0.023567 | 0.1296892 | 0.002081 | -0.88332 | 0.427 | 0.009 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 18.229 |  |  |
| 340Ser | 0.681554 | 0.018101 | 0.1746966 | 0.002069 | -0.85821 | 0.295 | 0.011 | 0.795 | 0.016 | 0.371 | 0.012 | 22.975 | 38.99 |  |  |
| 341GIn |  |  |  |  | -0.51412 | 0.551 | 0.413 |  |  |  |  | 938.8 | 72.168 |  |  |
| 342Glu |  |  | 0.0952045 | 0.003828 | -0.72339 | 0.611 | 0.034 |  |  |  |  | 48.467 | 2.56 |  |  |
| 343Leu | 0.845666 | 0.031124 | 0.1127241 | 0.001254 | -0.76142 | 0.569 | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Appendix 4.7 Relaxation and Model-free data for I272A b'x

| Residue | T1/s | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ error/s | T2/s | T error/s | hetNOE | $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S}^{2} \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 213Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 214His | 0.676495 | 0.032672 |  |  | -0.82388 | 0.784 | 0.035 |  |  |
| 215Asn | 0.648511 | 0.018454 | 0.081036 | 0.001121 | -0.42163 |  |  |  |  |
| 216GIn | 0.625995 | 0.008145 | 0.191222 | 0.007402 | -0.72485 | 0.79 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 217Leu | 0.68298 | 0.005944 | 0.235127 | 0.018713 | -0.80773 | 0.862 | 0.008 |  |  |
| 218Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 219Leu | 0.7364 | 0.014208 | 0.092877 | 0.001491 | -0.62763 | 0.877 | 0.011 |  |  |
| 220Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 221Ile | 0.680727 | 0.014109 | 0.078976 | 0.001205 | -0.34059 | 0.999 | 0.012 |  |  |
| 222Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 223Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224Thr | 0.620896 | 0.030737 | 0.065507 | 0.002605 | -0.0803 | 0.989 | 0.049 | 3.606 | 0.838 |
| 225Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 226GIn | 0.643789 | 0.020972 | 0.07386 | 0.001897 | -0.18767 |  |  |  |  |
| 227Thr | 0.648749 | 0.024049 | 0.064777 | 0.00263 | -0.21777 | 0.947 | 0.035 | 4.278 | 0.751 |
| 228Ala | 0.618513 | 0.025126 | 0.07176 | 0.00052 | -0.2303 | 0.993 | 0.04 | 2.231 | 0.486 |
| 229Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230Lys |  |  | 0.066421 | 0.000277 | -0.27908 | 0.839 | 0.211 | 5.162 | 2.491 |
| 231Ile | 0.62823 | 0.021469 | 0.06684 | 0.000515 | -0.20222 | 0.978 | 0.033 | 3.437 | 0.41 |
| 232Phe | 0.609949 | 0.0235 | 0.057419 | 0.002315 | -0.20076 |  |  | 5.628 | 0 |
| 233Gly | 0.605533 | 0.012353 | 0.076497 | 0.001223 | -0.29784 |  |  | 1.284 | 0 |
| 234Gly | 0.625757 | 0.030182 | 0.074114 | 0.004454 | -0.31484 | 0.981 | 0.047 | 1.923 | 0.984 |
| 235Glu | 0.699509 | 0.024704 | 0.061133 | 0.00095 | -0.30157 | 0.878 | 0.031 | 6.008 | 0.445 |
| 236Ile | 0.736997 | 0.012107 | 0.091746 | 0.003408 | -0.59696 | 0.846 | 0.013 |  |  |
| 237Lys | 0.626977 | 0.024682 | 0.062259 | 0.002581 | -0.25246 | 0.98 | 0.039 | 4.515 | 0.806 |
| 238Thr | 0.675015 | 0.025684 | 0.06739 | 0.000582 | -0.45961 | 0.91 | 0.035 | 4.114 | 0.428 |
| 239His | 0.644414 | 0.026161 | 0.049548 | 0.000789 | -0.17913 | 0.953 | 0.039 | 8.948 | 0.558 |
| 240IIe | 0.566023 | 0.029846 | 0.063697 | 0.002779 | -0.19669 |  |  | 3.911 | 0 |
| 241Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 242Leu | 0.645787 | 0.041522 | 0.06934 | 0.001754 | -0.19112 | 0.951 | 0.061 | 3.211 | 0.808 |
| 243Phe | 0.637702 | 0.022577 | 0.068272 | 0.00207 | -0.1893 |  |  |  |  |
| 244Leu | 0.652721 | 0.018509 | 0.065393 | 0.000843 | -0.18813 | 0.941 | 0.027 | 4.201 | 0.371 |
| 245Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 246Lys | 0.649995 | 0.024071 | 0.06313 | 0.00102 | -0.23493 | 0.945 | 0.035 | 4.702 | 0.485 |
| 247Ser | 0.620204 | 0.027759 | 0.062712 | 0.002962 | -0.23289 | 0.99 | 0.044 | 4.273 | 0.917 |
| 248Val | 0.637372 | 0.027594 | 0.061613 | 0.001354 | -0.23148 | 0.964 | 0.042 | 4.872 | 0.608 |
| 249Ser | 0.696705 | 0.026797 | 0.066059 | 0.002154 | -0.24903 | 0.881 | 0.034 | 4.747 | 0.635 |
| 250Asp | 0.58949 | 0.033191 | 0.065286 | 0.000917 | -0.22304 |  |  | 3.529 | 0 |
| 251Tyr | 0.625154 | 0.051528 |  |  | -0.23306 | 0.957 | 0.074 |  |  |
| 252Asp | 0.683193 | 0.034769 | 0.058984 | 0.000332 | -0.22027 | 0.899 | 0.046 | 6.357 | 0.548 |
| 253Gly | 0.589129 | 0.019698 | 0.071356 | 0.000529 | -0.17361 |  |  | 2.226 | 0 |


| 254Lys | 0.612987 | 0.019578 | 0.068268 | 0.001419 | -0.20573 |  |  | 2.86 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 255Leu | 0.607057 | 0.022146 | 0.067997 | 0.001254 | -0.18901 |  |  | 2.918 | 0 |
| 256Ser | 0.652974 | 0.019867 | 0.069841 | 0.000992 | -0.21472 | 0.941 | 0.029 | 3.231 | 0.394 |
| 257Asn | 0.644198 | 0.018613 | 0.094878 | 0.004456 | -0.30993 | 0.936 | 0.023 |  |  |
| 258Phe | 0.612583 | 0.017911 | 0.068677 | 0.00044 | -0.19148 |  |  | 2.773 | 0 |
| 259Lys | 0.629764 | 0.020033 | 0.070917 | 0.001018 | -0.19883 | 0.975 | 0.031 | 2.605 | 0.418 |
| 260Thr | 0.654973 | 0.022528 | 0.071236 | 0.001098 | -0.19794 | 0.938 | 0.032 | 2.985 | 0.437 |
| 261Ala | 0.609328 | 0.019662 | 0.070681 | 0.000719 | -0.2108 |  |  | 2.36 | 0 |
| 262Ala | 0.637368 | 0.016211 | 0.07015 | 0.0009 | -0.16317 | 0.964 | 0.025 | 2.897 | 0.342 |
| 263Glu | 0.63856 | 0.018261 | 0.071633 | 0.001077 | -0.6954 | 0.962 | 0.028 | 2.623 | 0.386 |
| 264Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 265Phe | 0.658125 | 0.020318 | 0.074061 | 0.000882 | -0.22594 | 0.933 | 0.029 | 2.502 | 0.376 |
| 266Lys | 0.660391 | 0.016752 | 0.071137 | 0.001421 | -0.26369 | 0.93 | 0.024 | 3.095 | 0.395 |
| 267Gly | 0.630038 | 0.03442 | 0.072903 | 0.002108 | -0.23198 | 0.975 | 0.053 | 2.226 | 0.743 |
| 268Lys | 0.62186 | 0.02785 | 0.066604 | 0.000607 | -0.21935 | 0.988 | 0.044 | 3.372 | 0.539 |
| 2691le | 0.677219 | 0.030858 | 0.074523 | 0.005233 | -0.23013 | 0.907 | 0.041 | 2.728 | 1.061 |
| 270Leu | 0.568715 | 0.021984 | 0.053072 | 0.002334 | -0.16726 |  |  | 7.054 | 0 |
| 271Phe | 0.577897 | 0.015699 | 0.047231 | 0.000949 | -0.18948 |  |  |  |  |
| 272IIe | 0.633335 | 0.025562 | 0.072535 | 0.001538 | -0.23272 | 0.97 | 0.039 | 2.355 | 0.546 |
| 273Phe |  |  | 0.081412 | 0.002439 | -0.71029 |  |  |  |  |
| 274IIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275Asp | 0.699608 | 0.011442 | 0.087369 | 0.001466 | -0.41104 | 0.919 | 0.011 |  |  |
| 276Ser | 0.626862 | 0.022658 | 0.066871 | 0.001354 | -0.18991 | 0.98 | 0.035 | 3.405 | 0.516 |
| 277Asp | 0.641721 | 0.026782 | 0.066595 | 0.000729 | -0.25566 | 0.957 | 0.04 | 3.735 | 0.499 |
| 278His | 0.640197 | 0.022599 | 0.068406 | 0.00103 | -0.19322 |  |  |  |  |
| 279Thr | 0.62982 | 0.024894 | 0.071805 | 0.002254 | -0.17447 | 0.975 | 0.039 | 2.432 | 0.631 |
| 280Asp | 0.60521 | 0.028226 | 0.074695 | 0.002202 | -0.22113 |  |  | 1.6 | 0 |
| 281Asn | 0.625621 | 0.018617 | 0.067247 | 0.001009 | -0.22364 |  |  |  |  |
| 282GIn | 0.649126 | 0.024268 | 0.062265 | 0.001361 | -0.19966 | 0.946 | 0.035 | 4.907 | 0.545 |
| 283Arg | 0.661118 | 0.019647 | 0.071488 | 0.000603 | -0.26605 | 0.929 | 0.028 | 3.038 | 0.346 |
| 284IIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 285Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 286Glu | 0.659911 | 0.017393 | 0.069676 | 0.000636 | -0.21638 |  |  |  |  |
| 287Phe | 0.645474 | 0.013544 | 0.075552 | 0.001262 | -0.4233 | 0.951 | 0.02 | 2.02 | 0.323 |
| 288Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 289Gly | 0.630418 | 0.016836 | 0.070378 | 0.002063 | -0.23825 | 0.974 | 0.026 | 2.725 | 0.517 |
| 290Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 291Lys | 0.674723 | 0.025176 | 0.078365 | 0.001185 | -0.28892 |  |  |  |  |
| 292Lys | 0.635717 | 0.01988 | 0.06515 | 0.001131 | -0.1883 | 0.966 | 0.03 | 3.961 | 0.445 |
| 293Glu | 0.617936 | 0.015509 | 0.070821 | 0.000577 | -0.19116 | 0.994 | 0.025 | 2.404 | 0.316 |
| 294Glu | 0.655096 | 0.015351 | 0.065906 | 0.000829 | -0.3589 | 0.937 | 0.022 | 4.122 | 0.322 |
| 295Cys | 0.688697 | 0.017785 | 0.075588 | 0.000603 | -0.23891 |  |  |  |  |
| 296Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297Ala | 0.656393 | 0.017587 | 0.067636 | 0.001538 | -0.23093 | 0.936 | 0.025 | 3.756 | 0.448 |

Appendix 4.7

| 298Val | 0.709347 | 0.020877 | 0.069159 | 0.001426 | -0.2417 | 0.866 | 0.025 | 4.254 | 0.423 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 299Arg | 0.673766 | 0.025463 | 0.065601 | 0.000619 | -0.19294 | 0.912 | 0.034 | 4.499 | 0.431 |
| 300Leu | 0.65481 | 0.022875 | 0.069618 | 0.001396 | -0.18862 | 0.938 | 0.033 | 3.308 | 0.482 |
| 301IIe |  |  |  |  | -0.20176 |  |  |  |  |
| 302Thr | 0.610033 | 0.021129 | 0.038279 | 0.001376 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 303Leu | 0.639115 | 0.011852 | 0.056436 | 0.001403 | -0.33905 |  |  |  |  |
| 304Glu | 0.631199 | 0.01679 | 0.108458 | 0.004148 | -0.53429 | 0.891 | 0.02 |  |  |
| 305Glu | 0.594609 | 0.015228 | 0.116932 | 0.002516 | -0.52718 | 0.805 | 0.013 |  |  |
| 306Glu | 0.707805 | 0.012987 | 0.1084 | 0.00125 | -0.55077 | 0.803 | 0.008 |  |  |
| 307Met | 0.607333 | 0.017685 | 0.075941 | 0.000787 | -0.36926 |  |  | 1.38 | 0 |
| 308Thr | 0.632757 | 0.020056 | 0.055896 | 0.000703 | -0.24152 |  |  |  |  |
| 309Lys | 0.671753 | 0.021587 | 0.073799 | 0.002716 | -0.11152 | 0.914 | 0.029 | 2.773 | 0.607 |
| 310Tyr | 0.561622 | 0.030965 | 0.069165 | 0.001034 | -0.18508 |  |  | 2.67 | 0 |
| 311Lys | 0.638319 | 0.029306 | 0.070145 | 0.000936 | -0.24057 | 0.962 | 0.044 | 2.915 | 0.554 |
| 312Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 313Glu | 0.671805 | 0.027508 | 0.074543 | 0.001302 | -0.31861 | 0.914 | 0.037 | 2.639 | 0.5 |
| 314Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 315Glu | 0.64618 | 0.028574 | 0.069421 | 0.001357 | -0.20653 | 0.95 | 0.042 | 3.201 | 0.57 |
| 316Glu | 0.704435 | 0.020479 | 0.079419 | 0.002693 | -0.45743 | 0.936 | 0.021 |  |  |
| 317Leu | 0.661357 | 0.018994 | 0.066911 | 0.001306 | -0.24321 |  |  |  |  |
| 318Thr | 0.771989 | 0.021396 | 0.084974 | 0.003524 | -0.42056 | 0.84 | 0.019 |  |  |
| 319Ala | 0.607601 | 0.023317 | 0.07364 | 0.001126 | -0.25797 |  |  | 1.791 | 0 |
| 320Glu | 0.601883 | 0.025061 | 0.070319 | 0.002404 | -0.20198 |  |  | 2.433 | 0 |
| 321Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 322IIe | 0.608016 | 0.015143 | 0.066382 | 0.000782 | -0.18201 |  |  | 3.276 | 0 |
| 323 Thr | 0.608195 | 0.022628 | 0.070303 | 0.001821 | -0.20486 |  |  | 2.436 | 0 |
| 324Glu | 0.618164 | 0.026678 | 0.067907 | 0.0012 | -0.20655 | 0.993 | 0.043 | 3.014 | 0.569 |
| 325Phe | 0.627114 | 0.021164 | 0.066908 | 0.000399 | -0.20133 | 0.979 | 0.033 | 3.402 | 0.4 |
| 326Cys | 0.632343 | 0.019952 | 0.068478 | 0.000919 | -0.17734 | 0.971 | 0.031 | 3.154 | 0.411 |
| 327His | 0.628847 | 0.017945 | 0.065128 | 0.001842 | -0.16504 | 0.977 | 0.028 | 3.842 | 0.545 |
| 328Arg | 0.624776 | 0.026788 | 0.068821 | 0.00094 | -0.181 | 0.983 | 0.042 | 2.943 | 0.535 |
| 329Phe | 0.623259 | 0.021608 | 0.066997 | 0.000403 | -0.19602 | 0.985 | 0.034 | 3.31 | 0.413 |
| 330Leu | 0.711111 | 0.032694 | 0.06423 | 0.000917 | -0.21284 | 0.864 | 0.04 | 5.388 | 0.518 |
| 331Glu | 0.664062 | 0.017175 | 0.075759 | 0.000854 | -0.21064 | 0.925 | 0.024 | 2.298 | 0.319 |
| 332Gly | 0.644217 | 0.019495 | 0.069854 | 0.00397 | -0.18796 | 0.953 | 0.029 | 3.078 | 0.882 |
| 333Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 334IIe | 0.686657 | 0.020829 | 0.069595 | 0.000607 | -0.23317 | 0.894 | 0.027 | 3.825 | 0.343 |
| 335Lys | 0.651021 | 0.017671 | 0.068983 | 0.003886 | -0.22653 | 0.943 | 0.026 | 3.376 | 0.871 |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338Leu | 0.650806 | 0.025324 | 0.062962 | 0.001431 | -0.30852 | 0.944 | 0.037 | 4.758 | 0.564 |
| 339Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340Ser | 0.646986 | 0.017446 | 0.060943 | 0.001102 | -0.16922 | 0.949 | 0.026 | 5.219 | 0.423 |
| 341GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 342Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 343Leu | 0.742676 | 0.018427 | 0.122813 | 0.002842 | -0.74836 | 0.742 | 0.013 |  |  |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 345Glu | 0.670609 | 0.03025 | 0.191908 | 0.019012 | -1.07915 | 0.693 | 0.03 |  |  |
| 346Asp | 0.640406 | 0.021469 | 0.063267 | 0.001148 | -0.25096 | 0.959 | 0.032 | 4.501 | 0.475 |
| 347Trp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 348Asp |  |  | 0.187619 | 0.008322 | -0.46736 | 0.449 | 0.02 |  |  |
| 349Lys | 0.664345 | 0.019117 | 0.085049 | 0.00866 | -0.29561 | 0.929 | 0.026 |  |  |
| 350Gln |  |  | 0.435568 | 0.025073 | -1.97464 | 0.259 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 352Val | 0.651515 | 0.034384 | 0.176524 | 0.009261 | -0.84738 | 0.575 | 0.022 |  |  |

## Appendix $5.1 b^{\prime} x^{\prime} a^{\prime}{ }^{15}{ }^{N} /{ }^{1} H$ HSQC spectra for the temperature and $\mathbf{p H}$ titrations
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## 2DA b'xa'c temperature experiments
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## 2DA b'xa'c pH experiments



Appendix 5.2 I272A b'xa'c peak heights for temperature experiments at pH 6.5

| Residue | Peak heights |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 218 Pro | 14788 | 36364.7 | 170378 | 198749 |
| 219Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 220Val |  |  |  |  |
| 221IIe |  |  |  |  |
| 222Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 223Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 224Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 225Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 226GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 227Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 228Ala |  |  |  |  |
| 229Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 230Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 231IIe |  |  |  |  |
| 232Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 233Gly | -4806.004 | 8557.7969 | 61617.4 | 23963.6 |
| 234Gly | 78077.2 | 129759 | 171906 | 198841 |
| 235Glu | 55525 | 153560 | 178882 | 115638 |
| 236Ile | 21402.5 | 90251.7 | 76860.1 | 242663 |
| 237Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 238Thr | 62518 | 120779 | 557863 | 385236 |
| 239His | -1577.086 | 58199.7 | 112256 | 131716 |
| 240IIe | 13590.8 | 77102.5 | 141500 | 158409 |
| 241Leu | 70679.9 | 73377.7 | 93579 | 141167 |
| 242Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 243Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 244Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 245Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 246Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 247Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 248Val |  |  |  |  |
| 249Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 250Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 251Tyr | 56719.1 | 66458.7 | 300191 | 250430 |
| 252Asp | 10050 | 160849 | 305116 | 365185 |
| 253Gly | 2306.5928 | 136729 | 277538 | 324026 |
| 254Lys | 68089.9 | -57436.3 | 208786 | 234278 |
| 255Leu | 74614.6 | 266771 | 46083.6 | 191157 |
| 256Ser | -2129.363 | 108964 | 202355 | 300391 |


| 257Asn |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 258Phe | 3502.2705 | 62839.8 | 132620 | 158339 |
| 259Lys | 73600.5 | 106119 | 193651 | 257976 |
| 260Thr | 128212 | 277194 | 277496 | 354877 |
| 261Ala | 58591.2 | 149399 | 85127.1 | 315179 |
| 262Ala | -418.4941 | 134279 | 245978 | 271564 |
| 263Glu | 29254.1 | 199141 | 390187 | 557419 |
| 264Ser | 680631 | 859547 | 810728 | 2381410 |
| 265Phe | 338191 | 400411 | 243890 | 277609 |
| 266Lys | 88493.4 | 192818 | 172426 | 405285 |
| 267Gly | 15258.6 | 55229.8 | 74759.4 | 48807.4 |
| 268Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 269Ile |  |  |  |  |
| 270Leu | 15803.7 | 31716.6 | 79928.8 | 81059.4 |
| 271Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 272Ala |  |  |  |  |
| 273Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 274IIe |  |  |  |  |
| 275Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 276Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 277Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 278His |  |  |  |  |
| 279Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 280Asp | 16325.5 | 48975 | 75123.5 | 77869 |
| 281Asn | -2990.107 | 12898.2 | 249007 | 252876 |
| 282GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 283Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 284IIe |  |  |  |  |
| 285Leu | -21076.1 | 2584.3584 | 39836.9 | 406015 |
| 286Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 287Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 288Phe | 740820 | -296344 | 421636 | 185786 |
| 289Gly | 6866.0869 | 73619.5 | 166499 | 189787 |
| 290Leu | -30371.2 | 133788 | 201546 | 278191 |
| 291Lys | 124761 | 200669 | 355095 | 438482 |
| 292Lys | 47697.3 | 158495 | 325097 | 311874 |
| 293Glu | 40665.1 | 147182 | 318716 | 368915 |
| 294Glu | -538.93701 | 165940 | 368365 | 409445 |
| 295Cys | 8764.6406 | 172130 | 316889 | 366635 |
| 296Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 297Ala |  |  |  |  |
| 298Val |  |  |  |  |
| 299Arg | 52206.1 | 110061 | 157075 | 153901 |
| 300Leu | 22111.4 | 105445 | 119753 | 134319 |


| 301IIe | 4994.9746 | 53549.8 | 62308.3 | 73835.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302Thr | 15020.6 | 23247.8 | 94307 | 77132.7 |
| 303Leu | 64748 | 114025 | 133215 | 208362 |
| 304Glu | 51287.9 | 60143.6 | 398096 | 437356 |
| 305Glu | 102067 | 182841 | 390577 | 356929 |
| 306Glu | 19709.9 | 10156 | 261696 | 178064 |
| 307Met |  |  |  |  |
| 308Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 309Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 310Tyr |  |  |  |  |
| 311Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 312Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 313Glu | 15213.9 | 103628 | 154447 | 110677 |
| 314Ser | 76267.2 | 149300 | 273013 | 834791 |
| 315Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 316Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 317Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 318Thr | 72163.7 | 193067 | 453748 | 544982 |
| 319Ala | 16393.7 | 67462.8 | 125387 | 161370 |
| 320Glu | 17567.9 | 163840 | 221993 | 192528 |
| 321Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 322Ile | 55281.6 | 106012 | 265491 | 342689 |
| 323Thr | 77305.9 | 63664.1 | 209420 | 270014 |
| 324Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 325Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 326Cys | 22736.8 | 118224 | 183460 | 214486 |
| 327His | 130480 | 309648 | 464601 | 433373 |
| 328Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 329Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 330Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 331Glu | 4096.6865 | 173610 | 268482 | 288787 |
| 332Gly | 48761.3 | 120086 | 209461 | 326116 |
| 333Lys | 38561.5 | 43965.2 | 147973 | 114762 |
| 334IIe | -115124 | 407488 | 473102 | 6102560 |
| 335Lys | 54019.9 | 110170 | 115400 | 88489.2 |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 337His |  |  |  |  |
| 338Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 339Met |  |  |  |  |
| 340Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 341GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 342Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 343Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |


| 345Glu | 108471 | 213661 | 518380 | 630088 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 346Asp | 14031.7 | 183752 | 372452 | 389607 |
| 347Trp | 46993.1 | 101161 | 300221 | 317682 |
| 348Asp | 10145.4 | 47806.3 | 531630 | 558970 |
| 349Lys | 8327.7227 | 205906 | 292565 | 274354 |
| 350GIn | 101001 | 72232.1 | 556214 | 660900 |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 352Val | 68302.1 | 190336 | 438176 | 483274 |
| 353Lys | -17106.2 | 56497.9 | 93712.9 | 78467.8 |
| 354Val |  |  |  |  |
| 355Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 356Val | -9670.864 | 70541.1 | 137222 | 157653 |
| 357Gly | -2587.278 | 45884.6 | 101498 | 120935 |
| 358Lys | 47154.1 | 76516.9 | 217308 | 215662 |
| 359Asn | 19040.6 | 145923 | 258689 | 287062 |
| 360Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 361Glu | 48794.8 | 112016 | 252066 | 240123 |
| 362Asp | 75204 | 159135 | 355994 | 366324 |
| 363Val | 20373.6 | 152820 | 241475 | 248567 |
| 364Ala | 58769.2 | 113913 | 315181 | 245262 |
| 365Phe | 56605 | 36411.3 | 149211 | 160364 |
| 366Asp | -24791.6 | 46981.9 | 49947.1 | 77626 |
| 367Glu | 17519.3 | 45660.8 | 128191 | 168840 |
| 368Lys | -6083.778 | 73638.4 | 130094 | -28990.3 |
| 369Lys | 19906.8 | 74870.7 | 178103 | 201997 |
| 370Asn | 6534.9063 | 69851.1 | 65916.9 | 21578.3 |
| 371Val |  |  |  |  |
| 372Phe | 44255.8 | 55014 | 132236 | 182320 |
| 373Val | -6933.819 | 102399 | 119979 | 142728 |
| 374Glu | -648.3218 | 51221 | 139422 | 166176 |
| 375Phe | 12730.2 | 76000.3 | 140574 | 127319 |
| 376Tyr |  |  |  |  |
| 377Ala | -7489.798 | 49409.4 | 100317 | 84364.4 |
| 378Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 379Trp | 11526.8 | 69937.1 | 124005 | 164328 |
| 380Cys | 18137.6 | 69770.7 | 156336 | 168475 |
| 381Gly |  |  |  |  |
| 382His |  |  |  |  |
| 383Cys |  |  |  |  |
| 384Lys | 49655 | 56013.3 | 311464 | 265655 |
| 385GIn | 25749 | 140037 | 259364 | 245578 |
| 386Leu | 44803 | 94870.6 | 181235 | 193262 |
| 387Ala | 30244.4 | 105112 | 256091 | 267380 |
| 388Pro |  |  |  |  |


| 389IIe | 53703.3 | 139707 | 175145 | 175812 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 390Trp | -125.5215 | 97709.8 | 184202 | 254121 |
| 391Asp | 158148 | 128878 | 300463 | 312179 |
| 392Lys | -19211.8 | 126378 | 239970 | 275712 |
| 393Leu | 41267.8 | 147247 | 219537 | 284942 |
| 394Gly | -11536 | -4860 | 191519 | 122807 |
| 395Glu | 137967 | 439405 | 410013 | 426665 |
| 396Thr | 13938.3 | 71043.9 | 196424 | 249970 |
| 397Tyr | 273.8623 | 155883 | 241472 | 273861 |
| 398Lys | 56166 | 241257 | 121842 | 321202 |
| 399Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 400His | -17864.1 | 130620 | 329035 | 410084 |
| 401Glu | 67819.3 | 149004 | 253746 | 281831 |
| 402Asn | 46557.2 | 70694.5 | 165482 | 166621 |
| 403Ile | 2490.6675 | 64269.9 | 93831.9 | 117789 |
| 404Val | 56527.4 | 65241.1 | 120062 | 138991 |
| 405Ile | 5737.8652 | 119695 | 195796 | 181699 |
| 406Ala | 8814.9131 | 109131 | 162410 | 185019 |
| 407Lys | 68243.6 | 101365 | 175890 | 180118 |
| 408Met |  |  |  |  |
| 409Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 410Ser | 64914.7 | 18207.3 | 125242 | 150590 |
| 411Thr | -14404.1 | 55825.7 | 95220.5 | 108585 |
| 412Ala | 7128.917 | 43357.3 | 130436 | 93248.1 |
| 413Asn | 65606.2 | 82585.9 | 393096 | 508623 |
| 414Glu | 106376 | 264147 | 439091 | 314148 |
| 415Val | 2335.8499 | 115858 | 264280 | 269032 |
| 416Glu | 98763 | 277585 | 293882 | 341189 |
| 417Ala | 59723.9 | 196479 | 422072 | 405192 |
| 418Val | 524592 | 587326 | 997019 | 423602 |
| 419Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 420Val |  |  |  |  |
| 421His |  |  |  |  |
| 422Ser | 40034 | 152967 | 260976 | 211236 |
| 423Phe | 78378.2 | 158254 | 82826.7 | 297511 |
| 424Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 425Thr | 66742.1 | 120338 | 167099 | 193875 |
| 426Leu | 74952.6 | 92665.4 | 170677 | 178434 |
| 427Lys | -1685.3914 | 72328.5 | 239937 | 210106 |
| 428Phe | -2608.853 | 84372.2 | 111579 | 116787 |
| 429Phe | 10196.6 | 49759.6 | 93105.1 | 134519 |
| 430Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 431 Ala | 7140.9053 | 100296 | 226879 | 243521 |
| 432Ser | 43533.9 | 2249110 | 1543060 | 1284360 |


| 433Ala |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 434Asp | 59254.1 | 447516 | 369133 | 365258 |
| 435Arg | 85012.5 | 69220 | 254481 | 286010 |
| 436Thr | 51412.9 | 175063 | 472609 | 467308 |
| 437 Val | 75342.8 | 249542 | 183184 | 441746 |
| 438Ile | 9802.0147 | 126333 | 157066 | 163914 |
| 439Asp | 77449.9 | 177047 | 336662 | 349008 |
| 440Tyr |  |  |  |  |
| 441 Asn |  |  |  |  |
| 442Gly |  |  |  |  |
| 443Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 444Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 445Thr | 22561.9 | 208900 | 430591 | 360779 |
| 446Leu | 46633.5 | 213917 | 507545 | 307603 |
| 447Asp | 76531.4 | 347023 | 393122 | 514075 |
| 448Gly | 47539 | 70401.1 | 150444 | 156241 |
| 449Phe | 307620 | 162388 | 154870 | 425311 |
| 450Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 451 Lys | 119463 | 264380 | 377601 | 351858 |
| 452Phe | 58498 | 103142 | 279474 | 309347 |
| 453Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 454Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 455Ser | 32832.9 | 83963 | 189767 | 217728 |
| 456Gly | 72188.9 | 204655 | 400214 | 395080 |
| 457Gly | 58643 | 146278 | 336035 | 374942 |
| 458Gln | 19835.5 | 294526 | 545298 | 602977 |
| 459Asp | 10604700 | 738704 | 641623 | 853937 |
| 460Gly | 674846 | 1708670 | 2012490 | 1654490 |
| 461Ala | 4403340 | 7328620 | 9856780 | 9919290 |
| 462Gly | 1212460 | 2994380 | 2984650 | 2352070 |
| 463Asp | 4059810 | 7206410 | 7265680 | 7394120 |
| 464Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 465Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 466Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 467Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 468Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 469Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 470Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 471Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 472Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 473Ala | 4725300 | 7830590 | 7824400 | 6611690 |
| 474Glu | -77149 | 281700 | 30708.5 | 402952 |
| 475Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |


| 477Asp |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 478Met |  |  |  |  |
| 479Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 480Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 481Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 482Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 483Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 484GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 485Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 486Ala | 11032200 | 1749280 | 221412 | 4681460 |
| 487Val | 11509700 | 10636400 | 9409720 | 7363360 |
| 488Lys | 11402100 | 9364160 | 6896980 | 5304180 |
| 489Asp | 12345300 | 9577290 | 6296160 | 4229060 |
| 490Glu | 14039700 | 11990000 | 9733560 | 7596650 |
| 491Leu | 13979900 | 13731200 | 14358400 | 13393400 |

Appendix 5.3 I272A $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ xa'c peak heights for pH experiments at $\mathbf{4 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Residue | Peak heights |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pH 6.0 | pH 6.5 | pH 7.0 | pH 8.0 |
| 218Pro | 107482 | 198749 | 106310 | 125989 |
| 219Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 220Val |  |  |  |  |
| 221Ile |  |  |  |  |
| 222Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 223Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 224Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 225Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 226GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 227Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 228Ala |  |  |  |  |
| 229Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 230Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 231Ile |  |  |  |  |
| 232Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 233Gly | 38675.3 | 23963.6 | 58474.2 | 12111.6 |
| 234Gly | 47678.2 | 198841 | 148679 | 283742 |
| 235Glu | 53203.3 | 115638 | 66766.5 | 9682.1904 |
| 236Ile | 82574.6 | 242663 | 197271 | 251487 |
| 237Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 238Thr | 141363 | 385236 | 130099 | 149333 |
| 239His | 2692.7334 | 131716 | 154778 | 167536 |
| 240IIe | 44543.1 | 158409 | 266853 | 216632 |
| 241Leu | 46544.3 | 141167 | 180286 | 161935 |
| 242Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 243Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 244Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 245Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 246Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 247Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 248Val |  |  |  |  |
| 249Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 250Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 2517yr | 60845.7 | 250430 | 246099 | 303505 |
| 252Asp | 61058.6 | 365185 | 445414 | 427566 |
| 253Gly | 44659.9 | 324026 | 275160 | 275661 |
| 254Lys | 24034.1 | 234278 | 137406 | 306097 |
| 255Leu | 47712.8 | 191157 | 98901.7 | 79666.2 |
| 256Ser | 43040.3 | 300391 | 334684 | 369050 |
| 257Asn |  |  |  |  |


| 258Phe | 47702.1 | 158339 | 201473 | 242805 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 259Lys | 57904.1 | 257976 | 300976 | 324386 |
| 260Thr | 139636 | 354877 | 368391 | 414499 |
| 261Ala | -10913 | 315179 | 380406 | 420140 |
| 262Ala | 69259.9 | 271564 | 283768 | 325241 |
| 263Glu | 98661.6 | 557419 | 494578 | 611168 |
| 264Ser | 1901460 | 2381410 | 1440900 | 1519970 |
| 265Phe | 34079.5 | 277609 | 318314 | 312616 |
| 266Lys | 57004.2 | 405285 | 375861 | 436903 |
| 267Gly | 9844.1699 | 48807.4 | 30519.2 | 22198.3 |
| 268Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 26911e |  |  |  |  |
| 270Leu | 43131.2 | 81059.4 | 71360.6 | 81607.9 |
| 271Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 272Ala |  |  |  |  |
| 273Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 274Ile |  |  |  |  |
| 275Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 276Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 277Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 278His |  |  |  |  |
| 279Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 280Asp | -28580.6 | 77869 | 58516.1 | -22494.7 |
| 281Asn | 56872.9 | 252876 | 209895 | 240226 |
| 282GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 283Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 284IIe |  |  |  |  |
| 285Leu | 79095.8 | 406015 | 415235 | 468745 |
| 286Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 287Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 288Phe | 51000.3 | 185786 | 238515 | 241858 |
| 289Gly | 43612.4 | 189787 | 188390 | 242406 |
| 290Leu | 58836.4 | 278191 | 332007 | 385127 |
| 291 Lys | 244452 | 438482 | 333549 | 389981 |
| 292Lys | 50707.4 | 311874 | 259884 | 246537 |
| 293Glu | 45243.3 | 368915 | 317510 | 333168 |
| 294Glu | 58592.9 | 409445 | 485491 | 499713 |
| 295Cys | 68105.3 | 366635 | 401627 | 435682 |
| 296Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 297Ala |  |  |  |  |
| 298Val |  |  |  |  |
| 299Arg | 30419.2 | 153901 | 143950 | 174744 |
| 300Leu | 7739.5772 | 134319 | 106389 | 120278 |
| 301Ile | 49136.8 | 73835.3 | 69233.8 | 49717 |


| 302Thr | 57632.4 | 77132.7 | 52450.9 | 93205.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 303Leu | 54679.2 | 208362 | 188869 | 200256 |
| 304Glu | 42425.4 | 437356 | 374654 | 392211 |
| 305Glu | 66882.6 | 356929 | 196616 | 121689 |
| 306Glu | 37010.1 | 178064 | 238571 | 203359 |
| 307Met |  |  |  |  |
| 308Thr |  |  |  |  |
| 309Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 310Tyr |  |  |  |  |
| 311Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 312Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 313Glu | 58463.8 | 110677 | 102905 | 52409.3 |
| 314Ser | 158799 | 834791 | 561980 | 512168 |
| 315Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 316Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 317Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 318Thr | 97883.2 | 544982 | 400522 | 469270 |
| 319Ala | 58487.7 | 161370 | 139004 | 84454.9 |
| 320Glu | 55492.3 | 192528 | 139898 | 110410 |
| 321Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 322Ile | 64537.5 | 342689 | 295166 | 278014 |
| 323Thr | 68403.7 | 270014 | 316507 | 333157 |
| 324Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 325Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 326Cys | 54399.1 | 214486 | 211977 | 222451 |
| 327His | 143955 | 433373 | 413179 | 498785 |
| 328Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 329Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 330Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 331Glu | 48144.8 | 288787 | 310449 | 349979 |
| 332Gly | 188887 | 326116 | 329994 | 360177 |
| 333Lys | 37742.2 | 114762 | 221753 | 223175 |
| 334IIe | 4808240 | 6102560 | 2348720 | 2446610 |
| 335Lys | -788.34375 | 88489.2 | 120315 | 128198 |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 337His |  |  |  |  |
| 338Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 339Met |  |  |  |  |
| 340Ser |  |  |  |  |
| 341GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 342Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 343Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 345Glu | 142550 | 630088 | 412451 | 342907 |


| 346Asp | 136879 | 389607 | 329224 | 330606 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 347Trp | 106384 | 317682 | 284857 | 338987 |
| 348Asp | 161418 | 558970 | 425137 | 456860 |
| 349Lys | 103479 | 274354 | 277870 | 313551 |
| 350GIn | 170633 | 660900 | 597076 | 601656 |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 352Val | 144757 | 483274 | 441802 | 453973 |
| 353Lys | 54825.3 | 78467.8 | 120734 | 150776 |
| 354Val |  |  |  |  |
| 355Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 356Val | 47502.8 | 157653 | 154074 | 178381 |
| 357Gly | 50506.4 | 120935 | 88198.2 | 111590 |
| 358Lys | 146933 | 215662 | 194761 | 227706 |
| 359Asn | 93181.3 | 287062 | 276235 | 284393 |
| 360Phe |  |  |  |  |
| 361Glu | 115193 | 240123 | 239610 | 254454 |
| 362Asp | 128949 | 366324 | 312442 | 319504 |
| 363Val | 77324.6 | 248567 | 215264 | 263054 |
| 364Ala | 95581.7 | 245262 | 280685 | 267410 |
| 365Phe | 47656.2 | 160364 | 92848.1 | 115772 |
| 366Asp | 39297.2 | 77626 | $16427.8$ | -19056.6 |
| 367Glu | 51696.7 | 168840 | 126603 | 94162 |
| 368Lys | 41136.3 | -28990.3 | 146315 | 114849 |
| 369Lys | 70441.1 | 201997 | 120398 | 102025 |
| 370Asn | 18803.9 | 21578.3 | 80431.2 | 57891.1 |
| 371Val |  |  |  |  |
| 372Phe | 23086.6 | 182320 | 130703 | 124293 |
| 373Val | 58387.6 | 142728 | 172404 | 137971 |
| 374Glu | 67983.5 | 166176 | 208196 | 280774 |
| 375Phe | 61590.4 | 127319 | 126476 | 136096 |
| 376Tyr |  |  |  |  |
| 377Ala | 55906.9 | 84364.4 | 105457 | 144572 |
| 378Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 379Trp | 58663 | 164328 | 146139 | 162624 |
| 380Cys | 44879.2 | 168475 | 150815 | 203412 |
| 381Gly |  |  |  |  |
| 382His |  |  |  |  |
| 383Cys |  |  |  |  |
| 384Lys | 78281.8 | 265655 | 289088 | 307177 |
| 385GIn | 155577 | 245578 | 268537 | 290060 |
| 386Leu | 43580.1 | 193262 | 205816 | 275881 |
| 387Ala | 126600 | 267380 | 279209 | 316132 |
| 388Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 38911e | 90377.2 | 175812 | 200879 | 218260 |


| 390Trp | 108757 | 254121 | 284475 | 294700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 391Asp | 105790 | 312179 | 321003 | 342255 |
| 392Lys | 122577 | 275712 | 291787 | 328546 |
| 393Leu | 89510.8 | 284942 | 274409 | 277029 |
| 394Gly | 88785 | 122807 | 224071 | 226872 |
| 395Glu | 354203 | 426665 | 339994 | 462098 |
| 396Thr | 105637 | 249970 | 241398 | 207101 |
| 397Tyr | 114996 | 273861 | 238185 | 281422 |
| 398Lys | 97246.7 | 321202 | 260837 | 310970 |
| 399Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 400His | 171289 | 410084 | 268363 | 7493.0938 |
| 401Glu | 113962 | 281831 | 204165 | 194781 |
| 402Asn | 40928.4 | 166621 | 135343 | 122795 |
| 403IIe | 39098.4 | 117789 | 98388 | 58341.8 |
| 404Val | 45369.7 | 138991 | 124877 | 168236 |
| 405Ile | 52511.9 | 181699 | 183475 | 234620 |
| 406Ala | 80581.4 | 185019 | 204693 | 208020 |
| 407Lys | 70111 | 180118 | 170182 | 189617 |
| 408Met |  |  |  |  |
| 409Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 410Ser | 62506.5 | 150590 | 129618 | 135132 |
| 411Thr | 56026.2 | 108585 | 97563.7 | 130586 |
| 412Ala | 37633 | 93248.1 | 105022 | 87510.6 |
| 413Asn | 89638.2 | 508623 | 506613 | 435584 |
| 414Glu | 54629.2 | 314148 | 236095 | 223512 |
| 415 Val | -2687.9648 | 269032 | 245318 | 254936 |
| 416Glu | 155397 | 341189 | 357034 | 300062 |
| 417Ala | 42781.1 | 405192 | 305421 | 277236 |
| 418Val | 129619 | 423602 | 432600 | 546364 |
| 419Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 420Val |  |  |  |  |
| 421His |  |  |  |  |
| 422Ser | 69937.1 | 211236 | 142230 | 149270 |
| 423Phe | 51328.9 | 297511 | 209851 | 193215 |
| 424Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 425Thr | 55829.5 | 193875 | 170564 | 174767 |
| 426Leu | 70203.2 | 178434 | 164240 | 151812 |
| 427Lys | 57734.9 | 210106 | 291205 | 255784 |
| 428Phe | 37014.4 | 116787 | 139344 | 145181 |
| 429Phe | 65452 | 134519 | 143774 | 114383 |
| 430Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 431Ala | 78936.6 | 243521 | 213152 | 253356 |
| 432Ser | 41491.5 | 1284360 | 253505 | 242762 |
| 433Ala |  |  |  |  |


| 434Asp | 150595 | 365258 | 262339 | 291892 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 435Arg | 92680 | 286010 | 37756.2 | 35766.9 |
| 436Thr | 86758.3 | 467308 | 250959 | 257577 |
| 437 Val | -4736.9883 | 441746 | 456325 | 481135 |
| 438Ile | 37491.5 | 163914 | 159196 | 184226 |
| 439Asp | 85256.9 | 349008 | 265522 | 303804 |
| 440Tyr |  |  |  |  |
| 441Asn |  |  |  |  |
| 442Gly |  |  |  |  |
| 443Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 444Arg |  |  |  |  |
| 445Thr | 111689 | 360779 | 445929 | 451805 |
| 446Leu | 153223 | 307603 | 373334 | 321453 |
| 447Asp | 304649 | 514075 | 366120 | 375069 |
| 448Gly | 82153.9 | 156241 | 182836 | 210563 |
| 449Phe | 73969.2 | 425311 | 187624 | 117412 |
| 450Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 451 Lys | 89406.8 | 351858 | 384480 | 388132 |
| 452Phe | 138243 | 309347 | 269879 | 278956 |
| 453Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 454Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 455Ser | 256119 | 217728 | 124693 | 151950 |
| 456Gly | 99194 | 395080 | 276299 | 292443 |
| 457Gly | 56000.4 | 374942 | 246298 | 244822 |
| 458GIn | 59462.7 | 602977 | 342599 | 346786 |
| 459Asp | -273116 | 853937 | 839362 | 900179 |
| 460Gly | 333568 | 1654490 | 564522 | 526536 |
| 461 Ala | 3080590 | 9919290 | 4704740 | 5044430 |
| 462Gly | 623338 | 2352070 | 705770 | 703871 |
| 463Asp | 3685310 | 7394120 | 3281770 | 3502440 |
| 464Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 465Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 466Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 467Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 468Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 469Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 470Leu |  |  |  |  |
| 471Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 472Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 473Ala | 2602470 | 6611690 | 3103720 | 2891680 |
| 474Glu | 164800 | 402952 | 195417 | 219819 |
| 475Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |
| 477Asp |  |  |  |  |


| 478Met |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 479Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 480Glu |  |  |  |  |
| 481Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 482Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 483Asp |  |  |  |  |
| 484GIn |  |  |  |  |
| 485Lys |  |  |  |  |
| 486Ala | 4261190 | 4681460 | 1390450 | 1300300 |
| 487Val | 5297080 | 7363360 | 2658510 | 2713880 |
| 488Lys | 4380680 | 5304180 | 1596260 | 1564610 |
| 489Asp | 3252970 | 4229060 | 1154970 | 1242780 |
| 490Glu | 6051560 | 7596650 | 2587250 | 2683730 |
| 491Leu | 7001970 | 13393400 | 5764010 | 6469370 |

Appendix 5.4 Resonance Assignment for I272A b'xa'c

| Residue | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mu}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\varepsilon}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210Met | - | - | - |  |  |
| 211His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 212His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 213His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 214His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 215His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 216His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 217Met | - | - | - |  |  |
| 218Pro | - | - | - |  |  |
| 219Leu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 220Val | - | - | - |  |  |
| 221Ile | - | - | 60.99 |  |  |
| 222Glu | 8.16 | 127.71 | 52.25 |  |  |
| 223Phe | - | - | - |  |  |
| 224Thr | - | - | - |  |  |
| 225Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 226Gln | - | - | - |  |  |
| 227Thr | - | - | - |  |  |
| 228Ala | - | - | - |  |  |
| 29Pro |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230Lys | - | - | - |  |  |
| 231Ile | - | - | - |  |  |
| 232Phe | - | - | 50.94 |  |  |
| 233Gly | 7.63 | 106.96 | 45.28 |  |  |
| 234Gly | 7.62 | 108.1 | 44.67 |  |  |
| 235Glu | 8.43 | 118.06 | 54.51 |  |  |
| 236Ile | 7.76 | 120.12 | 53.48 |  |  |
| 237Lys | - | - | 56.15 |  |  |
| 238Thr | 6.82 | 115.96 | 62.28 |  |  |
| 239His | 8.86 | 125.37 | 54.16 |  |  |
| 24011 e | 9.15 | 122.39 | 59.06 |  |  |
| 241Leu | 8.83 | 127.81 | 52.22 |  |  |
| 242Leu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 243Phe | - | - | - |  |  |
| 244Leu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 45Pro |  |  | - |  |  |
| 246Lys | - | - | - |  |  |
| 247Ser | - | - | - |  |  |
| 248Val | - | - | - |  |  |
| 49Ser | - | - | - |  |  |
| 250Asp | - | - | 52.11 |  |  |


| 251Tyr | 7.64 | 120.57 | 63.08 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 252Asp | 8.6 | 115.1 | 57.46 |  |  |
| 253Gly | 7.76 | 110.2 | 47.02 |  |  |
| 254Lys | 8 | 123.01 | 60 |  |  |
| 255Leu | 8.06 | 119.94 | 57.61 |  |  |
| 256Ser | 8.35 | 114.73 | 62.38 |  |  |
| 257Asn | - | - | 56.69 |  |  |
| 258Phe | - | - | - |  |  |
| 259Lys | 8.5 | 117.07 | 59.86 |  |  |
| 260Thr | 8.22 | 116.49 | 66.88 |  |  |
| 261Ala | 7.56 | 124.15 | 54.86 |  |  |
| 262Ala | 7.18 | 118.77 | 54 |  |  |
| 263Glu | 6.99 | 114.3 | 58.86 |  |  |
| 264Ser | 7.42 | 112 | 60.74 |  |  |
| 265Phe | 7.13 | 115.75 | 57.33 |  |  |
| 266Lys | 7.28 | 123.1 | 58.63 |  |  |
| 267Gly | 9.45 | 114.7 | 50.59 |  |  |
| 268Lys | - | - | - |  |  |
| 269Ile | - | - | 60.68 |  |  |
| 270Leu | 8.22 | 130.77 | 54.88 |  |  |
| 271Phe | - | - | - |  |  |
| 272Ala | - | - | - |  |  |
| 273Phe | - | - | - |  |  |
| 274Ile | - | - | - |  |  |
| 275Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 276Ser | - | - | - |  |  |
| 277Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 278His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 279Thr | - | - | 65.95 |  |  |
| 280Asp | 10.75 | 124.25 | 56.73 |  |  |
| 281 Asn | 7.92 | 114.83 | 52.8 |  |  |
| 282GIn | - | - | - |  |  |
| 283Arg | - | - | - |  |  |
| 284IIe | - | - | 58.32 |  |  |
| 285Leu | 7.44 | 122.33 | 62.14 |  |  |
| 286Glu |  |  |  |  |  |
| 287Phe | - | - | 61.02 |  |  |
| 288Phe | 7.48 | 112.96 | 59.48 |  |  |
| 289Gly | 7.98 | 110.32 | 46.66 |  |  |
| 290Leu | 7.78 | 121.08 | 53.57 |  |  |
| 291 Lys | 8.09 | 118.72 | 54.11 |  |  |
| 292Lys | 9.03 | 124.1 | 61.03 |  |  |
| 293Glu | 9.43 | 116.66 | 58.96 |  |  |
| 294Glu | 7.62 | 118.04 | 55.85 |  |  |


| 295Cys | 7.03 | 117.71 | 58.47 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 296Pro |  |  | - |  |  |
| 297Ala | - | - | - |  |  |
| 298Val | - | - | 59.61 |  |  |
| 299Arg | 8.81 | 122.66 | 53.24 |  |  |
| 300Leu | 8.72 | 125.49 | 54.15 |  |  |
| 301IIe | 9.6 | 122.72 | 58.48 |  |  |
| 302Thr | 8.79 | 114.76 | 59.19 |  |  |
| 303Leu | 8.56 | 125.34 | 54.31 |  |  |
| 304Glu | 7.45 | 123.88 | 56.65 |  |  |
| 305Glu | 8.45 | 122.38 | 59.41 |  |  |
| 306Glu | 7.88 | 116.39 | 62.43 |  |  |
| 307Met | - | - | - |  |  |
| 308Thr | - | - | - |  |  |
| 309Lys | - | - | - |  |  |
| 310Tyr | - | - | - |  |  |
| 311 Lys | - | - | - |  |  |
| 312Pro |  |  | 62.16 |  |  |
| 313Glu | 8.89 | 119.76 | 57.88 |  |  |
| 314Ser | 7.49 | 111.7 | 56.55 |  |  |
| 315Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 316Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 317Leu | - | - | 53.14 |  |  |
| 318Thr | 6.79 | 108.3 | 59.61 |  |  |
| 319Ala | 9.27 | 125.34 | 56.17 |  |  |
| 320Glu | 9.33 | 118.36 | 60.49 |  |  |
| 321Arg | - | - | 58.06 |  |  |
| 322Ile | 8.48 | 121.75 | 65.44 |  |  |
| 323Thr | 8.54 | 116.95 | 68.14 |  |  |
| 324Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 325Phe | - | - | 59.54 |  |  |
| 326Cys | 7.7 | 115.97 | 64.54 |  |  |
| 327His | 8.57 | 118.08 | 60.54 |  |  |
| 328Arg | - | - | - |  |  |
| 329Phe | - | - | - |  |  |
| 330Leu | - | - | 57.48 |  |  |
| 331Glu | 7.71 | 116.34 | 56.36 |  |  |
| 332Gly | 7.68 | 108.41 | 46.22 |  |  |
| 333Lys | 7.93 | 116.53 | 56.18 |  |  |
| 334IIe | 7.93 | 120.69 | 56.68 |  |  |
| 335Lys | 8.95 | 126.74 | 50.88 |  |  |
| 336Pro |  |  | - |  |  |
| 337His | - | - | - |  |  |
| 338Leu | - | - | - |  |  |


| 339Met | - | - | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 340Ser | - | - | - |  |  |
| 341GIn | - | - | - |  |  |
| 342Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 343Leu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 344Pro |  |  | 62.86 |  |  |
| 345Glu | - | - | 58.89 |  |  |
| 346Asp | 8.43 | 115.59 | 52.64 |  |  |
| 347Trp | 7.58 | 119.61 | 60.73 | 10.06 | 129.32 |
| 348Asp | 7.46 | 118.61 | 51.93 |  |  |
| 349Lys | 7.36 | 118.69 | 56.94 |  |  |
| 350Gln | 7.88 | 117.32 | 53.34 |  |  |
| 351Pro |  |  | 66.31 |  |  |
| 352Val | 7.1 | 112.39 | 61.31 |  |  |
| 353Lys | 9.23 | 128.35 | 55.06 |  |  |
| 354Val | - | - | - |  |  |
| 355Leu | - | - | 51.8 |  |  |
| 356Val | 8.48 | 112.02 | 58.35 |  |  |
| 357Gly | 8.8 | 109.66 | 48.05 |  |  |
| 358Lys | 8 | 114.8 | 58.78 |  |  |
| 359Asn | 7.41 | 115.53 | 51.93 |  |  |
| 360Phe | - | - | 63.37 |  |  |
| 361Glu | 8.93 | 117.05 | 60.73 |  |  |
| 362Asp | 7.64 | 117.27 | 56.58 |  |  |
| 363 Val | 6.99 | 115.99 | 64.39 |  |  |
| 364Ala | 8.1 | 119.01 | 55.39 |  |  |
| 365Phe | 6.87 | 108.86 | 56.19 |  |  |
| 366Asp | 6.54 | 119.33 | 54.54 |  |  |
| 367Glu | 8.92 | 128.77 | 57.82 |  |  |
| 368Lys | 8.68 | 115.8 | 55.52 |  |  |
| 369Lys | 7.23 | 116 | 53.38 |  |  |
| 370Asn | 9.01 | 122.83 | 53.47 |  |  |
| 371Val | - | - | 61.47 |  |  |
| 372Phe | 9.72 | 133.41 | 52.31 |  |  |
| 373Val | 9.56 | 125.56 | 61.53 |  |  |
| 374Glu | 7.76 | 125.51 | 53.83 |  |  |
| 375Phe | 9.46 | 130.48 | 57.43 |  |  |
| 376Tyr | - | - | 55.14 |  |  |
| 377Ala | 7.09 | 120.05 | 47.4 |  |  |
| 378Pro |  |  | 64.7 |  |  |
| 379Trp | 5.89 | 109.88 | 53.72 | 10.3 | 130.97 |
| 380Cys | 6.56 | 125.93 | 60.24 |  |  |
| 381Gly | - | - | - |  |  |
| 382His | - | - | - |  |  |


| 383Cys | - | - | 54.86 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 384Lys | 8.54 | 123.5 | 60.36 |  |  |
| 385GIn | 7.5 | 117.26 | 58.4 |  |  |
| 386Leu | 7.4 | 117.53 | 55.41 |  |  |
| 387Ala | 7.38 | 121.68 | 57.25 |  |  |
| 388Pro |  |  | 65.91 |  |  |
| 389IIe | 7.28 | 119.23 | 64.38 |  |  |
| 390Trp | 8.53 | 123.85 | 60.31 | 9.99 | 130.48 |
| 391Asp | 8.28 | 116.13 | 57.51 |  |  |
| 392Lys | 7.49 | 121.38 | 58.38 |  |  |
| 393Leu | 8.57 | 126.62 | 58.28 |  |  |
| 394Gly | 8.19 | 105.57 | 47.27 |  |  |
| 395Glu | 8.09 | 120.99 | 60.03 |  |  |
| 396Thr | 7.96 | 115.82 | 66.93 |  |  |
| 397 Tyr | 7.19 | 117.32 | 59.56 |  |  |
| 398Lys | 7.25 | 122.91 | 59.85 |  |  |
| 399Asp | - | - | 58.21 |  |  |
| 400His | 7.86 | 122.24 | 59.57 |  |  |
| 401Glu | 8.45 | 126.21 | 59.3 |  |  |
| 402Asn | 10.49 | 115.69 | 54.81 |  |  |
| 403Ile | 8.88 | 126.68 | - |  |  |
| 404Val | 8.75 | 129.4 | 61.4 |  |  |
| 405Ile | 9.27 | 129.12 | 57.96 |  |  |
| 406Ala | 9.22 | 128.15 | 50.35 |  |  |
| 407Lys | 9.07 | 119.14 | 54.68 |  |  |
| 408Met | - | - | - |  |  |
| 409Asp | - | - | 52.25 |  |  |
| 410Ser | 8.74 | 127.94 | 61.8 |  |  |
| 411Thr | 8.77 | 110.77 | 62.58 |  |  |
| 412Ala | 6.6 | 122.48 | 50.51 |  |  |
| 413Asn | 7 | 114.38 | 52.38 |  |  |
| 414Glu | 8.67 | 122.3 | 54.99 |  |  |
| 415Val | 8.5 | 114.96 | 58.91 |  |  |
| 416Glu | 8.67 | 122.76 | 59.16 |  |  |
| 417Ala | 8.52 | 116.55 | 54.3 |  |  |
| 418Val | 6.73 | 112.26 | 60.42 |  |  |
| 419Lys | - | - | - |  |  |
| 420 Val | - | - | - |  |  |
| 421His | - | - | 55.44 |  |  |
| 422Ser | 7 | 113.08 | 56.68 |  |  |
| 423Phe | 8.49 | 120.04 | 54.13 |  |  |
| 424Pro |  |  | 63.22 |  |  |
| 425Thr | 8.76 | 117.77 | 63.62 |  |  |
| 426Leu | 9.26 | 130.59 | 53.76 |  |  |


| 427Lys | 8.84 | 120.4 | 54.55 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 428Phe | 9.66 | 124.09 | 54.82 |  |  |
| 429Phe | 9.11 | 127.19 | 53.78 |  |  |
| 430Pro |  |  | 62.23 |  |  |
| 431Ala | 7.64 | 125.78 | 52.24 |  |  |
| 432Ser | 8.01 | 119.4 | 58.02 |  |  |
| 433Ala | - | - | 53.13 |  |  |
| 434Asp | 8.09 | 117.06 | 53.99 |  |  |
| 435Arg | 8.15 | 116.7 | 57.29 |  |  |
| 436Thr | 7.45 | 115.74 | 63.8 |  |  |
| 437Val | 8.21 | 124.13 | 61.43 |  |  |
| 438Ile | 9.36 | 129.04 | 59.93 |  |  |
| 439Asp | 8.78 | 128.47 | 54.93 |  |  |
| 440Tyr | - | - | - |  |  |
| 441Asn | - | - | - |  |  |
| 442Gly | - | - | - |  |  |
| 443Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 444Arg | - | - | 55.54 |  |  |
| 445Thr | 6.81 | 108.3 | 57.52 |  |  |
| 446Leu | 8.9 | 122.28 | 58.91 |  |  |
| 447Asp | 8.16 | 115.16 | 57.58 |  |  |
| 448Gly | 8.15 | 109.94 | 46.98 |  |  |
| 449Phe | 8.45 | 120.64 | 51.81 |  |  |
| 450Lys | - | - | 60.61 |  |  |
| 451Lys | 7.98 | 118.33 | 59.65 |  |  |
| 452Phe | 7.83 | 120.15 | 60.76 |  |  |
| 453Leu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 454Glu | - | - | 64.31 |  |  |
| 455Ser | 7.37 | 111.26 | 58.41 |  |  |
| 456Gly | 7.82 | 111.1 | 45.92 |  |  |
| 457Gly | 7.86 | 106.71 | 44.8 |  |  |
| 458GIn | 7.15 | 117.42 | 55.69 |  |  |
| 459Asp | 8.3 | 121.16 | 54.29 |  |  |
| 460Gly | 8.3 | 109.68 | 45.32 |  |  |
| 461Ala | 8.07 | 123.02 | 52.56 |  |  |
| 462Gly | 8.29 | 107.83 | 45 |  |  |
| 463Asp | 8.15 | 120.45 | 54.38 |  |  |
| 464Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 465Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 466Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 467Leu | - | - |  |  |  |
| 468Glu | - | - |  |  |  |
| 470Leu | - | - |  |  |  |


| 471Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 472Glu | - | - | 56.27 |  |  |
| 473Ala | 8.22 | 125.79 | 52.26 |  |  |
| 474Glu | 7.85 | 125.44 | 58.12 |  |  |
| 475Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |  |
| 477Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 478Met | - | - | - |  |  |
| 479Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 480Glu | - | - | - |  |  |
| 481Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 482Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 483Asp | - | - | - |  |  |
| 484Gln | - | - | - |  |  |
| 485Lys | - | - | 56.65 |  |  |
| 486Ala | 8 | 124.03 | 52.5 |  |  |
| 487Val | 7.89 | 119.23 | 62.44 |  |  |
| 488Lys | 8.2 | 124.96 | 56.09 |  |  |
| 489Asp | 8.18 | 121.71 | 54.48 |  |  |
| 490Glu | 8.14 | 121.07 | 56.37 |  |  |
| 491Leu | 7.76 | 128.84 | 56.87 |  |  |

## Appendix 5.5 Relaxation and Model-free data for WT b'xa'c

| Residues | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T ${ }_{1}$ error | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | T 2 error | hetNOE | $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}^{2} \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 218Pro | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 219Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 220Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 221Ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 222Glu | 0.99211 | 0.12105 | 0.10114 | 0.0075223 | -1.3136 | 0.649 | 0.042 |  |  |
| 223Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 226GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 227Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 228Ala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 231Ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 232Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 233Gly | 0.79356 | 0.23042 |  |  | -0.36619 |  |  |  |  |
| 234Gly | 1.0005 | 0.079609 | 0.058706 | 0.0074703 | -0.51051 | 0.871 | 0.059 |  |  |
| 235Glu | 1.0245 | 0.12528 | 0.028875 | 0.010193 | -0.60437 | 0.81 | 0.099 |  |  |
| 236Ile | 0.75935 | 0.081579 | 0.086733 | 0.01259 | -0.74002 | 0.879 | 0.078 |  |  |
| 237Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 238Thr | 0.79767 | 0.085209 | 0.075715 | 0.015559 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 239His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240Ile | 1.0507 | 0.1318 | 0.13098 | 0.034672 | -0.15379 | 0.667 | 0.078 |  |  |
| 241Leu |  |  |  |  | -0.53112 |  |  | 2.594 | 0 |
| 242Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 243Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 244Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 245Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 246Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 247Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 248Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 249Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251 Tyr | 0.81686 | 0.11194 | 0.10252 | 0.0097837 | -0.98247 | 0.664 | 0.053 |  |  |
| 252Asp | 0.98323 | 0.080002 | 0.051871 | 0.0078813 | -0.33819 | 0.841 | 0.068 | 5.701 | 3.131 |
| 253Gly | 0.96432 | 0.088563 | 0.046274 | 0.0064524 | -0.072001 | 0.858 | 0.079 | 7.767 | 3.271 |
| 254Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 255Leu | 0.78201 | 0.049803 | 0.10903 | 0.0092645 | -1.0534 | 0.736 | 0.039 |  |  |
| 256Ser | 0.86188 | 0.039667 | 0.049723 | 0.0055461 |  |  |  | 4.623 | 2.354 |
| 257Asn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 258Phe | 0.87995 | 0.14978 | 0.17144 | 0.020769 |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 259Lys | 0.81612 | 0.042571 | 0.020848 | 0.010855 | -0.32578 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 260Thr | 1.0102 | 0.058021 | 0.10309 | 0.012485 | -0.57991 | 0.754 | 0.039 |  |  |
| 261Ala | 0.84647 | 0.044285 | 0.1198 | 0.0067194 | -0.32993 | 0.851 | 0.043 |  |  |
| 262Ala | 0.96508 | 0.11195 | 0.087834 | 0.010767 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 263Glu | 0.86344 | 0.044061 | 0.026641 | 0.0037153 | -0.22524 | 0.961 | 0.049 |  |  |
| 264Ser | 1.3291 | 0.064228 |  |  | -1.3671 |  |  |  |  |
| 265Phe | 0.91342 | 0.064275 | 0.04197 | 0.0069279 | -0.22276 | 0.905 | 0.064 | 5.214 | 4.463 |
| 266Lys | 0.97846 | 0.056858 | 0.032474 | 0.0054217 | -0.3417 | 0.845 | 0.049 | 17.151 | 5.202 |
| 267Gly |  |  |  |  | -1.1888 |  |  |  |  |
| 268Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2691le |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 270Leu | 0.94595 | 0.11257 | 0.12293 | 0.047308 | -0.35218 |  |  |  |  |
| 271Phe |  |  |  |  | -0.49217 |  |  |  |  |
| 272Ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 273Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 274IIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275Asp |  |  |  |  | -0.5571 |  |  |  |  |
| 276Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 277Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 278His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 279Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 280Asp | 1.0649 | 0.1783 | 0.21957 | 0.092306 |  | 0.507 | 0.088 |  |  |
| 281 Asn | 1.0229 | 0.17241 | 0.04554 | 0.0058454 |  | 0.808 | 0.136 | 8.91 | 3.575 |
| 282GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 283Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 284IIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 285Leu | 0.99525 | 0.060312 | 0.11056 | 0.010431 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 286Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 287Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 288Phe | 1.2768 | 0.065623 | 0.047797 | 0.0040579 | -1.6333 | 0.702 | 0.032 |  |  |
| 289Gly | 1.0096 | 0.093147 | 0.090914 | 0.038053 | -0.43443 | 0.81 | 0.073 |  |  |
| 290Leu | 0.83526 | 0.074327 | 0.10318 | 0.011013 | -0.68087 | 0.735 | 0.052 |  |  |
| 291Lys | 0.8556 | 0.045865 | 0.12828 | 0.0077118 | -1.2064 | 0.598 | 0.025 |  |  |
| 292Lys | 1.118 | 0.2344 | 0.059117 | 0.0056464 | -0.096276 | 0.957 | 0.084 |  |  |
| 293Glu | 1.0954 | 0.080545 | 0.045421 | 0.0039921 | -0.20724 | 0.755 | 0.056 | 9.829 | 2.132 |
| 294Glu | 0.95736 | 0.049659 | 0.048727 | 0.0049742 | -0.41181 | 0.864 | 0.045 | 6.578 | 2.216 |
| 295Cys | 1.2096 | 0.068472 | 0.048729 | 0.0062528 | -0.34965 | 0.715 | 0.038 |  |  |
| 296Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297Ala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 299Arg | 0.9324 | 0.1064 | 0.15515 | 0.060265 | -0.18132 | 0.741 | 0.085 |  |  |
| 300Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301IIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 302Thr | 1.2183 | 0.30929 | 0.11532 | 0.045532 | -0.25084 | 0.622 | 0.134 |  |  |


| 303Leu | 1.0778 | 0.077281 | 0.080436 | 0.03164 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 304Glu | 1.0666 | 0.16727 | 0.16039 | 0.012683 | -0.29898 | 0.409 | 0.03 |  |  |
| 305Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 306Glu | 1.0977 | 0.094368 | 0.22114 | 0.054847 | -0.38956 | 0.524 | 0.046 |  |  |
| 307Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 308Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 309Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 310 Tyr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 312Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 313Glu |  |  |  |  | -0.14229 |  |  |  |  |
| 314Ser | 0.94407 | 0.043458 | 0.090095 | 0.0072319 | -1.3267 | 0.81 | 0.033 |  |  |
| 315Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 316Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 317Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 318Thr | 1.0963 | 0.10815 | 0.039668 | 0.0036496 | -0.75503 | 0.754 | 0.074 | 13.033 | 2.612 |
| 319Ala | 0.70969 | 0.14485 | 0.34493 | 0.11714 | -0.2418 | 0.378 | 0.104 |  |  |
| 320Glu | 1.1591 | 0.253 | 0.19288 | 0.060995 | -0.32598 | 0.494 | 0.093 |  |  |
| 321Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 322Ile | 0.71348 | 0.35242 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.098 | 0 |
| 323 Thr | 0.8792 | 0.08328 | 0.040406 | 0.0068463 | -0.22283 | 0.941 | 0.089 | 9.565 | 4.433 |
| 324Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 326Cys | 1.1785 | 0.11715 | 0.042222 | 0.0051707 | -0.33721 | 0.702 | 0.07 | 12.357 | 3.111 |
| 327His | 1.0392 | 0.070064 | 0.04847 | 0.0016553 | -0.38523 | 0.796 | 0.054 | 9.91 | 2.36 |
| 328Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 329Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 330Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 331Glu | 1.1934 | 0.12377 | 0.051851 | 0.0037575 | -0.37259 | 0.898 | 0.055 |  |  |
| 332Gly | 0.90307 | 0.040485 | 0.10299 | 0.0075739 | -0.62689 | 0.77 | 0.03 |  |  |
| 333Lys | 0.8435 | 0.028492 | 0.063529 | 0.0065259 | -0.59326 | 0.98 | 0.031 |  |  |
| 334IIe | 0.85594 | 0.028172 | 0.35393 | 0.018936 | -1.9029 | 0.238 | 0.009 |  |  |
| 335Lys | 1.1968 | 0.38712 | 0.071571 | 0.013738 | -0.26501 | 0.803 | 0.133 |  |  |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 339Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 341GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 343Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 345Glu | 0.88303 | 0.13196 | 0.046296 | 0.0016063 | -0.52435 | 0.937 | 0.14 | 6.479 | 2.38 |
| 346Asp | 1.0891 | 0.13074 | 0.052679 | 0.0074905 | -0.2413 | 0.855 | 0.08 |  |  |

Appendix 5.5

| 347Trp | 0.94337 | 0.076485 | 0.052811 | 0.0048633 | -0.64544 | 0.877 | 0.071 | 4.784 | 2.087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 348Asp | 1.1531 | 0.090761 | 0.035723 | 0.0070816 | -0.21356 | 0.717 | 0.056 | 16.416 | 5.624 |
| 349Lys | 1.3013 | 0.41727 | 0.049722 | 0.010718 | -0.37722 | 0.858 | 0.162 |  |  |
| 350GIn | 1.0741 | 0.084316 | 0.071932 | 0.012941 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 352 Val | 1.2119 | 0.045782 | 0.048498 | 0.0096212 | -0.33482 | 0.688 | 0.026 |  |  |
| 353Lys | 1.3368 | 0.29968 | 0.064931 | 0.01161 | -0.42725 | 0.701 | 0.11 |  |  |
| 354Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 355Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 356Val | 0.63887 | 0.1369 |  |  | -0.37508 |  |  |  |  |
| 357Gly | 1.0961 | 0.34386 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 358Lys | 0.86562 | 0.065038 | 0.078749 | 0.002286 | -1.1737 | 0.802 | 0.022 |  |  |
| 359Asn | 1.2333 | 0.16863 | 0.072629 | 0.010631 | -0.56724 | 0.696 | 0.087 |  |  |
| 360Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 361Glu | 1.1264 | 0.29177 |  |  | -0.42269 | 0.693 | 0.177 |  |  |
| 362Asp | 1.2069 | 0.14497 | 0.054832 | 0.0073382 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 363 Val | 1.1765 | 0.28028 | 0.059852 | 0.01431 | -0.55406 | 0.807 | 0.139 |  |  |
| 364Ala |  |  | 0.11498 | 0.0061982 | -1.1892 |  |  | 8.698 | 0 |
| 365Phe | 1.8219 | 0.17476 |  |  | -0.58089 | 0.452 | 0.043 |  |  |
| 366Asp |  |  |  |  | -1.0903 |  |  |  |  |
| 367Glu | 0.84002 | 0.079287 |  |  | -0.1107 | 0.983 | 0.093 |  |  |
| 368Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 369Lys | 1.0577 | 0.14497 | 0.052911 | 0.018405 | -0.13213 |  |  |  |  |
| 370Asn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 371Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 372Phe | 1.4338 | 0.89937 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 373Val | 1.0232 | 0.47168 | 0.086308 | 0.017138 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 374Glu | 0.89247 | 0.075586 | 0.18312 | 0.039977 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 375Phe | 0.88853 | 0.25861 | 0.10452 | 0.013905 | -0.10883 | 0.646 | 0.073 |  |  |
| 376Tyr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 377Ala | 1.4062 | 0.5119 | 0.12899 | 0.022429 | -0.60216 | 0.623 | 0.137 |  |  |
| 378Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 379Trp | 1.1687 | 0.31805 | 0.070187 | 0.014855 | -0.23123 | 0.672 | 0.157 |  |  |
| 380Cys |  |  |  |  | -0.36345 |  |  |  |  |
| 381Gly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 382His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 383Cys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 385GIn | 1.437 | 0.27107 | 0.083444 | 0.017428 | -0.21541 | 0.633 | 0.097 |  |  |
| 386Leu | 2.0341 | 0.27221 |  |  | -0.37867 |  |  |  |  |
| 387Ala | 1.0685 | 0.11462 | 0.071216 | 0.011494 | -0.46172 | 0.799 | 0.071 |  |  |
| 388Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38911e | 1.0263 | 0.081246 | 0.083771 | 0.010395 | -0.29715 | 0.784 | 0.052 |  |  |
| 390Trp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 391Asp | 1.161 | 0.22369 | 0.10575 | 0.012172 | -0.14315 | 0.604 | 0.083 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 392Lys | 1.2829 | 0.13001 | 0.060034 | 0.012929 | -0.25636 | 0.675 | 0.063 |  |  |
| 393Leu | 1.0382 | 0.099279 | 0.073384 | 0.014522 | -0.2212 | 0.805 | 0.069 |  |  |
| 394Gly | 0.87305 | 0.10197 |  |  | -0.44404 |  |  |  |  |
| 395Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 396Thr | 1.1881 | 0.40067 | 0.058473 | 0.0032443 | -0.30846 |  |  |  |  |
| 397Tyr | 1.1108 | 0.12498 | 0.045955 | 0.0030699 | -0.77274 |  |  |  |  |
| 398Lys | 1.275 | 0.1049 | 0.016868 | 0.0084328 | -0.21719 | 0.65 | 0.053 |  |  |
| 399Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400His | 0.92277 | 0.054724 | 0.11993 | 0.009057 | -0.73414 | 0.649 | 0.031 |  |  |
| 401Glu | 1.5058 | 0.31424 |  |  | -0.059975 | 0.559 | 0.112 |  |  |
| 402Asn | 1.6236 | 0.6579 |  |  | -0.37738 |  |  |  |  |
| 403Ile | 1.1693 | 0.18471 |  |  | -0.29747 | 0.89 | 0.085 |  |  |
| 404Val | 0.66511 | 0.065521 |  |  | -0.44768 |  |  |  |  |
| 405Ile | 1.0331 | 0.3382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 406Ala | 1.0234 | 0.16807 | 0.091074 | 0.033657 | -0.49521 | 0.78 | 0.117 |  |  |
| 407Lys | 1.0613 | 0.16278 |  |  | -0.11269 |  |  |  |  |
| 408Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 5.5

| 435Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 436Thr | 1.0819 | 0.071161 | 0.063393 | 0.010407 | -0.60882 | 0.783 | 0.048 |  |  |
| 437 Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 438IIe | 1.0124 | 0.10535 |  |  | -0.073806 |  |  |  |  |
| 439Asp | 1.0495 | 0.088697 | 0.077382 | 0.0074361 | -0.095012 | 0.775 | 0.056 |  |  |
| 440Tyr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 441Asn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 442Gly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 443Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 444Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 445Thr | 1.0362 | 0.11081 | 0.044931 | 0.0061527 | -0.44135 | 0.798 | 0.085 | 9.373 | 3.345 |
| 446Leu | 1.3364 | 0.17306 | 0.050475 | 0.0048935 | -0.51247 | 0.809 | 0.066 |  |  |
| 447Asp | 0.86537 | 0.048147 | 0.098431 | 0.0030409 | -0.70039 | 0.668 | 0.018 |  |  |
| 448Gly | 0.84797 | 0.15456 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 449Phe | 0.84279 | 0.07537 | 0.10121 | 0.013285 | -0.56231 | 0.78 | 0.059 |  |  |
| 450Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 451 Lys | 0.87742 | 0.084145 | 0.26823 | 0.082713 | -0.12272 | 0.936 | 0.089 |  |  |
| 452Phe | 0.82392 | 0.10375 | 0.070744 | 0.0096195 | -0.7065 | 0.936 | 0.087 |  |  |
| 453Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 454Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 455Ser | 1.0384 | 0.17997 |  |  | -0.59076 |  |  |  |  |
| 456Gly | 0.96597 | 0.091511 | 0.067142 | 0.0091716 | -0.57036 | 0.876 | 0.068 |  |  |
| 457Gly | 1.202 | 0.095062 | 0.042238 | 0.004319 | -0.55051 | 0.688 | 0.054 | 12.57 | 2.575 |
| 458GIn | 0.96649 | 0.13888 | 0.052968 | 0.0054436 | -0.87738 |  |  |  |  |
| 459Asp | 0.82345 | 0.030751 | 0.31921 | 0.016357 | -1.4891 | 0.247 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 460Gly | 0.9344 | 0.025398 | 0.1418 | 0.0082891 | -1.1858 | 0.674 | 0.018 |  |  |
| 461Ala | 0.87186 | 0.018646 | 0.45757 | 0.017492 | -1.6364 | 0.185 | 0.005 |  |  |
| 462Gly | 1.066 | 0.057161 | 0.16733 | 0.0046332 | -1.734 | 0.393 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 463Asp | 0.90949 | 0.030061 | 0.39054 | 0.020392 | -1.793 | 0.212 | 0.008 |  |  |
| 464Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 465Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 466Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 467Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 468Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 469Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 470Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 471Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 472Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 473Ala | 0.96367 | 0.031816 | 0.47231 | 0.027498 | -1.9469 | 0.18 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 474Glu | 1.3887 | 0.18141 |  |  | -3.402 |  |  |  |  |
| 475Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 477Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 478Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 479Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 480Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 481Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 482Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 483Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 484GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 485Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486Ala | 0.94141 | 0.046929 | 0.48199 | 0.041644 | -2.3314 | 0.174 | 0.011 |  |  |
| 487Val | 0.95759 | 0.030645 | 0.54217 | 0.041609 | -2.1895 | 0.183 | 0.008 |  |  |
| 488Lys | 1.0409 | 0.052068 | 0.51881 | 0.048477 | -2.5121 | 0.169 | 0.011 |  |  |
| 489Asp | 1.1415 | 0.10401 | 0.51699 | 0.05565 | -3.1051 | 0.142 | 0.013 |  |  |
| 490Glu | 1.3893 | 0.08645 | 0.62768 | 0.050732 | -3.4986 | 0.121 | 0.008 |  |  |
| 491Leu | 1.6309 | 0.0099969 | 0.4129 | 0.0079486 | -3.2753 | 0.315 | 0.002 |  |  |

## Appendix 5.6 I272A b'xa'c Relaxation and Model-free data

| Residue | $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{s}$ | T ${ }_{1}$ crror/s | T2/s | T 2 error/s | hetNOE | S ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}^{2} \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {ex }}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 218Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 219Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 220Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 221Ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 222Glu | 0.8657885 | 0.053061 | 0.0917765 | 0.001964 | -1.2511985 | 0.708 | 0.014 |  |  |
| 223Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 226GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 227Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 228Ala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 231IIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 232Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 233Gly |  |  |  |  | -0.2588566 |  |  |  |  |
| 234Gly | 0.9949051 | 0.0681721 | 0.0471373 | 0.0017174 | -0.4392863 | 0.811 | 0.056 | 8.463 | 1.167 |
| 235Glu | 1.0100655 | 0.0853169 |  |  | -0.3605421 |  |  |  |  |
| 236Ile | 0.7872179 | 0.0340933 | 0.0621799 | 0.0011108 | -0.7523004 |  |  | 0.352 | 0 |
| 237Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 238Thr | 1.1463649 | 0.1020008 | 0.038392 | 0.0049043 | -0.1367723 | 0.704 | 0.063 | 14.981 | 3.47 |
| 239His | 0.9057724 | 0.0448839 |  |  | -0.3243161 |  |  |  |  |
| 240Ile | 0.8699022 | 0.0345871 | 0.035892 | 0.0030921 | -0.1406389 | 0.927 | 0.037 | 13.278 | 2.469 |
| 241Leu | 1.0207397 | 0.0851653 | 0.0529146 | 0.0060272 | -0.2613754 | 0.868 | 0.059 |  |  |
| 242Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 243Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 244Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 245Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 246Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 247Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 248Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 249Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251 Tyr | 0.9455891 | 0.0354998 | 0.0523416 | 0.0029719 | -0.4676438 | 0.853 | 0.032 | 5.689 | 1.196 |
| 252Asp | 0.9952644 | 0.0302875 | 0.0400069 | 0.0022811 | -0.3794213 | 0.81 | 0.025 | 12.249 | 1.477 |
| 253Gly | 0.9552576 | 0.0453635 | 0.0498527 | 0.0020749 | -0.1734317 | 0.844 | 0.04 | 6.779 | 1.046 |
| 254Lys |  |  |  |  | -7.5418566 |  |  |  |  |
| 255Leu |  |  |  |  | -0.6651447 |  |  |  |  |
| 256Ser | 0.9106205 | 0.0392055 | 0.0400099 | 0.0035206 | -0.292589 | 0.886 | 0.038 | 11.062 | 2.28 |
| 257Asn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 258Phe | 0.9576917 | 0.0513447 | 0.0844818 | 0.0078336 | -0.6297284 | 0.816 | 0.038 |  |  |


| 259Lys | 0.9998992 | 0.0441079 | 0.0383011 | 0.0022971 | -0.2009912 | 0.807 | 0.036 | 13.421 | 1.663 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 260Thr | 0.9710654 | 0.0417225 | 0.0869663 | 0.0044628 | -0.0759433 | 0.783 | 0.026 |  |  |
| 261Ala | 0.9357848 | 0.0503793 | 0.0548389 | 0.0041117 | -0.4111466 | 0.928 | 0.041 |  |  |
| 262Ala | 0.9762813 | 0.0305991 | 0.0509315 | 0.0022359 | -0.3211257 | 0.826 | 0.026 | 6.64 | 0.953 |
| 263Glu | 1.0354593 | 0.0426376 | 0.0385205 | 0.0019808 | -0.4364083 | 0.779 | 0.032 | 13.708 | 1.427 |
| 264Ser | 1.12444 | 0.0494378 |  |  | -0.7744348 |  |  |  |  |
| 265Phe | 0.9778937 | 0.0376882 | 0.0433346 | 0.0021642 | -0.3410691 | 0.825 | 0.032 | 10.103 | 1.256 |
| 266Lys | 1.0723055 | 0.0313918 | 0.035724 | 0.002604 | -0.3225178 | 0.752 | 0.022 | 16.162 | 2.07 |
| 267Gly |  |  |  |  | -0.0426366 |  |  |  |  |
| 268Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 269Ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 270Leu | 0.897747 | 0.0482918 |  |  | -0.2820848 |  |  |  |  |
| 271Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 272Ala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 273Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 274IIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 276Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 277Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 278His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 279Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 280Asp | 1.0255851 | 0.1285446 | 0.0392201 | 0.0047813 | -0.3602967 | 0.786 | 0.099 | 13.127 | 3.474 |
| 281Asn | 0.9531363 | 0.0450259 | 0.0658384 | 0.0098493 | -0.2389923 | 0.855 | 0.039 |  |  |
| 282GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 283Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 284Ile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 285Leu | 0.9868001 | 0.038995 | 0.0647563 | 0.0040541 | -0.4914541 | 0.853 | 0.029 |  |  |
| 286Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 287Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 288Phe | 0.766224 | 0.0269535 | 0.0483416 | 0.0040633 | -0.2202869 |  |  | 4.956 | 0 |
| 289Gly | 0.9992084 | 0.020387 | 0.0416873 | 0.0062295 | -0.2220481 | 0.807 | 0.016 | 11.292 | 3.594 |
| 290Leu | 1.04783 | 0.0319296 | 0.0571885 | 0.0052952 | -0.6011904 | 0.787 | 0.023 |  |  |
| 291Lys | 0.8896019 | 0.0357137 | 0.1462808 | 0.0053385 | -0.9486658 | 0.51 | 0.015 |  |  |
| 292Lys | 1.0895562 | 0.0492406 | 0.0395559 | 0.0022116 | -0.2647635 | 0.74 | 0.033 | 13.637 | 1.508 |
| 293Glu | 1.0156692 | 0.0370207 | 0.0393282 | 0.0018062 | -0.3085213 | 0.794 | 0.029 | 12.937 | 1.253 |
| 294Glu | 0.9433703 | 0.0302011 | 0.0449972 | 0.000726 | -0.2857793 | 0.855 | 0.027 | 8.776 | 0.56 |
| 295Cys | 1.0232564 | 0.019741 | 0.0448465 | 0.0012591 | -0.9970792 | 0.788 | 0.015 | 9.901 | 0.67 |
| 296Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297Ala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 299Arg | 1.0651301 | 0.0651218 | 0.1024153 | 0.0085612 | -0.1198473 | 0.697 | 0.035 |  |  |
| 300Leu | 1.0406508 | 0.151602 |  |  | -0.3477844 |  |  |  |  |
| 301IIe | 1.1928372 | 0.1969139 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 302 Thr | 1.2572278 | 0.198674 |  |  | -0.4293677 |  |  |  |  |


| 303Leu | 1.0266981 | 0.0775563 | 0.0419659 | 0.0046949 | -0.3487878 | 0.786 | 0.059 | 11.473 | 2.825 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 304Glu | 0.9520052 | 0.0486742 | 0.0623817 | 0.003273 | -0.6268159 | 0.915 | 0.034 |  |  |
| 305Glu | 0.816866 | 0.0975797 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 306Glu | 1.1114446 | 0.0656397 | 0.0492332 | 0.0025922 | -0.5115295 | 0.886 | 0.036 |  |  |
| 307Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 308Thr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 309Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 310Tyr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311 Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 312Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 313Glu | 1.4974056 | 0.28972 |  |  | -0.3516378 |  |  |  |  |
| 314Ser | 0.9979275 | 0.0394312 | 0.0825379 | 0.0067108 | -1.2541972 | 0.8 | 0.028 |  |  |
| 315Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 316Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 317Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 318Thr | 1.1191403 | 0.0453063 | 0.0407427 | 0.0014197 | -0.5530079 | 0.721 | 0.029 | 13.208 | 0.971 |
| 319Ala | 0.969615 | 0.1814147 | 0.0728147 | 0.0139437 | -0.2171858 | 0.851 | 0.114 |  |  |
| 320Glu | 0.9075377 | 0.0782389 | 0.0335049 | 0.0047274 | -0.2509594 | 0.889 | 0.077 | 15.867 | 4.38 |
| 321Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 322IIe | 0.8270934 | 0.0663408 |  |  | -0.07002 |  |  |  |  |
| 323Thr | 0.9744621 | 0.0486349 | 0.0352891 | 0.0010343 | -0.4699842 | 0.828 | 0.041 | 15.319 | 1.054 |
| 324Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 326Cys | 1.1590752 | 0.0879978 | 0.0412052 | 0.0020994 | -0.2957445 | 0.696 | 0.053 | 13.324 | 1.49 |
| 327 His | 1.0213101 | 0.0454901 | 0.03177 | 0.0015343 | -0.3060627 | 0.79 | 0.035 | 19.055 | 1.618 |
| 328Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 329Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 330Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 331Glu | 0.9878673 | 0.0462812 | 0.0423009 | 0.0018347 | -0.2404001 | 0.816 | 0.038 | 10.798 | 1.189 |
| 332Gly | 0.8673691 | 0.0229535 | 0.0991598 | 0.0041585 | -0.6164224 | 0.798 | 0.018 |  |  |
| 333Lys | 0.9309263 | 0.0560109 | 0.075638 | 0.0065925 | -0.0682911 | 0.858 | 0.042 |  |  |
| 334IIe | 0.8601859 | 0.0324615 | 0.3229947 | 0.0117143 | -1.5204898 | 0.226 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 335Lys | 0.9440131 | 0.1136997 | 0.0371042 | 0.0012738 | -0.1899617 | 0.854 | 0.103 | 13.513 | 1.864 |
| 336Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 339Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340Ser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 341Gln |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 343Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 345Glu | 1.1176688 | 0.0569891 | 0.0530541 | 0.0036796 | -0.2269566 | 0.8 | 0.034 |  |  |
| 346Asp | 1.1058655 | 0.0655001 |  |  | -0.4161433 |  |  |  |  |


| 347Trp | 0.9355902 | 0.0415801 | 0.1441733 | 0.018993 | -0.6945023 | 0.734 | 0.032 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 348Asp | 1.1779332 | 0.0560017 | 0.0473924 | 0.004528 | -0.2993048 | 0.724 | 0.032 |  |  |
| 349Lys | 1.2594959 | 0.0621607 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350GIn | 1.1415756 | 0.0104831 | 0.0905245 | 0.0169717 | -0.1818991 | 0.706 | 0.006 |  |  |
| 351Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 352Val | 1.1807569 | 0.0709493 | 0.0370979 | 0.0018546 | -0.4018977 | 0.683 | 0.041 | 16.211 | 1.494 |
| 353Lys | 1.109083 | 0.0990816 | 0.0458638 | 0.0062322 | -0.2595854 | 0.727 | 0.065 | 10.365 | 3.134 |
| 354Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 355Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 356Val |  |  |  |  | -0.4604829 |  |  |  |  |
| 357Gly |  |  |  |  | -0.729881 |  |  |  |  |
| 358Lys | 0.8802423 | 0.0535182 | 0.1074493 | 0.0070845 | -0.8537923 | 0.698 | 0.032 |  |  |
| 359Asn | 1.3839902 | 0.0673944 | 0.0454497 | 0.0050761 | -0.1582148 | 0.609 | 0.028 |  |  |
| 360Phe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 361Glu |  |  |  |  | -0.4392981 |  |  |  |  |
| 362Asp | 1.3081992 | 0.1119393 | 0.036021 | 0.0088786 | -0.1962846 | 0.616 | 0.053 | 18.064 | 6.893 |
| 363Val | 1.2347735 | 0.1548006 | 0.0785533 | 0.0138808 | -0.2966348 | 0.692 | 0.071 |  |  |
| 364Ala | 1.0022453 | 0.0357448 | 0.1279008 | 0.0057974 | -0.8355065 | 0.614 | 0.018 |  |  |
| 365Phe | 1.4238109 | 0.1440006 |  |  | -0.322297 |  |  |  |  |
| 366Asp |  |  |  |  | -5.3424005 |  |  |  |  |
| 367Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 368Lys | 1.2086081 | 0.1055867 |  |  | -0.2795007 |  |  |  |  |
| 369Lys | 1.2594521 | 0.2008561 | 0.0584319 | 0.0031947 | -0.4257869 |  |  |  |  |
| 370Asn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 371Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 372Phe | 1.5274558 | 0.2984848 | 0.0840414 | 0.0191265 | -0.244711 | 0.588 | 0.088 |  |  |
| 373Val | 0.7589645 | 0.0417981 | 0.1604366 | 0.0426651 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 374Glu | 0.8640315 | 0.0441949 | 0.0434953 | 0.00317 | -0.1948411 | 0.933 | 0.048 | 8.308 | 1.836 |
| 375Phe |  |  | 0.0734245 | 0.020673 | -0.1513924 |  |  |  |  |
| 376Tyr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 377Ala | 1.5858706 | 0.1934743 |  |  | -0.1136027 |  |  |  |  |
| 378Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 379Trp | 1.1763759 | 0.0785291 | 0.0322958 | 0.007847 | -0.1910693 | 0.686 | 0.046 | 20 | 7.558 |
| 380Cys | 1.0971953 | 0.1826264 | 0.0382239 | 0.0071329 | -0.3533018 | 0.735 | 0.122 | 14.599 | 5.248 |
| 381Gly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 382His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 383Cys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384Lys | 1.0421347 | 0.110383 | 0.0636206 | 0.0112754 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 385GIn | 1.1878191 | 0.07818 | 0.0239387 | 0.0027094 | -0.2119037 | 0.694 | 0.045 | 20 | 4.78 |
| 386Leu | 1.1216094 | 0.0776292 |  |  | -0.1011553 |  |  |  |  |
| 387Ala | 1.1006558 | 0.0369174 | 0.0412011 | 0.0080435 | -0.6743822 | 0.734 | 0.025 | 10 | 4.754 |
| 388Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 389Ile | 1.089089 | 0.1046289 |  |  | -0.572229 |  |  |  |  |
| 390Trp | 1.0948016 | 0.177173 | 0.0685896 | 0.0101653 |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 391Asp | 1.0898152 | 0.0469731 | 0.1387241 | 0.0201271 | -0.1160787 | 0.687 | 0.029 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 392Lys | 1.2896342 | 0.0889561 | 0.0440251 | 0.0048366 | -0.654172 | 0.682 | 0.042 |  |  |
| 393Leu | 1.160493 | 0.0800532 | 0.0427048 | 0.0046398 | -0.2477334 | 0.695 | 0.048 | 12.485 | 2.654 |
| 394Gly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 395Glu |  |  |  |  | -0.4179524 |  |  |  |  |
| 396Thr | 0.7996652 | 0.0402174 | 0.066046 | 0.0042206 | -0.4701433 | 0.99 | 0.039 |  |  |
| 397Tyr | 1.0509366 | 0.068629 | 0.0484902 | 0.0017754 | -0.5560819 | 0.767 | 0.05 | 8.55 | 1.092 |
| 398Lys | 0.8372012 | 0.1043281 | 0.0271631 | 0.0022129 | -0.3002482 | 0.993 | 0.12 | 20 | 3.544 |
| 399Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400His | 0.8391009 | 0.058205 | 0.0823486 | 0.0028778 | -0.9397504 | 0.799 | 0.025 |  |  |
| 401Glu | 1.1286534 | 0.1191573 | 0.0317254 | 0.0029258 | -0.1828042 | 0.717 | 0.075 | 20 | 3.14 |
| 402Asn | 0.9310689 | 0.1194161 |  |  | -0.304284 |  |  |  |  |
| 403Ile |  |  |  |  | -0.0440199 |  |  |  |  |
| 404Val |  |  | 0.0504469 | 0.0126135 | -0.2494588 |  |  |  |  |
| 405IIe |  |  | 0.1142753 | 0.0209954 | -0.2860353 |  |  |  |  |
| 406Ala | 1.0097308 | 0.110296 | 0.0375096 | 0.005782 | -0.188379 | 0.799 | 0.087 | 14.097 | 4.333 |
| 407Lys | 1.0881839 | 0.1208947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 408Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 409Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 410Ser | 0.9769521 | 0.0699195 |  |  | -0.1636374 |  |  |  |  |
| 411Thr |  |  |  |  | -0.2072613 |  |  |  |  |
| 412Ala |  |  |  |  | -0.6099079 |  |  |  |  |
| 413Asn | 1.0556729 | 0.0362678 | 0.0372062 | 0.0020659 | -0.2585701 | 0.764 | 0.026 | 14.86 | 1.548 |
| 414Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 415Val | 1.1551434 | 0.0898636 | 0.0388238 | 0.0053736 | -0.4173847 | 0.698 | 0.054 | 14.775 | 3.666 |
| 416Glu | 1.1742396 | 0.0880371 | 0.0459651 | 0.0060743 | -0.2613929 | 0.738 | 0.05 |  |  |
| 417Ala | 1.1420657 | 0.0774751 | 0.0327049 | 0.0021607 | -0.3881908 | 0.706 | 0.048 | 19.468 | 2.156 |
| 418 Val | 1.3211388 | 0.1097839 |  |  | -3.4610231 |  |  |  |  |
| 419Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420 Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 421His |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 422Ser | 1.3559811 | 0.1136434 |  |  | -0.5710778 |  |  |  |  |
| 423Phe | 1.1451502 | 0.0498081 | 0.0624484 | 0.0081065 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 424Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 425Thr | 1.0039559 | 0.0986151 |  |  | -0.1070298 |  |  |  |  |
| 426Leu | 1.0624079 | 0.0778756 | 0.0440732 | 0.007004 | -0.4279466 | 0.759 | 0.056 | 10.75 | 3.71 |
| 427Lys | 1.1065098 | 0.0723999 |  |  | -0.2079964 |  |  |  |  |
| 428Phe |  |  |  |  | -0.0670697 |  |  |  |  |
| 429Phe | 1.2394729 | 0.1469693 | 0.0485571 | 0.0091064 | -0.3295475 | 0.71 | 0.074 |  |  |
| 430Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 431Ala | 1.3155424 | 0.0522057 |  |  | -0.4429593 |  |  |  |  |
| 432Ser | 0.8347549 | 0.0587638 | 0.0526891 | 0.0073835 | -0.3325891 | 0.966 | 0.068 | 3.783 | 2.867 |
| 433Ala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 434Asp | 1.1769274 | 0.0907767 |  |  | -0.2610787 |  |  |  |  |
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| 435Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 436Thr | 1.1588669 | 0.1111516 | 0.0346933 | 0.0018448 | -0.5034227 | 0.696 | 0.067 | 17.877 | 1.858 |
| 437 Val | 1.04783 | 0.0684382 |  |  | -0.398349 |  |  |  |  |
| 438Ile | 0.9530225 | 0.1548119 | 0.1013483 | 0.0337006 | -0.4011353 | 0.78 | 0.115 |  |  |
| 439Asp | 1.1503889 | 0.0825541 | 0.0419693 | 0.004773 | -0.2805491 | 0.701 | 0.05 | 12.799 | 2.823 |
| 440 Tyr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 441Asn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 442Gly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 443Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 444Arg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 445Thr | 1.112639 | 0.0477695 | 0.0453182 | 0.0019392 | -0.4767054 | 0.725 | 0.031 | 10.664 | 1.064 |
| 446Leu | 1.1717494 | 0.0557598 | 0.0506786 | 0.0043654 | -0.0271312 | 0.736 | 0.031 |  |  |
| 447Asp | 0.8819286 | 0.034978 | 0.1359215 | 0.0074258 | -0.7139761 | 0.616 | 0.021 |  |  |
| 448Gly | 1.1667969 | 0.1836047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 449Phe | 0.9219761 | 0.0765809 |  |  | -0.2464833 |  |  |  |  |
| 450Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 451 Lys | 1.1328831 | 0.0801807 | 0.1606832 | 0.0307013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 452Phe | 0.7362345 | 0.0313989 | 0.0625575 | 0.0032631 | -0.7868927 | 1 | 0 | 0.255 | 0 |
| 453Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 454Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 455Ser | 1.276383 | 0.1206294 |  |  | -1.6170946 |  |  |  |  |
| 456Gly | 0.978422 | 0.0660027 | 0.0482304 | 0.0039139 | -0.4405891 | 0.824 | 0.056 | 7.768 | 1.896 |
| 457Gly | 0.9987044 | 0.0552533 | 0.0433219 | 0.0042172 | -0.4987121 | 0.808 | 0.045 | 10.38 | 2.354 |
| 458GIn | 1.0263165 | 0.0559632 | 0.0466907 | 0.0002936 | -0.735535 | 0.786 | 0.043 | 9.055 | 0.687 |
| 459Asp | 0.8045711 | 0.0278771 | 0.3292223 | 0.0155212 | -1.4900463 | 0.245 | 0.009 |  |  |
| 460Gly | 0.9416667 | 0.0427083 | 0.1527926 | 0.0068247 | -1.1792803 | 0.498 | 0.017 |  |  |
| 461Ala | 0.8697442 | 0.0192825 | 0.447579 | 0.0166892 | -1.5591128 | 0.191 | 0.005 |  |  |
| 462Gly | 1.0350428 | 0.0531961 |  |  | -1.8399625 |  |  |  |  |
| 463Asp | 0.8754269 | 0.0294961 | 0.3727984 | 0.0195186 | -1.6592665 | 0.228 | 0.009 |  |  |
| 464Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 465Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 466Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 467Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 468Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 469Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 470Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 471Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 472Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 473Ala | 0.94223 | 0.032721 | 0.4771922 | 0.0263685 | -1.8692891 | 0.175 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 474Glu | 1.3006152 | 0.1012529 |  |  | -0.3782554 |  |  |  |  |
| 475Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 476Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 477Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 478Met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 479Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 480Glu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 481Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 482Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 483Asp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 484GIn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 485Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486Ala | 0.9236309 | 0.0459367 |  |  | -1.8470411 |  |  |  |  |
| 487Val | 0.9452844 | 0.0350752 | 0.5518533 | 0.0279023 | -2.1150401 | 0.139 | 0.006 |  |  |
| 488Lys | 1.0277005 | 0.0578372 | 0.5210996 | 0.0444134 | -2.3875716 | 0.157 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 489Asp | 1.0682527 | 0.053977 | 0.5416986 | 0.0479071 | -2.6645145 | 0.161 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 490Glu | 1.2689928 | 0.0757987 | 0.629564 | 0.0550278 | -2.8945189 | 0.128 | 0.009 |  |  |
| 491Leu | 1.6293568 | 0.0103265 | 0.3519658 | 0.0051126 | -3.2296583 | 0.31 | 0.002 |  |  |

