DEREK WALCOTT AND THE APPLE OF HIS ISLAND

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Kent, Canterbury

1998
ABSTRACT

Paula Burnett, Derek Walcott and the Apple of his Island

An overview of Derek Walcott’s poetry and drama is provided in two parts, with Shakespeare’s Tempest providing epigraphs for each chapter and the theme of the whole. The argument is that, like Gonzalo’s vision of commonwealth which is likened to an apple to be taken home in the pocket, Walcott offers his art to the world as the fruit of a distinctively Caribbean vision, in the faith that language can help to bring into being that of which it speaks. The Rastafarian pronoun ‘I-an-I’ is used to figure a fresh approach to identity, recognizing both difference and similitude. Particular use is made of Walcott’s own exposition of his thinking in his essays, public appearances and interviews, including broadcasts and unpublished interviews with the author, included as appendices. The first part focuses on ideology, the second on craft. In the first, four chapters investigate themes of identity, place and history, and culminate in a reading of Walcott as a mythopoeic writer engaged in a more political aesthetic project of counter-discourse than is often recognized. In the second part, an initial chapter on language is followed by a series of more detailed analyses of the crafting of particular works. His use of mythopoeic imagery and of intertextuality in his poetry is discussed. Other chapters evaluate several plays, drawing on drafts, revisions, and some unpublished scripts, as well as on published texts. Overall, poems and plays are discussed on an equal basis, as a counter to the tendency for them to be considered as radically distinct areas of endeavour, when in fact they have been synchronic, mutually involved and reciprocally reinforcing throughout a long career. The picture of Walcott which emerges is of an epic poet of remarkable gifts, applied simultaneously to the current political and cultural project and to the celebration of his heritage of place, people and language.
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Preface

This study aims to do two things which I feel existing critiques miss. The first is to read Walcott's work in the light of his own views, and the second is to map some of his aesthetic strategies. Clearly neither of these can be exhaustive, but I hope that some fresh approaches will perhaps shed a clearer light on the world of Walcott's art. The first part examines his ideology, paying particular attention to his own exposition of his thinking, in his essays, public appearances, and interviews, and relating his discursive strategy to a range of the fiction. It shows him as a mythopoeic writer, engaged both in the dialectics of counter-discourse, and in the initiation of new practices, marking Caribbean difference. The second part, with his craft as its theme, begins with a focus on his approach to language, and then gives some more detailed readings of the crafting of particular works, some of them familiar, others less so. My overall intention is to grapple with some of the meanings of 'epic' in relation to what is now an extensive and extraordinary oeuvre, produced over half a century of remarkably consistent and strikingly original literary production, profound, historically important, and continually of memorable beauty. Poems and plays are included on an equal basis, since it is central to my intention to consider the drama alongside the poetry. There is a tendency for these to be discussed as radically distinct areas of endeavour, and to be evaluated according to different criteria, when in fact they have been synchronic, mutually involved and reciprocally reinforcing throughout Walcott's career.

This is presented as a thematic not a chronological survey, partly because there are now some useful introductory works arranged on historical principles, and because there is an unmet need, I think, for some kind of overview which responds to the work as a whole, and to its abiding concerns. Given the length of his career and its productivity, Walcott's writing in fact displays a remarkable degree of interior consistency. Each work can be situated in the corpus and in the wider historical moment, but each also bears unmistakably the Walcott stamp. Everything he has written can be regarded as part of his ongoing epic project to
name Caribbeanness to the world, and in so doing, to name the world. He offers not only a burial rite for empire (or perhaps a 'putting it to bed', as publishers say), but a praise-song for Caribbean people's survival and for the freshness and richness of their culture – for their rootedness in the conviction that the solitary self finds meaning in its sharing with the other, which is the basis of community. The Rastafarian pronoun ‘I-an-I’ – a coinage which refuses the distinction of singular and plural – provides masts for my ship. This study suggests that it symbolizes a radically different approach to identity from the modern orthodoxy, and that it bears a profoundly optimistic message.

Shakespeare, who also combined the arts of poetry and drama, named his world at the beginning of the empire called English. I borrow his Tempest, which shows an uncanny awareness of the implications of the political world that was then just beginning, to rope the rigging of my argument. Its reef-knots tying the chapters lead also to my title. I hope it says something about love and about health, about giving and receiving, as well as about vision. For although punning is often scorned, Walcott knows that it is the soul of humour in a language, and demonstrates its riches. His work, after all, is a homage to the English language – the great republic – in all its diversity, its odyssey still unfolding. Its bounty was his inheritance, and he has returned it enriched.

I thank Derek Walcott for the pleasure his work has given me, a steady delight over many years. I shall not forget my first night in Jamaica, reading Ti-Jean and his Brothers in the warm, musical darkness, while the children, then little, slept – moved and amazed, and wondering why I had never heard of this writer. Now the whole literary world has heard of him. It has been a privilege to be alive, now, among the first readers of some of the great works in the English language we share, as they were being written, published and performed, and to have had the opportunity to talk about them with their author.

Last but not least, I thank Louis James for his generosity, his encouragement, and his gentle tenacity, in coaxing me to see this through, despite what at times seemed long odds. We have gone rather grey in the process, and the children have all grown up, but for me it has been worth it.

Wimbledon, 12 May 1998
Sebastian: I think he will carry this island home in his pocket and give it his son for an apple.
Antonio: And, sowing the kernels of it in the sea, bring forth more islands. [Tempest, II.i.95-98]

The apple is the symbol of knowledge, of experience, the fruit of all fruits with a crucial but ambivalent place in the western tradition’s mythification of the human condition. After its consumption there is no innocence. Yet when Shakespeare's Tempest invites us to consider the island legacy as an apple to be given to the next generation, to the children, it remains a positive idea, for all its framing in the scornful irony of Sebastian, its speaker. The quality of the idea transcends its pejorative contextualization. This is the pattern for Gonzalo's role in the play: the ideas he speaks transcend the immediate context of their utterance. As Peter Hulme observes, 'he is, as it were, both actor and critic, a combination which allows the play to discuss its own meaning in an almost Brechtian fashion.' The degenerate social map of Prospero's island is ultimately powerless to cancel the dream of a better one. Utopias such as Gonzalo's dream of the ideal society as 'commonwealth' still haunt the imagination in our time, as the popularity of such works as John Lennon's song 'Imagine' testifies. In relation to the specifically racialized Atlantic societies, the dream to which Martin Luther King gave voice will not be forgotten, nor will Nelson Mandela's faith in the idea of the 'rainbow nation' of South Africa, however imperfect, as yet, their delivery.

Nor is Prospero's abuse of magic as power able to destroy the 'real' magic of the island, as trope of imaginative potentiality and natural beauty, capable of replicating itself elsewhere. As Antonio's sarcasm has it, one may by 'sowing the kernels of it in the sea, bring forth more islands'. An absurd proposition, clearly, at the materialist level of the speaker's thought, but not to a creative thinker. Crucially the exchange serves as a reminder that meaning cannot be controlled: the 'intended' meaning of Sebastian and Antonio is not a limit-case, and cannot prevent the hearer investing their words with other meanings. Gonzalo's discourse is inserted into the
play for a reason, and although mocked within the drama, remains open to being given different significance. That language is an inherently revolutionary practice, open always to the new, is the core also of Walcott's aesthetic.

The gift is given but the receiver can also give. The artist returns the gift of art with new art. Walcott's own cultural situation, the island — as social, spiritual, geographical and historical space — is one of the gifts which is offered to the world through his art. The Tempest is also one of the givens, which can be handed on, invested with new meanings. Walcott has said of his own maturation as artist, 'I needed to become omnivorous about the art and literature of Europe to understand my own world. I write "my own world" because I had no doubt it was mine, that it was given to me, by God, not by history, with my gift.'

In his writing Walcott sometimes uses the figure of fruit to engage with the Caribbean experience, offering opposite the northern 'ice-apple', imported to the Caribbean, indigenous fruit such as the star-apple, the pomme cythère (the apple of Venus' island, the pomegranate), and the pomme arac (the apple of the Arawaks, who were there first). As a poet of the New World he distinguishes the longing for innocence as the nostalgia of the Old World:

The great poetry of the New World does not pretend to such innocence, its vision is not naive. Rather, like its fruits, its savor is a mixture of the acid and the sweet, the apples of its second Eden have the tartness of experience. In such poetry there is a bitter memory and it is the bitterness that dries last on the tongue. It is the acidulous that supplies its energy. The golden apples of this sun are shot with acid...For us in the archipelago the tribal memory is salted with the bitter memory of migration.

The world itself is likened to a great fruit, history to its splitting and reassembling in the archipelago. Walcott ends his essay 'The Muse of History' with a rite of homage to the twin ancestry, black and white, he shares with his people: 'I give the strange and bitter yet ennobling thanks for the monumental groaning and soldering of two great worlds, like the halves of a fruit seamed by its own bitter juice, that exiled from your own Edens you have placed me in the wonder of another, and that was my inheritance and your gift.'

There is cultural particularity hidden in the image. Walcott writing of his first ten years in Trinidad records the persistence in the Port of Spain townscape of 'the same plump East Indian woman opposite the Queen's Royal College tower slicing and
salting oranges whose halves some Spanish poet has compared to a cathedral window. That acridness is the real tropical savour, hidden in sapodillas, plums, pommes cytheres and pommes aracs. The same essay describes the homesickness for Trinidad induced in him as a young man in New York, by a screening of Satyajit Ray’s film about rural India, *Pather Panchali*, which made Walcott aware of how much Trinidad, in all its cultural pluralism, had become a version of home:

it confirmed my exile, and my adoption. I was adrift, I had my own loss, but this homesickness for Trinidad anchored me. And since then I have preserved a nostalgia for the loss of my own India, and I wish it will widen towards all who begin here, Portuguese, Jew, Chinese and Levantine. The fear of finding Trinidad so cosmopolitan that it seemed characterless had gone. The ‘fruit seamed by its own bitter juice’ is a riposte to the bifurcating colonial discourse – the discourse of scorn for the Caribbean communities as inferior, and the romantic discourse which trades on the illusion of the region as a hedonist paradise of pleasure (part of what David Dabydeen calls ‘the pornography of empire’). Walcott’s is an eloquent image of ambivalence, of the bitter-sweet simultaneous recognition of exile and home, of destruction and creation, of wrong and redemption – of experience. It is not self-deceiving, but is about self-knowledge. The salted juice has its own new flavour, unlike anything else. For all its tartness the gift of such a fruit remains, like Gonzalo’s apple, a benign legacy, offering nourishment.

For him, maturity is the ‘assimilation of the features of every ancestor’, the artist self-empowering as assimilator, not absorbed in the assimilationist project of the other. The distinction is crucial. In the words of Wilson Harris, ‘We are the first potential parents who can contain the ancestral house’. Focused on language – the gift which is ‘irretrievably given’ – the desire to respond to the colonizing project produces certain options. Walcott rejects both the literature of recrimination (of the descendents of the slave) and of remorse (of descendents of the colonizer) because they remain locked in a Manichean dialectics, reinscribing and perpetuating a negative pattern. His own position is a primary identification with the first group, the mixed-race self focused on the predominance of black people in its community. ‘Our symbol is not Ariel’, argues Roberto Retamar, ‘but Caliban. This is something which we the “mestizos”, who live in these islands where Caliban lived, perceive with particular clarity...What is our history, what is our culture but the history, but the culture of Caliban? The Caribbean artist’s sense that s/he serves the community is
Introduction

typically acute. When Derek Walcott received the Nobel prize for literature he named that self-identification with the people. 'This is the benediction that is celebrated, a fresh language and a fresh people, and this is the frightening duty owed,' he said: 'I stand here in their name if not their image'.

But it is particularly, as Shakespearean commentators have observed, Caliban's language on which _The Tempest's_ meanings bear down. Learning to curse is the language lesson he voices to Prospero as the product of empire, but this version of his language practice takes no account of his poetry, as Walcott points out. It is only by stepping outside the blighted perspective of the power-abusers that a more convincing and complete map of Caliban's experience and practice can be perceived.

As Sebastian comments, when Gonzalo decodes his combative interruption within the compass of his own intended meaning, 'You have taken it wiselier than I meant you should.' Walcott uses precisely this strategy – inviting us to 'take it wiselier' – to demonstrate the uniquely redemptive power of language, relying on 'the faith of using the old names anew.' Caliban enters the play cursing Prospero, and proceeds to expound the monstrosity of his dispossession and abuse by him. Caribbean people, like Caliban, have good reason for wanting revenge on their exploiters, past and present. Walcott proposes instead the refusal of revenge and of its verbal mode, curse, but as a positive rather than a negative movement, a marker of a greater humanity. It enables Froude's infamous, late nineteenth-century slur – 'There are no people there in the true sense of the word, with a character and purpose of their own' – to be finally cancelled. Walcott models the archetypal Caribbean self who is not 'nobody' but a 'nation'. Naipaul's equally infamous claim that 'nothing was created in the West Indies' (an early remark which his own achievements belie) is revised as a triumphant something. In 1966 the Barbadian novelist and political thinker George Lamming anatomized the cultural ambivalence – the split in the sense of self which Walcott and others have dubbed 'schizophrenia' – caused by colonialism:

the West Indian sensibility shows the scars of this fracture, reveals the acuteness of this crisis to a degree that is, in my view, unique in the modern world. Hence the dramatic search for identity which, starting with an awareness of having _nothing_, encourages and promotes an appetite for comprehending _everything_. The West Indian artist with a fortunate range of gifts is a man who functions always in a spiritual state of extremism.
In language it is possible to transcend the competitive, reactionary stance: the Caribbean artist naming his people's experience is a Dedalus on an unconfined project. If his work soars above that of his exemplars, that is his gift to the world, the proffered apple.

Walcott distances himself from those Caribbean writers who 'cannot separate the rage of Caliban from the beauty of his speech'. To Walcott the crux is that 'the speeches of Caliban are equal in their elemental power to those of his tutor'. When, in this way, the 'language of the torturer [is] mastered by the victim', this should be seen not as 'servitude' but as 'victory'. The empowering stance is neither the refusal of language nor the use of it for revenge, but the use of it to transcend its deployment by the oppressor. Margaret Paul Joseph argues that 'Caliban verbalizes the truth of actual experience, because doing so has therapeutic effect'. This is part of the truth, the part that relates to the mimetic project. But there is another side to it in Walcott's formulation: the aesthetics that equal in 'elemental power' those of the oppressor.

Shakespeare's *Tempest* has acquired a special significance for the postcolonial world, as well as having long held a special place in the Shakespeare canon and in the Shakespeare myth. It was one of the earliest mythifications of European overseas empire. Although thought to be his last play, it was chosen by John Heming and Henry Condell to head the posthumous collected edition of the plays in 1623. Since 1905 it has also been placed first in the widely distributed Oxford edition of the complete works, with the OUP escutcheon on the title page showing an open book, with seven seals. Contemporary readers would have recognized it as the sacred book described in *Revelation*, the final book of the Christian Bible. The opening of its first six seals produce the apocalypse of destruction, but the seventh initiates peace – for half an hour. The OUP emblem displays, as the text visible on the open book, the Latin Vulgate text, *DOMINUS ILLUMINATIO MEA*, 'Lord, my light'. Walcott ironizes its symbolism for the colonial, cherishing no illusions about the 'civilizing' mission:

> The tongues above our prayers utter the pain of entire races to the darkness of a Manichean God: *Dominus illuminatio mea*, for what was brought to this New World under the guise of divine light, the light of the sword blade and the light of *dominus illuminatio mea*, was the same iridescent serpent brought by a contaminating Adam, the same tortured Christ exhibited with Christian exhaustion, but what was also brought in the seeded entrails of the slave was a new nothing, a darkness which intensified the old faith.
The ‘darkness’ – Prospero’s term\textsuperscript{23} – of Caliban (whose name is usually given an etymology from ‘Carib’ although it also contains the old English term ‘ban’, which means both ‘summon to arms’ and ‘curse’) is here seen not as opposed to the vaunted ‘light’ of the Christian mission, but as its ‘intensifier’. Walcott shows how the colonized of the Caribbean took over Christianity and made it their own. He deconstructs the imperial project, as did Shakespeare, not least by calling his power-wielder Prospero, a name which contemporaries would have heard as resonating with the Latin for ‘I cause to prosper.’ In Walcott’s work, both counter-terms of ‘civilization’ in colonial discourse – darkness and nothingness – are reinvested with positive meaning. Language, he perceives, is not locked to the past.

For \textit{The Tempest} is not only a principal text in the canonical hierarchy of English literature, it had a lead role in the imperial project, as the place of revelation in the Shakespeare canon, as \textit{alpha} and \textit{omega}, printed first though written last. Its mythification of the colonial locus of European empire as a symbolic island experience has prompted all kinds of counter-canonical engagements, the earliest being Ernest Renan’s 1878 play, \textit{Caliban: Suite de la Tempête}, which draws the figure of Caliban into a revolutionary foreground, but among the most conspicuous are those from Caribbean writers, who write with authority from their own island experience. George Lamming in 1960 theorized his extended creative engagement, as novelist, with Shakespeare’s play in his collection of essays, \textit{The Pleasures of Exile}, which both claims and renames the Calibanic experience. Like Walcott he envisages the Caribbean Caliban’s language as redemptive, using Prospero’s legacy of language – not to curse our meeting – but to push it further, reminding the descendants of both sides that what’s done is done, and can only be seen as a soil from which other gifts, or the same gift endowed with different meanings, may grow towards a future which is colonised by our acts in this moment, but which must always remain open.\textsuperscript{24}

In 1969 the Martinican poet and politician Aimé Césaire wrote his own intertextual drama, \textit{Une Tempête}, ’a critical reflection on the value system of Western humanism’, which James Arnold sees as making ’the valuable point that the white world needs to learn from the experience of the nonwhite world.’\textsuperscript{25}

In the early 1990s Kamau Brathwaite, in a lecture ’Caliban’s Guarden’ given in England at the University of Kent, describes the play as ‘written as if someone did
internally understand the illogicalities of plantation and slavery'. Brathwaite ponders, rightly I think, whether Shakespeare might have voyaged to the Caribbean before writing the drama. He relates it to an ideal, to the trope of the island as 'guard eden' to which Europe brought its 'alterRenaissance', the degenerate downside, for export, of its aesthetically elevated project at home.

Among the many critical works to focus on postcolonial revisions of Shakespeare's *Tempest*, Margaret Paul Joseph's *Caliban in Exile*, a study of Caribbean fiction, argues that Walcott, more than any other writer of his generation, 'has the freedom within himself that Lamming mentions', being one who in the quest to 'find fertile soil for a fruitful future', is able to 'respond positively to all that has gone before.' Postcolonial revisions of *The Tempest* have tended to focus on specific figures, particularly Caliban, Ariel, Miranda ('the innocent half of Caliban', to Lamming), and Sycorax, but Derek Walcott's work is regarded in this study as an extended engagement with the totality of the play, as presided over not by Prospero but by Gonzalo, in which the heterogeneous island microcosm is brought under the lens of art, to examine not only the wider social organization of power but the great questions of the human condition.

'All my work has been about this island', said Walcott on his first return to St. Lucia after winning the Nobel prize for literature. In the second half of the twentieth century he has inserted into the discourse of anglophone texts an extraordinary body of work, rooted firmly in the Caribbean, in the island, the *ocelle insularum*, the 'little eye' or 'darling' of islands, a phrase which unites the trope of the island as site of desire with the figure of the 'apple of one's eye'. The corpus of poetry and plays, still unfolding, represents an epic project. From his youth Walcott had a clear sense of his mission as an artist to give expression to his people's experience. Writers of his generation born into colonial societies demanding independence saw themselves not just as articulators of the private and personal but as founders of a literature, conscious that the individual was inescapably generic, a social being, connected to the collective. Existing art, that of the colonial era, served only to displace the Caribbean person from self-knowledge, as in a distorting mirror. The new indigenous art was to provide different images, faithful to the inner reality of the Caribbean experience.

But it was to be a shaman's rite, rather than just a mirror. It needed to be a *celebration* of difference. 'I'm glad to be peculiar', says David Dabydeen: 'I'd prefer to be simply peculiar, and to get on with it, to live and write accordingly, but gladness
is a forced response against the weight of insults, a throwing off of white man's burdens. Part of the task is therefore dialectical, the establishment of a counter-discourse, the task which Walter Rodney defined: 'So long as there are people who deny our humanity as blacks then for so long must we proclaim and assert our humanity as blacks.' But Walcott's impetus has been to devise an inclusive solution. The strategies either of 'redeeming the past' or of 'anger' are, he has said recently (in Prospero's city, Milan), 'dangerous or dishonest because they tend to exclude'. His own strategy has been to map a new world through art, one which, in Benitez-Rojo's term, could 'sublimate' the will to revenge: Caribbean culture 'expresses the desire to sublimate social violence through referring itself to a space that can only be attained through the poetic.' Walcott envisions Caribbean art as a creative agent, a sacramental offering, a nourishing gift, an apple. And that apple of art, consecrated to the local community, is on offer to all who wish to share it.

Walcott writes first and unmistakably for Caribbeans, but he writes also to the wider community, a wise Gonzalo who, for all the sarcasm of Sebastian and Antonio, does indeed possess some special knowledge, and gives the gift of his art for readers everywhere to pocket if they will, and savour at their leisure. The island he pictures holds out the promise of different possibilities to set against some of the modern dystopias. In The Tempest Gonzalo reports that the inhabitants of the island 'are more gentle-kind than of / Our human generation you shall find / Many, nay, almost any.' The play constructs the island as place of alterity, where the norms of self-styled civilization can be interrogated, and the possibility of founding human society on another basis explored. Gonzalo, the seer mocked and marginalized by his society, is kept in an ambivalent relation to the main action. His vision of the ideal society is simultaneously promoted and undercut by the way it is written into the play, yet it remains true that the dream of the ideal which it promulgates is strong enough to survive the ironization. The 'commonwealth' Gonzalo envisages would have no 'need of any empire', 'riches, poverty, / And use of service, none', but 'All things in common nature should produce', such as would 'excel the golden age'. Although no romantic, Walcott is an idealist, in the sense that he believes in the open-endedness of possibilities. He repudiates the tragic view of the human condition as locked in to history, and unable to transcend the patterns evinced by the past. He praises the commonwealth idea as 'one of the greatest achievements... of contemporary history.' His heritage as a Caribbean islander he identifies as the spur to optimism:
the elation of its nature, the sense of being remote from geopolitical power, the knowledge that the people have survived a cruel history with their humanity intact, the ongoing genesis of cultural hybridity and innovation, these are the factors which give him the conviction that the region has something unique to offer.

This goes beyond narrow political objectives. Rather, it is the human condition which comes under address through the specifics of the Caribbean case – and this is where the dialectics come in. The Caribbean case is held up as exemplar in a counter-discursive strategy. The hegemony of disastrous metropolitan-centred discourses which have facilitated such a trail of havoc through history is here challenged. Walcott's readers and audiences are invited to reflect that there may be other radically different possibilities secreted in the human personality, as demonstrated by the Caribbean case, and that of other peoples of the South. In an inversion of the conventional metropolitan model, the Caribbean becomes a prism through which the fractured morality of the North can be re-envisioned. The goodness and strength of a culture which returns generosity for oppression, celebrating not only survival but solidarity, the faith that the value of the individual is the base for the value of society, is the 'apple' which Walcott proffers to the world through his art. Crucially it is not utopian. Its positives have been tested in the crucible of a history tantamount to a worst-case scenario, and therefore the sheer fact of the community's faith in humane values has a moral authority at the opposite pole from romance. Walcott's art is first and foremost offered as a rite of homage to the people of the Caribbean. In parallel it is to the rest of the world, specifically the metropolitan North, which arrogates to itself the position of 'centre,' that he extends his island-gift. There is a complex logic to this as well as a morality, and it is advisable that those occupying that 'centre' should not mistake its meaning. Lamming has set out his own version of it:

Caliban can contribute to widening that same horizon which belongs equally to him and his contemporary Prospero; for it is only when they work together in the context of that horizon that the psychological legacy of their original contract will have been annulled. Caliban's 'Yes' will then acquire its human validity of reason, and Prospero's 'No' will have achieved the genuine privilege of being free to offer an alternative. / That level of No and Yes is masterless and slaveless.18

Walcott also speaks with the eloquence of the griot, the society's wordsmith, articulating the communal position to the stranger, and charged with a special responsibility as spokesman and translator. There is an equal responsibility on the
wider listening world to open itself to the message. If it simply co-opts it to preconceived models of hierarchized exchange it will be the loser. We do not read Derek Walcott aright if we see him as assimilated to a western culture based on hegemony. His art is a different apple. Take it or leave it.
Part 1

IDEOLOGY
‘Becoming home’: Modelling the Caribbean Subject

Gonzalo: In one voyage
Did Claribel her husband find at Tunis,
And Ferdinand, her brother, found a wife
Where he himself was lost; Prospero his dukedom
In a poor isle; and all of us ourselves,
When no man was his own. [The Tempest V.ii.208-13]

It is through Gonzalo that Shakespeare chooses to sum up The Tempest as a project of self-discovery, in terms which seem remarkably modern, anticipating twentieth-century ideas of psychological identity. At this authoritative point in the play Gonzalo’s wisdom is self-evident. Jacques Lacan has said with something like exasperation, ‘poets, as is well known, don’t know what they’re saying, yet they still manage to say things before anyone else.’1 An observation from Stephen Slemon elaborates some of the implications of this:

It has become commonplace in poststructuralist criticism to regard the critical text as essentially fictional, but the possibility that the fictional text might equally function as a work of literary criticism or as a genuinely theoretical document seems to be the occulted "other" in the deconstruction of this particular binary.2

To look to fiction for kinds of knowledge deemed non-fictional is unfamiliar, perhaps unheimlich, the Freudian 'uncanny' (literally 'un-homely'), which disturbs as it disrupts a familiar order. To read off from fiction a psychological theory may seem perverse, yet the argument here is that Derek Walcott’s work does, in fact, propose nothing less than a different understanding of the human personality, and, by extension, of society – different, that is, from that currently prevalent in the Western establishment.

The work can be seen to encode profoundly innovative ideas, to be radically unorthodox, yet because the signs it uses encompass certain givens, such as those of the canon, it tends to be interpreted as evidence of assimilation to the Western bourgeois tradition. Just to draw on a tradition, however, is not necessarily to
1. Modelling the Caribbean Subject

reinscribe its values. As Wilson Harris, the Guyanese novelist, poet and philosopher, puts it, 'Homer, Dante, Shakespeare, Goethe are as much the heritage of black men and women as of white men and women because the triggers of conflicting tradition...lie in...the cross-cultural psyche of humanity.' Writing such as that of Walcott and Harris may be the place to look for new models of possibility, for new understandings of our complex and hybrid individualities which are the building blocks of society. Lacan's arrogant presupposition that the wisdom of poets is not deployed consciously may be, rather, about defending the status of non-fictional inquiry. His acknowledgement of their lead (whether knowingly or not) is made in a context which historicizes Rimbaud's 'I is an other' as precursor of Freudian psychology – an innovation which had 'exactly the same implication of decentring as that brought about by the Copernican discovery.' It is used here to introduce an interpretation of Walcott because it obliquely confirms a rather unconventional approach. Kristeva lists the three innovations in textual critique as the materiality of writing, its immersion in history, and its sexual over-determination which 'orients it toward psychoanalysis, and through it toward the set of a corporeal, physical, and substantial "order".' The topic of this chapter is the way Walcott's work can be read as theorising new insight into the psychological order – realizing Gonzalo's project of self-discovery – although it should be noted that he himself professes little interest in the 'dead fish' of criticism. He is convinced of art's lead in identifying and developing the 'cross-cultural psyche of humanity'.

Home is a term which is immediately problematised in relation to the postcolonial predicament. 'Writing home', underneath its apparent symmetry with the idea of the empire writing back (to write home implying a subject position of not being at home, as the empire writing back implies a counter-discursive position) raises a contrary notion. 'Home', as well as being the indirect object of the participle 'writing', is perhaps also its direct object - a matter not so much of address as of production. The ambiguity of the phrase 'writing home' thus draws attention to the gap between the intentionality of writing as social practice, and its product, the text, and raises the possibility of the text in some sense being able to make home happen, to call it into existence. Inevitably it also raises the question of a dialectical relationship with the meaning of the phrase in colonial discourse. Under empire 'home' was constructed in relation to the imperial heartland (in the British case the 'home counties' remains a current usage), functioning as a centrist myth to alienate those
who inhabit the colonial space from their own in-placeness. Counter-discourses have identified the need to reclaim the notion of home, positing its pluralism, its openness to multiple determinations. These may involve differences not just of location but of kind. Home may be a matter of psychology and of culture - to do with the construction of the subject - as much as a spatial concept. In essence, home may be the opposite of alienation.

Walcott 'writes home' in a number of ways. Most obviously, he inscribes the particularity of his Caribbeanness into literary discourse to such a degree that his whole oeuvre can be read as an elaboration of the lines, 'moi c'est gens Ste. Lucie./C'est la moi sorti;/is there that I born!', lines which he chose to quote to the welcoming party on his first visit back home to St.Lucia after winning the 1992 Nobel Prize for literature. As well as celebrating his own geographical in-placeness, however, he has eloquently addressed its antithesis, the migrant's displacement and hence ambivalence about place, shared with the Caribbean diaspora worldwide and with other emigres. From vigorous protestations of his commitment to the archipelago as home, in the sense of the location for utterance - 'may I speak here.' - he moved in mid-life to Boston and to explorations of the condition of the 'single, circling, homeless satellite', the emblem of mobile communication, remote, but articulating each to each.

Concerns that the 'metaphorization of postcolonial migrancy is becoming so overblown, overdetermined, and amorphous as to repudiate any meaningful specificity of historical location or interpretation may be quietened by the interactive specificities of Walcott's epic poem Omeros, which brings these oppositional identities into relationship, reconciling them in a 'homing' action on which the poem's intertextual relationship with Homer provides a kind of pun. The poem maps in-placeness as well as journeys, trauma as well as healing, and Seven Seas utters the wisdom that:

there are two journeys
in every odyssey, one on worried water,

the other crouched and motionless, without noise.
For both, the 'T' is a mast; a desk is a raft
for one, foaming with paper, and dipping the beak

of a pen in its foam, while an actual craft
carries the other to cities where people speak
a different language, or look at him differently.11

Seven Seas finally privileges stasis as 'the right journey', because from travel 'you have learnt no more than if you stood on that beach...except your skill with one oar'. The text, stitching together the two shores of the Atlantic with its journey images, presents a double sign of the oar, with which Homer's Odysseus was to travel on until he reached a land where the people did not recognise it. It is a tragic cleaver in the African episode when it becomes a weapon wielded against the slavers, but it is transformed in the new world to the mast/pen emblem, repairing schism through the harmony of the text: not a utopian dream of oneness, but a seamed conjunction. The poem repeatedly models binary pairings between its personae, both within and across gender and racial groups, but these are never romantic. The toughness of the poem lies in its refusal of utopianism; its strength lies in its mapping of loving interpersonal relationships both despite and because of history. In this and other works Walcott effectively remolds identity politics, placing alongside Western psychology's understanding of the individualist subject, constructed against alien others, a self which exhibits and seeks hybridity and pluralism as positive signs.

It thus calls into question the Freudian and post-Freudian interpretations of the psyche which have dominated twentieth century thought, focusing on relations between the self and its others. Freud has enabled an exegesis of the modern condition as characterised by alienation, both individually and socially. In the phrase of Trinidadian poet Wayne Brown it has been a 'century of exile'.12 It would be an error, however, according to Jameson, to attribute 'postmodern schizo-fragmentation', psychology's new 'speculative mapping of fractured and multiple subject positions', to 'some unimaginably complex new internal human nature rather than to the social templates that project them.'13 In the work of Julia Kristeva, a post-Freudian who studied with Lacan, the dynamic is inverted, with the difficulty of social relations derived from the difficulty of integrating the individual personality:

'...with the other, with the foreigner, confronts us with the possibility or not of being an other. It is not simply -- humanistically -- a matter of our being able to accept the other, but of being in his place, and this means to imagine and make oneself other for oneself. Rimbaud's Je est un autre ["I is an other"] was not only the acknowledgement of the psychotic ghost that haunts poetry. The word foreshadowed the exile, the possibility or necessity to be foreign and to live in a foreign country, thus heralding the art of living of a
modern era...Split identity, kaleidoscope of identities: can we be a saga for ourselves without being considered mad or fake? Without dying of the foreigner's hatred or of hatred for the foreigner?14

The logic of Kristeva's inquiry is to argue from recognition of the presence of the stranger within the self to acceptance of the stranger without: from the psychological to the social. For her, the route out of xenophobia (otherwise inescapable, omnipresent, even genetic) is acknowledgement of the alienation within the individual. This is close to a tragic philosophy, however, in which the individual consciousness collapses back on itself in despair of ever knowing itself or sharing with others. The best Kristeva can offer is the fatalism that 'we are all "others", that hell is within us, that the foreigner is within us, that we must accept it',15 which is predicated on a xenophobic construction of the idea of the 'étranger', translated here as 'foreigner'. Perhaps at the point when the North's Freudianism has backed itself into a corner, the South, as Walcott presents it, can offer a way out, a fresh perspective on the problematic arising from the Freudian preoccupation with boundaries, and principally with the delineation of the limit between selfhood and alterity - in other words, with difference.

Western thought traditionally proceeds by difference - it classifies by division, defining homogeneity by its antithetical relationships to otherness. Such systems of knowledge, however, tend to essentialise, suppressing difference within the groups being demarcated, in order to conceptualize the boundary with greater firmness and clarity. Scientific and social taxonomies appear to be conceptually accurate, recording real divisions between distinct classes, when in fact they often suppress other potential groupings and obliterate median positions. Notions of nation are conspicuously problematic in this way, as are those of race, the privileging of simplistic essentialisms functioning to obliterate, disparage or suppress the hybrid and the plural. The conceptual basis of Western rationalism, now understood as a patriarchal hegemony, has real political consequences. Our understandings of the pernicious psychological effects of European imperialism, initiated by Fanon, Mannoni and Memmi, are still developing. As Fanon anatomises, it is at the most fundamental level that the colonial subject is traumatised: the imperial discourse (particularly in its racial dimension) prevented (prevents?) the healthily integrated construction of the ego, producing self-alienation: 'It was no longer a question,' he writes, 'of being aware of my body in the third person but in a triple person...I was not given one, but two, three
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places. The colonial schizophrenia affects the colonised, the coloniser and those in between. As Hawthorn puts it, in a discussion of Jean Rhys's *Wide Sargasso Sea*, 'in an exploitative society all involved are, in different ways, denied the possession of their full humanity.' It is this full humanity which Walcott attempts to retrieve.

While the terminology of difference is the language through which we speak our perceptions of the world we risk repeating the old myths. The dialectical embrace of the opposite pole to the dominant, while understandable, and perhaps a necessary phase, in the end is limited by its inscription within the old binary system, just as negritude is limited by its reciprocity: negritude 'writes back' to hegemonic constructions of race as polarity by privileging the formerly negative pole, instead of rejecting polarisation altogether. The need is rather to break out of the restrictive binaries. The particular usefulness of Walcott's aesthetic project is that it offers ways of reconceiving difference without either suppressing it in assimilationist taxonomies or allowing it to proliferate as absolutist fragmentation, the first tending conceptually towards fascism and the second towards balkanisation (a term which has acquired an urgent new political contemporaneity).

To unite or to divide are not, after all, the only options; the continuum between them offers many median positions partaking in part of both one and the other. A region such as the Caribbean, fragmented as its communities are, geographically on small islands, historically by dislocation from ancestral communities, and culturally in terms of language, race, class and background, nonetheless also exhibits shared sensibilities, its hugely various people having more in common than they have dividing them. It is therefore a case of both difference and sameness, simultaneously. It involves the recognition of otherness specifically as the point of sameness, of identification - of what I choose to call the sharedness of difference. Walcott, I believe, reconstitutes the schizophrenic subject position as a plus - the West Indian position is one of 'creative schizophrenia' - as the means to a richer selfhood than that postulated under the western epistemology derived from Freudian and post-Freudian thought. The Oedipus complex, as Deleuze and Guattari point out, is not the only means of addressing the formation of the psychological subject.

They define the task of what they term 'schizoanalysis' in terms both of deconstruction - 'tirelessly taking apart egos and their presuppositions' in order to liberate 'the singularities they enclose and repress' - and of construction - 'assembling the desiring-machines that countersect everyone and group everyone with others'.

17
Having exposed Oedipal psychology as a despotic monoculture internalized throughout society from microcosm to macrocosm, the argument of *Anti-Oedipus* revises the 'breakdown' of the schizophrenic as a 'breakthrough', an essentially creative and revolutionary process, a rejection of an intolerable order: 'society is schizophrenizing at the level of its infrastructure, its mode of production, its most precise capitalist economic circuits'. The great artist (and in 1972 it seems the idea of greatness was not deemed problematic) is necessarily, in their view, of the schizophrenic party. Great writers, those 'capable of performing a breakthrough in grammar and syntax, and of making all language a desire', speak 'from the depths of psychosis' and demonstrate 'for our benefit an eminently psychotic and revolutionary means of escape'. As opposed to the 'oedipalization' of literature which reduces it to 'an object of consumption conforming to the established order, and incapable of causing anyone harm', the great author is the one who 'cannot prevent himself from tracing flows...that necessarily nourish a revolutionary machine on the horizon...For literature is like schizophrenia: a process and not a goal, a production and not an expression.' By privileging schizophrenia as revolutionary sign, Deleuze and Guattari postulate the disruption of the Western-defined 'norm' in terms which resonate profoundly with the Caribbean experience and its aesthetic, as expressed by artists such as Walcott, and with current theorisation.

Bhabha applies the idea of the split to postcoloniality: 'power must be thought in the hybridity of race and sexuality...nation must be reconceived liminally as the dynastic-in-the-democratic, race-difference doubling and splitting the teleology of class-consciousness.' Said identifies the new ex-centric dynamic:

In a totally new way in Western culture, the interventions of non-European artists and scholars cannot be dismissed or silenced, and these interventions are not only an integral part of a political movement but, in many ways, the movement's *successfully* guiding imagination, intellectual and figurative energy reseeing and rethinking the terrain common to whites and non-whites.

Walcott, in inscribing his people's subject-position (writing home), addresses the world. His focal image is the tiny island of St.Lucia, from which he disrupts Northern centrism with his etiolating discourse.

Centrism attempts to preserve its dominance by privileging its own. Walcott challenges the constructions of race which serve that project. The one who is most on the margins of cultural constructions of identity, the person of mixed race, is psychologically most at risk since, in Hawthorn's phrase, s/he 'symbolizes the human
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internalization of external divisions in a racially divided society.26 The imperialist construction of race exhibits the manichean pattern very clearly: the privileged pole of so-called whiteness is defined by its antithesis, so-called blackness. The person of mixed race, like Walcott, therefore has a special authority to speak of race. Empire had a horror of the hybrid, because in the mixed-race person it recognised the destruction of its system of racial classification, the trampling of the boundaries, as its ever more hysterical devising of new terms of classification exhibits. As Young notes, in the nineteenth century 'racial difference became identified with other forms of sexual and social perversity as degeneracy, deformation or arrested embryological development. But none was so demonized as those of mixed race.'27 The theorisation of the 'between' as unheimlich (as noted, the Freudian term usually translated as 'uncanny' but literally meaning 'un-homely'), is developed by Allon White in terms of Kristevan 'abjection':

the abject feels split between a self and internalized otherness which s/he attempts to expel. This split or Ich-spaltung (Freud) destroys the fundamental subject-object boundary which both preserves subjective identity as such and keeps the world at bay. The abject is split between subject and object, neither fully an independent self nor completely determined by the objective realm, falling uncontrollably between both.28

Such theorisation, however, proceeds from a number of totalising assumptions, such as the 'fundamental' nature of the subject-object 'boundary', and the presumed projection of hostility implicit in the need to 'keep the world at bay'. While these may be apt accounts of certain aspects of the construction of the psychological subject position, they are neither complete nor exclusive. The implicit politics of the denial of the validity or manageability of that which falls 'between' is not addressed, yet it is acutely present, and requires a response such as Walcott gives. Representations of both miscegenation and creolisation (the bodily and linguistic hybridisations) are central to Walcott's creative project. The Caribbean personae he models are essentially plural, and are shown engaging creatively with colonial trauma. The locus of 'between' is, for him (in cultural just as in bodily terms) the site of fertility, product of interactive desire, where the generation of the new holds out the endless possibility of hope. Patriarchal Western preoccupations with origin, with retrospective lineage, are, in Walcott's aesthetic, countered with the privileging of originality, here and now. Dennis Plunkett in Omeros is engaged in the sterile search for an ancestor as putative
son, but the poem ends with the image of the heterosexual couple, and the implied promise of Helen's foetal child, whose lineage (the identity of his biological father) is of little significance compared with his future.

In suggesting that pluralism might be regarded as an empowering heritage, however, Walcott does not underplay the difficult reality, the product of socialised constructions of alterity as negative, and of the 'between' as 'abject'. His Shabine persona in 'The Schooner Flight', an implicit self-image in which a recurrent theme of his work culminates, is a tragic figure of alienation, suffering a specifically mixed-race angst as his name suggests:

After the white man, the niggers didn't want me
when the power swing to their side.
The first chain my hands and apologize, "History";
the next said I wasn't black enough for their pride.29

Shabine is homeless, but it is an historically specific alienation, the legacy of a colonial displacement, which informs his question:

Where is my rest place, Jesus? Where is my harbour?
Where is the pillow I will not have to pay for,
and the window I can look from that frames my life?30

The colonial specificities simultaneously, however, open out to engage with the existential questions of our time. When Walcott names the origin as 'that cry,/ that terrible vowel,/ that I!'31 he addresses a crux of the human condition which goes beyond spatial and temporal categories, and groupings of culture, race or gender. Literacy, literature, culture, the poem indicates, are ultimately unimportant, compared with the daring of the primal utterance of self-consciousness, an elemental 'wild' voicing. The loneliness of that self-perception is real, but so also is the possibility of sharing, of the social: the poem's 'I' migrates to 'we', and from the oral to the scribal in the tracing of 'our names'. Walcott postulates that the continuum between individual and community requires both polarities to be given forceful representation, so that the multiplicity of intermediate possibilities can emerge.

The creole principle is evident not just in representations of the body (miscegenation) and in the language (creolisation), but in Walcott's construction of the Caribbean subject position. His project of 'writing home' is not like the empire writing back to the centre, but an inscription of 'home' in the sense of a defining of the in-
placelessness of the Caribbean person, the wholeness of the Caribbean subject in all her
or his pluralism - which he calls 'assimilating the features of every ancestor' - thus
revisioning the centrist construction of Caribbeanness as alienation, locked in to
trauma and tragedy. He does not deny the trauma - that would be romance - but
reconceptualises it in such a way that it opens out into both negative and positive,
producing the balance of epic: at the end of *Omeros* the sea is 'still going on'.

Clearly, the idea of alterity has been appropriated with enthusiasm by cultural
producers of all kinds, in the project to counter totalising discourses with inscriptions
of heterogeneity, such that to give a sceptical critique of difference is to risk
accusations of revisionist universalism. The task may be, however, to cherish
heterogeneity while mapping congruence: the two are not necessarily incompatible.
The binarism of self and other can be reconceived without implacable oppositionality.
The Freudian concept of splitting can be positive as well as traumatic, as Deleuze and
Guattari postulate. Walcott, as a twin, begins his approach to the world perhaps with a
different relationship to alterity, and as a Caribbean person, inheriting both a hard
history and a heartfelt humanity, he is able both to give expression to the loneliness of
the 'I', and to model the fundamental community I suggest is symbolised in 'I-an-I', the
Rastafarian pronoun which serves as both 'I/me' and 'we/us'. This coinage offers an
apt symbol of Walcott's practice, in its refusal to recognise a fixed boundary between
self and other, and in its creative inclusiveness. It not only marks the dyad (and other
multiples) without suppressing the individual, but also preserves the subject position
in all grammatical formations by its rejection of any differentiated object case.
Historically, it was the repudiation of the commodification of the psychological
subject implicit in the grammatical object case, understood as a semiotics of slavery,
that led to the origination of the 'I-an-I' formation in Rastafarian speech, which is a
highly politicised discursive practice. Walcott (who has lived in Jamaica, and took his
degree at the Jamaican campus of the University of the West Indies) mines its
significance in his Jamaican play *O Babylon!*. He has Rude Bwoy explain that 'in
Rasta language / there is no accusative case. Dem feel not guilty', and ends with a
final chorus which elaborates the dyad to a symbolically plural form, 'I-and-I-and-I-
and-I'.

Walcott thus sets alongside his explorations of the lonely individualist self a
different concept of the subject, constructed by means of positive reciprocities in
which the self-other formulation is less a problematic than an enrichment. Walcott's
epic poems are constructed out of emblematic dyads. In Another Life the narrator makes '1-an-I' pairings both with Gregorias and with Anna; in Omeros Achille makes similar pairings with Hector, with Philoctete and with Helen, while Helen is seen paired with Hector, with Achille and with Dennis, who is himself paired with Maud. Understood as the singular pronoun, '1-an-I' marks a complex sense of the plural individual which contests the Freudian notion of schizophrenia as pathology. The narrator of Another Life and Achille, the protagonist of Omeros, are complex individuals whose creative response to the socially induced trauma of alienation enables them to outwit the conspiracy of history, Anansi-like, to assert their full humanity, if anything enhanced by the depth of their suffering and the difficulty of working through the potentially crippling negatives. Healing is not easy, but it is possible, and in Omeros it is enacted, through the Philoctete story, in the faith that the language rite may have the magic power to deliver that which it narrates. The particular difficulty of constructing the masculine subject in colonial and neocolonial societies is addressed in Omeros, culminating in the symbolic androgyny of Achille and Philoctete's masquerade. Instead of being unheimlich, the median of gender, the Tiresias figure which is both genders rather than neither – a Jungian positive rather than a tragic negative – is modelled as heroic, the energy of the cross-gender creative act showing an alternative to the stark choice between either phallic violence or emasculation.

The revolutionary nature of this aesthetic can be seen not only against the discourse of Western psychology, but against traditional constructions of Caribbeanness (although it is reflected in some of the most recent Caribbean thinking). The Caribbean experience has a particular place in postcolonial discourse, and Walcott's work has an interrogative place in both. Within the global postcolonial story, the region is often cast in a Cinderella role, foregrounding the painful dislocations and alienations suffered historically by the Caribbean people. Philip Sherlock, the Jamaican poet and intellectual, who did so much to nurture the Caribbean cultural flowering of the second half of the twentieth century, put it forcefully: 'Colonialism, however important, was an incident in the history of Nigeria and Ghana, Kenya or Uganda; but it is the whole history of the West Indies.' The authors of The Empire Writes Back use the region as negative pole in the scale of colonial experience:
The West Indian situation combines all the most violent and destructive effects of the colonizing process...In the West Indies [in comparison with settler colonies] the processes of maintaining continuity or of "decolonizing" the culture are much more obviously problematic...[in] part...because the process of disruption brought about by imperialism was not only more violent but also more self-consciously disruptive and divisive.35

The extremity of the West Indian condition within the British empire extends in this formulation even to language: 'English,' say these Australians, 'had a much more tainted historical role in the Caribbean.'36 That it should be the terminology of impurity, of 'taint', which comes to mind to express the particularity of the West Indian language story is indicative of the tenacity of old ideologies, even among the most aware thinkers. For while the West Indians lived the extreme of language-as-power, they also generated the opposite pole of language-as-creative-survival, and of language-as-subversion (as Ashcroft, Griffiths and Tiffin go on to acknowledge). It was in the fire of language in the West Indies that the creative response to tyranny was tempered, and that a uniquely hybrid culture was forged.

Walcott is not alone in placing a high value on that uniqueness. John Hearne, the Jamaican novelist, made the large claim that the people of the Caribbean are

the last hope of a nearly beaten human race, because we, the hybrids, were beaten into the ground and have risen, furnished with an obstinate belief in the person - in the man, woman and child - that astonishes the institutionalised world.37

Walcott shares with Hearne, who was a close friend, the conviction that to read Caribbeanness as locked into a psychology of alienation and an aesthetic of loss and suffering is not the only way to formulate its particularity in the global cultural story. As Walcott puts it in a miniature epic poem, 'that was just Lamentations, / it was not History.'38

The boldness of claims such as Hearne's implicitly casts any artist who expresses that culture in a potentially over-reaching role. Walcott, aware of the risks, has repeatedly ironised his role as priest-like artist serving his community, through the figure of the 'light of the world'. Another Life presents the near-blasphemous daring and faith of the young artists' commitment as like a drunken passion. When the poet-narrator shows himself, in the more recent poem 'The Light of the World',39 as left behind in the dark by the transport which takes his fellow St.Lucians onward in a
 capsule of light, and records his humble recognition that in the cultural economy of human exchange they lacked nothing which he had to offer, a genuinely revolutionary moment is expressed. The supposed superiority of the poet – literate, educated, the world traveller, revisiting a home projected by centrism as marginal – is deconstructed as in relative lack, while the ordinary citizens of the island are given superior status in both wisdom and spirituality. The ambivalence is crucial. The poem's narrator, apparently Walcott himself, is both at home and away – a sharer but also different, othered by his intellectual role. The originality of his perception is the realisation that, to the extent that the individual shares, s/he does so as the gift of the group; it is not something s/he can claim, or to which s/he has a right. It is only through the generosity of the group that the loneliness of the 'I' can be assuaged, as its metropolitan presumption of superior knowledge is othered by the egalitarian spirituality of the so-called powerless community.

A poem which marked the move into the alienation of geographical exile, 'The Schooner Flight', explores the pluralism within, containing the twin statements, 'I have Dutch, nigger, and English in me, / and either I'm nobody, or I'm a nation', as well as 'I had no nation now but the imagination'. The idea of the miscegenated individual as in his or her own person 'a nation', and its doubling with the idea of the life of the imagination as being in some sense a country or an identity, offers an important counter to reductive ideas both of the individual and the social. As Walcott has said,

I'm constantly running into this idea...that I'm not sure which world I'm in, that I don't know who I am. I know very precisely. You can only dissect and understand the spiritual instability of the West Indian if your hands are calm...But perhaps to an American living in such an atmosphere as black-is-black and white-is-white and never-the-twain-shall-meet, a mixed person like myself has to be seen as a mixed-up person.

And this is not to claim unusual self-knowledge. He asserts it as a distinctive condition of Caribbean people, however humble - 'Fisherman and peasant know who they are and what they are' - and calls himself 'this neither proud nor ashamed bastard, this hybrid, this West Indian'.

The reading of the Caribbean experience as tragic, with schizoid distress the product of past exploitation, is thus revealed as conspiring with the neocolonial project, which it is the artist and intellectual's task to counter. Fanon, also a
Caribbean, argues that the 'colonized man who writes for his people ought to use the past with the intention of opening the future, as an invitation to action and a basis for hope', in a revolutionary practice which 'aims at a fundamentally different set of relations between men.' Walcott endorses Hearne's claim that the 'fundamentally different' human relations are not just a matter of aspiration but of reality. Rex Nettleford, the Jamaican social theorist, relates it to a global position:

The Caribbean...has no reason to indulge the now endemic doubts about its self-worth since it demonstrates its capacity for having all modes of artistic cultural expression, classical, popular and ancestral, which co-exist in cyclical dynamic inter-relationship. This is a good enough basis for the region's call for a new international cultural order...

In his formulation it is the writers, 'literate, healthily schizophrenic, insightful,' who have been 'truly among the first to explain formally the Caribbean to itself', and he mentions Walcott as among those who have something unique to say about the human condition, and where they come from and how they were socialized and bred just happens to give that something a special pitch and tone of importance and relevance to a North Atlantic world, itself in search of new patterns and new designs for its continuing existence.

Walcott writes for the Caribbean first, but he offers the good news of Caribbean 'I-an-I' humanity to the wider world, inverting, with a fine irony, the old missionary project. This is not a manichean counter-discourse but something of much more intricate pluralism, accepting and counter-balancing in one deft movement.

Bhabha, in an evaluation of Walcott's poem 'Sainte Lucie', quotes Richard Rorty's phrase that 'solidarity has to be constructed out of little pieces, rather than found already waiting', and concludes, 'from the little pieces of the poem, its going and coming, there rises the great history of the languages and landscapes of migration and diaspora.' This recalls Walter Benjamin's figure for translation:

Fragments of a vessel which are to be glued together must match one another in the smallest details, although they need not be like one another. In the same way a translation, instead of resembling the meaning of the original, must lovingly and in detail incorporate the original's mode of signification, thus making both the original and the translation recognizable as fragments of a greater language, just as fragments are part of a vessel.
The image - of a whole composed of different but congruent parts - shadows Deleuze and Guattari. After defining the task of 'schizoanalysis' as 'assembling the desiring-machines that countersect everyone and group everyone with others', they go on:

For everyone is a little group (un groupuscule) and must live as such - or rather, like the Zen tea box broken in a hundred places, whose every crack is repaired with cement made of gold.49

Walcott uses a memorably developed variant of the metaphor in his Nobel speech, to explain the distinctively Caribbean cultural synthesis:

Break a vase, and the love that reassembles the fragments is stronger than that love which took its symmetry for granted when it was whole...It is such a love that reassembles our African and Asiatic fragments, the cracked heirlooms whose restoration shows its white scars. This gathering of broken pieces is the care and pain of the Antilles, and if the pieces are disparate, ill-fitting, they contain more pain than their original sculpture, those icons and sacred vessels taken for granted in their ancestral places. Antillean art is this restoration of our shattered histories, our shards of vocabulary, our archipelago becoming a synonym for pieces broken off from the original continent.50

The Ramleela drama, a folk ritual, which he describes being enacted in rural Trinidad, is such a fragment, reassembled differently in a new time and place, as part of a different, plural culture. As he has said,

Fragments survive. Most languages survive in fragments anyway, they don't survive entire, and so that access, that tonal access, makes the Caribbean, just because of history, logically a place that is going to create an immensely fertile, varied and different kind of literature.51

The task of writing, the task of 'creative schizophrenia', is 'the god assembled cane by cane, reed by weaving reed, line by plaited line'.52 The artist is the community's shaman, its servant not its master. S/he articulates the community to itself. The Caribbean artist articulates a plural identity, of fragments tightly knit into a new whole, each individual bearing the pluralism of the ancestral presences at the heart of her or his uniqueness, and bringing the riches of that diverse self to share with the community. And the proffered wisdom is that this is not a condition unique to the Caribbean; that we are all plural in this way, bearing many selves within us, functioning within hybrid cultures, using languages which continually creolize.
As well as writing home in *Omeros* by articulating his vision of St.Lucianness as plural identity both to his own people and to the world, Walcott gives expression to his faith in the individual's power of creation, the power to defeat alienation by creating a symbolic 'home', in whatever external conditions life may be lived. Instead of modelling Caribbeanness on nostalgia for a lost Africa, he shows the African ancestors, drawn by the creativity of their descendants across the Atlantic, as a silent, ghostly audience to the dance of Achille and Philoctete. The whole poem may be seen as centred on a passage of metamorphic magic, creating home. It boldly disrupts the epic metre - the Dantean *terza rima* with its resonating hexameters - by shifting gear into the incantatory binary rhythms of the traditional English spell, with its insistent rhymes. Significantly, it comes at the heart of the poem, in the third section of Chapter 33, suggesting a numerological 'magic' which medieval and renaissance writers would have recognised, and is an incantation to turn a seemingly hostile house into a home. Walcott empowers the unhappy, alienated consciousness to transform its exile to in-placeness, teaching that it is available to each individual to use his or her creativity to bring into being the world of aspiration. The rite begins by naming the House of Horror which we all recognise, the house of fear, memories and broken relationships, a manmade environment which isolates the senses from the natural - the 'Unlucky house that I uncurse / by rites of genuflecting verse'.

The relationship between place and consciousness is then inverted in a carnivalesque transformation: 'I do not live in you, I bear / my house inside me, everywhere'. In the concluding lines of the spell, which deliver the magic, there is a potent echo of George Herbert's dramatisation of his faith in a hospitable God, rejoined in the ultimate homecoming:

> House that lets in, at last, those fears that are its guests, to sit on chairs feasts on their human faces, and takes pity simply by the hand shows her her room, and feels the hum of wood and brick becoming home.

The rite of transformation is enacted not as a *deus ex machina* with all its politics of hierarchy but as a popular appropriation, a magic which is demonstrated as available to anyone, specifically through the creative practice of language. The unhoused soul -
always, in Walcott's metaphysics, in a kind of exile when embodied away from its creator in the world - can, by an act of mind, create its home. For the migrant the moment of beginning to feel at home in a new location is symbolic; the spell at the heart of Omeros functions in the historical as well as the metaphysical plane. As well as particular social application, however, it has an etiolating mythic significance. Likewise the Jon Konnu dance for Christmas of Achille and Philoctete stands like the Gemini constellation over the whole poem, providing a figure for what this study suggests as the 'I-an-I' model of human consciousness.

The insight as to psychological alienation, which Lacan traces back beyond Freud to Rimbaud's 'Je est un autre', the tragic insight of the late nineteenth century which transformed thought in the twentieth, is balanced by Walcott with a parallel insight, comedic (to use his term). In the late twentieth century he has shown how 'that terrible vowel, / that I!', real to all of us in its loneliness and paranoia, is only one aspect of our psychological self-perception. Not only can the individual be creatively 'schizophrenic', but variants of community can be both real and ubiquitous. The permutations on the sharedness of difference are endless. To rephrase Kristeva: we all share each other, heaven is within us, we must celebrate it. As Rastafarian wisdom - another original Caribbean cultural synthesis – demonstrates through its creative transformation of language, 'I-an-I' is a speech practice of openly political symbolism, and the meaning of 'dread' can be remade.
Gonzalo, the arrivant, is amazed by the beauty of the island, perceptible to him because he is open to the beautiful in ways which his companions are not, but Caliban loves and knows his island with a quite different joy, the joy of home. Its natural riches are his sustenance, bodily and spiritually, and the inspiration to his poetry. His deepest humanity is evident in his willingness to share them, not hoard them. Whether we interpret ‘crabs’ as crustaceans or fruit (crab-apples) will probably depend on our own cultural experience. For Caribbean people, naturally, the crustaceans will be signified, rather than the European fruit, for a particular geography, with all its flora, fauna, topography and weather, confers a particularity of cultural identity, evident in the words for its cherished variety. 'All my work has been about this island, my love of it, my love of its people', said Derek Walcott to fellow-St.Lucians, gathered to greet him on his first return to the island of his birth after winning the Nobel prize for literature. As Stuart Hall puts it, 'St.Lucia remains the source of his inspiration and his true home.' In Walcott's geography the island space is first and foremost a privileged place of origin because of its natural beauty and the humanity of its people. It evokes elation, independently of any comparatives or any dialectics. It is his ‘ocelle insularum’, the apple of his eye, his ‘darling’ (the diminutive which marks affection). To be in the islands is, for Walcott, to feel blessed. His work can indeed be regarded as an extended praise-song (a genre characteristic of Africa), a rite celebrating the gift of that particular heritage.
2. The Gift of Place

Their second property follows from this first one: their function as a therapeutic space. The archipelago can relieve the troubled soul, heal the wounded psyche. The paradox is that it is precisely in the site of a history of terror and humiliation that its cure is available. Walcott's promise of healing is not romance. It does not depend on ignoring or playing down either suffering or poverty within the island community. On the contrary, the serious weight he gives the all-too-real negatives serves to make his assertions of positives the more authoritative. The third quality which Walcott attributes to the islands is a dialectical one, a multiple counter to a metropolitan-centred discourse which designates them as an inferior, marginal space. The strategy here is double. In part Walcott repudiates the centrist evaluation by reversing its relative terms; in part he accepts centrum's designations but claims them as positives rather than negatives. Inversion and ironization are therefore central to the rhetoric of 'writing back'.

Importantly, however, Walcott does not just 'write back'. His poetic discourse is neither derivative nor dependent on a dialectical self-positioning, as its representation of the island space makes clear. This cannot be contained as a species of pastoral, in which a locus amoenus is held up in opposition to a metropolitan society as a means of interrogating the latter. Although Walcott's project in part involves such a strategy, it goes beyond it. Its primary motor is not a rhetorical interrogation of metropolitan societies but an assertion of its own particularity, for its own sake, and for its own community. But like the island of The Tempest, the island space that Walcott maps is a place of difference, of refuge even, from which the rest of the world can be seen anew. 'There seems to be a quality in the island', writes Paul Brown of Caliban's dream, 'beyond the requirements of the coloniser's powerful harmonics, a quality existing for itself, which the other may use to resist, if only in dream, the repressive reality which hails him as villain.'4 The repressive realities of the neocolonial world, which rouse Walcott's ire, pay testimony to the habitual abuse of power by those who have it, against others mythified as inferior. The small island, by definition devoid of geopolitical power, exemplifies other priorities, other non-hierarchical approaches to human interaction. Like Shakespeare, Walcott makes the islands' redemptive qualities mobile, showing how they can be translated to different places to work their special magic. Like Shakespeare's island, his portrayal of the islands becomes itself a 'place' in the world, an imaginative space, which can travel.
2. The Gift of Place

diachronically as well as geographically: it will survive the passing of the epoch and the society which gave rise to it.

The Caribbean is unusual among the world's postcolonial regions in that as a result of the virtually total genocide of the indigenous inhabitants, its modern population is made up entirely of those whose forebears were arrivants. The knowledge of dislocation from an ancestral location is therefore common to all. As Walcott says, 'we were all strangers here': 'The migratory West Indian feels rootless on his own earth, chafing at its beaches'. It has become axiomatic in postcolonial studies that place is of central importance, as Ashcroft, Griffiths and Tiffin suggest: 'A major feature of post-colonial literatures is the concern with place and displacement', and, 'The various models by which texts and traditions in post-colonial literatures are discussed intersect at a number of points. However, place is extremely important in all the models, and epistemologies have developed which privilege space over time as the most important ordering concept of reality.' Said, who has a particular preoccupation with privileging the condition of exile, importantly substitutes culture for location: 'It is in culture that we can seek out the range of meanings and ideas conveyed by the phrases belonging to or in a place, being at home in a place.' The naming of place in both senses is a primary task of any postcolonial writer, however, because the colonial experience inevitably involves displacement, culturally if not geographically. In the Caribbean islands displacement is both geographic and cultural. Walcott, however, has drawn an umbilical link between the physical reality of the Caribbean region and its culture: 'I think that this geography will continue to shape West Indian art more than anything else...the geography itself is an aesthetic.' By shifting the emphasis from an ancestral displacement to the present location he is able to counter the discourse which reads the Caribbean as irremediably tragic because of its severed roots.

The region is also unusual because of the smallness of most of its political units, both geographically and socially, and because of the diversity of their landscape and culture. There is a fundamental paradox in the fact that some of the world's tiniest nations are also, within themselves, racially and culturally some of the most diverse. They exemplify the hyperbole of multum in parvo, the microcosm which models the world. To map them in discourse is therefore no simple matter. The Caribbean society can be modelled as dislocation compounded by fragmentation and marginalization under colonialism. Before the present century, and to a large extent still, the
Caribbean islands, more perhaps than most other outreaches of empire, have been subjected to pejorative constructions from outside which have simplified their intricate natural and social contours according to preconceived matrices of thought. The postcolonial project is to reverse that process; instead of the blinkered and prejudiced gaze of the outsider, it is now the insider's gaze which is to survey the uncharted territory. The writer has a double task: to address the aspects of ancestral dislocation, fragmentation and cultural devaluation which affect fellow-citizens, and to address the centrist myths which condition how the wider world perceives the region. Derek Walcott is an insider of a particular kind, who is by virtue of his heritage and talents well placed to undertake the prodigious task of the map-maker. He begins from the essentials: 'the obvious thing is that this is an archipelago - the Caribbean is an archipelago - the whole presence of the sea, the variety of the islands, that any departure from an island means a return to it.' From these primal elements, which have meaning both topographically and socially, he constructs an epic art. By centring the idea of a balance between departure and return, he is able to reconceive the otherwise tragic models of fracture and loss. It is his particular wisdom that in order best to map the microcosm he should take on the mapping of the macrocosm too. The paradox is that the poles of scale are reversible; for the writer, Walcott says, 'The more particular you get, the more universal you become.'

It is not Walcott's strategy naively to deny the tragic element of loss. On the contrary he gives it powerful expression, in Omeros, for example:

Men take their colours

As the trees do from the native soil of their birth, and once they are moved elsewhere, entire cultures lose the art of mimicry, and then, where the trees were,

the fir, the palm, the olive, the cedar, a desert place widens in the heart. This is the first wisdom of Caesar, to change the ground under the bare soles of a race.

The mirrored scenes of enforced mass migrations which Omeros so powerfully models give painful expression to the real tragedy of displacement. Epic, however, tends to valorize a community identity through a particular place of sacred belonging, often given the tragic tonality of exile. Homer's Odyssey is constructed on a powerful binarism, in which the desired locus - Ithaca, refuge of homeland and family - is
positioned antithetically to the exilic voyage, as Walcott understands so well in adapting the poem to the stage. The *Iliad* narrates a voyaging out to Troy, a place of exile to the Greeks whose subjectivity dominates the epic, but interestingly, since western culture has tended to approach Homer through and after Virgil and the Roman construction of a myth of Trojan origins, Troy has acquired the status of 'home' in subsequent western mythology, though a tragic myth of the lost home. Walcott has become a powerful poet of exile, particularly of the psychological exile in which faith in 'harbours' has been lost, which strikes a chord in the modern consciousness:

```
Slowly the sail will lose sight of islands;
into a mist will go the belief in harbours
of an entire race.

The ten years war is finished.
Helen's hair, a grey cloud.
Troy, a white ashpit
by the drizzling sea.12
```

Wayne Brown, the Trinidadian poet, has written of the present century as 'The Century of Exile',13 generalizing from the specificities of a Caribbean identity to a global phenomenon, the modern ethos read as existential angst. He identifies a 'fundamentally religious dissatisfaction with a world that is no longer clearly organized or with clear answers to offer', but, like Walcott, turns to art as anchor: 'the empires rise and fall...only the art stays.' Walcott always resists nihilism and the aestheticizing of the tragic, insisting that it is a particular affliction of the western scientific mind: 'It is the vanity of metropolitan cultures to believe that they alone have the right to pessimism, just as they alone once held the rights to their opposites: elation, delight, conviction and faith...The argument is: give a backward or provincial joy enough time and it will catch up with metropolitan tragedy.'14 Myths of exile remain manifestly powerful, however, as socially cohesive forces. The western tradition is built on the tragic myth of a lost Troy, reactivated in medieval tradition, and a myth of exile has sustained the Jewish people through a diaspora lasting millenium, in the same way that the mythologizing of a lost Africa has sustained African-Americans. For Walcott, Africa is still 'heart-shaped.'15 But just as Virgil's self-appointed task was to affirm the in-placeness of the Romans in Italy as a consequence of the migration resulting from the fall of Troy, so the task which
Walcott as artist of the New World sets himself is likewise to assert the in-placeness of such mixed communities as those which migration has brought together in the Caribbean. It is not enough just to claim the islands as home: he is acutely aware that a myth of the Caribbean home has to be created, of equal appeal to the myth of exile.

A Caribbean articulation of a sense of place is inevitably political, an act of resistance to the marginalization of colonial discourse. Fanon describes the displacement - from any right to identify with geographic place - which imperialism imposed: 'The negro possesses a native land, has a place in a Union or a Commonwealth. Every account has to locate itself on the map of the phenomenon, but it is right there, once again, that we are relegated to remote perspectives.' The postcolonial era of independence and democracy may have made Fanon's dispossessed legally full citizens, but culturally they tend to be still displaced from the dominant discourse, disseminated not only in the West's centres of power but also in the geographic 'margins'. The literary mapping of place is a case in point. As Walcott has said, 'There was no articulation of that vegetation. It was not sanctified by literature... that's the penalty of colonialism.' At the peak of the British empire, the implied external centre to the colonial text, like a focal point fixed disturbingly outside the frame, was articulated through the potent myth of the metropolitan 'home'. The rhetoric of 'home' was obviously initiated by white, migrant colonists for whom 'back home' had real meaning as a signifier of Europe as place of personal origin, but it became a widespread usage also among indigenous colonials of all races, so successfully was it disseminated. As a verbal code, the discourse of 'home' as the imperial 'motherland', the 'mother country', implicitly relegates all things colonial, including the indigenous people, to a secondary status, always overshadowed by the privileged, European centre.

One of the first tasks, therefore, of the post-colonial writer has been to resist the pull of this exterior centre, and to place the former colonial, marginal locus at the centre of the text. This has involved countering the stereotypes of exoticism which were part and parcel of the old portrayal, labelling it with the insidious negatives of excess - of overblownness, of oversensuality, of climatic extremes, disease and decay, to all of which the tamed landscapes and tame climate of southern England (not, significantly, Britain's northern or western reaches) were in implicit contrast as object and image of desire – it is no accident that those parts became known throughout the empire as the 'home counties'. The sense of place created in post-colonial writing
therefore typically subverts this negative valuation, asserting the value and specialness of its own place for its own sake, with the identity of its geographical and social landscape, its climate, flora and fauna, being centred in the new texts, framed within its own terms. This phenomenon can be observed in new literatures whether of settler societies, such as Australia or Canada, or plantation societies, such as in the Americas, but the situation is complicated in regions such as the Caribbean by the need for post-independence societies, who inherit a past of slavery, to assert not only a right to, but also a sense of belonging to, the land which was the destination of ancestral abduction and the field of past suffering and humiliation.

From early Caribbean culture onwards, the imperialist rhetoric of 'home' found its mirror image in an independent and equally powerful counter-discourse among the African-Caribbean community in which 'home' signified Africa, the place of origin, to which the soul would return at death. This has acquired an urgent political momentum in modern times through the Rastafarian movement and the dialectics of black power, with reggae music such as Peter Tosh's 'African' exporting it to a worldwide audience. The imaginative construction of the actual locus in the Caribbean, the place of birth, paradoxically, as a place of exile - Babylon to Rastafarians - is therefore common to both standpoints. Walcott resists both self-marginalisations, although he records honestly the power of their appeal. 'Where shall I turn, divided to the vein?' he asks in the poignantly titled 'A Far Cry From Africa'. For him, it is no less damaging to a healthful sense of in-placeness to regard the birthplace as 'exile' because Africa is 'home', than because Europe is 'home'. In the Caribbean, the post-colonial writer has not only to give expression to the particularity of place as a means of cancelling this privileged exterior reference to 'home', but also to restore the sense of spiritual relationship with the country of birth - a relationship which is taken for granted in societies not distorted by colonialism or traumatized by slavery. For Walcott the task is to demonstrate the incorporation of multiple traditions in the Caribbean location, mythified as the site of hybridity. In the early post-independence years this was posited against the dominant political discourse within the region. In 'What the Twilight Says' he repudiates 'pastoralists of the African revival', asserting his own multiple heritage: 'something prickles in me when I see the word Ashanti as with the word Warwickshire, both separately intimating my grandfathers' roots, both baptizing this neither proud nor ashamed bastard, this hybrid, this West Indian. At this date, 1970, to insist on placing European roots alongside African ones was to display the
moral courage of a heretic. African-Caribbean intellectuals who saw themselves as representative of the majority were vehemently orthodox in their exclusive emphasis on Africa, as exemplified, for instance, by Walter Rodney's influential polemic, *The Groundings with my Brothers*, and quick to marginalize those of other views, as Walcott drily points out in *Another Life* - to 'pronounce their measure / of toms, of traitors, of traditionalists and Afro-Saxons.' Whatever view one takes of his politics (which in fact are more radical than they are often perceived to be), Walcott should be respected for his integrity in sticking to a sincere and defensible opinion and not capitulating to the pressure of the historical moment. Since an analogous refusal of fashionable views has marked his aesthetic choices it is perhaps not surprising that he has often projected himself in his work as an isolated figure. He has felt the need to counter not only colonial discourse but the first phase of oppositional discourse, thus marginalizing himself for many years from both sectors of his community, those oriented to Europe and the West and those oriented to Africa. His position has not changed. He has argued throughout that both tendencies are nostalgic and de-centring, pathological even, when what is needed is an unequivocal celebration of the Caribbean as home.

In portraying the island 'home' as 'here', not 'elsewhere', the post-colonial Caribbean text is not only asserting a geographical in-placeness, it is also expressing for the first time the sacredness which the Caribbean community can feel for the place in which it now finds itself. Speaking of his portrayal in *Omeros* of the Plunketts, post-war migrants to St. Lucia who make it their home and choose to remain there till death, Walcott has explained his view that a person not native to a place may become, through love of it, 'spiritually native'. Even more significantly, in the same poem he makes Achille enact the ritual return to Africa that Walcott had for so long decried, in order to demystify the myth of a recoverable African identity. Achille through his dream-journey finds a tragic and mysterious Africa, which he recognizes as significant to him and where his ancestral father tells him his original name, but he is content to return to his New World identity, having laid his ghosts to rest. The important conclusion, for Walcott, is that Achille carries his Africa in him, through his genetic and cultural heritage; he does not have to incorporate its geographical reality into his life because it is naturalized into his Caribbeanness. Against the perception of tragic Caribbean loss Walcott asserts the counter-view, that the ancestral cultures are not lost but adapt and survive, learning to root themselves in a different
soil which then becomes as powerfully 'native', and to name different trees. Walcott is telling his people that they bear within them their ancestral culture, which derives from their ancestral location, as surely as the child carries the genes of its parents.

Alongside the assertion of the mobility and translatability of culture, the premise from which he starts is that personal identity derives from a particularity of place. This is manifest in his account of his own origin, in a passage from 'Sainte Lucie' memorable for its precise music:

moi c'est gens Ste.Lucie.
C'est la moi sorti;
is there that I born.24

As noted above, these were the lines Walcott chose to quote on his return to the island as a Nobel prize-winner.25 The use of the island's French patois signals a cultural hybridity which reflects its social community. The poem articulates a powerfully felt identity with the island, and with its culture: not only is it the poet's place of origin, where he was born and grew up, but it is the originary model for his very identity as poet. His community's linguistic heritage gives him being as a writer, just as much as his genetic heritage from his parents, passed to him in this, their location, shapes him as a biological being. The sense of place is therefore central to his sense of identity - not, for Walcott, problematic, though complex. He wrote of his adolescent conflicts: 'I was a knot of paradoxes: hating the Church and loving her rituals, learning to hate England as I worshipped her language, sanctifying A. the more I betrayed her, a Methodist-lecher, a near-Catholic-ascetic, loving the island, and wishing I could get the hell out of it.'26 E.A.Markham has asserted, 'Few West Indians are so self-contained as to regard the island/territory of birth as fulfilling the sum total of their aspirations,'27 but Walcott was unusual among writers of his generation in choosing to stay in the region. He was and is at ease with his clear knowledge of his St.Lucianness, the dominant aspect of his Caribbeanness, which now includes a powerful parallel sense of Trinidadian self-identification. In his Nobel speech he spoke of the region through the prisms of both St.Lucia and Trinidad (publicity material about the Nobel Prize issued by the Swedish Academy in October 1992 in fact described him as Trinidadian, a part-truth which became disseminated around the world), and both islands inform his work.
Walcott is one for whom his native land is hallowed ground; he has spoken of the intensity that I feel, just the sacredness to me of being in St. Lucia. It is a very holy thing for me simply because nature has made me feel that good, and you want to give something back to an experience that you've had, and to share - not even necessarily to share, just to give thanks to what you've been blessed with seeing or going through.28

The reverence is thus deeply bound up with his creativity; the island seems to provide inexhaustible, fertile material for his work. As he has said in a review of a Sam Selvon novel, 'The level of vision from which a writer records his observation of a society is fixed, sometimes permanently, in the environment of his youth.'29 For Walcott, his imagination was 'fixed' as if in a photographer's bath (the image from the beginning of Another Life) by living his first eighteen years on the island, before he left to study in Jamaica. That steady exposure to his own particular place was to condition his responses to the rest of the world, enriching them, but from a standpoint in which St. Lucia was unshakably 'home'. In Jamaica, as a student, and in Trinidad he felt himself to be a 'small-islander', but after twelve years in Trinidad he could write that though he had chosen Port of Spain 'as a fugitive collapses against a cul-de-sac, but preparing, though I did not see how, to abandon the islands', he had grown 'naturalized': 'The only defence of a small island identity is refusal to become a citizen, but the conversion is complete. I fake being an outsider, but both have made him Trinidadian.'30 From the beginning Walcott affirmed his commitment to the Caribbean, resisting the siren-call of metropolitan centres. As he put it firmly in an early poem, 'may I speak here', and later with a poignant sense of the cost, 'I stayed with my own'.31 Not until he was nearing fifty did he leave the Caribbean region to reside (other than as a student) in America, and since establishing an academic routine at Boston University where he is Professor of Poetry he has made a point of spending a significant part of each year back in the Caribbean. While there, he produces plays, writes and paints, although when asked where he wrote Omeros, he mentioned Boston and the Caribbean, but added that when he was actually in St. Lucia, because of its beauty and the strength of his feelings for it, it 'seemed almost irreverent to try to write about it.'32 His work celebrates to an extraordinary degree this sacred sense of belonging. Not only does he write with a painter's eye for light, colour and contour, he responds to the natural beauty of the region at every level, giving far-ranging historical and mythical readings of place which are all the more potent for being
precisely rooted in the immediate physical actuality. He traces his vocation as a dramatist to hearing as a child with his brother on a St. Lucian hillside the performed folk-tales of Sidone, which are 'part of our memory as writers':

a memory that...generated in the theater particularly...the impulse to tell stories based on the folk imagination and the folk memory, and in a setting that was turbulently beautiful in terms of what the landscape looked like, particularly at night in the moonlight.33

Crucially the cultural experience is remembered as a part of the landscape.

But to site an epic art in a very small island immediately suggests hyperbole: the scale of the idea, or work, over the scale of the location, connotes excess. The banal expectation would be of failure, that it could only work in an ironic or ludic vein (mock-heroic like Pope's 'Rape of the Lock'), never in a serious and 'high' style. Yet such an expectation, which implies that the Homeric poems were some sort of freak occurrence, betrays itself as a blinkered centrist view. Walcott, like Homer, has demonstrated that to have an archipelago of small islands as subject is no bar to an epic art. He does not simply begin his two great epics in his small island, he roots them continuously and successfully in the island experience. Omeros, unlike Another Life, does include extended excursions to other geographical locations, but the fact that these tend to be experienced by the reader with impatience to return to the main narrative of the island is powerful testimony to that narrative's success. The Polish writer, Zbigniew Herbert (whom Walcott mentions in Omeros), has suggested in an essay on the proliferation of Dutch landscape painting in the seventeenth century (a phenomenon unparalleled in the contemporary art of other bigger countries), that there may be an axiomatic relationship between a shortage of geographical space and the urge to create artistic space:

painting in Holland was omnipresent. It seems that the artists tried to augment the visible world of their small country and to multiply reality by the thousands, tens of thousands of canvases on which they recorded seashores, floodwaters, dunes, canals, distant vast horizons, and the views of cities.34

It may also be partly out of resistance to the wider world's presumption that a very small island is an improbable or impossible subject for epic that a writer such as Walcott insists. From very young he knew that he must refuse the imposed and internalized limits of colonial ambition, and having refused them, there was nothing to stop him sticking to the scale of his imagination.
In fact, far from acknowledging any disparity between the grandeur of his artistic project and the smallness of the island of his birth, Walcott paradoxically asserts topographic decorum on account of the epic scale of the omnipresent sea, against which the human figure is offset as heroic: 'The Caribbean is really the sea. It's not the islands... So you have the sense of immensity that is there, and all immensity creates awe.' This bears particularly on the consciousness of the artist. Asked about the disproportionate number of internationally known writers the Caribbean has produced, given the numerical smallness of the society, Walcott has said:

islands perhaps may make that happen, because islands are kinds of concentric experiences, in which there's some kind of a pivot around which you look. I think the island experience of writers in some way contributes to a larger sense of space, and of time, and even of history in the Caribbean. No matter how minor the Caribbean poet, in a sense he is an epic poet simply because of the scale of what surrounds him. If you live on a rock in Barbuda you are really in an immense ocean - an immense sea, actually, the Caribbean - and in an immense sky, and that vertical figure of the individual person is within large elements of physical feeling.

Walcott's epic presentation of the Caribbean as geographical place is rooted in two primary physical properties: the essential drama implied in 'island', of the interface between land and sea, and the plurality implicit in 'archipelago', in which separateness is consonant with commonality. The first is a binary concept, in which the two worlds of land and sea are rhetorically contrasted: the one firm, fixed, solid, delimitable, the other yielding, changing, formless, ubiquitous. The beach, their interface, is a place of endless drama - of friction, movement and music, as the one receives the other's self-assertion - and the thought-provoking scenario of many of Walcott's poems. And yet the nature of the island landscape is such that even this fundamental binarism is blurred, as the leaf-covered land is also wet, soft and moving; Walcott's verse sometimes collapses the distinction between land and sea by metaphorically linking forest with ocean, as in the portrayal of Harry Simmons's house, built 'within a sea of roaring leaves'. Both teem with life, are infinitely various, and, in storm, present similar images of chaos.

The other primal concept is that of the archipelago, in which the separate units are reconceived as part of a group - the apparently disjunct units linked by repetition, and, by implication, physically joined below the water. Lloyd Brown identifies the
'separate-but-communal implications of the archipelago archetype' as one of the themes of postwar West Indian poetry extended by Walcott, but argues (in 1984) that in his poetry 'people are islands unto themselves.' \(^{38}\) Patrick Taylor is of the view that 'much of Walcott's poetry remains tragic', \(^{39}\) but while it is obvious that Walcott gives vibrant expression to the experience of isolation, the poetry as a whole cannot, in my view, sustain these readings. The distinctive epic balance is achieved precisely through the counter-balancing of the isolation with the sense of connectedness, and although *Omeros* provides particularly memorable images of this, I do not think it is a case of reading the earlier work with hindsight to assert that a similar poise is evident in the earlier work. The archipelago allegory is at least as important as the island trope as vehicle for his modelling of subjectivity. It is the 'I-an-I' archetype of simultaneous difference and similitude, for in particular it is through culture, through art, that the isolated consciousness is able to enter communion with others. For Walcott the Antilles invite parallels with the Greek islands, with the corollary of comparable aesthetic flowering: it's a 'marine culture, it's an island culture, in Greece, and it's the same thing in the Caribbean.' \(^{40}\) Walcott's friend Dunstan St.Omer, the painter, is portrayed repeatedly in *Another Life* as a black Greek, a New World artist as talented and original as the artists of the Old World's mythic Greek origins. For Walcott resists any tendency to read Caribbean art as filial to the Aegean:

> the shape, the geographic reality of the Caribbean, is the cultural reality of the Caribbean, and the cultural reality of Greece is the geographic reality of the Aegean, the archipelago...the Caribbean islands existed at the same time; they didn't decide to imitate the Aegean, they were there at the same time. \(^{41}\)

The isomorphism is aesthetic, not dynastic. Now Walcott, as Caribbean poet, speaks across the ocean in his own voice, not only to Homer but also to writers of modern Greek epic.

The ubiquity of the sea is important to Walcott. Instead of cutting off, the sea, to him, unites, the same water washing all the shores of the world. The land-oriented concept of 'isol-ation' which constructs the sea as threat, a sundering stormy sea 'Whirring me from my friends', in Shakespeare's phrase, \(^{42}\) and which constructs the island itself as a locus of deprivation, apart from the 'world', as 'desert island', is foreign to him; the Caribbean island resists the stereotypes of barrenness with its prolific fertility, turning every ascetic into a St.Francis. Neither island nor ocean connotes loneliness to him. In a characteristically apt metaphor, Walcott's perception
is that the world's oceans above all transmit rhythm from one continent to another, the rhythm of poetry as much as the wave-rhythm. Addressing the modern Greek poet, Seferis, in 'From This Far' he progresses from the idea of the sea as divider to its role as communicator, as the grand narratives are washed up in new lands:

ocean divides: a bronze door.
In the wash the trunks of warriors
roll and recede.
Great lines, Seferis, have heaved them this far.43

The image is echoed in a poem in Midsummer, dedicated to Robert Fitzgerald, which engages with the act of translating the classics from old world to new:

his pulse starts the gavel
of hexametrical time, the V's of each lifted blade
pull from Connecticut, like the hammers of a piano
without the sound, as the wake, reaching gravel,
recites in American: "Arma virumque cano."44

The waves on the beach are, first and last, a maritime people's first lesson in rhythm, in natural 'metre', and that environment naturally generates other cultural givens, imprinted deeply on a people's psyche. 'The rhythm of the Odyssey,' Walcott has said, 'is the metre of the lift and dip of oars going into the water....I came from a cultural context where rowing was a daily thing.'45

Inland, the precipitous tree-hung heights of islands such as St.Lucia, rising sheer from the water, offer an image of magical apartness, of inaccessibility. It is a wild, uncultivated environment that appeals to Walcott most. The island contains places of an Edenic, untouched nature, 'slopes where nobody has been', which are 'absolutely virginal'.46 To give literary expression, the uniquely human gift, to places which are still as nature made them, is to play Adam to the island's paradise: 'Now if as a writer you look at that place and you just name that mountain, ...you're not just naming the mountain, it's like it still remains unexplored and untouched - you know, Adam's task of giving things their names.'47 Twenty years on from Another Life, where this phrasing of 'Adam's task', quoted from fellow-Caribbean writer Alejo Carpentier, was first given prominence,48 it still rings true to Walcott. The different trees with their various leaves and separate music are often evoked (defined by the particular eloquence of their St.Lucian French names), the blue distances of tree-clad heights and ravines, and bluer distances of the sea, as well as the vividness of water at
first hand, of waterfalls down rocks, of the sliding world of wave and wind. Sounds and smells as well as sights are used evocatively. In his loving portrait of the island, 'Sainte Lucie', he writes, for instance, of 'the wet leather reek / of the hill donkey' and of 'the evening deep / as coffee / the morning powerful / important coffee'. All sorts of creatures - birds, lizards, monkeys, insects, crabs, fish - are woven into his poetic narratives, and are even given parts in his plays. This is a kind of naturalism, as he has recently explained:

in *Ti-Jean* the chorus is an audible chorus of a frog, a cricket, and a bird. When I was doing this story, I thought: Okay, we need musicians so we'll have a frog doing the bass, a cricket playing the maracas, and a bird doing the flute...Those songs are there in the Caribbean night. If you heard the orchestration of the Caribbean night, you'd be amazed how rich it is in terms of the sound that goes on.

In a symbolic assertion of the identity which comes from geographical, natural place - which is also social - Walcott narrates in his poetry a small drama in which an irascible teacher summons an account of the stars from his pupils, only to be told in precise, local terms, they look like 'fireflies caught in molasses'. This line, which concludes the poem, exemplifies the rhetorical shift which the post-colonial project of mapping involves: the task is not only to name an immediate locale, but the universe in terms of that locale, and from the perspective of its subject's gaze. The moment is epic, though tiny, encapsulating through the cruel juxtaposition of the fragile, elusive, beautiful fireflies with the sugar industry's molasses the whole of the region's colonial history. It marks the pathos of its losses, but redeems its tragedy into comedy through the child's innocence, the freshness of his response to the particularity of place which is his birthright.

There is a sense in which Walcott's work is like a taxonomy. In his early work, in particular, he often explores the visual particularity and associative significance of certain creatures as if in a medieval bestiary (one of the sequences in *The Castaway* is titled 'Tropical Bestiary'), achieving a heraldic clarity of image which lends itself, like other aspects of his mapping of the island space, to symbolism. Recently, for example, he has given the iguana which gave St. Lucia its first name, louanalao, 'the place where the iguana is found', a heraldic place in the rich symbolism of *Omeros*, its hunched back mirroring that of the island which it represents. There too the bird known in English as the African swift and in French as a swallow, the *hirondelle des*
antilles, is a central mythic symbol. It doubles the Homeric transformations of Athena to a swallow by implying the bird is an avatar of a later divinity, Christ. The poem reveals it as a Christian sign. With its wings in the shape of a cross it is an emblem of restoration, expressed through a metaphor of stitching: 'Her wing-beat carries these islands to Africa, / she sews the Atlantic rift with a needle's line, / the rift in the soul.' The pervasive trope of the wound which traverses the poem - and of which the volcano at the heart and height of the island is another, Dantesque, symbol - is here given one last metamorphosis to healing, on a global geographic scale. The divided macrocosm, the world as halved fruit, split by the horizon or by the meridian, has its wound sewn up, in parallel with the healing of the protagonists’ chronic sores in the island microcosm. Within the island the magic is delivered by a magic herb, its medicinal properties located by Ma Kilman, but on the global scale it is a bird which delivers the therapeutic restoration. In other works, other natural figures are used. In ‘The Star-Apple Kingdom’, for instance, the fruit known in Jamaica as star-apple (though in most other territories as ‘caimite’ or ‘caimito’) is evoked not only for its physical shape, like a grenade, but for its proverbial connotations: ‘two-faced like star-apple leaf’ symbolizes the poem’s address to double standards, as the grenade metaphor does to its engagement with the problem of political violence, both urgent contemporary questions for Michael Manley’s Jamaica. In ‘The Saddhu of Couva’ the white cattle-egret with its long, thin legs, is evoked, similarly, for two reasons. Not only does the bird’s shape and colour resemble the old, white-dhoti-clad East Indian men in central Trinidad, but it too is an immigrant, and a more recent one, as it is only since the middle of the twentieth century that the bird has colonized the southern Caribbean. It emerges as a beautiful image to reconcile the human figures to their background as natural and right. Like the ocean whose waters unite the world, birds have long been favourite symbols of Walcott’s. Not only have they been used traditionally as cultural ciphers of spirituality but in nature their migrations, very evident in the islands, serve as a seasonal reminder that mobility need not be seen as loss. If migration is natural, and cyclic, repeatable, then much of the mythification of the Caribbean experience in terms of tragic displacement and loss loses its sting.

Yet this tragic history is mirrored too in the islands’ natural phenomena. As well as the ‘sore’ of the volcano (its name ‘Soufrière’ suggesting ‘sufferer’ as much as ‘sulphur-mine’), threatening cataclysm, there is the tropical violence of hurricane or of sun. Walcott has been a powerful evoker of the awesome power of storm and sun.
Of St. Lucia, Walcott has said, ‘her natural history was tragic. I had seen enough in childhood to believe it: a landslide that swallowed a mountain village after heavy rains, the memory of Saint Pierre, and, the year after I left school, a fire that destroyed half the town.’ Now it is Montserrat’s turn. Benitez-Rojo relates the region’s character to Chaos theory. The archipelago is an ‘island bridge’ between North and South America, a place of ‘unstable condensations, turbulences, whirlpools, clumps of bubbles, frayed seaweed, sunken galleons, crashing breakers, flying fish, seagull squawks, downpours, nighttime phosphorescences, eddies and pools, uncertain voyages of signification.’ In short, the Caribbean is ‘suspended in a soup of signs.’ Its plenitude is a reminder to Walcott of awe rather than fear, natural violence teaching that change is always possible, and the vigour of natural growth – so ‘lush’ and ‘lusty’, to use Gonzalo’s terms – teaching that creative fertility can heal the scars of the human psyche, as of the landscape. The ‘soup of signs’, both natural and cultural to Walcott, who habitually reads landscape through metaphors of textuality, is the given cornucopia.

As another strategy to counter the emphasis on lost origins Walcott at times projects a metaphor of the female body onto the island, recuperating the idea of dispossession from a remote ancestral motherland to a local, immediately available alter/native. The island's topography invites such a symbolism. The internationally recognized icon for St. Lucia is the twin volcanic cones of the Pitons, rising from the sea: ‘Under the Pitons, the green / bay, dark as oil. / Breasts of a woman, serenely rising.’ The notion of 'motherland' associated in colonial discourse with Europe, and in counter-discourses such as negritude with Africa or Asia, is by metaphorization of this kind indelibly imprinted on the local environment. It is not only the island itself but surrounding nature that is projected as female; the sea, as 'mer'. Walcott reminds us in Omeros, means 'both mother and sea in our Antillean patois.' It is particularly, the 'body' of the land, however, the delimited small island (knowable, perhaps, in a way that larger territories conveying a sense of national identity to the individual citizen are not), which is symbolized as female. Both of Walcott's long poems and several of his shorter ones give abstract ideas of nation or community expression through female personae, and vice versa. Nurture as female principle is involved, for instance, again through the island figure, in Walcott's tribute in Another Life to his second wife, Margaret, in whom children 'settle...simply, like rhymes' and in whose arms he is 'bayed' - which draws meaning both from the noun 'bay' and the verb 'to
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bay': 'bayed in whose arms I bring my stifled howl'. The wolf-howl suggests hunger, yearning, and distress; the image is developed to man-as-beast seeking ambiguous comfort from his desired home in the island/wife/mother:

in whose side, in the grim times
when I cannot see light for the deep leaves,
sharing her depth, the whole lee ocean grieves.63

Physical love is to come home to the body of the other as the mariner comes home to the island, and it may be that Walcott holds out the promise of 'homing' particularly to masculine identities, more traumatized and alienated than those of women, as his use of the wound as trope in Omeros suggests.

As Walcott has said of his response to Homer, 'The parts of the Odyssey I like are the parts I felt so immediately in terms of the Caribbean - water, light, a man's struggle to get home round the islands.64 Here 'home' is a destination, a place or body sought for the completion it will confer, relieving the loneliness of the travelling consciousness. The island identity, according to Lloyd Brown, is 'rooted in the paradox of a cherished separateness and a strong need to merge with the other'.65 He relates this to Walcott's deployment of the Odysseus archetype, although in 1985 he was not to know how comprehensively it would be developed in Omeros and the Odyssey. The power of this double impetus, towards 'cherished separateness' and the 'strong need to merge with the other', is that it is a universal dimension of human experience, seen particularly clearly in Walcott's (and others') creative address to the Caribbean condition. Such themes therefore resonate widely in readers whose personal and cultural starting-point may be remote from the region.

Feminist criticism, however, has repudiated masculine discourse's capture of the trope of the female body as territory symbolizing the nation. Florence Stratton, for instance, identifies its deployment within African literature as deriving both from colonial and indigenous traditions, calling it one of the 'defining features' of the male literary tradition, which 'reproduces in symbolic form the gender relations of patriarchal societies.'66 Elaine Savory (Fido), has been a critic of Walcott's representation of women. Her generalized criticism that Walcott's 'portrait of the Black woman...bears no relation to the feisty, emotionally various, strong, vulnerable and generally complex picture emerging by Black women themselves'67 itself bears no relation to such portrayals as that of Helen in Omeros, who displays all the qualities
listed (not to mention Ma Kilman). Savory (Fido) does, however, acknowledge, as does Freud, the universal symbolism of the mother's body as landscape (although in the context she relates it exclusively to the mother/daughter relationship):

Mother who is the first country, the first known territory which lies outside. Mother, from whom we leave and to whom we return, the one who is the starting point of all journeys and the point of reference for all destinations...Mother belongs to a culture and a country, which becomes ours, and gives us our first social identity.

The tensioned paradox of desire for reintegration simultaneously with the desire for separation is clearly part of the birthing experience which can be used aesthetically in relation to the motherland.

One of the primary ambivalences of the human condition is thus given particularly clear expression in Walcott's work. In antithesis to the 'homing' movement is the impetus towards parting. Both are equally strong and necessary, and they express the profound paradox of human experience. The isomorphism between the needs of the individual and those of society is exact but conflicted: both are built on the tension between the will to individual identity/independence and the desire for love/community. Walcott uses this ambivalence (a rather different thing from the postcolonial ambivalence Homi Bhabha describes) to achieve his distinctive tone of epic balance. In a passage used first in 'Tales of the Islands' and later incorporated into Another Life, for instance, he portrays his first departure from the island, a symbolic rite of passage, of leaving to study in Jamaica. As the plane gains height the dwindling island is sharply portrayed, the pathos of the moment acute, as if at parturition: 'each mile / tightening us and all fidelity strained / till space would snap it. The mothering island is delivered of her son. It is a necessary rupture. Savory (Fido) explores the social and cultural implications of migration: 'It is possible to live in a foreign country, as an exile, without ever breaking the tie to the birth country. But truly cross-cultural living requires a need to break out of the circle of childhood experience and accept what is foreign and strange as one's own. By investing his moment of departure with a ritual symbolism Walcott gives imaginative expression not only to a universal (maturation through leaving home) but to that displacement which is the common ancestral heritage of his community. Crucially, in portraying his own leaving in terms suggesting parturition, he counters both colonial and postcolonial discourses which mythify the history of migration as tragic loss, by
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replacing such myth with a trope of the most natural and positive of all processes, birth. The separation of parturition is not only natural but vital; it is a precondition to individual survival. By implication, this moment contains all of the ancestral and continuing migrations and remodels them as births to new, mature, independent life.

In addressing the trauma of ancestral dislocation of which the Caribbean was the destination, he is also, however, addressing the modern migrations which involve departure from the Caribbean. The benign model of parturition is still applicable. Just as he is secure in his identity as a St.Lucian wherever he is, so others need not fear a loss of identity simply because of a change of place. Departure is also balanced by return. There is equilibrium implicit in either comings or goings: 'any departure from an island means a return to it.' This positive representation is itself, however, balanced by a negative one. In Walcott's work the 'fortunate traveller', who like Gonzalo can be imagined carrying his island in his pocket to give it to his children like an apple, is doubled and ironized by the figure of the aching loneliness of 'the single, circling, homeless satellite', a voice of exile conceived as tragic. These are not mutually cancelling. Both are equally present and 'true', just as the pain of parturition is real, for child and mother. They enact the same isometric balance as in the motif of the mariner, who leaves harbour to return. The Walcott who articulates so powerfully his own displacement in 'The Schooner Flight' is the same Walcott who celebrates his own intact sense of belonging. As well as 'gens Ste.Lucie', he is the one who asks,

Where is my rest place, Jesus? Where is my harbour?
Where is the pillow I will not have to pay for,
and the window I can look from that frames my life? 

This homelessness, however, is that of the castaway, who has a home but cannot be in it. The grief is that captured by Masaccio in his painting of the expulsion of Adam and Eve from paradise; it is rooted in a precise knowledge of the magnitude of the exclusion. The sense of the islands as an Edenic community from which he has become in a sense estranged can be acute. In 'The Light of the World', for instance, the returning narrator is left desolate and overwhelmed by humility, from the knowledge of his own redundancy, as the symbolic transport gathers up the blessed and takes them on to the place of desire.

In Omeros Walcott moves on from this tragic perception of loss, (which reflects a particular phase of his life), to a different equanimity; there he centres a
lyrical incantation, in the metre of ancient spells, to turn a house - any house, anywhere - into a 'home'. The deracination of the idea of 'home' is a significant development. It is the logical conclusion of his long-standing assertion that the individual embodies an ancestral history and consciousness, which means that identity need not feel itself threatened by change of place. In keeping with Walcott's overall aesthetic, the word 'home' can be invested with the meanings we choose: it is not a given. As he says in one of the poems of *Midsummer*, 'exiles must make their own maps,' and in some ways the detached perspective can be an advantage. As Said notes of Auerbach's *Mimesis*, such an overview of a whole cultural tradition would hardly have been possible except from exile; it is 'built on a critically important alienation from it.' Similarly, Joyce would probably not have been able to conjure such a complete simulacrum of Dublin except from an exterior vantage point, like Trieste. As noted, Walcott has acknowledged the scruples he has about writing of St.Lucia in St.Lucia. It is in St.Lucia that his personal identity is anchored – the only place in the world where a stranger can say, 'so you is Walcott? / you is Roddy brother? / Teacher Alix son?' – but that validation is like an identity card which admits him to the world, secure in his inalienable filiation. His images are of the Biblical ark, in which the pattern of the world was preserved, and that other castaway icon, the ship in a bottle:

```
if I must go,
make of my heart an ark,
let my ribs bear
all, doubled by
memory, down to the emerald fly
marrying this hand, and be
the image of a young man on a pier,
his heart a ship within a
ship within a ship, a bottle
where this wharf, these
rotting roofs, this sea,
sail, sealed in glass.
```

Like the emblematic snail, Walcott presents in his work an image of the complete personality as nomad, at home in itself and therefore at home anywhere. In his drama *The Odyssey* the trope of the turtle, mobile in its shell, is deployed as a marine equivalent. Once again the world's seas serve as the field in which the naturalness of migration can be validated. Turtles, like whales, another figure of Walcott's, wander
the global oceans, but turtles find their way back to the beach of their hatching to lay their eggs. Migration in nature is often a cyclic process, as it can be for the human animal. It is, therefore, not only as natural landscapes that Walcott represents the islands, but as symbolic landscapes, offering a reminder of the untouched, Edenic world, and through metaphors of the body extending the dialectic of home and identity.

In addition, he also evokes the Caribbean as social landscape, undermining the paradisal evocations: as he points out drily in 'Sainte Lucie', 'the valley of Roseau is not the Garden of Eden, / and those who inhabit it are not in heaven,' although he nonetheless maintains his assertion that it is blessed. He captures the ambivalence of a society in which most are desperately poor, and yet strikingly rich in their relish of life, 'because the nature around it is so exultant, so resolutely ecstatic, like its music.' It is a pattern repeated throughout the region which is his province. (As a federalist, Walcott continues to regret the fragmentation of the islands into separate nations; from his earliest work, he speaks as a Caribbean writer, of that particular kind known as St.Lucian.) His minor epics (in the epyllion tradition) include extended portrayals of the major distinct anglophone societies of the region – not only St.Lucia, but Trinidad, Guyana, and Jamaica, (his reticence in relation to Barbados may be an act of deference to Edward Kamau Brathwaite) – plus the wider Caribbean region, including the central American and Gulf coasts. He distinguishes the particular identities of the French and Spanish Caribbean, and the Americaness of the Virgin Islands. He was also one of the first to foreground the multicultural nature of Caribbean societies, particularly Trinidad, giving early poetic expression, for example, to the Hindu landscapes of Trinidad's cane fields, with their prayer flags and cinema vans and thin old men in white, as in ‘The Saddhu of Couva’, and he has been prominent in raising consciousness of the native American presence in the region. His two long epics are both strikingly rooted in the Caribbean landscape, Another Life in St.Lucia and Trinidad, with the Sauteurs myth borrowed from Grenada's topography (as discussed below), while the central narrative of Omeros gives multi-layered expression to St.Lucia.

The volcanic island, though so small, is also very diverse, with its lee and its Atlantic shores, and its precipitous, virgin heights, as Walcott describes in taking his reader on a journey on its one road in the essay ‘Leaving School’, the village names ‘plaiting into each other like straw’. The island’s topography functions as a generic
representative of the archipelago, but so also does its community, typified by the devout poor who are at the centre of Walcott’s frame:

Maria, Maria,
your bows nod benediction,
the broken pier kneels,

sancus, sancus,
from the tonsured mountains
the slow stink of incense
from Soufriere's chancre
the volcano's
sulphurous censer,

Sancta Lucia,
an island brittle
as a Lenten biscuit

Where, in Another Life, a clear connection is drawn between the church (the catholic church of St.Lucia) and the poor, in Omeros the church is more obliquely presented as a sustaining mystery, as part of the poem's mythic resonances. The vehement portrayal of the poverty of villages surviving on little but will-power, in the earlier epic is encompassed in the repeated suggestion that life for many on the islands is hell:

Qui côté c'est l'enfer?
Why, Father, on this coast.
Father, hell is

two hundred shacks on wooden stilts,
one bushy path to the night-soil pits.

Hell is this hole where the devil shits

Such images are less prominent in the later epic, where more grief is derived from the symbolic suffering of history - the great howl of Philoctete, for example - and the representation of modern hardship is secondary. Yet the disproportion between the nuanced richness of Maud's environment and the apparent destitution of Helen and Achille, with their poverty of goods but richness of spirit, is clearly set out: the essential wisdom of the poem seems to be that there can be liberation in the freedom from materialism - a philosophy encapsulated, for instance, in the sensual and
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spiritual cleansing which their hand-made, open-air shower arrangements give Helen and Achille, providing a richer experience than any luxury bathroom. Walcott has defended himself against charges of romanticising poverty, and with justification, as he has been an angry denouncer of the global order which imprisons the Two-Thirds World in economic hardship, but it is also true that he persistently refuses a tragic reading of the Caribbean condition. In his Nobel lecture, for instance, he asserts provocatively that 'in the Antilles poverty is poetry with a 'V, une vie, a condition of life as well as of imagination.'

It is also true that there is nostalgia in his portrayal of the mores of the society of his youth, which he contrasts with a present materialism, and finds more heroic because of its self-sufficiency and its unclouded prioritizing of human relationships above commodities. It is evident in the tone of his Nobel lecture:

To be still in the nineteenth century, like horses, as Brodsky has written, may not be such a bad deal, and much of our life in the Antilles still seems to be in the rhythm of the last century, like the West Indian novel...Before it is all gone, before only a few valleys are left, pockets of an older life, before development turns every artist into an anthropologist or folklorist, there are still cherishable places, little valleys that do not echo with ideas, a simplicity of rebeginnings, not yet corrupted by the dangers of change.

The landscape in which he sites himself as observing subject, particularly in the two major epics, is informed by the pre-technological, old-fashioned world of his childhood. The modern world, in the shape of technologies such as word processors and jet planes, is acknowledged in his work, but the changes which it implies he sees as double-edged, and it is kept at the margins of his work's central concerns.

Just as he seems to be setting up the green island in rhetorical opposition to the urban environment, in a variant of the pastoral model, the binarism of this is dismantled to reveal a new distinction within the urban. Out of tune with the modern 'first world' city, hating its gigantism and its materialism, he contrasts it with the scale of the island capitals. He enters the dialectic of utopia by opposing the modern mythologizing of the technical city - the space-age dream - with his own counter-myth. His own region, he says,

can still be transformed in some kind of simplicity, and certainly by its own architecture and a sense of the scale of the Caribbean. I mean if you see two skyscrapers downtown in Port of Spain trying to look like the twin towers of New York City, there's something wrong with that. And it's true in many parts
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of the world that that sort of standardized block of living is turning people into "zombie". And you don't want zombies in the Caribbean; there's too much light and air for that. It seems to be the fate of cities to mechanize people into going into certain concrete blocks, coming out of them, and living by that rhythm. And if we start to do that in the Caribbean, then there's something radically wrong in terms of what the ordinary vision of the politician should be.87

In his Nobel lecture he puts forward Port of Spain, the Caribbean city where he has spent most of his adult life, as the model for the ideal civilized city, painting a lyrical portrait of its human and humanizing scale:

Cities create a culture, and all we have are those magnified market towns, so what are the proportions of the ideal Caribbean city? A surrounding, accessible countryside with leafy suburbs, and if the city is lucky, behind it, spacious plains. Behind it, fine mountains; before it, an indigo sea. Spires would cross the sky in alphabetic patterns, carrying with them memories of a belief in augury, and at the heart of the city there would be horses, yes, horses...This is Port of Spain to me, a city ideal in its commercial and human proportions, where a citizen is a walker and not a pedestrian, and this is how Athens may have been before it became a cultural echo.88

Walcott's faith in the multicultural cities of the Caribbean as closer to the ideal city than are the metropoles of the North is shared by the Cuban writer Antonio Benitez-Rojo: 'Every Caribbean city,' he says, 'carries deep within it other cities, which live as fetal, minuscule modules of turbulence that proliferate – each different from the last – through marinas, plazas, and alleys.' He defines the Caribbean 'yard' as 'a result of the plantation' but simultaneously 'the anti-plantation.' The heterogeneous people whose home it is are distinguished, he says, by '[t]heir refined individualism and their peculiar sense of freedom.'89 This urban landscape is clearly not utopian in all kinds of important real ways, yet it has a special humanity, which Walcott like Benitez-Rojo celebrates.

Walcott resists the patronizing gaze of outsiders such as Lévi-Strauss who read an 'elegiac pathos' into the Caribbean landscape, which is 'ultimately wrong', a 'misunderstanding of the light and the people on whom the light falls'. He goes on,

These writers describe the ambitions of our unfinished cities, their unrealized, homiletic conclusion, but the Caribbean city may conclude just at that point where it is satisfied with its own scale, just as Caribbean culture is not evolving but already shaped. Its proportions are not to be measured by the traveller or the exile, but by its own citizenry and architecture. To be told that
As an American resident, Walcott now seeks out the islands as refuge from the depersonalizing scale and style of the modern city and as communities where people still have time for each other, but he conveys also a sense that this difference is doomed, as the islands insidiously get to look, and be, like everywhere else. In *Omeros*, he has said, he wanted 'to capture something about the way of life of ordinary people' such as fishermen, which was 'going now.'

'Home' therefore, the sacred image of the Caribbean, becomes a concept under siege. Tourism is a 'benign blight' which 'can infect all of those island nations, not gradually, but with imperceptible speed, until each rock is whitened by the guano of white-winged hotels, the arc and descent of progress.' Islands surrounded by hotels, he has said, are like film sets, unreal. In *Another Life* he gives an ironic portrayal of the kind of landscape which tourism produces: 'Hotel, hotel, hotel, hotel and a club: The Bitter End.'

In defence of his ideal, Walcott has entered into the arena of political arguments over development of the island, campaigning against the proposed development of the Pitons' area. Walcott's early patriotic engagement in the struggle for independence is paralleled by this struggle in his sixties against the despoiling of the region in the name of development; both battles are waged primarily in the field of art, although he is willing to lend his name to direct campaigning. He has spoken out, for instance, against the Jalousie tourist development of 115 bungalows close to the Pitons of St.Lucia as 'like building a McDonald's next to Stonehenge', or 'writing "Fuck you" on a wall in Mecca - that's the kind of arrogance I'm talking about.'

Sensitive to criticism that such scruples are an outsider's luxury because tourism means much-needed jobs, he argues that 'It's greed posing as necessity'. In his 'Litany To The Pitons' Walcott puns 'Jalousie is one of The Seven Deadly Sins / Greed is another.' Profits will be secured by bankers overseas, while the local population will be manoeuvred to remain indefinitely in poorly paid jobs serving the affluent tourists. Walcott backs his objection to the developers' greed with a defence of the Pitons issue as a case of conservation principle: 'even if the greed were to the benefit of an entire community it would still be wrong. There are some things that you just don't sell.'

Moves to have the Pitons declared a World Heritage site have failed to stop the development which is now up and running, though it has changed hands already because of losses, becoming a Hilton. The critique of tourism which is incorporated
in *Omeros* is informed by this campaigning stance. When Helen quits her waitress job because of sexual harassment Walcott is drawing attention to the particular self-debasement which the economically vulnerable islands enact when they effectively prostitute themselves to the rich north as sites for sexual tourism. Helen, in *Omeros*, who symbolizes the island, is heroic in her refusal of tourism’s degradations, though at personal cost, as if Walcott wishes to suggest that a stand can be made. The paradisal imagery by which the islands are marketed in the North is a distinctly ambiguous lure:

> in our tourist brochures the Caribbean is a blue pool into which the republic dangles the extended foot of Florida as inflated rubber islands bob and drinks with umbrellas float towards her on a raft. This is how the islands from the shame of necessity sell themselves; this is the seasonal erosion of their identity; that high-pitched repetition of the same images of service that cannot distinguish one island from the other, with a future of polluted marinas, land deals negotiated by ministers, and all of this conducted to the music of Happy Hour and the rictus of a smile.

The impact of the tourism industry on the cultural self-perception of Caribbean people is of particular concern because it reinscribes the colonial discourse of exoticism as part of its *realpolitik* of exploitation. It is neocolonialism in action. In a recent interview Walcott underlines the politics of the visual enchantment: 'The Tourist Board vision is not to be mocked. Every photograph you take in the Caribbean is beautiful, the light makes it so - you just gasp continually. And what's beautiful often turns out to be what's poor: the colours of galvanized shacks near the blue sea'. But this is more than just 'visual surprise'. He asserts the 'spiritual beauty of the place: you have a sense of the celestial in that light, a radiance without edges.' To him this makes the impact of tourism approach sacrilege, 'the desecration of a spiritual, self-evidently sacred place. Anybody approaching the Pitons is overwhelmed by a sensation beyond themselves. You don't violate that unless you're a barbarian, because the place communicates its own votive aura.' With casinos now the next threat, Walcott's line is intransigent: 'If I can summon up all the forces I can, it'll be a real fight.' Yet while championing such causes, not as 'nostalgic sites but occluded sanctities', he is aware of the two-edged nature of his efforts, that he is standing them into danger by attracting international attention even as he tries to preserve them; they are places 'as threatened by this prose as a headland is by a bulldozer or a sea-almond grove by the surveyor's string, or, from blight, the mountain laurel.' It would be
2. The Gift of Place

ironic if, while he has preserved the Pitons in his poems because of his love of their beauty, the international community might in the end be moved to keep them unspoilt—or more realistically live to regret their despoiling—less because of their beauty than because of their association with his poetry.

Where civilization is understood in terms of humanitarian values, a sense of the sacred, and an absence of materialist greed, then the old ‘civilization-savagery’ continuum can be reversed. The process of identifying barbarism becomes a corollary to that of praising and defending what the home community holds precious. In constructing a sense of the Caribbean location, Walcott therefore sets up a dialectical opposition with the 'elsewhere' of the North, countering old myths and constructing new ones. Overall, he remakes the old manichean binarism, switching its topographical values, so that as the southern archipelago is revisioned as locus of desire - as, in a real sense, civilized - the urban, northern environment is stripped of its self-appointed status as site of civilization, and reconceived as barbaric. For Walcott, it is primarily a matter of humanitarian values. He finds them more in evidence in the communities of the Caribbean than in the northern centres of power, and deduces that the North's moral predicament is the consequence of its abuse of power, and perhaps of its cold climate. The islands' very lack of power therefore becomes a primary virtue; the powerless are brought to an understanding about the truth of moral values, he argues, in a way which the discourse of power typical of the North and its culture wilfully obscures. By definition, the poor of the South have a world view in which the power myths of the North arrive already demystified; to be trapped in poverty is to have no illusions about the supposed benevolence of the global political structures which promote our entrapment. Conversely poverty can remind us that we share a predicament with our neighbour, and confirms the importance of compassion, mutual support, and solidarity.

It may be that such a gritty optimism as Walcott's, salvaged from the teeth of pessimism, is a phenomenon of a particular period of Caribbean history. He grew up under empire, argued for and saw the liberation to independence, but has also seen the effects of international capitalism since independence. The distinct culture of human values which he identifies in the islands is, as he recognizes, being eroded by those effects. In his 'Project Helen' series of watercolours he is involved, he says, in 'preserving traditional island scenes for posterity', and this is one way of regarding his work in general, as a time capsule of a way of life which is fading. To what extent
the culture of satellite television now sweeping the Caribbean, for instance, will undermine the distinctness of the culture remains to be seen. Walcott, interviewed in a documentary film about the impact of American television on St. Lucia (which has sixteen channels, with local programme content of less than five per cent), identified the 'rapidity' of change as a problem: it was 'leaving a lot of stuff behind'. He reiterated, 'I'm not against the idea of development. I'm against the idea of believing that my development has to do with my selling what is virtually my spirit.' On a local phone-in programme he restated his opposition to the Jalousie development:

Everybody knows there are other places where hotels can be built. Why there?... you don't put any obscenity in the Grand Canyon... because you'd be laughed at... How can that happen here unless people have such contempt for you that they wanted to do it, or the government has such self-contempt that they can even think of doing it?105

The matter comes down to one of self-respect, with the younger generation becoming as culturally alienated as were their colonial grandparents, the contemporaries of Fanon, who wrote, 'I cannot go to a film without seeing myself. I wait for me. In the interval, just before the film starts, I wait for me. The people in the theater are watching me, examining me, waiting for me. A Negro groom is going to appear. My heart makes my head swim.'106 It is in such stances as that over the Pitons that the committed radicalism of Walcott's cultural politics are unmistakable. His is not a naive optimism; he gives full weight to the negatives under which Caribbean society labours, and acknowledges the pressures which sometimes result in despair and suicide (as in the real-life stories narrated in Another Life), but he frames such explorations within an overall assertion of the miracle that hope remains irrepressibly at large in a community which, logistically, should have given up hope long ago. In this he is in tune with another famous radical - and martyr - Walter Rodney, who wrote, 'we have gone through a historical experience through which by all accounts we should have been wiped out... Now not only have we survived as a people but the Black Brothers in Kingston, Jamaica in particular... are every day performing a miracle... they have a vitality of mind, they have a tremendous sense of humour, they have depth. How do they do that in the midst of the existing conditions? And they create... Black people who have suffered all these years create. That is amazing.'107

Walcott's attitude retains in fact a number of points of contact with the radical politics particularly of the 1960s and 1970s. He constructs his own 'Babylon'. The
corollary to his assertion of the sacredness of the islands, both naturally and in terms of the spirituality of their people, is his resistance to the privileging of rationalist or scientific thought that he associates with the north and its degenerate ways. At a conference on 'The Legacy of Europe' he said he was 'scared of being too linear and connecting, because that would make me too European'. Like Wilson Harris he values imaginative and intuitive lateral thinking, and devises a symbolist and mythopoetic aesthetic. He engages urgently with the persuasive re-mythologizing of mythographers such as Lévi-Strauss, whose structuralist anthropology has had a huge influence on post-war western thought. Two of his works in particular have prompted ripostes from Walcott, *Tristes Tropiques*, published in English under its French title which captures the elegiac nostalgia better than 'sad tropics', and *The Raw and the Cooked*, which distinguishes third world oral cultures, as 'raw', from the cultures of literate societies, which are 'cooked'. Walcott rightly identifies the centrist gaze which is behind Lévi-Strauss's dissections of societies radically unlike his own. In *Another Life* he both captures what is truly sad in the poverty forced on communities such as those of the Caribbean and undermines Lévi-Strauss's position, in his reiterative parody, 'tristes, tristes tropiques'. In 'North and South', a few years later, he makes it very plain that the implicit pejorative evaluation in Lévi-Strauss's use of 'raw' is centrist, and opposes it with his own powerful championing of the thereby marginalized culture as preferable to the 'cooked' preciosity and decadence of the western tradition. He is, in a sense, demystifying Lévi-Strauss by showing him to be the Rousseau of contemporary thought, who reinscribes in scientific codes the old, damaging binarism by which societies which are radically different or Other are marginalized by being construed as primitive - however nostalgically or romantically so - in relation to the centre's appropriation of the civilized.

As with negritude, the locus of the other is then claimed and revalued: it is placed at the centre of a different interpretation of the world from the dominant. The gaze from this new centre produces a radically new mapping of the world. In Walcott's case, not only are cultural silences voiced, and absences made present, but the myths by which dominance is perpetuated are exposed. The moral consciousness, in flight from the new monsters of the North, political hegemonies where 'mastodons force their systems through the snow', seeks out the South for a society still wearing a human face. The North is the place of power bases, expressed through architectural styles claiming the validation of antiquity. It is the place of the museum, of the
cathedral, of the 'classical' with its hierarchical steps and columns, of the public institutions which are alike in their dwarfing of the individual. The difference between time and place is collapsed in a single monadic system designed to express dominance. Walcott has said, 'History to me means vanity; the belief that man has belief in his destiny and that I'm supposed to share, delivered from a central, focal, pivotal place. The best example is the cathedral; awe is contained in them...I'm scared of the vanity of an architecture that's supposed to be in praise of God but could be about man praising God.' The age of some of the European cities, their 'sense of destiny', rouses 'fury in me, against possession. To even phrase the legacy of Europe is to imply that Europe is going to bequeath in its patronage something which we all have to inherit, or should be proud to inherit.'

Exposure of the nexus between culture and power is a recurrent theme in his poetry, conspicuously in Omeros, where in a key exposition of the relationship between representation in the dominant culture and the powerlessness of the oppressed, he mocks Herman Melville's racial representation - 'Lawd, Lawd, Massa Melville, what could a nigger do / but go down dem steps in de dusk you done describe?' - and brings the section to a close in the symbolic replacement of the hieratic by the demotic, as dusk brings the dominion of blackness: 'Streetlights came on. The museum windows went out.' The north is the place of terror. Europe, to Walcott as an adolescent at the end of the war, was a negative, a counter-value: 'The idea of Europe was like a huge devastation, a hole.' His outsider's gaze produced the conclusion, 'These white people are mad but they know what they're doing.' Experience of New York led to different terrors, of violence on the streets, as described in 'God Rest Ye Merry, Gentlemen', and the dread of the nuclear holocaust. In 'North and South', Dante's concluding revelation of the white rose of paradise is echoed in an ironic and demoniac inversion in which 'white' is given a new, sinister racial value, 'as those in the North all wait for that white glare / of the white rose of inferno, all the world's capitals.' Once again, the Walcott aesthetic insists on the power to invest the signifier with new meaning - and on the moral imperative of subverting the racist codes of colonial discourse. In this way he joins the throng of black artists who have since the middle of the century been devising ways of countering the denigration (even!) of blackness to which the privileging of whiteness contributes.
In Walcott's counter-mythologizing of geography, differences of climate are expanded to moral distinctions. In the darkness of a New York winter, in 'North and South', Conrad's *Heart of Darkness* is invoked as expressive of the demoniac quality of life - producing a dread and isolation which causes depression and suicide; this is then contrasted with the southern islands, characterized by warmth - of feeling and climate:

And, in this heart of darkness, I cannot believe they are now talking over palings by the doddering banana fences, or that seas can be warm.118

In 'The Fortunate Traveller' the play on Conrad's title is taken further, as discussed below, as part of Walcott's core aesthetic that the meaning of language is not fixed, that art can invest the terminology of the Old World with fresh significance, empowered by the 'faith of using the old names anew'.119 In a subtle variant of this resistance dialectic, the language of climate is made an expressionist semiology of feeling by being reconnected to an older myth. In his work the northern climate with its season of cold is repeatedly associated with coldness in human relations and the failure of compassion, while the tropics are, as in 'North and South', the refuge of emotional warmth. Walcott thus tackles a northern myth of the South that he describes in his Nobel lecture: the dominant view that people of the tropics

inhabit a geography whose rhythm, like their music, is limited to two stresses: hot and wet, sun and rain, light and shadow, day and night, the limitations of an incomplete metre, and are therefore a people incapable of the subtleties of contradiction, of imaginative complexity. So be it. We cannot change contempt.120

He counters this with a different symbolism, related to the ancient myth of mutability - changeability as sign of the fallen state of the world. As the Eden story relates the introduction of the seasons to the post-lapsarian world, so Walcott's dialectical emphasis on the tropical climate as blessed in its constancy, in contrast to the northern seasons, cursed in their mutability, draws in a new way on the old reading of the New World as paradise regained; but instead of the traditional east-west binarism, Walcott remolds it on a north-south division, and in such a way that the North is projected as place of moral degeneracy and the fallen condition.
The American term for autumn of course lends itself to the analogy between seasons and moral state, and readily extends to metaphors of falling in battle, overlaid on the fall of the leaf. In *Omeros*, for instance, the section set in the north relates the American War of Independence to the fall, as 'battalions of leaves kept falling in their blood', and moves on to the sinister onset of winter, as symbol of the dominion of the 'red' Native American being usurped by the white man: 'Red god gone with autumn and white winter early.'\(^1\) Even the conventional benign mythology of the northern spring is reversed in Walcott's portrayal; in 'North and South' he writes of 'winter branches...mined with buds', and 'fields of March' which will 'detonate the crocus',\(^2\) in an extended metaphor of the seasonal cycle as war. In particular the blankness of snow, often mythified as the artist's blank page, becomes the field not only for his own hieroglyphs, but for those of nature: in 'Forest of Europe', for instance, the winter trees are 'tortured icons'.\(^3\) Gordon Rohlehr has used a colour semiology for the cover of his book on Brathwaite, *Pathfinder*, using white letters on a black ground, because the 'language that has been imposed on you is white. You use that language but you are using it on a black ground, which is actually a total reversal of the European image of making black marks in the white snow',\(^4\) but Walcott is concerned to mythify the black inscription. He sees himself as using his own language in his own way, involved in writing the story of his own black community whether on a snowfield or a tropical landscape.

This preoccupation with a manichean symbolism of north and south is reflected in the organization of two of his poetry collections, where the poems are subdivided under section headings according to their setting. *The Fortunate Traveller* has three parts, 'North', 'South', and 'North' again; *The Arkansas Testament* is divided between 'Here' and 'Elsewhere', where the first is the Caribbean, as centre which establishes the gaze. A similar structure is evident in *Omeros*, where the 'excursion' to northern locations is inserted in an antithetical relationship to the poem's base in St.Lucia. The collection titled *Midsummer* may be seen to resonate in both time and place: what the North calls midsummer, when holidays are timetabled, is the all-year norm of tropical Trinidad where the poems, which arise from a summer vacation visit, are chiefly set; but it also suggests the mid-life stage which the poet's life has reached (echoing Dante's opening), and ambiguously hints that the autumnal decline, which is the only future the northern approach to life can predict for him, may mask another possibility, of a lasting well-being to come. The ludic manipulation of the rhetoric is
therefore clearly not frivolous, or narrowly competitive. It is evident that Walcott
turns round such myths in his use of language not just because they are inaccurate,
 misleading, or psychologically damaging, but because, in his scheme of things, they
misrepresent the essentials of the human condition, and as such, are mortal error.

It is for these reasons, it seems, that Walcott, as part of the project to map the
microcosm of St. Lucia, takes on the re-mapping of the macrocosm. As he
increasingly visits other locations than the Caribbean in his poetry, he seems able to
generate striking representations of places new to him, representations which are
immediately and gratefully recognized by their citizens as valuable portrayals. But
when he takes on a fresh geography he does so unmistakably from his own
perspective: his reading of other people's places derives from the ground on which he
stands as a Caribbean person. His gaze is not theirs, as he interprets the visible world
in the light of his own experience and concerns, bringing his own Caribbean pluralism
(the Caribbean persona as microcosm of the world) back into a reciprocal relationship
with the plural world which created it. Ireland, for instance, in Omeros becomes the
place of ancient sanctity, of anti-colonial resistance, of a politicized literature, and of
the ongoing 'troubles'; Wales is the site of ancient imperial conquests and of song;
London is the unfeeling metropolis still fantasizing its empire, where immigrants and
vagrants are marginalized. Even in the extension of his own identity to a broader
American belonging, and in his increasing incorporation of north American topics and
locations in his work, he writes still as an outsider, as a Caribbean American, with
fresh angles to reveal. The matching of the brilliantly evoked visual detail, which is
recognized as having the instant authority of a known truth, to the particularity of
interpretation, which is likely to be not 'standard', draws the reader into new
perceptions and challenges stereotypes. As observer, interested in penetrating to the
essentials of place and society in a way which is the antithesis of tourism, Walcott can
teach the citizens, lulled or calloused by familiarity, to experience their own world
afresh, bringing to bear a new sensibility which uses the aesthetic to access the
ethical.

Walcott has successfully resisted the marginalising of his place in the world by
centering it in his work, and then centering his work in the world. From such strong
imprinting of a local identity it is natural for nationalism to grow, but the term itself
requires some comment in this context. Nationalism has been a starting-point of anti-
colonial resistance worldwide, especially for Walcott's generation, who grew up at the
end of the second world war. Walcott embarked on his art out of a commitment to his own region's struggle for political and cultural independence, but terms such as patriotism and nationalism are tainted with the dramas and struggles of power, while, as he is always quick to point out, such small islands cannot pretend to power: 'The Caribbean is powerless. It can't ever have important geopolitical power.' For him, power is always corrupting, and tending towards fascism. His perception is that, economically and politically puny as they are, the Caribbean communities can, however, be potent in art – a thesis which he himself has exemplified by establishing a worldwide readership for his poetry, and by winning formal accolades for his work. The coveted mark of international 'arrival' in art, as writer, the Nobel prize for literature, had meaning for him precisely in terms of his being 'gens Ste.Lucie', an individual symbolizing the whole community, the 'I-an-I' paradox: 'Here, on the raft of this dais, there is the sound of the applauding surf: our landscape, our history recognized, "at last".'

It is a potent illustration of the value of such postcolonial remapping of the world. Walcott's work demonstrates that it is not only fellow-citizens of the Caribbean who benefit from such new angles of projection on the world which we all share. When hitherto unrecorded places and societies are mapped from an insider's point of view, images replace the blank spaces in the story of the world and some of the old maps based on superstition can be torn up and thrown away. But as one who is well aware of the power of myth, Walcott realises that the most effective resistance to a memorable myth is a counter-myth. He sites his portrayal of his own geographical and social landscape and his radical questioning of the mores of the North in the core of language, investing some of the terms of colonial discourse with different meanings. This is indeed to redraw the world. Yet Walcott is adamant that his optimism is not romance, as his political engagement demonstrates:

I am not re-creating Eden; I mean, by "the Antilles", the reality of light, of work, of survival. I mean a house on the side of a country road, I mean the Caribbean Sea, whose smell is the smell of refreshing possibility as well as survival.

It is that possibility which, according to Walcott, the Caribbean proposes as counter to the burden of the past.
'Where else to row, but backward?': Dealing with History

_Ariel_: Full fathom five thy father lies.... Those are pearls that were his eyes.

_Gonzalo_: This Tunis, sir, was Carthage.

_Antonio_: The latter end of his commonwealth forgets the beginning. [Tempest I.i.394-6; II.i.87, 164]

_Griot Mamadou Kouyaté_: We are vessels of speech...we are the memory of mankind.

Ariel's song is echoed in Walcott's: 'Where is your tribal memory/ Sirs, / in that grey vault. The sea. The sea / has locked them up. The sea is History.' In the Caribbean, Walcott's historiography shows, the tragic ancestors are long gone, yet survive transformed to something precious in the present: 'Those are pearls.' Yet his aesthetic project is also in tune with Gonzalo's addition of a historical perspective to present experience. Gonzalo's hearers mock him for a fool, convinced that Tunis is not Carthage. _The Tempest_ does not settle the question, and allows scepticism as to Gonzalo's wisdom to remain. Those possessed of historical knowledge, however, will know that Gonzalo is right: modern Tunis is indeed on the site of ancient Carthage. Gonzalo, it seems, is no fool after all. The moment is a crucial determinantal of how reliable a witness he is perceived to be in general. It is part of that awareness of the subjective nature of discourse which the play promotes. As Peter Hulme points out, right from the beginning Prospero's narrative is distinguished from the play's: we are made aware that Caliban has his own story and that it does not begin where Prospero's begins. A space is opened, as it were, behind Prospero's narrative, a gap that allows us to see that Prospero's narrative is not simply history, not simply the way things were, but a particular version.

Gonzalo's uncovering of a hidden past which alters the understanding of the present is the key to the future, because it opens the utopian dream he goes on to express, the dream of the 'commonwealth', to possibility. As figure of desire his commonwealth is ridiculed as absurd by his companions, but the fact that he is right about Carthage...
causes us to wonder if he is right about the ideal society too. Antonio’s sarcastic criticism that his commonwealth forgets its beginning may have a meaning which transcends that of its speaker: that for such a 'brave new world' to be implemented, people would need a wholly transformed attitude to each other, that they would indeed have to forget the way of the world.

Gonzalo dreams of a future which might 'excel the golden age.' To the Renaissance mind attuned to the classics, the Golden Age myth implied a degenerate present, an approach to chronicity in harmony with Christian myth, which posits an origin in Eden, followed by a post-lapsarian, fallen world. To envisage a future golden age is thus a counter-myth of history. Walcott too is engaged in producing a counter-historiography, but as well as paralleling both Gonzalo’s dream and his retrieval of a suppressed history, he contests also the more recent discourse of ‘progress’. His rhetorical strategy is thus multiple, but its over-arching purpose is to code ‘history’ as a negative term. The epic task situates the poet as articulator of the collective narrative, which makes story of the past, rather than history, with the emphasis on the ‘present’ of living memory. The griot charged with memorizing and communicating the epic story of his people, the story of the hero of Mali, Sundiata, identifies himself among those who are not only ‘vessels of speech’ but ‘the memory of mankind’. The epic poet, whether African griot, Homer, or Caribbean epic poet of today (and there is a striking number of them), acknowledges a responsibility to the present and future of his community which contains a responsibility to the past without being dominated by it. The apparent conflict between these two positions, one claiming a need to forget the past, the other remembering it, is therefore apparent only. Walcott’s figure of the rower, introduced as a key image in Another Life, which seems at first to suggest a compulsive revisiting of the past, on reflection implies a more complex meaning. 'Where else to row, but backward?' is a tricky, ludic figure but one that is actually quite clear: the rower in fact proceeds backwards into an unknown future, taking his bearings by his past.

Walcott has long adopted a quizzical stance over the discourse of history, problematizing its function and usefulness. As ever, his views have remained remarkably consistent over a long career. In 'The Muse of History', written in the 1970s, he spells out its rejection: 'The truly tough aesthetic of the New World neither explains nor forgives history. It refuses to recognize it as a creative or culpable force.' In his Nobel speech, of the nineties, he returns to a similar theme: 'We make
too much of that long groan which underlines the past." However, the implicit acknowledgement in this phraseology of the pain of the past reveals that his attitude to history is not so different from that famously expressed by Joyce in *Ulysses*, 'History is a nightmare from which I am trying to awake.' If, for Walcott, his geographic heritage is a kind of blessing, his historical heritage is, on the contrary, a problem to be dealt with, but the tendency to regard it as a kind of curse is, to him, illogical, since it is directly because of that history that the gift - the apple - of the islands has been inherited. His rhetoric of a stubborn refusal to respond to history is thus a defiant resistance rather than any straightforward assertion of its unimportance, for, far from being marginal, the question of history is central to his work. To deal with it, he offers several oppositional strategies to try to strip it of its still hurtful power. The emblematic rower is a Janus-figure whose attention tends simultaneously both ways, to the future and the past. As Janus presides over the turn of the year, so art is at the hinge between past and future, expressing the significance of the transition. Walcott, self-allegorizing as Janus with his January birthday – 'My sign was Janus, / I saw with twin heads, / and everything I say is contradicted' – is at the juncture of epochs, the artist who has experienced the end of empire and the beginning of independence. He perceives himself distinctively as a historical being, with a particularity of historical experience from which to speak. Renu Juneja identifies a duality in his response to history: 'the need to be free of its burden and the need to reclaim it.' But these are compatible aims when perceived as about discourses and about constructions of identity. Traditional historical discourse, spoken from the imperial centre, narrates only the suffering and humiliation of the people of the Caribbean. That is the burden which demands a response, but, for Walcott, to respond only by reaction is a mistake because it leaves in place the authority of the original discourse. His provocative and profound perception is that 'by openly fighting tradition we perpetuate it.' He chooses instead a double strategy, of narrating history with different priorities, not reactively but from a wholly different standpoint, which relegates its discourse to relative insignificance. The result is a different story, accorded a different value. It is therefore exactly parallel to the strategy in *The Tempest*, on the one hand to give the longer view (reveal Carthage before Tunis, Caliban's story independently of Prospero's version) and on the other to 'forget' history altogether, to leave it behind in the move to a different future, one which cherishes the ancestral 'pearls' (a destination to which this argument will return).
The epic poet in particular addresses the collective present as threshold between past and future. New narratives of the past are sought by Walcott as part of a positive strategy for the future to which they contribute. As Joseph Brodsky, a close friend of Walcott, reminded an American audience at his inauguration as Poet Laureate of the USA, 'It's often been said – for the first time I think by George Santayana – that those who don't learn history are bound to repeat its mistakes. Poetry doesn't make such claims. Still, it has some things in common with history: it employs memory and it is of use for the future, not to mention the present.'\textsuperscript{13} Epic is a genre whose social functionalism is clear. Typically, it commemorates the history of a specific group or community, and commonly involves 'an ostensible glorification of the past', displayed as heroic in contrast with a present time of narration, though, as Toohey proceeds to point out, such dynamics are artifice: 'Such a contrast between "then and now" is a sleight of hand and requires the collusion of the listener.'\textsuperscript{14} Two aspects are crucial: the time of articulation as a point on the continuum between a connected past and future, and the act of articulation as a mediation between the specific and the general, or the individual and the group. Both tend to confirm the articulator in a shamanic role to the community s/he serves – a symbolically significant figure, or hero, in the quest for significant figures, heroes, but both essentially acquiring heroic status only through the meaning invested in them by the group. The poet becomes the fulcrum on which the extensive and multiple revelation balances, and through whom the meaning of one part to another is mediated. The rower's purpose is to move forward, the craft which he propels parting the future with the present of its prow, but it is part of the emblematic meaning of the figure that the future is invisible to the subject, the rower – a desired objective, an unknown, which has to be approached in faith, once bearings are taken and the course set by a gaze fixed accurately on the known. As epic poet, Walcott is concerned to revisit the past only if it can help to deliver his people a better future. For example, he narrates his personal past, telling of his own growth to artisthood in \textit{Another Life}, as exemplar of his belief that the special strength of his community's future lies in art; and he tells the story of Achille and his community in \textit{Omeros} (a narration mainly of events set in the recent past), partly to prompt an urgent questioning of the pace and direction of change in the Caribbean. Above all, his work as a whole has the epic purpose of securing self-respect to the Caribbean people of the future, both in their own eyes, and in the eyes of the world.
3. Dealing with History

How then does Walcott relate to the general postcolonial address to history, which has been claimed to be radically different from that of metropolitans as it confronts, in Linda Hutcheon's phrase, 'the amnesia of colonialism through the memory of post-colonialism'?\textsuperscript{15} For Walcott's resistance to history has involved a specific call for amnesia, notably in Another Life. His attitude to history is clearly not simple, but neither, I think, is it contradictory. The different strands of his aesthetic strategy in relation to history can be seen to compose a coherent whole. Caribbean people do not need Foucault to remind them that historical discourses are sites for the inscription of power. The grand narratives of the past self-evidently belong to the centres of power, which have successfully appropriated them to their own purposes, as they have appropriated the world through empire - a process in which the role of texts is, of course, central. Despite its claims to objectivity and 'truth', historical discourse exhibits to an extreme degree the slipperiness of textuality and is endlessly self-referential, since the facticity to which it orients itself is, in all its complexity and mystery, terminated and inaccessible. The glorification of the past embodied in the canonical texts which have been used to prop up power is problematic for postcolonial societies. People read classical epic, according to Toohey, because 'These epics helped shape Greece and Rome (the Greeks thought that they learned from Homer) and they helped shape the European mind. Reading epic, therefore, allows one entry into the "mind" of great ages.'\textsuperscript{16} The idea of the narratives of the past as offering access to a past greatness is not so appealing to those who have suffered under the achievements of the 'European mind'. Postcolonial writers, Walcott prominent among them, challenge the grand narratives' annexation of the right to define the past. Their primary task is to take control of the discourse to express a different community's subjectivity. Recognition of the partiality of historical discourses allows their substitution with a different selective version. In the shift from hegemonic, totalizing 'History' with its large, ill-founded claims, to 'her story'\textsuperscript{17} and its scale of memory, the poet does not jettison 'fact' for fiction, but discloses the inadequacy of the written record and accounts based on it, in order to redress the balance towards a more complete picture, pieced out with the imagination.

Like other Caribbean artists and thinkers Walcott is understandably sceptical (as noted above) of western theorizations which often read as neocolonial appropriations. The Jamaican sociologist Rex Nettleford prefers to pinpoint the two-way stretch of history, noting that Caribbean writers are of interest to the world.
because they have ‘something unique to say’, and that the region’s colonial phase of psychological alienation, of displacement, is now being superseded, as the Caribbean is ‘challenged to fall back on the inner reserves of its own historical experience and cultural dynamic in order to exist on its own terms, which is partly what cultural identity is about.’ Foucault does have certain useful insights to offer, however, as when he identifies in historiography ‘that theme of the origin, that promise of the return, by which we avoid the difference of our present.’ In foregrounding history as illusory continuity, Foucault’s thought is in tune with that of Walcott. He goes on to characterize his own discourse as ‘trying to operate a decentring that leaves no privilege to any centre’, and argues: ‘The role of such a discourse is not to dissipate oblivion, to rediscover, in the depths of things said, at the very place in which they are silent, the moment of their birth...it does not set out to be a recollection of the original nor a memory of the truth. On the contrary, its task is to make differences...it is continually making differentiations, it is a diagnosis.’ Walcott’s address to history could be described in similar terms: he is interested in ‘diagnosis’, in knowledge across difference, in mobile, portable knowledge, Gonzalo’s apple. His aesthetic revisits the infinitely diverse field of the past not to discover origins but to contest the idea of a unified and inevitable continuity of the same, by uncovering and celebrating difference, then and now.

In that the recorded history of the Caribbean region presents one of the grimmest accounts of European imperialism, it confronts the writer with particular difficulties. In parallel with his rejection of history, Walcott acknowledges its power in calling it ‘that Medusa of the New World’, and asks, ‘who in the New World does not have a horror of the past, whether his ancestor was torturer or victim?’ His writing contains a range of strategies calculated to heal the trauma, which in the event involve not so much a rejection of history as a reconceiving of it in order to bring it under psychological control, to resist the hypnosis. In order to quell the fatal stare of the medusa, the postcolonial writer must appropriate the gaze. But this reconceiving starts from a firm rejection of the standard approach to history in terms of determinism and progress: for Walcott, ‘an obsession with progress is not within the psyche of the recently enslaved...The vision of progress is the rational madness of history seen as sequential time, of a dominated future.’ For in a region where history has, as its headlines, genocide of the native Americans, the centuries-long enslavement of Africans, and the near-slavery perpetuated in the indenture system
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under which labourers from Asia were introduced, all against a background of intra-
European warfare in the constant competition for control of the archipelago's political
units, determinism, in which the future is seen as determined inexorably by the past,
can imply only tragedy. As Walcott points out,

There were those who did not survive, not by weakness but by a process of
imperialistic defoliation which blasted defiance; and this process, genocide, is
what destroyed the original, destroyed the Aztec, and American Indian, and
the Caribbean Indian.²²

For these peoples' history there is only the silence of the unknowable, the
unrecoverable: one aspect of the region's past is lost forever, because when a race is
exterminated its collective memory dies with it. Only fragments of words and
artefacts are left. In Omeros the unearthing of an Amerindian petroglyph is made a
symbolic drama, articulating like a revenant both the buried suffering and the creative
expression of the lost people who made it. Through the poem their cry is heard once
again. The articulation of the original genocide is, for Walcott, a synecdoche for the
later genocide of slavery, for which the islands' present population have a much more
direct grief.

Walcott also uses the longer historical view to deliver a seemingly more
conventional sense of a cyclic history, tragically repeating itself, but the focus is on
migration, the sine qua non of Caribbean pluralism, rather than on the cruelties and
humiliations involved, and can therefore be incorporated in the grand narrative of
Caribbean odysseys and arrival. In Omeros the tragedy of forced migration is
deepened in a series of related images, long shots of anonymous linked figures,
already a kind of heroic 'I-an-I-an-I' community, in antithesis with the repeated
solitary, grief-stricken 'I' of the abandoned child, the two icons creating a dynamic in
which to remain is constructed as more tragic than to move (which at least is a shared,
a communal experience). In 'The Schooner Flight' the genocide of the Caribbean's
indigenous people is mirrored in the genocide of the Africans, as Shabine reminds
Vince, and both are mirrored in another genocide carried out by Europeans, that of the
Jews. This latter parallelism is unconventional but distinctively Walcott's. Since his
early work he has repeatedly made an imaginative link between the fate of Africans in
the New World and the fate of the Jews under Nazism. His own adolescence was
marked by the Second World War and by the news of the concentration camps, a
reminder of the human capacity for inhumanity which became to him a symbol of the
local and ancestral horrors perpetrated in the islands. He has spoken of his sense that
the holocaust should have been the last atrocity, and of the moral imperative on art:

> I think there should have been nothing after the holocaust; nothing should
> have happened after the holocaust that was bad. One can say, well, that's how
> nations behave. If there is nothing to have been learnt from it then it was OK.
> And although you can say that is a very naive view of human conduct, that is
> not any more naive than the Declaration of Independence or the Communist
> Manifesto. So either everybody's naive or everybody's lying. And I think that
> the power that a writer can summon should have that passion in it. We should
> never stop writing poems about the holocaust no matter how tired the theme
> may become.²³

The imperative is an ethical one, of refusal to accept the negative or cynical view of
the human condition. As he writes of his actors' response to tragedy, 'their minds
refuse to be disfigured'.²⁴ The past, therefore, is to be used in the name of the future:
the narration of history is one of the tools for the political, humanist project to
improve the world.

Since linear thinking applied to a region with such a history can anticipate
only a tragic future of endless cycles of loss, it is this which Walcott, at all costs, sets
himself to avoid or avert by putting forward an alternative to determinism, a different
approach to history. His sense of the relativity of historical time as organizing concept
relieves the traumatic pressure:

> the Caribbean experience is not limited strictly to three hundred years, or
> whatever, of slavery only. It's not simply a matter of being optimistic and
> looking towards the future, or skipping slavery and looking back to some
> glorious past, or whatever. I just think that it can be absorbed in the Caribbean
> experience. And it is not the beginning of the Caribbean experience and
> therefore it should be treated as a passage of the Caribbean experience and not
> the entire experience of the Caribbean. And for that reason I think when you
> get a lot of protest poetry, or poetry of longing, or poetry of defiance and so
> on, that's simply one aspect of Caribbean history.²⁵

By insisting on an epic poetry he is able to transcend the limited view. Chronicity
implies not only recorded history but prehistory, geological time, the gradualism of
the evolutionary time-scale, while culture, particularly epic, mobilizes the synchronic
dimension, collapsing time-difference altogether in the achronicity of myth. When,
therefore, Walcott addresses the trauma of slavery he does so in contexts shaped by
the longer perspective, which enables the pain to be managed. He neither denies it nor
allows it to be overwhelming. He simply 'absorbs' it as one constituent of the Caribbean experience, a painful actuality, but not the sole event, and not the only topic for epic narrative.

Patrick Taylor marks out Walcott and George Lamming as writers most successfully 'exploring Caliban's history' in their contributions to 'the formation of a West Indian national culture'. In particular Taylor brackets Walcott's work politically with that of the socialist, Lamming, in his perception that 'The challenge of liberating narrative is to transform the sociopolitical reality so that lived history becomes open possibility'. Like David Hoegburg, Taylor recognizes the radicalism of Walcott's art. For although Walcott often attacks political activists he is in fact deeply engaged, in the political sense. He steers clear of political factionalism and has no illusions about the power of art to effect substantial change, but he has no doubts about the moral imperative of the attempt. The irony is that because of his belief that the 'better' the art the greater its chance of efficacy, his stance tends to be read as informed by a reactionary, patrician aestheticism rather than a radical politics. To the left, his attitude to history is particularly heretical, given its centrality to Marxist discourse. Instead of seeing history as the key to the future, he regards it merely as 'a kind of literature without morality'. Yet his ethics are essentially socialist. The idea of empire is to him like the hubris of Faust – man 'bent on a path of self-destruction' – and he identifies with the oppressed everywhere, displaying the solidarity which Said identifies:

Every subjugated community in Europe, Australia, Africa, Asia, and the Americas has played the sorely tried and oppressed Caliban to some outside master like Prospero. To become aware of oneself as belonging to a subject people is the founding insight of anti-imperialist nationalism...It is best when Caliban sees his own history as an aspect of the history of all subjugated men and women, and comprehends the complex truth of his own social and historical situation.

Walcott's work provides ample illustration of this. For instance, the great pathos of lines such as these in Omeros is unmoored from historical specificities in marking those

who set out to found no cities, they were the found,
who were bound for no victories, they were the bound,
who levelled nothing before them, they were the ground.
Walcott has extended his sense of St.Lucian identity to a Caribbeanness, and on to a clear pan-American identity, which leads on to a global self-identification with the human race, particularly its sufferers, as Said remarks. Solidarity is the means to thwart the apparent imperative of despair.

The American experience, in all its heterogeneity, has therefore been Walcott’s abiding topic, the Caribbean seen always as bracketing the Americas, its story a microcosm of the extended continental story. North America has continued to feature in his work, both in poetry (as is evident particularly since *The Fortunate Traveller* of 1981, his first collection after moving to the USA) and in drama (as in plays such as *The Ghost Dance* and the 1998 musical written with Paul Simon, *The Capeman*). Themes from recent and more distant history recur, underwritten by the abiding concern to read the present American landscape as palimpsest of the past, as in the islands. The priest-like role of the poet is particularly that of giving voice to the dead. Walcott has taken it upon himself to speak for the silenced native Americans, in a memorial rite for the collective memory lost in genocide; in *Omeros* and *The Ghost Dance* he adds the tragic story of the tribes of the great northern plains to his earlier narratives of the Caribs and Aruacs. Collective suffering can be seen as collective heroism, remodelled as positive through art. In *Another Life* the mass suicide of Caribs at Sauteurs – Grenadian history generalized as a Caribbean icon – undergoes symbolic metamorphosis to the life-giving pouring of water, itself a symbol of the imagination; in *Omeros* the recurring symbol of history is the wound, signifying sacrifice but also redemption; and in *The Capeman* the real-life story of a young Puerto Rican, who was the victim of his social circumstances in a racialized, criminalized America, and was nearly executed at the age of sixteen in the 1950s for murder, is given aesthetic shape through his growth to self-knowledge and redemptive self-expression: ‘And when I wrote my story / The words flew from the page / And my soul in solitary / Escaped its iron cage... The politics of prison are a mirror of the street. / The poor endure oppression / The police control the state. // Correctional facility / That’s what they call this place / But look around and you will see / The politics of race.’

Even when he is focusing on the Caribbean bourgeoisie Walcott is deconstructing its relations to the working class, as in *The Last Carnival*, while a play such as *Pantomime* is a classic exposé of the neocolonial interaction of race and class. Although he may at times seem to lay hieratic claim to the special position of artist,
that status is defined as valid only through the artist's role as shaman to the people, as their servant.

Lamming selects three events as the great turning points of Caribbean history: the arrival of Columbus, the emancipation of the African slaves, with its consequence in the arrival of what he calls the 'East', and the writing of the first Caribbean fiction. For the writer the third is the key: without self-representation in their own discourse, people are not truly independent. Walcott offers a counter-discourse to the hegemonic portrayal of such historical turning points. In 'The Fortunate Traveller', for instance, discussed below, he deconstructs the bogusly pious iconography of the arrival of the conquistadors, so often pictured in and since the Renaissance as kneeling on the New World shore to pray – 'such heresy as the world's becoming holy from Crusoe's footprint or the imprint of Columbus' knee – revising it to a symbolic act of murderous rape, as 'Ponce's armoured knees crush Florida / to the funereal fragrance of white lilies'. Similarly, the centrist mythification of the British empire as the empire on which the sun never sets is subverted by weighty closure. Gonzalo’s reference in *The Tempest* to Carthage would have resonated (for the educated among the play’s first audiences) with Cato’s saw, *Delenda est Carthago*, ‘Carthage is to be destroyed’, which Walcott quotes in ‘North and South’. In western culture it has become a symbolic reminder of mutability, of the transience of socio-political power. Walcott tends to incorporate references to ancient empires, now gone, in his work to draw attention to the parallel inevitability of the decline of the European global empires. Each of his major epics enacts at its core the end of empire, the last lowering of the flag, as it were. In *Another Life* it is performed through the imagery of sunset, and the narration of the apocalyptic fire which in 1948 destroyed much of Castries, mythified as the end of an era, a violent severance, the 'century breaking in half'. In *Omeros* it is dramatized through the ending of the Plunketts' era, with Dennis's nostalgic tribute to Maud functioning like a march-past of the romance of empire at her death. By ritualizing such closures the poems enable those readers whose minds are still full of imperialist fantasies to open themselves to the necessity of the transition to the next long-awaited phase of history, as the island community, predominantly black, assumes democratic responsibility for its future.

For Walcott stages not only the local political history but the metahistory of cultures. In *Another Life* he presents the young artist protagonist's upbringing in a visual culture which emphasizes the body of the white woman as symbol of beauty,
but narrates the historic transition to a new aesthetic, taught by the 'master' Harry Simmons, and pioneered in his own painting by Dunstan St.Omer. The poem is alive not only to the sexual politics of race but to the politics of representation, with its ironic, punning allusion to Harry's 'brushing young things from the country' (which has sexual as well as painterly implications), and to the protagonist's knowledge that he 'betrayed' Andreuille, his young love, by seeing her in terms of the iconography of whiteness. The poem shows that while Caribbean culture remains centred on foreign imagery it sustains the historic trauma. Like Lamming, Walcott knows that the act of appropriation of one's own through art is the real determinant of emancipation: 'At last, islands not written about but writing themselves!' The young artists of that generation set themselves to Adam's task of naming the specificities of their own community, with its own history.

For in his strategy to take the longer view, Walcott does not pass over or ignore the era of European empire, but engages directly both with colonial discourse and the counter-discourse devised to oppose it. For him, the narration of the black experience from the standpoint of the sufferer is as damaging, paradoxically, as the triumphalism of the centrist narration of empire, because it leads only to self-consuming bitterness. The narrator of Another Life is 'Sick of black angst. / Too many penitential histories passing / for poems.' He refuses the single focus on victimhood, with its sacrificial heroes stirring the desire for revenge, preferring instead the heroism of ordinary people's survival, and narrating a history of shared enterprise, to which all those whose lives were lived in the archipelago have contributed. In Omeros the heroism of Achille's ancestor building a fort for Admiral Rodney, for instance, both resists the centrist version, that Rodney built the fort, and the reinscription of slavery as humiliation, still traumatic today, by displaying the dignity, skill and strength of the real builder of the fort, the enslaved African, now revealed as a hero. To drive home the emblematic scene's meaning Walcott brings Dennis Plunkett — ironized within the poem as historian, obsessed with the sterile project to uncover an ancestor who becomes like a dead 'son' in contrast to Helen's future-oriented pregnancy — to a politically punning deconstruction of Rodney's name as 'Admiral / Rob-me'.

As Salman Rushdie has, now famously, said, 'The empire writes back to the centre':
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those of us who did not have our origins in the countries of the mighty West, or North, had something in common - not, certainly, anything as simplistic as a unified "third world" outlook, but at least some knowledge of what weakness was like, some awareness of the view from underneath, and of how it felt to be there, on the bottom, looking up at the descending heel.43

Walcott's aesthetic is always oriented towards the ordinary people. In Omeros, for instance, he ironizes the centrist view that "A few make History. The rest are witnesses"44 and symbolically re-maps certain key imperial events. As reminder of the role of force in the imperial mission, not only is the building of a fort seen from the point of view of the slaves, but the Battle of the Saints, which determined the eighteenth-century power relations between England and France, the cultural pluralism of several Caribbean communities, and the subsequent political and cultural shape of the western world, is viewed literally as well as socially from underneath - from the perspective of a humble midshipman below decks on an English man-o'-war.

Class in the European societies is shown as providing the model for the racialized inequity of the colonies. Dennis Plunkett (of humble origins in Britain but privileged in St.Lucia) voices the process to which the poem contributes: 'History will be revised...And when it's over / we'll be the bastards.'45 By making Dennis voice this, Walcott cleverly helps his white readers towards a recognition of its truth. The representation of the white side of the colonial story is a particular conundrum. Walcott refuses to allow race to be essentialized as good or bad: his white characters, like his black characters, have good and bad qualities. He does, however, anatomize the social construction of personality - the Plunketts, for instance, are inevitably shaped by European culture - but he is not a determinist, insisting instead that the individual can grow beyond the cultural givens, particularly when exposed to a new social milieu. The focus on the Plunketts is a vital dimension of the poem's mapping of the island society. Its majority story is black and poor, and the poem does not disguise the essentially neocolonial relationship between the two. Plunkett employs black, poorly paid workers in a modern equivalent of the Rodney/Afolabe material relations. The poem invites readers to collapse the time-difference: as Maud reminds her husband, in a politically symbolic moment, "Dennis. The bill." But the bill had never been paid.46 Walcott's portrayal of the Plunketts, for all its generosity, is misread if it is taken apolitically. The work faces both ways: it is a rite of homage to Caribbean people first, but, aware that a majority of his readers will be elsewhere, Walcott uses the opportunity to educate the 'fortunate travellers'.
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It is as part of the same project that he demythologizes the ancient empires of Greece and Rome, exposing the slavery on which they built their prosperity, a fact which the grand narratives of history do not publicize. For instance, the brilliant extended metaphor in Omeros of the food market as slave market not only deconstructs the 'glory' of the classical world, it brings painfully to full consciousness the realities of Atlantic slavery, which was valorized both by the myth of that classical culture and by the racism which it authorized: 'The stalls of the market contained the Antilles' / history as well as Rome's, the fruit of an evil'. Beyond that, it devastatingly deconstructs capitalism, disclosing the full implications of a system in which nothing and nobody is out of reach of commodification:

Where did it start? The iron roar of the market, with its crescent moons of Mohammedan melons, with hands of bananas from a Pharaoh’s casket, lemons gold as the balls of Etruscan lions, the dead moon of a glaring mackerel; it increases its pain down the stalls, the curled heads of cabbages crammed on a tray to please implacable Caesars, slaves head-down on a hook, the gutted carcasses of crucified rebels.

Antonio Benitez-Rojo situates the Caribbean experience in the history of capitalism:

the Atlantic is today the Atlantic (the navel of capitalism) because Europe, in its mercantilist laboratory, conceived the project of inseminating the Caribbean womb with the blood of Africa... without deliveries from the Caribbean womb Western capital accumulation would not have been sufficient to effect a move, within a little more than two centuries, from the so-called Mercantilist Revolution to the Industrial Revolution. In fact, the history of the Caribbean is one of the main strands in the history of capitalism.

For Walcott, the only 'commodity' which can counterbalance such Faustian transactions is the gift of compassion, figured in the 'iron tear' of the market scales' weight. The poem evokes pity not only retrospectively in relation to the suffering of the past, but actively, in response to the analogous suffering caused by the global market system of today. The epic image serves finally as a political conscience-raiser, all the more authoritative because of its aesthetic power, which 'recalls the spirit to arms'.
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As well as tackling the centrist assumptions of western discourse on empire, Walcott negotiates, critically, the counter-discourse of recent decades. Obviously the crux is the representation of slavery. His revisiting of this past leaves the great and terrible truths of Caribbean slavery assumed rather than explored. The ghostly slave ships of the middle passage, for instance, which Shabine encounters in 'The Schooner Flight', are conjured up but as rapidly spirited away. The imperial warship is presented as a context Shabine can identify with, as crewmen like himself - 'those Shabines' - are ordered about on deck, but, in contrast, there is a deliberate standing off to blankness and lack of self-identification in the portrayal of the encounter with the slave ships:

Next we pass slave ships. Flags of all nations,
our fathers below deck too deep, I suppose,
to hear us shouting. So we stop shouting. Who knows
who his grandfather is, much less his name?\(^{50}\)

To dwell on the experience of that 'grandfather' is, to Walcott, to subvert the project to heal history, by reopening old wounds. He knows, it seems, from his own pain and bitterness which such reflection causes, that there are aspects of history which are best not (to use the image from the poem) 'dredged' up to the light of art.

Unusually, at the end of 'Laventille' the condition of collective trauma which slavery imposed is expressed with a rare and memorable openness: the closure of the hatch, seen from inside the hold, is powerfully, if again fleetingly, evoked:

We left
somewhere a life we never found,
customs and gods that are not born again,
some crib, some grille of light
clanded shut on us in bondage, and withheld
us from that world below us and beyond,
and in its swaddling cerements we're still bound.\(^{51}\)

In this delicacy of touch Walcott is not denying or ignoring the inescapable historical experience of the New World African. The paradox of 'swaddling cerements' – the wrappings of birth and death – provides an eloquent symbol of the mythic historical moment of loss and gain. In addition, since this poem is dedicated to V.S.Naipaul, it therefore, while locating itself precisely in the black shanty-town of Laventille, Port
of Spain, reaches out to include in its narrative voice (the ‘we’) the Trinidad East Indian community, who shared the ‘horrors’ of the ‘hot, corrugated-iron sea.’ The African and Asian histories of Caribbeanness are different, but they share certain essentials: it is the ‘I-an-I’ model of simultaneous difference and similitude which is sought here as the basis of community. Walcott acknowledges the pain of ancestral dislocation more perhaps in his reticence than do those who dwell on it.

It is true, however, that in this he sets himself apart from many other Caribbean writers, for whom slavery has been an obsessive topic. He criticizes much of the contemporary fiction addressing Caribbean history, which he regards as perpetuating the tragic pattern of history by repeatedly rehearsing it in bitterness: 'In the New World servitude to the muse of history has produced a literature of recrimination and despair, a literature of revenge written by the descendents of slaves or a literature of remorse written by the descendents of masters.' Some of his most vitriolic words have been reserved for what is caricatured as this regressive, divisive and masochistic narrative practice:

Those who peel, from their own leprous flesh, their names, who chafe and nurture the scars of rusted chains, like primates favouring scabs, those who charge tickets for another free ride on the middle passage... whose god is history... These are the dividers, they encompass our history...

The stridency of the rhetoric testifies to his passion. The ethical key is the effect of this revisiting of the past on the future; he vilifies these 'dividers' because they only generate an excess of bile, which is essentially self-destructive and backward-looking, locking Caribbean diversity into a pattern of tragic social rifts. The rejection of hatred is central to Walcott's ethic. Seeing others prompted to desire for vengeance by the re/membering of slavery, he prefers to cauterize that particular race-memory, for 'We know that we owe Europe either revenge or nothing, and it is better to have nothing than revenge.'

By focusing his own art less on the specific trauma of slavery than on the trauma of migration which subsumes it, Walcott addresses the history of all Caribbean people rather than that of a single group. Typically he uses the symbol as a means to generalize meaning. The cry of grief is repeatedly evoked, in 'The Star-Apple Kingdom', for instance, or in such passages as this from a climactic moment of
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*Another Life*, in which he is again careful to acknowledge the pain of Asian

displacement alongside that from Africa:

> that child who puts the shell's howl to his ear,
> hears nothing, hears everything
> that the historians cannot hear, the howls
> of all the races that crossed the water,
> the howls of grandfathers drowned
> in that intricately swivelled Babel,
> hears the fellaheen, the Madrasi, the Mandingo, the Ashanti,
> yes, and hears also the echoing green fissures of Canton

Knowledge of loss can lead to a collective nostalgia, but this is strenuously resisted by

Walcott as pathological. He attacks 'Pastoralists of the African revival' who play on

nostalgia for a lost, historic Africa, and rails against those Caribbean writers whose

malaise is 'an oceanic nostalgia for the older culture and a melancholy at the new, and

this can go as deep as a rejection of untamed landscape, a yearning for ruins.' He

sees the psychological danger in fetishizing the relics of a tragic past, prompting
desire for compensatory fascistic power and for revenge, and creates a counter-
discourse of taboo by disclosing its decadence. With his typical double strategy,
however, at the same time as deconstructing an existing discourse, investing it with
negative value, he creates a new positive alongside it. In *Omeros* Walcott, to the

surprise of some of his readers, finally includes a 'back-to-Africa' journey. He thus enters a particular discourse of the black diaspora, but in a dialectical spirit. The poem in fact offers a counter-discourse, stringently refusing to romanticize its Africa. For

Achille, Africa, although it provides the wished-for epiphany of the father / God, shares in the horrors of the Old World, which in Walcott's moral topography play negative to the New World's qualified positive. Achille's dream-journey to Africa discovers a tragic scene of violence and abduction, the primal drama of slavery, and he is glad to return to his place in the archipelago, knowing that his Africanness survives as culture, the pain of its history largely forgotten. Walcott thus fetishizes instead the arrivants’ heritage of the Caribbean location, and the present of the natural world in all its ravishing beauty, as discussed in the preceding chapter. He understands his task as to make the rhetoric of affirmation – the praise-song – outdo in appeal the rhetoric of recrimination. It is a matter of aesthetics as well as of ethics; the two are inextricable, the second accessible through the first, because to be
persuaded of an idea’s imaginative truth is to be halfway to understanding and entering the moral position it inscribes.

The desire to seek antecedents of heroism in the past may be normal, but it is not straightforward. In 'What the Twilight Says' Walcott writes of Christophe's citadel, the landmark of the first American republic, as the Caribbean's only 'noble ruin', 'something we could look up to. It was all we had', but even that was an ambiguous symbol, a 'monument to egomania...an effort to reach God's height'. In his Nobel lecture Walcott says, 'The sigh of History rises over ruins, not over landscapes, and in the Antilles there are few ruins to sigh over, apart from the ruins of sugar estates and abandoned forts. The error of nostalgia for a lost Africa or Asia is that it repeats an Old World retrospection which has functioned to entrench a culture centred on death, loss and sacrifice. The cultures of the Old World are preoccupied with ruins, monuments, statues – with 'mausoleum museums' – but Walcott remains firmly opposed to the privileging of such relics. As he says in Omeros, 'what I preferred / was not statues but the bird in the statue's hair.' To him, Europe's history is written in suffering, Joyce's 'nightmare', symbolized by the holocaust and by the death of his own people's hopes. Omeros shows the hero of the Haitian revolution, Toussaint L'Ouverture, in a French prison, where he was to die: 'A snow-headed Negro froze in the Pyrenees / an ape behind bars, to Napoleon's orders.' It is an iconic scene of his community's history, one to which Walcott has also given powerful expression in paint. This is not nostalgia, but clear-eyed recognition of tragedy.

Nostalgia, to him, is a way of seeing. In colonial art it is the inaccurate representations which are saddening rather than the tropics themselves. These delicate engravings of sugar mills and harbours, of native women in costume, are seen as a part of History, that History which looked over the shoulder of the engraver and, later, the photographer. History can alter the eye and the moving hand to conform a view of itself: it can rename places for the nostalgia in an echo... Here nostalgia is something to be resisted because it distorts the truth. Levi-Strauss's title, Tristes Tropiques, which Walcott repeatedly ironizes, sums up for him the melancholic and essentially disparaging view of the Caribbean. This outsider's view can promote in the insider an enervating acceptance of Froude's negative, itself a compressed 'history,' prompting a defensive nostalgia for ancestral romance and remote achievement which is subtly disabling: 'The West Indian mind historically
hung-over, exhausted, prefers to take its revenge in nostalgia, to narrow its eyelids in a schizophrenic daydream of an Eden that existed before its exile. This nostalgia can be resisted by a different emphasis, on the simple fact of the survival of the collective memory ("Those are pearls which were his eyes"), which offers an alternative to historic determinism: the tyranny of linear time is overthrown in the continuous present of lived culture and the democratic dissemination of the folk or tribal memory – 'all that archaeology of fragments...vibrating not under the earth but in our raucous, demotic streets'. The concept thus becomes central to the artistic project to name a different history: the folk tradition of the Ramleela in Trinidad, and the masquerade of Achille and Philoctete in Omeros exemplify ways in which the fragments of collective memory can form the basis of a new art.

Walcott's strategy in relation to history is thus multiple. The lacunae in historical discourse can be foregrounded, but likewise existing representations can be either reconceived or ignored. The representation of past events can be manipulated by selective naming and silences, so that a different contour map of history is revealed, based on 'the partial recall of the race', the collective memory which is selective. Walcott accepts the great tragic peaks as the given, known to his people in all their harshness, but by mapping a various and wider landscape he gives them a context which includes the benign. Principally, the great counterweight which he sets against the tragic – 'lived history' as 'open possibility' – is the epic event of survival. This by its very nature as infinitely plural, diverse and ongoing, undermines linear conventional history's attempt to impose a grand narrative, a monad centred on power. Instead of determinism, 'the mere repetition of human error which passes for history', he asserts an alternative philosophy, based on the faith that 'societies can be renewed. The conventional discourse of Caribbean history in terms of fracture and loss – modes of death – is thus radically replaced with an assertion of survival and benign potential – life. In Omeros, for instance, the emblem of the wound of history and the repeated long-shots of strings of prisoners in forced migration are balanced by the heroic coal-carriers (discussed below).

He also outmanoeuvres the tyrannical past by initiating a radically new rhetoric, of amnesia: 'the amnesia of the slave is an obliteration of the old linear idea of progress. It is not just a negative concept, but as a radical subversion of history is a positive substitution for it: 'In time the slave surrendered to amnesia. That amnesia is the true history of the New World. That is our inheritance... Most importantly,
through the idea of amnesia Walcott reconceives the loss of a past (the conventional view of Caribbean history) as not a negative but the start of other possibilities and priorities. As he defined it in 1973, when he had not long finished *Another Life* in which he gives poetic expression to the concept,


the degradations have already been endured; they have been endured to the point of irrelevancy. In the Caribbean history is irrelevant, not because it is not being created, or because it was sordid; but because it has never mattered, what has mattered is the loss of history, the amnesia of the races, what has become necessary is imagination, imagination as necessity, as invention.\(^{69}\)

This theory of compulsion to invention derives from the notion of limits, of extremity: the Caribbean history was so terrifyingly bad that some sort of limit was reached, from which further movement was possible only in the reverse direction:

The New World originated in hypocrisy and genocide, so it is not a question for us, of returning to an Eden or of creating Utopia; out of the sordid and degrading beginning of the West Indies, we could only go further in decency and regret.\(^{70}\)

What is posited in poetic form in *Another Life* is the possibility of existing in a present consciousness, as other creatures do in the natural world, with not only no knowledge of the past, but no need or desire for it. The need is to lose ourselves in 'this augury of ibises / flying at evening from the melting trees', while the light brings 'nothing, then nothing, . and then nothing'.\(^{71}\) It is, as Edward Baugh observes, 'no primitivism', but the poet's vision of 'the original fertile nothingness out of which he can build a new world.'\(^{72}\) The ransacking of history for origins and purity, which is typical of the northern mentality, can be replaced by the *tabula rasa* of the contemplative mind: the poet has 'nothing, which is, / the loud world in his mind'.\(^{73}\) This embodies the truly revolutionary concept that origins and purity are within, part of our individual heritage, and are of the present. We can 'begin again, / from what we have always known, nothing.'\(^{74}\) The difference of time, of past, present and future, is now collapsed in a creative, transcendental present.

This has affinities to the continuous present which is the temporal dimension inhabited by art, and which enables it to provide an alternative discourse to history. Bhabha usefully defines a concept of 'performative' time, with which the linear constraints of historical narrative can be sidestepped and a fluid and multiple concept of the nation narrated, 'the people constructed in the performance of narrative, its
enunciatory "present" marked in the repetition and pulsation of the national sign'.

For example, Bhabha's idea of the performative can be applied to Walcott's praise of carnival as a Trinidadian art form, in terms of its collapsing of temporal distinctions: 'best of all, on one stage, at any moment, the simultaneity of historical legends, epochs, characters, without historical sequence or propriety is accepted as a concept.' By obliterating hierarchy such an art form is radically democratic; this is one of the great gifts of inhabiting an anti-dynastic present, and is one of the ways in which the philosophy of amnesia is naturalized as particularly American.

This privileging of amnesia and emphasis on the present has obvious implications for the function of memory, to which Walcott has allocated a central, generative role: 'What the Greeks said is absolutely true, that memory is the mother of poetry.' The conflict is more apparent than real, however; memory, it seems, is simply to be reconceived on the natural scale of the oral society, to include the lifespan of personal memory and the mythic register of collective memory, and to be re-oriented imaginatively to the creative memory of art. The historical rite is then necessarily an act of imaginative recovery. In replacing Clio, the muse of history, with Mnemosyne, memory, the mother of the Muses, Walcott limits the past to the memorable, and in particular deploys the Jungian concept of the collective memory, manifest in cultural practice. For the present citizens of the Caribbean, by definition survivors and the children of survivors, the collective memory is epic. Although much of it too involves a painful acknowledgement of loss, the pain is contained by the knowledge of survival: 'For us in the archipelago the tribal memory is salted with the bitter memory of migration.' The tragic fact of the human condition derived from history – represented by Julius Nyerere as one in which 'internationally there is no democracy...The laws of the jungle operate. The rich developed countries continue to get richer and more developed while the poor stay poor...That is the World Order which the planet Earth was, and is, suffering from' – such a reality can only be radically remodelled both in art and through art.

Yet there is no romance or naivety in Walcott's stance. On the contrary, his faith in the positive is validated by his acknowledgement of the negative. He rages (as noted above) at what is dubbed 'progress'. His standpoint is radically different: the 'recently enslaved' have no illusions about progress, history's 'dirty joke.' Also, as he sees it, much of what was valuable in former ways of life has been lost, and much of what has replaced it is morally and spiritually bankrupt. The old-fashioned lives of
the fishermen in *Omeros* have a dignity and integrity eroded by 'progress', personified in the waiter, mockingly dubbed Lawrence of St. Lucia, and Hector driving his doomed transport. The general drift of history on the public scale he sees as unrelievedly tragic, as human society has moved from one calamitous act to another.

His depression at the apparent lack of improvement produces keen and bitter satire, as in 'The Spoiler's Return', where the (real) calypsonian's name, Spoiler, puns ironically with those glib articulators of 'progress' who in fact despoil, or in the insistent warning of 'The Fortunate Traveller', which comes as near as Walcott ever gets to an apocalyptic tone warning of divine vengeance. He is particularly vehement about the failures of local politicians, working under 'the illusion that we really contribute to the destiny of mankind', aligning themselves 'to this bloc or that, to that way of life or the other', when really they are afflicted by 'this tiredness, which falls so quickly on the powerless'.81 They are

trapped in the concept of a world proposed by those who rule it, and these politicians see progress as inevitability. They have forgotten the desperate authority of the man who has nothing...Such politicians insist on describing potential in the same terms as those whom they must serve; they talk to us in the bewildering code of world markets, and so forth. They use, in short, the calculus of contemporary history.82

This acceptance of a code which defines the Two-Thirds World from a remote centre is unnecessary, he argues; those who have nothing can afford to insist on their own *mores*: 'Large sections of the population of this earth have nothing to lose after their history of slavery, colonialism, famine, economic exploitation, patronage, contempt.'83 His elevation of art as the means to salvation is made in the light of and because of the failure of other discourses, such as politics and history. In so doing he is aligning himself with those who have nothing to lose.

The absence of romance is paradoxically conspicuous in his reference to utopian myths; for instance, whenever he draws an Edenic parallel with the Caribbean, or with America, he points out the difference from the originary myth: 'The shipwrecks of Crusoe and of the crew in *The Tempest* are the end of the Old World...the old vision of paradise wrecks here.'84 The new world's literature, like its fruit, is bittersweet: 'the apples of its second Eden have the tartness of experience.'85 America has to accept that 'we cannot return to what we have never been.'86 The New World is in this sense not young but, initiated in experience, 'older' than the Old with
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its myth of an origin in innocence. Already fallen at its genesis, the American world is cynically aware of its derivation from 'the same iridescent serpent brought by a contaminating Adam'. But as the old world's myth of innocence spawned its inverse, the Fall, Walcott seems to suggest conversely that the New World's origin in the fallen condition may perhaps generate a rebirth to, if not innocence, then at least some kind of ethical originality or improvement - 'the re-creation of the entire order, from religion to the simplest domestic rituals'. And it is to the artists that he looks to deliver this, to those who 'reject ethnic ancestry for faith in elemental man', whose democratic vision 'is not metaphorical, it is a social necessity'. This idea of 'social necessity' is a key to Walcott's position: to him it is the historical circumstance which dictates the revolutionary thinking, anything else being untenable. The dream of a better world is not an empty fantasy.

To cut loose from the past, to 'reject ethnic ancestry', is a first step. Unlike culture, which Walcott approaches in the clear knowledge that 'maturity is the assimilation of the features of every ancestor' (where the ancestors are an aggregate of every constituent group of the society), personal ancestry offers ambivalent choices between affiliation and rejection. Walcott associates the symbolic mother with the homeland as a given of identity, but the symbolic father is a different matter. He introduces a clear distinction between generations, between 'father' and 'grandfather/s', the latter standing generally for the broader term 'ancestry'. To look beyond those personally known and loved (including those live to the imagination, as Walcott's dead father was to him) is to find only distress and betrayal. His suicidal white grandfather was of the generation who spurned their mixed-race children, as so painfully related in 'The Schooner Flight', and was not far removed from the complicity in slavery a little further back. Beyond the immediately known are many ghosts who, Walcott suggests, it may be painful to encounter. In 'The Schooner Flight' the grandfather, a 'parchment Creole, with warts', is presented as 'History' itself: 'I met History once, but he ain't recognize me.' As the poem unfolds it becomes clear that 'recognize' means 'choose to acknowledge'. If history means a preoccupation with origins and purity of line, Shabine, smarting from his rebuff, is not interested. Forgetting can offer a real cure. In fact, as the imagery of an earlier statement makes plain, Walcott's position is rather more despairing: 'The children of slaves must sear their memory with a torch.' The anguished image, which takes the branding of slavery and makes it the cauterizing which staunches bleeding, in the self-inflicted
violence of a desperate measure, makes plain the degree of sharp and persistent pain which inhibits him from dwelling on the ancestral trauma of slavery, just as it is pain which prompts others to finger the wound.

Following Guillén's famous 'Ballad of the Two Grandfathers', Walcott allocates himself in his fiction a symbolic grandfather (ancestor) of each race (although both his actual grandfathers were white). At the conclusion to his argument in 'The Muse of History' he presents a controversial challenge to the familiar (and apt) model of slavery – with black victim offset against white tyrant – by paralleling the European who bought with an African who sold. He acknowledges a heritage from both, but in a complex ambivalence of acceptance and rejection:

I say to the ancestor who sold me, and to the ancestor who bought me I have no father, I want no such father, although I can understand you, black ghost, white ghost, when you both whisper "history", for if I attempt to forgive you both I am falling into your idea of history which justifies and explains and expiates, and it is not mine to forgive, my memory cannot summon any filial love, since your features are anonymous and erased and I have no wish and no power to pardon.

But having accepted them as 'men acting as men, with the cruelty of men', he does, despite this, move on to acknowledge them as 'inwardly forgiven grandfathers'. He then introduces a third figure, a 'father' (in contrast to grandfathers, who are more remote); this father is the victim 'in the filth-ridden gut of the slave ship', with every reason to hate the two to whom he owes his wretchedness, yet Walcott shows even him accepting them as 'men, acting as men', with the cruelty which traverses racial difference. The important perception that the fallen condition is common to all humanity and not the prerogative of one race alone is echoed in *Another Life*, where he draws attention to the cruelty of the pre-Columbian cultures as well as to those of the invaders. Since all historical epochs have evinced a failure of compassion, Walcott looks to the future to enact the dream of improvement which his work inscribes. Finally he takes the sting out of the past by ingeniously re-evaluating it as the enabler of the present. Improbably but impressively, he concludes by offering his two guilty grandfathers thanks:

the strange and bitter and yet ennobling thanks for the monumental groaning and soldering of two great worlds, like the halves of a fruit seamed by its own bitter juice, that exiled from your own Edens you have placed me in the wonder of another, and that was my inheritance and your gift.
The conventional model of Caribbean history in terms of destructive fracture is thus both rehearsed and revised: the fruit is both split and re-united by what was shed at its splitting, the monumental 'groaning' and 'soldering' suggesting also a painful sexual union, but one from which remarkable offspring would come. The ambiguous symbols of Eden are still central to a discourse which keeps harping on history, for all its rhetoric of amnesia. The insistent memory of the tragic collective experience also seeds celebration: 'To such survivors, to all the decimated tribes of the New World who did not suffer extinction, their degraded arrival must be seen as the beginning, not the end of our history.' By such conceptualization, Walcott is reversing the habitually negative reading of the great transformation, the moment of colonization itself. If it can be seen not as the tragic closure of a lost past, but as an origin, it frees itself (in part, at least) from the trauma of history as loss.

By privileging the mythic pattern of the end seeding the beginning, Walcott's thought (like Foucault's in its preference for the proliferation of difference) implicitly challenges a philosophy of history embodied in a conspicuous text, both product and powerful agent of centrist determinism, Francis Fukuyama's controversial but influential interpretation of world history, The End of History and the Last Man. Fukuyama's thesis, that western liberal democracy is the inevitable goal of all societies, privileges a social model, that of his own society, the USA, and orders the rest of the world in relation to it. His grand final metaphor, of history as that American myth, the waggon train, strung out along a long road but with each waggon arriving eventually in town, refuels old prejudices as to the linear progress from 'primitivism' to 'civilization', in which some societies are deemed further advanced than others, the offensive inference being that, given time, the stragglers will arrive at the inevitable destination. Fukuyama rests his argument on opinions presented eventually as truths; he speaks of the 'fact' that 'history is being driven in a coherent direction by rational desire and rational recognition' and the 'fact' that 'liberal democracy in reality constitutes the best possible solution to the human problem.' Indeed, Fukuyama makes the very large claim that cultural relativism, or multiculturalism, may become nothing more than a historical curiosity in a monocultural world:

cultural relativism (a European invention) has seemed plausible to our century because for the first time Europe found itself forced to confront non-European
cultures in a serious way through the experience of colonialism and de-colonization. Many of the developments of the past century - the decline of the moral self-confidence of European civilization, the rise of the Third World, and the emergence of new ideologies - tended to reinforce belief in relativism. But if, over time, more and more societies with diverse cultures and histories exhibit similar long-term patterns of development; if there is a continuing convergence in the types of institutions governing most advanced societies; and if the homogenization of mankind continues as a result of economic development, then the idea of relativism may seem much stranger than it does now. For the apparent differences between peoples' "languages of good and evil" will appear to be an artifact of their particular stage of historical development.  

The implications of this are sinister in the extreme; his professedly utopian projection may seem from other perspectives to be conspicuously dystopian, and therefore to repeat precisely the cognitive split which was characteristic of empire, the myth of its benign face being progressively divorced from the reality as perceived from below.

In fact Fukuyama's text inscribes and valorizes a new form of cultural imperialism: in his code of values, any difference from the model of western liberal democracy (deemed superior) is a temporary and aberrant condition. To put it crudely, what it implies, for the purposes of the argument here, is that the people of the Caribbean will only have achieved their destiny when they are indistinguishable from Americans, both politically and culturally. Such attitudes summon up a fiercely resistant response, from both theoreticians and artists. Edward Said has taken issue with the helplessness implicit in the model's thesis of inevitability: 'far from being at the end of history, we are in a position to do something about our own present and future history, whether we live inside or outside the metropolitan world.' One way of answering Fukuyama is to demystify the seductive claim of a 'natural' law at work by exposing neo-colonialism for the essentialist, self-interested manipulation it is, and by showing the continuity between past and present. As C.L.R. James, the Trinidadian philosopher and Marxist much admired by Walcott, put it in 1964, early in the independence of the former British West Indies: 'This new system of independence is only the old colonial system writ large'.

Neo-colonialism can only be recognized for what it is if the colonialism of the past is understood. Walcott tackles new hegemony in these terms, in 'A Colonial's-Eye View of the Empire', for instance, a 1986 address to an American audience:

America contains in it a colony. It is an empire that contains a huge colony, and that colony, whether it is black, or Puerto Rican, or whatever it is...that
colony obliquely, quietly, politely, gently, even encouragingly, is kept within its frontiers. That is a peculiar thing about this empire; and it is an empire. The point is also that this empire has a tremendous conscience as well about the rest of the world, but what we ourselves outside the boundaries of that empire cannot understand is the American conscience and passion for freedom which somehow is fine when it is exported - there is tremendous concern about the liberty of others - but there does not seem to me to be enough care, or enough imaginative concern, about the admission of the truth that this empire does contain and rule a colony within itself. And for me, as a colonial, it is very easy to see that.

As he says, colonials are quick to notice such splits between rhetoric and practice: 'one sees very simply from the experience of being a colonial what kind of situation exists politically around the world.' Despite his fierce criticism of some socialist regimes, made from a context of anti-totalitarianism whether of the left or the right, and his apparent disillusionment with the left at the point when he left the islands (indicated by thinly disguised personal statements such as Shabine's in 'The Schooner Flight', 'I no longer believed in the revolution'), Walcott has been and remains more in tune with socialist philosophy than with right-wing thinking. The re-ordering of time in cultural narrative is an act analogous to the social re-ordering; Walcott, in his writing, manipulates time to make it a revolutionary signifier. Terry Eagleton presents Walter Benjamin's reading of contemporary history in terms not just of a simple dislocation from the past, but of a transformation of it, so giving what he provocatively calls an 'after-life' to history's 'continuous tragedy': 'we have a revolutionary chance to redeem the past by imbuing it through political action with retroactive meaning and value.' Walcott in effect translates this assertion of a chance to redeem the past, from the political sphere to that of art.

Fictional discourse, which can alter people's perception both of their historical predicament and of their potential futures, can therefore contribute to the realization of a different future, a better future which will redeem the tragic past. Both of Walcott's long poems illustrate this redemptive process. They question the pain of the Caribbean experience and show it in metamorphosis to the positive. In *Omeros* he addresses the tragic core of that experience through the traversing trope of the wound - specifically through the great howl of Philoctete, like Lear's, which is the howl of all the enslaved, of a tragic history - but he moves this on, as a drama, to the enactment and celebration of healing. In *Another Life* it is the simultaneity of metaphor which provides the transformation. The tragic moment of history, of folk memory kept alive
in the naming of place (Morne des Sauteurs means Mountain of the Leapers), in the collective suicide of forty Caribs at Sauteurs, in flight from European imperialism, is given awesome representation, but since (as noted above) the poem matches the fall of bodies in death, metaphorically, to the lifegiving waterfall, itself a metaphor of the artistic creativity which is the poem's central topic, the act of tragic ending (for the Caribs) is transformed to signify simultaneously faith in the future (for Caribbeans of the present), death becoming life. In neither poem is the tragic ignored or suppressed; rather, it is addressed, and answered. Eagleton's formulation of the project to 'redeem the past' is given powerful aesthetic form.

The weight of the tragic history is real and constant but it is matched by an opposing and equal force, that of awe and delight, resulting in a kind of equilibrium - on balance, positive. As John Hearne put it, 'History is the angel with whom all we Caribbean Jacobs have to wrestle, sooner or later, if we hope for a blessing.' By using the metaphor he does, Hearne shrewdly points to the gains to be had from the challenge: the struggle to come to terms with the past is an opportunity for moral and spiritual growth of a heroic order. Martin Carter's words seem apt: 'Laocoon, for all the snakes, struggled well'. Ultimately, after all his subtle strategies to address, subvert, suppress or reconceive history, the answer which the Laocoon-Walcott gives to the 'long groan which underlines the past' is the present reality that the 'Caribbean sensibility is not marinated in the past.' He takes forward Joyce's concept of history as nightmare from which he is 'trying to awake' to a calm assertion of having awoken: 'For every poet it is always morning in the world. History a forgotten amnesiac night; History and elemental awe are always our early beginning, because the fate of poetry is to fall in love with the world, in spite of History.' For this is no romance. Walcott reinscribes the presence of history precisely in the place where amnesia is sought:

It is not that History is obliterated by this sunrise. It is there in Antillean geography, in the vegetation itself. The sea sighs with the drowned from the Middle Passage, the butchery of its aborigines, Carib and Aruac and Taino, bleeds in the scarlet of the immortelle, and even the actions of surf on sand cannot erase the African memory, or the lances of cane as a green prison where indentured Asians, the ancestors of Felicity, are still serving time...Decimation from the Aruac downward is the blasted root of Antillean history...

Since the expressionist geography insists on a historical reading simultaneously with the elation it offers, there is relief from history only in the combined effects of
geography and culture: 'These two visions, the Ramleela and the arrowing flocks of scarlet ibises, blent into a single gasp of gratitude. Visual surprise is natural in the Caribbean; it comes with the landscape, and faced with its beauty, the sigh of History dissolves.'

The place is called Felicity for good reason. The elation comes specifically from the new, from fresh combinations of the cultural world of humanity with the natural world of which it is a part.

This is the secret of arrival, of hybridity, of creolization, of naturalization – of the future. As Robert Young sagely remarks, 'History cannot be done away with any more than metaphysics: but its conditions of impossibility are also necessarily its conditions of possibility.' On the one hand there is the reordering of history to present alterity: different stories and other priorities than the hegemonic version provides, in the quest for what Chinweizu calls 'a vitalizing perception of our history.'

On the other, there is the model of reordering it towards forgetting (in the name of the dream of the future) – the anti-history which, like Gonzalo, Walcott presents so positively – which inserts a new rhetoric into historical discourse, as it celebrates the possibility of the individual Caribbean man, African, European or Asian in ancestry, the enormous, gently opening morning of his possibility...his memory, whether of grandeur or of pain, gradually erasing itself as recurrent drizzles cleanse the ancestral or tribal markings from the coral skull...
Miranda’s exclamation at her first sight of wider human society is often taken as an example of tragic irony, the individuals before her being, as the audience well knows, chiefly corrupt and base. Prospero’s cynical rejoinder, “’Tis new to thee”, is, however, only one possible response. The play’s movement towards a redemptive closure is in part conditioned by the influence of her gaze, her innocent expectation of goodness (although she knows her own history), which, on the logic which the play explores, may have the power to bring into being the world in which it has faith. This is not just fanciful. *The Tempest* investigates very seriously not just the subjectivity of perception but the transformative power of perception on lived reality. Its naming of the possibility of a brave new world, not just through Miranda but through Gonzalo, and through the dreams of its various personae, constitutes its myth. Prospero’s island is carefully made non-utopian, but the image of a better place presides over it imaginatively. ‘I cried to dream again’, says Caliban. Walcott’s work is about his own non-utopian brave new world, modelled in the faith that the Caribbean community has special qualities, which a mythopoeic art can articulate, and which the world can share. The gist of the last two chapters culminates in this one: it is as myth that the specificity of place and culture is celebrated, and through myth that history can be reconceived:

In a big, powerful country with a ‘history’, the ruins are more important than the people. We don’t have that, because we weren’t ‘great’ in that sense. And it’s good: it annihilates the idea of history as progress, a march. Here there’s only the primal, blessed experience of waking up to the reality of the earth.
That idea of the sacredness of world, as gift, to be celebrated in art, makes of the aesthetic a sacral rite, for Walcott. This chapter explores the meaning of myth to him, and his sense of language practice as rite, but it also examines his work in the light of a wider concept of myth, adopting Barthes’ concept of myth as metalanguage, a ‘second-order semiological system,’ that is, a cultural phenomenon, not necessarily related to belief in the transcendental, but ‘a pure ideographic system’. Individual texts as well as cultures can have their own metalanguage, and these can combine distinctively in the work of one artist, as they do in Walcott’s oeuvre. When Walcott wrote in the early poem 'Origins', epic in tone, ‘The mind, among sea-wrack, sees its mythopoeic coast, / Seeks, like the polyp, to take root in itself’, he was identifying the originality and self-reliance which the artists of the Caribbean would need, to build a literature from scratch. But he knew from that early stage that such an art would use as building blocks the cultures of the world, which have hybridized and rooted to grow differently in their Caribbean location. The New World given to Caribbean poets to name is ‘brave’ in both senses: it is resplendent in its geography, and courageous in its human society. It is no paradise to its inhabitants, but it has special strengths which art can identify and hold up to the world as example. One of those is its faith in the good dream of human community, as opposed to Joyce’s ‘nightmare’ of history.

One of the tasks of art, therefore, as of culture (to which it contributes), is to conceptualize the community, not just through mimesis, but to imagine it as possibility. Epic has traditionally been a conspicuous site for the narration of a belief system, just as for the narration of history, both having a complex confirmatory relationship with a community’s identity. Myth’s role in epic reflects both meanings: the stories (epics) which a community tells itself to confirm its sense of identity and its will to embark on the future place a high value on the sacred narratives of the past, and themselves constitute a mythic discourse, in that they sign a symbolic meaning to the community (a second-order semiology). Epic both represents myth and generates myth: it is perpetually at the hinge between the cultural past and the cultural future. And it is myth which always signs plural meaning, Walcott’s ‘crystal of ambiguities’, mythopoeia being a kind of metaphorization. The meaning of myth is never fixed, as Robert Graves recognized, coining the term ‘iconotropy’ for such change, ‘found in every body of sacred literature which sets the seal upon a radical reform of ancient
beliefs. Walcott has committed his mythopoeic, iconotropic art to his epic project with a singleness of purpose which has not wavered over fifty years.

Myth is a cultural given, but it is also a cultural tool. It has several functions, which can be distinguished, perhaps, as persistence (its survival), insistence (the harnessing of existing myth to new purposes), and resistance (the countering of existing myth and the formation of new myth to address new social conditions or objectives), terms which will be used in the following discussion. A mythopoeic art is characteristically focused on the second two, although it may deploy the first as well. It is related to symbolism, but does not confine itself to fixed correspondences as symbolism tends to do. Rather, its characteristic mode is narratalogical; it unfolds and develops meanings in process, in an open-ended continuum. Franco Moretti relates it to what he calls 'modern epic', the product of 'the desire of contemporary societies for "meaning", imagination, re-enchantment.' His argument is that 'rewriting an event in mythical form is tantamount to making it meaningful: freeing it from the profane world of causes and effects, and projecting into it the symbolic richness of the archetype.' Even Barthes, whose definition of myth in the 1957 essay 'Myth Today' is principally hostile (he sees myth as retrogressive, bourgeois and anti-political) acknowledges a role for creativity, that 'the best weapon against myth is perhaps to mythify it in its turn, and to produce an artificial myth: and this reconstituted myth will in fact be a mythology...All that is needed is to use it as the departure point for a third semiological chain, to take its signification as the first term of a second myth.' Although this terminology has negative connotations ('artificial', 'reconstituted', give no inkling of the vigour of mythopoeia) the idea is useful.

Some of Walcott's most interesting and memorable strategies are those involving a third-order semiology, creating a language from existing myth to express new significance. It is a heterodox and essentially revolutionary practice, which gives rise to moments of startling beauty. Whether responses to their intense aesthetic effect involve the ethical or the transcendental will depend on individual habits of thought. Religious people will respond to Walcott's work differently from other readers, but no group need feel itself excluded. A mythopoeic art is essentially about culture – in Walcott's case, cultures. His work addresses faith as one of many factors in the human condition, analogous with love, or desire, or awe. He has his own beliefs, of course, but in a profound sense they become irrelevant to the work, which is itself. Although his texts constantly allude to ideas of the spiritual and of the divine, central as they are
to culture, it is as literature that they exist, and as literature that they participate in the sacred – which to some, no doubt, is a heresy. The obvious truth, so easily forgotten, is that the rite is the writing.

Walcott restricts his own use of the term 'myth' to the context of faith, or spirituality: 'Myth is religion. The death of belief certainly annihilates myth.' This has implications for his own artistic use of religious myth. His reactions to the classical pantheon when working on his Homeric works were problematic:

The gods seemed totally contradictory. It all got very silly to me. They didn't seem to have any moral conduct I could follow, not a belief I could share in. There was the same difficulty with the African pantheon - because I'm a Caribbean person and don't share that...It may be too that it may not be possible to have myth in the English language any more. English is a worldwide language. Myth takes place in very concentrated areas of total belief. Most myths repeat themselves. In general the same household gods apply. It may be that myths may emerge, but they may feel translated because of the nature of the language.

He made a similar point about the writing of the *Odyssey*: 'I had to be absolutely true to my own belief in the play...I can't believe in the mythology and I cannot write if I don't believe in the mythology.' To Walcott myths confer cultural identity: 'You cannot create a mythology, and there's no culture that doesn't have a mythology.'

Distinctively, it is geography which he sees as the determinant: 'Where have cultures originated? By the force of natural surroundings. You build according to the topography of where you live...you create what you need spiritually, a god for each need.' This essentially local idea of the spiritual is close to animism and in keeping with mythographies that trace the sense of the spiritual back in evolutionary terms to nature.

He describes Caribbean culture as young compared to some cultures but unique, 'a cultural goldmine': 'there has never been a place that has had such concentration in a tight space of all the cultures of the world', arising from 'a race, in the Caribbean, made of all the various races.' It represents in human terms a 'multicultural possibility' of great creativity, for it is 'multiplicity that...unifies the whole idea of the Caribbean.' This idea of Caribbeanness can be related to Benedict Anderson's definition of the nation as 'an imagined political community' - a community because 'regardless of the actual inequality and exploitation that may prevail in each, the nation is always conceived as a deep horizontal comradeship.'
Walcott's work can be regarded as an epic project to name the Caribbean nation to itself and to the world, his two great epic poems providing distinct variants on this. *Another Life* expresses the possibility of a society founded on the values of art, with the artist constructed as national archetype, through the twin protagonists, and *Omeros* models the self-sufficient, non-dependent community of 'deep horizontal comradeship' such as Anderson describes, without dynasties and, in the fishing community, without hierarchies (though with male rivalry over a woman). It is characterized instead by benign relations of mutual support and celebration, a non-violent society again using paired friends as the overarching archetype, the 'I-an-I' model which is the building block of community.

Walcott has said of archetypes, 'There are probably only four or five types, in terms of reality, or literature. Somebody's in charge, somebody's a victim. That's only two.' The fundamental drama is a questioning of authority. Soyinka sees both the politics and the metaphysics of this: it is within the 'framework' of the gods that 'traditional society poses its social questions or formulates its moralities.' A proponent of mythopoeic theatre, he describes the stage as 'the ritual arena of confrontation', the 'symbolic chthonic space', in which 'the presence of the challenger' is 'the earliest physical expression of man's fearful awareness of the cosmic content of his existence.' Walcott relates a similar general point to the specifics of Caribbean culture:

there's always one figure in the folk imagination who is kind of a protestant figure, an elusive figure, who is not part of the cosmology and upsets the hierarchy somehow, either by defiance, or by wit, or by solving challenges. Like most of the West Indian jokes, which are based on African stories, [there is] somebody always challenging Tiger, always making an idiot out of Tiger...and in a sense the Tiger represents a kind of deity. And this person who is sceptical and smart and avoids the power of the Tiger is really a kind of protest against...or query or scepticism [of]...omniscience or power.

His use of the term 'protestant' here is interesting in that it connects with his own self-perception as a St.Lucian, a member of a non-conformist minority within his home community of Catholics, but the possessor of a difference which was essentially engaged, not just private. This helps to explain his own aesthetic practice as challenger of myths, of whichever side. He refuses blind acquiescence, sticking to his own perceptions however unpopular they may make him, on both sides of the political
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fence. His self-imposed imperative is one of great daring: as a mythopoeic artist he spins, Anansi-like, his own vision into a world-wide web.

Walcott famously wrote in *Another Life* of his epic project to 'make of these foresters and fishermen / heraldic men!' He has spoken more recently of his quest for (Jungian) archetypes as personae to represent his society: 'One of the things we have to grit our teeth and endure is the arrogance of transforming your own people into emblems - that it is your business to make emblems, or archetypes, out of [them].' Although the pronoun is plural or neutral, it is clear that he is speaking of his own aesthetic practice. For although such 'emblematic temptations' bear the risk of arrogance, he sees the facts as 'so strong', 'so epically powerful' that they require recognition: 'The West Indian people...are physical survivors of enormous punishment. That alone is heroic, because of the survival.' He developed the point in terms of myth: 'It is mythical that somebody should be in...the Middle Passage. The endurance is mythical, it is epical.' He instanced 'the galley slave who becomes Spartacus', distinguishing secular from sacred myth: this was 'not myth in the interior sense of a presence'. His own interest, he said, is 'in the arrival at what becomes the archetype'. This is an important exposition of Walcott's self-perception as a mythopoeic writer: that it is not that the art creates the archetype so much as that it uncovers it, allowing it to emerge, become perceptible aesthetically. His acute self-awareness that this naming might be seen as arrogant is in fact the guarantee of its essential humility. The archetypes, to Walcott, are lived human realities, not fabrications, the role of the artist being to articulate them, to mediate them between the home community and the wider world. His plebeian heroes thus originate in mimesis. The environment in which he grew up (which gave him his identity) provided the images of what he described in his Nobel lecture as 'the grace of effort':

The hard mahogany of woodcutters' faces, resinous men, charcoal burners...a man with a cutlass cradled across his forearm, who stands on the verge with the usual anonymous khaki dog...the fishermen, the footmen on trucks, groaning up mornes, all fragments of Africa originally but shaped and hardened and rooted now in the island's life, illiterate in the way leaves are illiterate; they do not read, they are there to be read, and if they are properly read, they create their own literature.
The gaze may be the poet's but it contains no hegemony, no capture: it begins from a knowledge of its secondariness to the heroic reality of such people's lives. The poet sees himself as simply the medium – in a quasi-spiritual sense – through which such lives can be honoured and marked, in the wider global community. The poet is, by virtue of his role, set apart (most are not poets), but the important fact is that he is given that role because he shares in that community: 'your duty is supplied by life around you. One guy plants bananas; another plants cocoa; I'm a writer, I plant lines.'

As he wrote in 'Mass Man', 'someone must write your poems.' The poet provides as necessary a service to his community as does the grower of food; in fact, his produce, poetry, can be seen as 'the bread that lasts.' Real lives are ephemeral, but art endures. It is the old wisdom, *ars longa, vita brevis*, but given new impetus in the poet's reponsibility to 'translate' a new, unrecorded society into art. 'If I go down to Gros Islet,' says Walcott, of the fishing village mirrored in *Omeros*, 'each face has its own sculpture, but they become a something beyond their own natural flesh – iconic, emblematic. There's a sense of their faces being grooved by the daily life we all share.'

Text-derived archetypes, emblems of the western tradition, such as Helen, Adam, Crusoe, and Ulysses (discussed below), are enlisted to the portrayal of these iconic figures (the 'heraldic men' of *Another Life*) because western culture is naturalized to the Caribbean, but his strategy in deploying each is different. In some cases it is persistence which is recorded, in others insistence, in yet others, resistance.

J.M. Coetzee, as a South African writer, has his own perspective on a society based on exploitative power:

*The answer to a myth of force is not necessarily counterforce, for if the myth predicts the counterforce, counterforce reinforces the myth. The science of mythography teaches us that a subtler counter is to subvert and revise the myth.*

Walcott's resistance is constantly to subvert and revise myths, as well as to create them, as his own counter to neocolonialism, his own writing back to empire.

Homi Bhabha reconnects Walcott's linguistic practice to a modified idea of history, seen through his own lens of ambivalence (Bhabha's identification of ambivalence as marker of the postcolonial should, perhaps, be seen in the light of Soyinka's observation that 'Ambiguity, levelled at the writer, is very often a cover for the critic's own social evasion'). Walcott, he says,
leads us to that moment of undecidability or unconditionality that constitutes the ambivalence of modernity as it executes its critical judgements, or seeks justification for its social facts...History's intermediacy poses the future, once again, as an open question. It provides an agency of initiation that enables one to possess again and anew – as in the movement of Walcott's poem ['Names'] – the signs of survival, the terrain of other histories, the hybridity of cultures.

He describes Walcott as opening up his poem to the 'historical "present"', Walter Benjamin's present which is 'not a transition, but in which time stands still and has come to a stop.' It defines, says Bhabha, 'the present in which history is being written'.

This is subtly adrift, however, from Walcott's own exposition of his use of chronicity. His dialectics brings history into opposition with myth in order to subvert the former. The reluctance of left-wing critics to acknowledge the full import of Walcott's scepticism of history as useful discourse is a mark of orthodoxy. In fact Soyinka's charge that Barthes' Marxism is undermined by his complicity in the bourgeois values he criticizes is applicable to the academy at large (including Soyinka himself, as Chinweizu is quick to point out). Even Patrick Taylor, who is a perceptive critic of Walcott's work, in co-opting Pantomime, aptly, to his category of 'liberating narrative', defines such narrative as anti-myth – it 'attacks mythical and ideological categories for sustaining oppressive situations' – without perceiving that it is possible to have work which is both politically liberating and profoundly mythic.

As the last chapter argues, for Walcott, as for the Caribbean in general, history is a problem, to which, he believes, myth can offer a resolution. He is not alone in this view. The great writers of the New World, he says, 'reject the idea of history as time for its original concept as myth.' The desire for facticity typical of the discourses of history and linear time is collapsed as 'Fact evaporates into myth.' Story, the self-shaping form, predominates, based on 'the partial recall of the race', selective collective memory: 'History, taught as morality, is religion. History, taught as action, is art...Because we have no choice but to view history as fiction or as religion, then our use of it will be idiosyncratic, personal, and therefore, creative.' Great as the project of history is, it is subsumed in the greater project of myth, for when history is narrated as myth, its tragic determinism can be subverted.

Myth to an age dominated by atheism tends to seem inescapably archaic, but on its own terms it is urgently of the present and about the future. The language of history becomes just one of the discourses through which it can sign that urgency. The
focus on myth is thus a dialectical response to history in the sense that the tragic
determinism of history can only be subverted in a different kind of discourse. Walcott
ponders the ‘reality’ of naming, of the utterance of faith to make real that which it
names (related to the African concept of *nommo*, sacred naming, discussed below):

> O Thou, my Zero, is an impossible prayer,
> utter extinction is still a doubtful conceit.
> Though we pray to nothing, nothing cannot be there.35

He is not ‘modern’ in this sense, but continuing an ancient mythic discourse that
offers an alternative which is redemptive (in fact he ironizes himself as ‘medieval’),36
the words of faith having the power to conjure that which they express. But the
meaning of faith to the community at large is in part historically constituted. As
Northrop Frye has put it,

> The point is that when any group of people feels as strongly about anything as
> slaves feel about slavery, history as such is dust and ashes: only myth, with its
> suggestion of an action that can contain the destinies of those who are
> contemplating it, can provide any hope or support at all...myth redeems
> history: assigns it to its real place in the human panorama.37

Walcott speaks of 'No history, but flux, and the only sustenance, myth.'38

  Chronicity is replaced in the mythopoeic by the synchronicity of a timeless
  present, a zone of contemplation in which time difference is collapsed, allowing new
  meanings to emerge from collocations which history did not provide. Walcott's
  account in 'The Muse of History' has clear echoes of T.S.Eliot's 1923 review of
  Joyce's *Ulysses*, as deploying a 'mythical method' which draws 'a continuous parallel
  between contemporaneity and antiquity.'39 Walcott aligns himself with those writers
  of the Americas who 'have gone past the confrontation of history, that Medusa of the
  New World.'40 The continent's patrician writers 'whose veneration of the Old is read
  as the idolatry of the mestizo' he says are misapprehended, for what is not understood
  is that they fundamentally re-conceive time: 'their sense of the past is of a timeless,
  yet habitable moment', a time which can be perpetually now. As with Eliot's view of
  *Ulysses*, they can conduct us 'from the mythology of the past to the present without a
  tremor of adjustment'.41 To Fanon, however, the operation of myth in a dominated
  community functions only to shore up the power of the dominators: colonials 'no
  longer really need to fight against [the colonizers] since what counts is the frightening
  enemy created by myths. We perceive that all is settled by a permanent confrontation
on the phantasmic plane.' The first step to political action, for Fanon, is therefore to bring the colonized out of this plane to recognize his historical circumstances: 'the native discovers reality.' To Barthes, too, myth is first the problem. He defines it as 'depoliticized speech', with 'the task of giving an historical intention a natural justification, and making contingency appear eternal'; it is 'constituted by the loss of the historical quality of things.' This is dangerous:

The world enters language as a dialectical relation between activities, between human actions; it comes out of myth as a harmonious display of essences. A conjuring trick has taken place; it has turned reality inside out, it has emptied it of history and has filled it with nature, it has removed from things their human meaning so as to make them signify a human insignificance. The function of myth is to empty reality: it is, literally, a ceaseless flowing out, a haemorrhage, or perhaps an evaporation, in short a perceptible absence.

This model of myth, however, is subverted by Walcott's radical vision: for him the mythic method 'is the revolutionary spirit at its deepest, it recalls the spirit to arms', with its deeply rooted 'revolutionary or cyclic vision'. The characteristic sensitivity to the root meanings of words which delivers the pun is not just frivolous: it is a serious engagement with the form and value of tradition and change.

To Barthes myth collapses the political, but to Walcott it is the most powerful language for it. These writers are the true revolutionaries, 'for what they repeat to the New World is its simultaneity with the Old. Their vision of man is elemental, a being inhabited by presences, not a creature chained to his past.' The relationships of power are thus reversed: the past, instead of dominating the present, is made to serve it as inspiration. The tragic model is replaced with an optimistic one; but one can imagine Barthes shuddering, for the wrong reasons. He has no knowledge of history as medusa. Embracing Neruda and Whitman, Borges and Paz, Perse and Césaire, Walcott sets out a specifically American aesthetic, which transforms history's negatives to the positives offered by myth. Perse and Césaire, for example, 'perceive this New World through mystery....what astonishes us in both poets is their elation, their staggering elation in possibility' – the 'brave new world' envisioned not as cynicism but as potentiality. The essay thus contains a complex refutation of the easy dismissal of the patrician writer as archaic and establishment-oriented, by demonstrating him to be more revolutionary than the self-styled revolutionaries, a position which has, of course, implications for how Walcott himself is perceived. The qualities he praises in these other writers are manifest in his own writing, and he
conceives of his artistic project, like theirs, within a wider American endeavour in which others have also chosen the mythic alternative to history, particularly those of the francophone and hispanophone worlds who have placed their fictions in a magic realist, future-oriented present. Moretti's point about Marquez is that he uses magic as something that 'belongs to the future: to the West, to the core of the world-system'. Benitez-Rojo's perception is that 'the whole Caribbean was grounded in mythology.' Barthes, in his discussion of mythology, does finally come round to a compatible view:

mythology is certain to participate in the making of the world. Holding as a principle that man in a bourgeois society is at every turn plunged into a false Nature, as he attempts to find again, under the assumed innocence of the most unsophisticated relationships, the profound alienation which this innocence is meant to make one accept. The unveiling which it carries out is therefore a political act: founded on a responsible idea of language, mythology thereby postulates the freedom of the latter. It is certain that in this sense mythology harmonizes with the world, not as it is, but as it wants to create itself.

This we can accept: the idea that myth is a condition of language liberation, which can figure the world 'as it wants to create itself'. Walcott, who like Wilson Harris is a kind of magic realist, sees art as helping the world community to imagine not only its own heterogeneity but its potential difference.

Walcott's focus on myth, however, makes him all the more adamant in his opposition to false mythographies. The writings of Claude Levi-Strauss are of more urgent concern to him than those of historians precisely because they are about myth. While purporting to be voiced from a position of empathy with the communities whose myths they subject to structuralist analysis, they are, as Walcott and others see it (Pauline Melville, for instance, as mentioned above), a neocolonial appropriation based on an essentially racist way of seeing. Mythography's roots in anthropology undoubtedly require it to be historicized as a cornerstone of colonial discourse, to which the reclaiming of their own myths by writers such as Walcott and Melville is a necessary and important counterforce. The difference between myth lived as faith and myth studied as semiology is like the difference between the living body and that on the dissecting table: crucial dimensions are absent, will always escape such analysis. Walcott marks the loss of myth-as-faith which Caribbean history entailed (using 'mythology' as used in the popular sense of 'untrue belief'): what was emerging was
'an Africa that was no longer home, and the dark, oracular mountain dying into mythology'.

Yet he allows a place for retrieval through art. In his Nobel lecture he anatomizes the task of reconstruction, the building of a new house for ancient myths. His figure is a double one. On the one hand, 'Memory that yearns to join the centre, a limb remembering the body from which it has been severed, like those bamboo thighs of the god. In other words, the way that the Caribbean is still looked at, illegitimate, rootless, mongrelized', with Levi-Strauss' 'pathos' or plain 'contempt'; on the other, the making of poetry, or what should be called not its "making" but its remaking, the fragmented memory, the armature that frames the god, even the rite that surrenders it to the final pyre; the god assembled cane by cane, reed by weaving reed, line by plaited line, as the artisans of Felicity would erect his holy echo.

It is, of course, from the earliest sacred rites that both poetry and theatre spring. Actors, Walcott says, must undertake 'the journey back from man to ape. Every actor should make this journey to articulate his origins.' Walcott's practice as artist has been particularly to return language and theatre to their mythic, sacral roles. But the concept of 'a god for each need' endorses a natural polytheism which is foreign to most world religions' idea of one god, which he finds poses another problem: 'It may be too that monotheistic myth is not exactly too exciting as fiction.'

The autobiographical element in his work often refers to his upbringing as a Christian which has clearly shaped his outlook. Speaking to a largely Catholic audience he jested about a rather 'papal' chair, saying, 'I'm a Protestant. Or was.' But asked whether he used the language of religion as a literary device or out of faith, he replied, 'I have never doubted. But if you are asking whether I am a Christian, or whatever, that is another matter.' Like his beloved James Joyce he is deeply anti-clerical. It seems that he maintains the position reported by Selden Rodman in the early seventies: 'My faith in God has never wavered – which doesn't mean I have any use for the Mafialike churches!...I pray. I give thanks. I don't ask God for things – that's childish.' Raised to worship, he does, however, constantly use language as rite: of invocation, of praise, of awe, of all kinds of prayer, including thanks. He even uses it as incantation, when, in the heart of Omeros, as noted above, he plants a 'spell' to turn a house into a home. Of a Methodist family, but educated at a Catholic secondary
The imitation of Christ, the mimicry of God as a man. The imitation of Christ must be carried into human life and social exchange, we are responsible for our brother, we are not responsible to ourselves but to God, and while this is admirable and true, how true is it that the imitation of God leads to human perfectibility, how necessary is it for us to mimic the supreme good, the perfect annihilation of present, past, and future since God is without them, so that a man who has achieved that spiritual mimicry immediately annihilates all sense of time. "Take no thought of the morrow" is the same as "history is bunk"; the first is from Christ, the second from Henry Ford. But Ford is the divine example of American materialist man... Ultimately, he says, 'the ideal man does not need literature, religion, art, or even another, for there is only himself and God', (an essentially Protestant metaphysics) but the ideal is elusive, and in the sublunary world, spinning language as mythopoeic literature he sees as having a role. The supreme task of art, as Walcott sees it, is to record the world as mystery, a task which is paradoxically perfectly compatible with that of demystifying it politically. Clear vision is vital in both sociopolitical and metaphysical dimensions, as he sees it, and the two are facets of one whole.

It may be that he will come to be recognized as a poet of spirituality. His eclectic use of cross-cultural religious myth makes no concessions to those who are convinced of a single 'truth', but in the academy the growing momentum in the 'nineties of postmodernism's flight from its aridities into the sweet water of the transcendental may prove a propitious coincidence. In what seems, in relation to Walcott's reconceiving of concepts such as 'darkness', a supreme irony, the new philosophical tendency is described as establishing 'a genuine "dark" mode of thinking'. Berry and Wemick describe 'a darker, more obscure way of seeing and thinking' to be opposed to the dominant oculocentrism of western thought. The paradox is that writers such as Walcott have been around a long time, but 'obscure' to centrist thinkers because of an intricate screen of cultural blinkers. It is significant that Walcott does not engage with spirituality as an alternative to oculocentrism, but quite the reverse. He creates the illusion, as does Dante, of leading us to epiphany (aesthetic or spiritual, as we prefer) with our eyes wide open.

His mythopoeic project is double: he narrates their story to his people as rite of thanks and to redress history's legacy of self-contempt, and he narrates his people's story to the world to redress rationalism's legacy of lack of faith. The first is primarily
secular, although as a redemptive strategy it has spiritual dimensions (his awareness that Caribbean people do not need lessons in the sacred from him is acute); the second is primarily spiritual, although as a dialectical engagement with the philosophy behind much of the modern world's socio-political reality, it implies a secular consequence. The peculiar irony is that in large measure his use of myth is functionally mimetic: in representing his people's story to the world he centers belief and imitates the sacred in the first place because these are their priorities, as well as his own. Ultimately Walcott sees the task of art as to make manifest the transcendent; if art is not for art's sake but for God's, its ultimate purpose must be to generate, confirm or express faith. In comparison with this, every other aspect of its function, as he sees it, fades into insignificance.

Myths, of course, have histories. In 'Origins', as since, Walcott describes how his colonial education taught him about the ancient Greeks - 'Of Hector, bridler of horses, / Achilles, Aeneas, Ulysses' - but for the story of the ancient people of his own locality only 'Blank pages turn in the wind.' Because of this cultural exposure it was, ironically, easier to relate to the mythology of ancient Greece than to that of lost Amerindians or of the obscured traditions of Africa. In 'What The Twilight Says' he describes how difficult it was for his theatre company to relate to the Yoruba divinities of Soyinka's plays: 'Ogun was an exotic for us, not a force.' The actors knew their difference as Caribbeans: 'our frenzy goes by another name'. They were 'Afro-Christians' for whom 'the naming of the god estranged him'. In 'From This Far' he writes, 'in the soil of our islands no gods are buried. / They were shipped to us, Seferis, /dead on arrival.' Yet the task of Antillean art was to be, as Walcott defines it, the assimilation of the features of every ancestor: pluralism was its imperative. Each of the various cultures of the region deserved representation. The claim to the new location must be 'wholly made', '[b]y all the races as one race', with the freshness of new beginnings which 'gave the old names life, that charged an old language, from the depth of suffering, with awe.' But awe, the impetus which leads to religion, is sought by the poet in 'the ritual of the word in print'. Art is conceived as a quasi-religion, in which the most profound hopes of the community can be expressed. A mythopoeic art is one whose rites evoke that awe which recognizes the openness of possibilities.

It is not prescriptive and knows nothing of closure. The myth which all communities seem to share is that of 'eternal return', to use Eliade's phrase,
dream, in all its cultural variations, of the defeat of death. This is the religious dream. But there is another dream which is common, the secular one of the ideal society. The distinctively dystopian past of the Caribbean community demands a powerful counterforce. Walcott seems to be saying that its people have been constrained to value relations based on gentleness and generosity precisely by and through the appalling reality of their history. The writer has to make 'creative use of his schizophrenia, an electric fusion of the old and the new.' The utopian dimension to Caribbean art is therefore not naive. When Walcott's drama enacts such rites as a healing, a quasi-resurrection, as in *Dream on Monkey Mountain*, a miracle performed by the least respected person of a hierarchical racialized community, it does so as part of its strategy to mark the social deprivation but spiritual strength of a real, historic group, and when his poetry models a benign society, as in *Omeros*, it does so around the central symbol of the wound, denoting historic and ongoing suffering, which the text brings to healing. There is a real conjunction of revolution and revelation, but a stringent refusal of the rhetoric of revenge. Walcott's art never glorifies or romanticizes suffering. Its wisdom resides in the metamorphosis of suffering, in holding out the prospect that it can be transformed or redeemed.

The assertion of the 'felicity' of the islands through the miracle of cultural survival is about belief in the value of shared culture, of community rite: the Hindu epic *Ramleela* performed as community rite in central Trinidad. It was not 'theatre' but 'faith', not elegiac but celebratory: 'Why should India be "lost" when none of these villagers ever really knew it, and why not "continuing", why not the perpetuation of joy in Felicity?' This is a case of myth as persistence, as real survival, but Walcott inscribes it also in a dialectics of lineage. In this he deploys it as resistance, as counter-myth to the mystification of dynasty and purity which riddles the dominant culture of the West. The communal rite is different from its origin, its predecessors: it is creolizing in the new location. Walcott, in naming Caribbeanness to the world in the Nobel lecture, creates new myth by demonstrating the harmony between the island as site of a revelatory nature and as site of vigorous, hybrid culture: he metaphorizes the red-costumed child-archers of the Hindu epic through the flight of scarlet ibises (the national bird of Trinidad), and vice versa. Each becomes a symbol of the other; together they become a new myth of Trinidad, one which demonstrates how Hindu tradition is naturalized to the Caribbean.
4. Manipulating Myth

The argument of this chapter, then, is necessarily linked to that of the preceding chapters. The elemental geography of the archipelago – the islands, the sea, the vegetation, the waterfalls, the weather, the creatures – these all lend themselves, and have lent themselves throughout the human story in that location, to myth. The Amerindian word 'hurricane', a global signifier now, has changed from being the name of a god to a weather-word. Using it as marker of the lost Amerindian presence, Walcott in his poem 'Hurucan' performs an act of cultural retrieval. The myth is, in a sense, a case of persistence, in that it is still associated with awe-inspiring storm, but its true spiritual meaning has been evacuated. By entering his poem into English literature, then, Walcott reminds the anglophone world of the word's sacred power. Its authority is that it reconnects the ancient mythic power to the language, reinvesting it as myth. The anthropomorphized force is imaged like a Carib warrior: 'running / with tendons feathered with lightning', he is 'havoc, reminder, ancestor' and finally 'god'.

A modification of this process, myth as resistance, is Walcott's politicized variant of song from the African-Caribbean oral tradition: 'One, two, three, the white man have plenty, / When thunder roll is a nigger belly empty.' A further kind of persisting myth is that which had a historical origin but has passed into folk legend. The collective suicide of Caribs memorialized in the Grenadian place-name, Sauteurs, is translated from orality to literature, transformed by Walcott in Another Life, as noted above, from a death-fall of bodies to a lifegiving waterfall, the tragic event of history reconceived and metaphorized through the ecstatic timeless continuity of nature – 'naturalized' as the Ramleela is naturalized to the flight of scarlet ibis. It parallels the use of metaphor from nature in 'The Saddhu of Couva', also mentioned above. As mythic sign, the old man/bird is empowered to naturalize the idea of diaspora to the idea of home, redeeming the potentially tragic assertion that 'for my spirit, India is too far' into a comedic signifier of in-placeness in the Caribbean location. A similar effect is observable in Walcott's use of the conjunction of tragic Amerindian history and myth in the story of the Sioux Ghost Dance, incorporated into Omeros and his play The Ghost Dance, discussed below. This is a case of myth as insistence – of existing myth being deployed in a new context, so that it acquires new meaning. Walcott deconstructs the politics of the historical moment simultaneously with his reinscription of the sacredness of the rite of faith: the Ghost Dance did not save the Sioux, and the past cannot be undone, but because it has power to 'call the spirit to
arms' it may yet help to save the future. The redemptive promise remains present in art.

Walcott's deployment of myth as faith is distinctively cross-cultural. It is not difficult to trace, for instance, a chain of Christian iconography in his work, but it would be a mistake to ignore the often plural signifying moment in which Christian myth is a participant but not the dominant element. In the climactic revelation of *Another Life*, for instance, the Sauteurs/waterfall myth is developed by being matched with the Biblical story of Moses, striking the rock with his staff. The miraculous and lifegiving water which gushed from the rock serves in the poem as a figure of the flow of creativity: the poet like Moses can lead his people to the promised land – again a figure calculated to reduce the pain of diaspora. But the downward movements of this are balanced by the ascending movement (an echo of the closure of 'The Saddhu of Couva') of the Hindu funeral pyre which releases the soul to another life, the final metamorphosis of the title in the poem. In both the water image (Sauteurs/waterfall) and the fire image (pyre/sun), a death-trope is remade as figure of creativity. The narrator as poet declares 'I shared, I shared, / I was struck like rock, and I opened / to His gift!' as well as 'I sit in the roar of that sun / like a lotus yogi folded on his bed of coals', reciting 'hare Krishna'. There is personal and collective history in this as well as myth, the history of the grandfather who committed suicide by fire, and the new-age culture of western youth (*Another Life* was published in 1973), turning to the East for spiritual values lacking in their own world. From the Caribbean perspective such values were to hand, an integral part of the 'home' society, such as Trinidad, already naturalized to the West. The Age of Aquarius, a counter-myth of modernity which also informs the poem, reinvests the ancient idea of the zodiac with a different semiology, again associated with a personal history, since Walcott, whose birthday is in late January, comes under the star-sign of Aquarius in popularized astrology, with its familiar icon of the water-pourer, as in the poem. Janus, too, is named in the passage, presiding over its ambivalence. It is a very 1970s amalgam of mythic registers which could easily have been bathetic, but the way the language of the final chapters captures and holds the passion which resolves the poem's long narration of what it meant to a particular generation to be West Indian and to have faith in art, is still astonishing and beautiful.

*Omeros* also deploys a cross-cultural mythopoeia, structured on a doubling of the mythology of the ancient Greeks with that of Christianity: the oracular bird,
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African swift or Antillean swallow, is in the shape of a cross, but its role is to unite the guardian spirit of Athena in Homer with that of the dove of Christian revelation. The poem also includes other collocations. In 'Hurucan' Walcott made the Amerindian god refuse to respond to the name of the Yoruba storm-god Shango – 'you rage / till we get your name right' – but in Omeros he assembles intercultural gods for a distinctively celebratory and terrifying party. The cross-cultural mythography is no academic exercise but spirited and witty. The hybridizing culture of the Caribbean is produced as an aesthetic space where West African and Caribbean divinities meet those of ancient Greece, dancing and drinking: 'Ogun can fire one with his partner Zeus', in a fête of storm which has Shango, Neptune, and the (now Caribbean) goddess of love Erzulie, partying 'as their huge feet thudded on the ceiling'. There is also a complex inter-American engagement in the naming of the fisherman's canoe In God We Troust. 'Leave it!' says Achille, 'Is God' spelling and mine.' It is a plural signifier: of Caribbean difference within the Americas ('In God We Trust' being inscribed on every US dollar note); of challenged faith, with its resonance with 'joust'; of the transcendence of faith over acquired skills such as literacy; and of the text's simultaneous humility and largeness of claim – the poem itself is a kind of alternative 'gospel' or 'god-spell', the origin of the term. A text such as Omeros both uses myth and is myth.

The persistence of Greek myths is, of course, a contingency of the western cultural tradition. To Walcott they are 'echoes that have been in my head', but he is careful to counter the tendency to read his art as neo-Greek mimicry. The myths of the Greeks are only one dimension of the Caribbean heritage. This cross-culturality reflects Walcott's personal beliefs: 'Every aspect of culture contributes to another culture.' The 'African aspect' may be more important than 'the machine', because 'any spiritual contribution, to any civilization, is far more important than any technical contribution.' Likewise the 'contribution of the American Indian, which has never been absorbed by the American pioneer, to his cost – to his cost' is important to environmentalists because the 'American Indian had these values', 'the same sacramental idea...about not touching anything that doesn't belong to you – that is not yours. That belongs to God – that's not yours but of the gods.'

Walcott addresses a particular crux of Caribbean selfhood, that Christianity came with empire. It is clearly a case of persistent myth, but Walcott brings it under a revisionist historiography. Instead of seeing Caribbean Christianity as the imposition
of empire, with its double project of Bible and sword, he inverts the model, arguing unconventionally that no race is converted against its will, and that it was less a matter of being given religion than of taking it over:

their own God was being taken away from merchant and missionary by a submerged force that rose at ritual gatherings, where the subconscious rhythm rose and took possession and where in fact the Hebraic-European God was changing color, for the names of the sub-deities did not matter, Saint Ursula or Saint Urzulie; the Catholic pantheon adapted easily to African pantheism. Catholic mystery adapted easily to African magic.78

This is syncretism in action, the creolization of faith. When, therefore, in Another Life he writes that in the St. Lucia of his childhood, 'One step behind the church door stood the devil,'79 it is the closeness of the two spiritual worlds he is emphasizing, despite the church's opposition and its demonizing terminology for beliefs it termed pagan. As with carnival, the hegemony was being subverted, as 'the tribe in bondage learned to fortify itself by cunning assimilation of the religion of the Old World. What seemed to be surrender was redemption. What seemed loss of tradition was its renewal. What seemed the death of faith was its rebirth.'80 As with Walcott's dismantling of the hegemonic discourse of Caribbean history in terms of fracture and loss, he here subverts the accepted model of cultural assimilation: not to be assimilated, but to assimilate. He argues that 'no race is converted against its will'; on the contrary, 'The slave converted himself, he changed weapons, spiritual weapons.'81 The slave, so glibly conceived from the metropolitan centre as in both physical and mental bondage, is reconstrued as bound in body but free in mind. In a symbolic shift, the slave as object is re-established as subject, reinvigorating what was declining in the hands of the Old World's 'exhausted, hypocritical Christian.'82

This appropriation is shown to be at the heart of New World spirituality. African-Americans renewed Christianity, says Walcott, using the period after the American Civil War as example: 'the blacks, instead of turning on their oppressors, magnanimously adopted the White Man's God, the God of their enemy and went far beyond the whites – who were then making a mockery of Christ's teachings by completing their butchery of the Indians – by going back in their spirituals to the core of Christianity.'83 Spirituality is the pervasive quality of Caribbean culture which Walcott celebrates and holds up as example. Rodman reports him as saying that 'We
colonials can't exercise power, but we can exert spiritual strength through our culture and religion.'

Like an animist, or pantheist, Walcott prefers to suggest spirituality in the open air. Even when he evokes a scene of worship in a building, he tends to develop this with an exterior scene, for example in the section on the Roseau Valley altarpiece by his friend Dunstan St.Omer, in 'Sainte Lucie', which contemplates the mural from within the body of the church but as an etiolatory symbol, radiating out to the valley outside, or the portrayal of Ma Kilman in church in _Omeros_ before she climbs the mountain in quest of the healing herb (the blurring of categories of interior and exterior is also, of course, mimetic of tropical life). The vigour of a syncretist faith is mythified as distinctively Caribbean, and by unmooring his representation from the architecture of religions he frees his work from competing orthodoxies. Of his decision to place the Circe episode in his play _The Odyssey_ in a pantheistic New World society, he has said that for the audience and particularly for the actors, 'if you provide the society that is pantheistic, then they can enter it and believe it, and then you believe it.'  The two facets of Ma Kilman's religious belief are shown to be seamless: the ant-messenger signs to her actually inside the church, summoning her as she kneels in prayer, to the world of nature outside which is not passive or indifferent to the human predicament. Such belief is

an atavism stronger than their Mass,  
stronger than chapel, whose  
tubers gripped the rooted middle class,  
beginning where Africa began:  
in the body's memory.

To show the hybridity of religious beliefs and rituals is as important as to demonstrate the creative fusion in other spheres of cultural practice. This is myth as persistence, mythopoeia as mimesis. The syncretism makes the art more rather than less mythic. In _Omeros_, for instance, the bush-bath which Ma Kilman gives Philoctete to heal him, and from which he emerges as if an innocent child again, is the more powerful for its doubling of a folk ritual with the idea of Christian baptism. But this too is mimetic of personal experience, lived reality, the memory, recalled in the early poem 'Origins', of 'my warm, malarial bush-bath, / The wet leaves leeched to my flesh.' Ma Kilman seems reminiscent of the same passage's 'sibyl', who 'bears in her black hand a white frangipani, with berries of blood' and 'gibbers with the cries / Of the Guinean
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Since she is dubbed 'mother of memory' it seems to be a memory of his own early childhood – of a fever treated by a myal-woman with traditional herbal remedies – which has been expanded to the core epic drama of *Omeros*, the rite of healing, made to signify as myth, as the healing of a race, the closing of the wound of history. The local tradition's alternative medicine is the same thing as its alternative religion. Both are recognized as rites requiring faith but dealing with reality. Metropolitan culture has tried to drive a wedge between ideas of 'truth' and of 'magic', but with mixed success. Caribbean culture, on the other hand, has never lost sight of their interconnectedness.

Clearly the myths of Christianity are deeply written in to the collective Caribbean experience, but they are creolized, Walcott says, adapted to their new social and natural setting. Their social environment includes beliefs and practices of 'a life older than geography' (that is, the life lived by the people before they encountered the Caribbean geography), the surviving culture of 'Africa, heart-shaped'. This includes the lore of 'tribal medicine' as well as communal rites such as the cross-dressed dance of Achille and Philoctete, a West African tradition naturalized to the Caribbean, and the centrality of the spiritual in perceptions of reality. Ben Okri says of West African belief that 'there’s really no division between the living and the dead. They’re just, as it were, spheres of reality that you can travel between.' This African attitude to the human condition has survived to root itself in the Caribbean. Maryse Conde defines Caribbeanness as distinguished by simultaneous political solidarity and spirituality:

> a commitment to the world, the problems of the world, the difficulty of being alive, the oppression of our people, the dependency towards Europe and so on, and a commitment to the other world, the invisible world, meanings that will explain the world, not only in a sort of logical or rationalist sense but we understand that between the earth and the sky there are so many things that you cannot explain. If you want to render the complexity of life in a book you have to deal with all these elements, the rational ones, the irrational, and try to build a philosophy which includes all these possibilities.

It is a similar inclusiveness of levels and kinds of reality and vision which is typical of Walcott’s work.

For in the Caribbean the sensibility is still unquestionably mythic. This distinctive culture of pluralist faith is now, however, under seige. Walcott admits that 'We're teaching in our schools the pursuit of material power, as everywhere else in the
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West. The same philosophy that is crippling the world. This he sees as emblematic of a global pattern: though increasingly beleaguered by materialism, the spirituality of the South is the last bastion against the powerful North. Walcott dissects the modern metropolitan condition as 'Voltaire confronting Nietzsche: "It is necessary to invent God," and "God is dead." Join both, and that is our twentieth-century credo. "It is necessary to invent a God who is dead." Modern nonreligious man,' says Eliade, 'assumes a new existential situation; he regards himself solely as the subject and agent of history, and he refuses all appeals to transcendence.' The new ideology is that 'Man makes himself, and he only makes himself completely in proportion as he desacralizes himself and the world.' The end position is that 'He will not be truly free until he has killed the last god.' Eliade's observation is that 'modern nonreligious man assumes a tragic existence.' This concept of the modern is inscribed in 'The Fortunate Traveller' (discussed below) as the negative to which the opposite is the faith kept alive in the 'dark' heart. Walcott takes it further, distinguishing cultural approaches to faith: 'It's a difference in religion,' he says, contrasting the American Indian who 'celebrates' the world by 'blending into the earth and air', with the settler saying 'I own this earth': 'the emphasis on the capital "I" in Western religion has caused a lot of damage — though Christ didn't preach the aggression of an "I".' In the 'I-an-I' community, the figure adopted in this study, there is no emphasis on the self except as sharer. Walcott's writing is thus both mimetic of a culture of faith, and a counter-discourse to a growing lack of faith, fuelled by a materialist politics.

Guyanese novelist Roy Heath writes of the region's 'persistence of a mythic preoccupation in storytelling, all but dead in Europe and amongst Americans of European extraction.' The oral culture, now fading, has its unique fund of stories, in which mythic figures proliferate. Walcott tells of the old woman, Sidone, whom he and his brother would visit as children to hear her 'strange croaking of Christian and African songs.' Her stories were 'the libraries of the Caribbean', an oral resource which could be translated to the literature: 'In the gully of her voice / shadows stood up and walked. Her voice travels my shelves.' The 'thrill' was for her to 'scare you with stories about the African night that was there in the country in Saint Lucia, in the fireflies and the funny-looking banana trees and the superstitions, or what people call superstitions and which are myths.' The 'true folk tale' had 'a structure as universal as the skeleton': 'It had sprung from hearthside or lamplit hut-door in an age when the night outside was a force, inimical, infested with devils, wood-demons, a country for
the journey of the soul'. Walcott relates how the particular Ti-Jean story which he used as the basis for *Ti-Jean and his Brothers* was told to him as a schoolboy by a friend known as Mock, 'short for Democracy, because he was an orator', 'a very fast talker, very agitated'. The story might have been one of Sidone's, 'but this is one Mock knew' and he 'would tell it beautifully', in French Creole. The story is 'based on the exaggeration of a positive, comparative, superlative...three degrees in expression of anger'; 'I built the play around this thing', says Walcott.

But the story of the defeat of the Devil by the youngest of three brothers is made not just a moral but a political fable by the parallelism which doubles the Devil with the colonial plantation-owner. Ti-Jean, with his song of 'Bring down Goliath', is a classic trickster-hero, like the biblical David. He is a revolutionary who has no formal power but uses his wits and solidarity to defeat the colonial system built with the white man's 'bloody triumphs'. His creed is solidarity, the strength of the collective: the bundle of sticks which together 'are strong, / Apart, they are all rotten.'

The defeat of power by the seemingly weak plebeian hero is a universal feature of folk-tales. *Ti-Jean* is perhaps as close as Walcott gets to endorsing vendetta, the racial politics of revenge. In the event he develops the anticipated ending – the triumph of the democratic hero – in unexpected and thought-provoking ways. First, the given of the story as told to Walcott is that Ti-Jean does not lose his temper: to become angry is to be defeated. This conforms to his view of racial rage: to give in to it, powerful and justifiable though the urge for it may be (and Gordon Rohlehr, for one, finds a 'countervailing energy of rage' gives strength to Walcott's *Castaway* poems), is in a profound sense to have been humiliated once again. The empowering attitude is not fury at history and at on-going injustice, but that calmness which takes control by ordering it as just a part of a larger, more important scheme. The historical and metaphysical registers of *Ti-Jean* symbolize this 'translation'; the political fable is ultimately secondary to the spiritual one.

At one level the play enacts the revolutionary overthrow of the plantocracy, but at others it is a morality play about the power of goodness, and a psychological fable about the desire for life, even for a life of suffering. For this last Walcott deploys a different folk-myth of the French Creole culture in the Caribbean, the Bolom, a spirit-figure of the aborted foetus which returns to haunt. Walcott explains,
The bolom is a figure – one of those words that you grow up saying but you don't know what they mean – only recently I realized that perhaps bolom is really *beau-l'homme* (fine little man). Maybe, I'm not sure. So I just made it *bolom*, without the French. It could have been that, bolom, you never know.\(^{102}\)

In the play the Bolom begs for life, even though he is warned it will bring suffering and death. Even the Devil, moved to unaccustomed tears by Ti-Jean's song, wonders whether this is what it means to be human:

\begin{quote}
Tears! Tears! Then is this the Magnificence I have heard of, of Man, the chink in his armour, the destruction of the Self? Is this the strange, strange wonder that is Sorrow?\(^{103}\)
\end{quote}

The play closes with the twin revelations of the wisdom of the holy fool and the value of life, particularly for its godlike creativity.

The end of the play is echoed in the end of the poem 'Adam's Song', where Walcott suggests that the human condition, given expression through art, can move even divinity to tears - a kind of heresy in that it suggests that the creator can learn from his creature. Adam sings 'frightened / of the jealousy of God'.\(^{104}\) It is an old myth, the Orphic promise of the power of art to work magic. It positions the artist as a type of trickster-hero. The postcolonial artist, in Walcott's scheme, enacts the trickster's triumph in two registers, the political and the metaphysical (this should not be confused with the colonial fantasy of the white man as god, which Caliban is made to endorse). Lamming gives one version of the parallelism: 'Caliban is Prospero's risk in the sense that Adam's awareness of a difference was a risk which God took with Man'.\(^{105}\) Walcott lives the double challenge: the politics of outwitting metropolitan centrism by going one better with his art, and the metaphysics of offering up his art as sacrament, as the greatest rite of celebration of which mankind is capable – and one which just might be superior to the monologic condition of divinity because of its heterogeneity, its eloquent combination of joy and sorrow. The paradox is that the more ambitious such a dream seems, the more it can only be undertaken in a spirit of rigorous humility. Walcott's view of the spirituality of the colonized is the opposite of Fanon's; instead of seeing it as disabling he regards it as empowering. The faith which reads abused power as human sin in a wider scheme in which goodness prevails opens a route to self-respect. It politicizes not in the name of reactive vendetta but in the
name of the dream of a better world (like Gonzalo’s or Miranda’s) which can only be built by those who have ‘swallowed’ their hates.\textsuperscript{106} The awesome promise of art is that it can reach out to those without faith and thus contribute to this as a secular project. As Geoffrey Hartman points out to a largely faithless age, ‘If what remains of religion is its poetry, what remains of poetry is its heterodox theology, or mythmaking.’\textsuperscript{107}

The clear-eyed naming of the beloved island community combines realism and faith in the future, informed by the dream (in \textit{Another Life}) of ‘a horned sea-snoring island…without the shafts of palms stuck in her side.’\textsuperscript{108} Mythification of the island as figure of torture, borne like a saint, comes with history. In \textit{Another Life} Walcott reverses Columbus’s ‘St. Lucia’ designation, that of the blinded saint (commemorated on one of the darkest days of the northern year), by building his poem on an imagery of light and vision, and the visual arts, and in \textit{Omeros} he deploys physical reality of the island’s volcano (Soufrière/‘sufferer’) as trope of the unhealed wound of history, which the poem closes. Dubbed the ‘Helen of the West Indies’ the island was already mythified historically as ‘the cause of more blood-letting than was ever provoked by Helen of Troy.’\textsuperscript{109} Walcott says he was taught at school that it was ‘The Helen of the West’, fought over and changing hands between the French and English thirteen times’. It was a tragic story: ‘She had been regularly violated….Her name was clouded with darkness and misfortune.’\textsuperscript{110} In the light of such cultural givens, and the daily reality of the Plantation (in Benitez-Rojo’s sense), there was a real need for a counter-myth of the island’s identity. The Helen Walcott creates in \textit{Omeros} is a powerful positive to set against those negatives (as discussed above). The poem’s female principle is in the form of a lunar triad, in ancient mythic tradition, as analysed by Robert Graves,\textsuperscript{111} with the triple aspects of the goddess figured as aged, mature and immature woman. Maud, the old moon, whose cycle (of white domination) is done, yields place to Helen, who is taking over, coming into her inheritance (hitherto denied her), like Cinderella, dangling, ironically, a clear plastic sandal, while the third figure, the nymph, is just coming into view as the girl, Christine, who arrives to help Ma Kilman, who unites all three, in the No Pain Café.\textsuperscript{112} Ma Kilman takes on herself the suffering of the whole race in order to heal Philoctete. She is, it seems, the Christ-figure in \textit{Omeros}, a divinity of three-in-one and a figure of manifest sexuality and spiritual energy, in Walcott’s radical remapping of moral and spiritual tradition. As Wilson Harris has said, ‘The woman priest is a very important ingredient in overturning the legacies of expectation.’\textsuperscript{113} Marian imagery is also recurrent in the
work, particularly as a figure of the Catholic culture of St. Lucia, in works such as 'Sainte Lucie' and Another Life. Not only is the island mythified through the female body (as discussed above), to which the traumatized male can come home, but in Omeros the figuring of the island through the iguana as symbol reaches both for the pre-Columbian past, of Amerindian community, and for the innocent timelessness of nature, as well as for new art, new speech, as it emerges from the cannon's mouth as a benign 'tongue'. Walcott celebrates the heroic women of his community, seeing his task as to 'give those feet a voice'.

His deployment of archetypes for his masculine personae will be the topic of the rest of this chapter. As noted above, it is important to read his use of figures such as Adam, Crusoe, or Ulysses (like Helen) as specifically Caribbean, since they are naturalized to Caribbean culture, itself part of the western tradition. When Omeros presents a St. Lucian fisherman named Achille, for instance, it is mimetic of Caribbean contemporary reality, not an applied classicism – although as the poem shows, there was a historical moment of 'translation', when the name of the Greek hero was first allocated to an African-Caribbean as mark of his heroism. Such names acquire local symbolism, 'become real' for the community, 'because they have the qualities of what's been ascribed to them, like an Achillean fisherman could look like Achilles who is a splendid figure'. This is confirmed by other art, such as Ian Macdonald's poem 'Achilles', a portrait of a teacher, yet 'Achilles he was named at birth', and, in that he became a classics teacher, the poem seems to indicate that people can live up to their given names, becoming the heroes after whom they are named.

The Adam persona is most conspicuous in Walcott's early work as a myth by which to explore the individuality of the Caribbean artist, but there is a double link with the Caribbean as geographical location, in that Adam, the first man, created in God's image, was placed in paradise (the islands often being mythified as Edenic), and through the discourse of American identity as Adamic. As discussed above, the mythification of the heritage of the American archipelago as, through history, the locus of loss – as 'not-Africa', the evacuation of meaningful location – is remodelled in Walcott's work as a divinely ordained 'holy land' or 'homeland', the site of plenty, of fullness of meaning. In the holy books of three great faiths, Judaism, Christianity and Islam, paradise becomes the site of original sin and of expulsion, of forced migration. But Christian theology portrays the Genesis story, despite its tragic
outcome of loss, as setting the whole narrative of Christian redemption in motion: Adam's sin loses him Eden but gains mankind redemption by Christ, thus being revisioned as the *felix culpa*. Original sin as concept derives from this Biblical account of origin; the eating of the apple of knowledge results from a yielding, against divine law, to desire – a desire both for the sensuality implicit in the apple symbol, and for the intellectual ambition, the *hubris*, implicit in the idea of the tree of knowledge – Gonzalo's apple, perhaps. In Dante and elsewhere, however, original sin is represented by the figure of the wound, prefiguring the wounds of Christ by which it will be redeemed. The church's paradox of the *felix culpa*, the 'happy sin', leads directly to the St.Lucian usage of 'bless' to mean both 'bless' and 'consecrate by suffering', as in *Omeros* (as discussed below). It is not only the Adamic story. Defoe's Crusoe is a purgatorial figure, seeing himself as punished for his defiance of the law of the Father. The wounded Ulysses is part of an ancient and living tradition of seeing the evil of suffering as sacrificial, as a means of delivering good.

In Walcott's poetry of the 1960s and 1970s, Adam is used as figure of the poet, naming his world as Adam named paradise. *Another Life* mythifies this distinctively as not an Old World but a New World naming, by quoting the phrase from fellow-Caribbean writer Alejo Carpentier: 'Adam's task of giving things their names.' This artistic naming, in Carpentier's text, is 'the only task appropriate to the milieu that was slowly revealing to me its values', and the northern diaspora's artists find themselves unable to perform it on their return. The Adamic naming is thus translated into a crux of Central and South American culture: Walcott is mythifying the role of artists who chose to stay, through his narration of his own and Dunstan St.Omer's story, in an anti-diaspora politics.

The figure of Adam, however, has long been naturalized to the Americas, with a special significance in North American literary tradition, the paradisal perspective on the New World having been conspicuous in colonial discourse from Columbus onwards. The 'noble savage' ideology which was projected onto the indigenous people of the Americas as natural 'Adams' was part of a classically derived dialectic of civilization and savagery, which could lead only to their marginalization, in that their Adamic innocence was posited only as referent, to serve the interrogation of the dominant. It was not until American literature of the nineteenth century began to shape a national discourse that the 'paradisal' landscape was equipped, in fiction, with a heroic Adam – crucially of European ancestry, not an indigene – at the centre of
texts in his own right. In 1955 when Walcott was a young man, R.W.B. Lewis drew attention to the American myth of Adam in a now classic study *The American Adam*, which read early American literature in terms of the Adam figure. When Walcott uses the Adam archetype, therefore, it is very much as a writer of the Americas that he does so. As Lewis points out, the myth of Adam has two parts, comic and tragic. As applied to America as 'divinely granted second chance for the human race', the comic phase is embodied in a new hero, as Lewis explains in a passage which merits quoting in full, for its resonances with Walcott:

> a radically new personality, the hero of the new adventure: an individual emancipated from history, happily bereft of ancestry, untouched and undefiled by the usual inheritances of family and race; an individual standing alone, self-reliant and self-propelling, ready to confront whatever awaited him with the aid of his own unique and inherent resources. It was not surprising, in a Bible-reading generation, that the new hero (in praise or disapproval) was most easily identified with Adam before the Fall. Adam was the first, the archetypal man. His moral position was prior to experience, and in his very newness he was fundamentally innocent. The world and history lay all before him. And he was the type of creator, the poet par excellence, creating language itself by naming the elements of the scene about him. All this and more were contained in the image of the American as Adam.

The liberation from history and origin into an originary relationship with a new place, which, as if of its own volition, projects the role of artist, sacred namer, onto the human figure now occupying its centre, is central to Walcott's perception of the role of the Caribbean artist, whom he figures as hero.

The innocent Adam typified in Emerson's dictum, 'Here's for the plain old Adam, the simple genuine self against the whole world', prompted, however, as Lewis describes, a resisting discourse: 'the narrative figure of Adam – as the hero of a new semidivine comedy – was converted into the hero of a new kind of tragedy, and grew thereby to a larger stature. It was the tragedy inherent in his innocence and newness, and it established the pattern for American fiction.' It is this fully mature awareness of the post-lapsarian Adam which Walcott employs, the Edenic serpent portrayed as also an American arrivant. In *Omeros* the sign of the serpent, s, is made a symbolic figure, linked with the US dollar sign – although its shape also matches the poem's function as curative rite, in that the $ is the same as the *caduceus*, the healing rod of Hermes. It is irony which gives the edge to the Adam poems in the 1976 collection *Sea Grapes*, and his portrayal of the islands as Eden is always laced with a ruthless
counter-discourse which presents them as anti-paradisal myth – as hellish in their poverty and deprivation (as discussed above). Part of Lewis's description of the 'ironic temperament', as applied to the elder Henry James, might also be adapted to Walcott: it is typified by a 'tragic optimism...[and] by an awareness of the heightened perception and humanity which suffering made possible.' The sense that there is a connection between the strengths of the collective personality and its suffering is always there in Walcott. Essentially American versions of the suffering hero, such as Melville's Billy Budd, can thus be seen as part of a pan-American tradition which Walcott is consciously extending. The concept of tragic optimism is particularly helpful, as it gets a difficult balance right. To read Walcott as either a utopian or a tragic poet is a distortion. Both tendencies need to be given their full weight, but with the scales coming to rest firmly on the side of optimism.

In Christian teaching, Christ, the supreme sufferer, is presented as the second Adam, the second incarnation, the two being closely connected by their antithetical roles. Another Life also plays with the idea of this second Adam, with its ironic references to the two young artists as 'the light of the world', an image of the Christ-like, redemptive role of the artist which Walcott revisits, again with a self-deprecating but high-claiming ambivalence, in The Arkansas Testament. The artist as prophet is another figure which Walcott deploys, using the analogy with St. John the Divine in the early poem 'As John to Patmos', where he sets out his commitment to awaiting the revelation of his art in the island of his birth, and in Another Life using the Moses myth in his assertion of the creative inspiration: 'I was struck like rock, and I opened / to His gift!' He does not identify the artist with the prophet here, however, but with the rock, the insentient part of nature which is made to signify by divine intervention. In 'The Arkansas Testament' he parallels his own epiphany as artist with that of the apostle Paul, the poem making creative use of the figure of Saul's revelation on the road to Damascus, matched to the poet's experience on US Highway 71, as part of a profound meditation on the human condition (its 'sure unshaven salvation'), alongside essentially political dilemmas, such as the mapping of a Caribbean within a US identity. Alongside the elevation of the artist to prophet is a critique of the society's attitude to its prophets. The suicide of Harry Simmons, for instance, in Another Life, is blamed on a philistine community which failed to recognise him as a 'prophet', and the narrator's anger at the way fashionable cultural attitudes impact on art and the populace gives a Juvenal-like acridness to chapter 19. In 'The Schooner Flight' the
image is once again that of the hounded prophet, unrecognised in his own kingdom – a Ulyssean figure, as well as a Christian one.

In *Omeros* the range of sufferers introduces a dialectical dimension to the symbolic: the reader is inevitably prompted to compare and consider. The extremity of Philoctete's suffering, so vividly expressed in his great howl in the Edenic yam garden, makes him seem a type of Adam, aware of the enormity of his loss. His is a post-lapsarian cultivation of the yam, brought from Africa on the middle passage; he is the Adam forced to 'delve', when before the Fall all he had to do was pluck the fruits of the garden. If Philoctete demonstrates the concept of Original Sin, then Ma Kilman represents its salvation, returning to the innocence of wild nature to pluck the wound's cure. Like the Christian second Adam, Christ, she too suffers: her howl on the mountain resonates with Gethsemane. It is only through her willingness to suffer that she is empowered to heal, the pattern of Christian redemption. The healing bath, an analogue of baptism, restores Philoctete to the innocence of childhood, specifically associated with sexual innocence through the image of the child's 'shrimp-like prick', which enables a kind of Eden to be recovered in the restorative Christmas dance of Philoctete and Achille, paired in brotherly celebration. Walcott is particularly drawn to Christianity's Christmas myth (here and in *Another Life*), which is in tune with his life-asserting spirituality. The cross-dressed dance of Achille and Philoctete, however, is drawn directly from African tradition. Just as the dream-book of *Omeros* shows Achille's visionary return to Africa, it shows also the African ancestors making the reverse Atlantic crossing, their grass skirts rustling outside Philoctete's hut at night as he bathes his sore: 'they gazed in / silence at the shadows of their lamplit children.' The symbolic swift crosses and recrosses the meridian.

The chain of mythic connectedness which unites both African and Caribbean practice, and classical and Christian tradition, extends in the modern era to literary myth. Walcott fastens particularly on the figure of Crusoe as a specifically Caribbean persona, and deploys him as figure of the artist and as an Everyman on a symbolic odyssey to his God. His 1965 essay 'The Figure of Crusoe' makes what he calls 'a heretical reconciliation between the outer world, and the world of the hermit, between, if you wish, the poet and the objects surrounding him that are called society.' The Crusoe archetype is that of the hermit crouched over a bonfire, whether on a tropical beach or in the northern woods of 'North and South', or *Omeros*, but he is also a dialectical figure, an answer to the Froudian negative with his
cry of 'O happy desert!' and a counter-image to the searing of history – not to be
burned, but to feed sticks to the fire, an active, controlling figure, not one of capture:
'We contemplate our spirit by the detritus of the past.'

To Walcott, Crusoe is the third Adam ('The second Adam since the fall'), the New World's third chance, after Adam the colonist who was given the American Eden by history, and Christ the redeemer whose teachings are ignored in the materialist scramble. The Americas' third chance comes from the man of the islands, Crusoe the arrivant, who initiates the metaphysical difference, the heresy, of praying 'Not for God's love but human love instead.' In these poems from the 1960s Walcott uses Crusoe also dialectically, in a symbolic refusal to identify with the Friday side of the story (unlike some contemporaries), which to him repeats the humiliations of the colonial experience. To some extent, therefore, Crusoe represents a secularisation of the mythic chain, as God's 'protestant', a figure in the ultimate binary drama of the human challenge to the divine, but his chief symbolic importance to Walcott is as one who starts from scratch to build everything he needs, the archetypal solitary and contemplative person, the archetypal artist, whose isolation is his boon. Introducing his poem 'The Castaway' Walcott said:

You can only create something you hope can be a work of art, alone, and in this case, it's set in the Caribbean, I imagine. But it's also the image of Crusoe as someone who has to begin again with whatever tools are around – and the tools may be a piece of paper or a pencil, or something, or canvas, or a brush – but I think that's what it's about, the isolation that is part of creativity.

As in Walcott's remark about cultures creating 'a god for each need', the ideal of self-sufficiency is a dream of freedom; it defeats the tragic determinism of history, unlocking the vision of a fresh start. Crusoe is essentially a maker, a poietes, used by Walcott to present art as a craft, an honourable toil, requiring patience and humility, and to initiate a Caribbean aesthetics in which remoteness from the metropolitan world can be reconceived not as marginalization but as blessing. In the poems Crusoe has special significance as a Job-like over-reacher brought to humility on the purgatory of his island, but he is given a different political resonance in Pantomime by Harry Trewe's pairing with Jackson Philip, and the inversions and ironizations which the play spins in its Anasi-like games, its carnivalesque testing of Defoe's (and western culture's) assumptions. Its destination is the calypso wisdom 'It go be man to
man, and we go do it fine’, which Bridget Jones describes as ‘a genuine shared humanity’, or the ‘I-an-I’ model threading through this study.

The Ulysses myth, in turn, is so powerful because it addresses head-on the fundamental narratives of human existence, of the tension between desire for home and for elsewhere, and of the desire for the erotic other. As Wilson Harris has said of it, ‘Ulysses is a spectral figure, like a star, the light-year that comes. We cannot seize the origination of that star.’ Ulysses appealed to Walcott from his youth as a figure on which to project himself, recommending itself to him as the archetype of the seafarer whose home is an island. There is no ‘heraldic symbol’ for Joyce’s Ulysses, Leopold Bloom, he has said, but the ‘single stroke of a sail against a horizontal line’ means Odysseus. His own early self-dedication to remaining in the archipelago invited an easy self-identification with the Ithaca-seeker. The myth derives its power from an oppositional dynamic: it has ‘that simple rhythm’, he has said, that ‘any departure from an island means a return to it’. As well as the Homeric Odyssey, it can be argued that the myth encodes an even more ancient story of a phallic hero, as I argue elsewhere. His first long epic, Another Life, which matches Castries to Troy and alludes to Joyce’s re-visioning of the Ulysses myth, is less conspicuously Homeric than Omeros, but Lloyd Brown has made a persuasive case for a Ulyssean reading of the earlier poem as that in which (by 1978 at least) ‘the odyssey motif is most sustained, and most personal in its development’: ‘The poet himself is such a “lone Odysseus”, the one whose personality and individual development dominate and give shape to the work as a whole.’ In this he is symbolic of his community: ‘the poet’s odyssey is a microcosm of the West Indian’s quest for wholeness and creativity, and in turn, that quest represents what the New World experience can and should be.’ The triumphant celebration of the young artists in Another Life reflects the concluding action of Homer’s Odyssey: they are, in a powerful paradox, ‘firm / as conquerors who had discovered home’. The defiantly solitary Ulysses figure of ‘The Schooner Flight’ develops the double identification of the artist with the sea-wanderer, fleeing failed relationships. Moving down the islands, he becomes the Dantean figure of the mental voyager, free of all relationships except that with his God, and homeless, but for the prospect of his reunion with the divine in death. Like Dante’s Ulysses, he anticipates his ultimate shipwreck. The sexual dilemma between loyalty and novelty is examined repeatedly in Walcott’s work not just through the solitary Ulyssean persona, however, but through a diversity of gender relationships (of ‘I-an-I’
pairings), in which the women are often conspicuously dominant. In the play of the *Odyssey* the Homeric givens are followed with a firm twist towards a feminist awareness. As Penelope emerges as a strong woman, so Ulysses shifts towards an Anansi-like resilient humanity (as discussed below), the solitary survivor, who yearns for re-integration to his family. Overall, Walcott demonstrates both the durability and the flexibility of the myth, which addresses profound questions of masculine identity.

The paradox of the Homer is that the impulse 'away', to seek out experience and the new self, is so finely balanced with the impulse 'home', to eat bread with the wife, defining the self by old affective ties, that the journey, nominally of return home, in effect resists its own closure (all the more powerful emotionally when eventually accomplished). Writing after Dante and Tennyson who develop a Ulysses like a transgressive Adam, a Crusoe defying the law of the father, Walcott builds *Omeros* on the twin perception that both the voyager and the one who stays at home are equally capable of creativity, open to epiphany, and susceptible to salvation. The inward journey of meditation is just as much of an odyssey as that of travel and bodily experience. Achille, who never leaves his island waters, is an epiphanic spiritual traveller, as is the ubiquitous Omeros, who is all the time the blind Seven Seas, stuck in the St.Lucian village. Plunkett's metaphysical journey is an allegorically Dantean drive to the volcanic core of the island, but, like an Icarus (symbol of intellectual daring), he has also tried flight and fallen (in his war experience, and by implication in the Nietzschean daring of the imperial project, which traumatizes the perpetrator as surely as it cripples its victims). After revisiting the 'old' world from the 'new', Plunkett returns sure of his place in the island which is now home, like Achille, who tries to find a new base for his life, by circling the island and in his dream-journey to Africa, but returns only to where he began. The pattern encoded in the poem's narrative structures is set out towards the end in the words of Omeros/Seven Seas, telling of the fundamental paradox that there are 'two journeys / in every odyssey, one on worried water, // the other crouched and motionless, without noise.' The writer, ultimately, is a Ulyssian voyager required to find within himself the resources for survival and creation: 'For both, the "I" is a mast.' The poem's epiphany is that

\[
\text{the right journey} \\
\text{is motionless; as the sea moves round an island} \\
\text{that appears to be moving, love moves round the heart} –
\]
with encircling salt, and the slowly travelling hand knows it returns to the port from which it must start. The parallelism is eventually viewed as a difference, not only of kind, but of moral value: the meditative, inner journey is, in the end, 'right', and contains a profound acceptance of the return which is death.

It is a passage which resonates back over Walcott's oeuvre, over his early Crusoe personae, and his later figure of the 'fortunate traveller', of great philosophical significance, but also communicating a particularly Caribbean tension. It gives a limpid picture of the metaphysical importance to Walcott of the island. It is not only 'home' in the familiar domestic sense, but is a complex symbol of identity and the potentiality of community. As he says in the Nobel lecture, the 'traveller cannot love, since love is stasis and travel is motion.' The devastating sense of the migrant's self-separation implicit in this is followed, however, by a corollary: 'If he returns to what he loved in a landscape and stays there, he is no longer a traveller but in stasis and concentration, the lover of that particular part of earth, a native.' The blessed persona of the in-place individual – whether nested in a geography, a relationship, or a faith – is never beyond reach. Walcott's comfort is his conviction that man is never beyond redemption. His mythopoeic engagement with the social animal, man, thus casts a fresh light on ancient ideas, as well as initiating new dreams. As the Husband says in his play Malcochon, or The Six in the Rain, 'I am not God, Monsieur Chantal. And not a beast neither...I am a fool with ordinary sins.' Malcochon was first performed by the St.Lucia Arts Guild, directed by Derek's twin brother Roderick, in 1959 when they were twenty-nine. The brothers were already showing a precocious maturity, aware that their situation as St.Lucians was a rich endowment, placing them at the hub of the world's myths. The task of mythifying the Caribbean condition was therefore no parochial affair, but a project with ripples spreading back across the world's oceans.

Even the one continent which might have seemed to have no part in the Caribbean story, Australia, has now been written into Derek Walcott's latest collection of poetry, The Bounty, which mythifies an obscured history of connections. The idea of 'bounty' is given many-layered significance – myth as persistence, insistence, and resistance – which includes Captain Bligh's ship, carrying breadfruit seedlings from the Pacific to feed the New World's slaves, as well as providing a St. Lucian joke, as Maya Jaggi observes, since The Bounty is a local brand of rum. Bountiful nature is
once again celebrated, but the complex cultural consequence in the Caribbean, Walcott has said, is that the 'truth of the reality of the noun "breadfruit"' took a long time to be accepted without embarrassment.\textsuperscript{147} His art is about recognizing the historical pain, but also about salving it with other perspectives. The bounty is many things: the ship which was the scene of the necessary mutiny of Fletcher Christian, nature's provision of food, the 'light's bounty on familiar things', the 'glittering simplicities' of the blessed location in the islands to which the 'blown tribes' have dispersed,\textsuperscript{148} and the bounty of love. In a mind-expanding mapping, space and time are transformed into a wholly mythic register: 'the soul's Australia is like the New Testament after the Old World, the code of an eye for an eye.'\textsuperscript{149} In \textit{Malcochon} the young poet gave voice to a sombre philosophy:

\begin{quote}
Like the staining of clear springs the mind of man,
In blood he must end as in blood he began
Like mist that rises from a muddy stream
Between beasthood and Godhead groping in a dream.\textsuperscript{150}
\end{quote}

Now as Walcott approaches seventy, little has changed except emphasis. His perception is still that the human condition is somewhere between beasthood and godhead, and groping; what is perhaps different is his emphasis on the dream, his clear sense that holding fast to the idea of 'bounty', as something both received and given, is the one thing that really matters – is the soul's Australia, its brave new world.
Part 2

CRAFT
'The smell of our own speech': The Tool of Language

_Caliban_: You taught me language; and my profit on't
Is, I know how to curse.

_Caliban_: 'Ban, 'Ban, Ca - Caliban,
Has a new master - get a new man.
Freedom, high-day! high-day, freedom! Freedom! high-day, freedom!
_[The Tempest, I.ii.363-4; II.ii. 197-200]_

'Language is the perfect instrument of empire' – as the bishop said to the Queen of Spain in 1492 (or so Peter Hulme reminds us). But to follow the quotation as I do is a reminder of the different registers of language and of the irony which their juxtaposition can produce. While the bishop can hardly have dreamt quite how his claim would prove true, it follows that language is also the perfect instrument of anti-imperialism. Walcott writes in _Omeros_, 'this language carries its cure' as well as 'its radiant affliction.' As Rushdie now famously put it, 'the empire writes back to the centre'. The reflexiveness of this may be resented but may be inevitable. Moi argues that 'We have to accept our position as already inserted into an order that precedes us and from which there is no escape. There is no other space from which we can speak: if we are able to speak at all, it will have to be within the framework of symbolic language.' Or, as in the epigraph Walcott used for his lecture 'The Poet in the Theatre', quoting Sweeney, 'I gotta use words when I talk to you.' But as he is at pains to point out, although language may be one of the givens of the world in which we inherit a place - a symbolic place in the symbolic order - we do not have a passive role in relation to that order. To return to Moi's phrase, there may be no escape from our starting position, but that does not mean that escape is not possible.

Walcott's revelation is that we can rewrite our position by altering the dynamic of the symbolic order; the past cannot be changed (although it can be revisioned), but from the present onwards we are able to speak our difference into the world of language. The binarism of dialectical speech with its emphasis on difference is, however, only one dimension of language practice. The separateness of the speaking
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‘I’ can be encompassed in a joint project. Theatre is one kind of collective utterance. Another is the praise-song, an African genre in which the poet as voice of the community expresses the collective praise. Much of Walcott’s work can be seen as a Caribbean version of praise-song. In a memorable phrase he speaks of ‘language’s desire to hold the loved world in its arms.’ He takes very seriously his responsibility, as artist, to the community he serves, and while he is a skilful combatant in the ‘robber talk’ mode of answering and outdoing existing discourses, he is also a visionary poet, whose praise-song celebrates his people’s place in the world and their special, spiritually-oriented wisdom. His tendency to choose a metrical language for this task should not be co-opted to a notion of eurocentrism, as his critics have sometimes argued. One of the reasons he has not written prose fiction, he says, is that the novel is ‘too much a single effort’, whereas verse invites audience participation, in the African tradition naturalized to the Caribbean: it can ‘actually affect metre... in the storyteller, because at the end of every line there’s an accommodating thing on the part of the audience listening, by making that sound. It can create metre.’ Even for the solitary reader, the felt exchange is there, and Walcott’s poetry often has the power to make us laugh out loud, as well as to weep with it, in its sense of the lachrimae rerum.

Walcott’s practice is heterodox: he engages with centrism, with the literary canon and with the symbols of hegemony, in order to subvert their dominance and inscribe his people’s difference. He seeks, in a powerful Proustian sensory image,

The smell of our own speech,
the smell of baking bread,
of drizzled asphalt, this
odorous cedar.

But since that difference also names likeness – different cultures share the smell of baking bread, for instance, and as Another Life puts it, “the taste of water is still shared everywhere” – a reciprocal movement is set up.

Having appropriated the speaking position the postcolonial writer names not only his own locus but the wider world, and not only his separateness within it but his sharing. He writes not for one side only of the Prospero/Caliban dynamic. Lamming speaks of ‘the Lie’ of Prospero’s self-presentation to Caliban which tricked him into subjection. If there is to be a future that has to be addressed: ‘If Prospero wants to demolish his own meaning, then he must find a new word, or alter his relation to the
Walcott's (controversial) generosity is to offer Prospero strategies to locate that novelty and that alterity. At the same time he is centrally and principally aware of the double relation of Caliban to language as Shakespeare presents it: 'the great beautiful irony in The Tempest is that the best poetry in The Tempest is spoken by Caliban.' For Walcott, the 'peak of Caliban's speech goes beyond what he himself says' about learning to curse, which should be seen as ironizing a particular address:

'You taught me language and I know how to curse you.' And that's the limit of that particular experience. But he could just as easily have said, 'You taught me language and my profit on it is I know how to praise', which would be just as natural, even more natural, than using his language to curse the person who taught him language.

The idea of writing as rite is developed to a distinctive conclusion: 'I think that since...the original idea of poetry was a votive idea of praise, a function to praise objects, to praise God, to praise whatever, that there's no distinction after a while between Prospero and Caliban.' This goes beyond Lamming's perception that Prospero 'hates and fears and needs Caliban'. The perception that an oppositional relationship can be resolved in a common purpose is central to Walcott's philosophy.

Benitez-Rojo explores some related ideas in a discussion of Guillen's work: Caliban and Prospero are 'double signs that do not manage to exclude each other mutually, since each would secretly like to be in the other's place'. Having posited this paradox, he argues that while it is 'easy to establish binary oppositions between them', on the other hand 'it's not hard to dismantle those oppositions in favor of a global ensemble of differences that might underwrite imperfect relations of coexistence in continuous transformation.' The 'ensemble' of differences is perhaps another way of approaching the idea of sameness in difference and difference within the same which informs the 'I-an-I' figure used in this study. Caribbean language provides a striking example of heteroglossia, distinctively in process, unfinished, evolving. As V.S.Naipaul said (perhaps surprisingly), as long ago as 1965, 'Culture is like language, ever developing. There is no right and wrong, no purity from which there is decline. Usage sanctions everything.' This is the essence of the Creole aesthetic. The resource of language for the Caribbean writer is a versatile tool. Calvin Bedient has said of Walcott's poetry that 'heterogeneity is with him a grace, almost a way...His powers long to travel and his sensibility enlarges everything to its widest limits... At the level of style alone Walcott offers God's plenty.' He revels in his
multiple language heritage, but uses it to demonstrate potentiality. Above all he shows that meanings are constantly created, and that there is no intrinsic link between signifier and signified. In unchaining the sign he liberates language to difference, not with the arcane hermeticism of Joyce’s language in *Finnegan’s Wake*, but with deceptively simple strategies of reinvesting words with meaning. He draws the mythified phrase ‘heart of darkness’, for instance, through a sequence of changes or ‘translations’ (as discussed below). The parallel hope is that as the signifier relates to the signified in shifting, alterable ways, so the relationships between individuals and social contexts can also be remade.

Like Caliban, Walcott has a double project, to create a counter-discourse, and to initiate new expression – utterance, in Caliban’s terms, polarized between curse and song, or between Caribbean *picong*¹⁶ and praise-song – but both halves of this are themselves multiples. There is a need to answer the discourse of power which was the tool of empire, but splitting off from this is the impulse to engage with his contemporaries’ resistance. Walcott is generally not interested in using language to curse. His counter-discourse opposing the power-abuses and racism of colonial (and neo-colonial) discourse is typically concerned to lead the wrong-headed to understanding and, hopefully, self-reform, and is conducted in a spirit of protest which is anguished rather than angry. On the other hand some of his most brilliantly vitriolic expression, his *picong*, has been addressed to those on his own ‘side’ whom he sees as traducing the project of reform. Factionalist in-fighting should not be regarded, however, as indicating any remoteness from the (linguistic) revolutionary cause. Rather the reverse. In the crucible of devising resistance, it is normal for the bitterest words to be reserved for colleagues. And as Walcott has pointed out, the Caribbean, like the Aegean, consists of intimate communities:

Remember in the Caribbean, that basically, no matter how big they are, those towns and villages, you can walk up to someone and call him a damn fool. I mean really, personally, call him an idiot. It’s very hard to do that in large cities, immense cities, where the power is remote. The possibility of change includes your ability to have a serious row with a minister over a drink or something. That is potential for change, and potential for making people realize that there is anger. I think that the immediacy of that kind of anger, which is almost pure vitriolic personal abuse, is much better than, say, that distance of remotely criticizing something through critical judgement or through sociological essays.
It is a playwright’s wisdom applied to a profound morality: ‘The more constricted the passion within the arena that it’s in, the more possibility there is.’

Walcott’s difference from all but the most creative minds of the Caribbean, however, is in his perception that the most secure counter to the binary discourse of empire which deploys alterity to justify material exploitation is not a different binary discourse, but one which transcends such classifications altogether. Shakespeare gives Caliban self-expression in English which goes way beyond imprecation. He is a poet, who makes song of his own desires, and celebrates his island and himself. Walcott refuses the hierarchy which Prospero’s discourse parades, which postulates the inferiority of Caliban. To him there is ‘no distinction’; both are men, imperfect, but most perfectible through their poetry. This is the ultimate gift of language, that as a field in which to articulate desire it enables us to approach our best selves and each other. As his friend Joseph Brodsky wrote admiringly of Walcott,

He acts out of the belief that language is greater than its master or its servants, that poetry, being its supreme version, is therefore an instrument of self-betterment for both; i.e., that it is a way to gain an identity superior to the confines of class, race, or ego. This is just plain common sense; this is also the most sound program of social change there is.

Walcott’s metaphor is of the ‘tidal advance of the metropolitan language, of its empire... It is the language which is the empire, and great poets are not its vassals but its princes.’ To Seamus Heaney Walcott has ‘imperious linguistic gifts’: ‘There is a magnificence and pride about this art... that rebukes the old British notion of “Commonwealth literature”: Walcott possesses English more deeply and sonorously than most of the English themselves.’ Although Heaney shows his sensitivity to the centrism concealed in the literary establishment’s patronizing attitude to literatures not from Britain or America, Walcott’s philosophy leads us to a realization that the term ‘commonwealth’ can be used differently, as Gonzalo uses it, uncontaminated by hierarchy. The ‘Commonwealth idea’, he has said, is ‘one of the greatest achievements... of conventional history’:

If one thinks of the Commonwealth as an extension of the empire then it’s ridiculous; it’s just a perpetuation of the idea of the empire. But if one thinks of it as a renewal of some kind of community of nations who are very diverse and are not linked simply by the idea of a Queen, but by the commonality of language, and by a commonality of experience that that language provides, it’s a terrific thing, and it’s a worthwhile thing.
As Brodsky says, ‘language itself is an epic device’; everything Walcott touches ‘mushrooms with reverberations and perspectives, like magnetic waves whose acoustics are psychological, whose implications are echo-like.’

The accolades have been extraordinary from the beginning, and Walcott has always responded with great humility: ‘if I see my name, as I have seen recently, next to names that I revere, I really feel quite embarrassed. I feel I shouldn’t be in that company.’ When Robert Graves said in 1964 that Walcott handled English ‘with a closer understanding of its inner magic than most (if not any) of his English-born contemporaries’, Walcott knew it was a ‘tremendous compliment’: ‘I remember I came home from the beach and saw this letter from Jonathan Cape in which Graves had said that and I was astonished. What can you say, if someone the stature of Graves says that about you? You just swallow, and tears come to your eyes, and you say, My God, that’s it! - you know, there’s nothing more to say.’ Joseph Brodsky in 1986 recognized in one memorable phrase both Walcott’s stature and the difficulties he is up against, when he spoke of ‘the unwillingness of the critical profession to admit that the great poet of the English language is a black man.’ In Another Life Walcott ironizes his position as a ‘prodigy of the wrong age and colour’. The Nobel citation, made four hundred years after Columbus’s first landfall in the Caribbean, spoke of him as the ‘great poet’ of Caribbean culture, with three abiding loyalties, to the Caribbean, to the English language, and to ‘his African origin’ – a list in which the last seems subtly more a marker of the Swedish Academy’s conditioning than of Walcott’s priorities. Heaney’s choice of title for his essay on Walcott is a compact signifier. Where Brodsky had called his Walcott essay ‘The Sound of the Tide’, Heaney chooses ‘The Murmur of Malvern’, which is an intertextual signifier, foregrounding not Walcott’s exoticism as seen from the white-dominated literary establishment, but his in-placeness in the English literary tradition, since the Malvern allusion is to Langland’s Piers Plowman, echoed in the opening of ‘The Schooner Flight’. Heaney sees Walcott as a sharer of the same tradition as himself, each with his own wry angle on the political meaning of Englishness, but each with a clear and incontrovertible knowledge that all that has been written in the language is his to enjoy and to use, from the beginning. As a young literature-lover Walcott was less interested in historicizing what he read than in the craft of how it was done:
The dust isn’t on the language. The enjoyment is there, and I think the luck of that was knowing that poetry was its own element in terms of time, and was not manifested through epochs and schools and changes of style and so on. I think that has made me look stubborn or dated or whatever, but it doesn’t bother me, you know.28

The refusal is part of his revolutionary refusal to accept any externally imposed limits, whether social or aesthetic, a stance which has led directly to the epic sweep of an oeuvre which makes so much other writing look parochial. In Another Life he accounts for the poem’s ambitious tone by saying, ‘Provincialism loves the pseudo-epic’,29 but the self-deprecation is unnecessary: his work is epic.

Since Joyce’s A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man we have learned to see the languages of empire as contaminated with the discourse of power which they have contained: ‘My soul frets in the shadow of his language,’ says Stephen Dedalus.30 The cultural politics pose real dilemmas: ‘The urge towards the metropolitan language,’ Walcott has said, ‘was the same as political deference to its centre.’31 Joyce’s Stephen thinks of the Englishman he is speaking to, ‘How different are the words, home, Christ, ale, master, on his lips and on mine!’ Walcott has said of Joyce that he ‘felt very close to the whole bitterness that is there’, but at the same time that the ‘only god left to Joyce really is language – a sacral, self-surrendering, monastic idea.’ His achievement was to go beyond Shakespeare and Homer in undertaking ‘a history of language...a history of the origins of language.’32 Walcott describes Caribbean people as ‘ashamed of their speech’; like actors, they ‘awaited a language’. They had language, multiple registers of language, but the domestic language was felt to be unworthy, and the hierarchized metropolitan language was alien and reinscribed historic humiliations. What was needed was ‘the forging of a language that went beyond mimicry, a dialect which had the force of revelation as it invented names for things.’33 What was needed was a demonstration that the people already had a language which could express the fullness of their subjectivity – that the centrist scorn which induced them to feel ashamed of the Creole end of their language continuum was just racism and class prejudice, and that the metropolitan language which was alien and pejorative could be used differently, was open to metamorphosis, the stiff case of its historic meanings shed so that the rainbow imago of its diverse global communities could unfold new wings to the sun. Walcott in a climactic passage of Another Life echoing Joyce names the history of language in his own community’s new language:
O sun, on that morning, 
did I not mutter towards your 
holy, repetitive resurrection, "Hare, 
hare Krishna," and then, politely, 
"Thank you, life"? Not 
to enter the knowledge of God 
but to know that His name 
had lain too familiar on my tongue, 
as this one would say "bread," 
or "sun," or "wine," I staggered, 
shaken at my remorse, as one 
would say "bride," or "bread," 
or "sun," or "wine," to believe.

Unlike the Joyce passage, here it is not alienation which is the subject, but over-familiarity reducing the sense of the sacred: the language is shown to be already fully possessed in his own culture. Walcott sees himself as like Césaire in reinvesting a metropolitan language with specifically Caribbean meaning: he translates, 'Storm, I would say. River, I would command. Hurricane, I would say. I would utter "leaf." Tree. I would be drenched in all the rains, soaked in all the dews.' The sense of the naming as a sacred rite, as an analogue of creation, pervades the work of Caribbean poets, and is, as Brathwaite has reminded us, an essentially African approach to the Word. Walcott writes 'this new Word / was here, attainable / to my own hand, / in the deep country it found the natural man, / generous, rooted.' The values enshrined in this language are essentially humanist.

As well as claiming his own language’s difference, Joyce recognizes its derivation: 'The language in which we are speaking is his before it is mine.' The chronicity point orders sequence but not necessarily value. Only in a culture where origin is privileged through seniority does the remark invite a reading of Irish English as 'lesser'. The englishes (to borrow the Ashcroft, Griffiths and Tiffin usage) which are now in use around the globe are not in a deferential relationship to British English. As Walcott points out, what is needed is the 'faith of using the old names anew', a process which is already under way: Caribbean people 'gave the old names life' and 'charged an old language, from the depth of suffering, with awe.' He sees his own work as part of a larger American project: 'the inflexion of language is not English-accented but American-accented, and what we really are speaking, however defensively the English may feel about it, is we are all speaking American.'
5. The Tool of Language

American English, he says, has the ‘vitality and vigour of dialect tone, of colloquial immediacy’, which was found in Homeric language. With its ‘high colloquiality’ and its ‘bad puns’ Homer’s Greek ‘is already energized by a kind of vulgarity, not by a kind of pomposity.’ Vulcan’s task to ‘hammer at the shield / of language till the wound and the word fit’ requires the finely controlled energy of the steelpan tuner. The world, which includes all texts and all art, is the oil-drum, the raw material of the given, which can be made to give new music. The making of art is always a kind of translation, the American aesthetic distinctively peeling off the crustings of age and status to return something new-minted. The transatlantic translator of Virgil restarts time with the new language, as he ‘recites in American: “Arma virumque cano…”’

The phrase ‘high colloquiality’ is useful as a term for Walcott’s own linguistic practice, whether in verse or prose. It reconciles the twin dimensions of tone which are so often mythified in academic discourse as binary opposites.

Bakhtin’s distinction between the language of epic and of the novel, for instance, is based on such a false dichotomy. In Bakhtin’s analysis, epic language provides a ‘monolithic and closed world’, not the effect which Walcott seeks. His language is an extreme polyglossia, combining disparate styles and registers. As Bakhtin notes, polyglossia, which for him is indicative of the novel, had ‘always existed (it is more ancient than pure, canonic monoglossia), but it had not been a factor in literary creation.’ He goes on to pinpoint its effect:

The new cultural and creative consciousness lives in an entirely polyglot world. The world becomes polyglot, once and for all and irreversibly. The period of national languages, coexisting but closed and deaf to each other, comes to an end. Languages throw light on each other: one language can, after all, see itself only in the light of another language.

It follows that those cultures and those individuals who inherit plural language traditions are in a better position to ‘throw light’ than others. Bakhtin’s perception that there is a kind of dialectical relationship between languages, as systems, illuminates Walcott’s practice (which goes beyond Bakhtin’s argument in demonstrating the use of polyglossia in epic, as discussed below). He ranges across all of the worlds available to him. John Figueroa has identified him as the first West Indian writer ‘willing to use all the resources of his culture’. Walcott demonstrates that standard modern aesthetic assumptions, such as that elevated language was possible in the Elizabethan and
The particular heritage of language comes down to very small identity groups. 'What I hope I have never done is to go away from the sound of my own language', says Walcott. Remaining faithful not just to his language but to the distinctiveness of its sound has been his lifelong project. He emphasizes the diversity of language practice in the Caribbean, defining himself as a Caribbean writer but within that group 'specifically as a St. Lucian writer'. Language is historically produced:

> each Caribbean island has its own... history, and has a variety of linguistic experience, he says: 'I don’t think people are aware of the fact that within each island there is a whole insular experience of language and its own history, that can vary from island to island. The speech in Jamaica is different from that of Barbados and St. Lucia and Trinidad and so on.'

This heteroglossia is a rich resource for the writer. Readers need an awareness that Caribbean language has many facets, as has every other dimension of the region; there is no place for a flattened concept of the Caribbean as some kind of monad. Walcott offers Trinidadian language as an extreme case:

> one look at the ideal in this multi-linguistic situation would be Port of Spain, in which there are the Chinese, the Lebanese, Indian - Muslim and Hindu - and so on, so that even if they’re all speaking in a Trinidad English dialect, it means that every race represented there has residues, or has an active language going on that may be spoken by grandparents or by people domestically. And that’s what I find very exciting about Trinidad, that variety that is there.

As Walcott said in his Nobel lecture, 'I am only one-eighth the writer I might have been had I contained all the fragmented languages of Trinidad', in which Port of Spain is 'a writer’s heaven' with its 'downtown babel of shop signs and streets, mongrelized, polyglot, a ferment without history'. The characteristic cancelling of history repeats a familiar prioritization, and is not incompatible with the earlier historiography. Of course the linguistic present is the product of a particular past: the point is that that past pales into insignificance compared with the 'ferment' of the now. A few lines earlier he recognized that 'Deprived of their original language, the captured and indentured tribes create their own, accreting and secreting fragments of an old, an epic vocabulary, from Asia and from Africa, but to an ancestral, an ecstatic rhythm in the blood that cannot be subdued by slavery or indenture.'
that the language practices which have arisen from that history, in which the ‘original language dissolves from the exhaustion of distance like fog trying to cross an ocean’ are vigorously creative: ‘The dialects of my archipelago seem as fresh to me as those raindrops’, or, as he put it earlier in ‘What The Twilight Says’, using a deliberately non-standard idiom, ‘The power of the dew still shakes off of our dialects.’ The image of the Caribbean as echo chamber needs to be transformed so that it evokes not diminishing resonance from a remote source, but novel sound-encounters in a generation chamber, a new hybrid location inducing catalytic change. As with language, so with the metalanguage of intertextuality: the thought and art of the world are the artist’s material as much as the natural or socio-political world of which s/he is a part, as is discussed below in relation to ‘The Fortunate Traveller’. As Walcott has put it wryly, ‘Empires are smart enough to steal from the people they conquer. They steal the best things. And the people who have been conquered should have enough sense to steal back.’ The signifying moment is always new, and always symbolizes opportunity, whether couched in the oppositional language of Caliban, or in his other language practice, of blessing by naming.

For Walcott as a St.Lucian there is a heritage of two indigenous vernaculars, English and French Creole (or patois) as well as two standard European languages, in constant interplay with one another and not surprisingly producing new and unique meanings between them. The Caribbean language continuum for him thus has twin tracks in English and French, with complicated and interesting junctions. Conspicuously his sense of the identity conferred by a particular geography is differentiated by language: he repeatedly recites the St.Lucian names for nature – trees, birds and flowers – in patois, for instance, names which are ‘suppler, greener, more morning-stirred than English’. As he put it in Another Life, ‘certain roots refused English’. French Creole is central to his cultural identity. In ‘Sainte Lucie’ it comes naturally: ‘moi c’est gens Ste.Lucie’ is followed by a whole section presented as a patois folk song, which John Figueroa has claimed authoritatively is Walcott’s composition. As well as writing both St.Lucia and Trinidad into his Nobel lecture, he also offered a patois song to the international Stockholm audience as marker of his multiple linguistic heritage. St.Lucia, as he points out, is ‘closer to Martinique and Guadeloupe – linguistically, certainly – than it is, say, to Barbados.’

The French Creole experience, he says, ‘is something that’s still not fully mined in Caribbean writing... I don’t just mean the dialect, I mean the whole feel of
that experience. It's just beginning to be defined, I think. It is evident that he has seriously considered writing more in *patois*: "I thought of this at one point of my life, that if I were writing in French there'd also be an audience for French, or for French Creole, so there's another whole unexplored area, I think, in me that could perhaps... I don't think it will ever develop, because I'm, perhaps, past that now, but certainly in terms of translating the plays that I have written in the English, French-accented dialect, that that could transfer quite easily to Martinique, to Guadeloupe and to Haiti, for instance." But the idea of writing in French Creole has not gone away. In 1996 he said, "I would like at some time to write poems or songs in Creole. I did it once." In a recent address he has explained how central the French Creole is to *Ti-Jean and his Brothers*. The play is based on a verbal joke, as the story was narrated to him by a fellow schoolboy, which "doesn't work in an English translation". His first conception of *Omeros*, in fact, was as a poem in French Creole: "I tried to do it in *patois*... I ran out of vocabulary. That's ignorance of the language." There is also the difficulty of class and race difference. The St.Lucian fishermen he was drawn to from his youth as his 'material' were "blasphemous and bitter", a 'sect which had evolved its own signs, a vocation which excluded the stranger'. There are problems in 'putting it down on paper': "I don't want it to become a literary exercise." The task is to get the 'melody'; the danger is 'talking with an affected accent', or 'pretending to be somebody else, including pretending to be somebody that sociologically I'm not – I am not a fisherman on a beach in Gros Ilet, that's not what I am. I am a professor of poetry at Boston University." The worry about appropriation and authenticity shows a commendable humility, but his evocation of a French Creole environment and his use of *patois* in *Omeros* and in other recent poems such as 'The Light of the World' demonstrate complete assurance, producing extremely subtle poetic resonances. When at the start of *Omeros* Walcott glosses the exposition of Philoctete's wound, 'Moin blessé', with 'I am blest / wif this wound', acute observers may note that the English which ought to be a translation appears to be more than that. It seems to be an interpretation of the wound's spiritual meaning. What is less obvious is that the *patois* 'blessé' has acquired a special meaning in St. Lucia from the influence of the similar-sounding English word 'bless', and that the 'translation' is just that: in St. Lucian *patois* 'moin blessé' does indeed mean 'I am blest with this wound.' Reading the *patois* from the metropolitan position of Standard French is to misconstrue. In fact, St.Lucian usage has returned both terms, in the English and French vernaculars, to their original
sacred meaning: 'bless' in English is cognate with the word 'blood', and meant originally 'to sacrifice', while the French 'blessé', 'wounded', has drawn closer to the idea of holiness. The mystery of the holiness of suffering is thus once again revealed in language, a syncretist re-creation, unique to St. Lucia and the direct result of the tragic history and allegedly 'nothing' culture of the islands. This is the 'faith of using the old names anew'; it is a collective act, not just that of the shaman-artist – and Caribbeans have a 'total right of access to all the languages of the Caribbean,' with no boundaries. The plenitude of language, in which each island has its own 'tone', gives the poet access to a kind of 'orchestration.'

Sometimes, however, oral difference can be obscured on the page, as with the word 'conch', for example. It appears in Walcott's work as local object of natural beauty, but also as symbol. It is a sacrificial emblem of life from death: like the paschal lamb it dies soundlessly but gives voice in death (like an Orphic head) from its 'pink palate'. Used in the Caribbean to border graves, with its sculptural form like the female vulva it becomes a fertility symbol representing the defeat of death. In recent Standard English, however, usage has changed: most people now pronounce 'conch' phonetically, although the Shorter Oxford Dictionary, published in 1933, records only the 'conk' pronunciation as correct (the 1976 edition of the Concise Oxford Dictionary gives the -k pronunciation as an alternative). Walcott follows Caribbean usage in pronouncing it 'conk', which to an English ear returns its potential as symbol to the foreground, as it has a vernacular meaning in Britain as 'nose', and increasingly, by extension and by contagion with expressions such as to 'conk out' meaning 'lose consciousness', 'head'. It is yet another reminder both of the plurality of language influence in the Caribbean (the retention of the -k ending in St. Lucia reflects the word's pronunciation in French, conque, and in the romance languages, as in the Greek root) and of the way in which new meanings continually emerge. It matters because a line in a poem may have not only a different sound but a different rhythm. In one of the poems in Midsummer, for instance, the sentence (which probably begins with an editor's 'amendment'), 'Conches move over the sea-floor', when read by Walcott begins with the monosyllabic 'Conks', which weights the line with the molluscs' slowness.

Some of the terms which Walcott knows will be unfamiliar he glosses. His awareness of the multiplicity of his readers' starting positions is acute, and he will frequently mediate the idiosyncrasies of usage by explanation or translation: 'ciseau /
the scissor-bird’. This is an essentially well-mannered practice, showing sensitivity to the pluralism of his readers’ cultures (the implicit corollary of his assertion of the pluralism of his own aesthetic), as well as foregrounding for ideological reasons the formation and diverse practice of language itself. He suggests the vernacular rather than following it exactly, a practice which makes the language impenetrable to outsiders: ‘One need not be faithful,’ he has said. Sometimes, however, he seems to get caught out. The first question to Walcott from a member of the audience after a reading from Omeros at the National Theatre, London, was ‘What are ”coffles”?’ one of the words in the passage read. After some difficulty in making out the question, Walcott looked surprised and said, ‘Feet-cuffs, chains for the feet.’ It was a particularly poignant illustration of selective memory in language: in the seat of empire there was no memory of the tool of oppression, but it was well remembered in the former slave colony. Other terms have a precise period resonance in an international vernacular, but will increasingly come to need footnotes. The procession of the language of empire which is used to mark Maud’s death in Omeros, for instance, includes the phrase ‘tinkles in the jordan’, for the sound of a china chamber-pot in use, a familiar usage from the pre-war period, which must have spread throughout the British empire.

For whether he is working in a complex metre or free verse, Walcott regards his guiding principle as to be true to the tone of the language, which is oral: ‘There is no difference in the Caribbean between oral and written. No matter what the anthologists and the anthropologists may say, they are the same thing. Nor are there two languages; there’s only one, one melody.’ The ‘sound of the vernacular’, he says, is ‘something which doesn’t need the voice to go up on a platform’. It is therefore the natural vehicle for a democratic art. All great poetry, to Walcott, has an oral element – ‘You have to imagine... that somebody is capable of such speech’ – but the vernacular carries ‘a true tone of the human voice in poetry.’ Some of Walcott’s influential first encounters with other Caribbean writing were oral, through Henry Swanzy’s BBC World Service programme, Caribbean Voices, and even some of the most hieratic of written literature was first heard rather than read: recordings of Eliot reading The Four Quartets, for instance. Walcott was of that first generation for whom audio-technology was a norm, telescoping in some ways the distance from metropolitan centres of articulation, and enabling the islands of the archipelago to speak to each other.
The notion of Standard English (or French) is, of course, a centrist construct, its normative influence tending away from the poetic, and from the creative use of language in general, and tending to down-value the local and regional. The vernacular polarity is characterised by Brathwaite as having a 'person-centred, fluid/tidal rather than ideal/structured nature'. Although engaged in a rhetoric of promoting the oral tone as marker of Caribbean literature, Brathwaite is quite clear that the distinctive virtue of the region's creativity comes from drawing on all points of the continuum:

To confine our definitions of literature to written texts in a culture that remains oral in most of its people proceedings, is as limiting as its opposite: trying to define Caribbean literature as essentially oral - like eating avocado without its likkle salt.

Extraordinary linguistic innovation such as that of Rastafarian speech, which 'flows directly from Rastafari philosophy and expresses a fundamental relationship of humans to nature and the universe,' is the extreme version of a norm of creativity. It performs both of the roles of Caliban's language, in opposing a damaging norm and inventing an alternative: it offers both 'I-an-I' and 'Babylon', which Rohlehr defines as 'a portmanteau word which conveys the action of an unfair system of distribution; commercialism, and the subjection of human value to market value.' Walcott draws on Rastafarian language in *O Babylon!* and on a number of different local Caribbean vernaculars and class and group usages, particularly in his plays, yet a critical rhetoric has grown up which presents him as a writer predominantly uninterested in or hostile to the orality option. Concessions are made to his more recent experiments with it, but only as a refinement of the overall case of his fundamental antipathy. Rohlehr, for instance, argues that 'Walcott instinctively resists the pressure of the oral tradition, making concessions to it only when it has through its own efforts gained in depth and dimension,' while Chamberlin's assertion that 'The use of dialect did not come easily for Walcott' is seriously wide of the mark.

From the very beginning of his career as a writer, Walcott in fact drew on the whole language continuum which he had inherited, just as much as did Brathwaite (who tends to be set up as the opposite to Walcott in this dialectic). The poetry of early plays such as *Ti-Jean and his Brothers* shows a remarkably inventive approach to St. Lucian vernacular speech, as vehicle for a literature which is already epic in intent and achievement. As a sustained deployment of the vernacular medium *Ti-Jean*
is actually more polarised on the Creole Continuum than Brathwaite's *Arrivants* trilogy, which mixes Standard English with the vernacular. Walcott contests the language politics which sets up false choices between orality and the literary, split on class and race lines, and ironizes his own position between them as 'jumped on by both sides for pretentiousness or playing white'. He is seen as 'the mulatto of style. The traitor. The assimilator.' In recent years the terms in which the metropolitan literary establishment has tended to couch its praise have exacerbated the problem at home: the more America and Europe have co-opted his work to an elitist model, the harder it has been for fellow Caribbeans to see it differently. The choice was false because both languages, that of the folk and that of standard English, were given, were Caribbean, and were there to be used. The morality of his inclusiveness is spelled out: 'If the language was contemptible, so was the people.'

The characteristically American refusal of monoglossia and of Europe's discourses of power brings the result that although the American epic may be on an ambitious scale it tends to be saved from over-reaching itself by internal diversity leading to irony. Bakhtin identifies the 'multi-styled genre' as typically ironic, and part of a process to 'bring the world closer and familiarize it in order to investigate it fearlessly and freely.' Caribbean culture is distinctively self-ironizing. Benitez-Rojo defines the Caribbean novel and poem as 'projects for ironizing a set of values taken as universal.' Its folk forms, such as calypso and carnivalesque 'robber talk', make extravagant rhetorical play across the pleasure-ground of language. Walcott sees Caribbean rhetoric politically:

It's historically inevitable that any suppressed utterance, as happened in slavery, when it does find release is going to find very voluble release. The hundreds of years of slavery in the Caribbean means that somebody was saying to the people "Don't talk", and when that ban, virtually - mental or otherwise - is released, there's going to be a lot of talk. Whatever's been repressed and prevented is going to suddenly explode.

*Picong* ('piquant', 'sharp, hot'), as noted above, is the Trinidadian term for the language of abuse elevated to an art form, a specifically regional cultural marker which Walcott demonstrates in a number of his poems, such as 'The Spoiler's Return'. His ability to catch a precise vernacular tone in a single ironic phrase is evidence of an acute ear. In *Omeros*, for instance, he deploys not just the vernacular of the Caribbean, but the exactly caught language of upwardly mobile lower middle
class England in the speech of Dennis Plunkett, and mocks the racist portrayal of 'black talk' in America in his satiric response to Melville's quoted *Moby Dick*:

Heah's Cap'n Melville on de whiteness ob de whale -
"Having for the imperial colour the same imperial hue
giving the white man ideal mastership over every dusky tribe."
Lawd, Lawd, Massa Melville, what could a nigger do
but go down dem steps in de dusk you done describe?

In another compact and resonant juxtaposition in *Omeros* he makes Odysseus's crew speak to him in the Caribbean vernacular, 'This is we Calypso, / Captain, who treat we like swine', but makes Odysseus reply in archaic English, a kind of 'Captain Marryat' language of sea-adventure fiction: 'Cap'n, boy? Beg mercy / o' that breeze for a change'. As Bakhtin says, languages can only look at themselves in the light of another; that which is ironised can be evaluated. Even when his diction is apparently formal, however, Walcott's tone is nearly always oral, and paradoxically his adoption of the hexameter for *Omeros* enables him to work more rather than less easily with the rhythms and structures typical of spoken language, as the above examples demonstrate. As a playwright he says he does not start with a plot: his script 'just comes out of somebody, a voice, and then another person answers, and something begins to grow around it.' The voice itself is an aesthetic.

The critical tendency to divide Walcott the poet from Walcott the dramatist leads to distortion of his work: the projects are twin, and he is as much a champion of poetry in the theatre as he is a manipulator of the unfolding sequence of his poetry as drama, and an orality of tone permeates both. It is true that the bulk of his use of the vernacular has been in his drama, but this alone would be sufficient to warrant calling him a great Caribbean poet in the oral tradition if he had written nothing else. As it is, he has produced in addition an extraordinary corpus of poetry. A small but spectacular minority of his poems are voiced entirely in the vernacular, while a significant proportion of them draw on the orality end of the continuum within a Standard English framework. The early versions of the sonnet sequence 'Tales of the Islands', for instance, show him experimenting with catching the intonations of speech in written language, which is essentially a dramatist's art. The 1962 collection *In a Green Night* contains other orally inflected poems, such as 'Parang' which is entirely in a vernacular voice. Of his longer poems, two have also been voiced in a sustained vernacular, 'The Schooner Flight' and 'The Spoiler's Return', both remarkable proof of
the oral language's capacity, if proof were needed, while others incorporate passages with an oral tone, or quasi-dramatic vernacular voices. Both of the long epics make striking use of the vernacular, particularly Omeros, which opens with it, and most memorably, has God speak to Achille in his own language. Some critics' reluctance to acknowledge Walcott's contribution to the region's poetry of orality seems to be motivated more by disapproval of his parallel engagement with the literary tradition than by objective assessment.

In the Nobel lecture, which sets out in effect a Caribbean aesthetic, Walcott gives thanks for 'the benediction that is celebrated, a fresh language and a fresh people'. His is an inclusive language, which attempts to reflect Caribbean variety but in so doing reflects the world's variety which it contains. The modernists were the first to assemble fragments of languages, quotations, snatches of quasi-dramatic speech and of popular song, and elements of different discourses; and to the extent that Eliot and Pound were its leaders, the modernist project was to a significant degree American. The 'fragments' which, Eliot writes in The Waste Land, 'I have shored against my ruins' are aesthetic parallels to the ancestrally secreted 'fragments' which Walcott describes in his Nobel lecture. His own use of this duality of cultural 'quotation', a kind of 'translation', can be illustrated from his references in Another Life to the operatic aria 'O Paradiso'. At the surface level the phrase is an invocation to paradise, in a language associated in anglophone culture with lyricism, that of an art-rich Italy which as a young man he 'flung round my shoulders like a robe'. In fact the opera it comes from, Meyerbeer's L'Africaine was written in French, so the form invoked is already a translation. It represents first an act of memory: in his childhood Gigli's recording was popular with his elders who owned gramophones. But it records not only the personal nostalgia for lost childhood: it evokes a complex artistic moment, in which the art of the past, the art of a remote continent, the art of the historical oppressors, is co-opted to expressing a collective feeling of identification with the Caribbean location. It is evident from Walcott's introduction to his choice of the recording for BBC Radio's Desert Island Discs that his community related to it as an expression of delight in their own St.Lucian 'paradise'. That Meyerbeer's opera displays an imprecise history and geography is no bar to that self-identification. The plot in fact tells of one of the first European explorers, Vasco da Gama, landing in an unspecified territory and of his romance with a local beauty. There are Asiatic overtones, but the opera is called L'Africaine, which would...
plausibly reflect the history of da Gama's landfalls in Mozambique and Malindi (now in Kenya) on the way to India in 1498. Historically Vasco da Gama did not undertake a voyage to the New World, and there is irony in Walcott's making Dunstan say in *Another Life*, "'Listen! Vasco da Gama kneels to the New World.'" The 'logic' of hegemony would identify this as 'error', yet the elation of wonder at the new place and its inhabitants, which the music captures, is there to be appropriated by anyone, including St.Lucians.

The powerful dream of the locus of desire as attainable (which in the Old World tradition is locked to the concept of remoteness) becomes in the New World an image of the locus of desire attained, possessed. St.Lucians who felt blessed by their location (particularly when, during Walcott's childhood, the Second World War seemed to engulf the rest of the world), wanted to celebrate their heritage of a particular geographical place-in-the-world. Paradoxically, although the opera derives from imperialist discourse, the figure of the arrivant (as noted above) was one to which Caribbean people of all racial backgrounds could relate. The fact that the opera narrates as romance a fictionalised tragic history of imperialism, ending with the African beloved killing herself for love as da Gama sails away, becomes culturally irrelevant. In the age of mechanical reproduction, which Benjamin was one of the first to address, the opera loses its original meaning and becomes open to new meanings through its new existence as a fragmented commodity: St.Lucians in general had no access to performances (or probably scores) of the opera as a whole, only the recording of the aria disseminated as a commodity, and valorised by the star performer, Gigli. To inherit remoteness from a loosely defined cultural centre (as Walcott says of his childhood, 'Remember years must pass before he saw an orchestra, / a train, a theatre') is not, he insists, to be disadvantaged: imperial culture filtered through the Gigli record could be made to signify afresh, the act of interpretation itself recreating the art, as expression of a local meaning, the precise joy of natural blessing.

It is a very modern story, and one central to Walcott's perception of inter-cultural relations: the tragic reality of history can be transformed and redeemed through art. The community's appropriation of just the one fragment which could be co-opted to express a local joy reflects the obstinate creativity of optimism. The cultural event, the transformation, compressed in the phrase is extraordinary, given the relish of the imperial romance as tragedy, exhibited by the supremely romantic
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idiot of nineteenth century opera, a distinctively European cultural product. Meyerbeer's opera invents the self-sacrifice of the African as closure, as a powerful valoriser of the myth of European patriarchal supremacy as destiny: the white man travels the world as figure of romantic desire, breaking hearts (and then lives) as he goes. Is it his fault if girls kill themselves, the opera seems to ask. But the potential meaning of the opera's closure as a kind of Brechtian symbol - historically the white man came and the indigenous people did indeed begin to die - is aborted by its ready meaning within a popular myth (of imperial patriarchy), which it then reinforces. The process of selection and reinvesting with meaning – of translation – which the 'O Paradiso' case illustrates can be seen as symbolising a particularly American appropriation. In this example, the exploitative power relations immanent in the European art are discarded in the New World in favour of a cultural reading of it simply as praise-song. For the St.Lucian elders who played the record to the young Walcott, it was not distortion (they probably did not know the whole opera) or romance, but recognition: the aria captured an elation of place which they already experienced.

Cultural 'quotation' is also naturalised by being locally re-voiced. In an epic poem largely about St.Lucia it might be expected that to quote the capital's Latin motto, from Virgil, would lend a solemn and formal tone, but in Another Life Walcott rejuvenates the antique language by the device of placing it in a dramatised schoolroom scene:

'What is the motto of St.Lucia, boy?'
'Statio haua malefida carinis.'
'Sir!'
'Sir!'
'And what does that mean?'
'Sir, a safe anchorage for sheeps!' 

The teacher's testing of the boys' knowledge enables Walcott (himself once a Latin teacher at his old school) to teach his reader both the motto and its meaning, which the pupils already know, and to enhance awareness, through a significant example, of how a community can use archaic symbols, transmitted through education, to confirm (ambiguously) its sense of identity. The child's distinctive pronunciation of the word 'ships' as 'sheeps' is a subtly plural sign: a Brechtian ironsation of colonial docility in the face of empire's appropriations (the island perceived from the centre not as
itself but as a tool of the controlling military machine, the fleet) and of the ‘herd’ mentality which plays down the possibility of change; a reminder that English is not their first language to the majority of St. Lucians, so that the Latin class is being taught a third, culturally valorized language, in contrast to their first language, banned from the classroom; a joke, using ‘incorrect’ language (the plural of ‘sheep’ is ‘sheeps’) to generate a surreal image (a fleet/flock of anchored sheep), a way of accessing the innocence and optimism of childhood; and a creation, that moment at which a distinctively local newness enters language practice. The lesson in translation is more than it appears to be. As so often in Walcott’s work, an apparently simple moment, swiftly yielding a telling significance at first reading, repays reflective revisiting.

It can, however, be easy to mistake Walcott on first reading. When Walcott ends an apparently gloomy portrayal of the island in the poem ‘Gros-Ilet’ with the line 'the language is that of slaves', the initial response, that a negative has been uttered, is inescapable. But in the pause that follows the poem, in the Brechtian moment of reflection, that assumption of a negative meaning is suddenly understood to be scandalous. The revelatory realisation is carefully contrived by the poem, which states the island culture's difference from the Mediterranean 'norm', leading the reader by negatives; it bids Elpenor (Odysseus's helmsman) to

keep moving, there is nothing here for you.
There are different candles and customs here, the dead
are different. Different shells guard their graves.
There are distinctions beyond the paradise
of our horizon. This is not the grape-purple Aegean.
There is no wine here, no cheese, the almonds are green,
the sea grapes bitter, the language is that of slaves.

Centrism's assumption of the right to label the different as inferior is addressed by the skilful strategy of manipulating the reader, by the powerful negative rhetoric, into an uncritical assent to the valuations, and having led her out into vulnerable exposure it spins her round to face the prejudice of her position: it is that very language which has enabled the meaning to be transmitted so well. Unlike Caliban, who is aware of the language given him by empire as a tool with which 'to curse', but is unaware of his own poetry, this poet-Caliban is fully self-conscious of the qualities of his language. Echoing Caliban's 'water with berries', already naturalised to the West Indies by George Lamming's book of that name, the speaker of the poem names his language:
From this village, soaked like a grey rag in salt water,
a language came, garnished with conch shells,
with a suspicion of berries in its armpits
and elbows like flexible oars.101

The language given by a tragic history begins as a conch-bordered grave, but fruits in
the unlikeliest places and becomes supple and mobile.

A similar technique, using irony as a key to new meaning, is seen in Walcott’s
address to the history of British racism and its present legacies. In _Omeros_ he shows
the Britain ‘sharpening the grimaces of thin-lipped market towns, / whitewashing the
walls of Brixton, darkening the grain / when coal-shadows cross it.’ The sense of a
gloomy prognosis for a racially divided Britain is acute, confirmed, it seems, by the
concluding phrase: ‘Dark future down darker street.’102 It is only on reflection that
this meaning splits apart to reveal its inner opposite. Only if ‘dark’ is a negative
signifier does the future have to be seen as already tragically inscribed in the terms of
the past. If ‘dark’ is simply descriptive of racial intermingling – if it can be re-
imagined without its racist connotations – then the future appears optimistic: ‘dark
future down darker street’ is transformed into a celebratory image of an integrated,
racially and culturally plural society.

As Walcott makes Lestrade say in _Dream on Monkey Mountain_, ‘It is the
crippled who believe in miracles. It’s the slaves who believe in freedom.’103 The
dialectic of hope and despair makes the re-invention of language an imperative: if
'English is white' as Moustique suggests provocatively104 (as it would seem to a
St.Lucian peasant like himself), English must be given a transfusion of 'another life'.
The discourse which labels the black man 'Makak', 'macaque, monkey', 'as I so
ugly',105 leaves that man no choice but to name himself. Walcott explains that the
name is from personal experience:

Makak in the play is based on a man I knew who terrified us when we were
very young in Saint Lucia, a man called – and that’s the tough thing about this
– he was called Makak Roger. Roger was not his name. I think he may have
worked for someone called Roger, so it really was this that people were calling
him: “This is Roger’s monkey,” which is terrible;...I thought what a degrading
thing for him to be called that. There was something in the man, however
drunk and however degraded, that was extremely powerful.106

For the play’s protagonist, then, to name himself 'Felix', 'happy',107 is to defeat the
prejudice utterly, and liberates him to name _himself_ 'monkey' if he pleases. Since the
mountain which is his home is called *Morne Macaque*, his adoption of the name is thus freed to signify his in-placeness. It is this hero’s freedom from racial and geographic alienation which Walcott celebrates finally: ‘He belong right here.’\textsuperscript{108} As Robert Fox comments, ‘The dream that transforms Makak is, in a very real sense, Walcott’s own dream, his artist’s vision which espies the potential for greatness in “a degraded man”, which recognizes the raw power behind seeming impotence... Makak then becomes representative of the downtrodden and impoverished blacks who long to be redeemed.’\textsuperscript{109} It is, once again, at the heart of language itself that the process of redemption is initiated. ‘Makak’ is stripped of its racist meaning and reinvested with a new positive significance; the sign is remade.

At every level Walcott’s approach to language is ludic: the play of the signifier across meanings and discourses is endlessly surprising and rich. In the first instance he savours the quiddity of a word, its sound when voiced and its pattern when written. His verse often incorporates concrete poetry. At the beginning of *Another Life*, for instance, he relishes the line ‘a moon balloned up from the Wireless Station. \textit{O / mirror},’\textsuperscript{110} in which the anticipatory ‘oo’s double the pleasure of the ‘moon-rise’ at the end of the line (particularly satisfactory as the balloon with no strings attached, or ‘wireless’). In ‘To Return to the Trees’ he makes concrete poetry of the printed ‘e’, decoded as like a lidded eye: Seneca’s heroes ‘see with the word / “senex”, with its two eyes.’\textsuperscript{111} Again, in *Omeros* he writes that ‘the “I” is a mast’, which, to the hull of the poem’s line, it then becomes.\textsuperscript{112} These games (and they proliferate in his work) explore the way texts exist in the world as visible and audible objects, for even more intriguing is the patterning of sound to evoke the visual. Like the French symbolists, Walcott is interested in the capacity of language to ‘translate’ between the different senses: ‘the smell of our own speech.’ He uses an onomatopoeia which is not confined to sound. When, for instance, he writes ‘beer-bright Vermeer’,\textsuperscript{113} the internal rhyme – ‘horizontal’ syllables with the vertical ‘I’ vowel between them – evokes the shine on polished objects and the amber of a glass of beer. The European tradition of still-life painting which the poem addresses, in which things ‘become / themselves’, is summoned to the mind’s eye by the four simple syllables. Walcott is intrigued by the challenge of making language produce the illusionist effect of *trompe l’oeil* art. The technique is developed in relation to nature in the evocation of a St.Lucian waterfall: ‘we startle a place / where a waterfall crashes down rocks. Abounding grace!’ The sound of the last phrase ‘enacts’ the
waterfall – the smooth leap of ‘abounding’ finding its destination in the frothing pool.

‘I used the word “lace” before,’ says Walcott, ‘so I just switched it, and I hope “lace”
is inside of “grace” as well.’\textsuperscript{114} The related poem in \textit{Midsummer} evokes the Spanish Caribbean:

\begin{verbatim}
and down the Sunday promenade for miles
the Civil Guard kept playing "La Paloma"
and gulls, like doves, waltzed to the gusting lace
and everyone wore white and there was grace.\textsuperscript{115}
\end{verbatim}

An extraordinary mental picture is created through the waltzing rhythm’s effect on the
pictorial images, ending in the swirling rhyme of 'lace' with 'grace': it is a kind of
painting with words, in which Walcott is unparalleled. The interpenetration of art and
the world is miraculously foregrounded: art both is and is not what it represents, and
one of the pleasures it can give is in the exactness of that ‘translation’. His acute self-
awareness extends across an extensive oeuvre, a complex play of self-quotation and
variation which is mythopoeic, as discussed below. One of his repeated tropes, both a
concrete poem, and a symbol which expresses a philosophy, is that of ‘reflection’:
making a second line mirror a first, but with a wriggle of difference as if the upper
one were reflected in the lower, as if in water. In \textit{Another Life}, for instance, we read:

\begin{verbatim}
I would wake every morning surprised
by the framed yellow jungle of
the groyned mangroves meeting
the groyned mangroves repeating
their unbroken water line.\textsuperscript{116}
\end{verbatim}

The way in which the visible world exhibits sameness in difference and difference in
the same is part of the ‘I-an-I’ paradox which has such far-reaching implications. To
Brodsky, ‘Water equals time and provides beauty with its double.’\textsuperscript{117} The ludic
sensory mystery of such a familiar phenomenon both gives delight and opens the
mind to other mysteries.

For it is particularly through its twinning potential that Walcott makes
language express new similitude and new difference. Words are made to play
different roles in the carnival of meaning according to those they stand beside.
Doubling is a specifically African linguistic practice, particularly as an intensifier of
meaning, which survives in Caribbean languages. Walcott is particularly interested in
repetition which varies the first term slightly, creating the expectation of similitude,
but delivering difference as well, as when, for instance, he puts 'Seashells' alongside 'Seychelles' as a doublet, itself repeated, in *Omeros*\(^1\) to give a special music which ironises the island idyll at the same time as it reinforces it: 'One day the Mafia / will spin these islands round like roulette' is a real fear. In *Ti-Jean and his Brothers* he gives his Chorus of animals, including the frog who starts it off, the refrain 'Greek-croak',\(^1\) which modulates its own doubleness to embrace the Grecian and the froglike (in homage to Aristophanes and his Chorus of frogs), while simultaneously naming the Caribbean cultural tradition of storytelling, in which the teller calls 'crick' to the audience's rapid 'crack'. The shape of the 'crick crack' pair and its internal vowel modulation is echoed in 'Greek-croak', which thus becomes a complex signifier of the doubleness of the Caribbean cultural heritage, both classical and folk. It is a 'terrible, an embarrassing pun', says Walcott: 'It's lousy but it's nice.'\(^1\) Such relish of the humour of language is refreshing, a hallmark of Walcott. The practice of doubling is also applied to whole phrases, with a cliche new-minted by witty variation, giving some punch lines which evoke a laugh or a wry smile: 'Don't worry, kid, the wages of sin is birth', or 'Youth is stronger than fiction'.\(^1\) The dynamic of the wit is in being simultaneously same and not-same; it lifts the newness of the phrases' meaning on an explosive charge. The givens of language are seen afresh, taken literally, subverted and celebrated, as in the pair, 'figment of the imagination, banana of the mind' in *Dream on Monkey Mountain*,\(^1\) which is both absurd and inspired, and again naturalises language to the Caribbean experience (which involves a lot of bananas but few figs). That it should be Basil, the demonic figure in the play, who speaks the phrase, is appropriate: the anarchic power of wit is recognised in Caribbean folk culture - in carnival 'robber talk', for example, and Anansi's running of verbal rings round Tiger, the authority figure. In Caribbean culture to be voluble is to have a superior kind of power: real socio-political power may lie elsewhere, but the intellectual control which linguistic dexterity provides enables the downtrodden to turn the tables. There are 'a lot of bad puns in Homer', Walcott notes. Like Ulysses, who adopts the name 'Nobody' in order to outwit the tyrant Cyclops, the Caribbean writer takes his place in a tradition born of resistance: the slave who masters language ironises his subjection and defeats it. Walcott sees it as a universal figure of the 'folk imagination', the outsider who 'upsets the hierarchy somehow, either by defiance, or by wit, or by solving challenges.'\(^1\) In that sense, he is himself a trickster hero.
Walcott's aesthetic pairing of the same and not-same thus elevates to a high art the long-scorned pun. In a pun, ambiguity is sublimely ludic, in that two meanings coincide in the facticity of the sound only, not from any conceptual similarity, as in metaphor. Walcott is particularly interested in the puns which arise from the particularity of Caribbean linguistic pluralism. For instance, he exploits the difference of meaning in two Caribbean sub-cultures, that of Creole as against Standard English, to create dramatic irony in *Omeros*. When Helen, the maid, answers Maud's question 'So, how are you, Helen?' with 'I dere', the sound of the phrase suggests two meanings: in the vernacular Helen has answered straightforwardly, 'I am here, I am OK', but Maud takes Helen's speech from the position of her own linguistic difference (a symbol of other differences), and interprets it from paranoia as meaning 'I dare'. Helen's simple statement of her presence is taken by Maud the imperial mistress as subversive: 'At last. You dere. Of course you dare.' But the meaning Maud gives acquires an independent life in the words, as potentially voiced by Helen: as if she said, 'If you wish to read my presence as subversive, so be it, I have courage enough I dare.' The whole transaction of the end of empire - Maud's handing over to Helen - is symbolised in the simple pun. It enables the section to conclude in an assertion of Helen's survival, the heroic survival of the coal-carriers: 'she'd last for ever, Helen.'

Sometimes it is the gap between two European language groups which is mined. At the beginning of *Omeros* he plays on the French-English difference of pronunciation which criss-crosses between 'canoe', *canot* and 'cannot', in the marvellous line of Achille's prayer, 'Tree! You can be a canoe! Or else you cannot!' which when read to a fairly staid London audience brought a shout of laughter. The beauty of it is that it appears to offer a choice of alternatives, but in the pun implicit in 'cannot' (the French word for 'canoe', *canot*), it tells the tree it is going to be a canoe in either case: a witty pun is in fact a profound statement about inevitability. Similarly in 'North and South', a pun on 'singe' and *singe*, 'monkey' in French, is used with deft grace in a moving exploration of white racism's effect and injustice:

> When
> I collect my change from a small-town pharmacy,
> the cashier's fingertips still wince from my hand
> as if it would singe hers - well, yes, *je suis un singe*;
> I am one of that tribe of frenetic or melancholy
> primates who made your music for many more moons
> than all the silver quarters in the till.
The balancing of the ironic 'black' imagery of the 'singeing' and 'monkey' ideas by the 'white' imagery of moon and money, and the modulation of 'singe' to the implicit 'sing' which provides the link, parallels the first pun, 'singe', with the second, 'quarters', to present a sharply dialectical deconstruction of imperial power relations: black people give their art and are not paid, but are made to suffer (singe) and howl; the white hand closes the till (withholds proper payment), as distant and unmoved as the moon. The final image is of the futility of the animal which bays at the moon (perhaps the futility of protesting in poetry or song) – and the sense of endlessness, such that the present (dis)order seems to have the immutability of a natural law. But in this deeply ironic closure, in the process of virtually cancelling itself, the poem pulls off such a dazzling hat-trick of ludic language that it asserts exuberantly that it is 'not nothing', but a signifying 'something', which after all does have value and does make its mark. In the end, the virtuosity of the puns leads to the philosophical reflection that if language can bring two separate terms together creatively, then perhaps racially divided people can be brought together by art.

By extension, intertextuality is a kind of punning involving entire fictions, or parts of them (as discussed below). In 'The Spoiler's Return' Walcott uses a method of ironised (mis)quotation: the line, 'Hell is a city much like Port of Spain', adapts Shelley's 'Hell is a city much like London', changing it from a tetrameter to a more satisfying pentameter so that it is, if anything, more memorable, and placing Port of Spain and London in a mutually interrogatory relationship to the idea of the city and its potential for 'civil-isation'. On the other hand, an example of the larger kind of intertextual pun is Omeros, which has an evident intertextuality with the Homeric texts. The reader waits with pleasant anticipation, for example, for the poem to deliver the death of its character called Hector; but just as he is the rival of Achilles in love, not war, so he is killed in a road accident, not in battle. Once again, it is the intriguing coincidence of similitude with difference which holds the attention and provokes a pleasurable but alert response.

Doubling is also involved in the sacred process of naming identity. The naming of the individual by the group is culturally of great significance in the Caribbean, a practice derived from Africa, the 'nommo concept' from West Africa, of 'belief in the mystical creative power of the word.' Names are allocated to young people in addition to the family name given at birth, in (usually ironic)
acknowledgement of their particular qualities. Walcott's project of Adamic naming is therefore also a specifically Caribbean act. His opposition to the adoption of African names is based on his sense that they are not part of the living Caribbean linguistic experience, but represent a nostalgia for a past which is lost just as surely as the European past is lost. He acknowledges the reality of the nostalgia in his response to proper names from both his ancestral cultures, 'Ashanti' and 'Warwickshire', but he resists its lure. In *Another Life* he gives at the climax a reprise of the exorcism scene from Chapter 4, using a similar *patois* phrase, 'Pour la dernière fois, nommez! Nommez!' to introduce a list of names — adopted African names followed by the European names they replace, as if the named were summoned to court:

Abouberika Torre commonly called Joseph Samson.
Hammadi Torrouke commonly called Louis Modeste.
Mandingo servants offered Africa back, the boring process of repatriation.

Implicitly the presentation calls for the nostalgia for Africa to be exorcised, because it is as much a denial, as Walcott sees it, of a real Caribbean identity as any parallel aspiration to Europeanness might be. He prefers to use names which are already naturalised to the Caribbean - as he does in naming the hero of *Omeros* 'Achille', a classical hero's name which has, as he narrates, been used as a marker of heroic qualities in Caribbean people for centuries. That is what he means by 'the faith of using the old names anew'.

It is for these reasons that the indicative names allocated to the friend whose family name is Dunstan St.Omer are given prominence in *Another Life*. The poet, from his own appreciation of the Greek root of European culture, calls Dunstan the painter 'Gregorias', a name which for him evokes a black Greek (and less a classical Greek than an Orthodox Christian name in its associations), with the reverence for art implicit in it, and also crucially, as the poem records, 'because it echoes the blest thunders of the surf, and is therefore also local. In the poem he is routinely addressed as Gregorias, as Andreuille is Anna, but the poem closes in a dramatic cry of greeting, which puts alongside 'Gregorias' not 'Dunstan' but 'Apilo!', which Baugh identifies as 'a nickname which St.Omer has had since schooldays and by which he is still popularly known in St.Lucia. He himself professes ignorance of its meaning. St.Omer now acknowledges that it means 'pot-bellied' which seems wonderfully apt
to the poem's parallelism between St.Omer and Harry Simmons - both artists, both closely related to the idea of suicide, above all both committed to the vision of an indigenous art and a Caribbean cultural renaissance. Simmons is given a physical portrait twice, in the description which opens the poem and which is repeated commemoratively at his death (another doubling), with the addition that he has 'a dimpled pot for a belly from the red clay of Piaille.'  In the beautiful passage which follows, the relationship of the artist and intellectual to the community is given moving exposition as a mutual two-way exchange:

People entered his understanding
like a wayside country church,
they had built him themselves.
It was they who had smoothed the wall
of his clay-coloured forehead,
who made of his rotundity an earthy
useful object
holding the clear water of their simple troubles,
he who returned their tribal names
to the adze, mattock, midden, and cooking pot....
and he is a man no more
but the fervour and intelligence
of a whole country.  

The essential wisdom is that it is the community which creates its artists to serve it, an idea which reflects exactly Walcott's lifelong perception of his own role in relation to that same St.Lucian community. In the final words of the poem, the twinned names by which St.Omer is greeted, 'Gregorias, Apilo!', as well as each naming 'a real and an ideal St.Omer' as Baugh notes, define his double role as an artist. Through the poet's naming he is linked with the European cultural tradition, on which he can draw with as much authority as any European, as it lives on, naturalised in St.Lucia; at the same time, through the group-given name he is linked with Simmons, as another potbellied clay vessel, which the community had 'made...themselves', and who like Simmons would serve them by reflecting their folk culture in his art. The clay vessel theme is related in the next chapter to the poet himself, who is 'a vase of water in its vase of clay' - part of that replication and extension of imagery which is Walcott's mythopoeic method, as discussed below. The aesthetic which informs the two names is not the doubleness of ambiguity, but the twinning of joint presences. As Rohlehr has said of the following generation of Caribbean poets (the principle is valid also for the visual artists),
They have approached their heritage with a freedom rarely found in the pre-Independence era, seeking all available metaphors, sounds, rhythms and levels of sound and prosody. The Either/Or approach of the colonial era which had promoted English styled poetry and put down Caribbean orality was gradually replaced by the Both/And approach in which, as the situation demanded, writers varied freely along the continua between Folk and Modernist, Creole and Standard, Oral and Scribal.\textsuperscript{141}

Walcott’s intercultural juxtaposition – ’Gregorias, Apilo!’ – demonstrates him to be a leader in the process Rohlehr describes, rather than a reluctant yielder to it, as he claims. The inclusive culture of ‘Both/And’ is exactly Walcott’s method which is here figured as the yoking of ‘I-an-I’.

Even such mythic names as ‘Homer’ are opened up and looked at afresh by Walcott. We are made aware of the punning meaning of ‘Hom-er’, ‘one who seeks home’, which makes him an analogue (another kind of pun) of Odysseus. Alongside the familiar term ‘Homer’ voiced in English, the poem places the newly rediscovered Greek vernacular form of the name ‘Omeros’, which is broken down for us into a sequence of punning, symbolic syllables. The monadic myth of ‘Homer’ is thus disrupted, split into binaries representing the ‘high’ and ‘low’ traditions - formal and informal, the standard and the vernacular, the canonical and the folk. This is an essentially dialectical process; placing the vernacular term alongside the standard seems always to expose ideological functions of language which are normally hidden.

In a memorable Freudian slip, C.L.R. James once, in a live broadcast discussion of Caribbean ‘dialect poets’, began to talk of them as ‘dialectical poets’.\textsuperscript{142} Walcott is intrigued by spontaneous creativity, the aleatoric element in art’s arrival at its form, such as in Auden’s retention of a printer’s error,\textsuperscript{143} or in his own practice: ‘One can be astonished at what is being written because the process itself becomes astonished.’\textsuperscript{144}

But as well as being open to chance and to the flow of unconscious creativity, he is very conscious of the labour, the apprenticeship, the skill, and the will which poetry demands.

For Walcott the ‘most exciting part of poetry’ is its ‘craft’.\textsuperscript{145} It is a constant emphasis with him: ‘if you’ve made a good box it’s like making a good poem. I mean, you really have to get the corners square if you’re doing a quatrain’.\textsuperscript{146} Metaphors from carpentry, the craft of Christ, seem particularly to spring to his mind. Adapting the \textit{Odyssey} to the stage was ‘a terrific technical challenge – to try to compress and stay with the Homer over the arc of the story as much as possible, so that it was like
building a ship, that had to either sink or float." In a different interview broadcast on the same day he developed the trope in a fuller account of the dramatization as a poetic act:

I made up my mind I was not going to have any long speeches. I was not going to do it in pentameter because that would have had Elizabethan echoes. I made up my mind I would do it in quatrains, for the discipline and containment of it, that I would do it in hexameters, and that what I was after was a huge poem. And I kept thinking of the shape, different images of lines, like lances laid down on racks, or perhaps a ship with its beams... So you had to build. It was great fun to build a poem which had to be launched and performed, and whose — not direct model — but the echo of whose shape was from the original itself.

The ancient meaning of 'poet' as 'maker' is refreshingly alive in this perception. It is what unites the poet with his people, not something which sets him apart: 'The worst crime,' Omeros tells us, 'is to leave a man's hands empty. / Men are born makers.' The poet's material, language, is 'both delicate and strong'. Walcott himself is a prolific worker. Greg Doran, who directed The Odyssey for the Royal Shakespeare Company, said that while the play was in rehearsal Walcott would respond by writing extensive new material, in the stichomythic quatrains which make the dialogue as quick as a game of squash. He enjoys the process of collective shaping: 'the evolution of the play is a great excitement for me, both when I'm directing it and when I'm watching it done.' The woodworking metaphor is an habitual trope, memorably incorporated into Another Life: 'I watched the vowels curl from the tongue of the carpenter's plane, / resinous, fragrant / labials of our forests'. The sanctity and the workmanlike ethos are distinctively of the Americas, informal and unpretentious, harking back to the puritan pioneers, but the figures of Pound and Hemingway in the passage connect American literature to the Old World of Paris in the twenties, again with the timber imagery: the passage speaks of 'the peeled ease of Hemingway's early prose'. The sound pattern has the plain rightness, the economy, of Hemingway at his best, a recurrent strength of this poem. Although he has been a scathing critic of 'free-verse nightingales' he has himself used free verse to brilliant effect, demonstrating the versatility and economy it can have in the hands of one who understands metre.

Walcott has drawn on many different metres, but has felt free to vary or adapt traditional forms as he chose. For instance the poems of Midsummer are informal
sonnets, with the clarity and power of sonnets, but without the regularities of length, metre and rhyme. Orthodoxy for its own sake is never his choice. He is a powerful poet of pentameter as some of his early work demonstrates, but he also recognizes that in pentameter it is difficult to avoid the ‘Shakespearean echo, and martial echoes’. Walcott has frequently been criticised for his adherence to formal metre. In accounts of Caribbean verse, Patricia Ismond’s 1971 article has been influential in its polarisation of Walcott against the supposedly more Caribbean rhythms of Brathwaite’s verse. Rohlehr argues that Walcott ‘fails to recognize that a poetry based on the oral tradition would require, seek and create its own crafting’, but as Walcott points out it comes down to the matter of how metre is used. In ‘The Poet in the Theatre’ he examines ways of capturing orality in formal metre, which he calls ‘liberating verse within the pentameter.’ As he has asserted, ‘the lines I love have all their knots left in’, which uses paradox, another ironic doubling, to make its point, since its praise of irregular rhythm is couched in a classically regular iambic pentameter. A distinctive contribution of his own to English prosody is his importation of the Dantean terza rima in Omeros, a long-breathed line of great suppleness and variety in three-line rhymed stanzas, a metre which somehow is never long-winded. ‘It seems to me,’ he says, ‘that the flexibility of the hexameter gives you more of a prosaic speed than the trumpet and drum that comes with pentameter. Pentametrical translations of Homer don’t seem to me to be right.’ The hexameter has hitherto been very rarely used in English, although it is established in other European languages. It is also the Homeric metre. Walcott perceives this radically differently from the aura of rather stuffy literariness which it has acquired. He speculates that in the oral recitation of the epics in ancient Greece the audience would voice a response – ‘that perhaps at the end of the hexameter there was approval’. His recent introduction of the twelve-syllabic hexameter is thus an act of active creolization, reinvigorating the language with a new/old orality. In this, and in so many other ways, English is enriched by his practice. He is a great original, refusing to follow received opinion or the convention of the day, refusing to limit his idea of the possible, in art as in life. People who live in metropolitan centres, he says, are affected by fashion... by what’s supposed to be passe, what’s supposed to be avant-garde. Well, luckily I’m way beyond that, the reach of any of that. Take rhyme, for instance, or what people call ‘formal poetry’ – as if there’s any other thing but formal poetry – so that you don’t feel bound or constricted by
what’s supposed to be the language of the epoch – twenty years ago it was T.S.Eliot, well this time it’s somebody else, do you know...? That sense of time simply because of distance, and also because of a rejection of the convention of historical judgement, I think, has made me feel that I can just write the way I want to write.  

The ‘marvellous thing about poetry’, he says, ‘is its domination of time.’ Empires are like grass, but poetry is ‘the bread that lasts when systems have decayed.’ Poetry can create its own world, evoking by a quasi-magical rite the ‘thing itself’.

When he shifts gear in the middle of Omeros for the incantation to turn a house into a home, Walcott inserts into his poem in hexameter the strong, lyrical, binary stresses of the English spell, the folk poetry of the middle ages. It is both a rite of homage and an incantation: the faith in the power of rhythmic speech is real.

For Walcott refuses the idea of language being in any sense guilty. It is central to his refusal both of inferiority and superiority. Reflecting on the English pastoral of ‘those bastard grandsires,’ he says ‘the worm that cores the rotting apple / of the world’ in this Eden ‘cannot touch the words / of Shallow or Silence in their faded garden’, because their ‘maker granted them a primal pardon’. The words are always already redeemed. His strategy is to accept the irreversibility of history through which the imperial language was ‘irretrievably given’, but to refuse the model of that transaction as a one-way or passive process. On the contrary, users of a language make it their own, mould it to their own experience. ‘English’ is no simple but a plural. On the one hand, ‘No language is neutral’, but on the other ‘the green oak of English is a murmurous cathedral / where some took umbrage, some peace, but every shade, all, / helped widen its shadow.’ The wordplay within this (building on Joyce’s idea of the negative shadow of the imperial language) is a powerful signifier of its thesis, the richness of the language’s proliferating variety, the inclusiveness of its differences, whether Caliban’s or Prospero’s. In 1970 Walcott had lamented his actors’ hunger for what they thought of as ‘better speech’ when their own had a ‘vigour that was going out of English’. In 1964 he had said, ‘The mnemonic use of words, of naming things and blessing them by naming, is something which has gone out of English, since it is possible that the more complicated in syntax a language becomes the more its original impulses, worship and communication weaken.’ His own oeuvre since then has made good the deficiency in striking ways.

If the world is to go forward, the alterity which Prospero saw in Caliban and Caliban in Prospero needs to be differently envisioned, not to erase the guilt and
suffering of the past, but for the twin projects, to demystify surviving cultural myths which still promote abuse of power, and to celebrate benign and mutually involved heterogeneity. It has to be both a No and a Yes, but the Yes must be bigger than the No. Where Joyce famously brings *Ulysses* – the ‘one book apart from the Bible or Shakespeare’ which Walcott chose to take with him to his ‘desert island’ on *Desert Island Discs* – to a conclusion in Molly Bloom’s Yes, Walcott’s equivalent is not secular but sacred. His admission of the other encompasses desire as eros with desire for faith. In the final poem of *Midsummer* which is a meditation on death and exile he uses the natural metamorphosis of the butterfly as a prompt to his doubting belief: ‘yellow butterflies rising on the road to Valencia / stuttering “yes” to the resurrection; “yes, yes is our answer”.' It is in the shared address to the great questions that Prospero and Caliban or Crusoe and Friday, for all their historic and cherished differences, can devise a language of fellowship. As Seamus Heaney has said,

Walcott’s poetry has passed the stage of self-questioning, self-exposure, self-healing, to become a common resource. What he would propagate is magnanimity and courage and I am sure that he would agree with Hopkins’s affirmation that feeling, and in particular love, is the great power and spring of verse.

In the end the poet loses any sense of the ‘I’. Ultimately, you reach the point where, in Walcott’s phrase, you can say ‘the genius of the poet has now entered the genius of the race.’ Walcott has himself reached that point.
To mark the tragic ‘way of the world’ Shakespeare might have called his play not *The Tempest* but *The Grief of Gonzalo*. Such a title would, however, have altered it, radically and entirely, even if nothing else were changed. It would, for one thing, have brought the simile in this epigraph into an unmistakable prominence, whereas, as it is, its symbolism is easily passed over. In recording Gonzalo’s grief through the image of winter rain dripping from thatch, Shakespeare is already qualifying and contextualizing it, translating it from a tragic resonance to a benign one. Rain is necessary to growth, and winter is the precursor of spring. In fact the image serves as symbolic pointer to the drama’s redemptive resolution, relegating the trials and tribulations of the ‘present’ to necessary, purgatorial stages in the growth process, with a clear relevance to the drama’s ethics. In other words, even as he portrays the grief of Gonzalo, the play’s moral touchstone, Shakespeare is transforming or translating it, precisely through the chosen analogy, so *The Grief of Gonzalo* could never have stuck as its title. Walcott, like Shakespeare, understands well that metaphor and simile are essentially ludic practices, related to punning in drawing two or more terms into relationship, but crucially able to transform the emotional or moral tone of the first term through the second. They are particularly apt to the Caribbean’s ‘I-an-I’ culture, in which the heterogeneous are brought into productive interplay. They are, it could be said, a linguistic equivalent of creolization. The ability to speak in metaphors is highly prized in the African rhetorical tradition: the wise man, the orator, perceives the similarities between different phenomena and derives new meaning, a third term, between the original ones brought together as a pair. It is an essentially oral practice, and it is perhaps this aspect of Caribbean culture to which
Walcott refers when he says that for the New World poet, 'metaphor was not symbol but conversation.'

The practice is crucially different from the Miltonic epic simile, in which a hierarchical relationship is posited between a dominant term and its illustrative parallel. The practice of the metaphysical poets is closer to the African tradition and to Walcott's strategies, in that it is essentially generative not hierarchical, though within generally confined contexts. Walcott's work, however, demonstrates a play of similitude-in-difference spiralling on and outward, across an extensive epic oeuvre. Bruce King regards his use of 'such commonplace images as sky, water, earth, south, and north' as 'an elaborate system of private symbols', but perhaps 'private' is the wrong word, in that it suggests hermeticism. It is, rather, a 'personal' system, but one which is accessible to readers, not requiring a private key.

His method is to construct a series of interrelated images, the meaning of which develops as the works develop. The design of individual works is unfailingly cohesive, though dynamic rather than static, and it is extended by the emerging design of the works as an oeuvre. Walcott, for all his phenomenal inventiveness, has been remarkably consistent over a long career. Some of Walcott's early metaphors showed already the instinct for the essence of relationships which has since characterised his work. After the fire which destroyed much of Castries, his home town, when he was eighteen, for instance, he wrote, using an extraordinarily assured imagery, of turning from the ephemerality of the man-made to the enduring values of nature and spirituality: 'In town, leaves were paper, but the hills were a flock of faiths.'

Walcott's subsequent development of his metaphoric method has resulted in epic works of an extraordinary craftsmanlike cohesion. As he wrote in the now famous passage from *Another Life*,

\[
\text{in every surface I sought} \\
\text{the paradoxical flash of an instant} \\
\text{in which every facet was caught} \\
\text{in a crystal of ambiguities}\]

The context records his frustration at attempts to express himself through painting, which led to his concentration on poetry, the career he had envisaged for himself from his childhood. For when Walcott was a twenty year old painter and poet sharing his first exhibition with Dunstan St.Omer, his mentor Harold Simmons, in a review of the show, had the perspicacity to say (with what was no doubt an influential pun), 'Words
and imagery are Derek's forte; the brush with discipline will be Dunstan's citadel.6
Walcott remains, however, distinctively a poet with a painter's eye. 'Getting the light
right,' he says, 'has been the hope of saints as well as of painters, of poets, from
Augustine to Turner, to Wordsworth and Dante.'7 One of his great gifts is to unreel
his story before the inner eye like a film, with an acute clarity of visual image: after a
hurricane, for instance, the islanders emerge to find 'big yellow tractors / tossed up the
salad of trees'.8 'Every metaphor,' he says, 'can be drawn, graphically.'9 For
ambiguity should not be understood as uncertainty of meaning: it is not a case of
'Either/Or' but of 'Both/And', and not even the conflicted meanings of ambivalence,
but multiple meanings, clearly and simultaneously held in view. To return to the 'I-an-
l' model, the play of potential pairings is endless. There is delight in the paradox of
the sharedness of difference, and what ultimately the creative use of correspondences
can reveal is the inexhaustibility of mystery. Walcott goes on to develop his imagery
to an entire metalanguage of symbolism - a mythopoeia - which enables his longest
poems to soar in an arc of self-extending meanings. It is in this way that the word can
become, in The Tempest's phrase, 'more than the miraculous harp.'10

Epic is typically concerned with the collective. For Walcott the relation of the
poet to his society is absolutely central, and what he describes is the shaman-like role
associated with the epic poet:

the good poet is the proprietor of the experience of the race...he is and always
has been the vessel, vates, rainmaker, the conscience of the king and the
embodiment of society, even when society is unable to contain him.11

His task is to give creative representation to the collective experience12 but its
distinctive vehicle is to be metaphor: 'In tribal, elemental poetry the epic experience
of the race is compressed in metaphor.'13 In Omeros that task is worded as the
paternal injunction 'to give those feet a voice'.14 The coal-carrying women the
father's ghost points to had had voices, indeed Walcott elsewhere describes their
singing, but the key point is that art, the culture, had no representation of them, and
therefore they were being forgotten. Crucially, Walcott saw himself, with his fellow-
artists, as not just writing poems or plays or painting but as founding an aesthetic for
the region, writing the distinctive Caribbean experiences into the world of texts. One
form of the 'I-an-I' aesthetic is in the yoking of different tones, in an art which is not
afraid to be both elevated and familiar simultaneously. Walcott's 'high colloquiality',
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as noted above, enables him to sound multiple notes at once. *Omeros* is to a significant degree mock-Homeric rather than Homeric, for example, but it retains an epic ambition at the same time as ironising the tradition, the irony it deploys being in part an insurance against pomposity, a kind of Renaissance *sprezzatura*, a matter of manners, which paradoxically enhances rather than reduces the high seriousness. Interviewed by Luigi Sampietro Walcott says about *Omeros*, 'I did not want to write anything that was going to sort of *dignify* the Caribbean by ambition - by my own ambition.'15 The awareness of the risk of hubris does not, however, diminish the scale of the project. His concept of epic emerges from his comments on Whitman: ‘He's epic in the sense of width - and the subject. But he doesn't do what we know to be epic, in terms of a narrator propelling - in sequence - the events that are related to the destiny of the tribe.’16 The American search for the democratic epic - not fully realized in Whitman - comes to fruition, I would argue, in the Caribbean.17 The sacred ‘process of renaming’ is that of ‘finding new metaphors’,18 it is not facile, but testing, exhausting, a ‘translation’ of the world into art which is intrinsically metaphorical. Speaking of his starting *Omeros* in French Creole and running out of vocabulary, he continued: ‘What happens in translation is, if you work in rhyme, you create new metaphors.’ It is an ‘evolution.’19 The exigency of the task, the difficulty of finding shapely expression, is itself a generator of new imagery.

Walcott's epic method merits examination in some detail. There is in particular a recurrent icon in his work which goes right back to a childhood memory, the development of which gives, I think, a particular insight into his method. Milton introduced the epic simile as an applied dignity which was essentially sterile, but Walcott has devised an integrated system of epic metaphor, providing a developing symbolism capable of carrying the meaning of the whole as the living bone gives shape to the flesh. He has described how as a young child he watched the unloading of coal from the ships, a scene which was to become for him a 'reduced, race-containing symbol'. With its large harbour, Castries was chosen as the coaling station of the region by the British, shiploads of the fuel being offloaded onto the wharf until transshipped. The St Lucian contribution was badly paid hard labour - no machinery was used - something that not only men but also the women of a poverty-stricken colonial community had no choice but to accept. Writing in his mid-thirties about his late school days, he reminisced about the way the town had changed:
Down by the wharf, past the coal dunes near by grandfather's house, I had watched during childhood the crossing friezes of erect, singing women carrying huge panniers of anthracite coal, each weighing a hundredweight, but the port was no longer a coaling station. That had gone too.  

In 'The Glory Trumpeter', also published, like this account, in 1965, a jazz trumpeter reawakens the scene for Walcott:

```
Now, as the eyes sealed in the ashen flesh,
And Eddie, like a deacon at his prayer,
Rose, tilting the bright horn, I saw a flash
Of gulls and pigeons from the dunes of coal
Near my grandmother's barracks on the wharves
```

Here the view of the coal heaps already has its monochromatic schema, of hills of coal ('dunes' in both early accounts) with their black shape offset by wheeling white birds. The poem, although it lacks the 'frieze' of laden, singing women which was later to come to the fore, relates the image to an enlarged human dimension, that of the whole abused black race in the Americas. The sense of an appeal to his personal moral commitment is already deeply rooted in this poem.

In Another Life, from 1973, the scene from his grandmother's window, on the Sunday visits, is re-visioned with a new clarity:

```
From the canted barracks of the City of Refuge,
from his grandmother's tea shop, he would watch
on black hills of imported anthracite
the frieze of coal-black carriers, charbonniers,
erect, repetitive as hieroglyphs
descending and ascending the steep ramps,
building the pyramids,
songs of Egyptian bondage,
when they sang,
the burden of the panniered anthracite,
one hundredweight to every woman
tautened, like cable, the hawsers in their necks.
There was disease inhaled in the coal dust.
Silicosis. Herring gulls
white as the uniforms of tally clerks,
screeching, numbered and tagged the loads.
```

Now the image (ancillary in 'The Glory Trumpeter') has been given full expression, with the historical, moral and social contexts of the earlier poem's wider narrative revealed within the image itself. The monochrome of the image is sharply delineated via the colour words, the social signifier of race in imperialism brought to
consciousness via the symbolic colour composition of the landscape. The Caribbean bondage is given a Biblical resonance through the term 'Egyptian', so that the hallowed parallel between the exile of the Israelites in Egypt and the enslaving of Africans in the new world leads the image back to another Judaeo-Christian mythic icon: the endless chain of coal-carriers 'descending and ascending the steep ramps' suggests an infernal inversion of Jacob's ladder. In this supposedly paradisal new world Walcott exposes a diametrically opposed reality which bears the stamp of damnation.

The mythic strength of this icon is demonstrated by his subsequent development of it in *Omeros*, where it is enlarged with variations to become a visual symbol of the entire Caribbean experience, a symbolic representation of history which charts the collective suffering, the collective achievement and the collective heroism. In the later epic, Walcott suggests once more the heroic pyramidal ascent of the coal-carrying women, but overlays it with two other images, one from a different, peopled scene, of mounting a liner's gangplank, and the other from the natural world, of ants scaling a flowerpot. The sign, carried over from the earlier work, of the pyramid mounted by a frieze of tiny figures is given a new incarnation early in the poem; Ma Kilman, faced with Philoctete's pain, ponders how to help:

"It have a flower somewhere, a medicine, and ways my grandmother would boil it. I used to watch ants climbing her white flower pot. But, God, in which place?"

There is no overt link at this point with the coal-carriers image, only the similarity of 'silhouette' - the colour value and verticality of the pyramid are inverted, the scale is reduced, and the human figures become insects. The context embeds the image in the idea of nature's healing power. In this shift, the basis is laid for a major development of its associative meanings.

About a quarter of the way into the poem, the image is given an extended presentation, the ants now being matched explicitly to the coal-carriers, here introduced to this work for the first time:

From here, in his boyhood, he had seen women climb like ants up a white flower-pot, baskets of coal balanced on their torchoned heads, without touching them, up the black pyramids, each spine straight as a pole,
and with a strength that never altered its rhythm. He spoke for those Helens from an earlier time...24

The colour value of the pyramid up which the figures climb is now problematized, with a binary alternation flicking from white to black in the reader's imagination. The metaphor is restless. The application to the women of the ants' climb has the effect of reducing the emotional load implicit in the human story. Our experience of ant behaviour suggests an almost abstract progress which transcends the fate of the individual. Walcott is moving his trope into a different sphere – from history into natural history – a strategy which paradoxically returns it to its human value. By adducing the 'law of nature' idea, what transpires is a sense of history making positive progress. In the Darwinian view, the natural world is governed by progressive adaptation to circumstance, so that obstacles are inexorably and inevitably overcome, but on such a vast temporal scale that it is nearly imperceptible. When this reading of the natural world is applied as a metaphor to human society - which is, of course, part of nature - the natural phenomenon reads as imaginative 'proof' of the inevitability of social progress (a central thesis of socialism). This lends a moral authority to the image of the heroically persevering ants, and introduces a subtle note of optimism to the portrayal of human suffering.

This conceptual development is enlarged in the other transformation of the image in this poem. Here the whiteness of the flower-pot 'version' is taken up and varied. The coal-women and the ants are re-visioned as the ascent of tiny figures up a steep gangplank to the sheer prow of a white cruise liner. Its 'immaculate hull insulted the tin roofs / beneath it': "in their ascending // the narrow wooden ramp built steeply to the hull /of a liner tall as a cloud, the unending / line crossing like ants without touching for the whole // day."25 Here, prompted by the flower-pot image, the imagination substitutes for the black pyramids of coal the 'white' liner introduced a little earlier: "a city to itself, / taller than the Fire Station, and much finer...than anything Castries could ever hope to build". The liner was named as 'Fame'. Now, the image is reconnected to its old form, of the 'silhouettes' seen from the grandmother's house against the 'infernal anthracite hills'.

A new schema of the scene is emerging: the repeated association of the wharf's level with hell and the 'cloud' metaphor for the height of the liner introduces a Dantean metaphysical variant on the icon of Jacob's ladder:
"Hell was built on those hills. In that country of coal without fire, that inferno the same colour as their skins and shadows, every labouring soul climbed with her hundredweight basket, every load for one copper penny, balanced erect on their necks that were taught as a liner's hawsers from the weight."\textsuperscript{26}

The women's heroic self-elevation is matched to the poet's through the instruction by his father's ghost: "Kneel to your load, then balance your staggering feet / and walk up that coal ladder."\textsuperscript{27} The two kinds of action are paired: "They walk, you write," but the second derives from the first and owes it a debt:

"your own work owes them because the couplet of those multiplying feet made your first rhymes. Look, they climb and no one knows them; they take their copper pittances, and your duty from the time you watched them from your grandmother's house as a child wounded by their power and beauty is the chance you now have, to give those feet a voice."\textsuperscript{28}

It is a moment of great significance, within the poem and beyond it, in that it asserts that a simple iambic rhythm is as much a part of the Caribbean experience – as the natural rhythm of laden feet – as are the irregular rhythms, claimed as more distinctively Caribbean in a Caribbean discourse of aesthetics.\textsuperscript{29} In fact the poem traces the education of the poet to that first lesson in rhythm. An aspect of the image which had been part of the memory of the childhood scene, the women's song, is thus projected onto the poet's role. They had had real voices, of course, but the world had not listened - they had been silenced by a history that ignored them. It is the poet's task to bring them to collective memory by giving them 'voice', through his epic, to match their heroic 'feet' – to make of them a myth.

From this point in the poem it is the 'ants' aspect of the meaning cluster which becomes dominant. In carefully mirrored accounts, the line of ants becomes a chain of human figures, diminished by distance and by abuse, in North America and Africa; the distant view becomes an expressive signifier of the lack of regard (of seeing and valuing), of their abusers, in whose minds they have less than human significance. During his dream journey to African history, Achille watches a slave-raid:
Achille climbed a ridge. He counted the chain of men linked by their wrists with vines; he watched until the line was a line of ants. He let out a soft moan / as the last ant disappeared. Then he went downhill.

Now the connected ideas of ants and coal-carriers have been linked to Africa, the endless line of black figures signifies with new meaning as an expression of the Middle Passage. The 'pyramid' is now re-formulated as the oceanic triangle of the Atlantic slave trade. Achille, as the poem says, 'died again' thinking of:

the ants arriving at the sea's rim,
or climbing the pyramids of coal and entering inside the dark hold, far from this river and the griot's hymn.

The endless line of black figures now crosses from east to west along the base of the triangle, with its two 'white' sides above.

But the sufferers of the past are shown to be the ministers of relief in the present. The ant-like, anonymous hieroglyph of the slaves undergoes a metamorphosis to the poem's 'frieze' of black figures, each with her or his own particularity and individuality. The ant-like forced migrations of history are exchanged for the Caribbean present, where a line of ants beckons and leads Ma Kilman to epiphany on the mountain. As Ma Kilman howls at her discovery of the healing herb, Philoctete's pain is eased, and the first person narration resumes to mark the personal importance of the moment:

See her there, my mother, my grandmother, my great-great-grandmother. See the black ants of their sons, their coal-carrying mothers. Feel the shame, the self-hate draining from all our bodies.

This initial stage of healing signals its ultimate stage, although the narration of the healing ritual as drama is still to come. It is placed in the context of the centuries of pain, looking back to an ancestral past but forward to a relieved future for the race (the first person singular migrating significantly to a first person plural). The legacy of that history, the trauma of self-loathing which is still real, can be cured by a perception that the history was not one of shame – that any shame belongs to the oppressors – but that it is a history of heroic survival through that moral and spiritual strength without which physical strength collapses.
Thus the geometric and kinetic sign, the hieroglyph of the coal-carrying women, forms part of a pattern traversing Walcott's poetry, but illustrates in particular his remodelling of the traditional epic simile. Instead of using allusions from outside, he valorizes his work internally: the core of Omeros is disclosed through this 'race-containing' image, which condenses shape, colour and movement, and extends them in variations through the linear time of the work, delivering finally the epic grandeur in terms of heroic ascent. The quasi-organic life and growth of the specific incarnations of the compressed sign produce a sum of meaning which expresses in one symbol the complex premise of the whole.

In his Nobel lecture he returned to the theme of 'the grace of effort' and to the process of translating that truth, of real people's lives, to literature:

That is what I have read around me from boyhood, from the beginnings of poetry, the grace of effort. In the hard mahogany of woodcutters' faces, resinous men, charcoal burners; in a man with a cutlass cradled across his forearm, who stands on the verge with the usual anonymous khaki dog...not to mention the fishermen, the footmen on trucks, groaning up mornes, all fragments of Africa originally but shaped and hardened and rooted now in the island's life, illiterate in the way leaves are illiterate; they do not read, they are there to be read, and if they are properly read, they create their own literature.33

This is not patronizing, but derives from a profound respect and humility. Like the African griot the poet is charged with the responsibility of voicing the people's story into the world of discourse, but ultimately the world of discourse is secondary.

The mythopoeic project to narrate the Caribbean story, an intrinsically epic story, is doubled by the project to deconstruct the North's culture of dominance. Hence Walcott's dialectical engagement with Homer, which is a major signifier in the Western tradition and specifically the American tradition, the classics being naturalized to the north American culture in a unique way. As the narrator of Omeros points out, now 'Homer and Virg are New England farmers, / and the winged horse guards their gas-station.'34 Homer has been of huge cultural importance in the anglophone world: the most frequently translated texts in the English language, as George Steiner points out.35 For Walcott, the Homeric offers a rich language of symbolic signifiers, in whose terms he now inscribes his own people's story. The British, as he has said, think they own Homer: now he asserts that Homer is just as
much his, as a St. Lucian, as anyone's, although he is careful to avoid the language of takeover:

to make it Caribbean, or to do it in dialect, is to get very nationalistic and I'm not interested in that, because it's like trying to claim Homer in the same way that the British try to claim Homer. The English think Homer belongs to them... the attitude will be, yes, basically it's an English poem that has been translated into Greek.36

In a sense he is doing what Joyce did with *Ulysses*: he is mapping his own place to the world using a Greek atlas as palimpsest. But in another sense his is very remote from Joyce's project: here there are no programmatic parallels. *Omeros* reads more as a critique of or an answer to the Homeric, than an imitation or version.

In *Omeros*, in fact, he not only deconstructs the hegemonic myth, he also reconstructs Homer by giving us, subtly, four Homers, revealing the demotic alongside the patrician. By replacing the one with the many, his assertion of the pluralism of the tradition, suppressed under the monoculture of imperialism, is given the most graphic form possible. The multiplicity of the Homeric as he reveals it symbolizes both the actual pluralism of the human condition, and the goal of cultural pluralism. It is a distinctively new world phenomenon he uncovers, yet one which, as he shows, is firmly rooted in the old.

The myth of the ancient world is represented in the poem by the bust of Homer. It is white, it is hard, it is cold, and it is blind; and it is a familiar icon of western culture, the artefact of Greek sculpture, expropriated from the Mediterranean, often in the eighteenth century, by north-west Europeans and exported as the keystone of imperialist culture around the world – but particularly to the New World, where the claim to status became habitually couched in terms of the Attic ideal of democracy and art. Walcott ironizes it as 'the Athenian *demos* / its *demos* demonic and its *ocracy* crass', where 'ideals went cold / in the heat of its hate'.37 The bust of Homer became an icon which was recognized in all corners of the European empires; it became one of the earliest targets of mass-production, and has gone on to find a niche in all sorts of establishments associated with the dominant culture and with power, from the grand edifices of government to those on a socially aspirant domestic scale. The marble bust which accompanies the narrator in his spiritual voyage is partly this Homer, who issues commands and speaks a 'marble tongue'.38
But this is also a Homer who can break into demotic song, a calypsonian of the Aegean, Omeros: 'I heard his own / Greek calypso coming from the marble trunk.' In opposition to the hegemonic voice, Walcott creates a plebeian other, its revolutionary twin. Homer is now revealed to include his 'shadow', his taniast, Omeros, 'Homer' revoiced in vernacular Greek, the centuries of Anglicisation and the accretions of social status swept away. Walcott suggests that this persona was always present within Homer, but has been suppressed by millenia of mythologising: 'Homer could only have written in a demotic language...' he has said, 'what we would call a dialect because of its regionality and concentration.' The Homeric figure, to him, is the folk artist, rooted in the oral tradition, like the blues singers and calypsonians of the modern Americas, and their equivalents elsewhere, who express their people's story. He has spoken of:

the emblematic figure of Homer as an itinerant person, poet, moving around the islands and to different cities, singing these songs that he picked up and making them one big epic poem...the emblematic idea of Homer and that story-teller, or that singer, who contains the history of the race....There've been great blind blues singers - and the same thing is true of the calypsonian, who...contains the history of the race, who is a vessel for that. And I see absolutely no difference...Because who is there you can say in England who would be a Homeric singer of songs: a rock star or an opera singer, who? Because the whole tribal sense has gone; in these cities you have no tribal sense. And the tribal sense is unified in the black man, in the blues singer, and it's true in other cultures, where that is more Homeric than having somebody with a harp up there, and a beard, you know, plucking away at a lyre.

This exposition of the other Homer makes the revolutionary nature of Walcott's view clear. By reinventing Homer as Omeros he offers the possibility, through black culture, which transcends national boundaries, of replacing the world's competitive hegemonies with a unified 'tribe' of humanity: the 'international consciousness' Fanon speaks of, Nettleford's 'new international cultural order'. A shadowy figure, his Omeros is very much alive - dark, and warm, where the bust of Homer is cold and white. He is a figure of independence, a solitary, living on the margins without reference to the power structures of organized society; he is the tramp, crouched over his fire in the forest, the wanderer who calls no man master, drawing on a figure Walcott has used from his early years, the 'most reduced, race-containing' figure of the charcoal-burner woodsman.
Given the nickname of Seven Seas, which, as classical term, suggests his worldwide range, but also links him, via the name of a modern pharmaceutical company, to the poem's theme of healing, he reappears in all locations and epochs, observing the fate of mankind as history unfolds, participating as watcher, and engaging as narrator. What the eponymous Omeros brings is a demotic alternative to the hegemonic view of the Homeric. His is a counter-discourse, voiced from the margins, by the one whose story has always been suppressed, the cultural and racial other. The implication is that the corrupt centre cannot reform itself, therefore deliverance can be looked for only from the margins. America was once 'marginal' to the old world, but has now, in Walcott's formulation, fallen under the malign spell of a neo-imperial myth of itself, and has therefore become as degenerate as the old world. What this poem delivers, from America's 'backyard', is the old American dream of the democratic epic, now realized, with its plebeian heroes.

Just as the poem constructs a binary dialectics through the twin poet-personas of Homer and Omeros, so another two Homers, both artists in the visual arts, mirror a similar antithesis. These both belong in the new world, one a nineteenth-century white American, the other a living black St.Lucian. Both are painters, one bearing the anglicised form of the ancient Greek's name, Homer, the other a name which reads like a francophone, christianised form of the name, St.Omer, which is also Homer 'St.Lucianised', reflecting the French patois and dominant Catholic faith of the island. Winslow Homer is aligned in the poem with what Homer stands for, the hegemonic tradition with its monumental culture - he is even ironically linked with Boston's Marblehead coastal resort - but Walcott's friend Dunstan St.Omer, who plays a prominent part in Another Life, resists that dominant with his own demotic, as Omeros challenges the received view of Homer, the ancient poet.

One work by each artist is given prominence in the poem, one overtly, the other covertly: in Winslow Homer's case an allegorical painting in a naturalistic idiom; in Dunstan St.Omer's an abstract and symbolic design. The first is Homer's painting 'The Gulf Stream', of 1899, which appears in the poem, recognized by the narrator as an image of its protagonist, Achille. Homer's painting is an image of a young, strong African man helplessly adrift on a mastless and rudderless raft, on a shark-infested ocean with a storm brewing; it gives a tragic reading of the black American experience, doomed and comfortless. This Achille is 'circled by chainsawing sharks; the ropes in his neck turned his head towards Africa.' He is forever
becalmed, displaced 'forever, between our island // and the coast of Guinea'. The sharks 'always circle / his craft and mine'. The second is the St.Lucian flag, designed by St.Omer for St.Lucia's independence, which provides the poem, I think, with a symbolic design. It is both a symbol of the island itself, and an idealistic statement of faith in the possibility of a pluralist society. Its triangles — white 'overlaid' with black, which is 'overlaid' with a gold triangle, all on a surrounding blue ground — represent the island's social identity (of racial pluralism) in relation to its existence as a part of nature (the gold of the sun and the blue of sea and sky), with the triangles representing the conical heights of the volcanic island: the natural world provides the greater part, and the social pattern imprinted on it is in harmony with its form and beauty.

The different artistic codes which these two works deploy also bear a symbolic relationship to the design of the poem. The construction of meaning via a naturalistic representation, as in Homer's painting, is bound by the world's limitations. Symbolism, on the other hand, is liberated to construct a new world, a world of artistic meaning which can draw the quotidian world after it. The flag, explained to generations of St.Lucian children, can help to bring about the ideal society it portrays. Homer's painting is essentially an act of voyeurism, with the artist constructing an image of an exotic other who confirms the dominance of the voyeur. St.Omer's design, however, is democratic art of the highest kind, in which the artist, from his special skill, makes an image of itself for the community of which he is part. St.Omer defines his society's identity through a design which has no more valuable 'original', but can be available to all its members by being reproduced any number of times in any number of ways. Homer's painting, on the other hand, is an elite object, codified as of value by being placed in a museum, with any reproduction regarded as radically inferior. Walcott has been categorical about this symbolic and political difference between literature and the fine arts: 'There's one painting: anything else is a reproduction.... whereas a novel or a poem can be held, and shared. There's not a single object.' The paradox is, of course, that realism has been historically regarded as the *sine qua non* of socialist art, while symbolism and other modes of abstraction have tended to be disparaged as bourgeois and elitist. But the design for a national flag to mark a newly independent nation is a reminder that symbolism is only elitist if it is obscure. By adopting a symbolic method, Walcott, from a fundamentally socialist
premise, is able to re-vision the world, bringing to our ears and inner eye an image of the healing of historic wounds and of the possibility of renewal.

The fact that it should be 'saint' Homer who shows the way to this epiphany is significant. The name St. Omer, derived from the French saint and founder of an abbey, after whom the town of St. Omer in the Pas de Calais is named, seems to canonize Homer, to bring the pre-Christian art of the Greek poet within the realm of Christianity, as Walcott does in *Omeros*, where the classical elements of the narrative are combined with a Christian symbolism. Dunstan St. Omer was Walcott's soulmate from childhood, as described in *Another Life*. He was also his alter ego as artist, the tanist who completes the whole. Dunstan St. Omer's symbol of St. Lucian hope counters Winslow Homer's image of despair, of the tragic reading of blackness, just as the figure of Omeros re-democratizes the Homeric.

The four Homers - Homer and Omeros, Winslow Homer and Dunstan St. Omer – thus pair both across and down: two poets are balanced by two painters, but more importantly, two key figures of western hegemony are opposed by two resisting artists who challenge the centre's reading of the world. Homer's epic world is full of violent conflict, and Winslow Homer can see only a tragic doom for the African American. But St. Omer has given his people a flag of faith in pluralism, and Walcott, who like the demotic Omeros is one of the poem's several poet-personas, uses that flag as symbolic structure for a poem which eschews violence, and celebrates hope despite history, and faith in both racial and cultural pluralism.

Like the blue of sea and sky, the yellow triangle of the flag can be 'read' in the poem as the yellow dress, which passes from Maud, the ageing Irish woman, to Helen, the young black woman, and is finally used to robe Achilles androgynously for his John Konnu dance, twinned with Philoctete, celebrating their healing. Its shape is emphasized, that of a double triangle like a yellow butterfly - or as if reflected in water. The flag's triangles, black 'overlaid' on the white and topped with the yellow, so that just a rim of white and black can be seen, symbolizes, I think, the figures of coal pyramid, liner, and flower pot, as well as representing the social makeup of the island. The portrait of the elderly white couple, the Plunketts, which Stuart Hall, a Jamaican, characterised as 'extraordinarily generous', is thus seen to be crucial to the poem's dialectics. The pluralism is authoritative from a man who is himself of mixed race and diverse cultural heritage, and a twin, who recognizes the sharedness of difference. To have omitted the white part of the island story would have betrayed...
Dunstan St.Omer's image and its symbolic meaning. And in that it would represent a failure to assimilate the features of one of the ancestors (not just his own personal ancestors, but the society's), it would indicate, according to Walcott's code, a lack of maturity. As he says, maturity is the assimilation of the features of every ancestor.51

By taking the myth of Homer and not only providing a revolutionary counter-discourse through the voice of Omeros, but actually opening it up several ways so that it can be re-visioned as truly plural, Walcott gives his poem both the strength of a four-square structure, like a 'good box',52 and the reversible heterogeneity and reciprocal flexibility of an image reflected in water. The geometry of the iconography is entirely original, the triangles of the butterfly yellow dress replicate and alter those of the coal-dunes, the liner, the flower-pot and the Jacob's ladder image, while the frieze of ants matched with human figures – of ant-like people – descending and rising against the gradients of landscapes and artefacts, models the epic fact of human perseverance, the simple miracle of Caribbean survival.

Heroic imagery serves the project to counter the pain of history and ongoing racism and exploitation. As Dunstan St.Omer said on hearing the news of Walcott's Nobel Prize, 'Derek...is like a Moses of his nation. He actually brought the people into the promised literary land'.53 He has uplifted those whom the centres of power conspire to construct as lowly, by demonstrating their heroism. But he also addresses the privileged North, calling on those who have power to wield it with compassion to deliver a more humane world. If, therefore, the North's ultimate statement of existential dissociation is Rimbaud's Je est un autre, the culture of the Caribbean (which both shapes and is shaped by its artists) offers a radically different understanding of the human condition. There are understandable concerns about the romance of 'all a we is one' becoming no more than an empty rhetoric. Rhonda Cobham-Sander, for instance, says, 'You should be careful not to romanticize what's happening in the Caribbean. There's lots of tensions between different ethnic groups, between people of different classes, people of different ideological persuasion, and islands are very small, so those clashes can be at your doorstep in all sorts of uncomfortable ways.'54 The perception of Fanon (not one to underestimate the negatives of the Caribbean), however, is that the Caribbean struggle 'aims at a fundamentally different set of relations between men...This new humanity cannot do otherwise than define a new humanism both for itself and for others.'55 In Omeros Walcott gives voice as never before to the pain of the Caribbean experience, but
makes just one thing greater than it, his faith in its capacity to be healed. The language
bears both 'its cure, / its radiant affliction.' He focuses his epic on the plural 'Helens'
of all St. Lucia's women, including the coal-carriers, on the healed dance of Achille
and Philoctete, and ends with the restored couple, Helen and Achille, expecting a
child, a future. In epic there is no closure: only the open-ended present tense of
survival, with the sea 'still going on.' By its mythopoeic construction of a system of
symbolic signs the language can lead the world.
Intertextuality as method is a distinctive mark not only of Walcott's aesthetics but of his politics – of his art as act of political engagement. It has, however, been persistently misunderstood, particularly in the north, where there has been a tendency to co-opt it to a politics of assimilation. Robert Hamner cites Walcott's resentment at the double standards of metropolitan critics, who approve what they call 'acquisition' from colonial cultures, but judge the colonial writer "imitative" when he reciprocates.² It is not a case of the second-hand, or salt-stained, but, as in Gonzalo’s perception, of the ‘new-dyed.’ Walcott’s own view is quite clear:

I once called myself a sponge... not that I sponge off people – but something that I think can absorb whatever it likes. And if the holes in the sponge suck in whatever they want, you may call it parasitic if you want. But I think that I have never let myself feel original in that sense. I’ve never vaunted about originality. I don’t think originality is a quality in poetry. I don’t think that trying to identify yourself, and being different, and trying to separate yourself from the ancestry of the language, I think that’s just a career move, really.

The sense of the presence of predecessors is part of ‘the intensity with which you work on the craft’: ‘you have shadows of other people in the room. If you have any kind of awe or humility, if you can induce the image of Ovid looking and laughing at the absurdity you’ve just written, that’s a sacred thing, I think.’³ This particularity of Walcott’s attitude to past poets modifies his 'writing back', in Rushdie's phrase, to the canonical texts of colonial discourse which has been a characteristic feature of postcolonial literatures. The dialectical impetus, the desire for a counter-discourse, remains, but it is not undertaken in a spirit of antagonism. Instead it is driven by the twin desires to honour aesthetically and simultaneously to contest politically, which result in an epic ambition, the daunting imperative of the attempt to be as good,
because anything less would be unworthy. 'The Fortunate Traveller' is selected for discussion here because it represents an extreme case of Walcott's intertextual method - some would say, its test to destruction. The poem is elaborately allusive, often cryptically so, and yet its topic is urgently political. In general the critics have steered clear of its deep waters. The volume of which it is the title poem has been criticized by Helen Vendler for 'ventriloquism', displaying a 'learnedness' which 'might be the death of him' in work which is 'peculiarly at the mercy of influence', yet Seamus Heaney understands that although Walcott has had the capacity to 'make himself a ventriloquist's doll to the English tradition which he inherited', he has in fact been making 'himself a romantic tongue, indigenous and awash in the prophetic.' Gerald Moore regards him as a 'neo-classical writer', for whom 'it has never been a problem...to strike echoes from...that whole living tradition of poetry', but this makes its emphasis retrospective and nostalgic. Terada observes that Walcott's is 'a poetry that knows there are no first times', which is only a part-truth. Mervyn Morris, however, discerns in it the dynamic of the new: 'Walcott often seeks to make us actively aware of the varying cultural elements he pulls together, or of the transfiguring lens of history, literature or myth through which he views the present'. The emphasis is rightly on the transfiguring, the difference of the vision, which creates new meanings precisely through its historical and cultural self-location. We must learn to read as Gonzalo does, and see the fresh meanings which intertextuality makes possible. Walcott's work is not 'stain'd with salt water' but 'new-dyed.' Far from being 'at the mercy of influence', 'The Fortunate Traveller' quite deliberately constructs its meanings in and through the context of literary tradition. The ethical interface of art and life is its subject. Walcott looks to his readers to recognize his allusions and mocks those who track them in a spirit of finding him out: 'Some critics thought, "Ho-ho, I know where you get that from!" So do I! I took it from...' At the same time the compressed allusiveness of such poems as 'The Fortunate Traveller' can prove alienatory to readers. There is, I think, a place for such exegesis as is offered here. It is not made in a spirit of sleuth, but in the hope of perhaps elucidating a fine work which, although striking, is arcane enough, it seems, to have frightened off critics, and probably to have distanced readers from the frisson of direct response to an impassioned, engaged and beautiful poem for our time. Its signifying practice exemplifies once again the 'I-an-I' pairing of difference in similitude. It is itself
paired with the poem which follows it, the final work of the volume, 'The Season of Phantasmal Peace', without which the significance of 'The Fortunate Traveller' cannot be fully read. Accordingly that poem too is included in this analysis.

Walcott's 'The Fortunate Traveller' is the Third World's 'J'accuse' addressed to the affluent, an angry cry on behalf of the world's starving (to Calvin Bedient a 'brilliant scary Third World political cartoon') which moves powerfully to figure both the right to justice and the claim for vengeance. At the same time it inverts the dynamic of cultural colonialism, addressing itself, as mission, to the 'soul' of its others, the neo-imperialists, hoping to effect a moral transformation. 'The Fortunate Traveller' is a poem about the guilt of empire, spoken primarily from the south to the north. It discloses a binary world, split between Haves and Have-Nots, distinguished by race as well as geography, and anatomizes the betrayal of the one by the other. But it does not just point an accusing finger; it appeals to the privileged to reform themselves and the world over which they wield power - in other words, it directs them to redemption rather than damnation. To do this it dramatizes the experience of the privileged through the allegorical figure of the 'fortunate traveller', revealed to be the traitor who betrays the people of the south for personal gain. But in espousing this subjectivity it becomes also a self-projection for the poet, honest enough to recognize his own privilege and his own guilt. The volume in which this poem is published was the first to appear after Walcott had moved to the USA, abandoning (as it must have seemed to him) his long-standing and much-publicized commitment to remaining in the Caribbean. The sense of the poem's fictional persona presenting a generalized address gradually yields to a sense that the poet in describing his tussle with his own conscience is reaching out directly to the reader. The reader by definition is one of the privileged who is being invited to consider his or her complicity with the unjust world order, in step with the poet. Reading, like travelling, is deconstructed as not innocent, not ideologically apart from the world of socio-political reality. Thus in locating itself in the cultural tradition of the west, the poem sets up allusiveness as central to its project - an acutely original one.

Crucially it shows the western tradition as dominated by a culture of tragedy, expressed through a mythification of sacrifice which empowers destructive relations at the social level. In the north, it suggests, the redemptive message of Christianity has been upstaged by a godless culture of apocalyptic power, with the Nietzschean
superman as hero, glorifying the triumph of individual will which we have come to call fascist. Lois Zamora argues that the apocalyptic - 'the end of the world... described from the point of view of a narrator who is radically opposed to existing spiritual and political practices...awaiting God's intervention in human history, when the corrupt world of the present will be supplanted by a new and transcendent realm' - has a particular relevance to artists of the Americas:

Because the myth of apocalypse insists on the inevitable link between individual and collective fate, it is precisely those writers prone to apocalyptic visions who are most likely to concern themselves with essential relations between the self and its surroundings, between autonomy and solidarity.10

Walcott through the story of his fortunate traveller is aiming to lead a whole culture to self-knowledge and moral responsibility, showing those pathologically acculturated to isolationist autonomy the imperatives - and the rewards - of solidarity. He belongs with the writers Zamora describes, who are 'aware of humanity's propensity for communal self-destruction' and of 'the effects of crisis, for both good and evil, on the individual.' The thriller-like momentum of the poem towards a closure in suspended apocalypse is an attempt to create crisis for the good, to deliver an awesome warning in the name of prompting change in the social order. It is thus urgently political. As Zamora concludes, 'This concern with the outcome of our individual and communal histories, coupled with the conviction that literature may yet influence the outcome, has never been more necessary.'11 Yet as well as modelling holy terror, the poem points beyond an eschatology of judgement and vengeance to the possibility of benign closure, offering to teach the northern world of pathological power the way of the south, where cultures are based on the affirmation of life rather than death, and where ways of communal living have been developed, based on respect rather than competition - where the other is seen as an equal, not an inferior. It is an epic project, of an ambition which the poem simultaneously ironizes in a postmodern way with its deprecating self-awareness. But its self-location against some key canonical texts of the western tradition is the focus of its signifying: at a superficial political level these texts are where the problem is located, but at a more profound level of thought they are also the site at which it can be cured. The double relationship to tradition is thus not a sign of confusion or of ambiguous loyalties: it is the core paradox where meaning is to be found.
Walcott has never hidden his conscious self-affiliation as artist. Asked about his awareness, as a young poet, of working within a tradition, that of canonical English literature, Walcott replied,

I remember the Faber Auden and the Faber Eliot...and just the physical thing of holding these books...What I used to do is, almost every day, or as often as I could, I had an exercise book, or exercise books, in which I would model a poem directly...almost like an overlay, down to the rhyme and the metre, but out of my own background and family and landscape, and so on...It was just to me a complete apprenticeship, a complete surrender to modelling, because I knew that I was in a landscape that didn't have pylons and trains and autumn, or whatever...  

This self-apprenticeship, arising from an acknowledgement of difference, and marking deliberate self-affiliation to a literary tradition, has matured into a dialectical self-location within the canon, the affiliative mode doubled and countered by the revisionary and the revolutionary. As Walcott says in *Another Life*, on the one hand there is the constant injunction 'faites vos hommages', pay your respects, and on the other: 'I had entered the house of literature as a houseboy, / filched as the slum child stole, / as the young slave appropriated / those heirlooms temptingly left / with the Victorian homilies of *Noli tangere*.' In mythic terms, a system which tries to hold on to power, denying the justice of succession, must be replaced by a new order, the old rule supplanted by a revolutionary one. The impetus towards affiliation is like that of the just inheritor asserting the legitimacy of his claim; the impetus towards revolution is like that of the inheritor denied. These patterns are the basis of Walcott's aesthetic, as they are the basis of black politics. In Spike Lee's famous conclusion to his 1989 film *Do The Right Thing*, the different stances of Martin Luther King and Malcolm X are stated, returning the viewer to the imperative of the title, 'Do the right thing!' - which leaves it to each to invest 'right' with meaning in accordance with individual conscience. 'The Fortunate Traveller', the title poem of Walcott's 1981 collection, is also about the projection of meanings - about the freedom to invest signifiers with new signifieds, and therefore about the open-endedness of both culture and history - and about moral responsibility, personal and collective. Its intertextual method establishes the extent of the field on which this innovative creed can be enacted, nothing less than the whole tradition from which the contemporary language practice
has sprung - a world of prior texts against which and through which new meanings can be created. History is locked in to chronicity, but textuality is radically open.

If *Another Life* writes back to Wordsworth's *Prelude*, *Pantomime* to Defoe's *Robinson Crusoe*, and *Omeros* to Joyce's *Ulysses* (not to mention Homer), then 'The Fortunate Traveller' is particularly an engagement with Conrad's *Heart of Darkness*, but a dialectical engagement in which aesthetics are exposed as a political field. Moral responsibility is the poem's quest, as it is of *Heart of Darkness*, a primary intertext, among a large number either referred to or implicit in it. Where Conrad, at one level at least, wishes to promote awareness of the moral complicity, of Europeans at home, in the wrongs of late nineteenth century empire, Walcott is likewise raising consciousness among the privileged readers of the north, his readers, of their (our) complicity in the great wrong of the global economic (dis)order in the late twentieth century. The links between the Conrad and the poem thus extend beyond the passage in which Kurtz is mentioned. The poem also parallels Conrad's story in its broad outline, its British protagonist visiting French-speakers in continental Europe for a business agreement at the beginning, returning to London, then setting off on a (neo)colonial journey to the tropics. Conrad's 'imperial fiction' still in Kurtz's teeth is that Africa is the 'heart of darkness', but the poem's revolutionary revelation is that the home of moral benightedness is the north, the source of exploitative power. Crucially, it reinvests Conrad's now mythified title with contrary meaning, not once but twice.

The Marlow/Kurtz binary pair which gives the Conrad its dynamic is now collapsed to a single figure, the fortunate traveller. The poem's persona is like Marlow in that his journey proves to be a quest for spiritual enlightenment, but as a figure of guilt he is more like Kurtz. The Conradian imperialist voyager of the late nineteenth century is now the air traveller from the neo-imperialist world of privilege; as the Everyman whose conscience has slept, he is taken through a series of experiences which uncover his own state of moral turpitude. The way in which culture relates to political reality is Walcott's target as it was Conrad's. But where Conrad's ending has proved itself prone to a century of partial readings, Walcott has made sure that his ending delivers a forceful and unmistakable warning. In place of ivory, the poem has, as its symbolic commodity, food. Its target is the global power structure which keeps the people of the south hungry so that the people of the north can indulge their greed, but it does more than locate guilt. It delivers a revelation of its own: that it is to the cultures of the south that the world should look for alternatives to the tragic model of
The human condition. Its morality coincides with the Christian ethic, in which the Old Testament's harsh logic of justice is replaced by the New Testament's gift of mercy. The guilty, the northern 'fortunate travellers' who enjoy privilege at others' expense, stand accused. But, as Marlow finds when he commits the great sin of complicity by lying to the Intended, the divine justice which he expects to strike him down does not follow; he is spared. Walcott's poem too spares those it accuses. It eschews vengeance, which Christian theology reserves to God, and closes with the apocalypse threatened, not delivered.

There are a number of parallels between the two works, as well as significant differences. Like Conrad's novella, the poem charts a journey which begins in the North and moves to the South. Both works open in Europe, evoking a plural Europeanness by setting a text in English in a 'continental' context: as Conrad's story says of Kurtz, all Europe went to his making. Where Conrad has an unspecified 'sepulchral city' to which Marlow travels from London (on whose river the whole story is narrated) to secure the commission which takes him to an unspecified river in Africa, Walcott opens with an unspecified Northern European city in winter, with a frozen river, and an encounter between the protagonist and two men from francophone Africa. The atmosphere is reminiscent of a film noir Europe and the spy thrillers of the Cold War period. In particular it evokes Carol Reed's 1948 film from Graham Greene's story, The Third Man, a powerful mythification of a physically and morally torn Vienna in the immediate postwar period, shot in black and white. If seen in the light of this (also an intertext with Heart of Darkness) it is clear that Europe is now occupying the Gothic role Africa is given in the Conrad (appropriately since Europe is the place of origin of the Gothic). The collective psychology of displacement, which produces cultural phenomena such as the representation of Africa in Gothic terms as a feature of colonial discourse (an off-loading of guilt by projection), is here, in effect, brought to closure, enabling the 'truth' of the collective guilt to be identified.

Instead of Conrad's nightmarish African grotesque, Walcott makes Europe a chamber of horrors. The north is evoked as a claustrophobic, sinister space, a lidded landscape like a cave, replete with religious symbols translated into horrific associations, as in the Gothic tradition of popular culture - to be contrasted later in the poem with the innocent symbols of religion in the south. The colour composition of the scene is symbolic, as so often in Walcott's work (his painter's eye informing his
The Fortunate Traveller's Intertext

poetry) - a monochromatic scene of a city under snow, in which grey predominates. The harshness of the physical climate becomes an expressionist signifier (as it often does in Walcott's work) of inhumanity - of the harshness of social conditions for the black immigrants whose skins are 'grey' not only from lack of sun and the threat of bilharzia, but implicitly also from the struggle to survive in a climate of racist marginalisation, in which a mythified coldness has entered the hearts of white people. In this claustrophic landscape, muffled with snow, the protagonist provides the only splash of colour with a crimson carnation in his buttonhole 'for the cold ecstasy of the assassin'. Although it is a flower which is evoked, the red becomes an expressionist signifier of the blood from an imagined bullet wound. Where Conrad makes a riddle of Marlow's first sight of Kurtz, by having him remote, but brought up 'close' through binoculars, and rotating him to a recumbent position, marked enigmatically by his seeming extremely long/tall, Walcott does the reverse: the first sight of its protagonist the poem offers is of a marked man, as if in the sights of a rifle. The red denotes the repressed guilt of the protagonist as exemplary northerner, now made visible, as in the Freudian concept of the 'uncanny', in which, as Jameson describes, an 'archaic fantasy' irrupts into consciousness, making itself felt 'by the garish and technicolour representation of what is given as an essentially black-and-white reality.' The poem's whole project can be interpreted as to effect the return of the repressed. But (as with Heart of Darkness) to bring to consciousness the guilt of empire, so well buried under complacent self-esteem, is no easy task. The relationship evoked between the poem's 'I' and the reader is clearly crucial.

It is thus not only Heart of Darkness itself which the poem evokes, but other works with an intertextual relationship with it, among them, films, which seem also to have influenced the poem's aesthetics, of strongly visual and dynamic imagery. The poem's opening group of three figures – the pair of Africans and the protagonist with whom they rendezvous – suggests an echo of The Third Man. The film has a character called Kurtz, an Austrian aristocrat, but its principal Kurtz-figure is an American (an Englishman in Greene's original version). The prosperity of the poem's man with the buttonhole is like that of Harry Lime (as played by Orson Welles) with his elegant attire, and he can be seen as the elusive emblem of guilt, like Lime. The poem's opening positions the protagonist as its 'third man', illicit trader and traitor to humanity, like Graham Greene's Lime, with the secret deal about tractors rather than
penicillin. Both give expression to the moral crux, the failure of compassion which results from a denial of equivalent value to all others: Lime argues from the top of the famous Vienna ferris wheel that it is not important if a few more of the human dots below stop moving, while the 'fortunate traveller' asks, 'who cares how many million starve?' But where Greene's story opens and closes with death (the 'false' funeral of Lime and finally his 'real' one), Walcott's poem opens and closes with the threat of death: the assassin-avenger's presence is implied at start and finish, the elusiveness of Lime replaced with a clear sense of the 'fortunate traveller's' exposure - that he is in the target position. Walcott, however, instead of leading his reader to a revelation of the 'horror' of guilt through a Marlow-figure (Holly Martins in the film), centres his poem directly on his protagonist's guilt, giving not an external but an internal perspective on the experience of a 'Kurtz'. By removing the 'Marlow' distanciation from the Kurtzian 'horror', Walcott prevents the easy reader-identification with a benign polarity against a demonized one, which has enabled generations of Conrad-readers to evade his moral challenge. Walcott encourages every reader to examine his or her own conscience and moral ambivalence.

The geography mapped in the poem moves from a frozen river of north-central Europe - a mythic setting, unlocated, but evoking perhaps the Rhine (and therefore inviting the poem's address to Nazism), or Conrad's origins in the Polish Ukraine - passing through a city whose skyline is pierced by the spires of Western Europe's Christian churches (not the domes of Russian Orthodox architecture), to Britain. There an evocation of London and the Thames, as in Conrad and Eliot, is paired with Bristol, one of the cities (with Liverpool) which were the northerly port of call in the three-cornered traffic of the British slave trade. The third point of the triangle, Africa, is represented in the poem by the two French-speaking Africans from the 'dark river', which by implication is Conrad's 'Congo', by Albert Schweitzer whose mission was in West Africa, by Arthur Rimbaud who became a colonial trader in the upper Nile region, and by the 'Sahara' which features in the poem's symbolic discussion of food. The doubleness of Conrad's northern locations - London and the sepulchral city - is here mirrored not only within Europe (London and the city of the frozen river) but in the south, with its two points of the 'Black Atlantic' compass, African and Caribbean. Walcott here anticipates Paul Gilroy's argument that the modern culture of the West is informed by the 'Black Atlantic' experience resulting from the historic
slave trade, in which he counters the North's tendency to construct the black experience as its other and thereby to marginalize it.  

The anonymous protagonist waits in London in a rented room for the call which instructs him to go to Bristol. From there he takes ship, leaving England by the Severn estuary, bound for the West Indies and the island of Walcott's birth, St. Lucia, identifiable by the place-name Canaries. The journey thus reverses that of the slave trade. The relatively few slaves who were brought on to Bristol - a city with street names which still echo that history - experienced the Severn voyage upriver with the rising tide, not the downriver journey on the ebb which Walcott so powerfully evokes, and which resonates with Conrad's account of waiting for the Thames ebb. The route Walcott describes is that of the Europeans rather than of the Africans, but for his protagonist, as for the slaves of the past, the Caribbean island is the destination, the place from which there is no moving on. The rest of the poem is based on the tourist-like experience of being in a hotel-room in St. Lucia, regarded as a bolt-hole in which to hide from a coming nemesis. The poem ends in a gathering sense of impending doom: the protagonist, the betrayer, a Judas, cannot hide from justice.

As plot, the poem translates its north/south binary dialectics to drama. The language and tone of the spy thriller give its symbolic movement from Europe to the Caribbean the excitement of a story of clandestine plotting, deliberately kept unspecific. The tension of mystery and unexplained emotion creates a heightened atmosphere which affects the reader, giving access to the idea of guilt. The feminine is set up, following the Conrad, in a special relationship to idealism. Walcott's protagonist is, on the one hand, a person of conscience who tells Margo that he 'cannot bear to watch the nations cry'. As in Heart of Darkness, woman is associated with the idealistic masculine self (although the vaunted sensibility is ambiguously phrased, offering the possible corollary of turning away from the distressing sight, rather than any kind of address to its reality). On the other hand, it is evident that he has done something which others regard as reprehensible, and for which they will take revenge. There seems to be a gap between the self-image he cherishes and the reality of his behaviour - which is putatively double-crossing, selfish and exploitative.

The sense of a filmic aesthetic is not just evident in the parallels with The Third Man. The poem also invites a reading against Coppola's 1979 film, Apocalypse Now, another Heart of Darkness intertext, then quite new, in which Conrad's story of
colonial Africa is adapted to the Vietnam war. Walcott's eloquent image uniting nature with culture, 'Treble clef of the snail on the scored leaf', is a revision and a moral inversion of the recorded voice of Col. Walter E. Kurtz at the start of the film, saying, 'I watched a snail crawl along the edge of a straight razor. That's my dream. It's my nightmare.' Walcott takes the Kurtzian 'horror' out of the image, redeeming it, an emblem of the poem's metaphysical project. The idea of a sacrificed natural world is remote from the poem although it is central to the film. The film dangles a 'sacred cow' below a helicopter near its beginning, and climaxes in the sacrificial beheading of another as image of the killing of Kurtz, but the poem's bias is against violence and death, opposed to the tragic premise of sacrifice: the 'bright water' remains water, not blood, and its Kurtz-figure is left alive. The film introduces the idea of apocalypse in adapting the colonial Conradian scenario to one of war, but as Laurence Coupe points out, Coppola's film, while 'already in the now of crisis and catastrophe', is also radically in process: 'it forces the viewer to inhabit a moment of endless traumatic transition, in which Babylon is continually about to fall and Armageddon about to be fought. Justice is absent, but the viewer is forced to confront that absence.' This account could have been written for the closure of 'The Fortunate Traveller'.

But the Conradian chiaroscuro, inverting the cultural locations of darkness and light, is given in the poem significant development: not only is the phrase 'heart of darkness' interrogated and redeployed (as discussed below), but the south is made the place of a culture of light, in which the votive lights of Hinduism and Catholicism in the Caribbean (the local culture's 'deya' and 'lampion') are set against the secular bedside lamp of the tourist hotel room (representing the outsider's experience of the region, like that of the returning poet, now a resident of the north). The poem develops its dialectical engagement with culture. The natural light of sunset over water seems to the artist/thinker an expressionist image of guilt, but the poem reminds the reader that nature itself is not guilty: the guilt is a cultural projection. Similarly in line with Conrad's rhetoric of ironization by inversion, the poem uses the word 'savages' of Europeans. In Heart of Darkness the Roman conquest of Britain is recalled as a device to prompt identification with the victims of contemporary imperialism. Walcott goes further back, to pre-history, when, the 'fortunate traveller' says of his European ancestors, 'we savages dyed our pale dead with ochre, / and
bordered our temples with the ceremonial vulva of the conch'. It is evoked as a time when culture was the product of plenty, imagination thriving when people had enough to eat (illustrated by 'fat'), and is in implicit ironic contrast with the third world's modernity.

As well as having a direct relationship with the Conrad, however, the poem was evidently also directly inspired by Chinua Achebe's famous attack on *Heart of Darkness* and on Conrad as a 'bloody racist'. Achebe's essay was published in 1977 and the poem in 1981. Walcott reverses the Conrad scenario, in which, according to Achebe, Africa is 'a metaphysical battlefield devoid of all recognizable humanity, into which the wandering European enters at his peril', to an inverted binarism, also metaphysical, in which it is the north which produces inhumanity, while the south produces the humane. It is, however, the distinctive references in Achebe's discussion to Albert Schweitzer, Nazism, Arthur Rimbaud and Haiti, all of which Walcott incorporates in his poem, which warrant a firm assertion of intertextual genealogy. The west has a psychological problem, Achebe argues, of 'deep anxieties about the precariousness of its civilization', from which it seeks reassurance by comparing itself favorably with Africa. This is very much in line with Mannoni's perception that many Europeans 'project upon the colonial peoples the obscurities of their own unconscious - obscurities they would rather not penetrate...In any such act of projection the subject's purpose is to recover his own innocence by accusing someone else of what he considers to be a fault in himself.' Achebe goes on to argue, however, that racism disqualifies a work from being regarded as great art: 'For poetry surely can only be on the side of man's deliverance and not his enslavement; for the brotherhood and unity of all mankind and against the doctrines of Hitler's master races or Conrad's "rudimentary souls."' For Achebe *Heart of Darkness* is 'a story in which the very humanity of black people is called in question.' It is, he says, 'inconceivable that great art or even good art could possibly reside in such unwholesome surroundings.'

Achebe's assertion about the individual, Conrad, and his racist psychology, is thus developed to a point about the collective culture of Europe and the west, and to an issue of aesthetics, a large claim as to the moral purpose of art. The canon is Achebe's ultimate target. He wants *Heart of Darkness* to be dropped from syllabuses, rather than taught as an exemplary text.
Walcott, in taking the argument forward in a new literary work, has, paradoxically, entrenched it further in its canonical position. The irony is that, in the end, Conrad's novella may be read and studied as much for Walcott's poem (and other intertexts) as for itself. The conjunction of the two enables us to read the Conrad with a sharper awareness than was possible earlier. It remains Achebe's achievement, however, to have initiated Walcott's engagement. Even though his own position was based on a simplification of Conrad's project, his attack was illuminating, a needed counter to a culture of myopia, and productive not just in raising consciousness generally, but in inspiring a further work of art, this time of unimpeachable ethics. Whether or not one accepts the whole of Achebe's critique of the Conrad (my own view is that he underestimates Conrad's project to ironize colonial discourse with its racism, although he usefully exposes the text's deep ambivalence towards its 'Africa', which invites misprision), it would be difficult to refute Walcott's polemic. Achebe is chiefly concerned with the misrepresentation of Africa and its peoples and cultures. His own aesthetic project has been undertaken dialectically, as a counter to the colonial discourse of 'African Gothic', his intention being to 'teach my readers that their past - with all its imperfections - was not one long night of savagery'.22 From the beginning, Gugelberger argues, modern African literature 'could not but be reactive', since it used 'the "weapons of words" for the légitime défense of the African heritage. The consciousness which dominated this reaction was one of African affirmation.23

The need to combat the negative effect on Africans of racist representations is, however, only half of the problem. There is also a need to combat the originating culture of that racism, the white-supremacist culture of Europe and the west. Achebe's essay identifies the binarisms deployed in Conrad's story, and relates them to the hypocrisy of white liberalism, so adept at sidestepping 'the ultimate question of equality between white people and black people'.24 He then uses as illustrative case the famous Albert Schweitzer, missionary and doctor at Lambarene in Gabon, who was at that date still held up as an exemplar of the benign face of imperialism. He was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1952 for his work in Africa, but Achebe reminds his readers of the racism on which his apparent philanthropy was founded. He quotes Schweitzer's dictum that 'The African is indeed my brother but my junior brother', and explains that this philosophy was enacted in an inferior standard of medical care, such as would not have been acceptable in the north. From the Schweitzer case he proceeds...
to Nazism, the generally agreed worst case, arguing that the censure of Nazi talents applied 'to the service of virulent racism whether in science, philosophy or the arts' should be extended to other creative artists who 'apply their talents, alas often considerable as in the case of Conrad, to set people against people.' Achebe then cites the Russian poet Yevtushenko (who had achieved fame in the west at this time), who says

that a poet cannot be a slave trader at the same time, and gives the striking example of Arthur Rimbaud who was fortunately honest enough to give up any pretenses to poetry when he opted for slave trading. For poetry surely can only be on on the side of man's deliverance and not his enslavement, for the brotherhood and unity of all mankind and against the doctrines of Hitler's master races or Conrad's "rudimentary souls".

Achebe then supports his assertion of Conrad's racism by quoting his first portrait of a black person, an 'enormous buck nigger' in Haiti.25 Each of these elements of Achebe's argument reappears in Walcott's poem, though deployed in different configurations.

The reference to Haiti becomes, as it were, Walcott's prompt to re-orient a revisionist reply to Heart of Darkness via the Caribbean. He offsets a Europe now reunited with its own Gothic (which colonial discourse had displaced onto Africa), against the tourist experience of the Caribbean. In the poem's first section the 'fortunate traveller' inside his Haitian hotel is watched by a gecko, like a starving child at the window, Haiti having long been one of the poorest countries of the region, and one in which naked exploitative power politics have been most in evidence. The traveller has the privileged life-style of the international jet-setter, which the poem contrasts with the lives of those trapped in poverty. The fish-tank world of the international hotel, with its cosy exoticism, has the starving pressed to its glass in frustrated desire. The word 'Mercy' puns between two languages: it is the plea for pity in English (and the plea for divine compassion - a meaning which is recalled later in the poem, as the Duchess of Malfi dies with that word on her lips), and the word for thanks in French, merci, uttered as a plea for a gift which has not yet been made, and therefore carrying a pathetic irony. There is an echo here of Aimé Césaire's famous prototype of postcolonial modernism, Cahier d'un Retour au Pays Natal, in which the poverty of Caribbean people is eloquently conveyed through a description of his grandmother's makeshift bed: 'above the bed in a pot full of oil a candle-end whose...
flame looks like a fat turnip, and on the side of the pot, in letters of gold: MERCI'. Fanon cites this passage as proof that the poor 'do not complain'. Its archetypal scene, in which the imagery of material deprivation is linked with a notion of spiritual grace through a lamp-flame, metaphorized as food, resonates closely with Walcott's poem, which also interweaves extended tropes of light and food. But where Fanon shows a Marxist impatience with the tolerance of the oppressed, Walcott tends to stress the goodness of the Caribbean personality, so ready to give thanks to its creator.

The reality of political power and privilege is brought into the poem through its economic and statistical discourse: but like Kurtz's report in Heart of Darkness with its official decorum, the data of the supposedly liberal project is undercut with subversive graffiti - not inviting apocalypse, but calling for compassion, Walcott having, in fact, a radically different strategy from that of Conrad. The narrator, whose guilty complicity the reader will be led to share, begins as a liberal who has 'scrawled' on the World Bank documents which maintain the third world in poverty, 'the one word, MERCY.' The poem underlines the chasm between first and third worlds, the one in safety and control, the other inheriting only suffering, with the figure of the fortunate traveller, who operates in both worlds, used to disclose the truth of the relationship: that it is based on immorality. The symbolic role of the 'fortunate traveller' persona is evident in his use of 'we'; the group he identifies with is that of the world's power-brokers, presented, in the first of the poem's insect images, as cockroaches, who scuttle back to safety when their 'cabinets crack'. It is a world of rampant self-seeking which he represents: one from which true pity has vanished.

The gecko is the first of a chain of images in the poem in which creatures commonly regarded as vermin are used as ambivalent access points to both abjection and compassion. The strategy is first to enlist the reader's antipathy, and then, by exposing the 'vermin' as a figure for the fellow human being, to awaken compassion, and, finally, guilt. The poem is less about Achebe's project of raising black consciousness and self-esteem than about raising white consciousness of guilt. Guilt is a tricky target, so well-defended by all kinds of psychological mechanisms, carefully programmed into culture. Walcott's strategy is not naive. First, he centres a protagonist and part-narrator who can function as a figure of identification for white northern readers, leading them to awareness of their complicity. The antipathetic image of the 'roaches' scattering when the (neatly punning) 'cabinets' crack is meant as
a paradoxical hook to reader identification, resonating as it does with Jean Rhys's famous attack on the former slave-owners as 'white cockroaches' in *Wide Sargasso Sea*. Those who know they would return to the northern metropolises if their lives of privilege in the south took a down-turn are positioned to question their ethical position. The evocation of Schweitzer also introduces a novel perspective on the European: as the lines unfold the hero-figure of the white liberal project is exposed as demonic. The organ-playing patriarch (he used to raise money for his mission by organ recitals in Europe) is associated with the ideology of Nazism. The poem relates the 'organ pipes of coconuts' to his harmonium, and to the 'groundswell' of *Lebensraum, Lebensraum*. Nazism is offered as a negative polarity, the rhythm suggesting the Teutonic sentiment of the song 'Edelweiss', but 'Lebensraum' being the Nazi catchword to justify genocide in the name of expansion, 'room for living', the ideology on which Europe's overseas empires were constructed. Thus Nazism is used to access related European oppression, and its universally deplored racism held up as an image of the deeply entrenched racism still widely sanctioned in white culture. As Chinweizu says, 'White power gave birth to white racism, and white racism serves white power. It is that simple.'

Although the paralleling of the new world black experience with that of Jews in the holocaust had been a long-standing theme of Walcott's, the theme of Nazi atrocities takes on a fresh prominence in this collection, as he develops the north/south binarism. The smoking chimneys of the concentration camps are here, as in 'North and South', earlier in *The Fortunate Traveller* collection. The images of third world famine are overlaid with those of the camps and found to be identical: the gecko like a starving child has a 'concentrating' head. The godless era of genocide is still going on, as Anno Domini is reconceived with bitter irony as After Dachau. Behind Conrad's mythification of Africa as 'heart of darkness', a racist projection in Achebe's view, Walcott discloses the hidden truth, that if 'darkness' means immorality and the failure of compassion, then it can be found in the horrors of Nazism. The 'Jacob' figure suggests Max Jacob, an early surrealist writer and painter, a Parisian Jew, who died in a concentration camp in 1944. He is here imagined as expressing the horror of what was happening in appropriately surreal terms, in which the effort to imagine a negative is couched in firmly positive terms. The idea of an expressionist natural world, sentient and compassionate, is what leaps from the page, not that of an
uncaring divinity. The collapse of time, of the death-camps' 'then' into the third
world's 'now' is accompanied by the collapse of geographical difference: the whole
world is used to provide an emblem of hunger, as the earth 'shows its rib cage' and the
moon 'goggles with the eyes of children'. By bringing third world starvation into an
imaginative relationship with the hunger and suffering at camps such as Dachau, a
moral relationship is implied: that it is not right to look for guilt in relation to one
event, but not the other. The readiness with which we allocate blame for what
happened under Nazism, the poem implies, should lead us to identify our
responsibility for what is happening in the third world today. The sinister use of
science, which has replaced religion, with its 'tinkling nickel instruments on the white
altar', is part of the pattern: the North is the abode of scientific rationalism, which, to
Walcott's mind, undermines our capacity to feel and care. In this volume, his first
collection published since his new residence in the North, he seems to have sharpened
his perspective of the particularity of his own region, the Caribbean, conscious of the
values he misses, while reaching out to a wide-ranging engagement with what he sees
as specifically northern problems. Thus the theme of the holocaust in 'North and
South' is here taken further.

Fanon as well as Achebe may have inspired this address to racism through the
case of Nazism. In *Black Skin, White Masks* Fanon states the egalitarian ideology:

> Colonial racism is no different from any other racism. / Anti-Semitism hits me
head on: I am enraged, I am bled white by an appalling battle, I am deprived
of the possibility of being a man. I cannot dissociate myself from the future
that is proposed for my brother.

He follows this with an assertion of moral responsibility: 'Every one of my acts
commits me as a man. Every one of my silences, every one of my cowardices reveals
me as a man.' Fanon's footnote relates this to the ideas of Karl Jaspers as to
collective guilt, related specifically to the case of Germany. Jaspers argues that 'each
shares responsibility for every injustice and every wrong committed in the world, and
especially for crimes that are committed in his presence or of which he cannot be
ignorant. If I do not do whatever I can to prevent them, I am an accomplice in them.'

Fanon repudiates Jaspers's religious concept of morality, but allies himself with his
idea of responsibility, in that 'the least of my actions involves all mankind'. He then
cites Jung as saying that, 'confronted by an Asiatic or a Hindu, every European has
equally to answer for the crimes perpetrated by Nazi savagery. Walcott, on the other hand, reconnects the humanitarian responsibility directly to divine ordinance, showing Nazism as specifically a failure of the religious spirit in a godless age, and ending with a biblical mantra, 'and have not charity'.

The poem's cryptic Rimbaud allusion falls into place in the light of the Achebe. Famous for giving up poetry in favour of a colonial life on the upper Nile, he is shown as caring 'less for one human face / than for the scrolls in Alexandria's ashes'. The scrolls are both commodities and culture, both of which are overvalued if they are put above the precious individual person. Walcott portrays Rimbaud as the possessor of the cynical knowledge that people do 'turn away to read', insulating themselves from others' suffering by taking refuge in culture, narrowly defined. The world-traveller, in particular, is wilfully blind. The sunset scene on the Nile is redolent of the Severn embarcation earlier in the poem, and recalls the twinned rivers of *Heart of Darkness*, the Thames and the Congo, with their different lessons as to the consequences of imperialism. The setting also recalls Walcott's own distinction in 'Origins' between the great rivers associated with continental scenarios of abuse of power, and the innocent seas and little rivers of his own region. Rimbaud, in Africa, never took up his pen creatively again, but in his querulous correspondence revealed his scorn of his own predicament and of the societies in which he had put himself - 'des déserts peuplés de nègres stupides'. In embracing the goals of a materialist empire he found only misery, not compassion, and not guilt.

With typical deftness of suggestion, in 'the bright water could not dye his hand', Walcott reveals also an intertextual relationship with Auden's essay, *The Dyer's Hand*, which explores the function of poetry. Auden, whom Walcott knew and admired, also refers to Rimbaud, in a discussion to which he offers the preamble, 'I am always interested in hearing what a poet has to say about the nature of poetry.' After quoting Baudelaire on poetry's 'obscure laws', he moves to the 'modern' need to defend the value of poetry, and by implication, all art: 'In trying to formulate principles, a poet may have another motive which Baudelaire does not mention, a desire to justify his writing poetry at all, and in recent years this motive seems to have grown stronger.' This is followed immediately by a compressed reference to Rimbaud, supposedly illustrative of the point:
The Rimbaud Myth - the tale of a great poet who ceases writing, not because, like Coleridge, he has nothing more to say, but because he chooses to stop - may not be true, I am pretty sure it is not, but as a myth it haunts the artistic conscience of this century.35

In one breath Auden has both set up the myth and demolished it. Unfortunately, he does not say why he thinks the myth untrue. He proceeds, by reference to different cultures' understanding of the sacred and the profane, to an analysis of what he describes, adapting Coleridge, as the sacred and profane kinds of imagination, and of the role of art:

The impulse to create a work of art is felt when, in certain persons, the passive awe provoked by sacred beings or events is transformed into a desire to express that awe in a rite of worship or homage, and to be fit homage, this rite must be beautiful. This rite has no magical or idolatrous intention; nothing is expected in return. Nor is it, in a Christian sense, an act of devotion. If it praises the Creator, it does so indirectly by praising His creatures - among which may be human notions of the Divine Nature. With God as Redeemer, it has, so far as I can see, little if anything to do. In poetry the rite is verbal; it pays homage by naming.36

This passage could stand as epigraph to Walcott's works. His sensibility is very much in this mould, seeing the act of naming as a rite for the race, undertaken by a few (Auden's 'certain persons') and motivated by homage: the aspiration, as he puts it in Omeros, to 'give those feet a voice'.37 The claiming of special status which the assumption of the mantle of artist entails is counterbalanced by the self-abasement of homage, the dedication of the self to the rite. The artist can thus defend him/herself against charges of self-overvaluation. Auden's title The Dyer's Hand suggests both a high valuation of poetry as a craft which 'colours' the world, and the way in which its practitioners are 'marked' by their craft. Walcott, however, writes, 'the bright water could not dye his hand / any more than poetry.' There is an implicit allusion here to Shakespeare's Macbeth, with its symbolic idea of indelible blood-guilt staining the murderer's hand.38 The idea of an expressionist nature, accusing the guilty by demonstrating to them the 'horror' of what they are responsible for - the imagined reddening of the water with the blood of Africans, sacrificed to the colonial project - is revealed as just a fantasy. But the poem ironizes its own project in parallel, with an acknowledgment that it too is incapable of marking the guilty. The complex implication would seem to be that the only guilt which is productive is not that which
is allocated, but that which is self-assumed. In Christian ethics, only the sinner can say *mea culpa*. The progress of the poem's agonistic thought is inexorably towards the fortunate traveller's self-accusation, and implicitly towards the poet's *mea culpa*, inviting that of the reader.

'The Fortunate Traveller' is also intertextual in other ways. In particular it is, as it were, Walcott's *Waste Land*,39 responding to the form, the cultural pluralism, and the apocalyptic metaphysics of Eliot's poem (itself a response to the Conrad), as well as to others such as Conrad's *Heart of Darkness* and Achebe's essay. The relationship with the Conrad is explicit; that with the Eliot implicit, though profound. Like the earlier works it addresses the great ethical questions of social and personal responsibility, but it shifts the ground of social critique from Conrad's imperialism and Eliot's materialism to the neocolonialism of global capitalism, the system which ensures that the majority of the world's people stay hungry. It resembles it also as a philosophical exploration of the modern condition, perceived as atheistic, to which the poet posits a reaffirmation of spiritual values. The shape and scope of both narratives is broadly similar, although there are major differences of style and treatment. Both are small-scale epics, in the epyllion tradition, subdivided into unequal sections. Eliot begins, like Walcott, with a season (spring becomes winter in the Walcott), in a different language milieu in Europe, and moves to England. Each poem juxtaposes the English imperial centre of London and the Thames, where a history of empire is remembered (as in the Conrad), with other rivers, and other warmer climates. In both, a desert landscape is evoked, but in the Eliot there is a turning east to a different river, the Ganges, and to different spiritual traditions, those of Hinduism and Buddhism (a development of the Conradian presentation of Marlow as a Buddha-figure). Walcott's equivalent is to suggest the archaic religious practices of early European communities, 'savages [who] dyed our pale dead with ochre'. Both use a range of narrators, and both make extensive intertextual allusions, including quotations, the most prominent being from ancient sacred texts. Each poem evidently intends the newness of its meanings to be inserted into a grand narrative, the intricate, extensive and diverse discourse of western culture. Eliot's equivalent of apocalypse is couched in orientalist terms, but Walcott keeps the religious resonances of his poem firmly within the Christian tradition. Following Eliot in going beyond the Conrad, he moves from apocalyptic threat to a promise of peace, not in 'The Fortunate Traveller' itself but in the volume's
concluding poem, which parallels the development of Eliot's poem to a closure in the mantra 'shanti', the Vedic invocation of peace. The movement to resolve the negative in the positive is powerfully realized in *The Waste Land*. When 'The Fortunate Traveller' is read in sequence with its following poem it displays a similar movement; 'The Season of Phantasmal Peace' seems to complete the preceding poem's radically unconcluded thought. The first poem, extending Eliot's references to a literature of revenge tragedy, ends on a minatory apocalyptic note, addressed to the world's fortunate travellers, but not enacted. Where it concludes with the threat of vengeance on the guilty, 'The Season of Phantasmal Peace', however, functions as a coda enacting redemptive relief. Because the two poems unfold such a close dynamic relationship they will be considered here in tandem, as if a diptych.

Like Eliot's, Walcott's poem is enigmatic and intricately allusive, but like his, its surface delivers images strong enough to support that burden of intellectualism. Walcott, like Eliot, anatomizes the soul of Europe in his time (a project which demands complexity) and finds great guilt, but where Eliot's concern at a godless age is explored particularly through allegories of failed relationships, Walcott moves the moral issues to the collective failure to distribute resources equably and to recognize the full humanity of others: these are the Conradian twin targets, the political wrong enabled by the cultural error. His Kurtz-figure is alive and well and travelling first class. In a radical revision of *Heart of Darkness* this 'Kurtz' brings his Northern guilt to the South for sanctuary among the innocent. Conrad's focus on the discourses which mythify power as benign is adapted by Walcott to a revolutionary reading of the power of language. As the poem unfolds he invests the phrase 'the heart of darkness' with different meaning not once but twice, demonstrating the renewability of culture which could lead the transformation of social relations. Eliot offers 'the heart of light' as counter to the Conradian phrase, but Walcott takes on the much more radical project of disclosing the arbitrariness of the bond between sign and signified, and the open-endedness of language, always open to metamorphosis.

Like Eliot, Walcott is drawn to the early modern period of English literature, that of the Elizabethans and Jacobians, as both his poem and Eliot's *The Waste Land* testify. Walcott's poem foregrounds its title, 'The Fortunate Traveller', voicing a class-privileged variant with obvious irony: 'One flies first-class, one is so fortunate.' The reader is distanced from the speaker, with its clearly evoked orality, in the same way that Eliot distances his reader from his aristocratic reader-traveller, Marie, by the tone
The Fortunate Traveller' s Intertext

of her speaking voice: 'I read, much of the night, and go south in the winter.' Walcott's title is, however, an ironization of Thomas Nashe's *The Unfortunate Traveller*, published in 1594, which uses a fictional journey to convey in satiric vein a loveless and godless approach to life through the persona of Jack Wilton, its anarchic hero. Walcott exposes the moral subterfuge of Nashe's title: the details of the story show that rather than being subject to misfortune, Wilton is as much oppressor as oppressed. It may be, too, that Walcott has particularly in mind H.F.B.Brett-Smith's 1927 edition of the narrative, which compares Nashe's picaresque hero with 'the little Spaniard of Tejares, the first *picaro* of literature', emphasizing their difference, but in terms which resonate with the themes of 'The Fortunate Traveller'. The prototype, Lazaro, 'servant in turn to many masters and starved by them all, spends the earlier part of his life in a miserable conflict with hunger, and the latter in a replete and greasy satisfaction.' Nashe's protagonist, however, is different:

> With such a rascal Jack Wilton has nothing in common but a varied and wandering existence, and whereas the Spaniard travelled only in Spain, and from necessity, the Englishman did it from a lively curiosity, and made the grand tour. His poverty in the opening scenes is not the gnawing hunger of the beggar, which drove Lazaro to steal loaves and small change, but the chronic impecuniosity of the undergraduate or the nobleman's page.

Like Walcott's protagonist, Nashe's is, in fact, 'fortunate', particularly when set against those in real misfortune. By using Nashe in this way, Walcott displays how the western elite has for at least four centuries appropriated the rest of the world as cultural tour, and has indulged in self-pity when it should have been showing pity to others.

Where Conrad evokes the Elizabethan age at the start of *Heart of Darkness* as an age of adventurers, Walcott, more like Eliot, revisits less its history than its culture, in particular, its theatre of tragedy. Eliot, by part-quotation, invokes Shakespeare's Cleopatra in *The Waste Land*, and quotes Kyd's *Spanish Tragedy* at his conclusion; Walcott, in parallel, implies Shakespeare's *Macbeth* (in the idea of the guilt-reddened hand), and quotes the Jacobean tragedian, John Webster. For Walcott's fortunate traveller is not just the person with the privilege of the plane ticket but is also the generic figure of the intellectual voyager, the artist and the scholar. He is the 'Sussex don' whose subject is Jacobean drama with its 'anxieties', a man who would know
Nashe's *Unfortunate Traveller*. The freedom to enjoy such tragedies as Webster's is, the poem suggests, a luxury.

A culture of tragedy can, however, produce a collective pathology. The poem invites a comparison of the enacted cruelty of such drama with the acted-out barbarism of Nazism, which it links to the atheistic heroics of Nietzschean philosophy. Websters' plays' accelerating movement towards tragic closure, with its cathartic effect, is implicit in the poem's climax: 'The drawn end comes in strides.' The poem in fact mimics the drama: the mood of existential dread at its conclusion is modelled on Webster's, and its address to white guilt seems an implicit echo of his title, *The White Devil*, a revision of Conrad's re-deployment of European culture's traditional association of devils with blackness. The polarities of Webster's moral landscape - the unscrupulous ambition of Flamineo in *The White Devil* at the opposite end from the Duchess in *The Duchess of Malfi*, who wished only to love without class, and was sacrificed to power - make for a drama of exquisite pathos at the prospect of damnation. It is also a theatre of revenge. In the Italian tradition, honour demands that the individual wreak vengeance on the one who has done him wrong, and the Italianate plays of Webster take what we might with hindsight call a Gothic satisfaction in pursuing the logic of this imperative to its bloody conclusion. Walcott's poem stages the Two-Thirds World's demand for vengeance against the rich world, but closes with the nemesis threatened rather than enacted. It thus defers to the biblical injunction that vengeance is a divine prerogative, although it uses the moral terror which its threat creates. Here, once more, it is nature that is the force outraged by human immorality, and implicitly the potential avenger: the 'drawn sword' along the length of the beach is a figure for the gleaming length of a breaking wave. But it does not destroy, its apocalypse deferred. The refusal to incorporate revenge in the poem, however, has major implications for its ethical exploration of justice as against mercy, privileging the latter.

The madonna-figure of Webster's duchess, 'loved' by the poem's persona, is a beacon of love in the morally benighted landscape of *The Duchess of Malfi*, murdered as she asks her killers to care for her children, and dying with the poem's emblematic metaphysical plea for mercy on her lips. The poem's evocation of this pathos points out the indulgence implicit in it by the flatness of the following sentence: 'Then I saw children pounce / on green meat with a rat's ferocity.' Webster's duchess begs her
murderer not to forget her son's 'syrup for his cold', but Haiti's children, scandalously, four centuries later, have no access to adequate food, much less medicine. Can tragedy be entertaining as fiction to those who are alert to the real tragedy in the world, the poem asks. The crux of the poem is thus enlarged; it becomes clear that it is not only about the conjunction of commerce and morality, but about the conjunction of the world and art. The attention is pinned to the difficult question of the responsibility of art: if it makes possible a world in which pity is exercised in response to fictional tragedy, rather than life – in which the privileged are moved more by Webster than by real suffering, and pity is another titillation rather than a spur to action – it must be changed. The aestheticization of tragedy which has characterized European culture since ancient times is identified as a key moral problem, conditioning western minds to an acceptance, even a glorification, of suffering. In western culture the tragic hero is seen as noble, and courageous sacrificial death is exalted. Walcott's poem questions this aesthetic, exposing its historical role as enabler of atrocities and oppression, and raising instead the exemplar of the southern cultures, based not on tragic but on comedic relations between people, where myths of redemption prove of stronger appeal than myths of violent sacrifice.

Finally, by situating his poem against such texts Walcott secures and validates an aesthetic, enabling himself to match the high-flown tone of their metaphysical and poetic drama. He attempts the 'high style', disregarding 'the prevailing conviction among poets and readers that eloquence is no longer possible', says James Atlas, while Denis Donoghue characterizes it as 'dangerously high for nearly every purpose except that of Jacobean tragedy'. The irony of such comments being intended as criticisms will not have been lost on Walcott.

The poem also has an implicit intertextuality with one by Robert Lowell, who was a close friend of Walcott's. Lowell's poem 'Where the Rainbow Ends' has a related theme, cast also in an apocalyptic mould. The spiritual quest of the narrator, an 'exile', is for faith in love, 'the dove of Jesus', in a world dominated by commercial exploitation; Boston is depicted ironically as a counter-image of the holy city, its materialism rampant. Walcott cues his poem as a kind of response to Lowell's by echoing Lowell's presentation of 'the scythers, Time and Death' as 'helmed locusts', but he saves his own death-figure till the end. Lowell has several 'Beasts': as well as Time and Death, he gives 'Hunger' a capital letter, and the 'Scales, the pans of
judgement rising and descending.' The apocalyptic tone is resolved in the final image of redemption: the threat of justice, from which none can escape damnation, is replaced by the image from *Genesis*, of the dove with the olive branch of forgiveness - the move from *Revelation* to *Genesis*, from last book of the bible to first, enacting the redemption which enables the Christian to 'begin again'. Walcott ends 'The Fortunate Traveller' with his 'leather-helmed locust', but follows it with 'The Season of Phantasmal Peace' which corresponds to Lowell's ending. Lowell's use of standard Christian iconography in his resolution, however, is transformed by Walcott. The bird idea is common to both, but Walcott, prompted perhaps by Lowell's opening in a threatening, visionary image, 'I saw the sky descending, black and white, / Not blue,' inverts it in a great positive, of ascent. Walcott's two poems open out Lowell's in a chiefly secular meditation which offers the possibility that death is the end - as it approaches at the end of 'The Fortunate Traveller' - but then follows it with a separate proposition, of a redemption couched in untraditional imagery which transcends the particularities of religion.

The figure of the fortunate traveller as flier has resonances both in relation to the bodily and the spiritual worlds. Like Joyce's figure of Dedalus, Walcott, whose earlier persona of Shabine had embarked in the vessel *Flight*, reverses Lowell's image of the earth-bound pilgrim to whom the dove descends, with one, not only of the modern air-traveller, but of the spiritual voyager, who eventually rises up to bliss.

The intertext presiding over the poem as a whole is, however, the bible, which provides it with an epigraph from *Revelation*, the final book of the New Testament, also known as the Book of the Apocalypse. The idea of apocalypse was added to Conrad's *Heart of Darkness* in Coppola's *Apocalypse Now*, but Walcott had used it poetically in earlier works, such as 'The Star-Apple Kingdom'. Lois Zamora argues that apocalypse has a particular relevance to artists of the Americas, concerned to dramatize 'the integral relation between private and social destiny'.47 Walcott, however, turns to the bible not only for the dramatization of judgement through imagery of violent destruction, but also for the parallel epiphany of paradise. In addition the poem quotes the well-known letter of Paul to the Corinthians as part of its figuring of the opposition of justice to mercy, and concludes its movement towards vengeance with an assertion of its antithesis, love. The moral concerns of its politics mirror its metaphysical concerns. The persona of the fortunate traveller is an
allegorical figure of particular social relations, but it is the state of his soul which exercises the poem, as much as the state of the world.

The part of the poem set in the Caribbean maps a locus of spirituality: it is 'where the phantoms live'. St. Lucia is characterized as a place of animist faith, perceived to be full of not (Germanic) ghosts, but (French-inflected) phantoms. Conrad, who spoke French before he spoke English, also uses the word 'phantom', of the starving Africans. The term links the longer poem with its sequel, which foregrounds in its title the unusual word 'phantasmal', as part of the developing emphasis on questions of spiritual grace. The fortunate traveller is at first in dread of secular vengeance: 'Now I have come to where the phantoms live, / I have no fear of phantoms, but of the real.' The Caribbean is characterized as the abode of friendly spirits, as opposed to the scientific rationalism of the North. But the nocturnal meditation becomes a spiritual odyssey, addressing the dread of death, thanatos. It is conceived in specifically Christian terms. The Jonah myth of the Old Testament has long been read as proleptic of the death and resurrection of Christ: in this exegesis, the whale is the engulfing death, from which Jonah, like Christ, is later disgorged. But the poem takes a different line: Cetus, the word for the whale in the Latin of the Vulgate bible (as expounded by Melville in his chapter on the mythology of the whale), is Christ. The poem's firm statement echoes particularly Melville's American myth. The story of the urge to kill the great white whale, Moby Dick, is a spiritual parable, re-enacting the crucifixion. Walcott makes it seem also a political fable, in which (as in his play Dream on Monkey Mountain) the authority figure of whiteness - of white culture's hold on the self-image of black people - has to be sacrificed. In the poem, the sunset before the persona's eyes expresses the ancient guilt, as if once more mankind has killed its god. The sun's colour reflected in the water is like blood; the language of guilt and betrayal used of the Severn voyage is reiterated. Like Macbeth's, the traveller's guilt seems to tinge the whole ocean. But the spiritual exploration does not end there.

The Christian god, as presented in colonial discourse to the Caribbean imagination, is white. But so also is the fortunate traveller (he imagines his 'pale' ancestors). The poem now, transforming its attitude to him, imagines him no longer as arch-traitor, Judas who betrayed Christ for his silver 'salary', but the reverse, as in a Christ-like position, the night of agonized meditation being like that on Golgotha, with the threat of execution on the morrow. The poem's figuring of the call for justice,
in which by implication the black victims of empire would take revenge on their oppressors, in being translated to these terms, is thwarted: these good people of the Caribbean, the poem suggests, are as incapable of taking revenge as of killing their god. For the sequence enacts the mythic pattern of renewal. The sentence which begins 'Since God is dead...' appears to be about to confirm atheism, but as it unfolds it achieves the opposite. The 'heart of darkness', now, as it were, redeemed from the negative significance given it earlier in the poem, is used here to refer to the heart, as centre of compassion, of the dark-skinned races; it is in those hearts that god is kept alive. The image is of the watch over the newly dead, but the force of it is as in the story of the two dead witnesses of the apocalypse, whom the people will not allow to be buried. In effect, by refusing to allow the idea of god's death, they keep him alive. Walcott's capitalization of 'His Body' conveys his respect, in a traditional Christian way, but the inclusion of 'deya' with 'lampion', the little lamps of faith, in Hinduism and Catholicism respectively (both now naturalized to the Caribbean), shows the inclusiveness of his faith. Even he, writing by the tourist hotel's 'bedside lamp' (ubiquitous in the region), is engaged in a rite which helps to keep god alive. What began as an apparent confirmation of atheism thus turns out to be a statement of faith. The 'news' which is to be kept from the 'blissful ignorance' of the watchers is the report, now glossed as a lie, that god is dead. The 'backward' tribes' vigil over the divine body is thus the poem's central image of hope, and faith, the other two qualities (with charity) marked out in the Sermon on the Mount. It occupies the place which in the Conrad delivers Kurtz's revelation of 'the horror'. The scene provides the final remaking of the Conradian phrase 'heart of darkness', which is now invested with benign meaning: it is among the races victimized by white racism - who are the true 'heart' of 'darkness' - that faith and hope are to be found in abundance, and where miracles such as the exchange of death for life may be looked for.

Now the poem enacts a resurrection; in a Dantesque vision, the starving millions are imagined swarming towards the tree of life, as they would if they had wings. The image begins in a deliberately alienatory way, with 'lice', moves on to the rain-flies, which shed their wings, and finally reverses their drama in the agonizingly realized image of the human beings growing 'from sharp shoulder blades their brittle vans' and flying to the object of their desire. This swarming of the disadvantaged to the tree of life in the poem gains point against Revelation's description of it as
provider of everlasting fruit to feed the faithful, although another Caribbean text may also be implicit in the image of the rain flies (as with the Jean Rhys echo in the 'roaches' figure), in that it seems to echo and invert a climactic trope in V.S. Naipaul's *In a Free State*. However, where the crushing of a cloud of white butterflies on a car windscreen in the Naipaul symbolizes the pointless waste of human life, Walcott once again reinvests a similar signifier with an opposite signified: he changes it from tragedy to divine comedy, from death to life, although it remains a figure of desire, unrealized. For this is not paradise, and the tree of life is not that in the holy city, but life in this world, denied the poor by starvation. The insect imagery is explained: this is a world where people are really killed like vermin by greed, elevated to a global system. The poem brings not only the fortunate traveller but its reader to self-disgust, in the acknowledgement that wherever there is real suffering, 'we', the fortunate travellers, 'turn away to read'. This is the peak of its movement to try to get the guilty to whisper their *mea culpa*. The passage ends with a riddle. The 'ordinary secret' which the river conceals at every sunset is deliberately enigmatic. The idea of paying 'one debt' suggests, in association with 'shroud', that the debt we must eventually pay is death, but the language is redolent of Christian metaphor, to do with the ransom of death by the divine sacrifice. If that is so, then the 'ordinary secret' will be the proof of everlasting life, the apparent extinction of the sun being always followed by its reappearance. This is perhaps confirmed by the scene being notionally of Rimbaud on the Nile, the home of the ancient Egyptian myth that the sun was swallowed every night by the sky goddess Nut, to be reborn from her in the morning.

The final phase of the poem, however, revisits the revenger's tone, with the threat of death paramount. Walcott leads the reader through this night of agonized meditation with his persona, to a dawn like the last dawn of the condemned. It is evident that the concerns of the night are central to the whole poem; the persona whose moral self-examination is exposed is clearly Walcott himself as well as the fictional don (like 'conquistador', a Spanish term for male dominance, naturalized to English). In the manner of the Jacobean revenge play the moment of death approaches, bringing with it the nemesis of divine judgement. The 'spy' persona who, using his superior vantage point, 'screws down the individual sorrow', is no different from the poet or any other enjoyer of privilege: the poem reminds us that we should all fear the accusation that we have failed the third world. The epigraph, with which
the poem's intertextual relationship with the bible is initiated, introduces the running
trope of food through which the poem addresses its political target, the global order of
injustice, uniting with its figure of the third of the four horsemen of the apocalypse –
famine – the beginning with the end. Famine rides on a black horse, with scales for
weighing grain and for justice, thus uniting the twin concerns of the poem, material
and ethical. The poem's 'drawn sword' recalls the swords wielded in the biblical
account by the second and fourth of the four horsemen of the apocalypse, war and
death (although the sword is only one of the many kinds of death envisioned).52 The
emphasis on the sword, through its matching with the gleam of a breaking wave,
enables the sense of an action always imminent, like the sword of Damocles as figure
of divine vengeance, but never completed. It models the radically unfinished
chronicity of epic, as at the end of *Omeros*, with the sea 'still going on'. The poem
thus withholds the threatened apocalypse.53

The third horseman finally proves to be the locust, an appropriate image of the
ultimate threat of global famine. While being the creature most associated with
famine in real life and in the bible generally, it is also present in *Revelation* as one of
the woes sent in divine vengeance after the opening of the seventh seal. Loosed from
the abyss by the fifth angel, these are not like the earthly locusts, but are war-horses
with human faces and women's hair; led by a king known in Hebrew, Greek and Latin
as Abaddon, Apollyon or Exterminator, they are to attack those without God's mark
on their foreheads. The poem, however, clearly intends nature's 'grasshopper' which
brings famine, rather than the gold-crowned mythical locust of the apocalypse, which
brings pain.54 It is with the locust, however, that empire is introduced in the middle of
the poem, a multi-layered figure of the terrible triple imperial abuses of political
conquest, hypocrisy and rape, committed symbolically on the female body of the land,
a new world named after its flowers, by the also appropriately named conquistador,
Ponce:

I saw them far off, kneeling on hot sand
in the pious genuflections of the locust,
as Ponce's armoured knees crush Florida
to the funereal fragrance of white lilies.

The act of love is here deformed into an act of death. The nexus between the poem's
twin themes of empire and hunger is here exposed: the imperialist strips both land and
people as does the locust. The 'darker' people are being cast in the role of sacrificial victims by the whites who wish to play cannibal. The final locust is an inversion of this, suggesting those victims' turning the tables, by inflicting famine on those who starved them: a figure of what is ironically often called 'poetic' justice.

The overarching discourse of the dialectics of justice as against mercy is central to Christian thought. The book of Revelation gives terrifying images of justice as punishment for sin, clearing away the old corrupt order, as preparation for its delivery of the image of the city of God. But the concluding lines use also one of the best-known Christian texts of all, that of St.Paul's letter to the Corinthians, here in unfamiliar tone as a threatening chorus. The repeated phrase, 'and have not charity', reads as an urgent imperative (the tense which has its existence somewhere between present and future) - 'and if you have not charity' taking on the force of 'have charity!'. What the text teaches (and it follows after a reminder of apocalyptic judgement) is not only the core of Christian theology but a commonly held secular gospel, that love is paramount. Today the continuum of meaning in English usage between 'love' and 'charity' is increasingly weakening; in the poem 'charity' is used, as in the King James bible, for 'love'. The world the poem describes, divided between the Haves and the Have-nots, is the product of a failure of 'charity', of love.

For the poem's closing apocalyptic tone is secular as well as religious, the threat of divine retribution represented in terms of the threat of starvation for all. Walcott now revisits Revelation for its particular chronotope, that of eschatology, in which the ending of everything is articulated. An accelerating sense of the fortunate traveller's impending personal end in death is staged, but it is clear that this is an allegory, that the real drama is collective and symbolic. The poem ends on the brink of an apocalypse in which the whole of history will be judged, and in which the individual may be condemned for ever. It is a threatening ending, which haunts the imagination, as it is intended to do. The present tense, the word-order, and the deliberate, leaping rhythm of 'stalks / grasshopper: third horseman / leather-helmed locust' are meant to terrify. This political and metaphysical threat brings the poem-as-story to an end, demonstrating that there will be no hiding place for the greed-driven privileged. As the fortunate traveller has his refuge in the islands 'found out', so the northern fortresses of western and eastern power blocks are also vulnerable. The threat the poem articulates is in terms of food-shortages, caused by 'natural' disasters such as the final 'locust', but its representation of the 'soft teeth' of pests destroying crops is not
only a warning about the environmental impact of industrialized food production. The colonial discourse of cannibalism is here doubly inverted, as the northern 'cannibals', the greedy privileged who prey on their fellow men, are, in turn, 'eaten', as 'the weevil will make a sahara of Kansas, / the ant shall eat Russia'. The moral warning is bible-inspired, that as you sow, so shall you also reap. The north which exploits the south will find the tables turned. The 'invasion' by vermin, another running metaphor, is therefore a political allegory: the starving millions who are likened to rain-flies swarming to the tree of life are here the eaters, who threaten the north's supplies. The world economy in which the producers maintain prices by destroying food rather than distributing it to the hungry is condemned in ringing tones: for 'though you fire oceans of surplus grain' (now spoken in direct second-person address from the third world to the rich world), the present economic order is unsustainable, both practically and morally.

'The Season of Phantasmal Peace', which follows 'The Fortunate Traveller' and concludes the volume, also has its roots in a text of *Revelation*, but Walcott completely reconceives it. *Revelation* speaks of all the birds of the air being summoned by the angel of the sun to feast on the carrion of the armies of Satan (defeated by the first horseman, the white knight). Walcott assembles the birds for quite another purpose. In remaking the apocalyptic story, he also remodels the image in 'The Fortunate Traveller' through which the persona expresses the most sinister yet seductively aesthetic philosophy: 'I thought, who cares how many million starve? / Their rising souls will lighten the world's weight / and level its gull-glittering waterline.' In the following poem, however, the essence of this image of rising and lightening is given exquisite expression in a new mode, as blessing, instead of damnable callousness. As in the reconstruction of the phrase 'the heart of darkness' from a negative to a positive meaning, so here the negative expression of lack of pity is remade into an expression of great and tender concern, which expresses the central tenet of Walcott's philosophy, a belief in 'Love, made seasonless', an elevation of the tropical climate to metaphysical symbol. Although 'The Fortunate Traveller' ends with the threat of divine vengeance, 'The Season of Phantasmal Peace' models a benign paradisal vision. It seems intended to be taken in tandem with 'The Fortunate Traveller', since it carries forward the apocalyptic drama, using the biblical silence which follows the opening of the seventh seal, and ushers in the redeemed order of
heaven. The relief offered in the sequel is profound. The darkness of the earth is contrasted with the upper realm of light, revising Conrad's schema one last time. The poem's lifting movement is an extraordinarily physical evocation of flight, and of transformation to weightlessness, figuring a visionary translation from body to spirit. The bible's image of the heavenly realm as city is here translated into natural terms, as birds lift the 'wingless' up to the sky, leaving behind the perhaps irredeemable world beneath, with its urban 'dark holes'. It is in this poem that the previous poem's dialectics of justice and mercy are reconceived as 'Love' (the capital letter indicating the Christian concept of divine love), the only thing 'brighter than pity'. The binary symbolism of climate in 'The Fortunate Traveller' is now developed to the transformed concept of the 'seasonless', the world's mutability translated to divine changelessness. But the poem offers only a glimpse of this possibility. The epiphany lasts for 'one moment' of apocalyptic time, in which things are held, not reeled back as in 'The Star-Apple Kingdom' (Walcott's earlier engagement with a poetics of apocalypse); but the poem and the volume end on an exquisitely judged note of balance, between the depressing truth of degenerate human nature and the hopeful, encompassing truth of a greater compassion, in the acknowledgement that 'for such as our earth is now, it lasted long.' It thus returns its reader to the world of injustice and suffering, the 'now' recording an acutely contemporary chronicity, as in Coppola's title, *Apocalypse Now*. It becomes evident that like the previous poem, this too is a response to Nietzsche, who wrote, 'God is dead; but considering the state the species Man is in, there will perhaps be caves, for ages yet, in which his shadow will be shown.' Walcott includes the 'dark holes' of human habitation, but lifts the Nietzschean 'shadows' to a realm of 'phantasmal light', before reinscribing the world's degenerate reality. The poem therefore concludes its Dantesque vision (redolent of Canto XXXIII of the *Paradiso*) in a resumption of the ethical project of the preceding poem, its impetus of desire for bliss reconnected to socio-political reality. The unspoken admonition haunting the symbolic silence is that the world must be changed as the first step towards realizing the vision.

It is as if 'The Fortunate Traveller' represented a kind of extremity for Walcott. In its quasi-dramatic, largely third person narration, and the intense reductiveness of its web of cultural allusion, it represented a refinement of the previous years' experiments, since the completion of *Another Life*, to find a new form of extended
7. The Fortunate Traveller’s Intertext

poem. Although all the longer poems of the volume are literary, the intertextual method reaches a *ne plus ultra* here, an arcane sophistication which can seem alienatory, but which on reflection delivers a condensed signifying which could be reached in no other way. For Walcott, a simple statement of pathos, as in James Berry’s contemporary poem on the theme of Third World starvation, ‘Fantasy of an African Boy’, which won the British National Poetry Competition in 1981, is no longer enough, a tougher stance seeming necessary to jolt the complacency of the privileged reader (and it is important not to forget that Walcott as often deploys intertextuality with Caribbean texts as he does with those of other literatures). His new theme of exile, conveyed through the persona of the fortunate traveller, is tinged with guilt and grief at the loss of a better place, for Walcott, in self-imposed exile, does not shed the Caribbean as poetic subject, despite the *congé* tone of formal leave-taking characterizing ‘The Schooner Flight’. On the contrary, he becomes more self-consciously its spokesman, shouldering the task of pricking the moral conscience of the fortunate. He comes nearer than ever in this poem to righteous anger – *saeva indignatio* – on behalf of the third world, but his moral quest to cry ‘*J’accuse*’ to the north on behalf of the south cannot in the end escape reinscribing the Christian imperative of mercy, and the personal odyssey which leads him to a tormented acknowledgement of complicity in guilt leads him also to the hope of grace. The revelation delivered at the core of the poem, the inversion of Kurtz’s ‘horror’ to a reaffirmation of the promise of redemption, alters the poem’s apocalyptic project, its impetus towards vengeance. Finally the threat of nemesis has to be translated into nature’s terms, the ecological disaster of global famine, not only because the greedy materialists of the north, the fortunate travellers whose moral self-examination it seeks, would repudiate any transcendental notions of theocratic justice, but also because the faith which the poem shows still surviving in the ‘heart of darkness’ (now remade as a positive marker) is, above all, a faith in divine mercy, extended, of course, to the guilty. The equivalent of Marlow’s ‘lie’ to the Intended in Conrad’s *Heart of Darkness* is the poem’s great final ‘truth’: that its threat of revenge can be no more than a threat, for ultimately redemption is available to all, whether of south or north. The poem makes an angry protest at injustice, and at the failure of compassion. In Walcott’s near-despair, art remains the last hope:
I’m saying that what we absorb daily as human beings in the twentieth century is that we absorb massacres, we absorb earthquakes, we absorb genocide. And we absorb it through the media. It isn’t that one is more callous, but there is some kind of terrible indifference that goes on... We invent a language that covers the idea of pity. We have an absolutely Orwellian condition now of language... what we say about famine in Ethiopia is ‘My God, weren’t they starving last year? You mean they’re starving again this year? Does that mean another benefit?’... *homme moyen* – the average man or average woman – just takes that as part of daily life, and that is terrifying... art is perhaps the only chance we still have left to find that compassion.61

Once more, a problem constituted and perpetuated in a discourse, is seen as best addressed in a counter-discourse. The intertextual method is a mythopoeic engagement with the world of language. But once again, Walcott’s ideological strategy is double. He not only deconstructs the prevalent failed discourse with his ironizing narrative, he makes the gift of a positive image, as something to aspire to. For the other abiding figure of the poem is of the good people of the South who, despite their material poverty, are rich in the things that matter most, and can teach the mean-spirited and tragedy-oriented North about the value of faith, hope and love.
8

‘The theatre of our lives’: Founding an Epic Drama

Antonio: His word is more than the miraculous harp. [The Tempest II.i.90]

From his earliest days as an artist, Walcott’s vision of a Caribbean aesthetic led him not just to epic poetry but to epic drama. His practice has much in common with Brecht’s concept of epic theatre, but he has developed Brechtian theories and practice in his own personal and particularly Caribbean way. As a term from classical literature epic is associated first with poetry; in the western tradition it has not been usual to apply it to drama. The plays of Shakespeare, for instance, are usually classified as comedies, tragedies, and some hybrids between these two categories variously known as romances, tragi-comedies and histories, not epics, although a number of the plays might be called epic. The way plays such as Antony and Cleopatra or Coriolanus, for instance, deal with the connection between the individual and the collective invites such a term. Adaptations, such as that of the Antony and Cleopatra story, to the modern technology of film, which invite the label of epic, underline the complex relationship between epic and romance. Both are concerned with non-mimetic representation - with narrative which is remote from everyday life - but for different ends: where the objective of romance is escape from reality, the objective of epic is the reintegration of the reader-spectator into the real political world. The unusual combinations of effect Walcott has sought in some of his plays have led them sometimes to be regarded - and dismissed - as romance, when, if they had been understood as epic in intent, they might have earned a different kind of attention and respect.

Where epic poetry, associated with the classics, had, in the European tradition, acquired an aura of remoteness from the ordinary people, the term epic in this century has been repopularised through its application to the new art of film. From the earliest days of cinema the designation of epic was attached to certain kinds of drama with an
ambitious scope of representation, usually of events regarded as originating the contemporary nation or cultural group, such as Griffiths' *Birth of a Nation* made in 1915, which Woodrow Wilson likened to 'writing history with lightning', or the films of Eisenstein, narrating the key events of the Russian revolution. The term 'epic' was subsequently applied to the stage: it was Brecht who promoted the idea of an epic theatre, and who borrowed Eisenstein's concept of the new style of representation - his montage approach in which each episode could signify independently, and his provocation of the audience to think and act rather than to empathise - and adapted it to the theatre. After reading Marx's *Capital* in 1926, Brecht saw him as the ideal audience for his drama:

> the only spectator of my plays I'd ever come across. For a man with interests like his must of necessity be interested in my plays, not because they are so intelligent but because he is - they are something for him to think about.

He rejected the aesthetic of psychology, demanding an intellectual response more in tune with sociology and philosophy: 'The sociologist is the man for us', he wrote; 'This is a world and a kind of drama where the philosopher can find his way about better than the psychologist.' In an early attempt to summarise his concept of epic theatre Brecht wrote:

> The essential point of the epic theatre is perhaps that it appeals less to the feelings than to the spectator's reason. Instead of sharing an experience the spectator must come to grips with things. At the same time it would be quite wrong to try and deny emotion to this kind of theatre. It would be much the same thing as trying to deny emotion to modern science.

This is a crucial qualification: Brecht's idea of epic is not anti-emotion, but against unthinking emotion, the kind which bolsters the status quo by suppressing critical thought. The emotion which results from full political consciousness - the kind, for instance, which Brecht seeks to provoke with Mother Courage's silent scream - he saw as altogether different.

Brecht's 'anti-metaphysical, materialistic, non-aristotelian drama' which sought to teach the spectator a new 'practical attitude, directed towards changing the world', was much discussed in the 1950s when Walcott was grounding himself as a dramatist. The anti-mimetic stance was one which Walcott's early drama reflected, although some of his more recent plays have returned to a more conventional aristotelian approach. In Brecht's phrase, 'the aristotelian play is essentially static; its task is to
show the world as it is. The learning-play [Lehrstück] is essentially dynamic; its task is to show the world as it changes (and also how it may be changed). From his early plays on, Walcott explored different models of didactic theatre, ranging from the dialectical materialist episodes of Drums and Colours to the generic and symbolic approach of the folk morality play in Ti-Jean and his Brothers. His objective has certainly been to change the world, but where Brecht's notion of change is pinned firmly to the relations of production in the materialist world - with the political order - Walcott's has always been concerned primarily with the symbolic order which validates that political order. He has identified the most pernicious injustice suffered by his people as one of cultural representation, of which material injustice is a product. To address the latter without the former would be pointless. He therefore, while in exemplary Brechtian manner drawing attention to the means and control of production (for instance, by writing the cocoa-dancers into the story of The Last Carnival), focuses on key moments of cultural production, transmission and interaction. Walcott's equivalent to a Brechtian symbolic moment - such as that in Mann ist Mann in which a spurious 'elephant' is marketed as a commodity - is perhaps a scene such as that in The Last Carnival, in which a mimetic Eurocentric tableau represents the past hegemony of European art in a deconstruction of the indigenous creole culture of Trinidad in 1970. Where Brecht lays bare the bones of materialism, Walcott exposes first the skeletons of culture.

Walcott has never sought a theatre of elaborate mimetic effect, and even when representing what Brecht would have called bourgeois drama (in some of his musicals, or plays such as Remembrance or Viva Detroit), does so for political ends, as Brecht does in The Threepenny Opera. As with the public response to this play, the tendency of some spectators to read his plays within a dominant type rather than as an anti-type is a problem common to much ironic representation. Like Brecht, Walcott typically gives trenchant exposure to the materialist causes of action, taking on capitalism principally in its imperialist manifestation, and is less interested in the Freudian psychology of his characters as individuals than in their interaction with society, both as products of the social order and as transmitters of it. However, in a theatrical tradition which is still largely wedded to mimesis, the unconventional nature of the effects sought can be alienatory without being productively so, as Brecht intended. As Brecht was acutely aware, to appreciate epic theatre the spectator needs to become an 'expert', schooled in 'complex seeing', a perceptiveness blunted by the
linear manipulation of the spectator in conventional theatre, who is led through a carefully controlled sequence of responses.

Walcott parts company with Brecht, however, and with the modern western tradition in general, over his metaphysical strategy. Brecht's anti-metaphysical position reflects the scientific rationalism of the modern West, but for Walcott the refusal of mimesis as method entails its replacement with a symbolic method drawing on myth. Like Brecht he draws on mythic forms such as the folk fable, but unlike Brecht he does not just use them dialectically but takes the metaphysical seriously. In the act of disenchantment he re-enchants, with the fundamental mythic gest (to borrow Brecht's term) of the refusal of death in a return to life, enacted as a recurrent motif in his drama. As a result his drama is ultimately not Brechtian, although it draws on Brecht's ideas, nor is it traditionally mimetic. It offers a new model: a post-colonial theatre which is politically oriented but re-connects to drama's ancient root in sacred ritual in order to reach its ideological objective.

Although Brecht subsequently replaced the term 'epic' with 'dialectical', in response to persistent misunderstandings, he did not significantly alter his meanings. Wright sums up what his epic theatre signified:

By "epic", Brecht is broaching a definition which transcends the traditional concept of the genre. The epic (das Epische) is not only not tied to a particular genre, but it can also be found in other genres, taking with it its connotations of narrative distance. The drama thereby surrenders the old characteristic quality of suspense, together with its concomitant effect of luring the audience into purely subjective identifications and the final granting of emotional release. Instead the stage begins not only to narrate but also to comment and criticize from a viewpoint not necessarily tied to the immediate action.12

The theatre of illusion was to be replaced by a new relation between drama and audience, the distance of Verfremdungseffekt (alienation or estrangement) provoking the audience to think rather than feel, and particularly to think historically in order to make informed choices about action in the future. The past, particularly as conceived post-Foucault, becomes a primary subject: in Wright's phrase, 'the re-writability of the text of history offers a model for the theatre.'13 Brecht regards the author not as originator but simply as someone who 'produces from the materials of history'.14 Walcott, too, is concerned with representing history not only in his poems but also in his plays. Proceeding from a lively awareness of the role of the grand narratives which ignored the existence of communities like his own and portrayed the past in
order to maintain the hegemonic centre, he began from his earliest days as a dramatist
to write alternative histories for his own people, reflecting different starting points,
choices and assumptions. This takes place within a developing critique challenging
the value of history as discourse; he deconstructs the dominant account, replacing the
grand narrative with a socially aware local alternative - but then affirms that history is
not as important as it claims to be. The apparent ambivalence is a dialectical strategy
resulting in the mythic approach: to overemphasise history would be to lock the
present to a tragic past and, disastrously, the future to revenge, when what he wishes
to assert is the possibility of renewal and of fresh beginnings. In Christian terms, it
connects with the difference between the ethic of justice in the Old Testament and the
ethic of redemption in the New. While it invests in the ideal, it does not repeat the old
utopianism of the bourgeois theatre of illusion.

Myth and history have gone hand in hand in Walcott's dramatic works. Where
some of his early drama, most notably Drums and Colours, shows a very Brechtian
approach to history, Walcott was already developing his own style with the mythic
folk play: both Ti-Jean and his Brothers and Drums and Colours were first performed
in 1958. As his career has progressed he has never entirely abandoned the history
play, his most recent one, 'The Ghost Dance', integrating the two dimensions of
history and myth to an unprecedented degree. Walcott has gone beyond Brecht in
understanding the role of myth in society and its radical potential in art. Walcott, like
Brecht, believes in the transformative power of art, but unlike Brecht he regards that
power as essentially metaphysical.

This has implications for kind and form. Brecht used comedy for social
change: for him, according to Wright, the 'target of comedy is the historical
irrelevance and inauthentic modes of living of a society stuck with an outworn set of
beliefs long after history has moved on.' His perception that the comic process could
be introduced anywhere led to his refusal of comedy and tragedy as absolute
categories of narrative. In his own practice as a playwright he blurred the genres, as
Wright explains, allowing the historical context to determine whether a sequence of
events was to be regarded as comic or tragic. The categories, in Wright's terms,

are not to be regarded as mutually exclusive, as essences which can be
reconciled, as in tragi-comedy, but as something intertwined in an ambivalent
way: the comic combines with the serious when their connection with social
and historical realities is revealed. The literary separation of them into two genres is itself an ideological act.16

This is particularly helpful in an evaluation of Walcott's practice as dramatist. He too typically intertwines comic and tragic elements in an ambivalent way, for ideological reasons, as the plays considered below demonstrate. He identifies the predominance of comedy as a feature of his cultural milieu. His 1970 essay, 'What the Twilight Says', which delineates a marked sense of his separation from the actors and the mass of the people, explores his relationship with his company and through them with the culture of his community, describing how his actors were 'humanists' whose 'genius is not violent, it is comic'. Rehearsing Genet's The Blacks, they 'cannot enjoy its mincing catamite dances of death', because 'their minds refuse to be disfigured'. Yet Walcott as their director wishes to lead them into a 'truly tragic joy'.17 But he differs from Brecht in that his ideological position is not tied to the materialist world. For him the world of 'reality' matters not in vacuo but because of its connection to a transcendent metaphysical 'reality'. Philosophically, then, Brecht's works of sceptical rationalism are remote from the metafiction informed by Walcott's faith; but both as artists and socialists use a similar practice in devising theatre pieces which will, they hope, help to transform the world.

Brecht's lasting importance, according to Wright, derives from his diversification of theatrical language, using folk forms and language to dialectical ends: he 'de-literalized the language of the stage, drawing upon the dialects of his own region in order to create a new language of the theatre that was neither purely regional nor purely classical.'18 The terms could be applied to Walcott's forging of a new language for Caribbean theatre which draws on the creole continuum as well as on the whole literary tradition in English. His signal contribution has been to explore ways in which textuality can deliver simultaneously in the theatre both the accessibility of informal language and the depth of poetry.

The founding of a theatre is an act not only of great vision but of great will-power. As children, Derek and his twin brother Roderick had, like most children, been play-makers19; as adults both became dramatists. In a very small island, with a tiny, fragmented community and little access to formal theatre, it would be difficult to overestimate the originality of such choices. What formal theatre there was in the region was dominated by the Western tradition, with an indigenous regional theatre barely budding: in the 1930s and 1940s Marcus Garvey, C.L.R.James and Roger
Mais, among others, had initiated local drama with an ideological objective, as part of the anti-colonial movement, but to two boys growing up in St. Lucia in the thirties and forties, these must have seemed remote, with films (mainly American) providing their only regular exposure to formal drama. But by 1994, according to Errol Hill, Derek had written thirty-eight dramas, Roderick twenty-six, with both of them writing musicals and screenplays alongside their stage plays. Walcott, looking back from middle age, has written, 'When one began twenty years ago it was in the faith that one was creating not merely a play, but a theatre, and not merely a theatre, but its environment.' The dream was that the theatre was to build the society to sustain it.

The lead from the older generation had been crucial. The boys' mother, Alix Walcott, was a keen amateur actor who recited Shakespeare about the house. The family's commitment to art had been beleaguered from the start, because it had to be asserted defensively against the island's disapproving Catholic majority:

> My mother's friends, those who had survived my father, had been members of an amateur dramatic group, some cultural club which had performed Shakespeare and given musical concerts, when my father was their "moving spirit"...Their existence, since most of them were from a religious minority, Anglican, Methodist or lapsed Catholic, had a defensive, doomed frailty in that steamy, narrow-minded climate. Perhaps because of this they believed in "the better things of life" with a defiant intensity, which drew them closely together. Their efforts, since the pattern would be repeated for my brother and me, must have been secretly victimized.

Where the lead of the parental generation is often resisted in the anti-authoritarian phase of adolescence, the boys were able to follow in their seniors' theatrical footsteps perhaps partly because these were already in an anti-authoritarian mode. Both twins went on to find themselves with early plays, selected to represent St. Lucia in the 1958 festivities for Federation, banned by the Catholic Church: Derek's *The Sea at Dauphin* was considered anti-religious, and Roderick's *Banjo Man* immoral. As a result the St. Lucia Arts Guild withdrew from the festival, although Derek's play *Drums and Colours* became its centrepiece.

But more importantly, as well as being surrounded by a small group of educated people committed to active drama and knowledgeable about world theatre, the boys identified with the island majority who in their daily lives engaged in informal drama, in their story-telling, their entertainments, and their community rituals: 'being poor,' said Walcott, 'we already had the theatre of our lives.' A band of
evangelists surrounded on a street corner were 'the shadows of his first theatre', as was the Christmas masquerade of the devil and his imps who 'would perform an elaborate black mass of resurrection at the street corners'. This is where Brecht said his theatre was to begin: 'The epic theatre wants to establish its basic model at the street corner, i.e. to return to the very simplest "natural" theatre, a social enterprise whose origins, means and ends are practical and earthly', but that street life in Walcott's experience was from the outset not just earthly but bound up with his community's expression of spirituality, as his choice of examples demonstrates.

St. Lucians lived a theatrical life, with 'everything performed in public'; 'The theatre was about us, in the streets, at lampfall in the kitchen doorway, but nothing was solemnised into cultural significance.' Walcott has described it as a 'simple schizophrenic boyhood' with its two lives, 'the interior life of poetry, the outward life of action and dialect.' What the oral tradition offered was the example of spontaneous creativity to set against the literary tradition's notion of text. Narration exhibited a primary formalism, a primary theatricality:

Best of all, in the lamplit doorway at the creaking hour, the stories sung by old Sidone, a strange croaking of Christian and African songs. The songs, mainly about lost children, were sung in a terrible whine. They sang of children lost in the middle of a forest, where the leaves' ears pricked at the rustling of devils, and one did not know if to weep for the first two brothers of every legend, one strong, the other foolish. All these sank like a stain. And taught us symmetry. The true folk tale concealed a structure as universal as the skeleton, the one armature from Br'er Anancy to King Lear. It kept the same digital rhythm of three movements, three acts, three moral revelations, whether it was the tale of three sons or three bears, whether it ended in tragedy or happily ever after. It had sprung from hearthside or lamplit hut-door in an age when the night outside was a force, inimical, infested with devils, wood-demons, a country for the journey of the soul, and any child who has heard its symmetry chanted would want to retell it when he was his own story-teller, with the same respect for its shape. The apparent conservatism of West Indian fiction, whether in fiction or the theatre, is not an imitative respect for moulds, but a memory of that form.

To a young dramatist with 'a mind drenched in Elizabethan literature', to whom 'the Jacobean style, its cynical, aristocratic flourish came naturally', the long-refined graces of the oral tradition with its simple, effective formalism offered a vital example.

Fired by this twin heritage and the independence movement's politics, the young Derek Walcott saw the need for founding a local – first island-based and then
regional – theatre and from the outset conceived of his own role not only as a poet but as an initiator of drama. In 1950 he and his brother established the St. Lucia Arts Guild which performed both canonical plays of world theatre and new local drama, by themselves and others; Derek was active with the undergraduate drama group while at Mona, the Jamaica campus of the then University College of the West Indies, and in 1959 he founded the Trinidad Theatre Workshop, a group which met and rehearsed for seven years before making its first public performance. To this group Walcott remained dedicated for twenty years. It was only after a personal crisis that he decided to make the move that most contemporaries with literary ambitions had made years before, the migration to a northern metropolis. Since settling in Boston he has continued to involve himself regularly with playmaking, not only as a dramatist but as a director, both in America (for 'The Ghost Dance', for instance) and back in the Caribbean, as well as the occasional foray elsewhere to assist in the rehearsal of his work, as by his residence at Stratford-on-Avon while The Odyssey was in rehearsal.

His life as a man of the theatre has thus been central to his aesthetic project; although it has rarely been his only activity - he has continually written non-dramatic poetry and necessarily been involved in other activities such as his journalism for The Trinidad Guardian to support his family - it has been a crucial determinant on where the middle decades of his life were lived, and came to represent a symbolic choice. Walcott's name became a byword among Caribbean artists for the possibility of remaining at home and becoming an artist; he was the living proof that to stay in the region did not have to mean sacrificing the chance of a wider reputation, although at the time there must often have been doubts as to whether, if he had gone to London or stayed in New York in the 1950s, his worldwide reputation might not have got under way earlier. He was ambitious, but from the beginning he was clear that his primary ambition was to create for the Caribbean. What he secured for himself by staying in the region was the continual renewal of his aesthetic commitment to the region, and to his epic project to create an art worthy of it. His sustained involvement in theatre was vital to his staying in touch with the community at large; it prevented him becoming a remote and mannered poet. He came to see the pathos of actors of his company who strove for what they called 'better speech when theirs had vigour that was going out of English'.

Drama was central to Walcott's idea of a Caribbean aesthetic for several reasons. First, where written poetry required not only literacy but literary awareness
and was an art which few would appreciate, drama could appeal to all the people regardless of educational opportunities, excluding no-one. It could be a genuinely popular art form, which page poetry would never be. The immediacy of shared performance - the orality of the language, the scope for sound of other kinds, particularly song, and the expressive potential of the visual element, via the human figure as actor, dancer and musician in the milieu of setting and lighting - attracted Walcott as idealist and visual artist as well as wordsmith. The scenic design invited a dramatist with a highly developed visual sense to conceive of the staging as a vital signifier, intrinsic to the action. It lent itself naturally to symbolic expression. The text came into being with its role as visual sign part of the conception, not applied later by a design team, as in so much metropolitan theatre. In addition, the co-operation of the performers, and their presentation to a responding audience in the shared space of performance (whether in the open air or an enclosed space), offered a model of community, the kind of community which art itself could help to build. Even the creation of the drama's backbone, the text, participated in this collectivity, as the company of players developed the playwright's initial draft with him in rehearsal. The theatre offered the experience of a shared art, unparalleled in any other art form; only the theatre united all the arts in a collectively produced display. It was also local and particular, made for the home community in a sense that the poetry was not. The poetry was an outreach art, a means of communicating first with the Caribbean community, isolated across the region, but also potentially with a worldwide readership, wherever English was understood, but the drama was conceived essentially for the Caribbean, couched in a verbal, visual and symbolic language directed primarily to the insider.

This drama was to be epic in two senses. First, it was, in the Brechtian sense, to use non-mimetic methods to raise political awareness. Secondly, it was to perform the social role of epic poetry. The events of a cruel history and the discourse of imperialism had conspired to produce a people with low self-esteem and little regard for either their individual or collective identity; the task for the new art was to remedy that lack. As Walcott wrote:

Colonials, we began with this malarial enervation: that nothing could ever be built among these rotting shacks, barefooted backyards and moulting shingles; that being poor, we already had the theatre of our lives...If there was nothing, there was everything to be made. With this prodigious ambition one began.
Epic poetry had been the worldwide form through which a people sustained a sense of their identity, but in the absence of a continuing ancient tradition of oral poetic performance, drama was the most crucial art form precisely because of its popular appeal. Walcott's focus, in his Nobel lecture, on the *Ramleela* – doubly epic as 'the epic dramatization of the Hindu epic the *Ramayanu* – draws the world's attention to a miraculous cultural survival from India to Trinidad of a community ritual, creolised and vigorous in its new location:

The performance was like a dialect, a branch of its original language, an abridgement of it, but not a distortion or even a reduction of its epic scale. Here in Trinidad I had discovered that one of the greatest epics of the world was seasonally performed, not with that desperate resignation of preserving a culture, but with an openness of belief that was as steady as the wind bending the cane lances of the Caroni plain.

It was a case not for nostalgia or elegy but 'celebrations of a real presence'. Walcott intends irony, of course, in his use of the phrase 'I had discovered'; discovery in the 'New' world is an indication of prior ignorance, rather than of innovation. The *Ramleela* had always been there, since the East Indians had come to Trinidad, just as the Americas were there before Columbus. He develops the irony:

I had recently adapted the *Odyssey* for a theatre in England, presuming that the audience knew the trials of Odysseus, hero of another, Asia Minor epic, while nobody in Trinidad knew any more than I did about Rama, Kali, Shiva, Vishnu, apart from the Indians, a phrase I use pervertedly because that is the kind of remark you can still hear in Trinidad: "apart from the Indians".

It is a judicious reminder that the western literary tradition has its roots in just such orally transmitted folk rituals, and that the 'European' tradition should be traced beyond Europe, to Asia. It is also a tart reminder that marginalisation of one group by another is not confined to the old dominant cultures. What it shows above all is that the Antillean present culture is a composite of anciently diverging cultures coming together once more.

There were other folk forms on which to draw. The folk-tales about Ti-Jean, which are part of the eastern Caribbean patois heritage, are adapted to the stage in Walcott's early play, *Ti-Jean and his Brothers*. His brother Roderick has used the St.Lucian festivals of the Rose and Marguerite rival groups in his drama. The Trinidadian carnival is another public theatrical ritual which Derek Walcott has used
on stage, but which for him is problematized by commercialisation and state exploitation, resulting in commodification and debasement. In his intention as dramatist and director to 'transform the theatrical into theatre',
carnival is the prime example of Caribbean society's theatricality, and has been accepted by many artists as the starting point for indigenous drama, but Walcott pinpoints carnival as symbol of the rule of illusion which the Brechtian artist aims to destroy: 'this was a society fed on an hysterical hallucination, that believed only the elaborate frenzy now controlled by the State. But Carnival was as meaningless as the art of the actor confined to mimicry.'

He identifies the consequences for their theatre: 'as their society avoids truths, as their Carnival is a noise that fears everything, too many of the actors avoid the anguish of self-creation.'

As the basic figure for his study of Caribbean drama, Omotoso juxtaposes Walcott's strictures about carnival with Errol Hill's claim that carnival offers the basis of a national theatre, although with the disclaimer, 'The history of Caribbean drama and theatre, and consequently its future, cannot be predicated on the opposite views of Errol Hill and Derek Walcott.'

He contextualises Hill's claim in relation to Eric Williams's rise to power in Trinidad, and sets Walcott's remarks on carnival against the background of the then new phenomenon of ministers of culture supporting the folk arts to the exclusion of the 'serious' arts such as Walcott's theatre. He not only suggests that Walcott's position set out in the essay is a 'bitter poetic lamentation' at the lack of official support – which is to reduce a serious discussion to a materialist level – he misrepresents Walcott's position as positing 'the Caribbean-colonial condition which underlines its incapacity to create anything for itself.'

Walcott in fact quotes the now notorious passage from Froude, which claims of the West Indies that there are 'no people there in the true sense of the word, with a character and purpose of their own', in order to demonstrate the cultural hegemony of imperialism which can shake the confidence of those trying to be the region's artists.

Caricaturing Walcott's argument, Omotoso concludes that it is 'an extreme position which cannot be validated without doing grave injustice to the oral history of the Caribbean', and goes on to characterise Walcott's Trinidad Theatre Workshop as a 'failure, in spite of what looks like a heroic effort', because it was 'transplanting theatre as it was understood in the West into the Caribbean' and 'ignores some important aspects of the expression of the play-consciousness of the Caribbean.'

Hill's position is clear and straight-forward, and well understood; Walcott's is
complex, though not inconsistent, and is often misrepresented, though not often as seriously as here.

His remarks in 'What the Twilight Says', far from being a repudiation of folk culture, come out of a lifelong commitment to its value. It is the appropriation by government of folk culture and its commercialisation in the name of tourist attraction that Walcott so strenuously opposes; what then passes for folk culture he sees as essentially folk culture traduced. He addresses himself in a kind of meditation:

You despise the banal vigour of a future, where the folk art, the language, the music, like the economy, will accommodate itself to the centre of power which is foreign, where people will simplify themselves to be clear, to be immediately apprehensible to the transient. The lean, sinewy strength of the folk-dance has been fattened and sucked into the limbo of the night-club, the hotel cabaret, and all the other prostitutions of a tourist culture: before you is the vision of a hundred Havanas and mini-Miamis, and who dares tell their Tourism Boards and Cultural Development Committees that the blacks in bondage at least had the resilience of their dignity, a knowledge of their degradation, while their descendants have gone both flaccid and colourful, covering their suffering with artificial rage or commercial elation?48

It is his grief for the lost integrity of the folk forms which drives the attack: 'Their commercialisation is now beyond anger, for they have become part of the climate, the art of the brochure.'49 The hypocrisy of intellectuals who now 'found values in [carnival] that they had formerly despised' and 'apotheosised the folk form, insisting that calypsos were poems' is attacked for the dishonesty of the position espoused, not because the position itself is untenable. The 'Witchdoctors of the new left with imported totems50 are opportunists, anathema to one who has a long track record in building art out of folk forms.

Walcott's use of the term 'hallucination' is part of a chain of recurrence designed to dominate the essay. 'Hallucination' is a way of describing a way not only of seeing but of experiencing the world: it is a trope of transformation, but negatively coded, the 'reality' which we infer from it, as its opposite, being presumed preferable. It is a dangerous illusion of the kind Brecht set out to destroy, bearing a complex relation to imagination and to art, as in Walcott's opening exploration of the twilight-transformed ghetto as 'the gilded hallucinations of poverty...as if...poverty were not a condition but an art...twilight, with the patience of alchemy, almost transmutes despair into virtue.'51 In such a context the attack on carnival comes to seem very different from the polar opposite of Hill's faith in it. Motivated by a belief very close to Hill's,
Walcott is in fact protesting against the appropriation of the folk forms to support the new hegemonies, as he makes clear:

In these new nations art is a luxury, and the theatre the most superfluous of amenities. Every state sees its image in those forms which have the mass appeal of sport, seasonal and amateurish. Stamped on that image is the old colonial grimace of the laughing nigger, steelbandsman, carnival masker, calypsonian and limbo dancer. These popular artists are trapped in the State's concept of the folk form, for they preserve the colonial demeanour and threaten nothing. The folk arts have become the symbol of a carefree, accommodating culture, an adjunct to tourism, since the State is impatient with anything which it cannot trade.

Walcott saw that what was needed was a revolutionary art, not one which perpetuated the old illusions. If folk forms were used to prop up the colonial subserviency they had failed. If they were to meet Brecht's criteria, they must be used in ways which recovered their original energy. In using carnival in *Drums and Colours* and *The Last Carnival*, and in using the characters and forms of the folk tale in *Ti-Jean and his Brothers*, Walcott was not using the folk arts for bourgeois ends; on the contrary he was entering into their essence in order to make them signify as new.

With such folk elements, epic drama could also establish a popular mythology, and could reconnect the people to self-knowledge and to pride by modelling history as not just a site of humiliation but as the locus of dignity, survival and heroism. As John Berger observes, 'A people or a class which is cut off from its own past is far less free to choose and to act as a people or class than one that has been able to situate itself in history.' But as Walcott saw it, for Caribbean people the past was a double-edged sword which could wound in unexpected ways, and had to be handled with care.

Walcott's first choice of a subject for historical drama, suggested to him by his brother, was *Henri Christophe*, written in 1949, which drew on the story of heroic revolution in the francophone Caribbean - which was in a sense his history directly as a St.Lucian - and which the Marxist writer and philosopher C.L.R.James had introduced as drama to the anglophone drama of the region. His choice also indicated a vision of a regional identity which embraced the whole geographical area, extending across linguistic and political boundaries. It signalled that the symbolic moments of the region's history belonged to all of its inhabitants, regardless of their ethnic, cultural or political identity. Also, by focusing on the region's direct legacy of the French revolution, Walcott was in a sense sidestepping British rule, at that date still
firmly entrenched in his homeland, thus subtly marginalising the British history in the region, although of course his use of English as his artistic vehicle was an acknowledgement of that history. France, however, was not his topic; his subject was the heroic anti-slavery revolution of Haiti which resulted in the Americas' first republic. He has described how at the age of nineteen he was 'drawn...to the Manichean conflicts of Haiti's history' out of a sense of identification and envy from his pre-revolutionary St.Lucia:

The parallels were there in my own island, but not the heroes: a black French island somnolent in its Catholicism and black magic, blind faith and blinder over-breeding, a society which triangulated itself mediaevally into land-baron, serf and cleric, with a vapid, high-brown bourgeoisie.

The heroes were tragic figures to him then, in the mould of Webster's heroes with their magnificent decadence. Dessalines and Christophe were 'men who had structured their own despair': 'Their tragic bulk was massive as a citadel at twilight. They were our only noble ruins.' They enacted a revolution against the plantocracy which was like a heresy. In a crucial parallelism, the forty year old Walcott describes himself as a young poet matching two tropes of power, secular and divine:

He believed then that the moral of tragedy could only be Christian, that their fate was the debt exacted by the sin of pride, that they were punished by a white God as masters punished servants for presumption. He saw history as hierarchy and to him these heroes, despite their meteoric passages, were damned to the old darkness because they had challenged an ordered universe...Those first heroes of the Haitian Revolution, to me, their tragedy lay in their blackness...Now, one may see such heroes as squalid fascists who chained their own people, but they had size, mania, the fire of great heretics.

If they failed because of divine intervention to destroy their presumption, as he saw it then, they were heroes, though tragic ones. The later gloss on their fascism - 'the corruption of slaves into tyrants' - was not how the nineteen year old saw it: if they had defied the old order, they deserved his admiration. His was a revolutionary desire; by modelling in his play the heroism of the Haitian Jacobins, to use James's term, he hoped to stir his own somnolent people to similar vision and courage. He tells how he apprenticed himself to the contemporary revolutionaries of French Caribbean origin: 'the young Frantz Fanon and the already ripe and bitter Césaire were manufacturing the home-made bombs of their prose poems, their drafts for revolution.' But they were both 'black'er and 'poorer' and he envied the clarity of their position, seeing his
own as complicated, even compromised, by a bourgeois and mixed race heritage. 

Henri Christophe was an important play, for Walcott and for the Caribbean. With its high style, its blank verse, and its history-given tragic closure, it demonstrated that the region's history could provide the theatre with subjects of a high seriousness, a grandeur which was unfamiliar. The fact that the heroes in question were slaves who became statesmen meant that they subverted the usual notion of the 'great' man as the focus for tragedy. Walcott chooses to quote from his play in the later essay; the passage he selects is that in which Christophe answers the Archbishop's imputation of his guilt before God with a statement of pride, ending: 'I am proud, I have worked and grown / This country to its stature, tell Him that.'

The evident admiration for the courage of the defier of authority is fundamentally metaphysical. As he says, 'The theme has remained: one race's quarrel with another's God.' Christophe's surviving citadel clinches it with a symbol:

a monument to egomania, more than a strategic castle; an effort to reach God's height. It was the summit of the slave's emergence from bondage. Even if the slave had surrendered one Egyptian darkness for another, that darkness was his will, that structure an image of the inaccessible achieved. To put it plainer, it was something we could look up to. It was all we had.

Christophe's moral stature is like that of Faust, or of Milton's Satan. Although the Walcott of 1970 distances himself from the revolutionary power of the vision, it remains with him as the core epic struggle, that of man against God, which, for Walcott, courts atheism, but always returns to faith. Christophe is the forerunner of a range of anti-authoritarian heroes in his plays, heretical challengers, culminating in Odysseus himself.

The Haitian revolution was revisited by Walcott in his play for the inauguration of the West Indies Federation in 1958, Drums and Colours. It was, according to Omotoso, a 'major achievement' particularly 'given the time it was written': 'The scope and leadership which this epic gives to the direction of West Indian theatre has not been equalled by another historical play from the West Indies.' Although written in the early eighties the substance of the claim is still valid. This was a play with a given historical programme, commissioned to represent the region's past. Lewis has identified the peculiar difficulty of this:

There is no first principle of reference, no great martyrology to inspire the new generations. When therefore the West Indian playwright is asked, as in the
Federal Festival of 1958, to produce a pageant-play on the region’s history he
has no one great single event, like the Haitian war of liberation or the Cuban
Ten Years War, to use as the central motif of his production.61

That the region should be characterised by an absence of martyrs may seem a
particularly tragic irony, given its history, and Lewis’s comment indicates another
obtuseness which Walcott’s solution to the ‘problem’ shows up. Why, after all, desire
to represent four hundred years of history by a monolithic image? Although the
Federation was made up of former British colonies only, Walcott declined the narrow
political definition of the region and its history (always geographically absurd) by
including the Spanish and French empires as well. This play has an obviously epic
scope (in the conventional sense), broader than that of Henri Christophe, its approach
to history not nostalgic but representing the past for the sake of the future. In Berger’s
phrase, the past ‘is not for living in; it is a well of conclusions from which to draw in
order to act.’62 The play was clearly motivated by an ideology of racial and cultural
pluralism, and by egalitarianism: at the originary moment of the new community
symbolised by Federation, art, it promised, could help to bring about the transformed
society. This was a Brechtian position: Brecht’s project is ‘to provoke the audience to
want to change the social reality that goes on producing distorted objects, including
persons’, according to Wright; ‘For Brecht knowledge is that which results in a
process of continual transformation of the world as we know it.’63 Walcott proceeds
by selecting examples from history which hold up to the light the dystopian image of
the world as it has been. The drama follows the Brechtian epic principle by
demystifying the exploitative social relations of the past, determined by imperialism,
but it also models the kind of egalitarian pluralism which a collective society can
deliver: in a development of Brecht typical of Walcott, it also flirts with a comedic
utopianism, offering an image of the desired world in the hope that life, on the
mimetic principle, may eventually mirror the aesthetically represented ideal.

Epic’s need for heroes would seem to be at odds with egalitarianism. The
western epic with its roll-call of ‘great’ men has been deeply implicated in art’s co-
option to the perpetuation of oppression; one might ask, for example, whether the
notion of revolutionary heroes is incompatible with egalitarian objectives. After citing
Froude’s assertion that ‘There has been no saint in the West Indies since Las Casas, no
hero unless philo-Negro enthusiasm can make one out of Toussaint’, Walcott writes of
his own generation’s experience of the revision of history:
My generation since its colonial childhood had no true pride but awe. We had not yet provided ourselves with heroes, and when the older heroes went out of fashion, or were stripped, few of us had any choice but to withdraw to a cave where we could scorn those who struggled in the heat...it is this fever for heroic examples that can produce the glorification of revenge. Yet revenge is a kind of vision.  

Walcott mentions his play *Henri Christophe* in 'What the Twilight Says' but not the play which most tested his and the region's ability to provide historic examples of the hero, *Drums and Colours*. *Drums and Colours* focuses on four protagonists who were prime movers in history; two were the heroes of imperialism and are shown in decline, and two were martyrs to the anti-imperial struggle: one seeing the integrity of the cause destroyed by the instinct for revenge, the other making the non-violent stand of heroic self-sacrifice. Alongside these historic heroes the play reflects Walcott's lifelong preoccupation with other kinds of hero, as discussed below. His particular contribution to epic has been the exploration of the everyman hero, a plebeian who is a kind of anti-hero in that he models an anarchic, anti-authoritarian stance.

Walcott's initial position is an anti-imperialist stance which he explores in anti-capitalist and anti-war terms in accordance with Marxist thinking. But in that a Marxist ideology has been claimed by regimes just as authoritarian and repressive as those of western capitalist imperialism, Walcott's position is also interrogative of such abuses. Hegemony of the right or the left is challenged in the name of the individual - the ethical test always comes down to what one individual does to another as manifest of a system - but what might seem a very American focus on individualism is tempered by a very Caribbean sense of community. In aesthetic terms this moves the focus away from a single protagonist, the hero, towards the group. Here the drama leads the poetry; long before he defined the group focus of *Omeros*, for example, or even the 'alphabet of the emaciated' in *Another Life*, Walcott created the multicultural group of interventionist carnival masquers, symbolising the ordinary people of the Caribbean, who preside over *Drums and Colours*. Later plays, such as *The Last Carnival* and *The Ghost Dance*, avoid giving prominence to a single hero, but explore the interwoven stories of a number of individuals. There is a focus on ordinary people's relations with the 'great' events recorded in the history books, and an awareness of the small-scale situations in which political decisions are commonly executed. Power is shown in its effects on the people, the anonymous ones exposed to
the world's gaze by their re-creation in the drama. The stoicism, and faith in goodness, of the sufferer becomes a heroic subject. In *Dream on Monkey Mountain* the history of racist contempt which lies behind the naming of Makak (macaque) of 'Monkey' mountain is turned back on itself, as the scorned 'nobody', the old, poor charcoal-burner, rediscovers his real name, Felix Hobain - 'Felix' meaning 'happy'. The trickster hero, surviving by his wits and his compassion, whether Anansi or Ulysses, is a recurrent figure in the plays, long before Walcott's dramatisation of the *Odyssey* itself. Odysseus is the founder of the reversal of negative identity: by naming himself to the Cyclops as 'Nobody' he secures his heroic survival, and return to his own.

As well as pioneering the revisioning of a tragic history as heroic, and the presentation of the 'little man' as revolutionary emblem of the collective egalitarian experience, Walcott has also initiated another approach to epic with his focus on the artist. In 'What the Twilight Says' he models the artist as hero, writing of his company of actors as 'heroes...because they have kept the sacred urge of actors everywhere: to record the anguish of the race.' He also projects himself as a heroic figure, as one of the company of artists who began as 'new Adams' and, with irony, as a sacrificial, godlike figure, one of the 'self-appointed schizoid saints', confessing, in 1970, to having been guilty of an 'egotism which can pass for genius'. Although the self-projection of the creator in the work can serve Brecht's purpose of reminding the audience of the fictionality of the representation, Walcott's preoccupation with the artist as figure is Romantic as well as Brechtian. In fact, the artist as fictional persona participates equally powerfully in both dimensions, the individual and the collective, drawing on the inner self for his art but doing so for the sake of and with the help of the community, the 'I-an-I' group. In the drama, the representation of the artist as persona in a shared performance gives trenchant symbolic meaning to this Janus-like role. In *The Last Carnival*, for example, Victor (named significantly to indicate the power of art, the 'fountain' of his surname) is shown as the romantic painter following a tradition of the artist as inspired solitary – the western tradition – but not only is he developing that art in the direction of the community, as in his tableau for carnival, but he is succeeded by a son who is directly involved in the collective art of carnival design, creolizing the family tradition.

As the artist exemplifies the way in which the individual and the community in an ideal society can unite, so sexual love demonstrates in a different dimension how two can be one. The creative encounter of difference is at the root of creolisation,
both in Caribbean art and personal relationships. In his concern to hold up the hope of the 'republic of love' which is essentially egalitarian, available to all) Walcott tends to incorporate in his dramas a narrative element of sexual romance which may seem populist in a rather naive way if its symbolic function is not appreciated. The appeal of the Don Juan myth to Walcott is that it embodies just that hope, as his play adapting Tirso da Molina, The Joker of Seville, demonstrates. The epic poems of the Renaissance, by Tasso or Spenser or Ariosto, led by Dante, use earthly love as a figure of its divine equivalent, in accordance with Christian Platonic thought. For Walcott, too, sexual love is an epic subject, which casts the lovers as heroes.

Both art and sexuality are transformative. They represent a creative response to the world, and can deliver the magic of metamorphosis, capable of reinventing as positive that which appeared locked in to a tragic negative. As already noted, the tone of epic is usually composed of a blend of the tragic and the comic. (Brecht's revision of the genres is something rather different.) Typically, the traditional epic sets up an opposition of love, symbolising comedy, against war, symbolising tragedy. Heroism can be shown in either field. Walcott's epic work tends towards open-ended closures, in The Last Carnival, for example. The Ghost Dance has an apparently tragic ending of a Brechtian ambivalence. A festive play such as Drums and Colours weaves comedy and tragedy in a tense web, conjuring an up-beat ending, yet the late plays of Shakespeare which evince a similar pattern are known as his 'romances', in a literary discourse in which 'romance' has come to be used faintly pejoratively; since 'romance' in this context is applied to comedy, and since it is normally characterised as in opposition to realism, this would seem to associate tragedy with mimesis. A complex question of philosophy underlies the distinction: Walcott, writing from within a mythic tradition – that of redemption – which is essentially comedic, is challenging, by his art, the modern Western rationalist scepticism, which is characterised by a nihilistic perception of the human condition, which finds its artistic manifestation in tragedy. Several of his plays present a mythic action, of apparent death followed by a return to life: it is in his aesthetic refusal of tragic closure that Walcott is most conspicuously at odds with the mainstream metropolitan tradition. His refusal is culturally derived; he characterises the Caribbean communities as full of faith, and it is in the name of his people's different perception of the world that he addresses audiences anywhere who wish to hear. Even the terrible history of the
Native Americans as he narrates it in 'The Ghost Dance' is made to retain a strongly positive possibility.

This metaphysical optimism, unfamiliar as it is in 'serious' metropolitan drama, can be an obstacle to the appreciation of his plays by northern audiences. In the Caribbean, ironically, the pattern is reversed: many of the plays have tended not, after all, to reach a broad popular audience because of their high seriousness. In response, Walcott has sought to avoid the high-brow label by populist devices, sometimes risking the integrity of his piece by his concern for its entertainment value. As a result some of his least Brechtian plays have been some of his weakest ones.

Yet there is an increasing list of popular successes, not least – surprisingly perhaps, given the increasing disregard for the classics as archaic – *The Odyssey*. Also, although the majority of Walcott's plays were devised with a Caribbean audience in mind, they are increasingly finding international audiences. As well as *The Odyssey* for the Royal Shakespeare Company, others, originally staged in the Caribbean, are being performed not only in diverse anglophone communities but also in less obvious places such as Sweden and Italy.69

It may be that epic is most popular when closest to romance, as the success of *The Odyssey* may indicate, both as Homer's poem and as Walcott's play, which was sold out in both Stratford and London. Although superficially one of his least Brechtian plays, it is actually a skilful politicisation of the mythic story, as is argued below. Brecht might have been surprised at the part which Walcott has made myth play in his dialectical theatre. Some of his most memorable 'gests' (to use Brecht's term) are those which signify mythically. Also, to the three levels of language which Brecht identified – 'plain speech, heightened speech and singing'70 – Walcott adds from the inception of each drama as text a concept of visual representation, reciprocal to the verbal sign, and commonly symbolic in function. The dramatist who is also a painter has this advantage, but both Brecht and Walcott bring their experience as poets to their theatrical writing. Brecht, after listing six of his own plays as involving the 'application of music to the epic theatre', said, 'music made possible something which we had long since ceased to take for granted, namely the "poetic theatre"'.71 Walcott has from the beginning regularly used music and song in his plays, and has written a number of musicals. He has also made prominent calls for the restoration of poetry to the theatre. His own practice, which began with quasi-Jacobean verse, has moved on to combine the fluidity of colloquial speech with profound and beautiful
poetry of an inspired simplicity, in a way which has become the hallmark of his epic theatre. It is particularly Walcott's use of poetry in the theatre that carries the dramatic experience over into widely different cultural milieux.

Brecht found the audience of his time frustrating, saying it 'hangs its brains up in the cloakroom along with its coat', but while Walcott may be disappointed at the lack of response, to date, to much of his dramatic work, he would probably not be much concerned, unlike Brecht, at audiences being unaware of his political subtleties, confident that, if anything, the language and the mythic patterns work their magic directly on the unconscious.
Walcott's early play 'Drums and Colours' is the most Brechtian of his dramas, epic in Brecht's sense but also epic in the popular sense. It has a particular relationship to history in that it not only chronicles the history of the Caribbean dialectically but was written for a historic moment, the opening of the first parliament of the Federation of the West Indies. It therefore not only represents but makes history. In addition, in its forging of a language and a style for a distinctively Caribbean drama it marks a high peak right at the start of the region's theatre. It demonstrates how aspects of folk culture as various as carnival and calaloo can be charged with symbolic significance in an essentially serious art, and it explores the rich contrariety of theatrical episodes designed to be simultaneously both tragic and comic. Most occasional pieces become curiosities once their moment has passed but this, remarkably, remains a fine play which deserves to be re-staged.

After agreement that a drama should be created for the occasion 'depicting the four hundred years of West Indian history', and after sampling scripts by a Trinidadian and two Jamaican authors, Philip Sherlock of the University College of the West Indies' Department of Extra-Mural Studies commissioned Walcott in August 1957 to write not a pageant but a serious, cohesive drama. The conception, of 'a dramatic text with a linked sequence, a saga told by a poet with concern and insight', was soon being referred to as the 'Epic'. Directed by Noel Vaz, then Staff Tutor in Drama at St.Augustine, the Trinidad campus, it was premiered at the Royal Botanical Gardens, Port of Spain, Trinidad, on 25 April 1958. Walcott was twenty-eight, and already acknowledged within the region as a man of quite exceptional talents.
Addressing his sense that his culture denied itself heroes, Walcott's first move to supplement that lack had been his focus on Christophe, as dramatic a hero of the Americas' first republican revolution as any of the famous names were of the French revolution. His first play, *Henri Christophe*, broadcast on the BBC's Caribbean service when he was twenty, had used the idiom of the English Jacobean drama to explore this core subject of Caribbean history. By using the form and verse style of the drama of the English Renaissance he was laying claim to serious attention, asserting, in effect, to the wider world that his region's history and heroes were as remarkable as those of any other. Now, in the commission for the Federation festivities, he was faced with some difficult choices. Those whom empire had regarded as heroes had become negative symbols to the mass of the people, yet their historic importance could not be denied. Would it be possible to represent a figure such as Columbus productively, not just conventionally or reductively, so as to extend the understanding and usefulness of history? Such questions were serious and required a thoughtful response.

In the event, the drama Walcott provided more than fulfilled its brief. The practical task of representing four hundred years of history is executed with imaginative and technical ingenuity, the weighty style devised for *Henri Christophe* now leavened with local speech and comedy, in the name of raising the dialectical awareness that the future would need. He uses a Shakespearean blend of iambic pentameter and colloquial prose, comic and poetic, juxtaposing the 'high' and 'low' styles to good effect. By using Brecht's method of showing history from the underside by means of invented episodes involving ordinary people, Walcott liberates himself from the grand narratives which had marginalised and reified the Caribbean. Instead he gives optimistic, though not naive, expression to what it means to be Caribbean, overturning the damaging negative evaluations of the past. Many of the concerns and hopes of the later work are already in evidence: the faith in pluralism, the anti-materialism, the repudiation of violence and of revenge, and the focus on the 'little' man. The title chosen for the play, which evokes the military ritual so evident in the maintenance of extensive empires, takes on, as the play unfolds, a different meaning. As the historical narration proceeds chronologically from Columbus to the present, it gradually transforms the initial meaning of the title, represented by the opening stage-set focused on 'regimental and African drums, with the flags of Britain, France, Spain and Holland in the background', to a signifier of the 'colours' of racial pluralism and
The 'drum' of a soup cauldron. History, whether of Africa or Europe, with its imposed hierarchies, is gradually translated to a local epic present of creolization, and the drama's title with its imperial overtones emerges as a pun, with a new meaning in the demotic. At this early stage in his career, Walcott already had the technique of troping on difference and similitude as his aesthetic for an intercultural, still creolizing community.

Although it is a kind of history play, it begins, again in a way which has become typical of Walcott, by drawing attention to the fictionality of all historical discourse, and by refusing its claimed authority by framing it in mythopoeic fiction. The drama starts from the premise that the whole thing is a carnival presentation, the central group of characters intervening to 'ambush the roadmarch' and change the performance to 'a serious play'. The conventional expectation that the historical inserts would be the meat of the sandwich is inverted in a deft move: the seriously nourishing part here is the 'bread' of the plebeian group's antics. Carnival, of course, is a unique and symbolic Caribbean art-form, distinguished by its origins in anti-authoritarian role-reversal and by the collective nature of its rite, as much as by its free-associating iconography and performance. History translated as carnival is redeemed as comedy or epic, however tragic the reality might have been, its chronicity collapsed in a calaloo of intermingling period and place. History is reduced – or elevated – to style. As when Brecht uses folk forms such as fable, Walcott here does not simply reproduce carnival – which would be mimesis – but uses it to bear a dialectical significance: 'We changing the march now to War and Rebellion!' The representation of history is thus offered as play: the drama is self-aware, a meta-drama, the audience is reminded that it is an 'act'. This functions in textbook Brechtian manner:

Verfremdung is a mode of critical seeing that goes on within a process by which man identifies his objects. It goes beyond the concept "defamiliarization": it sets up a series of social, political, and ideological interruptions that remind us that representations are not given but produced. Contrary to popular belief, Verfremdung does not do away with identification but examines it critically, using the technique of montage which shows that no representation is fixed and final. The audience is not lulled by an illusion of reality but is made conscious of the provisionality of the narration. At the same time, the representation of war and rebellion as 'serious' is, of course, immediately ironised by its presentation as masquerade: the focus falls on the ludic and exemplary nature of the show, as the
group of ordinary characters around Pompey the shoemaker - reminiscent of Bottom the weaver - come in to 'rummage among set properties and dress'.

This group then presents the scenes which follow, from the Columbus era to the ringing out of bells for Federation, the 'present'. First, as the roadmarch passes, Mano, one of the group, picks out of the parade masquers dressed as Columbus, Raleigh, Toussaint and Gordon to join them. In an important sense these heroes are the givens of the narration, who were always already there, as their appropriation as mythic icons in the popular culture of carnival demonstrates. In fact they have long been naturalized to Caribbean culture in the performative present. The composition of the 'non-heroic' group is symbolic: Pompey, Mano, Yette, Ram and Yu represent the racial and cultural pluralism of the communities of the Caribbean. Yu is Chinese (and later takes the conventional generic role of cook). Ram is East Indian. Mano is implicitly African-Caribbean: in one of the intermediate scenes the African king taken into slavery is named Mano. Yette is his woman, of mixed race, who appears in one of the intermediate scenes as a concubine being thrown out of the 'great house'. Pompey the shoemaker becomes 'Pompey the warrior starting from today'. The tone of militancy is simultaneously ludic and deeply serious. Walcott is setting up a drama in which to expose the real workings of power, through the control of money and people, and the manipulation of war, in the hope that such consciousness-raising will have real consequences for the emerging nation. Pompey as the epitome of the small craftsman, the play shows, has within him the capacity to be Pompey the militant, the political activist. The politicization of the folk is the Brechtian message, telling ordinary people that they can take control of their political situation, at the same time as warning them of the pitfalls of power.

Walcott cleverly avoids the stuffiness of most shows designed to celebrate historic occasions, in which, typically, the power structure reinforces its imaginative hold on the mass of the people. Instead he anchors his drama on this diverse plebeian group, and shows their imaginative and moral strength as well as their physical energy as good omens for the future of the community. They are poor and chaotic, but they are well-motivated and deal with adversity with wit and wisdom. Although the bulk of the play's history scenes are in standard English and blank verse, this group uses prose and an expressive Caribbean vernacular, incorporating elements of specifically Trinidadian, Jamaican, Barbadian, Chinese and French creole inflected idiom. Mano and Yette seem to be Jamaican, Pompey and Ram Trinidadian, Yu uses his own
Chinese version of Caribbean English, and, to keep the balance, Walcott creates as interlude a vignette of carnival 'picong' in which an indignant steward, who calls shame on a drunken British sailor for letting down his empire, is centrally defined as a Barbadian.

The tone of the group's scenes is basically comic, with the healthy anarchy of comedy. Their prime concern is to eat rather than to fight, with Yu's calaloo tureen the focus of their Maroon camp. Their identification as Maroons gives them a clearly heroic anarchic status, as the Maroons were the community of escaped slaves who succeeded in evading recapture in the heart of Jamaica. They are thus symbolic outsiders, refusers of authority and of historically prescribed roles. Pompey's fierce talk of war and revenge, carefully undermined by absurdity and described as carnival 'robber talk' rather than serious threat, is met with Ram's soothing, 'Pompey, pal, eat your eat and don't worry.' Yette - a dominant figure more in the mould of Nanny, resistance hero of the Maroons, than gangster's moll - rounds up the planter, Calico, and Pompey with his bugle and delivers them to the camp, saying, 'I bring you more recruits. We got a Chinese cook, an East Indian tactician, now we have a preacher and a ruined planter.' As in his play *Ti-Jean and his Brothers* which belongs to the same period, a group of generic characters is being assembled for symbolic purposes. Walcott allows no-one, not even the hated planter class, to be excluded from the new Federal consciousness.

When Mano asks Pompey 'what make you fight for the cause of emancipation and constitutional progress?' he replies in surprise, 'You never heard of me?' and then answers Ram's question 'You is a soldier?' with the splendid 'robber talk' reply, 'I is a calypsoldier. I bugles, I incites violence, I tread the burning zones of Arabia. I was a meek and mild nigger, a pacific man, but now...' At the heart of the jokiness - and the idea of the 'pacific' man is a running joke through the play - there is great seriousness; at an earlier point the 'pacific' temperament is contrasted with the 'rough Atlantic', in an ironic comment on the history of the Middle Passage as occasion for vengeance; but having looked hard at the ethics of revenge the play comes down firmly against it. America, faced with the choices symbolised by its flanking oceans, is encouraged to look west, to pacifism and the dream of egalitarian island communities, rather than east to the bitternesses of the Old World. The 'calypsoldier' is the way out: to be active through art is the only form of retaliation, the only way to secure justice, which does not repeat the destructive errors of the past. Walcott accepts no distinction between
the comic and the serious, the comedy typically being most serious when it is most funny. For example, when ambushed the Maroons' strategy is to use Yette as a decoy, 'singing a local song' and showing a leg to halt the advancing troops. Puns on the 'interesting flank movement' as she displays the 'artillery' of her leg lead to Yu's observation, 'Sex being a great republic...'. The flippancy masks a serious philosophical point, central to Walcott's work, that it is in our ability to respond to one another across racial and cultural boundaries (sexually or otherwise) that hope lies. The 'I-an-I' model comes to mind once more.

But the play is not all in comic vein. Within the fiction of carnival a series of episodes is enacted, by the four heroic figures picked out of the roadmarch and the shoemaker's group, who eventually appear playing themselves in the Maroon story. Since the Maroons of Jamaica were historically the earliest anti-colonial independent society it is apt that the Pompey group should represent them. The Pompey scenes frame a chronological sequence of historical dramas, predominantly tragic in tone, although even here there is a plebeian spirit in evidence, disrupting the tragic closures with the irruption of 'low'-life comedy. The whole drama is conceived spatially as manifest of the relationship between tragedy and comedy. The four historic 'heroes' around whom the scenes revolve are all given tragic representation: Columbus, the Italian on a Spanish mission, whose hopes are betrayed and whose impact was tragic; Walter Raleigh, the English adventurer of Guiana, later executed by Elizabeth I; Toussaint L'Ouverture, Haitian revolutionary and founder of the Americas' first republic, later executed by the French; and George William Gordon, a Jamaican Christian rebel, executed by the British, and now one of the national heroes of Jamaica.

The first two are the most strikingly different from their conventional representation; these are revolutionary portrayals, particularly for the 1950s. The glorification of the history of 'discovery' is devastatingly demystified. Columbus is shown being clapped in irons to be returned to Spain; on the voyage he becomes a figure of pathos, weak, old and disillusioned. Raleigh, shown first as a boy in a beach scene which sets up an ironic tableau of the famous painting of 'The Boyhood of Raleigh', is then shown off Guiana, first initiating action which results in the death of his son and the suicide of Keymis, and finally facing execution in the Tower. Those demarcated for centuries in imperialist discourse as heroes are exposed as men of petty passions and shown in all their human vulnerability as their fortunes turn. Each
is portrayed in decline. Columbus is in disgrace on his third voyage; Raleigh, released after years of imprisonment in the Tower with the commission to find El Dorado, knows he must succeed or die. The perfidy of imperial power in relation to its principle emissaries - those who risk all in its name - is very clearly demonstrated: not honour but disgrace and death are shown awaiting in the capitals of Europe. Significantly, most of the scenes in the first half of the chronicle, with the Columbus and Raleigh stories, are either on board ship or in Europe: the first part of the play, titled 'Conquest', declines to legitimate the presence of the imperialists in the New World by showing them on Caribbean soil.

By contrast, in the second half, 'Rebellion', all of the scenes take place on the Caribbean islands. Death, however, is still in the hands of the imperialists. Toussaint, the text reminds us, although it does not show it, met his end in a French jail in the Alps, and Gordon was executed by the British in Jamaica in the aftermath of the Morant Bay rebellion, although his part in the play ends when he leaves to give himself up. If Columbus and Raleigh are a type of anti-hero, these two are Caribbean heroes proper, historic figures whose stand against imperialism cost them their lives. In choosing these two figures, the play is also making an intertextual point. It indirectly acknowledges its two great predecessors as history plays, founding texts of anglophone Caribbean drama: C.L.R. James's *Toussaint L'Ouverture* (later retitled *The Black Jacobins*) which had blazed the trail as early as 1936, and Roger Mais's *George William Gordon*. The treatment of these historic episodes is very Brechtian. Each scene can be looked at independently as a signifier of dialectical relationships. Walcott in fact designed it with Brecht's principle of montage in mind, as his author's note makes clear: 'the scenes are so arranged that interested producers can excise shorter, self-contained plays from the main work, for example, the story of Paco, the El Dorado theme in the Raleigh scenes, the betrayal of Toussaint and the relationship with M. Calixte (in which the young Anton becomes the central figure) and the escapades of Pompey.' It should be noted also that this was a practical device. The original concept of the play was to enable different theatre groups in different islands to rehearse separately, coming together in Trinidad just for the final rehearsals. 'A primary goal of the festival,' notes Bruce King, 'was to show that the many local governments and peoples of the Federation could work together.' The structure of the play thus reflects Caribbean reality - of diverse communities with shared interests - and symbolically represents the archipelago, in that there are small 'islands' of plays-
within-a-play, to which the group of plebeian characters supplies the connecting ‘sea’. It becomes an expressionist work. Each of the four inner plays contextualizes its hero and its socially elevated characters in a society which includes people of all social groups, the ordinary sailors, soldiers, workers and beggars of the time. It thus becomes a counter-discourse to hegemonic history with its focus only on figures of power, and the chronological progress from Columbus to Gordon emerges as a symbol of the struggle for independence. The stand of a single man of courage against the juggernaut of empire, however tragic the closure for him personally, becomes the true moment of independence, in that it shows an independent mind and the will to defend it.

The drama’s class dialectics are carefully worked out. To balance the spotlight on the familiar ‘great’ heroes, Walcott creates a ‘little’ hero through whom to provide an interrogative focus. The mythopoeic chronicling of Paco’s story from boy to old man is an effective device for exposing the consequences of the first stage of European imperialism in the New World. As a *mestizo*, with an Amerindian mother and Spanish father, he represents the process of creolisation on which the American societies were to be built. He crucially introduces to the story the Amerindian tragedy which the European empires caused. Walcott powerfully evokes not just the anglophone Caribbean community but the pan-Caribbean world which includes those territories with French and Spanish colonial histories. For him the federal dream was, and remains, for a truly pan-Caribbean political co-operation. Paco is tragically displaced from his homeland to become a wanderer living by his wits in Europe. It thus stands not only for real history of the time, such as Naipaul has traced in his story ‘A Parcel of Papers, A Roll of Tobacco, A Tortoise’, ¹⁰ which traces the story of an Amerindian who returned to England with Raleigh, based on historical events, but for a contemporary history, then in full swing, of West Indian emigration to Britain, as wittily ironized in Louise Bennett’s poem ‘Colonization in Reverse’. ¹¹ When asked later if he wishes to return Paco says he does not, since there would be nothing to return to, the advent of the Europeans having destroyed his people. The work aligns itself with dialectical materialism by bringing the whole monetarist system into sharp focus. One of the Spaniards who has been guilty of wanton cruelty against Indians tries to salve his conscience by giving Paco a coin; from the story of this coin the whole history of capitalism is shown. Later, pimping on the wharves of Lisbon, Paco meets his mirror image, a Jew displaced by prejudice from his homeland in Europe.
and seeking a better life in the New World, who tries to rescue him by offering to buy him. Paco avoids slavery but not the selling of flesh, that of others in his role as pimp, his own in the intra-European wars he goes off to fight in as a mercenary. Walcott does not romanticize his heroes.

At the same wharf Paco meets his people's successors, the Africans being taken into slavery, their total commodification exposed in the way they are referred to by the Europeans as just another cargo. The development of their story by the later scenes on the Middle Passage sets against their savage treatment their heroic resilience in suffering. The moment of landfall in the New World, so familiar from the European grand narrative and its icons, is wrested out of the hands of the imperialists and given to the Africans: Columbus and Raleigh are shown off-shore and sailing for Europe which remains their home. It is the Africans whom Walcott shows landing in the New World.

Walcott links his first two sections by showing Paco, now old, as a vagrant on an English beach, shipwrecked from the Armada, who encounters the boy Raleigh. The idea of a Native American's life spanning encounters with both Columbus and Raleigh is an ingenious and plausible connection of a sort which in reality was probably more common than we tend to think. It also enables Walcott to make a witty and trenchant reference to Millais' iconic painting of 'The Boyhood of Raleigh', painted in 1870 and, widely reproduced, of huge cultural power in the valorising of empire at the peak of its nineteenth century expansion. Walcott was already interested in using visual 'quotation' in the theatre as a means to a richly signifying icon in the Brechtian manner. Instead of a nameless old man, with his back to the viewer, pointing the young Raleigh to far horizons, Walcott makes us see an individual, Paco, a Native American cast away for ever on the margins of Europe. Significantly what he offers the boy is stories; he has become the narrator of the story of empire to the imperialists. The visual quotation – 'a sort of cliché but I wanted it', said Walcott – also functions to extend the episode's range by enabling the historical reference to be doubled: the scene is to stand not only for the phase of Elizabethan adventure, the looting followed by primary settlement of the Americas, but also for the later worldwide expansion of the British empire, set in train by men such as Raleigh. The reference to the red on the world map, by which their empire was represented to the British, clinches the connection in a powerful metaphor:
The blood that jets from Raleigh's severed head
Lopped like a rose when England's strength was green
Spreads on the map its bright imperial red
To close the stain of conquest on our scene.

The blood-guilt of empire, even in its treatment of its own, is made memorably clear; Europe is exposed as tyranny and a place of self-predation.

The second half of the drama, headed 'Rebellion', shows how the violence which founded the mixed societies of the Caribbean was returned and resisted. Again, Walcott presents intricately calculated scenes to show the material processes and the collective psychology behind the events recorded in the history books. The inverted racism of the Haitian revolution, for instance, is seen in the light of the ingenious tortures casually inflicted on slaves by the plantocracy for their amusement. We are brought to understand that the will to revenge was an inevitable consequence of such treatment, but the play is not content simply with explanation. It makes a moral stand also, coming down firmly against the revenge ethic. The heroism of Gordon, who gives himself up knowing that he will be killed, is held up as the difficult alternative: a violent stand against the abuse of power involves the perpetrator in further abuse of power, but the non-violent stand can achieve more, the play suggests, by its heroic self-sacrifice. The continuation of the Gordon episode in Pompey's flight back to the Maroon camp develops the theme; the 'little' man's equivalent of Gordon's noble self-sacrificial pacifism is the simple non-violence of one whose main concern is the next meal, and whose principle notion of military defence is the decoy. The parallelism invites the audience to see the comic group as a key alternative to the 'high' drama of violence and suffering on the upper level of political power. It is a similar strategy to Shakespeare's in *A Midsummer Night's Dream* where the assertion in the inner play (nominally a tragedy) that 'the wall is down that parted their fathers' lays down a profound anti-tragic marker.

Thus the four tragic stories in the heart of the play, to which the plebeian characters provide an encompassing frame, provide a symbolic structure, the meaning of which is manifest in the spatial design of the performance, just as in the masques of the Elizabethan and Jacobean court. But where the comic Renaissance anti-masque had been subordinate to the story of the 'great' persons represented for the entertainment of the nobility, in Walcott's drama that hierarchical dynamic is reversed. In *Drums and Colours* the 'great' men's stories are subordinated to the 'anti-
masque' story of the 'little' people, which surrounds, penetrates and actually presents their stories to view, via the fiction of the carnival roadmarch. The knowledge that the tragic stories are in what Bhabha calls the performative produces a Brechtian Verfremdung which ironizes the tragedy and transforms it to epic.

Walcott also uses a Chorus – a Shakespearean rather than classical Chorus reminiscent particularly of the Chorus's role in Henry V – to introduce the chronicle scenes. The terms in which this Chorus explains the stage's symbolic locations are highly significant:

This barren height towards which the steps ascend
Is that fixed point round which some issue wheeled,
There our four heroes meet their common end,
There in harsh light, each age must be revealed.
(Steps down)

Below them, on this level of the stage
The spokes of normal action turn their course,
(Enter SPANISH SAILORS)

Just as these sailors, fished from a drowned age
Were simple men, obscure, anonymous.
And where the stage achieves its widest arc
The violence of large action shall take place,
Each sphere within the other leaves its mark,
As one man's dying represents the race.

There is thus a profound philosophy behind the hierarchy of position: the conventional model of dominance is deconstructed in favour of one of interaction. The stage's dominant position is shown to be a 'barren height', and becomes the site of tragedy and death of the 'heroes' as the play unfolds. The level of the stage, in hierarchical terms 'below', is to display 'normal' action, that of the unremembered 'anonymous' individuals who were as much a part of history as the 'heroes'. The outer limit of the stage, presumably its interface with the audience, is to exhibit the 'violence of large action', the whole co-ordinated in the concept of concentric spheres, as in the ancient cosmology. In the concluding image, not only is the symbolic nature of the stage representation alluded to - one actor performing in the name of the countless numbers of history - but the death of the ordinary person, at the lower level, is shown to be just as meaningful as the death of the elevated 'heroes'. The continuum between the individual and the community is given powerful linguistic expression in this introductory speech and performed symbolically in the episodes that follow.
The crest of tragedy keeps surfacing in the play, but is constantly undermined by the undertow of comedy. Again, this is signalled at the outset by Mano setting up on a staff two masks, of comedy and tragedy, which he hands to the Chorus 'As the figure of time and the sea'. His gesture embraces the whole audience: 'every blest soul going act the history of this nation.' The involvement of the audience is another of Brecht's objectives for epic theatre; if the audience is passive the project fails. Mano's remark, however, is also a *double entendre* addressing the future: in their lives to come all those present, a metonymy for the whole population, will enact the unfolding history of the nation. It is a way of summoning both national pride and responsibility. And the time symbolism is made clear: 'as the sun been on his roadmarch all day cooling his crack sole in the basin of the sea, we starting from sunset, through night to the dawn of this nation.' The symbolic moment of the birth of the nation is expressed through the diurnal cycle, as in so many independence celebrations when the flag of empire is hauled down at midnight to be replaced by the banner of the new nation. The idea of the 'dawn' of the new era is given literal as well as symbolic meaning as the night scenes give way to daylight.

The repeated pattern of closure in tragic death, enacted on the upper stage, a 'central balcony with steps leading up to it from either side', and mirrored in the fate of many of the humble characters below, is also used to give symbolic meaning to the arc of the play. In the midst of the extended comic scene with the Maroon band, supposedly contemporary with the death of Gordon - the point at which the language and rituals of Christian faith enter the play - to the audience's consternation, Pompey falls in the fighting and dies. In the following moments of the play, all of the anguish of the centuries of suffering, for Native Americans and Africans, which the play has vividly evoked, the tension of the Toussaint scenes with their moral dilemmas and the grief of betrayed idealism, and the awesome courage of Gordon, choosing martyrdom, come to bear down on the sense of loss which the death of Pompey brings. In a death scene of great pathos as well as comedy, Pompey's last words resonate as first words of advice to the new nation, a creed:

> It ain't water I want, Yette. I want all you boys stick together, you hear? All you stick together and don't hate nobody for what they is or what they do. This is all we land, all we country, and let we live in peace. I want all you hold hands there near me, and live like brothers, Calico, dont buse coolie, coolie dont buse Mano, give the boys a break sometimes because this is confusion time.
The audience resists his death as gratuitous, as if all of the other deaths could be accepted, but not his. It is a crucial stage in the dramatic experience which Walcott has planned; by making the audience refuse the death of Pompey he enacts the hope for the future.

After the extremely painful, delicately handled scenes of Pompey's death and burial, which are funny as well as acutely sad and serious – full of the contradictions Brecht regarded as such an important stimulus – Yette swings the play round with her, 'All you taking this too serious, is only a play. / Pompey boy, get off the ground, before you catch cold.' The mood breaks, although the tension is held for a delicious moment longer by Pompey's failure to stir, until stung into action by an insult. As he springs up, he enacts the great myth of the defeat of death; relief is almost tangible. In the drama's mimetic pattern, in Pompey's 'resurrection' we are all enabled to wake from the nightmare of history into the 'dawn' of a new, hopeful era. It is as if our resistance to his death has brought about his ability to surmount it: his defiance of a tragic closure is closely linked to our own. The multicultural discussion over Pompey's body as to what sort of funeral rite would be appropriate is replaced by imagery informed by the Christian faith, as elsewhere in Walcott's drama and poetry, where the portrayal of a return to life is given far-reaching symbolic resonance. The notion of hero is remodelled as everyman: Pompey is the quintessential little man, an absurd figure like Shakespeare's Pompey with the surname of Bum in Measure for Measure, rather than eminent Pompey, the triumvir, of Antony and Cleopatra. As Mano says as Pompey runs to find the man who hit him, 'Lord trouble again, trouble again. Thank God the little men of this world will never keep still.'

It is Pompey who introduces the final Chorus, a figure of both time and memory as we were told at the beginning, saying 'The man nearly mash up me memory. / But I feel it coming back.' History, the text suggests, must not be forgotten, as it can teach us how best to enter the future. This is where the epic spirit lies, in the usefulness of revisiting the past, compounded as it is of comedy and tragedy mixed:

Return again, where buried actions lie,
For time is such, alternate joy and pain,
Those dead I raised have left us vows to keep,
Look, a new age breaks in the east again.
Since the prevalent modern view of Caribbean history is of a long night of suffering and grief, it would seem that Walcott's positivism might risk banality, but far from denying the force of the great negatives he gives them moving representation. Paco leads us through the tragedy of the Native Americans from an unfamiliar perspective, his story leading to the story of African slavery, first on the Middle Passage and then in the abuses of the New World, and the courageous acts of rebellion are shown in all their problematic tragedy.

But against the fully tested weight of the suffering and anguish Walcott sets up the heroism of survival, which is rooted in defiance and the comic instinct. The Maroons' banter round the symbolic calaloo pot – calaloo itself being a creolisation, born of necessity, a recipe for cooking available ingredients – is the play's abiding image of benign community, of the sacramental domestic hearth, naturalized to the open air. Later a related yoking of the trickster spirit to iconic domestic intimacies was to find equally memorable expression in Walcott's *Odyssey*, where Odysseus mirrors the Pompey ethos. The spirit of Autolyus, of Ulysses, and particularly of Anansi, in the end triumphs simply by not giving in, whatever the odds. It is this which makes the play revolutionary. As Ram says, trying to patch his impossibly ragged trousers, in an ironic counterpoint to Calico's repeated cry that 'the bottom fell out of the sugar market', 'You think any man have a right to dead in this pants?' Like the robber Barnardine in Shakespeare's *Measure for Measure* who thwarts death by refusing to go to his execution because after a night's drinking he is not 'fitt'd' for it, Ram says in effect that he cannot die because his trousers are not dignified enough.

In the end the myth of comedy always comes down to the defeat of death, and the spirited refusal of the plebeian hero to be dignified enough to die can be modelled as a mechanism of survival. But the articulation of grief needs to be set alongside the heroic defiance; even Brecht's Mother Courage makes eventually her silent scream over her children. Ram's funeral speech over the 'body' of Pompey is a memorable poetic assertion of the epic spirit:

> We only a poor barefoot nation, small, a sprinkling of islands, with a canoe navy, a John Crow air force, and a fete father philosophy, but in the past we was forged, Mano, and, Oh I can't talk enough to tell you, but for this Pompey dead, stupid as he did seem, I wish I could talk. Oh where the fellar with the language to explain to this man?
As Judy Stone notes, ‘Drums and Colours proved that an integrated regional theatre company creating West Indian theatre is not only possible, but capable of outstanding achievement.’ It had been a tremendous production in every sense, performed by two hundred actors, dancers and singers, before audiences of up to 1,500, despite the rain which led to its being dubbed with characteristic irreverence ‘Drums and Watercolours’. Above all it ‘kindled in both participants and spectators a lasting pride in their West Indianness.’ In the same year in which he had written Ti-Jean and his Brothers, it also demonstrated that Walcott was capable of outstanding achievement as a dramatist as well as a poet – and specifically as the poet of an epic theatre, using all the non-naturalistic resources of visual symbolism, movement, collective involvement and heterogeneous juxtaposition for a total, political theatre.
Prospero: Our revels now are ended. These our actors,  
As I foretold you, were all spirits and  
Are melted into air, into thin air. [The Tempest IV.i.148-50]

Walcott's play *The Last Carnival*, first performed in Trinidad in 1982 with the author as director, is a reworking of a play from a decade earlier, *In A Fine Castle*. In 1986 a revised version was published as *The Last Carnival* with two other plays. Judy Stone examines these early adaptations, including one for television, which was not produced. In 1992 it was reworked again for a production in Birmingham, England, which Walcott was able to see in rehearsal, in that it coincided with his residence in Stratford-on-Avon while *The Odyssey* was in rehearsal. It seems to be a play that matters considerably to Walcott, yet with which he remains restlessly unsatisfied. Stone explains how *In A Fine Castle* was Walcott's engagement with a revolutionary moment in Trinidadian history, while it was unfolding:

In 1970 Trinidad was shaken by a brief Black Power revolution. Walcott was inspired by the event to a fresh perspective on a subject that had long preoccupied him: the validity in the West Indies of European culture, and the rightful place there, if any, of the colonial descendents. Walcott spent his curfew hours working on a play in which, by alternating his scenes between the black and the white milieux, he contrasted the militant extremism of the Black Power movement with the gentle decadence of a French creole enclave.

The play was premiered by Walcott's Trinidad Theatre Workshop later in 1970, but in Jamaica, not Trinidad. Walcott's programme note indicated that the play was conceptualized as television, and grew from an outline 'proposed by an actor who worked with Bergman'. Bruce King paraphrases Walcott's introduction: 'he tried to keep in its style the “fluent impartiality” of a camera. Only after facing the madness of the world and accepting his own flaws can a person find “true peace, which is separate, personal.”' The play revisits some themes to do with changing power and
culture in the Caribbean which have recurred in both his drama and his poetry. Epic in its historical sense of national identity and its reading of the individual in the context of history, it also has elements of the epic theatre in the Brechtian sense, with its episodic structure and its dialectical approach to action. The Jamaican production initiated Walcott’s professional partnership with the design team of Richard and Sally Montgomery (then Sally Thompson), who brought out the Brechtian politics of the piece in their design, using, among other things, ‘Brechtian slides’.7 The play poses similar difficulties to those which _Drums and Colours_ solves with such panache, but the integrity of purpose which makes an electrical exchange of the earlier play’s contrasts seems to be lacking here. There is a real ambivalence, it seems, at the heart of the play, not just a chosen dialectical strategy.

Set in Trinidad, it takes place against a carefully defined epic history, narrating Trinidad’s colonial past, its independence, and its revolutionary present. Although it makes play with the idea of stopping the clock in its opening sequence, this is a drama in which time becomes a protagonist. Walcott, as ever, is interested in the paradox of yoked difference: the urgent upheavals of social change are in a sense its centre, and yet the stage is made a contrasting arena of stasis – of reflection, of language, and of art – which relegates the political to the margins. If this is understood in the light of Bergman’s influence (his films were becoming increasingly reflective and static, in a style that culminates in the television drama _Scenes from a Marriage_), its aesthetic purpose becomes clearer. Agatha, newly arrived in Trinidad from Britain in 1948, to take up a post as governess, is met by her employer, an artist who insists that she take off her watch, as symbol of having stepped out of linear time into a kind of paradisal limbo. In its 1992 version (the version discussed here except where indicated otherwise), virtually the whole drama is framed as a series of flashbacks narrated to a local journalist reporting on the artist, Victor DeLaFontaine, who has killed himself. Agatha, who tells how she went on to become Victor’s partner, is the narrator. Brown, the journalist, listens. It is a difficult role to play because it is so passive, and Earl Lovelace’s criticism of Brown’s part in the earlier version remains apt: the play ‘deserves a more comprehensive and comprehensible Brown; like the play itself, Brown contains too much potential to be so limp.’9 Brown is, of course, a symbolic name for the mixed-race individual who represents the crux at which the two cultural dynamics, of the black community and the white, collide and creolize. His stasis is therefore symbolic, as the still point between equal and opposed forces. Walcott
addresses what he sees as the pitfalls of Black Power in ‘What The Twilight Says’, the essay written in the same year as the revolution and the drama’s inception:

Once we have lost our wish to be white we develop a longing to become black, and those two may be different, but are still careers. “The status of native is a nervous condition introduced and maintained by the settler among colonised people with their consent,” says Sartre, introducing Fanon, and the new black continues that condition... Slaves, the children of slaves, colonials, then pathetic, unpunctual nationalists, what have we to celebrate?10

The drama’s play of stopping the clock is thus both a satiric point about the society’s failure to take itself seriously enough, which results even in revolution being compromised before it is begun by inadequate organization, and simultaneously an acknowledgement that an efficient revolution would be worse, since it would involve the terrorism of a fascistic approach to time and history, which, fortunately, he seems to suggest, is alien to the Caribbean. But the essay’s criticism of both ‘careers’, its refusal of the reactive binary racial politics in which black was henceforth to be privileged and white to be repudiated, was deemed reactionary under the dominant politics of the time. Likewise the play’s courageous focus on the right of whites long-established in the Caribbean to be accepted as part of the new nations was not likely to find favour with militant factions. The subtler point, that the revolutionary spirit betrayed socialism if it practised a reverse racism, and indicated only that the trauma caused to black people by colonial history was not yet healed, was too hard to see from vantage-points of engagement in the urgently unfolding political drama. The quietism of Brown derives from his (and Walcott’s) acceptance of both halves of his heritage, the only route, as Walcott sees it, to healing. That it should be the mulatto who understands this and reaches inner peace first is perhaps not surprising. But the play’s lesson (as in so much of Walcott’s work) is that the acceptance of the European heritage is also necessary for the healthily integrated black identity, too. European culture, he repeatedly reminds us, is an integral part of Caribbeanness, the point being that it need no longer imply deference to Europe, because it is fully assimilated, naturalized and creolized, in Caribbean culture, which is its own resplendent self.

A series of retrospective episodes now fills out the play, which concludes with a sequence of events contemporary with the journalist’s visit. Once again, the fictionality of the narration, its status within its own text as one version of events
among many, and beyond that as fiction anchored in historical epochs open to many possible interpretations, is brought to the audience's attention, as Brecht suggests. The location in history of the retrospective 'narrated' episodes is significant. From 1948 and the years following, the time jumps to 1962, then on to 1970. The first represents the post-war phase of new immigration from Europe to the colonies, imperialism's last fling, as it were, before the independence movements got under way. The other two dates are important to Trinidad specifically: the first, 1962, is the date of independence; the second, 1970, is the date of the armed uprising in Trinidad in which a substantial takeover of the country by the Left was maintained for some time before being crushed. The play's present, of the narration to Brown, is the time both of the revolutionary uprising, which in reality coincided with Carnival, and of DeLaFontaine's (fictional) suicide. The rest of what is presented comes to read as an account of how the 1970 moment was produced. The play is therefore in part about the origins of revolution. But the drama investigates the 1970 moment also by giving it parallel significance in terms of the artist's suicide. There are thus two principal fields, politics and art, through which the racial theme is exhibited; it is the dialectical relationship between these which the play examines.

The climax of the Trinidadian cultural year, carnival, with its origins in a purgatory religious festival in which conventional power relations are inverted - the master playing the servant and the servant the master - is matched to the crisis of Trinidad's historical development, the overturning of the social order by revolution, in a series of dramatic parallelisms and inversions. The irony of carnival's revolutionary inversions, however, is that they are ludic and temporary, and function in fact to reinforce the hold of the ruling class by allowing the underclass to let off steam. The irony of Walcott's focusing of his play about the Trinidad uprising on the metaphor of carnival therefore bears down on the fact that the uprising eventually failed (a fact not represented in the play but naturally adduced by its audience from their knowledge of what was recent history when the play was first written), and in failing, re-established the old order in a stronger position than before. By calling his play not just 'Carnival', however, but the 'last' Carnival, Walcott seems to be exploring a Marxist view of the ultimate inevitability of change: one reading of the historical events is that the ruling class – in which old colonials re-emerge as neo-colonialists – may remain in place after this uprising, but their position is essentially doomed. Their 'carnival' of misrule cannot last for ever and this may be their last fling. The apocalyptic nature of carnival
thus comes to the fore: the festivity on the eve of the season of sober abstinence derives its energy from a temporal imperative, that of the last chance. What emerges is a desperate gaiety, doomed, with its beauty raddled. As in the paintings of Watteau which give the play its key visual images, the delicate idyll is febrile, diseased and unsustainable. In *Midsummer*, his collection of sonnet-like short poems published in 1984 and therefore arising from the same period as the first production of *The Last Carnival*, Walcott characterises Watteau as 'malaria's laureate'.11

His method is to focus on a French Creole Trinidadian family, the DeLaFontaines, whose ancestors sought refuge there at the time of the French revolution. This is an epic part of Trinidad’s history. The island was opened substantially to European settlement by Haitian French landowners, fleeing Toussaint l'Ouverture's slave revolution in Haiti (a direct consequence of that in France), which led to the first republic in the Americas. Walcott is indirectly revisiting the topic of his first play *Henri Christophe* and his epic for Federation, *Drums and Colours*. Essentially, then, the Trinidad revolution of 1970 is being traced back to Haiti and then to the great revolutionary moment of 1789, while its immediate antecedent is the near-revolutionary events in France in 1968, which sparked similar protest in the Americas. As Tony says cynically but significantly to Brown, 'All our ideas in Trinidad are imported. / The Black Power March began with students abroad.' A complex relationship is therefore being postulated between the politics of France and those of Trinidad, between Europe and the Caribbean. At the same time, a complex relationship is being posited between the arts of France and the arts of Trinidad. The notion of mimemis, of Trinidadian politics or art as copies of a European original, is thus put forward for discussion. The audience, as in Brecht's epic theatre, is invited to consider a range of contradictory propositions: opposite the possibility of the mimetic reading of Trinidadian politics and culture the play suggests the contrary, their distinctiveness and originality. A great deal depends on the conclusion drawn, because if Trinidadian culture is only mimetic it ceases to exist as a phenomenon in its own right. But if a Trinidadian cultural identity exists in and of itself, defined by its difference, it must be able to demonstrate something distinctive and culturally unique. Since it cannot be denied that there are historical links between the Trinidadian culture of today and that of France in the past, the question of difference has to be carefully tested.
The play's dialectical approach to the narrative by which these propositions can be investigated is essentially binary. First, the society of the 1970 'present' is divided by class and race, reflecting the historic divisions of Caribbean societies. The white DeLaFontaine with their plantations, their great houses and their privileged lifestyle inherit one side of history; the black servants and the estate labourers, represented by the women who 'dance the cocoa', turning the beans with their feet while singing, inherit the other. Walcott also gives the family two brothers, carefully differentiated on dialectical lines. The artist Victor identifies so closely with his European French cultural heritage that he eventually goes mad and thinks he is Watteau. Oswald his brother is the planter, the son of the soil with no cultural pretensions, only pride in his family's history as nurturers of the land. The one harks back to Europe, tragically; the other is at home in Trinidad, and survives. Victor's children, Clodia and Tony, whose mother's death occasions the need for a governess, mirror the difference between the brothers, but with a crucial variation. Tony is to become the artist and Clodia the un-intellectual lover of the island and all it stands for, but with this generation both are fully Caribbean, both rooted, one in the local culture of carnival, the other in her love of the island. The sense of the continuity and yet difference of generations can be brought out in the casting: as Judy Stone notes, 'Walcott has written The Last Carnival in such a way that the leading actress plays the young Agatha in the first act, and Clodia in the second, in which the older Agatha is played by a supporting actress. In the same way, the leading actor plays Victor in the first act, and in the second Victor's son, Tony.'13 Such a practice, used also in The Odyssey, is not only an economical device: it is symbolic of theatre as a shared activity, in which membership of the group is as important as individuality (a theatre company is a good example of the 'I-an-I' community). The family is served by the devout George, who invests heavily in the status quo, and the young maid Jean who resists it. The play is in two halves, with a brief scene marking independence at its hinge; it therefore invites comparison of the portrayal of the society before independence with the post-independence society. In this way the audience is led through a very Brechtian intellectual experience of comparing and contrasting the items of the play's textual evidence. We are made to think.

From the beginning the play introduces an agent of change. The irruption of Agatha, a Marxist, into the family's virtually feudal system in 1948 disturbs its carefully maintained calm. Agatha is working class, a cockney who (rather
Implausibly for the time) is a graduate of the London School of Economics. With her red hair she symbolises revolution. She it is who protests at the exploitation of the cocoa-dancing women, raising awareness of the real economic relationship on which the seductive luxury of the family's lifestyle is based. And it is she who starts a veranda school for the young servants to teach them, among other things, their rights. She is thus a catalyst: it is her disruption of the status quo circa 1950 that initiates the chain of events which deliver a real exchange of power. But by showing an English outsider, a relatively recent arrival, as the source of the demands for social justice which produce first, independence, and later, near-revolution, Walcott is doing something quite controversial.

Not only does this relate to the issue of mimesis, it also becomes part of a dialectic of French as against British colonialism which the play puts forward. The 1962 moment of independence is portrayed via the essentially English image of a cricket match. Agatha is almost absurdly shown as a chirpy cockney 'sparrow', with memories of the blitz, of evacuation and wartime songs, and a battered suitcase which has seen service in the Indian empire, and which she addresses as 'missis Boggs'. In trying to fix a character's social milieu by her/his tone of voice (in itself good dramatic practice) Walcott does not always avoid cliché. In good Brechtian manner, however, Agatha links her pleasure at the smell of cocoa beans on the Port of Spain wharf to the smell of cocoa she knew as a child and wonders whether what she drank in Britain came from Trinidad: the workings of empire and of international capitalism are emblematically exposed through this reminder of the third world countries' role as agricultural primary producers, laid down by imperialism but sustained by the post-independence neo-colonialist system. Agatha's complicity in the imperial project is inescapable, for all her Marxist ideas. This accords with Brecht's concern to show that 'objects, including people, are produced via the relations of production in which they are engaged.'14 For Brecht, 'Whatever props are used, they are there not just as realistic background but as something to be acted upon.'15 The suitcase, however absurdly, is intended as a complex signifier. This suitcase which Agatha drags round the world with her has an imperialist past: she is burdened with empire whether she approves it or not. But it is not a French but a British suitcase, and the imperial story of the British is shown as relatively honourable. In a long speech,16 Tony launches a diatribe beginning with martyrdom as 'So French!' He says he despises the British,
except Agatha, and hates the French, and goes on to attack French assimilationist imperialism:

I detest anything French that shows in me and I suppose, although I admired my father, I detested him. He made us cherish taste and it was the wrong taste for this country, and that makes us useless. The French are shitty colonizers. They create this longing for the metropole in their colonials...But what's worse is that they also create this longing for paradise in their metropole, and when the intellectualizing bastards get there, the way they fucked up Indochina, Algeria, Tahiti, Martinique, Africa, their whole empire, and that's their other deceit, they never called it an empire like the British; they called it simply an extension of the metropole, which was a lie; the bastards get so cynical and disappointed about these native paradises, they turn them into hell. And that's the Empire that victimised your hero. Papa. Mon pere.

As a St.Lucian who grew up in a British island with a francophone culture, and French territory just across the water, Walcott is making an important distinction between the different colonial policies of the French and the British empires, which have resulted in radically different political status in the Caribbean for former French and British colonies. The first are now legally part of France and therefore the European Community, while the second are mostly independent countries. He is in fact opening a debate that is rarely evident in anglophone Caribbean writing, and which is potentially of considerable importance in discussions of the nature of creole culture and of its future.

Tony goes on to describe Victor's suicide as the product of a moment of truth in a demented life of delusion:

once he knew that he was Watteau, he didn't have to paint anymore, you see. He had done it all already. Well, after many doctors, he had one of his lucid periods, and in one of these rational periods, he did the rational thing. No more illusions. No more *Embarquement Pour Cythère*! He killed Antoine Watteau.

In one sense the suicide is absurd; in another it is heroic, in that Victor recognised the falseness of his identification with Watteau and Frenchness and 'killed' it. His death is symbolic, rather as that of the 'white goddess' is in *Dream on Monkey Mountain*. Tony's perception that the French way is 'the wrong taste for this culture' makes an important contribution to the play's dialectic about mimesis. The British are approved both culturally and politically: for not mythologizing their imperial project with complex projections of symbolic desire, and for not making their Caribbean territories part of metropolitan France as the French have done. The play makes us reflect that if
Trinidad had been under French control, 1962 would probably not have seen independence. More probably, Trinidad would have been, like Martinique and Guadeloupe, a Department of metropolitan France, a state of affairs which Tony, and implicitly Walcott, regards as a betrayal of its identity and integrity. Once Federation had failed, the independence of the principal anglophone Caribbean territories, among them Trinidad, was rapidly implemented. In 1970 Trinidad had autonomy, which meant that revolution could be performed locally, in terms of control of the government building, the Red House (the equivalent in the French Antilles would have to take on metropolitan France). In cultural terms Trinidad could encourage the particularity of its art to develop, without being tied to metropolitan objectives. The result of the different colonial histories is that Martinique and Guadeloupe resemble France much more than the former British West Indies do Britain. Carnival is the central symbol of this: it has come to stand for Trinidian cultural identity as difference, which, as Drums and Colours shows, can be extended as a pan-Caribbean cultural icon. The Last Carnival is itself an example of independent contemporary culture, as a Caribbean dramatist resident in Trinidad develops a form of folk art (carnival) as signifier in a complex investigation of national identity. Again, the dialectical process that the text invites is epic in the Brechtian sense. Audiences used to a supposedly apolitical theatre that proceeds by psychological characterisation and empathy are likely to baulk at Walcott's approach, which places the individual as symbol within a dialectical investigation.

The cocoa dancers offer a provocative image of the creation of an indigenous culture. At the heart of the slavery system, which denied the value of the individual and repressed independent expressions of community, the imposed act of physical drudgery was turned by the oppressed into an act of cultural self-expression by making it a dance and accompanying it with song. The difficult corollary to this is that the working practices maintained on the DeLaFontaines' estate after independence, and long after abolition, mimic the social structures of slavery sufficiently for that practice to survive: the white family still enjoys its privileges in the big house at the expense of its black workers who are still fulfilling the role of cheap labour in the international commodity market. That they still sing is at one level miraculous, at another tragic: a central Brechtian ambivalence. The dance of carnival is thus reflected in the cocoa-dance with its political skeleton exposed. Although the play does not engage openly with the danger of carnival being altered to a servile
inscription of neo-colonialism by its commercial debasement to an adjunct of the tourism industry, as Walcott fears in 'What the Twilight Says', the tracing of carnival back to its roots in French culture, which the play foregrounds, implicitly raises these issues, to which the end position of the play offers no easy resolution.

The culture of France is given focal representation in the play; its classical painting, literature and music are all deployed as symbols of what is available to Trinidadians as a cultural 'origin', should they wish to affiliate themselves to it. The binaries of culture, 'high' and 'low', are tied to those of class and race. The DeLaFontaines' 'great house', the beautifully crafted rural estate house, and their town house that they call their castle, are symbols with a complex meaning. The title of the first version of the play, In a Fine Castle, is an ironic reference to this, using a phrase from a Trinidadian children's song, therefore invested with great folk significance and important in the debate about the specificity of Trinidadian cultural identity. George Lamming with his title In the Castle of My Skin ironizes a Walcott phrase, signifying on the folksong to address a white girl's remoteness (in his poem it is 'your' skin) from her young black admirer; Lamming's inversion centres the black youth, placing him in the 'castle' of his racial identity, a place both of strength and isolation.17 The play's centring of the 'great house' as symbol of social relations is thus linked to the whole question of race through the folksong as signifier, developed through the region's literature. It is a fine example of the interaction of 'high' and 'low' traditions which Walcott characterizes as typically Caribbean.

As the play unfolds, it becomes evident that it is particularly interested in the racial attitudes that accompany the revolutionary politics. Clodia, secure in her Trinidadian identity, expressed textually by her use of Trinidadian English, finds herself when 'jumping up' at carnival singled out as an outsider by a black militant because of her race. As a white person she is defined by some as irredeemably not Trinidadian. The way this is introduced invites the audience to sympathise with Clodia's sense of injustice. But the reading of such an episode will vary according to the audience: Clodia's narration to a predominantly black Trinidadian audience, particularly one contemporary to the social upheaval portrayed, will have invited the majority community to consider the implications of its actions and attitudes in the name of a racially inclusive concept of community; but played to a predominantly white northern audience it will read very differently, tending to entrench negative racial stereotypes and social divisions. This difficulty affects the reading of the whole
play: it could be regarded as either a plea for a long-established minority to be accepted as insiders under the new order, or as a pro-white defence of the old order. The former is, I think, what is intended, but to northern audiences not versed in Caribbean history and social attitudes, the latter reading may tend to dominate. This has serious implications for how Walcott is seen, as there is a tendency for him to be co-opted to a reactionary standpoint, characterised by nostalgia, which is, I think, wholly misleading.

Walcott clearly signals the inadequacy of Victor's mimetic approach to art. He shows tableaux reproducing Watteau's imagery to be a static, dead art characterised by nostalgia, locking the Trinidadians of the twentieth century into the roles of the past. His son, Tony, however, is a carnival designer in the Trinidadian style, making images for the mass of people to move to, not carefully composed myths of a frozen order. The essence of creolization is change; a creole culture is one which continues to change, characterised by an ongoing process of creative transformation, of which carnival is the great symbol. Just as Victor's art is locked in to an old doomed concept, so is Agatha's politics. Her Marxism is shown as skin-deep (ironically); as soon as she finds herself in a colonial situation she is happy to adopt the ways of the white mistress. Thus although she is important as the catalyst of political change, she is also fatally compromised by her personal choices. Brown, the journalist, characterises her as a neo-imperialist in her manipulation of the 1970s Trinidad which she has taken as her home: it is a case of 'Remote control of the colonies', in which her narration of a myth of Victor's art is part of an attempt to 'present this family in a golden light / as if it were some Impressionist masterpiece.' She is also pulling political strings; Brown talks of her influence on the government minister: 'She moves Jean Beauxchamps any way she likes.'

As the two senior white personae are problematized as moral signifiers, the two white children, Tony and Clodia, are moved into sharper focus as relatively uncomplicated positive signifiers of the new nationalism. Clodia is coded with her love of the island, its people, its natural landscapes and its culture, as honourably Trinidadian; as is Tony, by his commitment to the artistic potential of carnival. The generations represent history: time is the factor which can transform the expatriate to the native, the cultural metropolitan to the indigenous creole. But the test of nationality is not how long an individual or a family has been resident but what its attitude to its place of residence is. Once it has been embraced fully as 'home', the
location 'belongs' to the resident, of whatever history; and that embrace necessarily involves a commitment to the indigenous culture. However, the 'high-low' scheme of culture complicates this, because Walcott is also pleading that the indigenous art forms should not be confined to a 'low' model of mass appeal. He wants his own exploration of the potential extension of popular forms into 'high' art (as exemplified in this play) to be accepted as just as Trinidadian as carnival itself. The play needs to be seen against another argument from 'What the Twilight Says' about the cultural policies of the newly independent Caribbean nations, with their 'prostitutions of a tourist culture.'18 The commercial debasement of what had been dignified folk forms is attacked for its own sake, but also as the root position from which Walcott's own artistic contribution to the national culture is marginalized. What the official policy sidelines as elitist is, to Walcott, the route to growth, and a crucial tug against the seemingly relentless convergence of popularity with commercial vacuity. His aesthetic is to honour folk forms by using them in new art, not to debase them with new commercialism.

Ironically, however, it is in its representation of racial roles in power and culture that the play eventually fails. It problematizes both the white attitudes of Victor and Agatha and the black attitudes of the revolutionaries, in a way which would require a carefully calculated balance if the dialectics represented are to provoke thought by their inner contradictions, as Brecht wanted. But in the event there is no contest, as the imagery associated with Victor's art dominates the art of the drama; the relationships between the white characters are also more important to the play than those of the black characters, who tend to remain symbolic in their roles. Sydney, the nephew of the loyal George, who lives and plays with the DeLaFontaine children as a boy, grows up to become a revolutionary who calls himself Colonel Daga, and ends up a sacrifice to the restoration of order. He objects, as an adult, to the way Victor had made him play the servant role in the past: 'Dressing me up like I was some pappyshow / That was the last Carnival I ever play.' Jean, the maid, becomes Minister for Housing in the post-independence government. Both of them, however, are marginal to the main action in terms of the practical design, although they are not dialectically marginal.

Although the play begins by mapping the island as a place apart – Cythera, a paradise outside time (but awkwardly reminiscent of the Hollywood period romance with its rather phoney governess and its upstairs/downstairs division crossed but not
disrupted) – it shows the romance to be illusory, as history irrupts to destroy the 'great house' idyll. As history unfolds the class barriers are breached, with the new order taking on working-class people like Jean as government ministers. The reciprocal movement is the toppling of the ruling class. As the social unrest of 1970 develops off-stage, news is brought to the DeLaFontaines' town house 'castle' of their beautiful old estate house being burnt down. The establishment fights back. A helicopter circles overhead and the military catch Sydney and bring back his body. To Agatha, time is the real enemy. The play articulates a powerful desire for a place apart from history, with its cataclysms, its misguided idealisms. It still has the feeling of something created under curfew. But for all Victor and Agatha's initial ludic 'suspension' of time, time is in fact the dimension in which makes possible not only the revolutionary history but the creole culture.

The DeLaFontaine children are also involved in change. Clodia, educated in England but passionately Trinidadian, is persuaded to leave the island at the end of the play. She makes a powerful speech to the journalist about her love of the island:

I really not smart enough for your kind, you see. I don't know what I want. Ah can't paint. I don't read no poetry, my head is pure sawdust, but I know one thing. I know I stupid. But leave me stupid, because if is stupidness to love this country, the mountains, the flowers, black people, the savannah, the sea, then I proud of my stupidness! And now they wouldn't let me love it because I'm white. And I can't stand all you intellectuals who keep changing your mind and your skin, because maybe my father was no great shakes as an artist, but he wasn't no damn lizard to change when colours changed. He loved this place, and what hurt him was how he couldn't express that love of it beautifully enough.

This is a significant defence of Victor, in keeping with Walcott's consistent privileging of the benign discourse of art over the dangerous one of politics, and a powerful exposition of his belief that a sincere love of a country should be the only test of national identity. The pressures on Clodia expose in the Brechtian manner the consequences of ideology. It is out of her love for Sydney and wish to aid the cause that she consents to leave: 'if I'm in the way of their winning, let me go away, then.' Her willingness to sacrifice her own happiness for what she loves makes her the key to the latter part of the play, lending her a heroic stature. Her departure closes the play, in a scene made consciously to echo the first, of Agatha's arrival, by Clodia narrating it to Brown who comes to see her off. The play is thus patterned on a reciprocal migration; the white woman of one generation who arrives,
opportunistically, replaced by the white woman of the next who leaves, self-sacrificially. The audience is invited to reflect on which is the better Trinidadian.

The story of Clodia’s brother is a different matter. As noted, where his father Victor was involved in a mimetic art – copying Watteau both in his paintings and by organising the family into eighteenth-century tableaux – Tony (his name anglicised and familiarised from his given name after Watteau, Antoine) becomes a designer for carnival. Victor’s colonial affiliation to a European art implicated in the old power relations is therefore replaced by the next generation’s commitment to the local and indigenous culture: carnival in Trinidad is a syncretist art form which is specifically Trinidadian. Tony does not intend to leave. He and Clodia go binary ways. His sister finally contradicts Tony’s assertion that ‘we can’t move’ by her departure: the twin possibilities are offered for comparison – on the one hand, the continuing presence of white people in the Caribbean; on the other, the forcing out of whites by the demand for racial revenge.

Walcott thus opens up a dialectical view of history through his portrayal of the fortunes of the 'great house' microcosm. He chooses to disrupt imperialist assumptions from the outset, focusing not on a conventional encounter between an upper class European and a lower class colonial, but on an upper class colonial (Victor) and a lower class European (Agatha). But this is the white story. His presentation of the black story begins with the upwardly mobile mixed-race journalist of 1970, then revisits the past with its history of black servitude. But the early scenes show the essential lack of difference between the servants and those whom they serve: George is a man of as fine a sensibility as Victor, and Sydney is a better horseman than the DeLaFontaine children and is otherwise indistinguishable from them - indeed he loves Clodia and she him - except by his skin and the social position to which it confines him. The later scenes which show a society in which the talented young black person is not limited by a glass ceiling (Sydney as a boy could only aspire to becoming a jockey) model a social justice which is nonetheless still complicated by the continuance of the 'great house' phenomenon. The burning down of Santa Rosa is to Sydney's way of thinking an important symbol of the need to attack neo-colonialism: if families like the DeLaFontaines retain the feudal privileges inherited from the past then independence has little meaning.

The emotional centre of the play is ambivalent, however. The binary thematics of stasis and action requires that carnival be kept marginal, like the revolution, in
order to allow the stage to be appropriated as the ideal zone outside time, the play’s Cythera, but its consequence, the absence of vigour at the centre, remains a weakness. It is intended perhaps as a Bergmanesque reflection on psychology, not that of the individual but of cultural groups, in the quest to define not a personal subjectivity but a collective identity. For all its implicit targeting of the European-oriented colonial elite (as opposed to their thoroughly Trinidadian children), it seems seduced by their style and by Victor’s artistic premise, of the desirability of a filial art. Visually it is the intellectualized recreation of Watteau which dominates the play’s iconography rather than Trinidadian carnival in all its heterogeneity. Victor insists on seeing Agatha as a Watteau shepherdess, and organises the whole family into the Watteau tableau. The dominant image is that of Watteau’s famous painting, ‘The Embarkation for Cythera’, which is referred to in the 1992 text, and formed the basis for the stage design in the Birmingham production. Speaking in 1981, Walcott acknowledged his method of deploying painting in his plays:

All directors, all scenic artists - and I do paint - say to themselves, well, this is like X or Y, after all it's no different to film. You have an image using human beings and backdrops and...dark and light. You are painting, really. / Whenever there has been an echo, I have chosen that echo - like in Drums and Colours an imitation of “The Boyhood of Raleigh”, which is a sort of cliche but I wanted it. Then in Marie Laveau I wanted something impressionistic like Manet in the beginning...I used the "Déjeuner sur l'Herbe"...You just do that there because you want the audience there to get that echo deliberately. That's your choice, it might be a cliche and corny but you do it.  

Stage directions to The Last Carnival specify direct replications of Watteau's imagery. In the 1992 Birmingham production an enormous suspended cloth like a sail, painted with the ‘Embarkation’ image, dominated the otherwise minimally dressed stage, and the colour and composition of the human tableau which Victor arranges was immediately evocative of Watteau.

In addition, the carnival tableau is not just visual, but is to be accompanied by recitation of Baudelaire's post-Watteau poem 'Un Voyage a Cythère' (which is given a reprise later in the play in the 1992 version), as well as by Oswald on his knees as an absurd representation of Toulouse-Lautrec, and Agatha dressed as Jane Avril. The text thus moves the erotic to centre stage. But Cythera as the locus of desire is always remote, never achieved. The whole idea of embarkation frames the play, with Agatha’s arrival in Trinidad signifying her leaving of her native Britain in quest of
some kind of fulfilment, in ironic counterpoint with the leaving of Clodia at the end from the same wharf. Morally the crucial difference is that the European is serving her own desire, while the Trinidadian is serving another's. The play shows different dreams bringing the characters to different points of departure. The dream of social justice is set up in opposition to Victor's dream of the great tradition of art. Victor is drawn into the Watteauesque illusion until it kills him. Sydney is drawn into a different dream, which faith in his own heroism turns into a dangerous nightmare, killing him too. Agatha, with her dream of a fairer society, is seduced by materialism to betray her ideals, and ends up living a lie. The wisdom of Baudelaire's poem is that the dream-isle of love, Cythère, is no paradise but a barren and gloomy place - 'cette île triste et noire' - where the poet projects a self-image as a tortured figure: 'Dans ton île, o Vénus! je n'ai trouvé debout / Qu'un gibet symbolique ou pendait mon image.'

The poem represents a kind of metropolitan exotic nihilism which is alien to Caribbean culture, as Walcott sees it.

The exoticism of fevered Watteau and self-tortured Baudelaire are aligned also with the metropolitan dream of paradise in French nineteenth century opera. The play refers to the tenor aria 'O paradis', from the scene in Meyerbeer's opera L'Africaine when Vasco da Gama discovers the long-sought new land (discussed above). In the 1992 text of Walcott's play the aria is introduced nostalgically as a song the brothers' mother sang long ago. All these manifestations of French culture are heavily loaded with a superficial nostalgia in the play, which on examination turns out to be strongly coded as negative, and alien to the local culture.

Walcott, in his poem about Watteau (and particularly about his 'Embarkation'), speaks of 'the hollow at / the heart of all embarkations', the urge to move on being 'that prodigious urging toward twilight'. Watteau's Cythera he perceives is 'far and feverish', a kind of 'nowhere', an exoticism, the hopeless dream of the unattainable. The form of the dream is determined by the waking consciousness, is its reverse: it is 'the mirror of what is'. A bleak rationale is left at the end, a postmodern nihilism of endlessly inverting opposed images, *mises en abîme*, suggesting a postmodern evacuation of meaning: 'Paradise is life repeated spectrally, / an empty chair echoing the emptiness.' If the dream can only reflect the real, there is little hope.

Analogously, in political terms, if the revolution is doomed to repeat the errors of what it replaces, to mirror what was, there can never be progress. In the play, if Trinidad's Carnival is just a mirror of its European origin, symbolised by Watteau,
nothing has changed. But the corollary to this is that if Trinidad's revolution is the mirror of the Haitian revolution which was a transatlantic 'creolization' of the French revolution, applied to a different society in a new way, then the possibilities remain open-ended.

As the play unfolds, however, so does its ambivalence. The 'last' carnival, of the play's title, signifies in part the last blaze of glory - part of the 'sunset' imagery of 'What the Twilight Says' and of Another Life - of an anachronistic planter class before succumbing to history, but in the play's aesthetic the perception of the beauty which that class symbolises introduces a powerful nostalgia, with which the rise of the black underclass - shown as morally just, but swiftly and mechanistically - cannot compete. In the end the play fails because it fails to integrate its ideological and its aesthetic tendencies: the attempt to contribute to an epic history is undermined by the play's loving fascination with the Watteau aesthetic and what it stands for. Its courageous and potentially powerful project to interrogate the racial exclusivism of Black Power politics is undercut by its parading of European elite culture, the subtleties of the aesthetic point about assimilation into Trinidadian culture obscured. Victor's abuse of Trinidad as a society of Philistines who 'came to this paradise, this Cythera, / and with your Calypsoes turn into swine!' resonates without irony or rejoinder, as he tells them 'This is your last Carnival! You hear me?' The chance which the plot offers, of enacting the alignment of the twin energies in the Trinidadian carnival art of Tony, is not realised in the script (although in production greater emphasis might be made to fall on this). Although the dialogue refers to the extraordinary fact that carnival is continuing despite the collapse of the social order, the intrinsically revolutionary nature of carnival itself is unstated. The live carnival of the streets is outside the play: Clodia comes in from participating but that is as near as the drama itself gets. The significant early scene in which a dancer masqued as an African jumps out at Agatha offered a line of approach which is rapidly passed over. It is co-opted only to the characterisation of Agatha, her abrupt reaction representing the worst kind of elitism, and giving an early indication that her role is to be problematised. Her shift from flaming revolutionary to colonial memsahib is crucial to the play's dialectic yet somehow fails to convince. The simple logic is that once privilege is accepted, commitment to the cause of the oppressed is fatally compromised.

Unfortunately the play delivers its resolution crudely. Perhaps because of time constraints caused by the complex plot, the return of Sydney and Jean as agents of the
new political order is presented almost as a *deus ex machina* and therefore risks seeming contrived and unreal. It may be that Walcott, who focuses on the white story, wishes to surprise his audience as he surprises the DeLaFontaines and Agatha with the sudden realisation that the revolution is already under way – that the old order which secured their privilege is already, and inevitably, crumbling. A late detail almost redeems the situation: the Major's pride in returning the DeLaFontaines' horse, on which Sydney was fleeing, the horse unscathed in his killing. An ironic target is momentarily revealed - the corruption of the new power moguls, intent not on reform but on securing privilege for themselves. As Walcott says in his satiric poem, 'The Spoiler's Return', 'nothing ain't change but colour and attire'. But again, the moment is soon past. And Walcott's wish to expose the corruptions of power risks decentring the play as moral project: if in the end the tone is cynical, the idealism vanishes, and with it the serious interest in the staged debates and any serious hope of change for the better.

In a passage cut from the 1992 revision, as the revolution begins, Tony refuses to abandon his carnival plans, explaining that his designs for this year, in tribute to his father, are based on Watteau: 'Now, with this light like the fire, orange, and the silks, the sunset, I see the moment of stillness Victor wanted. Because we are here, we can't move. Just like the people in the painting. Motionless...' In the final analysis, this version suggests, art is not history, and Watteau can still be beautiful. Above all art can transcend time in its self-location in myth. It is the 'place apart', of peace. But the play's mythic meaning is that the epic story of migrations and arrivals, shared by all Trinidad's diverse peoples, including the whites, can be concluded in growing rooted there, in achieving the stasis of painting. The stage, like the Watteau iconography, is thus a metonymic signifier of Trinidadian society as a place of cessation of motion, of 'home'. But the dialectical construction of this myth in opposition to the conventional myth of Trinidad, as typified by the restless energy of carnival or revolution, manipulates both carnival and revolution into rhetorically negative positions. The intended privileging of Trinidadian assimilation and creolization of foreign models is then powerless against the iconographic authority of the stasis. The play is divided against itself. It needs to allocate a lot of its space/time to the establishment of the thesis about stasis (which is intrinsically anti-dramatic), thus relegating to the margins the energized Trinidad, but these logistics destroy the balance necessary for the Brechtian dialectics to work.
Brechtian epic drama needs and uses ambivalence. What flaws this play is its failure to show clearly enough how its yoking of the heterogeneous worlds of art and politics works as a single project. The play itself is an enactment of the thesis that art itself is a political practice, but its internal dynamic is unclear. It remains nonetheless a courageous play, a landmark in the history of racial politics, and a work of great ambition and achievement which may yet find its ideal form.
Walcott's as yet unpublished play 'The Ghost Dance', which has an intertextual relationship with its contemporary, Omeros, visits, like Henri Christophe and Drums and Colours, a historical subject. Here Walcott moves on, however, from Caribbean history to that of North America, but once again, the topic chosen is epic: the play presents from an unfamiliar angle a key episode in the colonisation of America. Already recognised in the discourse of history as a moment of symbolic significance whose meaning extends beyond its immediate time-frame, it is the final phase of the suppression of the Native American population of the Great Plains to which Walcott turns his attention. The events which led up to the massacre at Wounded Knee have, in recent decades, been subject to revisionist historiography: that which in the first half of the century was regarded as illustrating the heroism of the white man's conquest of the mid-West has been re-evaluated from an Indian-centred perspective, to give a tragic reading. A long chapter of oppression amounting to genocide reached a closure in that winter of 1890. As in The Last Carnival there is therefore in this play an apocalyptic momentum to the events to be narrated: the tone is one of closure and doom.

But 'The Ghost Dance' is also like The Last Carnival in that Walcott chooses to double the spiralling of the apocalyptic with a narrative of romance. This raises a problem of audience response. Just as the love affairs of The Last Carnival can seem to obscure the dialectical meaning of that play, so the love themes of 'The Ghost Dance' can seem to work against the tragedy of the Indian story. If, however, the reasons for the inclusion of the romance element are carefully examined, it will be
seen that both plays deploy sexual love dialectically as part of the reading of history, and that it is therefore central and vital to the meaning, not a trite extraneous concession to popular taste. Walcott's method in 'The Ghost Dance' is Brechtian in that he proposes contradictory signs to encourage dialectical thought, but in other ways it builds on the mythic theatre he has developed, in which symbolism is used to deliver a metaphysical meaning.

First, however, a consideration of the play as history helps to account for its origin and intention. The history which gives rise to Walcott's creativity is a particularly shameful chapter in the destructive history of white power in North America, one which put the final nail in the coffin of Native American hopes by breaking the power of the Sioux nation. Two connected events wielded the hammer: first the killing of the revered chief, Sitting Bull, then the notorious massacre at Wounded Knee at which another chief, Big Foot, died. It is the first of these which Walcott explores in the play. The main events were as follows. When forty-three Indian police under Lieutenant Bull Head surrounded Sitting Bull's log cabin on 15 December 1890 to arrest him, they were opposed by four times as many Indian warriors. When shooting broke out, in which Sitting Bull fell, the American cavalry who had been held in reserve, with their superior fire power, were brought up, and a full-scale battle resulted. The survivors of Sitting Bull's people fled, and joined Big Foot's group heading for the gathering of the remaining clans at Pine Ridge. On 30 December, two hundred miles to the south of where Sitting Bull died, the chapter was closed in the Wounded Knee massacre, in which Big Foot and over two hundred of his people, mostly women and children, already prisoners of the military, were killed. The independence of the indigenous Americans was effectively over.

Retrieval is one of the projects of counter-discourse. As Foucault says, 'The manifest discourse...is really no more than the repressive presence of what it does not say.' Although history cannot be relived or altered, the discourse of history can be reconceived, there being no privileging of 'authenticity' in Foucault's formulation: 'We must be ready to receive every moment of discourse in its sudden irruption...Discourse must not be referred to the distant presence of the origin, but treated as and when it occurs.' The re-narration of the Native American story in the last quarter of the twentieth century is conspicuous because of that history's role in popular western culture. In recent years there have been a number of popular American films which have revisited the Indian story from a revisionist standpoint.
The aporia of the dominant discourse can be filled with narrations of recovery - the recovery and dissemination of lost texts, but also recreations, imaginative mapping of lost experience. Walcott's play is an act of recovery, but it proceeds by a method which is easily misapprehended, an epic method which shoulders a particular responsibility in relation to the future as well as the past. In that sense it is intensely political: it engages with America's dream of itself by both raising awareness of the past and also by leaving the door open to a more benign future - modelling the possibility of hope. 'Recovery' is a term which has meaning in the sense of retrieval but also in the sense of restoration to health.

Walcott's perception of himself as a St.Lucian Caribbean has always been informed by his sense of identity as an American, as a member of that cross-fertilization of cultures which Columbus initiated in the Americas. His move to Boston in the late seventies has led to an increasingly direct creative involvement with America in the sense of the USA, addressing, principally in his poetry, not only the fantasy of the glamourized American present and its compassionless reality, but also the American past. In Omeros the narrator tells how

Manifest Destiny was behind me now.
My face frozen in the ice-cream paradise
Of the American dream, like the Sioux in the snow.4

As well as using the same approach as to his island geography, reading both rural and urban landscapes as historic texts, Walcott focuses on what could be called epic moments in that history, when symbolic events exhibit in little the essential meaning of the sweeps and confusions of history's macro-scale. As noted above, his focus has frequently fallen on the great absence from the American present, that of the Native Americans, in all but a very few enclaves. In his writing he makes them present, refusing oblivion; their ghosts people his fiction, from the Sauteurs of Another Life to the Ghost Dancers of Omeros. While he was working on Omeros, however, he was also working on 'The Ghost Dance', written for an upstate New York college. It arose from the intention to recreate the history of the epic moment of the Indian tragedy. This play presents a surface which can, I think, be misleading, if it is not seen in the context of its symbolic meaning. It appears to be interested predominantly in the white experience of that phase of the subduing of the mid-West, when, rather, it is attempting both to understand and mark what happened to the Sioux by giving a
dialectical account of the origin of history's givens, and to create meaning for the
future.

The Sioux's Ghost Dance has a special place in the history of the Americas,
coming as it did at the final crisis for Native Americans, in the autumn of 1890, the
historic point of irreversible loss. Just as the political oppression in the name of white
America was abandoning all scruples to achieve 'white-out' on the remaining Indian
communities of the Great Plains, Indian culture reached into its own creativity to
produce a new myth. The myth promised the return of the dead and of the land with
its buffalo - of all that had been lost to the white man - which would come with the
spring if the Ghost Dance was performed all winter, a dance performed in a special
shirt which rendered its wearer invulnerable. In the words of the disseminator of the
new myth, Wovoka, known as the Paiute Messiah,

All Indians must dance, everywhere, keep on dancing. Pretty soon in next
spring Great Spirit come. He bring back all game of every kind. The game be
thick everywhere. All dead Indians come back and live again. They all be
strong, just like young men, be young again... medicine man tell Indians to
send word to all Indians to keep up dancing and the good time will come.^(5^)

In terms of the linear time of history, it can be argued that this resort to myth
precipitated the final political collapse of the tribes. As Dee Brown reads the fatal
events of December 1890,

Had it not been for the sustaining force of the Ghost Dance religion, the Sioux
in their grief and anger over the assassination of Sitting Bull might have risen
up against the guns of the soldiers. So prevalent was their belief that the white
men would soon disappear and that with the next greening of the grass their
dead relatives and friends would return, they made no retaliations.^(6^)

Yet for Walcott the Ghost Dance is not a negative signifier. For him its appeal is
rather that it shows the creativity of faith as a way of resisting a tragic history.

The background to the terrible events of December 1890 which concluded the
Native American tragedy - specifically the murder of Sitting Bull - are given emotive
exploration by Walcott, but he balances this bleak history by his attention to the Ghost
Dance as cultural phenomenon, as resisting sign of redemptive faith. By focusing on
the Ghost Dance he does not diminish or mitigate the horror of the history, but does
suggest that the horror is not the only dimension of events. He offers, as it were, a
politics of faith, which is not bound by time as history is, but can continue to have an
effect indefinitely by means of cultural rehearsal, repetition and renewal. Thus although the events cannot be undone, and the individuals and society then lost cannot be recovered, the ideas which they stood for can again become part of current thought, and may even restore a later moribund society to life. The great dream of the Ghost Dance shamans, that if the people would dance through the winter they could defeat the destruction which the white men’s history was bringing and dance their people to restoration, is, for Walcott, a dream which in 1990 as well as in 1890 has creative potential.

Derek Walcott's concern is with art, but with art as a cultural phenomenon implicated at every level in how cultures see themselves and their Others, and therefore with the resulting political realities. The Ghost Dance, as well as being regarded as a tragic enabler of the closure of Native American autonomy on the great plains, is also for Walcott irrevocably positive, in that it shows the human spirit triumphing over negative experience with its creativity - specifically a syncretist creativity, in its blend of traditional faiths with messianic Christianity - and with its faith in renewal. The fact that political reality may not (yet) deliver renewal does not, for Walcott, cancel the value of the faith. As Catherine Weldon says in the play, 'When history wins it doesn't mean God has lost.' The role of art, as he sees it, is to keep before us the images of potentiality, while demystifying the history.

In turning his own creative attention to the Ghost Dance, therefore, Walcott both deconstructs the historical events of 1890 and reconstructs the magic of faith in human potential. It relates to his symbolic use of dance as motif in The Last Carnival, where the meaning of 'jumping-up' at the Trinidad carnival is related to the 'dancing' of the cocoa. He has used the Ghost Dance twice, in different genres: to bring a pan-American dimension to the dance symbolism of his epic poem Omeros, and, while he was working on that poem, to focus an entire drama on it. The deployment of the story in Omeros is self-sufficient and does not require knowledge of the play, but the play enlarges understanding of the Ghost Dance’s importance to Walcott, as well as being an important work of American literature in its own right.

It seems that the idea for the play led to the appearance of the Ghost Dance in the poem, rather than vice versa. The play was premiered by the Cardboard Alley Players at Hartwick College, Oneonta, New York on 9 November 1989, and the poem was published in 1990, but these dates by themselves are not conclusive. Duncan
Smith, Director of Theatre at Hartwick College, has explained his own role in the genesis of the play:

Thanks to the efforts of Dr. Robert Benson, Mr. Walcott has been a regular visitor to Hartwick for some time. One of these visits was to a class in which I was planning to develop a script about the death of Sitting Bull. The historical material I showed him seemed to resonate deeply with some ideas he had long been dwelling on, and he announced he was going to write a play on the subject for us... After two years of discussions and several workshop sessions, he delivered the script.7

It seems, therefore, that it is to Duncan Smith that the presence of the Ghost Dance in *Omeros* can be traced. Dr. Robert Benson notes that Walcott 'began the script during a month-long residency in January 1987, while working on improvisations derived from accounts of the Sioux ghost dance and the death of Sitting Bull.'8 Smith notes the elements, marginal to the history, which appealed to Walcott:

He was particularly taken with the figure of Catherine Weldon, a minor figure in the accounts. He built her into a full character complete with a relationship with James McLaughlin, for whom he also supplied a fictional personality.

The real Catherine Weldon was indeed a Bostonian who had lived with and been close to Sitting Bull, acting as his intermediary with the American authorities. Historically, as in the play, McLaughlin was an Indian agent, married to an Indian woman. He had a long history of contact with the Indians and it was he who, as the local agent charged with Indian affairs by the government, played a key role in initiating the final events. The Indians in real life dubbed McLaughlin 'White Hair',9 Walcott does not use this of McLaughlin, but seems to have been prompted by it to give Catherine in his play the Indian name 'Bright Hair Who Loves Us'. From Smith's account it is clear that the ideas for the play seeded the corresponding events in the poem: 'Catherine Weldon did find her place in an even larger epic... when she found her way into *Omeros*.' However, given the two year genesis of the play and the three year genesis of the poem,10 roughly coterminous, presumably, in 1989, when the *Omeros* manuscript would have been delivered to Farrar, Straus and Giroux for publication in 1990, it is clear that after the starting point in Duncan Smith's idea for a drama about the death of Sitting Bull, Walcott worked up both his play and his poem in tandem.
It is therefore not surprising that there should be a close match between some of the images of both, although in obvious ways they are so different. Both pair flour and snow metaphorically, for example, in exposing the way famine was used to suppress the indigenous people, and both use the chiaroscuro of the lantern in the frozen landscape. They are also complementary: the poem's selective representation, in which the most significant elements of the story are given symbolic resonance, enables the play to be read with a livelier awareness of its own symbolic structure, and the play enables some of the choices implicit in the poem to be better understood.

In the play Walcott's foregrounding of historical figures such as Catherine Weldon and Major James McLaughlin, the Indian agent, is immediately striking. The play opens and closes with Kicking Bear, in real life a Minneconjou from the Cheyenne River, who had made a pilgrimage to Nevada to see the Messiah of the new religion, and who brought news of the Ghost Dance to Sitting Bull. There are other Indians in the play, most centrally Swift Running Deer, a Christian convert, given the name Lucy, whose tragedy is at the heart of the play as she commits suicide. But in terms of the balance of representation the bulk of the dialogue is spoken by white people, and they are on stage significantly more of the time than the Indians, which raises the possibility that the play might be repeating the historic marginalisation of the Indians.

In Duncan Smith's phrase, Walcott decided 'to keep the agony of the Sioux as context not as the center of focus', but this may be misleading, as he was not betraying the importance of the Sioux's history. Although the white people's story, as Walcott recreates it (and he may have had the ethnic composition of the actors in mind), may seem to deflect attention away from the tragedy of the Sioux, a more thoughtful response produces a different conclusion. First, the concept of the play is founded on a third term between polarised binaries: the Native American story is in antithesis to the white story, but those who represent the synthesis of cultures take centre stage. Then, Walcott perceived, I think, that if he was to represent usefully that disastrous phase of their history which has come to symbolize the whole tragic history of the Native American peoples, he would need to show the processes whereby power came to be used to make such terrible events happen. Revealing the ordinariness of history is a way into an understanding of responsibility; revealing the mixed motives, and failure to see the consequences of their actions, in people like ourselves can alert us to our own complicity in political and human disaster. To avoid or combat oppression it
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is necessary to understand the oppressor. By creating a story around Catherine Weldon and James McLaughlin, Walcott has been able to show how even the well-intentioned – those who are liberal for their time – bear a direct responsibility for the tragic outcome.

It should also be borne in mind that production offers opportunities for bringing out certain aspects of the meaning, for creating a signifying dynamic, for instance by emphasising symbolism. The whole significance of the land to the Indians was given imaginative expression in the Hartwick production, designed by Richard and Sally Montgomery, by making the natural world read as an Indian construct, people and their surroundings sharing one identity:

With so rich a verbal setting as this verse play has, designer Richard Montgomery (who has designed eight premieres of Walcott's plays) enriched it further, making the set look as if Indians had made it; stripped trees lashed into an Indian burial scaffold, a dense forest of erosion cloth, a platform formed by tipi poles stage-right that served as a mound of earth, Weldon's cabin, the army's courtroom, and Dr. Beddoes' office. Sally Montgomery designed the costumes to play with the set, which made the army's fresh uniforms look incongruous and invasive, while the Indians and the set were costumed alike, as it were, in buckskin, feathers and paint, an effect enhanced by hanging fringed and feathered war shields (part of the Indian costume) on the trees as part of the scenery.

With the action given visual representation of this order, the scenes between the white characters must have read quite clearly as subordinate to the Indian story, there to disclose the processes of history, but also the possibility of transcending it.

In Walcott's symbolic treatment the dominance of white people and their culture is presented through the imagery of a white winter, both in the language of the play and in its action. This is appropriate also because the metaphor of white-out for the political reality was central to the symbolic language of the Ghost Dance faith: as the spring would loosen the grip of the white winter and bring back life, so the Indians would be released from the grip of the whites and the vigour of the tribes would return. Although the play focuses on the positive hope of the Ghost Dance it does not duck the tragedy; the main historical events take place off-stage, but a carefully thought-out plot which investigates those events' origin and consequences is constructed for the main characters.

Walcott creates the part of Swift Running Deer, a Christian convert christened Lucy, to bring the pathos of the Indians' plight centre stage. She is a part of the play's
investigation of inter-culturalism. He builds on the historical fact of McLaughlin's marriage to an Indian by paralleling it with her story: she is about to marry a young white lieutenant. But after a key scene in which, wearing a symbolically white dress, she is humiliated by being made to dance by the white Christians, she is then drawn into Kicking Bear's Ghost Dance (wearing now her Sioux dress and with white markings only on her face), resuming her Indian identity. The first act ends with Kicking Bear at the end of the trance-like dance with 'arms extended, crucified, transfixed', an image of sacrifice. Early in the second act her father brings news that Lucy has killed herself. Catherine blames faith - 'She paid the price of that difference' - and tells McLaughlin that his wife, another convert, is also spiritually dead: 'Her heart is dead. She is among the lifeless. / They all are. They knew they were already ghosts.' The idea of the dance of ghosts embraces all the doomed Indians. In the focus on those Indians who have the most direct contact with the white people and their culture, Walcott is able to show that assimilation is no protection: even those who have adopted white ways remaining marginalised and threatened.

The play's spatial language of inside and outside embodies the white Americans' annexation of centrality and their marginalisation of everyone else. The dominant foreground, a hard interior world of army post, domestic kitchen and courtroom, from which not only (inadequate) food and (infected) blankets, but (western) ideas, are dispensed to the Indians, is set up in opposition to the natural world outside, across the stage and framing it, peopled with Indians who know how to inhabit a landscape without destroying it. The binarism is set out clearly in the opening stage directions, with stage right, Catherine baking in the ranch kitchen, and stage left, 'A small stand of birches. An INDIAN, KICKING BEAR, in the birches. Light snow powdering his blanket, his hair, his face white.'

The flour Catherine pours becomes an image of the snow, as in Omeros, in a symbolic representation of the policy to hold the Indians in reservations on starvation rations. The end of the play echoes the opening, with Catherine gathering her belongings and going out into the blizzard, followed by a final mime in which Kicking Bear is 'whited-out' by the Christians:

The Plain, snow, lightly. KICKING BEAR walks towards the hills, stops. He sits in the snow. He stays still. Snow thickens. The PARISHIONERS, in white, white faces, white veils, begin to circle him, each carrying a small sack of flour. Wind, howling.
KICKING BEAR leans against a drift.
The GHOST PARISHIONERS drift nearer.
KICKING BEAR lies back. The GHOST CONGREGATION pour flour over his stiffening body, then they wait. Wind. KICKING BEAR, powdered with the flour, lying in the snow.
The GHOST CONGREGATION unfurl a white sheet, but one which is made of net when held up in the light, and cover KICKING BEAR, who disappears.

The racial symbolism of this sequence is unmistakable, but its pathos is informed also by mimetic truth. For an impoverished people cold is a great killer, and countless Indians did starve in the snow as a direct result of displacement, inadequate rations, and military action.12

A photograph of Big Foot's body, frozen as if about to rise from the snow with the new year, has become one of the indelible icons of a terrible history. Robert Bensen records that the final image in the play's first production was an allusion to that icon, although the accompanying mime appears to have lost some of Walcott's meaning:

Kicking Bear freezes to death wandering in the mountains. He contorts in the rigor mortised posture of Big Foot in the well known photograph from Wounded Knee, while in a brief masque, four white Snow Maidens pull a stage-wide sheet of white gauze over him for the delicate blizzard foretold in imagery throughout.13

In Walcott's stage directions not only the general symbolism but the political agency of the white-out is clear (not Snow Maidens but ghostly parishioners, white demons with their deadly flour), allowing not only the tragedy but also the guilt to be represented.

That Walcott chooses to expand the historical material and this tragic frame story with a romance between his two white protagonists may at first glance seem odd, as if to trivialise a serious theme, or perhaps to popularise it. On a more thoughtful analysis, however, it emerges that Walcott's placing of romantic love at the heart of the play is crucial to its meaning, as it parallels with vivid dramatic representation the positive symbolism of the Ghost Dance myth. One of the other great dances of the tribes, the Sun Dance, is a celebration of life through sexual love, with its chant, 'Look at that young man / He is feeling good / Because his sweetheart / Is watching him.'14 In the play's opening sequence, Catherine, alone in the Parkin ranch, goes to bed after picking up 'a child's hobby horse, made of a carved horse's
head on a stick' which she stands in a corner. Part of her story unfolds about her absent son, with his oedipally wounded foot, whose death is eventually narrated: at the level of naturalism the hobby horse is his. But as the play develops, the hobby horse is used as sexual symbol, when Catherine allows McLaughlin to be her lover after a playful scene in which they both ride the hobby horse.

The role of the horse in the history of the Great Plains, as war-horse, is thus counterpointed with the sexual 'ride' at the heart of the play. Just as the Ghost Dance stands for the possibility of renewal, so the capacity of individuals to love one another stands for renewal of both body and spirit: in consequence, the seasonal cycle of death and rebirth allows the death phase of political history to be read as implicit signifier of restoration. The play closes in death, but in that its discourse establishes a meaning for death as precursor of renewal, that closure seeds the hope of restoration. The Ghost Dancers believed that if they embodied with sufficient faith the truth of death, both representing and, by faith, becoming their dead, they would enable the dead to regain life. The complex exchange of identity which spiritual possession implies can be transferred imaginatively to the socio-political dimension: the play's implication is that if we could be 'possessed' by a better world, we would enact it, could make that world literally come about.

By presenting two equal opposing energies balance is the product. In its twinning of the negative and the positive, offering optimistic myth as a counter to tragic history, the play achieves an epic tone, and takes its place alongside Walcott's other epic works. In Duncan Smith's view the play, in being worked up, over-reached itself and them:

The process of working with Derek was both exciting and difficult. It was wonderful to watch him explore the struggles of his central characters, and I appreciated his decision to keep the agony of the Sioux as context not as the center of focus. In the end, however, I felt that the play got away from him. From an early, tight concentration on Catherine - the cabin scene was the first one he wrote - the piece expanded toward a John Ford, big screen, western epic. Frankly, the script grew to overwhelm our production capacity.15

While sympathising with the dilemmas of a director (and actor – Smith played Dr. Beddoes, 'a role Derek claimed he wrote for me')16 it is possible to see the play as epic without accepting the implications of scale which the Ford reference suggests. Walcott has for many decades tested a simple theatrical style for its ability to achieve epic resonance. Like Brecht, from his early days as a director he was after an epic
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theatre in which the epic quality was not in the technical or budget resources or the size of the company but in the signifying structure of the drama, and particularly in its text:

One of the advantages that I think I had as a playwright was the advantage of being very poor; of being in a poor country, of being in countries where you could not afford changes of scenery and therefore what you had to do had to happen in the immediate environment of the actor, and that meant that the language really had to do the work of the set. And generally it has always been that wherever the set has been the least consequential thing the theatre has been at its most powerful. Wherever you get the set dominating the idea or the concept of what you are enacting then you have theatre (in terms of language) that is very thin, because the language dares not get bigger than the room it's in. It stays within that room.17

That Walcott has not abandoned the ideas expressed here at the end of his long directorship of the Trinidad Theatre Workshop is clear from the 'Ghost Dance' script, which opens with an important note on the staging:

There are several changes of locale. These changes, and indeed more importantly than the changes, the play should be performed fluently and simply, as Indian theatre, with minimal props, with blankets, poles, flags to indicate locations, and with no furniture, which would make it Western theatre. Actors should squat instead of sitting on chairs.

The epic quality is evident, for instance, in the announcement of the death of Lucy by her father, presented in a superficially low-key manner, reminiscent of Brecht's Mother Courage's reception of the news of her children's deaths, yet implicitly signifying also her silent howl. Catherine Weldon, too, gets news of the death of her child, a boy, news which the female bearer delays delivering, by chattering: as Catherine slowly realises what has happened so does the audience. Another fine epic moment is that in which a stock scene of white stereotyping of the Indian, that of the drunken Indian, is inverted by poetry to something heroic: the sceptical rationalist Dr.Beddoes and McLaughlin interrogate Kicking Bear by offering him whisky, regarding him as a 'poor possessed sod', but he turns the scene on its head with his prophetic vision, voiced from within, of the future for the tribe:

They will know the rapture of exaltation,
the feathers in their hair will make them eagles
over the broken mountains, the lakes will enter
and prickle their cold skins like the fishes,
you will tire like the salmon of a ladder of stones,
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and not only the Sioux, not only the Sioux, the Arapahoes, the Cheyennes, the Brules, the Ogalalas, the Minneconjus, the Sansaras, when the deathdance begins, to the drum in the heart, before the wide silence.

The Ghost Dance becomes a deathdance, but in a play which regards death differently from the typical Western attitude. In a culture of faith, time is cyclical, always containing the certainty of return. As Catherine says at the end of the play, about her dead husband who will not return in life:

I have arrived at that natural acceptance, through nature, not through progress, I can stand now at the dead centre at the heart of time where time itself becomes a ghost dancer, and everything that seemed surely insubstantial returns, and that is the joy of the ghost dance, that they, the Sioux, if they believe in nature must first die to return. Just like the seasons.

The play is interested in what happens between cultures in the creation of new nations. Walcott shows the interaction of Native America with Europe because that models the kind of synthesis out of which modern America has emerged. He chooses historical characters in intermediate positions — Catherine with her relationship with Sitting Bull, McLaughlin with his Indian wife, Swift Running Deer with her lieutenant — because through them he can explore the opportunities which history offered, whether seized or missed. Sexual attraction is the great motor of intercultural contact and exchange. Cross-fertilisation is shown happening both biologically and culturally. But the hobby horse symbol on which the play centres is implicit at the end: one of the real opportunities for love and creative exchange which history offered — Lucy and Lieutenant Brandon — is broken, but McLaughlin, with Catherine’s blessing, returns to his silent Indian wife. Catherine, who entrusted her sick child to Indian medicine rather than Dr. Beddoes’ kind, does not regret that decision, only that she was not with him at his death. The great significance of her role lies in her acceptance of death, something she has learned from the Indians. The only way to live in harmony with nature, rather than rapaciously, is to do as they do and accept death as part of nature. We see Catherine creolizing, becoming more Indian; it is a lesson to white culture with its ideas of progress, science and modernity.

Catherine understands the difference between herself and McLaughlin: she uses a sexual relationship to further her loyalty to the Indian cause by trying to
persuade McLaughlin to reveal his orders in relation to Sitting Bull, while he acts to the Indians' advantage, showing her his sealed orders, not because he believes in the cause but for her sake, only to regret it later and to redeem himself in reasserting his American loyalties by an act of zeal: the action he initiates to arrest Sitting Bull is thus glossed by Walcott as the complex product of a moral dilemma between duty and sexual desire, overlaid with the irony of McLaughlin's patronising attitude - his serious belief that he can thereby save the Indians from themselves. The three main white characters, Catherine Weldon, James McLaughlin and Dr. Beddoes, present between them a carefully dialectical range of responses to the white dilemma. In the Brechtian manner, the spectator is invited to examine their predicaments, rather than to empathise. The doctor is the scientific rationalist, coded negatively in the play, who believes the Indians to be fundamentally different and inferior, although he is a humanist who deplores the suffering which violence causes, on both sides. He is also an atheist to whom death is the end. McLaughlin is in the intermediate position between two cultures with his Christian Indian wife and his position as Indian agent, in the front line of Indian affairs as negotiator, but ultimately an executive of the American government. He feels disgraced by his quasi-court martial and is moved to zeal in the final action which, in his patronizing way, he justifies to himself as in the Indians' benefit, although his chief reason for undertaking it is to bring himself back into the favour of his military superiors.

Catherine is the text's moral touchstone: she has an aware and continuing loyalty to the Indians which neither her relationship with McLaughlin nor the death of her son compromises. As she tells Kicking Bear, 'Some white faces can keep their vows.' She is the one who understands why Lucy kills herself; she is the only one who understands about sacrifice, and who leaves finally pulling her goods on a travois (the Indian sled). Her exit is ambiguous: at the naturalistic level it seems from the opening of the scene that she is going back east, but when her exit comes it seems to represent symbolically a rejoining of the Indians. Perhaps the ambiguity is a way of indicating that location is not what matters, but an attitude of mind and a way of life. Early in the play willingness to suffer for a cause is characterised as the test of loyalty: Kicking Bear says to Catherine, 'if you say you love us, then suffer with us.' Specifically he invites her to 'share in a famine to join all the ghosts.'

The action of Sitting Bull with which the play opens, the return of Catherine's gifts, which she rightly interprets as meaning that friendship is dead, comes to seem a
prophetic wisdom. The nature of the gifts is significant: a portrait of Sitting Bull done by Catherine and a set of china crockery. Both could represent a way of life and a way of seeing which threaten the Indian identity. Sitting Bull, referred to disparagingly as a bullfrog and disliked by McLaughlin, is a figure of dignity to Catherine; her portrait is ambivalently either a tragic irony or the record of a cultural exchange symbolising benign miscegenation, perhaps both. In contrast the selling of the Indians' ghost shirts to the soldiers by Donelly, a stereotypical comic Irishman, clearly demonstrates exploitative power, as does the scene in which he humiliates Lucy by the dance he forces from her. The creation of Donelly's part is intriguing. It borders on the banal and the offensive by its use of stereotype and its part in the action – for instance in the comic interruptions to the trial scenes – but it is paradoxically also an important signifier of innocence: when told of Catherine's loss of her son he immediately changes his tone and regretfully says he had no idea. The problem arises when inter-cultural contact becomes takeover, with the dominant suppressing its Other rather than responding to it creatively. As Catherine poignantly puts it, 'Why for that matter couldn't we become Indians? / Why do the Indians have to turn into us?' Catherine and the widow Quinn provide, in the heart of the play, an instance of what might have been. In their grief at the death of the child, Catherine's boy – wounded in the foot by a rusty nail, in symmetry with the wounded protagonists of *Omeros*\(^{18}\) – they dance. Sarah Quinn asks Catherine to teach her 'a few delicate steps? For his sake?':

> I thought how hard it had been for you, Kitty Weldon, with your husband lost to the Dakotas and now a son, and yet the river was lovely, and I thought, I said what do they do, the Crow and the Sioux when their loved ones go like a season? They dance. They dance!

The dance pervades the play as both image and act. From the opening scene in which Catherine speaks of 'back East' in terms of 'street lights and carriages, long white gloves and concerts' the white culture of dance, with women in lace dresses, balances the Indians' Ghost Dance. At the centre of the play the two come together in the person of Lucy, dancing first in the white dress, then in Indian dress as Swift Running Deer, but for her they present schizophrenic choices which result in tragedy.

The unfolding plot brings the action nearer and nearer to the historical cataclysm. Towards the end of the play the fighting is presented as distant sound-effects to accompany McLaughlin's report of what happened. The tragic climax, the death of Sitting Bull, is not enacted but narrated, as in ancient Greek convention. It is
a moment which achieves considerable tragic weight, intensified by the simple
recitation of the names of the dead. In keeping with a culture in which naming is
significant, the naming of the Indian dead on both sides - McLaughlin's Indian police
as well as the Ghost Dancers, both groups with actual historical names - echoes with
the countless unknown dead of the Indian nations. The audience responds with a
Brechtian awareness of the irony that it was, according to Walcott, McLaughlin's
desire to win back his honour in the eyes of the military - the honour he lost by
confiding in Catherine for love - which initiated the tragedy.

But there is also a sense of inevitability; the text valorises the view that life is
inescapably tragic as well as always open to the possibility of metaphysical renewal.
The spiritual hope is never easy. In the poem Catherine faces the ubiquity of doubt.
'Life is so fragile', she says, in a voice which seems shadowed by Walcott's: 'More and
more we learn to do without / those we still love. With my father it was the same.19
Walcott gives her an important wisdom:

are not the Sioux as uncertain of paradise,
when the grass darkens, as your corn-headed soldiers?
Doubt isn't the privilege of one complexion.20

Yet the section ends with her reiteration of the reality of their belief. It is in such
finely balanced profundities that the epic quality of both play and poem resides. In
Omeros Walcott explains how the story of Catherine Weldon appealed to him for use
in the poem, in the context of his own pain:

When one grief afflicts us we choose a sharper grief
in hope that enormity will ease affliction,
so Catherine Weldon rose in high relief

through the thin page of a cloud, making a fiction
of my own loss. I was searching for characters,
and in her shawled voice I heard the snow that would be blown

when the wind covered the tracks of the Dakotas,
the Sioux, and the Crows; my sorrow had been replaced.21

The power of fiction to comfort is as real as it is miraculous. The consolation we find
in tragic fiction is a still unexplained mystery, despite Aristotle. In the poem, Walcott
adds to the Catherine story an emblematic image: that of the familiar 'snowstorm' toy,
its glass globe containing a scene of cabin and pines which, when shaken, are
obliterated by a 'blizzard' of white flakes. It is a powerful symbolic reference, an intertext with Orson Welles's famous American epic film, *Citizen Kane*,22 in which the toy represents the lost innocence of childhood and the lost integrity of pre-Columbian America. It is a totem which Kane cherishes throughout his meteoric career, which culminates in his 'Xanadu', a preposterous jumbling of the world's cultures, finally consigned to the fire. The snow of the rural childhood, with its sledging, symbolises the purity of the American origin, for which there is a tragic sense of loss. As Kane (resonant with 'Cain') progresses into degenerate materialism and the commodification of culture, hoarding treasures like a Bluebeard in his castle, freedom, like purity, is shown as past, a subject only for nostalgia. The story of Catherine Weldon and the Sioux may seem remote from this, but 'Rosebud', the name which Welles makes haunt the film, and which is finally revealed as the name on the childhood sledge as it burns, is the name of one of the Indian agencies near to Wounded Knee, where the final chapter of the Plains Indians' independence was enacted. Welles, it seems, is equating the individual innocence of childhood with the innocence of that lost, ancient America, obliterated by imported materialism; it is a position very close to Walcott's. Both use symbolism to reach a Brechtian objective, making the spectator think dialectically about the meaning of history. In giving his Catherine a symbolic object like Kane's, Walcott makes a subtle intertextual point, addressing both the American socio-political reality, and the American aesthetic, in which the film has become a key signifier.

Walcott develops Welles's symbol, however. At the point in the poem when he narrates the historical tragedy, when the American cannon open up against the Indians' 'useless shields',23 he returns to the toy as 'globe', microcosm, in which the tragedy of the massacre is represented:

The flour basting their corpses on the white fields.
The absence that settled over the Dakotas
was contained in the globe. Its pines, its tiny house.24

Finally, in the immemorial transformation of art, representation alleviates pain. It is 'contained', framed by the child's globe, which isolates it from its power to hurt, as in the poem or the play, of which the globe is the symbol. The closure of 'The Ghost Dance' is both tragic and uplifting, with an epic ambivalence which Brecht would have celebrated. The snow, which is the death-bringing blizzard, is also an image of
the dance, part of humanity's artistic self-expression, which as shared rite can transmute tragedy to renewal. The snow-blanket signifies both historically, as the tragedy of 'white-out', the dominion of the white races which imposed the loss of the indigenous people, but also mythically, as the possibility of restoration. Like human suffering it will last only a season, and be followed by new growth. Once more Walcott addresses history ultimately to expose its limitations as a way of explaining the world.

Walcott's play 'The Ghost Dance' is thus a revealing indicator of his working method and of his philosophy. It is a reminder, once again, of his faith in language as the most hopeful sign of human potential, yet of his conviction that language is only a means to a greater end, a transcendent reality. It is, like so many of his plays, not only in verse; it is in every dimension poetic. Jean-Louis Barrault, the great artist of mime, says, 'The theatre is the poetry of space,' a perception which Walcott would understand, as painter and man of the theatre, better than most dramatists. But the particular referential power of theatre, with its combination of arts - language, movement, music, dance, the visual - exhibits for Walcott an ideal which is essentially poetic. 'Any play that works completely is a poem', he has said. Through the play of 'The Ghost Dance' with its special relationship to Omeros, Walcott confirms simultaneously the closeness of language to the visible world and the way in which this relationship signals transcendence. The immediacy of poetry, its ability to give dramatic expression to a narrative which is both intensely of the world and yet beyond it, is explored even more richly in his next play, The Odyssey.
‘Leaf by leaf returns’: The Crafting of The Odyssey

Prospero:

Their understanding
Begins to swell, and the approaching tide
Will shortly fill the reasonable shores
That now lie foul and muddy. [The Tempest V.i.79-82]

The fertility of Walcott's imagination is something all those who have worked with him seem to find impressive. A comparison of his drafts for The Odyssey reveals a meticulous and shrewd craftsman at work, as well as a great poet. The overall shape of the play, the unfolding of its scenes, and for a surprisingly large proportion of the drama the detail of the dialogue, were there right from the beginning, but the final printed version differs in significant ways from the initial concept, and certain practical problems were not definitively 'solved' until very late in the day, with some conspicuous changes being made, for instance, between the first preview night and the official opening night. The printed text seems to follow the version 'fixed', as it were, by the opening performance, but an awareness of some of the details of the earlier versions can be useful to directors and designers interested in the play's initial conceptualisation, as well as to those concerned either with Walcott's work in particular, or the craft of the poet or the dramatist in general.

Walcott, fresh from the publication of his epic poem Omeros, which modifies and recombines Homeric elements in a metamorphic change to an intrinsically Caribbean – and specifically St.Lucian – poem, had been invited to give the Poetry Book Society's Ronald Duncan lecture for 1990. His choice of topic for the lecture, delivered in London in September, was 'The Poet in the Theatre'. His own history as a poetic dramatist made him well qualified to address the subject, which he had chosen in order to engage with current attitudes. He made an eloquent plea for the remobilisation of poetry in modern drama. Identifying as grave error the prevalent view that 'all sustained metre is now rejected as artifice and not life' and the
consequent rejection of poetry in the theatre as 'literary nostalgia', he demonstrated that poetry and the liveliness of the speaking voice were not incompatible. Since, he said, 'In literature the form that carries the greatest conviction is verse', it is to verse that we should look also for conviction in drama. The intensification process which yields poetry is evident even in prose: 'The intention of prose theatre in comedy and tragedy, even of farce, whose metre contracts into the epigrammatic - the memorable - is that its greatest moments will be poetic.' He ended with the rallying cry that 'Modern poetry should reinvade the theatre, not hang out in the lobby shabbily like a second cousin.' When, therefore, an invitation came from the Royal Shakespeare Company to dramatise Homer's *Odyssey*, it must have seemed, as well as an enormous challenge, an opportunity to put his philosophy into practice in a particularly conspicuous way.

Walcott described, just before the play opened, how the commission came about:

the young director of the RSC, Greg Doran, had been asked what was it he wanted to direct, and he said *The Odyssey*. And then he got in touch with me and asked me if I wanted to do it...I didn't want to do it because I didn't want to take on the idea of doing another - not a directly - Homeric thing like the book I'd just finished, but...I began to experiment with the idea of compressing some of the scenes into lines, and essentialising them, and then got excited about the shape of the poem, the stage poem, and it went pretty far down, and I showed them about fifteen pages, which they liked, and then I came over here and I worked with the workshop situation, with more pages, and a shape for the thing, and then they said go ahead - and now it's here.1

'Essentialising' was just the word for that process of condensing to epigrammatic memorability which he had described in the lecture, and which he demonstrated so unforgottably in the creative metamorphosis of the Homer text into his remarkable drama.

The play did not have a long gestation. The first draft, which has on its title page, *The Odyssey / Derek Walcott* and at bottom right, 'Royal Shakespeare Company', bears no date for its inception, but has at the bottom of its one hundred and eighty-fifth page, 'END OF PLAY! Boston, Massachusetts / September 17, 1991'. The second draft was not sent to the RSC, or does not survive, but the third is dated on its title page December 1991, presumably the date on which it was begun. The fourth, now titled *THE ODYSSEY / A STAGE VERSION*, is headed 'Fourth Draft - March, 1992'. It was this version with which rehearsals began in earnest in May, little more
than seven months from the completion of the first draft. Walcott stayed in Stratford on Avon for several weeks leading up to the official opening at The Other Place on 2 July, and was a direct participant in working the play up in performance, adding new lines or scenes, or rewriting, with great facility, as the need arose, according to the production's director, Greg Doran. Ironically, the published version does not record that the script was commissioned by the Royal Shakespeare Company, although details of the premiere, following convention, record that it was 'produced by the Royal Shakespeare Company, Stratford-upon-Avon, at The Other Place, 2 July 1992', followed by a cast list. The drafts bear a dedication to 'Greg', Greg Doran, whose idea it was, but in the printed version Tony Hill, the RSC's Director of Projects, joins Greg Doran as dedicatee. All the drafts quote a Robert Lowell line as epigraph, 'Pity the monsters', but this very Walcottian sentiment is omitted from the published text, in keeping perhaps with the cutting of a line in the early drafts about the power of pity: in the Cyclops episode, the Philosopher tells Odysseus, as one of his men is taken off to be killed, that the 'real superiority' is 'To pity tyrants. Not the people they destroy.'

The cast list also evolves. That of the first draft fails to include Odysseus at all, an error rectified in subsequent versions. Generally, however, the cast list of the first draft gives more information about the characters than later ones, particularly as regards the age Walcott had in mind. Eurycleia, for example, is described as '80, Egyptian, Housekeeper, Nurse of Telemachus', while Billy Blue is 'Sixties, Singer, Egyptian'. Nestor's age is put at seventy, Eumaeus's at sixty, Menelaus's at fifty, while both Penelope and Helen are shown as forty years old. Telemachus is described as '21, son of Odysseus, prince of Ithaca', which is reduced by the fourth draft to '21, his son', while in the published version the age is omitted: he is simply 'son of Odysseus'. Arnaeus, who is first described as 'a Swineherd, one-eyed' is in the fourth draft identified more pejoratively as 'a lout'. In the first draft the Greek spellings are used, 'Telemachos' for example, but by the third draft these have been standardized to the more common English usage of the Latin spelling: 'Telemachus' and 'Athena', not 'Athene'.

A further level of information in the first cast list is the intended doubling of roles, which has a conceptual significance as well as merit as a casting economy. Some of these are self-evident from the text, as in the case of Athena's disguises, but others clarify textual hints, such as the doubling of Telemachus with Elpenor (also with a Young Wrestler), who Odysseus says reminds him of his son, or the pairing of
the Cyclops with Arnaeus, who, with his eye-patch and sheepskin and loutish manners, is readily matched with the Cyclops before the unmistakable reactions of Odysseus. Still others add an important dimension to the play’s meaning. The doubling of Nausicaa with Melantho, for instance, enlarges understanding of the sympathy for Melantho, while the pairing of Antinous with Ajax gives added point to Walcott's insertion, into the scene of Achilles' funeral, of Ajax's ‘curse’, that Odysseus should take ten years to reach home; he has, as Antinous the prospective usurper of his marriage bed, the motive for desiring the delay, and Odysseus, as the avenger, is then doubly justified in destroying him. By having the Old Man of the Sea return as Eumaeus, Walcott creates a similar pairing to that of Melantho and Nausicaa, where the oppositional aspects of a couple are set out in such a way that they serve to reveal their fundamental unity as much as their superficial difference. Eumaeus is unchanging and unmoving, just as much as the Old Man of the Sea is an elusive shapeshifter, but as with the identification of the ubiquitous Omeros with the old, blind Seven Seas, who never leaves his village in Omeros, Walcott is asserting a Jungian plural entity, composed of twinned opposites. The collective impact of these doublings is made prominent as the final phase of the action is initiated. Returned once more to his palace, but in disguise, Odysseus is accosted first by Melantho, billed in the stage directions as 'Nausicaa's double' and described by Odysseus as 'Nausicaa's mirror, corrupted innocence', then by the two scullery maids whom Odysseus recognises as the mermaid-dolphins, and thirdly by Arnaeus, 'a huge swineherd with an eye-patch, in a filthy sheepskin', to whom Odysseus, recognising him, 'gives the Cyclops salute'.

The play's discourse of metamorphosis, matched to the idea of dream, is cleverly given philosophical depth as well as dramatic energy by these pairings. The notion of play, and of willed performance, which is central to drama, foregrounds the idea of human potential - as one actor can play both Nausicaa and Melantho, so the individual has the capacity to play many versions of self in her or his life, for good or ill. The demonic is internalised: as for monsters, the wisdom of the text is that we 'make them ourselves'.

The polarities of the presentation of women in the play reflect the Homeric antithesis between the chaste wife and the fickle one. The Melantho and maids/mermaids encounters offer domesticated images of the sexually proactive woman, the 'witch' whose archetype is Circe; Odysseus, having freed himself from
Circe, is able to distance himself from temptation in his own home by calling the maids 'prick-teasers'. To this extent he follows the gender map of the Homeric text. Homer repeatedly compares Penelope to chaste Artemis and Helen to Aphrodite, but Walcott's representation of Helen is radically different, in that he moves her out of the 'witch' category by awakening sympathy for her as pawn in a masculine contest. Although, in the pairings indicated in the first draft's cast list, Walcott does not suggest that Penelope and Helen be played by one actor, the way in which he alters their mythical significance by introducing new readings of them both as victims of patriarchy, but nonetheless strong women, suggests a natural pairing between them. It would of course be open to a director to cast both parts for one actor, as their scenes are separate. Walcott has gone further and explored the idea that all the women in the play can be seen as aspects of the one woman:

The amount of sexuality in it - without trying to be Jungian or something - is very true. We were talking about it last night to some of the actresses - that I think Penelope is all the women that he meets, that he's never really left home. That Penelope is Circe, she is Calypso, she's Minerva/Athena, she's all these women. And I think the deeper you think about the book, it is that he really hasn't gone anywhere, that whatever he's done it comes back in a complete circle. He's coming back home, in the same way that Joyce did with Molly Bloom. That, you know, Bloom leaves in the morning and comes back to a very fertile embodiment that Molly Bloom is, if you want to call her the earth mother, or earth or mother, or whatever it is: it's like going back to the womb in a sense. So that when Ulysses - and Odysseus - when he comes back home to his wife, he has been through four or five different kinds of women, including Nausicaa, maybe in Penelope's innocence, Circe in her, you know, some kind of sexual witchery, Calypso, and so on. And that one woman - the actress said last night, it would be great if one woman played all those roles, because she does embody all these things...The usual figure of Penelope that people think of is some sort of profile of a woman, you know, stitching something for twenty years, with absolutely no temptations - all of that stuff. But that's not what's there in the book. She's very smart - she's smarter than Odysseus, and many times. 3

The story of Odysseus is above all a grand narrative of heterosexuality, requiring a symbolic reading which the pairing of roles makes unmistakable. The absence from the printed cast list of any indication of this doubling - which was followed most effectively in the initial production - is a significant loss.

In the case of Billy Blue, who, in the printed text, as explained in stage directions though not the cast list, retains his roles as the minstrels – Phemius at Alcinous's palace, and Demodocus in Ithaca 4 – as well as that of the blind beggar at
the portals of Hades, the drafts make it clear that Walcott's original conception went
further, in that he played the roles also of the Philosopher and Tiresias in the Cyclops
and Underworld scenes. These parts would have enlarged his significance in the play
as arbiter of moral values, and voice of wisdom for the community, a role which
Walcott allocates to the singer-poet, who 'contains the history of the race, who is a
vessel for that...the tribal sense is unified in the black man, in the blues singer, and it's
true in other cultures...'. It would, of course, be open to a director to have the
Philosopher and Tiresias parts played by the Billy Blue performer, and to adhere to
the range of doublings indicated in the drafts and executed in the premiere production.

The play of difference across a range of variants which together can be read as
aspects of a shared identity is not only an original way to look at the mythic story of
Odysseus, but is part of Walcott's multicultural philosophy, which stresses the
sharedness of difference. The doubling of roles in *The Odyssey* was dropped from the
printed text's cast list, but the philosophy of which it is a manifest is still, fortunately,
inscribed in the text itself.

Structural variations between the different drafts indicate a recognition of key
problems, particularly those of opening and closure. From the first draft the Prologue,
Billy Blue's song, was contextualized as a dramatic scene: as dawn breaks, Penelope
weaving at her loom unravels her night's work while Melantho sleeps, and Billy Blue
sings to entertain her, although his opening song is addressed directly to the audience:
the first draft says he 'turns to the audience, picks up his guitar, a chord.' In the first
draft the song includes an explanatory stanza, later cut: 'I sing this song every night to
a woman who's lonely / And blue as the morning sky with the moon going down.'
After this follows a stage direction, that Penelope 'crosses to Billy Blue and touches
his shoulder, then exits.' Melantho removes the loom. It is then Eurycleia who enters
and stands watching the sunrise over the sea, as Athene's bird twitters and Billy Blue's
song turns from the swallow-messenger to the death of Achilles, as in the printed
version, in which only the sound of the surf survives from the accompanying scene.

The first draft's prefacing of the scene of Achilles' funeral by this
accompaniment to the song introduces the whole text on the premise that it is a
narrative sung to comfort Penelope in her loneliness. This places the play firmly in an
interrogatory stance to the masculinist epic tradition from the outset. By cutting both
the physical presence of Penelope, and her conceptual role as originator of the
narration, from the start of the play, the opening loses its feminist frame and is
allowed to suggest an uncritical rehearsal of well-entrenched patriarchal assumptions: a male chorus figure introduces a scene which reaffirms the association of the male hero with violent death, a code to which the first challenge is raised by the male anti-hero of Walcott's Anansi-style Odysseus. In Greg Doran's production the change was made between the first preview night on 24 June and the official opening on 2 July, possibly as a result of aesthetic objections to what may have been seen as, dramatically, a clumsy opening sequence. The printed text, published the next year, follows the revised version of the opening scene and thereby makes the loss permanent. Walcott would appear to have consented to a significant change.

As published, the scene of Achilles' funeral stands outside the main action, having only the general introduction of Billy Blue's 'Gone sing bout that man' to give it a lead. This means, however, that the transition from the funeral scene to the next in Ithaca, the scene which gives rise to Telemachus' departure, is awkward, there being an unmentioned gap of some ten years between the episodes in the Homeric time scheme. The death of Achilles is anticipated in the *Iliad* (though it does not form part of its main events, which occupy the short time span of some four days), and completed by the start of the *Odyssey* 's story, although it is not narrated until Odysseus meets the shade of Achilles in the underworld. The time-span of Homer's *Odyssey* 's main action is perhaps months, rather than days, with inset retrospective narrations giving Odysseus's story over the previous ten years, but it seems that Walcott was aware that, while creating a rationale outside that of divine intervention – in his invention of Ajax's curse on Odysseus that he should not get home for ten years – he was also creating a problem for himself in the structure of the narrative. The establishment of an exposition scene outside the main action would skew the rationale of the frame story's time scheme, but with the opening premise of Billy Blue's narration of the story to Penelope as broadly contemporary with Telemachus's departure, the funeral scene would occupy a clear role as inset retrospective narration.

Nonetheless, in the fourth draft, Walcott's uneasiness about it is evident in his moving of the funeral scene to within the scene at Nestor's palace, to join it to the inset narration of Odysseus with Thersites, originally separate from the funeral, and placed here from the outset. It makes sense to put the two together, as both lay the foundations of the Odysseus quest motif - the funeral, by establishing the Ajax curse, and the Thersites episode, also a Walcott invention, by providing a neat exposition, by contrast with Thersites, of the play's view of Odysseus as genuine home lover and
seeker, as if to dispel any doubts lingering from the traditional myth as to the reasons why he takes so long to reach Ithaca.

The combined scene – of the funeral followed by the scene between Odysseus and Thersites – was subsequently returned to the original position of the funeral scene, as the first scene of the play after the Billy Blue introduction, but the last-minute excision of the Penelope element from this prologue weakens the establishment of a persuasive time sequence, to account for the ten year flashback. The rationale in the published version is the role of Billy Blue as arbiter of narration, free to select any starting point he chooses, followed by the Walcott invention that it was the curse of Ajax delivered with the shield of Achilles – 'Bear it, you turtle. Take ten years to reach your coast' – which then initiates what follows. While this is perfectly acceptable, it seems a pity that the 'late start' structure of Homer's work, with inset retrospective episodes, which is intrinsically tighter and which Walcott had, in a sense, bettered in his presentation of the whole as a narration to comfort Penelope, has been lost. The beautiful lines evoking Penelope in the first song signify differently as a result, reading, in the printed text, as a figure of the sea's 'loom' rather than an indicator to any accompanying action:

The shuttle of the sea moves back and forth on this line,

All night, like the surf, she shuttles and doesn't fall
Asleep, then her rosy fingers at dawn unstitch the design.

In production, however, it would be possible to re-introduce Penelope to the scene.

At the other end of the play, early drafts were closer to the Homer than the performed and published version, in that where the Greek had Odysseus go from the reunion with Penelope to a reunion with his father Laertes, Walcott's first draft has a coda titled 'Epilogue' in which a figure in a bee-keeper's suit unveils to reveal his mother Anticleia, while Laertes looks on, as Penelope says, 'restored'. Thus although the inclusion of a coda derives from the Homer, its use as signifier in the early drafts is radically changed. There is no other woman in the Homeric version after the reunion with Penelope. On the contrary, the presence of the Hades scene, in which the funeral of Achilles is narrated as the shades of the suitors are received into the underworld, as well as the threat of further bloodshed right at the end, only thwarted by Zeus's intervention, pulls the relatively atypical work back towards the normal ethos of classical epic – masculinist, violent, and emphasizing death. Homer's
concluding praise of peace is so strongly challenged by that which immediately precedes it that it seems an applied ending rather than anything organic.

It is evident that from his first draft Walcott's strategy was to allow his conclusion a more straightforward coherence as a celebration of peace, heralded by the women's powerful condemnation of the men's violence (a reversal, if anything, of the Homer, where Odysseus restrains Euryleia's exultation over the slaughter). His first draft's final figure of Anticleia, magical in her white bee-suit, not only inserted a 'white goddess' mother figure to dominate the closure – a radical revision of the Homer – but enabled him to knit up the imagery of the play, in which the sea's surf is matched with the orchard's 'surf' of blossom, the two being paired in the Jungian image of androgyny, in a figure typical of Walcott's vision: sea is the masculine realm, the shifting locus of the inconstant male, while the land is the desired home, the receiving body of the feminine: in the beautiful coital image of Billy Blue/Demodocus's song in praise of Penelope,

She is like a green pine that never sways on its hill,
Whose leaves repeat the swaying of burly water
Rooted in its cleft.

Throughout the play, the buffeting of the waves is contrasted with the fertility of blossom - specifically mentioned as apple blossom at the end of the first draft, appropriate to the Celtic white goddess. In contrast with the centrifugal motif of the opening narration, in which Billy Blue sings 'once Achilles was ashes, things sure fell apart', at the ending the mother holds all together as 'Queen Bee'. The printed version has a final chorus praising the 'rock-steady woman', to a reprise of the sound of the surf, but it lacks the originality and symbolic resonance of the first drafts' direct representation. By the fourth draft, Anticleia's role was reduced to a minor insert, peripheral to the reunion of Odysseus and Penelope, with the orchard as metaphor not scene, and without the bee-suit, as in the published version, causing a significant shift in the symbolic gender significance of the closure.

From the beginning the play was conceived in two acts, the first being significantly longer than the second. Act I narrated the journey of Telemachus and the inset adventures of Odysseus, up to and including his visit to the Underworld. Act II was much more straightforward, with the final phase of the voyage to Ithaca, including the mermaids (a Walcott addition) and sirens, and then the denouement in
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Ithaca itself. The first act was initially scripted in twenty scenes, eventually condensed to fourteen; the second act has stayed with six. The first draft's 117 pages for Act I and 68 pages for Act II become 97 pages and 61 pages in the published text.

The initial ending for Act I, in a coda in which Alcinous and Nausicaa discuss Odysseus's stories, clarifies for the audience the nature of the intervening scenes, with the Cyclops, Circe and the underworld episodes as inset narration, all taking place at Scheria. By describing Odysseus, in the coda, as having fallen asleep, the narration is also allowed to signify ambiguously as dream, in which Odysseus could be said to relive his experiences in all their vividness. Alcinous's response to Nausicaa's allegation that the stories are 'far-fetched' introduces a further dimension: 'They're his nurse's fables.' The narrative, at a key juncture, is thus attributed to the female influence, women being throughout history the principle disseminators of the oral tradition. Although in the fictional context Alcinous's line might evoke the cry, 'But no, it was all "true"!', in reality Walcott was drawing attention to the nature of the Odysseus myth and its dissemination through many ages of oral transmission before being scripted by 'Homer', whose identity as a single poet has been disputed. The significance was drawn out in the fourth draft's addition of Alcinous's 'Without our white-eyed singer he'd be forgotten', but the little scene was dropped from the final version.

The second act opens after a narrative hiatus, in that Odysseus is not shown being equipped for the final stage of his journey back to Ithaca by Alcinous, as in the Homer (although Walcott compensates for this by having Nausicaa present as he is carried ashore on Ithaca asleep). At the opening of Act II he is simply already on the raft, from which he is shipwrecked on Ithaca. The fourth draft initiates an effective link between the two halves of the play, in that Billy Blue sings at the end of Act I the song which Odysseus sings at the opening of Act II. The bridge between the two is also helped by the scene in which Alcinous and Nausicaa discuss Odysseus sleeping, and his story-telling. Moving from that to Odysseus's exhausted singing and salt-crazed hallucinations about mermaids on the raft at the beginning of the second half gives an imaginative continuity which the audience accepts as plausible, without feeling that a key element of exposition has been omitted – the notion of dream-play enables us to dispense with literalism. In the final version, however, the dropping both of the Alcinous and Nausicaa scene, and the restriction of the song to the opening of Act II, make the transition cruder, although it allows prominence to Odysseus's strong
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line, with its epiphany like a bolt of lightning, which now concludes the act: 'Elpenor saw all this, through a crack in the storm.'

By suggesting that Elpenor, a minor crew-member but one with special significance as a symbol of Telemachus (played by the same actor), also can have revelations, and experience wonders, the text broadens the notion of epiphanic wisdom to include not just the hero but the next generation, and by implication anyone and everyone. The mythic story of Odysseus owes a part of its appeal to its representation of a defiance of time and death: Odysseus, instead of giving way to his successor, returns to rout him, asserting himself as if a younger man; Telemachus's role is aesthetically obscured, as the Odyssean tradition shows, as his father is always upstaging him. Walcott's play takes an attitude of acceptance towards death: Odysseus is praised as the 'natural man', and death is presented as the 'natural' conclusion of life. By showing Elpenor as a figure of epiphany at the play's hinge, Walcott models the 'natural' hand-over from one generation to the next, which the ancient myth, inscribed by Homer, resists.

Revisions to the play show a gradual tightening and simplifying process, with episodes condensed and run together, or subordinate ones suppressed in favour of the most telling. The Cyclops section, which takes up two scenes in the final version, began in a much more extensive form, over five scenes and with a range of characters and incident which were substantially revised. The text of the episode as printed, however, contains almost nothing which is not already present in the initial draft. There seems to have been a recognition that from the beginning the strongest sections captured the effects sought and needed little revision; it was not immediately apparent that they could stand alone. The concept, of a satiric presentation of modern totalitarianism, was there from the outset, with the Homeric sheep becoming sheep-like citizens in a state where individuality of thought is outlawed. By extension, the military are dressed in sheepskin coats, emblem of those they have 'fleeced' and silenced. The hanging sheep's carcass does not make its symbolic appearance until the fourth draft, at which point the bin in which the old man rummages disappears, only to be reinstated as an oil drum in the printed text. The idea of the Cyclops as shepherd was more developed initially, with an ironic extension of the theme expressed in the surviving line 'The Eye's their shepherd, and the nation are his sheep.'

The Homeric Cyclops' one eye lends itself readily to the idea of surveillance in the name of state control, but the scenario of technological modernity was much more
detailed in the earlier versions. The idea of Orwellian thought-police in a screen-dominated culture was prominent, with the stage direction, 'The EYE appears. A square TV, with their images as they stand on the wharf.' The Eye was so sensitive, with a 'button' 'behind the sheep's ear, at the temple', that it could even monitor thought: 'Individual thought sets off this high-pitched tone', which the Eye converts to graphs on a screen. After blinding the Cyclops, very much as in the final version, a stage direction indicates that Odysseus 'Runs towards a lever, gets the skewer into a set of controls, that sputter in a short-circuit as the electronic Eye goes out, and sirens begin.' Stage directions at the end of the episode have more sirens, gunfire, depth-charges, a loudspeaker and a 'giant EYE of a searchlight' looking for the fugitives, who try to escape in a launch but can't start the outboard motor because the ignition is wet. The scene begins to feel like a James Bond film, and not one of the best. The play is likely to evoke better productions with its sparingly suggested scenario of totalitarianism, rather than the detailing of the first draft. The Cyclops himself is an octopus-like creature, son of his father Poseidon, in a modern office: 'The CYCLOPS rises from behind his platform desk. A tangle of limbs.' After his blinding, Odysseus makes his escape, hurling abuse at him as 'obscene octopus' and 'You ton of squid-shit, with your eye pouring black ink!' as in the printed text. The dialogue between Odysseus and the Cyclops is very little changed, though the two intimate scenes are run into one, but alterations to what surrounds it give it a different register.

Other elements in the early version included the surreal image of the wheel, off-stage, to which prisoners were taken off to be ground, with horrific sound effects, a symbol of 'the state machine'. The exposition of this is tellingly handled. The initial scene with the Cyclops, which in the first version results in the arrest of the Philosopher (in the first draft, a beggar) with Odysseus and his men, is followed by a scene in prison, later omitted:

1ST SAILOR: Those they arrest like us. What do they do to them?
BEGGAR: They're fed into the turning cogs of the state machine.
ODYSSEUS: That's all?
BEGGAR: All? A real wheel with oiled cogs, grinding their bones?
1ST SAILOR: God.
BEGGAR: God is in prison. Their bones are ground into marl.
ODYSSEUS: For what?
BEGGAR: To pave six-lane highways. Marl and quicklime.
ODYSSEUS: Then what?
BEGGAR: Families sit down to their evening meal.
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ODYSSEUS: Watching the Eye?
BEGGAR: And poplars soar in no time.

The idea of the Cyclops' realm as one in which time has been enslaved to the present is given a poignant twist in the last line; the common experience of the imperceptibility of time as history is enlisted to an awareness of the omnipresent danger of learning to accept tyranny as normality. It is clear that a satiric portrait of modern life, with television the people's opiate, is intended.

There are two prison scenes, which alternate with what began as two scenes between the Cyclops and Odysseus alone, first in his office and then eating together. Odysseus demonstrates the power of influence - of being in the tyrant's good books - by preventing one of his men from being taken away. The prison scenes, from which first a sailor and a man symbolically named Theo, and then the philosopher, who names himself in the first draft as 'Magnus Aristotle Lucretius Kant', are taken off to be killed on the wheel, were presumably intended to reinforce the point made by the main scenes between the Cyclops and Odysseus, but from the final version, which dispenses with them, it is easy to see that they in fact dissipated the tension rather than increased it. The only two scenes which survive, that on the wharf with the first encounter between Odysseus and the Cyclops, followed by the cannibal feast with its bleak hilarity, capture the core drama of the monster story desired by Nausicaa.

The prison location would have given banal representation to the ideological target which the symbolic landscape in the first scene suggests so well. In the first draft the stage direction reads, 'Time. The present. A deserted wharf with a huge wall, a revolving dish above. ODYSSEUS, EURYLOCHUS, other SAILORS, then an OLD MAN rummaging a garbage bin marked with an eye.' The printed text has lost the radar dish but gained a sheep's carcass, which is perhaps a loss, as although it accords with the sheep symbolism, it means a rather more obvious equating of the barbaric with the primitive, while the hint of modern technology gave the earlier version a modernist edge. The evocative line in answer to the question, 'What kind of city is this?' is 'Life, like one Sunday, an infinite, empty wharf.' The moralising content foregrounded in 'Life' is suppressed in the final version, however, to give a more direct image: 'Like one long Sabbath, an infinite, empty wharf.' The wall is 'Erected to keep them in their pens,' says the Beggar, but the Philosopher's line is significantly different: 'Erected to keep us in pens.'
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The scene recalls the de Chirico painting on which V.S.Naipaul's *The Enigma of Arrival* builds its symbolism, and which is shown on the book's cover. In Naipaul's story, which Walcott reviewed, there is a suggestion of an antique ship which had once waited at the wharf but which has now gone, taking with it all prospect of return. Naipaul's image is ambivalent, and dreamlike, but Walcott's city is plainly dystopian. His nightmare is urban, northern and modern, and it is followed by an antithetical location, the scene of desire, of Circe's island, rural, southern and an antique paradise, prefaced by a stage direction, constant from the beginning, 'A beach. Rich wild plantains. Some of the CREW lolling.' The time-honoured binaries of pastoral are at work again (as they are in Naipaul's narrative), with Walcott setting up a powerful repressive/permissive dialectic. Both poles are, of course, dream locations rather than identifiable places, but 'plantains' suggests a tropical island of the Caribbean kind, as the first draft reinforces with its loudspeaker announcement, 'Welcome to our magical island, land of calypso.' The location of the Cyclops' realm is not specified, but inclusion, from the first draft on, of 'nyet' as a negative evokes the Russia of the Stalinist years, and the printed text answers the question about art, theatre and circuses with an emendation of the original line, 'There used to be. Now we watch the rain from windows', changed to the much more resonant, 'This is the era of the grey colonels. Grey rain.'

It is clear from Walcott's comments on the first production that it was specifically the regime of the Greek colonels that he had in mind for the Cyclops episode, for symbolic reasons:

I don't think it's been clarified sufficiently in this production, but that's what I make clear in the text is...the time of the colonels of Greece. Greece is the foundation of western democracy...The irony is that the foundation of democracy does turn into a tyranny...The thing that people forget is, everybody thinks, Oh it's all over: Russia, the Communist thing, and whatever's happening is gone, it's not going to come back. But tyranny comes back in different forms...So that this recurrent figure of a dictator who in the presentation is as much a dictator...as he is in, say, a Marquez book...or a George Grosz-looking type dictator...So that the whole idea of the Cyclops's power and this person, this little man, defying the power: it's really a poem about rebellion.

The process of reduction evident in the working up of the Cyclops section is generally a very successful concentration of energies, although several individual lines were dropped which merited a place in the finished work. The play abounds in memorable,
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epigrammatic one-liners. One which I miss from the final version is 'The state is a nightmare and freedom is its dream.' The image in the line 'A grime-streaked angel gesturing from its spire' – not jettisoned altogether but borrowed for use earlier in the play – is, I think, stronger than the final text's 'Their statues weep with grime over history's ruin,' although that fits more neatly with the trope of weeping, of which the Cyclops is symbolically incapable (like Günter Grass's tyrants). The one substantial innovation in the final version, replacing the first draft's extensive expository dialogue to the Cyclops section, is the Philosopher's poetic evocation of the regime and his relation to it, a sonnet, reminiscent of some of the poems in Walcott's collection, *Midsummer.* It is perhaps the most powerful indication yet that when it comes to the choice, Walcott puts greater faith ultimately in poetry than in drama. He describes his adaptation of the Homer as 'essentialising' it to dramatic form, but magnificent as that drama is, it is significant that the essentialising of Homer's poetry in the name of theatre results primarily in the re-creation of poetry. Different as the experience of reading the play is from that of seeing it performed, it is one of the few theatrical works, for a long time, before which the reader feels herself to have had almost as rich an experience as the theatre-goer.

The imaginative power of the language with its lyricism and metaphor, its preponderance of simple one-line thought units, with the use of antiphonal sequences – all of this strikingly fresh use of poetry in the English theatre (influenced by the Greek dramatists, and *stichomythia*) – was part of the play's conception from the first draft, with the great majority of its final text there, with minor differences, from the outset. The songs, like those in Shakespeare's plays, give lyrical expression to the essentialised drama, several times in carefully structured fourteen line units which read on the page like sonnets, although maintaining the play's typical impressionistic one-line sense units, rather than using the discursive and often convoluted syntax and thought of the English sonnet. The song for the Phaeician Games had sixteen lines in the first draft, but lost two weak lines to give a strictly rhymed sonnet of fourteen.

The dramatic caesuras within the line, passed sometimes between three speakers, are an effective modulation of the play's rule that, apart from Billy Blue's songs, only one line is allocated to each speaker. This one-line-per-speaker structure is a highly effective strategy, producing light and swift dialogue. It is developed subtly in a late revision to the scene with Menelaus, where, after Helen's entry, Menelaus has an unprecedented sequence of nine lines, but with a (by implication)
line-long pause between them - marked as 'silence' - to make the dramatic moment when Helen enters yield its maximum intensity. The conclusion to the scene, in the whirling of the vase, signifying metamorphosis, is given a more detailed instruction for a mime in the first draft:

ODYSSEUS lunges at the OLD MAN, and they wrestle on the sand, into the surf, as the OLD MAN wriggles away and takes different forms. The OLD MAN escapes. ODYSSEUS with a handful of sea-water, and opposite him, PROTEUS, with a torch along the edge of the sea, and a basket, looking for crabs that he sometimes stuffs back into the basket. ODYSSEUS approaches him, PROTEUS hurls the basket at ODYSSEUS. ODYSSEUS chases him, and the shape-changing begins. A bull. Charging. ODYSSEUS vaults over it, Cretan style. Other shapes. The vase is whirling faster.

The crab-catcher was perhaps felt to be a figure too specific to the Caribbean islands and unfamiliar elsewhere, and the filmic freedom of the dissolve (anticipating Nausicaa's 'Soon I'll have the power to make grown men dissolve') too prescriptive and demanding for the stage. In the printed text this is simplified, and followed with a Renaissance-style echo scene in which the pathos of Telemachus's position is conveyed in disembodied language which creates its own ironies of pure sound - such as the fact that the last syllable of 'Odyssey' is 'sea'.

The heavily nostalgic mood of loss and longing is followed in the first draft by a locally specific evocation of homesickness at the opening of the scene on Odysseus's ship:

1ST SAILOR: Last night I dreamt of Rat Island. Trees on a cliff.
2ND SAILOR: Home. The word flails like a gull over wild waters.

Rat Island is just off Castries, St. Lucia, where Walcott grew up, and is his chosen location for the home and arts centre that he is founding with his Nobel Prize money. The reference was cut, as was the following line, 'They've shot up like pliant saplings my two daughters', again probably because it was felt to be rooted too directly in personal feelings - although it might be argued that many would identify with the feeling behind the latter line. These instances of pruning the local and personal are typical of many in the play, which orients itself linguistically to the western experience, and in particular to that of Europe: for instance a reference to rain-flies in August is cut, but references to oaks remain, while the exoticisms of Circe's Caribbeanised witchcraft are just that - exoticisms, though authentic ones.
The Greekness of the play's language is carefully established. There is a playful response to the traditional Homeric epithet, sometimes imitated, at other times subverted, as in the adaptation of 'rosy-fingered dawn' to Penelope, whose 'rosy fingers at dawn unstitch the design.' Following the Greek, Walcott uses a twelve-syllable hexameter, although it sometimes scans as an English pentameter, and from his first draft establishes a sense of Greek as a ghostly presence in his play. He introduces Greek lines at three points, and uses Greek wordplay, such as the explanation of Antinous's name, paralleled by the English joke punning on Odysseus's name as an 'odd Zeus', a neat symbol of his subversion of theocratic hegemony.

Expressive details of the Homeric narrative are fed into the creation of the English verse, much of which was set down in the first draft as it appears in the published text. The tinkerings in subsequent versions, however, include some last minute revisions which show a striking poetic dynamism. At a few points, a line which had stayed unchanged over the four drafts, was suddenly revised - perhaps inspired by rehearsal - with a much stronger reading. In the underworld scene, for instance, the Homer has Odysseus speak to Achilles, and report, 'the wraith of swift-footed Achilles strode with large strides across the field of asphodel, exultant because I had told him that his son was famous.' Walcott's first, third and fourth drafts introduce the shade of Achilles in a three-line sequence:

TIRESIAS: Achilles lightly running through asphodel fields.
ANTICLEIA: He's happy that his son survives war's victories.
TIRESIAS: Lucky father, with flowers springing from his heels.

In the published text this is developed with a masterstroke, suggested presumably by the Homer a few lines further on, which portrays 'gigantic Orion where he chased wild beasts across the meadows of asphodel'. Walcott develops a much more effective simile and music, by sprung rhythm and alliteration:

TIRESIAS: Achilles lightly leaping through asphodel fields.
ANTICLEIA: He's happy that his son survived war's victories.
TIRESIAS: Like a stag in spring, kicking flowers from its heels.

The pattern of sound in the ear creates such a vivid picture of the lightness and rhythm of the stag-like Achillean grace in the mind's eye, that the reader is almost more privileged than the watcher of the play in performance, whose attention to the verse music has to compete with the demands of the visual spectacle.
A rather different example of effective emendation can be seen at the climax of the play, after Odysseus's terrible assault on the suitors. When attention turns to Melantho - the point at which those who know Homer are expecting the sword of 'justice' to fall on her as representative of the Homeric maids - Eurycleia is given the symbolic task of articulating a plea for mercy. In a moment which is reminiscent of Shakespeare's *Measure for Measure* in its representing through intense drama, at the surface, a deep debate about justice and mercy which is resolved in the Christian manner, Walcott appeals to his audience for pity by describing Melantho's guilty terror, but as with the Achilles passage, an emendation to the final version makes the tug on our compassion much stronger. The first, third and fourth drafts had Eurycleia say of Melantho, in dread of Odysseus's anticipated wrath, 'She whining like a mouse at the shade of a hawk'; the final text has 'She squinge up like a mouse under a floating hawk.' Again an immediacy of physical movement is conveyed in the language, with the colloquial-sounding nonce-word 'squinge' (a composite of 'squeeze' and 'cringe') delivering a rush of compassion through its domestic and intimate flavour. Similarly, at the finding of Odysseus, as castaway on the sea shore, by Nausicaa and her attendants - a scene which went into print almost exactly as it appeared in the first draft - the girl's report that 'It looked like a huge crab, then it knelt in the surf' was revised to the much livelier 'He was spraggled face down like a starfish! Naked!' The extraordinary nature of the event, linked to the theme of metamorphosis and reminiscent of the finding of Caliban in Shakespeare's *Tempest*, is captured in the extraordinary word 'spraggled', a hybrid of 'sprawled' and 'straggled', which seems entirely apt.

The play's use of colloquial speech, for all characters, however august, and specifically its use of the vernaculars of the Caribbean and of black American English, provide a warmth and immediacy which translations of Homer have hitherto consistently lacked. Eurycleia's voice with its gentle Caribbean idioms is a signifier of her character. As part of Odysseus's playing entertainer to the Cyclops in a strategy of subversion, Walcott makes him assume an African-American voice (signalled in the stage directions as 'Black accent') as he veils his attack with irony. Billy Blue's African American tone, however – as in his response (as Demodocus, whom Penelope refers to as Egyptian) to Odysseus's reminder of the Cyclops story with 'Man, you must be one mean mother,' (present from the first draft) – comes and goes, and is therefore less convincing on the page, although in performance the 'neutral' or
standard English passages could be given a consistent intonation. Walcott, tongue-in-cheek, in his first draft includes a discussion of the Egyptian singer's role:

1ST SUITOR: What's your view of the Egyptian? I'll ask you once.
2ND SUITOR: He's blackening the classics. They weren't Africans.
1ST SUITOR: Are you saying our deities defy translation?
1ST SUITOR: It's theft. Let the nigger honour his own icons.
2ND SUITOR: Athletes and poetry. They're incorruptible games.
1ST SUITOR: Egypt isn't Africa. Not the way you meant.
2ND SUITOR: Shh. The king.
1ST SUITOR: Changing truth. Giving things different names.
2ND SUITOR: Athletes race towards their statues. That's all he meant.

The passage leads up to the lyrical evocation of the Phaeician Games beginning 'Fleet the bare feet of runners racing on the sand', which the first draft calls a 'chant' and allocates to the athletes as well as to Billy Blue, in something more like the Chorus of Greek drama. It incorporates the wisdom 'Greater than poetry is the metre of the athlete.' The introductory dialogue, rightly cut from the final text for its self-consciousness, is nonetheless interesting in that it shows Walcott's awareness of the ideological debate which would probably arise around his aesthetic strategy, staging the Second Suitor as 'devil's advocate'. The rhetorical question about translation is obviously meant to be denied, and the characterisation of poetry as a kind of self-testing as in athletics, and as just as international as sport, is revelatory. Honouring his own icons is something Walcott chooses, generally, and famously, to do, but it is not something he feels restricted to doing. Adapting Homer is not theft, but open to all, like the marathon. And Egypt is indeed part of Africa, a presence in ancient Greek culture for which the black culture of the Americas is not only an apt substitute, but probably closer than we can know. It is only by using variants of English that Walcott can signal the cultural pluralism which translation usually subtracts from the classics.

Although there are a number of straightforward cuts, larger scale, complex revisions are constantly in evidence, for example in Walcott's working up of the great moment when Odysseus realises he is home. The first draft located the realisation in terms of waking up to a language, led by Athena as a shepherd:

ODYSSEUS: What dream is this?
SHEPHERD: You slept for a week, tight as a nut in its shell.
ODYSSEUS: I remember a generous king, a princess.
SHEPHERD: And what is the language we're speaking? Can't you tell?
ODYSSEUS: From the crackle of its consonants, Ithacan.
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SHEPHERD: Leaf by leaf returns, syllable by syllable.
ODYSSEUS: I am in Ithaca?
SHEPHERD: Look round.

(ODYSSEUS looks around, then falls on the sand, sobbing with joy.)

Weep, weep, weary man.

THE SWALLOW (in ATHENA'S voice): Andra moi ennepe, Mousa, polutropon hos mala polla...
ODYSSEUS: Was that your swallow singing? What device is this?
SHEPHERD: A song that will outlast men's faith in Apollo.
ODYSSEUS: What song?
SHEPHERD: Your trials by tempests, smart Odysseus.
ODYSSEUS: I am nobody.
SHEPHERD: Then dress like the nothing you are.

Largely unchanged in the third draft, apart from the addition of a different development of the idea of the song to outlast Apollo ('ODYSSEUS: All the birds are singing.../SHEPHERD: To deafen you with joy.'), this is expanded with major differences in the fourth draft:

SHEPHERD: You slept for a week, tight as a nut in its shell.
ODYSSEUS: There was a girl. A generous king...Alcinous.
SHEPHERD: Can you hear a crystal noise? Cool and refreshing?
ODYSSEUS: [I] hear the surf. Washing like wind through the poplars.
SHEPHERD: This is Ravens' rock. Next to Arethusa's spring.
ODYSSEUS: And those poplars are Mount Neriton's, I suppose?
SHEPHERD: And what is the language they're speaking? Can't you tell?
ODYSSEUS: From the crackle of the consonants, Ithacan.
SHEPHERD: Leaf by leaf returns, syllable by syllable.
ODYSSEUS: I am in Ithaca?
SHEPHERD: Look round.

(ODYSSEUS looks around, then falls on the sand, sobbing with joy.)

Weep, weep, weary man.

ODYSSEUS: For ten years I've tried to interpret the sea's rage.
SHEPHERD: This island needs no interpreters. Share its sound.

(Sound of leaves, like surf. ODYSSEUS mutters with them.)
ODYSSEUS: The poplars, the poplars, they're talking my own language!
SHEPHERD: And your vowels, like the oak's, have roots in this ground.
THE SWALLOW (in ATHENA'S voice): Andra moi ennepe, Mousa, polutropon hos mala polla.
ODYSSEUS: Was that your swallow singing? What device is this?
SHEPHERD: A song that will outlast men's faith in Apollo.

(ALL the birds of Ithaca sing.)
ODYSSEUS: All its birds are singing...
SHEPHERD: To deafen you with joy.
ODYSSEUS: I am nobody.
SHEPHERD: Then dress like the nothing you are.
These changes are significant. The language Odysseus recognises is now that of the natural features of his home, in keeping with a long-standing preoccupation of Walcott's to read landscape in terms of language. It forms part of his wish to use language to remind us that language is not everything, and to return us to the world of other phenomena; here the line about Apollo shows the ephemerality even of human belief systems, in comparison with the 'song' of the rest of creation. Odysseus, an everyman, is reminded that he is 'nothing'.

This, however, is not the final version of the passage. In the printed text the exchange is refined, its poetry tightened and strengthened, its drama - Odysseus's realisation that he is home - savoured, and the text of Ithaca's natural language changed from Homer's first line to a Walcott invention, the spirit of place's greeting to Odysseus, in all his Homeric particularity:

SHEPHERD: You slept for a week, tight as a nut in its shell.
ODYSSEUS: There was a girl. A generous king...Alcinous. 
(He holds up the shield.)
Look at this.
SHEPHERD: What?
ODYSSEUS: (Laughing)
The turtle's shell's found its turtle.
SHEPHERD: Can you hear a crystal noise, clear and refreshing?
ODYSSEUS: I hear wind like surf swaying the sails of poplars.
SHEPHERD: This is Raven's Rock, next to Arethusa's spring.
ODYSSEUS: And those poplars are Mount Neriton's, I suppose.
SHEPHERD: What tongue are spring and poplar talking, can you tell?
ODYSSEUS: From the crackle of their consonants...Ithacan.
SHEPHERD: Why should they speak your tongue, green leaf and clear vowel?
ODYSSEUS: I am in Ithaca? I'm home?
SHEPHERD: Weep, weary man.
(ODYSSEUS weeps.)
ODYSSEUS: Why for ten years did my head roar with the sea's noise?
SHEPHERD: Ask yourself, for ten years, what did the bitterns scream?
ODYSSEUS: All I know is, I'm sick of the sea's bitterness.
SHEPHERD: The waves were homeless, the bitterns envied your dream.
ODYSSEUS: My tears are the salt that drenches those shaken trees.
SHEPHERD: Turn. What is the hoarse shale of your own surf sighing?
SURF VOICES: Polumechanos, polutlas, polumetis, Odysseus.
Polumechanos, polutlas, polumetis, Odysseus.
SHEPHERD: That's you, man of evasions, skilled at lying.
(Birds sing.)
ODYSSEUS: The swallows are screaming...
SHEPHERD: To deafen you with joy.
ODYSSEUS: I'm nobody.
The turtle-shell imagery, developed by Walcott from the traditional story of the contest between Odysseus and Ajax for the arms of Achilles, is here brought to its conclusion. Ajax, at the beginning of Walcott's text, having lost the contest of merit for the arms, curses Odysseus with taking a long time to get home, linking the shield metaphorically to a turtle shell. The turtle shell is, however, like that of the snail, emblematic of some species' ability to be at home anywhere; it thus relates to the Thersites attitude, with play on the idea of the sword as wife. Although Odysseus's position is established in opposition to that of Thersites - Odysseus's statement that 'Our ribbed bodies long for their original shore' is countered with Thersites's, 'Except this body. That's found no shore to believe' - the sense that the turtle does provide a positive image of the wanderer is part of the play's contrasting forces: after a lifetime of sea-wandering it does return, in nature, to the beach of its hatching, to reproduce. For Odysseus the shield/shell is a constant reminder of his transient's status, yet it reassures him that he is 'home'. Now, cast up asleep on Ithaca, as in the Homer, he anticipates, in this final version, the realisation which is to follow a few lines further on, with 'The turtle's shell's found its turtle', a multi-layered line in which the ludic surface (of the wanderer's pleasure at recovering his symbolic security) is accompanied by a ludic symbolism with sexual connotations which model island and wife as one: the shell now backs the island as if in copulation. The turtle-dove as emblem of faithfulness in love, often referred to in early English literature as the turtle, is also implicit in the figure, which thus prefigures the whole concluding action.

The early drafts' use of the Homeric first line, which had already been incorporated in the play, is, in this final remodelling, replaced with a string of Homeric epithets indicating the Odysseus archetype: 'polumechanos, polutlas, polumetis' are Greek words approximating to 'ingenious, long-suffering, wise', but all with the 'poly' prefix, 'many', as an intensifier, reminding us of Odysseus's variousness, his essential multiplicity of character. The repetitious sounds, ending in sibillants, and understood probably by a minority of the audience, provide an apt image of the 'language' of trees and spring by which Odysseus realises he has reached home. The apparent translation in the following line, 'man of evasions, skilled at lying', brings out the sense of devious cleverness which the generic epithets for Odysseus have established in the Homeric tradition (as in the first line's 'polutropon'
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which is thus echoed here), in a reference which invites comparison, and prompts an awareness of the play's revisions of Homeric convention.

This sets up the final idea of the passage quoted: by developing the 'nobody' idea (recalling the ruse which enabled Odysseus to survive the Cyclops' threat), with the command to 'change', the theme of metamorphosis is brought more conspicuously into the scene's ethical debate. It is Odysseus's ability to be 'poly', flexible and changing, which has enabled him to survive in a hostile world. The notion of the monster, which is expounded finally in terms of human responsibility, a revision of the Homeric divine malice, monsters being Poseidon's torments for Odysseus - 'We make them ourselves', Walcott makes Odysseus say at the closure - is here internalised. Just as, the text suggests, we construct our own Cyclops and Circes from our fears and desires, so we can take charge of our lives by changing ourselves constructively: Odysseus undergoes a metamorphosis to powerless beggar in order to resume his role of power.

Also, by introducing the idea of the sea's envy of Odysseus for his dream of home, Walcott subtly shifts the whole basis of the play. Early drafts had exposition material explaining the hostility of Poseidon as motor of the narrative, but these were excised. Walcott perhaps had decided that the magical transformations of Athena made the idea of divine intervention in human lives sufficiently present in the play, but it may also have been that the binarism of the Homeric opposition between Athena's support and Poseidon's thwarting of Odysseus in his quest to reach home was, to Walcott's Christian conscience, manichean. It was therefore replaced by a rather different dialectic - of Ajax's curse, and of divine support for Odysseus's quest in the face of a range of quasi-natural obstacles, the givens of the world, which it is his heroic task to surmount - a dialectic which forms part of the text's philosophy of the monstrous.

Thus his late addition to this scene of Odysseus's return, introducing the line, 'The waves were homeless. The bitterns envied your dream', goes some way to restoring the Homeric balance without spoiling the protestant ethic of responsibility, but also introduces an original idea, that of the sea as tragic signifier (a different concept from that of Poseidon in the Homer). With the sea cast as homeless wanderer, forever comfortless, in counterpoint to Odysseus's story's closure in homecoming, the comedic movement in the final stages of the play is subtly undercut with an unsatisfied desire, a gnawing loss, which still pervades the world (as in the final
12. The Crafting of 'The Odyssey'

words of *Omeros*, where the sea is 'still going on'). Walcott here rejects the Dantinean and Romantic Ulysses for whom the return home is a brief episode in the ongoing quest with its inalienable loneliness, but settles firmly for an up-beat ending in the manner of Shakespeare's romances, constructing a strongly emotional reintegration for the wanderer within the long-lost family. To balance this with the introduction of the idea of the sea's longing for home - its envy of Odysseus - is a witty adaptation of the Homeric Poseidon theme, and a subtle way of avoiding an over-tidy conclusion.

The emotional content of the resolution is thus enriched by a note of ambivalence, an ambivalence which brings out the crux of the Odysseus story, its tension between centripetal and centrifugal movements - the desire for home and the desire for freedom. And this small section of the play with its imaginative development process is not alone: a comparison of the detail of many passages yields evidence of a similar, finely tuned aesthetic instinct at work in crafting the drafts to ever more dynamic form.
It was the wisdom of the great classical scholar, E.V.Rieu, to perceive that 'Homer invented drama before the theatre was invented to receive it.' Nearly half a century ago he identified the *Iliad* as particularly dramatic in its idiom, but, as Walcott has now shown, the *Odyssey* is no less dramatic in its essence, and not impossible to adapt to the stage. Its scope as epic embracing the romance narrative, covering wide sweeps of both time and place, and presenting fragmented, episodic action, has for more than two millennia masked the fact that its core as fiction consists of compelling drama, and that this drama, far from being disastrously fragmented, has an overarching unity of theme and purpose. How else would such a long poem have retained such avid readers over the centuries, once its immediacy as a performed epic had passed into history? Walcott, in creating a version of it for the stage, gives the world an *Odyssey* which is faithful to the original to an extraordinary degree, yet which is also a wholly new poetic drama. Detail apart, two dimensions are innovatory: Walcott’s poetry - the work now takes its place in the English language in a way which is not secondary to its existence in Greek - and a shift of emphasis which is used to disclose a radically different map of meaning within the ancient myth. Ancient Egypt is reinstated at the heart of the myth of ancient Greece as supplier of wisdom, culture and labour, paralleled with Africa in the recent European empires. Just as Paul Gilroy has shown the black experience to be at the heart of modern Atlantic culture, so Walcott, ‘translating’ Martin Bernal’s historiography into imaginative terms, revises the Homeric to make visible the contribution of the racial other at the heart of ancient Greece – the Greece to which Western tradition looks for the ‘pure’ origin of democracy, then defines as ‘European’. The Ulysses figure, with which Walcott has
been preoccupied for so long, is given a representation in this drama which exposes its similarity to trickster heroes such as Anansi, and the play of gender in the myth, so long used against women, is radically reinvented. The subversiveness of Odysseus is rediscovered along with the heroic role of the women, in an essentially postcolonial re-presentation of gender, race and power. Yet the play honours the great universal truths about love and loss, which have kept the Homer alive for so long, in its new-minted poetic dialogue which adapts Shakespeare's combination of the symbolic with the colloquial in a language of stunning simplicity and depth. George Steiner has pointed out\(^3\) that the Homeric texts have been the most frequently translated texts in the English language, more so than the Bible or any other work originating in other languages. Walcott's, however, is not a translation: 'I wouldn't have had any fun,' he has said,

in doing simply a transformation, or transliteration, of the Odyssey - because who needs it? Just read the book. But to do something that is theatrically exciting - and not just for the effect but because I felt vitally excited by it, genuinely excited, by the possibility of the theatre of the piece.\(^4\)

Walcott's drama is a creative reinterpretation, which brings to the fore the strengths of the original fiction and gives it new resonances as a text for our time. It is faithful to the Homer while re-visioning it, and offers valuable insights into Walcott's working method.

The 'terrific technical challenge' of making a play out of the Homer was to 'try to compress and stay with the Homer over the arc of the story as much as possible, so it was like building a ship, that had to either sink or float - in that sense a technical challenge to construct a dramatic poem as close as possible to the original epic poem.\(^5\) His initial response to the Royal Shakespeare Company's suggestion was 'I don't want to go back into Homer' (his last work being \(Omeros\)), but it was the technicalities of it which drew him in:

But then I began to get interested in the challenge of doing it. So I made up a few rules for myself: that nobody would have long speeches; everybody would have one line; that it would be a poem built in quatrains. It was like undertaking the building of a schooner or a ship: rig the thing out, and then plank it, and then hopefully launch it, you know. The carpentry was very exciting.\(^6\)
The metaphor resonates both with Odysseus's ship-building in Homer's Book 5 and with a line at the centre of Walcott's play, where the response to Odysseus's storytelling is, 'You can build a heavy-beamed poem out of this' - a line tongued and grooved with the play's (and the poem's) tree imagery, associated with moral strength. Eurycleia's assertion that 'is strong-timbered virtues uphold this house' is one with the Homeric symbol of the rooted marriage bed: the sign of constancy to which Odysseus's flux aspires. In Walcott's hands the ancient story emerges in fresh guise as a postcolonial 'roots' narrative: it recounts Odysseus reconnecting himself to his origins.

On making a direct comparison of the Homeric poem to Walcott's play it is immediately apparent that he has left out remarkably little. He has compressed a poem of more than twelve thousand lines to dramatic poetry of just under two thousand, but what is striking is that he has omitted almost nothing which is either of substance or vital to the action. He was aware of the need to steer a course between the Scilla and Charybdis of the too long and the too short, which would verge on the sensational, saying as the first production was in rehearsal,

I didn't want to make it too long because your behind starts to get edgy. [Yet] you must have a sense of epic width. You know if you're going to see the Odyssey you're not going to see an hour and a half of boys' own comics - you are sitting down, going to look at something. And just from the fragments I've seen in rehearsal it is really very physical. I don't think it'll feel long.7

His strategy was to 'essentialise' it, experimenting by 'compressing some of the scenes into lines'8, so that the challenge was not so much to select as to condense. Greg Doran, the play's first director, was impressed with its compactness and speed. It has, he said,

quite a long first half, and the second half has a different kind of propulsion, but it still comes down under three hours, which is pretty good going. And why it plays so swiftly, it's the verse form that Derek has chosen to adopt. It's a flexible hexameter line but it's ordered in quatrains, but divided into individual people speaking, so that everybody only speaks a line at a time, and that really propels it forward. It keeps the company very much on their toes.9

The rhythm of the language has none of the ponderousness which might be associated with hexameter in a literary culture more used to pentameter. The early choices about form were crucial. Walcott knew from the outset that he must do it in verse 'because
that's what the poem deserves - out of respect. To have used pentameter would have located the play in an English tradition, as Walcott realised:

I made up my mind I was not going to have any long speeches. I was not going to do it in pentameter, because that would have had Elizabethan echoes. I made up my mind I would do it in quatrains for the discipline of the containment of it, that I would do it in hexameters, and that what I was after was a huge poem. And I kept thinking of the shape - different images of lines, like lances laid down on racks, or perhaps a ship with its beams...so you had to build. It was great fun to build a poem which had to be launched and performed, and whose - not direct model - but the echo of whose shape was from the original itself.

This project was different: it was an epic poem for performance, and it was filial, a reincarnation of Homer's poem, which should ghost its outline. In performance it creates a special excitement by its blend of faithfulness, involving audience anticipation and the pleasure of recognition, and shifts of emphasis, which trump that process by surprising and making it new. The same doubleness is evident in the choice of verse form: to use hexameter is to use the Homeric metre, but it becomes something quite new, a light and fluent line in Walcott's speech-influenced handling. The quatrains, rhymed abab – a scheme with a long history in English – offer an unobtrusive patterning (the rhyme less conspicuous than it would be with shorter lines), but the refusal of the long speech is wholly new, represented in neither Greek nor English tradition. The passing of the lines from one speaker to another, one to each voice (in rhetoric, stichomythia) gives the dialogue the athleticism of a basketball match. In this Walcott was devising a form for drama: although he is aware of his play as in a sense a poem, it is theatre first and foremost.

The choice of vehicle – the verse form – was the first and most crucial decision Walcott had to make, but there remained the technical problem of bringing such a long narrative into a shape which live drama could handle. His method is to select from each section of the Homer the essential features of the narrative. Obviously a great deal of the original is not represented directly in his drama, but the fact that his play both is faithful and runs for less than three hours, shows that much of the Homer has a subordinate relationship to the main narrative. This is not an adaptation of the familiar kind, however, in which a complex and profound text is reduced to a sensationalist skeleton. The drama has just as much poetic depth as the Homer. Walcott wanted
to take the story the way he told it and to try to contain it - which is a huge arc of a story - starting as much as I could with the sequence of events, but leaving things out if you couldn't always put [them in]...the Oxen of the Sun and various other incidents that you just couldn't do in the given time... but to follow the narrative line of the story.12

The most significant episode not enacted is that of the Oxen of the Sun, a relatively short section of the Homer for all its conceptual importance. It is, however, referred to in the play's dialogue, in much the same way as it features in the Homeric discussion between the gods, which means that the play does not lose the rationale of Homer's plot or his moral distinction between Odysseus and his crew (Odysseus is the only one allowed by the gods to reach home, as the divine favour was forfeited by his crew in their trangressive slaughter of the forbidden cattle). Other briefly narrated Homeric episodes, such as those of the Lotus-Eaters or the Lestrygonians, which have a particular place in western culture, may be missed by devotees, but in reality play a very minor part in the epic and can be omitted without substantial loss. The poem's structural parallelism means that the Lotus-Eaters theme is mirrored in the Circe episode, for example, and the gigantism and cannibalism of the Lestrygonians is an echo of the Cyclops chapter. Such minor matters apart, a further significant structural difference of Walcott's version is his absorption of Calypso into Circe, with one persona and one episode, which the symmetry of the two Homeric episodes makes possible.

Certain structural freedoms, as Walcott is only too aware, are available to the epic poet which are denied the dramatist:

Because it's an epic and not a dramatic poem, it doesn't have to have - it does not have - a theatrical symmetry, because incidents can follow any way they want to, more like a novel than a play, so that you can progress from one episode to the other without any previous connection, losing characters progressively. Now, in a play, if you work on a character in Act 1 you presume that that character will return somehow in Act 2, or the theme of the character will be returned to. But that doesn't happen in an epic poem, because you can have one section dealing with Menelaus and Nestor - and there's no return to that; and so you progress from one section, one story, to another. And that's what the Odyssey is, from one story to the other.13

Others have called Homer's Odyssey a novel, among them T.E.Lawrence and E.V.Rieu who both translated it. For Lawrence it is 'the oldest book worth reading for its story and the first novel of Europe.'14 Rieu, who notes the likeness of the Iliad to
tragedy, distinguishes it from the *Odyssey* which, with 'its well-knit plot, its psychological interest and its interplay of character, is the true ancestor of the long line of novels that have followed it.' To dramatise it is therefore not just a question of scale, but of tone and structural method. Epic novel - or novelistic epic - is a very different genre from epic drama. As Walcott has long understood, the scale of meaning implicit in 'epic' can be conveyed by other means than the physical scale of the work. The 'essentialising' process results in a condensing of the energies of the Homeric. What emerges is symbolic drama - as in Brecht's concept of epic theatre where each incident has to be capable of representing the whole - and a poetry of symbolism, providing a metaphoric structure to the work, a technique Walcott had perfected in his epic poems and now adapted to his play. The most noticeable general effects of the compression are the absence of long colloquies (which grate on modern ears), the simplification of the narrative's convolutions, and the reduction in the role of the gods. With all these (to many modern readers alienatory) aspects of the Homer omitted, and the content reduced to the most telling detail of each incident represented, the irreducible myth re-emerges naked in all its compelling immediacy and human appeal.

The requirements of dramatic form led Walcott to build in symbolic links to knit the episodic structure into an organic whole:

> In a play you have to have a symmetry in terms of time, certainly, and certainly in terms of what kind of arc of a definition you have, and the concentration of keeping the arc of the story in the narrative form meant that some things are returned, so that in the second act what I do is to try and see that the people who return domestically are the equivalent of people who were there in [the first act], so that there is a theme of recurrence, a deja vu thing that happens in the stories.

The cycle of 'eternal return' (to use Mircea Eliade's phrase) – the meta-myth – is thus developed in the conceptualisation of the play, as well as being intrinsic to its ancient narrative shape. Walcott uses the Homeric idea of parallelism dramatically, by linking incidents and characters across the sweep of the narrative. Arnaeus is shown as an image of the Cyclops, for example; Melantho is Nausicaa in a distorting glass.

A comparison of incident and sequence reveals a surprisingly tight match. Walcott follows Homer's broad pattern in moving from the state of affairs in Ithaca twenty years after Odysseus left, through the Telemachus story, to pick up Odysseus on his travels, although Walcott omits Homer's opening glimpse of Odysseus
yearning to get away from Calypso's island to return home. As in the Homer, the central episodes are presented as a retrospective narration: after being found shipwrecked on Scheria by Nausicaa, he participates in the Phaeacian Games, and narrates, to Alcinous, the episodes with the Cyclops, Circe, the underworld, the Sirens, and Scilla and Charybdis. Alcinous lends Odysseus a ship (implied in the play) to take him back to Ithaca, where the incidents unfold as in the Homer. The action of the frame story thus takes up a relatively short time, between Athena's spur to Telemachus to set out, contemporary with Odysseus's move to leave Calypso, and his return with his father; the Homeric Odysseus's ten years' journey home is thus narrated from a frame narrative which starts near its end, in the spirit of the yet-to-be-codified Aristotelian unity of time. In dramatic terms, Shakespeare's late plays demonstrate that it is possible to ignore Aristotle without sacrificing effectiveness; few would argue today, for instance, that *The Tempest* is superior to *The Winter's Tale* simply on the grounds of unity of time. In that his source, Homer, came with the narrative loop in its centre which is typical of the oral tradition, Walcott wisely stayed with a structure which might have been devised, had it not existed, to tie the episodes into a tighter unit.

The extended retrospective narration does, however, pose dramatic problems of its own. Unlike Prospero's formal narration of his history to his daughter Miranda, which stiffens the second scene of *The Tempest*, Walcott's retrospective narration is given dramatic representation: the voice of the narrator, Odysseus, is exchanged for the voice of Odysseus as participant in the action, replayed before our eyes. While this makes the episodes dramatically compelling, and is one of the great strengths of the drama, it is also true that it obscures the structural relationship of the central episodes to the frame story - their nature as subordinate narration, dramatised - so that relatively few of those seeing the play without knowing the Homer are likely to come away with a clear sense of the distinction. This is, however, a matter of minor concern, since the vivid dramatic narration of the central episodes maintains its own momentum, and the general sense that the opening phase of the story relates closely to the concluding phase, and that Odysseus's many adventures before returning home mean that his wife has waited for him a long time - all that it is necessary to know - is well established as a structural rationale. The device of the whirling vase, which Walcott inserts as signifier of the shift into inset narration in the Menelaus episode, for example, is an attempt to clarify the relationship between the parts, much as film
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convention uses a dissolve to introduce a flashback, but while it works in the printed text, it serves when staged (at least in the initial production) more to underline its redundancy as formal device than to deliver clarity.

The narrative's connective tissue is, however, modified effectively at this point. Where Homer gives Menelaus the protagonist's role in the story of the wrestling of Proteus which he tells to Telemachus, Walcott substitutes Menelaus explaining a vase painting to Telemachus, showing Proteus wrestling not Menelaus, but Odysseus. By bringing Odysseus into the metamorphic scene with Proteus, who in Homer changes himself to lion, snake, panther, boar, water and a tree, to elude capture, Walcott underlines the centrality of the idea of metamorphosis to his story. Not only is the story of Odysseus, with its sequence of magic challenges, an example of the myth of the sacred king who passes through different symbolic roles in the course of his rule (as Graves and others have argued), but in Walcott's interpretation the language of disguise - of the person who adopts, in particular, a monstrous appearance - is given a precise critique: the mythic account of extra-human agency is rejected in favour of an assumption of moral responsibility, which postulates that if we are monsters it is as a result of self-construction. Walcott's reduction of the role of the gods in his drama is therefore not just practical, in order to focus on the human drama, but arises directly from the meaning. In terms of narrative sequence, the vase, like a crystal ball, enables Telemachus to 'see' Odysseus on his ship, the scene which follows, as inset narration, implicitly contemporary with the scene in Menelaus's palace. The narration leads to the shipwreck which leads to the Nausicaa episode, which in turn leads to further inset narration.

Lead scenes for the retrospective narration to Alcinous and Nausicaa in Scheria help to draw attention to the narration's status as story, told to entertain the court. Walcott deliberately raises our awareness of the episodes as fictions as much as reportage. While his story-telling skill is admired, Odysseus's veracity is questioned, and Nausicaa's demand for monster stories is met so transparently by the horrors of the Cyclops episode that the audience seems invited to regard it as more likely to be 'fiction' than 'fact'. Placing the narration in the tradition of 'old salts, sailors, [who] always have fish that got away', Walcott has talked of his intention to seed doubt:

Is this guy lying? Is he just jiving? Is this a fact? The figure in the Homer is somebody who exploits...Because he tells his stories he gets rewarded, and so you say, 'This guy could be a helluva bullshitter!' - you know what I mean?
Walcott's treatment thus draws attention to the 'fiction' aspect while representing it with such imaginative power that the quasi-factual authority dominates. The ludic quality of this is reminiscent of Shakespeare's Winter's Tale, where the text's discourse about old wives' tales, and the child's ghost story, make the audience aware that they are witnessing 'only' fiction, while forcing them to acknowledge its authority over mind and heart.

Walcott also reminds us repeatedly of the tales of old nurses; Eurycleia herself deprecates her own 'Nancy stories me tell you and Hodyseus' (the Anansi-story reference planting the Caribbean firmly in the drama), but Telemachus's disparagement of Proteus's metamorphic powers as 'my old nurse's tale' is capped by Menelaus with the rejoinder, 'Then consider yourself forever in her debt.' The idea of the story told to a child returns as the final phase is initiated, with Eurycleia's lullaby to 'lickle Odysseus', brought sleeping to Ithaca in a symbolic act of rebirth. Fiction is analogous to both dream and madness, as the scene points out: 'Are all of these monsters a child's imagination?' / 'Or the madness of a mariner too long alone?'

The oral tradition with its stories is thus held up as a precious cultural resource; in Walcott's play it is passed on particularly by those whose racial and cultural Otherness is marked as Egyptian, and therefore signalled as part of an African tradition which is still alive today, both in Africa and the Americas. By coding Eurycleia and Billy Blue as both 'Egyptian' and 'Caribbean' or 'African American', Walcott shows that the oral tradition from which the Homer sprang is not Other and over, but here and now. Although the Homer comes down to us because it was written down, it bears the signs of its oral roots. Walcott has criticised as 'scripsist' the presumption that it is too long and complex for oral transmission; the evidence of oral epic from many parts of the world demonstrates that length and complexity are frequently present in the extreme. In the play he reinstates the orality of the Homer, which the many translations into formal literary English have obscured. His play is closer in that sense to the original:

the tone you get from the language of Homer is...colloquial... there are a lot of bad puns in Homer, for instance, so that you're starting from something that is already energised by a kind of vulgarity, not by a kind of pomposity. And by vulgarity I mean a theatrical thing: basically all storytelling is vulgar by its nature...it's not a remote language, removed in language.
Walcott sees the orality as part of a culture where the bard was 'the evening's entertainment... who probably was singing while people were having dinner, and had to be loud and clear, maybe be ignored while he was singing these stories... an itinerant person, poet, moving round the islands and to different cities.' In the play this social function is recalled in the presentation of the Scherian court; Alcinous tells Odysseus, 'The more outlandish your tales, the more they'll please us', to which Nausicaa adds, 'My father loves stories, he rewards their singers.' The need to entertain is vigorously met in the play, which is fast-moving and funny, as well as emotive and extraordinary, couched in language of wit and poetry in an informal colloquial idiom, one which is specifically American: Walcott speaks of 'the fact that the real Homeric language now is not English but American, and that the inflexion of language is not English-accented but American-accented... we are all speaking American.' To the reader, the Americanness is particularly evident in the language of Eurycleia and, at times, Billy Blue. Otherwise, it is colloquial in a way which could be voiced with either an American or an English intonation. As well as giving the plebeian characters local and idiomatic speech (the ancient Egyptian identity is conveyed by modern Caribbean language, translating the old world to the new), Walcott also makes the socially elite characters speak in a modern vernacular idiom. Menelaus, for instance, sneers at Helen, 'Your memory's fading like your hair dye, darling.' The Noel Coward-like archness of this is in contrast with the elliptical speech of the cynic, Thersites: 'Hate dogs. Slobberers. Dumb pain, dumb affection.'

The transition to less naturalistic language, of metaphor and symbol, takes place within the continuum of orality. For instance, the lines following this of Thersites use the double caesura to maintain a colloquial rhythm, even when the content moves towards the metaphoric: 'Open the gates of those locked teeth. Admit love, friend. / I'll say it with grinding jaw. I loved you. Go on.' The fresh tone of such dialogue, even when read, falls on the inner ear as if spoken. Even at its most poetic, the language is simple. The blend of simplicity and depth is everywhere, in lines such as 'The ship crawled like a fly up the wall of the sea'. The metaphor is reified in the oral idiom by what follows: a joke about falling over the edge.

One part of this strategy of orality is the role created for Billy Blue, the blind singer, who is part Chorus in the Greek tradition, and part griot, or djeli, in the African tradition - the two being, of course, branches of one cultural tree. Within the play's textuality he figures the narrator, representing a quasi-Homer, and by
implication Walcott too, but with a name and in an idiom which connect him with a modern popular equivalent to the epic poet. It is clear from the role of poet-singers in the Homer that the court culture of ancient Greece had much in common with the court poets of the present century in many African communities, and elsewhere in the world. The poets within Homer's narrative are story-tellers who sing emotively of their people's epic past; Odysseus, whose eyes are described as 'hard as horn or iron', is more than once moved to tears by their narration. Phemius and Demodocus are poets of a type which would have been familiar to Homer. There may be no exact equivalent in the modern world, but Walcott makes the point that the advent of the electronic age makes possible a new kind of bard:

here's the emblematic idea of Homer, and that story-teller, or that singer, who contains the history of the race: it's an oral history of the race that's being set, by this legend (or whether it's fact)...there've been great blind blues singers, and the same thing is true of the calypsonian, who is untouchable as a figure, who contains the history of the race, who is a vessel for that. And I see absolutely no difference. And since there's no equivalent now in Greece, I mean singing, and since there's no equivalent in any of the cities that we live in, and since it's not just a device, then the only reality is if this person is coming out of a context in which validly, and not just theatrically, that person is acceptable as a figure. Because who is there you can say in England who would be a Homeric singer of songs? A rock star or an opera singer, who? Because the whole tribal sense has gone. In these cities you have no tribal sense. And the tribal sense is unified in the black man, in the blues-singer, and it's true in other cultures, where that is more Homeric than having somebody with a harp up there, and a beard, you know, plucking away at a lyre.

Walcott's Billy Blue is a black blues-singer in the African-American tradition, who subsumes the Homeric roles of Demodocus and Phemius, and goes further by his continuous reappearances to comment on the action. It is in his songs that the language becomes most poetic; he alone has extended utterances, based on multiples of the quatrain, but several times adapting this to a six-line conclusion to make a sonnet (although a hexametrical one), the lyricism all the more moving for its contrast with the rest of the play.

The Greek drama, which evolved after the Homeric texts, initiated, as far as we know, the group Chorus, but Walcott uses chiefly the single figure of Billy Blue, in occasional counterpoint with a group Chorus, as in the Circe and Cyclops episodes. In that the latter are used to give lyrical expression to the particular tone of the episode of which they are a part, and are voiced by participants in the action, they are,
however, more like the choruses in a modern musical drama. Billy Blue's role is more like that of the classical Chorus in that he is both of the action and outside it, whereas it is to Shakespeare that we should look for a single Chorus figure with the character of a *dramatis persona*. Billy Blue first introduces the story, much like some of the Shakespearian figures — Gower in *Pericles*, or the Chorus in *Henry V* — but, unlike the Shakespearian figures, he also participates in the action, notably, for instance, in the RSC production, as the Ithacan musician, Demodocus, who recognizes Odysseus by his voice and height and refuses to be tricked, while in Hades he is a homeless beggar on the Underground; in drafts of the Cyclops scene, he is also the Philosopher who becomes a victim, resisting tyranny with words of truth. As an outsider, an outcast, as well as ubiquitous poet and wise commentator, blind Billy Blue is reminiscent of the blind eponymous seer in *Omeros*, a figure of Homer rediscovered in the vernacular, who is also known as Seven Seas. In the play, Proteus, the Old Man of the Sea, billed in Homer as 'Proteus of Egypt', is not overtly linked with Billy Blue, also Egyptian, although both are of everywhere and nowhere. Such freedoms are classical too; Euripides' *Helen* places her unconventionally in Egypt and also stages Proteus.

Other passages recall the precise poetry of Homer, some playfully, others in a way which develops to something new. Helen's entry to the stage direction, 'pushing a golden cart on silver wheels', mirrors her entry in the Homer with a work-basket 'that ran on castors and was made of silver finished with gold'; a gift from Egypt, which, in the Royal Shakespeare Company's realization came complete with the Homeric 'deep blue wool'. Details such as the fact that Ithaca is too stony for horses impart a sense of familiarity, but the poplars of Neriton are used in an inspired new way: where Homer has Odysseus recognize the landscape of his birth with his eyes when Athene disperses the mist, Walcott shows him realizing he is home because he recognizes the language of the trees, their sound in the breeze. Other adaptations raise a smile: Homer's 'rosy-fingered dawn', for instance, gives rise to Walcott's opening account of Penelope, whose 'rosy fingers at dawn unstitch the design'. Walcott uses the famous phrase, the 'wine-dark sea', only once, but other less well-known lines from the Homer acquire new prominence through their placing by Walcott: for example, his 'Men make weapons they intend to use' strikes as a fresh wisdom, although it is derived from the advice of the Homeric Odysseus to Telemachus, 'the very presence of a weapon provokes a man to use it.'
Walcott makes the music of the Greek text present in his: 'the whole idea of the context of the poem is Greek', as he says. He has its opening line quoted by Billy Blue at the beginning, and reminds ears used to English that the ancient Greek language was a seminal influence on present-day English: the rowers count their strokes with Greek numerals which are clearly cognate with many of their English counterparts. Walcott also plays with the sounds of some of the Greek names, and provides some Homeric puns in English, as with the joke on his hero's name as an 'odd Zeus'. His humour is one of the salient qualities of Homer for Walcott: it's extremely funny; it is a humorous book, and Joyce knew that. [He] made his novel a comic novel because that is the tone of Homer – a very different tone from, say, the Greek tragedians, or the Shakespearian idea, even, of comedy – so that the book is funny...I think the elan and the vigour of the book is humorous, that it comes out of this story in which a man goes through a hell of a bad time, but the essential drive is humour.

The play is in fact funnier than the poem, in a witty and ironic but also a direct, physical way, but it also contains great pathos. One of the hardest scenes to adapt to the stage might have been the death of the old dog Argus, which could easily have become mawkish, but Walcott makes it take place just offstage, watched by those on stage and narrated through their comments. The language carries the truth and the feeling of the moment, through to Billy Blue's memorable evocation of Odysseus's stoicism: 'This man dare not weep. Though roads and nights can be wet.' The lightness of Walcott's touch brings tears, however familiar the story. The poetry breaks down our defences by making the story new.

In sum, the technical challenge of bringing such a diffuse narrative coherently within the confines of an evening's play-going is brilliantly met. At the point where Odysseus is returned to Ithaca by Nausicaa the two narrative phases - of Telemachus's vase-gazing and the story-telling to the Scherians - are elided. The immediate precedent is the Scilla and Charybdis episode, after which Odysseus sleeps, with a lullaby from Eurycleia and Billy Blue's narration of nightmare, ending with the overturning of the raft. Odysseus's being carried ashore on Ithaca, asleep, which follows, thus functions as a logical outcome of this, from which it flows in natural sequence, and also implicitly as a loop back to the Nausicaa stage, in which the inset narration was prefaced with Nausicaa's question, 'Is this just a dream?' The fluid nature of all fiction, all dream, and its power of restoration, are what the text
confirms. We have watched Telemachus watching his father who narrates his past exploits to watching Scherians; in Act 2 Odysseus watches in disguise until the denouement when action - destruction and reunion - replaces gaze. Athena watches all and we watch her. But as well as building his narrative like a telescope, Walcott gives his text a pattern of sound, as much as one preoccupied with vision. The play exists ultimately in its poetic music. The fact that the drama works as an imaginative sequence is in the end all that matters. It is appropriate to the text's self-consciousness of its own art that Billy Blue - both black American blues-singer and ancient bard - should open the play and preside over its narration. He is the master-conjuror who summons up the whole story for us. His part anchors the episodic variousness of the action in a conceptual and linguistic tour de force. Typically, Walcott freshens the Homeric by shedding worn conventions and associations, and rediscovers it in a language which is both modern and at the same time closer to the original than the tradition to which we have become accustomed.

Walcott’s faithfulness to the Homer, imaginative and true as it is, is not servile. He allows himself to bring out new meanings by his presentation, altering the detail of the incidents, shifting the emphasis, or drawing on post-Homeric tradition, as he sees fit. This is particularly evident in the treatment of Odysseus himself, who is both more real and more symbolic than his Homeric namesake. The story's mythic quality is moved on in the light of Dante and Tennyson. Menelaus's assertion that 'The gates of imagination never close' repudiates the notion that would limit the fanciful to children, with a significant definition of adults as 'Children who doubt'. The blessing of faith is a recurrent theme of Walcott's work; in the play those, like Thersites, who have 'found no shore to believe' are pitied by those with direction in their lives. The Odysseus myth emerges as a metaphysical allegory, with the quest being for a spiritual home as much as for a physical one, but where Dante and Tennyson represent this by their hero's voyaging on alone to death, Walcott significantly allows his Odysseus to find, where he sought it, the spiritual union he seeks, figured through marital love. This has profound implications for the story's gender significance. Walcott offers a very different map of gender meaning from Homer, and deconstructs the ancient story's implicit modelling of race. He also addresses with innovation some of the technical difficulties which the Homer presents, and introduces new motifs to express his own vision of the story.
Walcott like Homer uses the patterning of imagery to create a symbolic structure to his narrative, but he drops some of Homer's ideas and introduces others of his own. In some cases the smaller scale of his narrative requires the condensation of Homeric repetition. Homer's repeated anticipation of the routing of the suitors is an example; for the multiple references to an eagle killing geese, Walcott has only one, the most important, Penelope's narration of her dream for interpretation by Odysseus. In another instance, by exchanging the sharpened olive stake of the Homer for a skewer, as the implement by which the Cyclops is blinded, Walcott loses the symmetry between this episode and the final recognition scene, in which the bed built round an olive trunk is the means of reunion, Homer thus setting a destroying olive pole against a life-giving one—a trope related to the double olive tree under which Odysseus sleeps after his shipwreck on Scheria: a wild one with a fruiting one grafted on to it. The horror of Homer's vivid account of the blinding, however, which is partly derived from the scale of the weapon, introduces a tone which, it seems, Walcott prefers to avoid. On the other hand, the smallness of the skewer as weapon enables Walcott to introduce an ironic intimacy to the scene, and it is appropriate that the means by which the Cyclops makes a cannibal of Odysseus should be the means of his blinding. It also makes possible the highly symbolic ironic prostration of Odysseus, a piece of effective theatre, to which attention is drawn by the Cyclops' furious 'GET OFF YOUR KNEES!' Like Shakespeare, who was well aware of the symbolic potential of kneeling (as in Coriolanus, for example), Walcott makes Odysseus appear to humble himself as a prelude to striking. The incident models a very Caribbean understanding of subversion through ironized submission, a familiar strategy of Anansi, for instance. It is his playing of roles and his adoption of disguise that has enabled Odysseus to survive: the theme of metamorphosis, now understood as the manipulation of identity as part of a strategy of adaptation and self-presentation—even to the point of self-naming as 'Nobody'—is central to survival.

Another figure of Homer's narrative which Walcott develops differently is that of the pig. Homer introduces a range of animals as the product of Circe's magic (although it is to pigs that she changes Odysseus's men) and makes Eumaeus a swineherd, but Walcott skilfully extends the imagery of the pig, beginning with Telemachus's first assertion of his intention to rout the suitors: 'Pigs! From today you will stop uprooting my house!' Via Eurycleia's assertion that 'strong-timbered virtues hold up this house', the idea of home as a living tree, securely rooted, is established in
antithesis to the 'uprooting' tendencies of greed and lust, with punning on 'boars' and 'bores'. The details of piglike appearance are negative tropes - the snout, the bristles, the proverbial greed and indiscriminacy - but Homer's 'screw-horned' cattle seem to have suggested Walcott's coinage of the idea of the boar's 'screw-prick', with its resonant satire of unrestrained sexuality.

A further symbolic addition is the introduction of the shield as emblem of portable protection, like the shell the turtle bears through the sea. As Odysseus finally reaches Ithaca, the symbol is recalled: 'This turtle took ten years, Ajax, but it's ashore.' The Homeric idea of the island as home is thus counterpointed with Walcott's idea of the travelling turtle with its home on its back, naturalizing the image of the wandering voyager. The recurrent use of such an image enables episodes to be linked poetically, strengthening what is in many ways an unpropitious structure for drama.

Although Odysseus is mentioned briefly in the opening lines of Homer's first chapter, full attention is turned to the protagonist late, in Book 5, where Odysseus is revealed tiring of Calypso's charms and longing for home. This late portrayal of the hero is a feature that could be fatal to a dramatic version. Walcott chooses to address this by opening differently. Before the portrayal of Ithaca in Odysseus's absence, he presents a scene from the Trojan tradition that is mentioned only briefly and late in the Homer, in the Odyssey's epilogue: the funeral of Achilles. In the Homer it is narrated by Agamemnon's shade as the ghosts of the newly dead suitors, killed by Odysseus, take up their places in Hades; it is followed by a summary of the poem's narrative, ending with praise of Penelope's constancy. By moving the funeral to the beginning of his play, Walcott shrewdly addresses a number of levels of meaning. First, it acts as a link to the Iliad, always implicit in the Odyssey. The first poem narrates the fall of one of its great pair of heroes, Hector, but at its end the other, Achilles, survives. Homer reveals in the central Hades episode of the Odyssey the shade of Achilles, reunited with Patroclus, a detail which Walcott chooses to omit, making Achilles appear alone to Odysseus in his Underworld scene. The narration of Achilles's funeral rites at the very end of Homer's second poem, however, brings it into line with the first, in that it reinforces its negative closure in death: the positive movement towards the final securing of peace is given a marked counterpoint by Homer's treatment of the death of the suitors and the maids, and the reception of the shades into Hades, which gives rise to the narration of Achilles's death rites.
Walcott constructs his play with a subtly different emphasis. By relocating the funeral of Achilles to the beginning he initiates the centrifugal movement of his narration, as summed up in Billy Blue's prologue, 'once Achilles was ashes things sure fell apart.' The threats to Odysseus's return and to his wife's chastity represent that disintegrative phase, which is reversed in the centripetal movement which follows, brought to its conclusion in the denouement's phase of reintegration. The whole pattern can be read as a critique of centrisrn. Yeats's famous assertion that 'the centre cannot hold' seeded one of the founding texts of postcolonial writing: Achebe's novel *Things Fall Apart* uses Yeats's accompanying phrase as its title, to signify both the destruction wrought by European empire in Africa and the historical inevitability of the eventual disintegration of that empire in Africa, and by implication elsewhere. Walcott, by linking the story of Odysseus to that postcolonial signifier, models the fragmented narrative of scattering after the fall of Troy as a case of the centre inevitably not holding, but shows a new, previously marginal locus as the centre of desire and fulfilment. The in-placeness of the colonial subject's secure identity derived from his cultural and affective home is ultimately what Walcott's *Odyssey* demonstrates.

Home is shown to be a constant, which everyone possesses. In a passage of fine irony, when father and son eventually meet up unknown to each other, after twenty years, Walcott gives them this exchange:

"ODYSSEUS: And where're you from, young man?  
   (Silence.)  
TELEMACHUS: I'm from where everybody comes from. From my home.  
ODYSSEUS: And where's that? I said, 'Where is that?'  
TELEMACHUS: Look, man, it's late.  
ODYSSEUS: It's never too late, youngster.  
   (Silence.)  
TELEMACHUS: So, where are you from?  
ODYSSEUS: From home, as well.  
TELEMACHUS: Then we're both from the same place. Great.  
   (He exits.)"

Both are 'from' home in the sense that they have been displaced from home, have been 'away', both physically and symbolically. But both are also 'from home' in the sense of being securely rooted in the identity their home gave them, wherever their subsequent lives might be lived. In these few lines the whole tension between the 'roots' movement and the exile's reality which has preoccupied postcolonial writers — and not
least Walcott himself— is resolved. The play’s eventual resting place is informed by this understanding. It begins in the aftermath of war, and ends in celebration of peace— its centrifugal phase is balanced by its centripetal movement— but the peace which it finds is one which was always already there: that of being mentally at home in the place in which childhood was spent, and which offers to old age the dream of a familiar bench in a familiar orchard.

Walcott’s final line, as in the Homer, is an assertion of peace— ‘that peace, which, in their mercy, the gods allow men’— but the treatment of Odysseus’s triumph alters the meaning of death. The exhilarating slaughter of the suitors is followed in the Walcott by the emotive censure of the women, first Eurycleia and then Penelope, who then thwart the threatened execution of the maid, Melantho, by their intervention. The mass hanging of the women, graphically narrated in the Homer, is thus dropped by Walcott, as is his unproblematised glorying in bloody revenge. Homer’s scene of the suitors’ shades being received into Hades likewise has no counterpart in the Walcott. By altering the Homeric in this way at the closure of his play, Walcott is able to place greater emphasis on the restorative drama of Odysseus’s resumption of his role as husband, father and patriarch, but he also creates a map of meaning in which matriarchy is on a par with patriarchy.

Concomitantly, by relocating the funeral to the beginning of his text, he is able not only to provide a neat implicit link with the Iliad and most importantly to introduce his protagonist at the outset, but also to reinforce the symbolic structure implicit in the Homer: the play progresses from its start in death to a kind of rebirth, a conclusion which the Homer blurs with its re-presentation of closure-laden death rituals at the end. Odysseus, as a mythic figure of the sacred king, older than Homer, resists his own sacrificial death to re-enter a further term of rule, the adventures of his voyage being myths of what Graves calls his ‘ninefold rejection of death’.29 The final phase represents the repulsing both of rivals - the suitors - and of the dynastic successor, Telemachus. This mythic reading goes, of course, beyond either the Homer or the Walcott, neither text foregrounding the negative implications of the dramatic structure for Telemachus. It is, however, fair to point out the differences between Walcott’s presentation and Homer’s. By giving stronger focus to the benign aspects of the story’s closure, and introducing a death ritual at the outset, Walcott sharpens the mythic symbolism of the structure. It is clear from his drafts, however, that Walcott’s original intention was to introduce the scene of Achilles’s funeral as a retrospective
narration. The play was to open with Billy Blue singing for Penelope. Early in the Homer she is pained by Phemius's song of Troy and comes down from her upper chamber to interrupt it. Walcott seems to have seen this as a good starting point, enlarging on it by borrowing the notion of Achilles' funeral from the end of the Homer to form his first inset scene, enacted as if Billy Blue narrates it. The accompanying of Billy Blue's prologue by a scene with Penelope weaving was only scrapped between the first preview night and the official opening night of the Royal Shakespeare Company production which Walcott supervised - a late emendation which the printed text follows. This removal of Penelope from the opening moments of the play has quite profound implications for its representation of gender, as is discussed below.

Within the story, Homer's rationale for the action, the animosity of Poseidon for Odysseus, is played down by Walcott, and a new rationale substituted. Walcott builds on an aspect of Odyssean legend not foregrounded by Homer although prominent in Ovid, the contest for the arms of Achilles. Moving the funeral of Achilles to the start of his narration not only articulates a link with the preceding Trojan story and enables a symbolic critique of centrism to be initiated, it also provides a new rationale for the ten years' journey home. Odysseus's rival for the arms of Achilles was Ajax. The two present competing claims, as in Ovid. The arms being awarded to Odysseus, Ajax in a rage, according to the tradition, slaughters a flock of sheep and then in shame commits suicide, the subject of a tragedy by Sophocles (in which Odysseus nobly intervenes to secure honourable funeral rites for him). Walcott presents a brief dispute over who should have Achilles's arms, but develops it with a new idea: in resentment Ajax curses Odysseus, as he is awarded the shield of Achilles, saying 'Bear it, you turtle! Take ten years to reach your coast.' Walcott thus substitutes human rivalry for the divine malice of Poseidon, which drives the Homeric plot. Ajax, a part doubled with that of Antinous in the original conception, represents the secularisation of the story; but Walcott, typically, is also careful to code atheism as error. Antinous, rival to Odysseus's sexual self as Ajax is to his martial persona, is given the line, just before the climactic scene of his routing, 'There're no gods. We've thrown them out.' The audience, which has seen Athena, knows this to be 'wrong', and that divine as well as secular justice will be visited on Antinous.

As a result of Ajax's curse, the symbol of the wanderer is thus identified with the form of the turtle, the one who is naturally at home in the sea and who bears his
house on his back. The simplistic reading of Odysseus's quest, with the journeying coded as negative and the homecoming as a simple positive, is thus problematised: Odysseus is of course not a turtle, he is a man who, his rival knows, desires to get home - but the image tugs the other way, as does the ancient story's outline, with Odysseus's long stays with goddesses. Walcott balances the contrary movements: on the one hand the desire to return home to wife and family, to roots, on the other the desire for rootlessness, for the freedom of the wanderer, encoded particularly as a desire for sexual licence. As Odysseus says, 'At the back of all men's minds is a rented room.'

It is largely because the opposing impulses are held in such finely tuned balance that the resolution has such dramatic tension. The encounter with Penelope, who almost withholds her acceptance of her husband, reminds us that desire, whether sexual or spiritual, is dependent on another for its fulfilment. The return of the aging hero to rout the young pretenders is a seductive story for all those past their youth, which has survived long beyond the faiths which gave rise to it, but powerful as its appeal is, it is its corollary, Walcott's addition in which the hero has to beg for forgiveness if he is to be admitted to the desired intimacy, the position of favour, which really keeps the audience on the edge of its seat.

Walcott balances the discourse of vengeance which wounds - as in the ancient heroic code - with a discourse of forgiveness which heals. Scheria is 'an isle known for healing' and Egypt is associated with curative herbs and nurture. The play brings to the fore the notion of Egypt as cultural other to the dominant of Greece, in Walcott's perception also its origin: as Eurycleia says, 'Is Egypt who cradle Greece till Greece mature.' It is an often ignored element of the Homer. Helen, for instance, when Telemachus visits Menelaus, relieves the men of all painful recollection, with an anodyne drug from Egypt: 'For the fertile soil of Egypt is most rich in herbs, many of which are wholesome in solution, though many are poisonous. And in medical knowledge the Egyptian leaves the rest of the world behind. He is a true son of Paeon the Healer.' As mentioned, Homer designates Proteus an Egyptian deity, who herds seals and is visited by Menelaus, and has Odysseus land, on his return to Ithaca, at the cove sacred to Phorcys, in Homer another name for Proteus, the Old Man of the Sea. Billy Blue in Walcott's version is made an Egyptian, and Eurycleia too is from Egypt.
By making these important personas Egyptian, in a socially subordinate but dramatically powerful relationship to the Greek central figures, Walcott models an often ignored aspect not only of the ancient world but of the modern world too, in which postcolonial communities are forced into serving the neo-imperialists. His use of the Caribbean and African American vernaculars for the servants makes the class map of the society abundantly clear. It also enables him to assert the key role played in ancient Greek culture by its Others in Africa and Asia – a theme now well attested by Martin Bernal – and to imply its modern parallel, the vital role of the marginalized in developing western thought - or, to put it another way, of the black contribution to white culture. What the play offers, in fact, is a radically different world view from that of Homer. The assumption of centrism is revised with a version in which the perspectives of the conventionally marginalized are given equal importance to those of the traditionally central figures. Circe, a figure of great power, here has, for instance, an 'ebony arm'.

In keeping with this shift of emphasis, Walcott makes more of the plebeian characters, whose impact in performance is even greater than that on the page as they tend to dominate the humour. Eurycleia, the old nurse, important at key moments in the Homer, is made more prominent in Walcott's version. She appears in more scenes and has a more central role in furthering the action: for instance, in the play she triggers the embrace of reunion between father and son, a scene from which she is absent in the Homer. Three details of the Homer are changed: her assisting Odysseus with picking out the disloyal maids for punishment is dropped, and her willingness to wash the feet of Odysseus, disguised as a beggar, is replaced by Walcott with her indignation and reluctance, which is livelier and more satisfying dramatically, but most importantly where Homer has her restrained by Odysseus from a yell of triumph at the slaughter of the suitors, Walcott completely reverses this, making her utter a terrible cry of grief. Where the Homer has towards the end a housekeeper named Eurynome, Walcott brings all the 'old female servant' parts together in the memorable persona of Eurycleia, who is equally important at both the beginning and the end of his play.

To offset the benign, mature strength of his Eurycleia, Walcott develops the character of the young, rebellious maid, Melantho. Homer has two servant characters who react adversely to Odysseus, a man, Melanthus, and a girl, Melantho. Walcott rolls these into one, the maid Melantho, and brings her into the play from the outset,
as an antithesis to Eurycleia. As the ambitious, sassy servant who is in no way servile but resists her servitude, she occupies an ambivalent place in the play's map of sympathies; on the one hand, she is constructed as an antipathetic character, as we identify with Eurycleia's censure and repudiate her insolence to Odysseus, but on the other hand she is likeable for her spirit, and becomes something of a hero of class resistance. When she is threatened with death by Odysseus at the end of the play, both Eurycleia and Penelope intervene to protect her, thus securing her position as a figure of sympathy.

Both Melantho and Eurycleia have a further dimension as a pair, in that they are implicitly black. It is clear from the text that Eurycleia is Egyptian and she is mocked by Melantho in racially specific terms, while the 'melan' root of the name Melantho suggests blackness. In putting Caribbean English in the old woman's mouth, Walcott both modernises the story, and reminds us that many of the servant class in ancient Greece would have been black. In moving these characters from the periphery, as in Homer's story, to the central ground of interest and entertainment, he revolutionises the elitist assumptions of the Homeric treatment. Much of the richest comedy comes from the scenes with Eurycleia and Melantho, as does some of the most powerful emotion. Eurycleia in particular presides over the text's moral values; she it is who voices the central philosophy, 'is strong-timbered virtues uphold this house', and who makes her great howl over the scene of carnage at the end. As Walcott's Penelope says, Eurycleia is 'this house's foundation.' After nursing two generations, she is the catalyst of their reunion, urging Odysseus and Telemachus, in their hesitancy, to embrace, her presence being an inspired addition to the Homeric version of the scene. In modelling Egypt as the nurse of Greece, as Eurycleia is the nurse of Odysseus and Telemachus, Walcott also implies a reading of history in which the benign, healing culture is older than the martial one, its wisdoms offering a means of tempering the violence of the new age, and a route to the desired peace with which the play concludes. It reflects his presentation elsewhere - for example, in 'The Fortunate Traveller' - of the often superior wisdom of the so-called 'primitive' as compared with the self-styled 'civilised'.

The figure of Odysseus himself has a complex relationship to notions of civilisation and civility. He has been viewed differently at different epochs, as Stanford so well demonstrates, along a continuum which ranges from the despised Machiavellian to the admired Romantic hero. Walcott clearly occupies the latter
position, although he revises radically the characteristics by which Odysseus has been traditionally recognised. First, he brings him off the pedestal of the elite, to reveal him as ordinary, a kind of everyman. The already noted re-vernacularisation of Homer's story is achieved in part through the characterisation of Odysseus himself. The tone of the opening scene, of Achilles' funeral, with the august Greek leaders paying tribute in formal language, is revolutionised at the entry, late, of Odysseus. He brings a pungent flavour of the plebeian with his irreverence: he comes to the funeral eating, and brings only a small offering. His language is informal, and his philosophy is pragmatism: the show of honour to Achilles in death only reminds him that some were less than friends to him in life.

The character Walcott develops from this opening scene reveals an Odysseus who owes more to Shakespeare's Autolycus, and the Caribbean and African trickster hero of folklore, Anansi, than to the Ulysses of post-Homeric European tradition with his courtly, silver-tongued duplicity. This is an acquisitive Odysseus, who 'took his share', of food and goods, and whose son, hearing Menelaus's account, comments that he sounds more like a 'rug-seller'. In Greek myth Autolycus is the son of Hermes and the maternal grandfather of Odysseus, the one who names him, and in whose company as a young man he receives the boar-wound in the thigh, by the mark of which he is recognised in his middle age. Shakespeare's Autolycus is an anarchic hero, a thief and a trickster, but also a singer and a good fellow, who is associated with the play's assertion of the life-force and of restoration. Anansi is also a rogue, but a hero with his anti-establishment tricks which cheat the authority figure, Tiger, to put more food in Anansi's belly.

Asked whether his concept of Odysseus was not informed by the figure of Anansi, Walcott said,

Yes, very much...he is escaping, he has to go through certain labours...his thing is his wit, his evasiveness: the...zoological equivalent would be like a bear chasing a mouse...or some huge animal trying to catch another small one, and how does the animal evade, you know. Now if you transfer that into, say, a Cyclops and...anything you want, Anansi, a spider, whatever you want, it's the same thing. It's like the Tiger and Anansi...All these stories come out of one imagination. There's only one imagination, and...there's always one figure in the folk imagination who is kind of a protestant figure, an elusive figure, who is not part of the cosmology and upsets the hierarchy somehow, either by defiance, or by wit, or by solving challenges...most of the West Indian jokes, which are based on African stories, are somebody always challenging Tiger, always making an idiot out of Tiger...And in a sense the Tiger represents a
kind of deity. And this person who is sceptical and smart and avoids the power of the Tiger is really a kind of protest...or query or scepticism [against] omniscience or power. And that's what he represents, I think.  

Both are essentially survivors, outsiders who live by their wits, and it is this quality which is central to Walcott's Odysseus - a quality which is there in the Homer but has become overlaid with a subsequent tradition in which Odysseus has become an antipathetic character. Walcott restores the likeableness to him, and reveals a hero with much resonance for an age of democratic values. In postcolonial terms, Odysseus is heroic because he stands up for himself, demanding his 'share' from a world which implicitly would not yield it up otherwise.

To Walcott, the challenging figure also has a metaphysical resonance, expressing an essentially positive spirit:

I think Odysseus is in the largest sense of the word comedic, in that its comedy implies a questioning. If you stand up and ask god why, in the tone of voice [in which] he asks, it is comedic rather than tragic...Odysseus is the first directly querulous figure that we have in the literature.  

The 'querulous' Odysseus is a 'little man' archetype, and therefore a paradoxical hero, who subverts the traditional trope of heroism. Walcott's Odysseus is a king because the story requires it, but he keeps reminding his audience that he is a little king, ruler of a small, stony island (too stony for horses, Walcott says, where the Homer says it is too steep for wheeled vehicles). The implication is that what he has is valued by him, although it might not be by others; he manifests the individuality of value systems, an important lesson to those marginalised from the centrist imagery of desire. His dream of rule is in the domestic realm; his heroism lies in his personal qualities, for which Athena loves him and protects him. Walcott's Odysseus is heroic in his capacity for endurance and for his refusal to give up his dream of returning home; he denies the value of the military code and its definition of heroism. He is a pragmatist and a sceptic, one who is suspicious of shows of emotion and who resists the blandishments of poetry while himself using eloquent language. He prefers peace to war, and making love, eating and sleeping to derring do. He is in essence a symbol of survival, with an eye on the main chance and a reluctance to ignore an opportunity for material gain.

In putting such a figure at the centre of his work, Walcott offers an implicit critique of the Iliad's - and the subsequent tradition's - code of honour and its high and mighty heroes. He looks at the self-styled centre and finds it hypocritical in its claims to
virtue, and therefore dangerous. By making his hero a plain, practical man, of plebeian tastes and desires, an emblem of relative marginality in the stakes for social power, he probably restores Odysseus to his pre-Homeric significance. When such a man strings the impossible bow to rout his rivals, he carries the aspirations of all outsiders - those marginalized from the centres of power, and those usurped from their own lives by others' interventions. In postcolonial terms, he symbolises the colonised consciousness repudiating the myth of its marginalization to take charge of its own experience. Odysseus resumes control of his own.

His counterpoint with Thersites, a new element in the *Odyssey* story, provides an important clarification of his meaning. As a subversive figure, Odysseus might be taken for a cynic; Walcott, by showing him with Thersites as his foil, makes it clear that Odysseus's outlook is not to be confused with cynicism, which denies affective ties. This introduction of Thersites to the *Odyssey* flies in the face of the Homer, where Thersites the cynic is killed in the *Iliad* by Achilles for jeering at his show of emotion over Penthesilea's death. At Walcott's opening it is Achilles who is dead, not Thersites. He is brought directly into the play's plot by speaking up for Odysseus in the contest for the arms of Achilles, which makes plausible the bantering exchange between the two of them which follows. Thersites serves to underline the masculinist philosophy of restlessness and violent action, implicit in the Trojan history and in Odysseus's journey, by introducing the idea of the sword as an alternative 'wife'. Thersites, the soldier of fortune, 'married' only to his phallic sword, models a kind of masculinity which is opposed to that of Odysseus. Where Thersites illustrates the self-enclosed narcissism of the macho hero - however likeably - Odysseus's wisdom lies in the knowledge of his own incompleteness, which requires the feminine to make it whole.

Odysseus is thus the key to the play's revision of both gender and power relations. He needs the feminine. His masculinist triumph over the suitors, exciting though it is, is rapidly brought under a different value system and exposed as valueless by the women's condemnation, the only true value being not revenge but forgiveness: he (and we) realise he is nothing unless he can persuade Penelope to value him. The wordplay of the name 'Nobody' acquires a resonance beyond the Cyclops episode. The play's ethic is the Christian one of mercy; it concludes by valuing peace not just as a desirable interlude in a saga of heroic bloodshed, but as a basis for existence. The ancient values have been turned on their head.
It would be surprising if this had not had implications for the representation of the divine. Homer's portrayal of Athene and Poseidon as controlling agents of human lives is subtly shifted by Walcott. It is not that he adopts a post-mythic modern scepticism, but that he introduces a Christian concept of benign deity, set against the idea of human responsibility, the protestant ethic that the individual has to earn grace. The malice of Homer's Poseidon is therefore marginal to the Walcott, and the occasional cruelty of Athene is not reflected in his Athena. Odysseus in Homer's story is a victim to divinity, at the mercy of the gods of sea and sky, the favour of Athene being shown as capricious. At the turning point of the narrative, at Odysseus's return to his own palace, Athene torments him a little longer, because she 'wished the anguish to bite deeper.' Walcott, however, makes Athena a reliably benign divinity, appearing in a number of guises but always intervening to aid Odysseus's cause; she reflects the post-Homeric monotheisms, and particularly Christianity, and as the great goddess is a figure familiar from Walcott's work.

As well as her appearances as Captain Mentes and the Shepherd, followed from the Homer, Walcott introduces a new episode, her interruption of the lovemaking between Circe and Odysseus – a small scene worthy of Homer, in that it exposes her to be not just another mother goddess, but one with feet of clay, capable of jealousy and having a more than platonic interest in Odysseus – in other words, a very classical divinity. A reciprocal shift is at work: as the divine partakes of human failings, so the human hero partakes of the divine.

Athena seems to be a force for aiding human beings to make the best of themselves; her antithesis is the godless state of the Cyclops' realm, which is portrayed in unequivocally negative terms. Circe, too, is divine, but hers is an influence which the text carefully defines: she does not make monsters of men, she simply persuades men to make monsters of themselves. Walcott gives the mythic concept of metamorphosis a particular significance. The idea of dissolving is a recurrent theme of the play, from Nausicaa's 'Soon I'll have the power to make grown men dissolve' to the 'fluent' elusiveness of Proteus, central to the play's imaging of metamorphosis. Walcott's moral universe is different from Homer's, his central thesis being the power of the human imagination, to shape both ourselves and our image of the world. He asserts that the demonic is a figment of human imagination (Odysseus's final pronouncement on monsters is 'We make them ourselves'), and that we are not changed by divine intervention but by ourselves. As Odysseus says of Circe, 'We
create our own features. Not her. We change form.' Metamorphosis here undergoes a particularly protestant sea-change, more to do with the ethic of personal responsibility than the representation of the marvellous.

The mythic ritual used to explore these ideas is nominally classical, but draws also on current religious usage. Circe's magic is presented through a mixed African and Caribbean terminology, alongside that of ancient Greece: Shango is paired with Zeus, Erzulie with Athena. The rites involving white-robed celebrants, drums, a sacrificial cock, and the marking out of sacred space by the sprinkling of powder, whether of flour or chalk (or soot, as in the RSC production's demarcation of the Underworld scene), can be paralleled today in both Caribbean and African rituals, which on the evidence of ancient texts apparently reflect similar ones of ancient times. In contrast, no ritual invocations to Athena are represented in the drama, freeing her from culturally specific association. Her strong association with light in the Homer is adhered to by Walcott, but he changes her designation as 'bright-eyed' to 'green-eyed' and 'sea-eyed', alongside 'bright' and 'shining', and to a family of epithets relating to her as skimming water with her feet, so that she is of the air, but only just, barely distinct from the realm of water. This Athena is 'wet-heeled', perhaps suggested by Homer's portrayal of the sea-nymph Thetis who comes to her son Achilles' funeral with her 'silver feet', as much as by his early account of Athene's golden sandals that carried her 'as surely and wind-swiftly over the waves as over the boundless earth'. Walcott identifies her with the African and Caribbean water divinity, Maman de l'Eau, who 'hides in a waterfall's cascading curtain'; the closeness of the realms of water and air is encapsulated by Anticleia, who describes how 'A breeze polishes the sea with Athena's feet.' The sea then becomes a radically different signifier from that in the Homer, where Poseidon and his realm are implacably hostile and in antithesis to the desired land. Walcott has Anticleia assert, paradoxically, 'Merciless Poseidon will grant you his mercy', thus constructing him as a kind of Old Testament divinity, in contrast to the milder, wholly New Testament ethos of Athena. He also sharpens the idea of home as object of desire, but he keeps this in tension with the pull of the sea; his Odysseus longs for his own shore, but at the moment when he exchanges it for a 'surf of blossoms' in his orchard, he is allowed some nostalgia for what he is giving up, as he answers Penelope's question, 'Will you miss the sea?', with wistful lyricism.
The text sets the peace of home on land against the potentially destructive chaos of the sea, but it also acknowledges the value of the spiritual quest, the open-ended experience, of which the imagination offers an analogue; and although Walcott chooses not to make his Odysseus voyage on after just one night with his wife, he does incorporate in the body of the play a Dantesque or Tennysonian idea of the ongoing experience, of the spirit and of art. According to Nestor, the aged visionary, Odysseus the rationalist 'defied the sea', so that his ship 'crawled like a fly up the wall of the sea' and disappeared 'Through this world's pillars, the gate of human knowledge', a Dantean echo. The important shift in Walcott's presentation is that this dreamlike voyaging is concluded with Odysseus's resumption of his domestic role, whereas the onward journey signalled by Homer - and its realization by Dante and Tennyson - relegates the return to Penelope to nothing more than a temporary staging post in that great, endless quest.

The product of this is to make the feminine principle much stronger in the Walcott than the Homer; Walcott's text reaches a true closure in Odysseus's homecoming to his 'rock-steady woman' (a term from Caribbean popular music is here adapted to a new metaphorical significance, a characteristic Walcott move). By concentrating on the motif of homing, he produces a more satisfying whole than Homer, who defines the objective of return to the wife in terms of a one-night stand: immediately after their reunion, Odysseus tells Penelope of his 'great and hazardous adventure' to come, and that just one night together was 'what we desired'. Penelope herself is made more authoritative by Walcott; in the Homer, she is a less assertive character, ordered about by Telemachus, and, once convinced of her husband's identity, immediately accepting him back. Her outcry at the bloodbath Odysseus causes is Walcott's addition to the Homeric story, which gives her reluctance to accept the avenger as her husband further dramatic force. Finally, in accepting that he is truly Odysseus, she offers him a kind of absolution from the blood-guilt, 'Oh God! I'll wash your hands with these tears.'

Even the feminine in its most threatening form, as Circe the witch, is relatively benign (as in the Homer) in that it is through her agency that Odysseus is enabled to visit the Underworld; in addition, by interrupting the love-making with Athena's intervention (perhaps suggested by the scene in Homer in which Calypso is visited by Hermes), Walcott shows Circe's mischief to be at the mercy of a stronger, benign magic. Although his primary motive was probably practical, to do with length,
Walcott's condensing of Calypso and Circe into one persona also serves to reduce the role of divine female obstruction to Odysseus's return home. It is counterbalanced by the much greater impact of the Sirens episode in the play, than in the poem; the exquisite agony caused by the sensuous appeal of taboo women is given powerful dramatic expression, particularly as realized in the RSC production. The Nausicaa episode introduces an intermediate position: as quasi-daughter she is sexually taboo but available for passive titillation, her 'freshness' able to 'salt and cure' (a pleasing paradox) all the aging Odysseus's 'sins'. Significantly, Walcott introduces her as presiding figure over Odysseus's return to his homeland, as if the daughter-figure has the power to redeem an errant parent. Penelope's ability to silence the riotous suitors is a further instance of female power, while Walcott's enhancement of the roles of Eurycleia and Melantho enables Ithaca to be seen as a site of female domination, for all the suitors' noise.

In Walcott's hands, the fixed island becomes a metaphor of the desired female body, as in Omeros and elsewhere in his work, as discussed above. Odysseus answers Alcinous's question about Calypso's magic, 'Was enchantment hidden in the island itself?' with 'In her and the island. One cleft of flesh, one of stone,' but her 'happy grave' affords him no joy, as he 'sank into a sadness no flesh could cure', out of longing for his own island. The wandering odyssey then becomes an image of masculinity, the quest for Ithaca a quest for the 'right' sexual union. The counterpointing of Odysseus with Thersites, discussed above, functions as does Shakespeare's counterpointing of Coriolanus with Aufidius. Spurning affective ties, he dedicates himself to unrest and war, although Odysseus wrings out of him a grudging admission that he loved him. His creed is the homelessness of the self alone, defined in terms of absence of faith - he has 'found no shore to believe', married only to his sword - the opposite pole to that of home and love, represented by Penelope, his Ithaca.

Walcott's difference of approach is also conspicuous in the representation of Helen. The notion of home is problematized by irony in relation to her. Walcott revolutionises the Homeric values in his presentation of Menelaus and Helen, disclosing the cruelty of male seizure of women as chattels. Where Homer blithely narrates Menelaus's pride in the marriage of his illegitimate son, his offspring with a slave girl once he realized he would have no more children with Helen, and poignantly implies the reunited couple's marital coldness - 'the lady Helen lay in her
13. Metamorphosis of the Homeric

long robe by his side41 – Walcott gives Menelaus some cutting and callous lines which bring the pain of Helen's experience centre-stage. His play suggests that Helen was a scapegoat, with the real cause of the war probably a new tax.

Just as he focuses on Helen's misery – and makes her part of the anti-war discourse of the play, with her spirited rejection of the idea that she misses Troy – so Walcott also makes the suffering of Penelope imaginatively compelling. These iconic female figures whom post-Homeric history has tended to see as the catalysts of male action – Penelope, by her constancy, as evoker of heroism and consuming lust; Helen, by her 'fickleness', as cause of war – are here given full and sympathetic attention, and portrayed as powerful personae in their own right. Their mythologizing by men throughout history is devastatingly deconstructed. Instead, the women of the play are at least the equal of the men. It is no accident that the horrors of the Cyclops' realm lack female representation; there Walcott discloses the masculine impetus carried to its extreme. Elsewhere he edits out its worst excesses: Agamemnon's diatribe against women,42 for instance, has no place in his play, nor has the hanging of the maids after the killing of the suitors. He stays with the Homer to the extent that he has Odysseus threaten Melantho with death, but this is subverted by the concerted action of the older women, Penelope and Eurycleia. The whole ethos of revenge, a masculine phenomenon here, is given a powerful critique in the reaction of these two to the slaughter. The dominant feminine principle in the play is non-violent, nurturing, and strong to resist the morally reprehensible.

Penelope's quasi-divine role in promising absolution to Odysseus for his blood-guilt parallels his own quasi-divinity. The last phases of the drama invite a reading of Odysseus as a Christ-figure, as his decade of suffering culminates in the mock crucifixion jibes of the suitors - 'Let's see if he's a god. Slip a spear in his side!'... 'Make thorns his crown!' 'Just nail KING O' BEGGARS over his bleeding head!' - but this godlike role is denied by Odysseus himself, who is reported as having refused offers of divinity and later says to Penelope, 'I'm not a god. I'm Odysseus.' It seems likely that Homer's mystical account of Odysseus as he tends the lamps, mockingly related by one of the suitors, may have seeded Walcott's passage: 'some divine being must have guided this fellow to Odysseus' palace. At any rate it seems to me that the torch-light emanates from the man himself, in fact from that pate of his, innocent as it seems of the slightest vestige of hair.43 Given the place of the concept of the 'Light of the World' in Christian tradition, and specifically in the previous work
of Walcott, the extension of Athene's brightness to a Christian idea of radiance, and thence to a matching of the suitors' mockery to the mockery of Christ, seems a natural step. The play gives Penelope too an emotive entrance with a beam of light; but Antinous's name, we are reminded, means 'anti-light'.

The persona of Walcott's Odysseus balances the masculine with the feminine: he is both man of action and patient sufferer, of a Lear-like stoicism. The boar-wound in his thigh by which Eurycleia recognises him is his symbol of suffering, linked to the play's discourse of sensuality as piggishness, the sexual libido bringing out the 'beast' in man; Odysseus characterises himself to Circe as 'this pig-scarred adventurer'. From the feminist point of view there is, of course, irony in the representation of the sexual libido as the cause of suffering for the male. The story of Odysseus shows that it is not, however, a fatal condition. Odysseus's Homeric epithets as 'sacker of cities' and 'nimble-witted' are developed by Walcott to encompass a persona symbolizing survival: 'What lasts is what's crooked. The devious man survives.' Eumaeus gives him the ultimate description, as 'A natural man,' and Athena tells him he has earned the spoils he brings back with him. He is anti-heroic in the conventional idiom of the grand narratives, without high-flown ideals or rhetorical posturing. He is *l'homme moyen sensuel*, cautious, acquisitive, and clear as to his objectives, valuing his own home above all else. And unlike Homer's Odysseus he is a man of passion, able to explain to the Cyclops the importance of the ability to weep.

Walcott, in creating his play, makes something new of the Homer, while remaining faithful to it. The original is his Penelope, guiding his course, yet not preventing innovatory experiences along the way. In matching the old story to newer histories and concerns he has not betrayed it, but if anything has revealed more clearly than ever the living root from which it springs. His poetic drama does not have the conventionally epic scale of Homer's poem, but it is truly epic in its imaginative power and the depth of its address to the collective experience, not of any nation, but of the human race. It has, in fact, the rich humanity, composed of many opposing strands of desire and experience, of Shakespeare's romances. Above all, it shows that poetry in the theatre can once again be an intensifier of drama. Its great story of quest and homecoming now takes its place in the English language as never before, familiar, but transformed to a new youth and vigour, as if by Athene's magic.
Conclusion

Antonio: We all were sea-swallow'd, though some cast again,
           And by that destiny to perform an act
           Whereof what's past is prologue, what to come
           In yours and my discharge.

Caliban:    I'll be wise hereafter,
           And seek for grace.

Prospero:  And my ending is despair,
           Unless I be reliev'd by prayer,
           Which pierces so that it assaults
           Mercy itself and frees all faults.
           As you from crimes would pardon'd be,
           Let your indulgence set me free. [Tempest II.i.259-62; V.i.294; Epilogue 15-20]

Bob Marley: Won't you help to sing
            these songs of freedom
            cos all I ever had
            redemption songs
            redemption songs

The Tempest closes not only with a commitment to taking responsibility for the future, but with a movement towards grace, a strong word in Shakespeare, implying redemption. Bob Marley, who, like Walcott, has written Caribbean art into the hearts of countless people around the globe, made music of his own 'redemption songs', a phrase which could also characterize Walcott's literary work. Walcott, who chose 'Redemption Song' and 'No Woman No Cry' as two of his eight records for Desert Island Discs said of the first that 'it's got the truth of Marley's belief in it': 'the depth of it is very moving.' Marley uses Rastafarian language, his own speech, for his lyrics, which are also part of Caribbean poetry. Like Walcott's work, they have a triple strategy: to expose 'Babylon' (the pernicious workings of the materialist world and the culture which powers it); to show compassion to those living under it; and to articulate the dream of 'Zion', the ideal, spiritually oriented community of egalitarian brotherhood and sisterhood. Zion is both a politics and a faith. The Rastafarian 'I-an-I' usage, which is threaded through this study, enshrines community in terms which do not erase individuality, but allow it its difference, the rich resource it brings to the
group. 'I-an-I' also symbolizes the belief that even the solitary person is never truly alone, because s/he walks with spiritual companions.

It is significant, then, that at the end of Shakespeare’s play, Caliban turns his attention to the state of his soul, whereas Prospero begs forgiveness from others, fellow humans. Of course, at one level, it is a neat way of inviting an audience to applaud at the end, but at another, there seems to be a recognition that Prospero needs first to be absolved in some kind of social rite – a rite of reparation, perhaps. The position at the end of empire, for Walcott, is clear: ‘It’s good that everything’s gone, except their language, / which is everything.’ What language can offer is no little gift. Walcott has described it, speaking of Blake’s work, as ‘going through experience and re-arriving at innocence.’ The apple of Eden, the old order’s taboo of forbidden knowledge, is remade, through culture, as the new order’s apple of communication, the nourishing fruit, Gonzalo’s gift. Such a transition is indeed enacted in the bible, between the beginning of the Old Testament and the end of the New, for, central to the final vision of Revelation, is the tree of life, ‘which bare twelve manner of fruits, and yielded her fruit every month: and the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations. / And there shall be no more curse.’ Caliban’s part, as Shakespeare shaped it, was perhaps created with this text in mind. The language of the King James Bible has also shaped both Marley and Walcott as poets, its sonorous cadences imprinted in early life on the inner ear, as powerfully as was the distinctive speech of the islands, with what Walcott calls its ‘salt freshness.’ The idea of the healing of nations endures, like Gonzalo’s commonwealth, like Zion. Remote though it may be from sociopolitical actuality, it is in culture that it can be glimpsed.

Ali Mazrui expresses a related ideal: ‘full reciprocal international interpenetration is a precondition for a genuinely symmetrical world culture.’ The current imbalance is here recognized, the neocolonial legacy with all its devastating implications. The sense that the global social economic disorder – the abuse of power by its wielders – must be tackled is acute. Walcott continually raises his voice in this essentially political, and moral, cause. His urgent objective is to ‘move the centre’, not geographically but emotionally, to move it to tears. Referring to Brodsky’s Nobel speech, he said,

if tyrants read, really read, they wouldn’t do what they did, because too much would be revealed, too much would touch them. I think we read now the way tyrants read: we read for information. We don’t read to be touched. But what
poetry does, and yes, that is the power of poetry — as Owen said, the power is in the pity — if that can touch, yes it has power.\(^8\)

The Prosperos of the modern world, the fortunate travellers, are addressed by Walcott, who appeals to their compassion. Antonio Benitez-Rojo sees the Caribbean text as in quest of ‘routes that might lead, at least symbolically, to an extratextual point of social nonviolence and psychic reconstitution of the Self.’ This project disrupts with its pluralism the either/or structure of Western discourse: ‘The routes, iridescent and transitory as a rainbow, cross at all points the network of binary dynamics extended by the West. The result is a text that speaks of a critical coexistence of rhythms, a polyrhythmic ensemble whose central binary rhythm is decentred...’\(^9\) The going beyond binarism is also central to Walcott’s rhetorical project. Likewise, the psychic reconstitution of the self is a large claim for the Caribbean text, but, as has been argued here, may not be inordinate.

What a plural culture can offer is an alternative to the competitive model of the self and the other. Trinidadian writer Lawrence Scott affirms the particularity of a Trinidadian identity as geographically rooted and intrinsically heterogeneous:

The writer, and the literature, is speaking to the world from that place... It isn’t just that we hear all these different languages and we experience different dialects, and so on. It seems to me — and I feel this profoundly as a white writer, as a writer with European heritage — that there is something of Africa in me; I have to discover Africa; I have to discover India. And I think that is a profound thing, if people discover the other, you know, you discover yourself in the other.\(^10\)

This radical approach to the idea of identity may be distinctively Caribbean but could be general. Its prerequisite is an acceptance of the full humanity of others, of their equivalence in value to the self, so different from the world’s dominant philosophy which is structured on different principles, regarding the other as alien, and able to conceive of the plural self only in terms of the ‘alienated’ self, the self without boundaries seen as pathology not privilege.

Yet if the world’s problems come down, as Walcott argues, to a failure of compassion, one of the projects of culture is to counter that failure. Art, in the end, seeks its aesthetic as part of a wider collective desire. The power is in the pity. The artist, therefore, has to be a challenger, a heretic, who is fearless in tackling orthodoxies. He has to be like Odysseus, as Walcott describes the archetype: it is \(\text{in} \)
the largest sense of the word comedic, in that its comedy implies a questioning. If you stand up and ask God why, in the tone of voice that he asks, it is comedic rather than tragic.' Odysseus is 'the first directly querulous figure that we have in the literature.' The refusal of the tragic perception of the human condition is fundamental to his aesthetic.

It is not romance to suggest that the particular reality of Caribbean culture may provide a template for what is now a global phenomenon. Walcott is not alone in seeing the region as a kind of cultural ark for the world: ‘make of my heart an ark’, is the invocation in *Another Life.* Maryse Conde, a writer from the francophone Caribbean, has spoken in terms which resonate with Walcott’s, of the global implications: the world, she says, is ‘in a state of creolization, meaning that all the cultures are coming into contact...so a new image, a new diversity of the world is emerging.’ She uses as analogy the trope of the plantation, showing how it can be remade, redeemed from tragedy to comedy:

It is, as some years ago, in the plantation system, when so many people were forced to live together, and produced that Caribbean culture, that Creole culture. Now the world has a kind of plantation system – so many people coming to live together who were not supposed to know each other before; and in the forced contact they create a new form of culture where everybody would have something different to say, and to bring for the benefit of the other.

This is the ‘I-an-I’ figure, the sharing of difference, and Walcott might qualify the remark by pointing out that it is not only forced contact which characterizes modernity. He offers his art (something different to say, for the benefit of the other), first, as St. Lucian; next, as West Indian (the anglophone community); then, as Caribbean – a pan-Caribbean concept, to which all the languages of the region contribute – then as Latin American, part of that group which is not North American; then as American, in the pan-American sense which embraces the North. In a very real sense, he is engaged in the quest for the American epic.

Finally, his work is offered to the language, to English and all those who speak it and use it, and to all those texts which exist in it. Distinctively, Walcott writes Caribbeanness into the language, doing so in ways which represent, perhaps, the next phase of Caribbean cultural history. He is already attuned to the plurality of languages in the region, and was a pioneer of opening the West Indian consciousness to a sense
of an enterprise shared with artists in the French, Spanish or Dutch Antilles, and of opening black consciousness to the need to acknowledge a sharing of place and culture with groups of different, and differently mixed, ethnicities. His own ideology has much in common with the French Caribbean concept of créolité, defined as 'the interactional or transactional aggregate of Caribbean, European, African, Asian, and Levantine cultural elements, united on the same soil by the yoke of history.' Bernabé, Chamoiseau and Confiant also acknowledge, 'We cannot reach Caribbeanness without interior vision', for it is 'more a matter of vision than a concept.'

The first half of this study leads up to the idea of a mythopoeic art, which is the prerequisite of such vision. J.M. Coetzee, writing from his own sense of a postcolonial South African aesthetic, speaks of 'that blinding moment of ascending meta-historical consciousness in which we begin to shape our own myths.' The 'we' here is a collective identification, a community, perceived at the moment when it emerges in the minds of its constituent members from the fragmented consciousness of isolated individuals, each with their own dreams and fears. The sense of pluralism is the determinant of the 'we', the sense of a shared dimension to identity – not one which obliterates individuality but one which acknowledges what is referred to here as 'the sharedness of difference'. This 'we' slides up and down a continuum from the simple pair to the global society. The ambiguous and sometimes unhelpful category of 'nation' tends to come at the transition between cultural and regional community, perceived in a territorial dimension though flexible enough to embrace the concept of diaspora. Like many Caribbeans, Walcott has a clear knowledge of his Caribbean identity, which subsumes the nationality mentioned on his passport, and which continues regardless of his geographical location (and would have continued if he had taken out American citizenship) because it has its existence chiefly in his culture. Conceived regionally, the idea of nation thus becomes mobile, not only sustainable but transmissible in locations remote from 'home'. Political nationality in the Caribbean, however, is a category of fragmentation. Since the demise of the Caribbean Federation there is no formal Caribbean nationality, but the reality of a cultural 'nation' is lived by the region's diverse inhabitants and their diasporas. When Walcott mythifies St.Lucia or Trinidad, Jamaica or Guyana, Cuba, or Haiti, or the Virgin Islands, he does so as part of the overall project to render the region as myth.

The particularity of Caribbeanness, as Walcott sees it, is its cross-culturality, and when he models distinctively Caribbean archetypal heroes he selects figures for
whom every community could provide equivalents. Ultimately the ‘homing’ persona is generic:

the archipelago like a broken root,
divided among tribes, while trees and men
laboured assiduously, silently to become

whatever their given sounds resembled,
ironwood, logwood-heart, golden apples, cedars,
and were nearly

ironwood, logwood-heart, golden apples, cedars,
men...16

Such recitation of names is not just ‘a naturalist’s notebook’17 but an identity-affirming incantation. Other communities could perform the same rite with different names.

Walcott’s commitment to his home community has never wavered. ‘I’m lucky I didn’t go abroad,’ he says: ‘I much prefer the route I took, to have been here, writing in a difficult but formative time.’18 In ‘Leaving School’ Walcott explains, ‘I had failed to win the Island Scholarship because of my poor mathematics.’19 In St. Lucia it was awarded biennially on achievement in the London Matriculation, and was part of a system of colonial education which lifted so many of the most talented of his generation, such as Naipaul and Brathwaite, out of their home environment and into metropolitan universities at a still formative age, changing them for ever. Again, in ‘The Muse of History’ he wrote, ‘I felt, I knew, that if I went to England, I would never become a poet, far more a West Indian, and that was the only thing I could see myself becoming, a West Indian poet.’20 This was more than just a choice of career; it was more like a mission: ‘the first duty of an artist is something beyond him (let’s say him) – he’s only the vessel of an expression of gratitude.’21 It has remained compelling, to the extent that he will admit, ‘I feel more responsible to my work than to my life.’22 In the end the self evaporates in the creative act, which may not make for an easy personal life, but it can enable a phenomenal productivity of fine art. In the early poem ‘Roots’ Walcott envisaged a future of West Indian art, made by ‘our Homer’ with ‘truer perception’, which would supersede the external cultural referents of the colonial era: as the poem observes wryly, ‘When they conquer you, you have to read their books.’23 It seems to have been Joseph Brodsky, however, who initiated the
image of Walcott as that Caribbean ‘Homer’, although the designation has since become something of an irritant to Walcott. The Caribbean has been, says Brodsky, ‘immortalized by Walcott.’ At the same time he has changed the map of the language:

his throbbing and relentless lines kept arriving in the English language like tidal waves, coagulating into an archipelago of poems without which the map of modern literature would effectively match wallpaper. He gives us more than himself or ‘a world’; he gives us a sense of infinity embodied in the language.

This is powerful praise from an admired fellow-craftsman as well as a friend.

Walcott modestly ironizes his own achievement. As a man commemorated even by a special issue of Swedish postage stamps, he speaks with mock horror of his sense of ‘turning into a very minor monument – you can feel the concrete coming over your skin.’ Clearly the Nobel prize has special significance for Walcott’s home community. St.Lucia, for instance, has renamed the central square of Castries after him, jettisoning, symbolically, the old name of Columbus Square. The father of Andreuille, the beloved of his adolescence, who ‘had once prophesied that the name “Walcott would blaze like a meteor across the black midnight sky of Saint Lucia,”’ has had his perspicacity rewarded to a degree he may not have imagined. Maya Jaggi records a significant glimpse of Walcott’s meaning to Caribbean people: in St. Lucia, on the beach, ‘a young black Londoner “from Crystal Palace” comes to shake his hand, saying: “You mean as much to me as Nelson Mandela.”’

But the man is one thing, the work another, for it is also true that a University of the West Indies academic, when asked in conversation how his literature students got on with Walcott’s work, answered jokily ‘as little as possible’. My own undergraduate students study two of the plays, Ti-Jean and his Brothers and Pantomime, as well as the demanding poem ‘The Fortunate Traveller’, but it is only at Masters level that I have attempted to introduce Another Life or Omeros. Although much of the work is accessible, some is undoubtedly difficult, requiring an extensive intercultural knowledge – although no sooner is such a remark put down than an inner voice of protest raises itself. For even at its most erudite, the work is always compellingly musical, using the wit and easy rhythms of speech to deliver even its most complex thought. I am reminded of first reading T.S.Eliot’s The Waste Land as a teenager, and the hypnotic effect of its closing sequence, which I found stunningly beautiful, and memorable, although I didn’t understand a word. In a very real sense
the power of Walcott's language, as music, is enough to carry us until we are able to reach other levels of meaning. There is a place for the reader as gleaner, picking up grains of significance as s/he works along the textual field. It doesn’t matter if it isn’t all gathered at one passing; there will be some left for next time. Reading is a labour of love, not a combine harvester, and texts are there for us to live with, like partners or relatives, in an unfolding relationship, with some easy times and some tricky.

I am very conscious that my own readings in Walcott's work are, in a sense, provisional, partial, and negotiable. People of our time, from our various perspectives, will read differently from subsequent generations, in all their heterogeneity. The great texts of the world are those which speak to readers or audiences across space and time – they are those which travel. For Walcott’s work to ‘travel’ in this way has involved choices as to presentation. Local language practice, for instance, is often suggested rather than rendered literally, or if the latter (as with his use of French Creole), followed by a translation into standard English. Part of his skill as a poet derives from his awareness of his audiences and readers; he is a mannerly writer, who explains for those with other experience, that which needs explaining. This courtesy is the ‘I-an-l’ consciousness in action: the creative utterance which does not suppress awareness of the other but is conditioned by it. But although, like some reptiles, the works may have the miraculous ability to respond to different contexts, they remain distinctively themselves, with that strong lizard silhouette (like that of the the island/iguana in Omeros), that extraordinary eye. The aesthetic quest is not for universality, but for what the authors of Eloge de la Créolité call ‘diversality’. Walcott's works seem likely to transport their quiddity to coming generations, with all the particularity of their plural, independently swivelling gaze.

The story of the reception of Walcott’s work at different eras and in different social contexts remains to be told. Clearly the multiple strategies in evidence in any one text, as discussed above, invite plural readings. At a very obvious level, readers who are themselves Caribbeans are likely to bring a radically different perspective to bear than those from elsewhere. Other groupings suggest themselves. Those who are black will start from a different position than those who are white (ongoing racism invests these slippery signifiers with real meaning, however essentialist). People of the Americas will read differently from people of Europe. Those who have lived in more than one country or culture or who perceive themselves as heterogeneous will have an insight to the work which is different from those whose experience is limited
to a monoculture. The great glory of art is that it can open us not only to a fresh or
deeper vision of our own predicament but to that of others. Art is the field in which,
above all, the other enters us – in which difference can be not only articulated but
celebrated. Walcott has been an assiduous recorder of his own sense of difference,
from metropolitan culture, from local orthodoxies, from the left, from the right, and so
on. He has been an Odyssean challenger. But simultaneously and paradoxically, both
in and through the project to name difference, he has also been a passionate articulator
of his sense of sharing, his identification with the wider world, whether the plebeian
life of the street passing the middle-class household in which he grew up, on
Chaussée Road, Castries, St.Lucia, a life from which as a child he felt excluded, or the
ultimate sense of connectedness with all that has been thought, felt and expressed
throughout human history. The phenomenal inclusiveness is not at odds with the
individualism. There is no ambivalence. Both are simultaneously and continuously
present, and necessary to the project Walcott sets himself.

There is a tendency, however, for his readers to take narrower perspectives –
not surprisingly, perhaps, since such breadth of vision as his is by definition rare. As a
result, as we get to the end of the twentieth century, the second half of which has seen
a steadily remarkable artistic contribution from Walcott, there are divergent views of
its significance. Clearly the award of the Nobel prize constitutes a seal of approval,
but it comes from a particular group, which may not be as much the guardian of
universal values as it perceives itself to be. It was Omeros in particular which earned
Walcott the Nobel prize, and it is his poetry which has so far won him most of his
international fans. In contrast he is relatively little known outside the Caribbean as a
dramatist, although his prodigious output of plays, musicals and screenplays would be
an extraordinary oeuvre even without the poetry, and the increasing phenomenon of
international productions of his plays – Ti-Jean and his Brothers in Italy, for instance,
and The Last Carnival in Sweden – may be beginning to redress the balance (also,
with publication of some of the work in translation, it is encountering new readers in
global communities beyond the anglophone). With a characteristic refusal to take
account of fashion, Walcott has stuck to his belief that the theatre is a place for all
kinds of expression, including poetry. After Eliot he has almost single-handedly kept
alive the idea of the verse play in English in the second half of the century. His faith
in the replicability of renaissance is a lived conviction; he refuses to subscribe to the
current view that the elevated poetic drama which characterized the age of
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Shakespeare is no longer possible. Yet he has played hard for popularity in the theatre, using wit, comedy, music and dance, as the vehicles for some thought-provoking scenarios and philosophic and social enquiry, and the elusiveness of success has been frustrating. Ironically the Royal Shakespeare Company’s production of *The Odyssey* was a success, sold out in Stratford-on-Avon and London – ironically, because the decision was taken to stage it in the smallest performance space available, which resulted in an extraordinarily powerful, intimate theatrical experience for those lucky enough to get tickets, but excluded many. The story of Walcott’s 1998 foray onto Broadway with *The Capeman* is a reminder that, even with the huge talents and resources of Paul Simon and the others involved, there is no guarantee of success in a capricious market where taste tends to be determined by fashion, and by reviewers whose parameters of aesthetic evaluation are often preset.

It may be worth reflecting that if Shakespeare’s friends had not seen fit to collect his plays into a posthumous folio edition in 1623, the story of their survival and dissemination to date might have been very different. Perhaps the plays’ Elizabethan and Jacobean audiences were sometimes unresponsive and critical. Certainly much of the verse would have gone over the heads of the uneducated. It remains true that the plays are fiendishly difficult to stage well, at the same time as famously worth performing again and again in different ways. It has also become true that many more people now know Shakespeare’s plays from reading them as texts than from seeing them performed, although the video age has created huge new audiences. And of course it would be difficult to overestimate the importance of pedagogy in fuelling the popularity of Shakespeare. If his name were dropped off all syllabuses tomorrow, how long would the Shakespeare industry last? How long would it be before annotated editions would only be available from second-hand bookshops, and before groups of enthusiasts met to foster their shared enthusiasm in the teeth of an indifferent society? Yet what we see at the moment is that people will learn English in order to read Shakespeare. Clearly the Shakespeare phenomenon is now so well established – and rightly so – that, like a modern oil tanker, it would be difficult to stop. The point I am after is that the ‘bubble reputation’ and the quality of the art need not be in any direct relation (as the P.R. profession understands so well). The latter, the quality, is always, in a profound sense, independent of the former, the popularity. We should not forget that Walcott would be as good a poet if he had not won the Nobel Prize.
Fashions, however, come and go – I remember being surprised in the early 1980s when I asked in a theatre bookshop for Walcott’s *Dream on Monkey Mountain* to be told airily that it was ‘finished’, as if it was old hat, yesterday’s play. The history of race politics in the second half of the century has impacted on perceptions of Walcott (as of other artists) in ways which remain to be unpacked by social and cultural commentators. Walcott is understandably infuriated by what he sees as the patronizing and subtle marginalization which being labelled a ‘black poet’ can mean, even when he is being lauded. To him it is a centrist refusal to accept him just as a poet, on an equal footing with any other, and is yet another sign that the old racist hegemony is alive and well. That this rage should cause some sectors of the black community to look at him quizzically is a poignant irony. His racial politics are actually more militant than they are perceived to be on either side of the manichean divide. The white establishment tends to approve him as assimilated to Western elite culture, and the black constituency tends to disapprove him for the same reason. Both positions are based on misapprehensions. He is not assimilated to the western hegemony, but on the contrary has assimilated the western tradition to his own revolutionary project, making it his own and investing it with new significance, as this study has aimed to show. His aesthetic project has much to offer us, not only as art but ideologically, as a tool to help us understand the world, whether we share his Caribbeanness or not.

In the end it is as rite of homage to a people and a language that Walcott-Anansi spins the web of his words. It is an epic project, on which his sights have been fixed since youth. In a poem written exactly half a century ago, Walcott, aged eighteen, wrote an elegy to his father which perceives death’s gift, greater than death itself, as the power to ‘make us see the forgotten price of man / Shine from the perverse beauty of the dead.’ In another poem of the same era, which links his decision to stay in the islands to the vision, recorded in *Revelation*, which St. John experienced on the island of Patmos, he made a commitment to ‘praise lovelong, the living and the brown dead.’ The elegiac commemoration of those dead has remained a lifetime’s theme, producing that distinctive timbre of joy-and-grief, simultaneously, which in the end is epic:

> From all that sorrow, beauty is our gain,  
> Though it may not seem so  
> To an old fisherman rowing home in the rain.
Conclusion

For, at the same time, the work in its entirety is a praise-song for the islands which are the apple of his eye, a rite of love. Walcott has spoken with admiration of Joyce’s ‘extraordinary endeavour’, in Ulysses, to ‘democratize the sublime by making it extremely ordinary.’ His own unmistakably Caribbean aesthetic project could equally be described as democratizing the sublime. It is, again, inextricably a politics and a faith. Bob Marley would have understood.
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DEREK WALCOTT
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PB: Derek Walcott, you’re no stranger to honours for your poetry, and now you’ve been given the Queen’s Gold Medal for Poetry in this country. In the past it’s been given to Auden, Graves, Larkin, Stevie Smith and Ted Hughes among others, but you are from St Lucia in the Caribbean and you are the first Commonwealth citizen to receive it. Is that a sign that attitudes are changing, that the old, centrist, metropolitan ideas are perhaps dissolving?

DW: Well, that’s sort of a political position to take, I think. First of all I’d like to say that the honour of being associated with names like that is profoundly touching. As to whether it represents some sort of broader looking-out on the part of people who read writers from the Commonwealth, or from America, or wherever, it’s hard for me to say. I don’t really know the state of English verse that thoroughly. I don’t have access to entire books by single writers, complete works by single writers, so it’s hard for me to judge the state of English verse, in the sense that if the medal is given by a comparison of what’s going on now, then English verse must be in a very bad way to give me the medal. (Laughter)

PB: Tell me, what does the Commonwealth mean to you, personally?

DW: I think the idea of the Commonwealth – and every political programme is ideal, including Marxism – but I think the Commonwealth idea is perhaps one of the greatest achievements, as an idea, of conventional history, because I think it is manifested in some very simple ways. In the sharing of the language, in the sharing – well, right now the West Indian cricketers are here, and there’s a feeling, you know, the sharing that is there in the sport is, I think, the same. That sounds very silly, but I think it’s the same kind of feeling within the language that one might have for a writer from Australia who’s working the language, who’s had the experience of empire and colonialism and stuff like that. If one thinks of the Commonwealth as an extension of the empire then it’s ridiculous; it’s just a perpetuation of the idea of empire. But if one
thinks of it as a renewal of some kind of community of nations who are very diverse and are not linked simply by the idea of a Queen, but by the commonality of language, and by a commonality of experience that that language provides, it’s a terrific thing, and it’s a worthwhile thing.

PB: You, as I said, were born in St Lucia. You were educated there and in Jamaica. You lived for nearly twenty years in Trinidad as founder-director of the Trinidad Theatre Workshop, and although you now live in Boston you do spend a part each year in the Caribbean. Do you see yourself as a Caribbean writer?

DW: Yes, and almost specifically as a St Lucian writer, because each Caribbean island has its own – well, history is such a big word – but has it’s own, let’s just say, history, and has a variety of linguistic experience, that, for instance, the language in Barbados becomes a different language if you go to St Lucia and so on, so I don’t think people are aware of the fact that within each island there is a whole insular experience of language and it’s own history, that can vary from island to island. The speech in Jamaica is different from that of Barbados and St. Lucia and Trinidad and so on. I don’t think it’s simply a matter of dialect.

PB: St Lucia, of course, changed hands between the British and the French an extraordinary number of times in the eighteenth century, but although it was conquered by the British finally, it is the French patois which has maintained domination of the language there.

DW: Yes.

PB: In the English-speaking Caribbean generally the inheritance of language, as you say, is extraordinarily rich, but isn’t this particularly true, in a way, of St Lucia?

DW: Well I think that one look at the ideal in this multi-linguistic situation would be Port of Spain, in which there are the Chinese, the Lebanese, Indian – Muslim and Hindu – and so on, so that even if they’re all speaking in a Trinidad English dialect, it means that every race represented there has residues, or has an active language going on that may be spoken by grandparents or by people domestically. And that’s what I find very exciting about Trinidad, that variety that is there. In St. Lucia it may be – it may appear to be – simpler, because there’s a simple plantation situation of French Creole landowners with vast estates, and a peasantry, but the whole experience of Creole is still not fully explored, not only because it hasn’t been written, but I think the Creole experience is something that’s still not fully mined in Caribbean writing.
It’s a whole area. I don’t just mean the dialect, I mean the whole feel of that experience. It’s just beginning to be defined, I think.

PB: You yourself grew up in an English-speaking family, but presumably from the cradle, virtually, you also had French.

DW: Yes.

PB: And you have used that dual heritage linguistically in your verse. When you choose to draw on that French tradition, what sort of things do you use that for?

DW: Well, as you said, it’s only an accident that St. Lucia is British. The last treaty out of I don’t know how many of them transferred St. Lucia from France to England, so St. Lucia could today have been a French colony, a French island, or whatever, and it still is, in a sense. In other words, I think St. Lucia is closer to Martinique and Guadeloupe – linguistically, certainly – than it is, say, to Barbados. In addition to other aspects of it, like it’s Catholic, and stuff like that. But one has also to remember that in the Caribbean perhaps the proportion of people who speak French, if one includes Haiti, there may be more people speaking – I’m not sure about this – there may be more people speaking Spanish, for instance, in the Caribbean than there are speaking English, or more people speaking French than there may be speaking English. I mean, I can only do a kind of quick mental count. Haiti is a large island that will be speaking French and French Creole. There’s Martinique, there’s Guadeloupe, and parts of St. Martin, and perhaps these total about the same amount – in proportion there may be more French Creole spoken in the Caribbean than there is English Creole spoken. I thought of this at one point of my life, that if I were writing in French there’d also be an audience for French, or for French Creole, so there’s another whole unexplored area, I think, in me that could perhaps…. I don’t think it will ever develop, because I’m, perhaps, past that now, but certainly in terms of translating the plays that I have written in the English, French-accented dialect, that that could transfer quite easily to Martinique, to Guadeloupe and to Haiti, for instance.

PB: In a way the remarkable thing about the English-speaking Caribbean is that for the smallness of its population it has produced such a remarkable number of world-class writers, because I think it’s only, what, four million people, which is a tiny number, and yet the number of really good writers who’ve come out of those isolated places seems to me a great phenomenon. Can you account for that?

DW: Well, I think it’s historically inevitable that any suppressed utterance, as happened in slavery, when it does find release is going to find very voluble release.
The hundreds of years of slavery in the Caribbean means that somebody was saying to the people ‘Don’t talk’, and when that ban, virtually – mental or otherwise – is released, there’s going to be a lot of talk. Whatever’s been repressed and prevented is going to suddenly explode. In addition to the very alarming – startling, in a good sense – number of writers, good writers who’ve come out of the Caribbean and are still around – you know, Jean Rhys from Dominica is a kind of phenomenon, but we also now have Jamaica Kincaid from Antigua who writes as well. You have Naipaul, you have a lot of good writers, but one remembers also that there’s St. John Perse in Guadeloupe, and there’s Aimé Césaire in Martinique. I suppose the equivalent would be if Kansas or Arizona or somewhere produced more than one writer. But the other fact is that islands perhaps may make that happen, because islands are kinds of concentric experiences, in which there’s some kind of a pivot around which you look. I think the island experience of writers in some way contributes to a larger sense of space, and of time, and even of history in the Caribbean. No matter how minor the Caribbean poet, in a sense he is an epic poet simply because of the scale of what surrounds him. If you live on a rock in Barbuda you are really in an immense ocean – an immense sea, actually, the Caribbean – and in an immense sky, and that vertical figure of the individual person is within large elements of physical feeling.

PB: Yes, it’s not just the surface of landscape, is it? You have almost, I would say, an anthropologist’s eye, or an archaeologist’s eye. You see the layers beneath the surface. And this is something that you conjure brilliantly in your work, I think. You give us that sense of depth of what has gone on before, and the potential of what may go on in the future. As you’ve said, the history of the Caribbean is full of pain. How can you as a poet deal with that, or heal it, in a sense? What role does poetry have in relation to that?

DW: You can also have something called professional pain. You know, the holocaust is now a movie – you know what I mean? You can make something out of slavery, and so on. I think that there’s a kind of an attitude in the Caribbean which I think writers sometimes encourage, and sometimes – not historians, sometimes, even – more the writers. And there’s this which did happen, and which is a historical fact, and is part of the Caribbean experience. But the Caribbean experience is not limited strictly to three hundred years, or whatever, of slavery only. It’s not simply a matter of being optimistic and looking towards the future, or skipping slavery and looking back to some glorious past, or whatever. I just think that it can be absorbed in the
Caribbean experience. And it is not the beginning of the Caribbean experience and therefore it should be treated as a passage of the Caribbean experience and not the entire experience of the Caribbean. And for that reason, I think, when you get a lot of protest poetry, or poetry of longing, or poetry of defiance and so on, that’s simply one aspect of Caribbean history.

PB: And when you write in your autobiographical poem Another Life, for instance, of those layers in going back, you seem to be talking of a return to an innocent, natural past, a root of it all, and finding an identity through that. And one of the things that comes very strongly from your poetry, I think, is a looking at landscape as in a sense a kind of language, that it is in a way communicating itself in forms similar to those that we use in language. This is something that one can trace through your work. Would you like to say something about that?

DW: Well, if I look at other writers in the Caribbean, no matter how similar the experiences may be, of the same kind of boyhood and schooling, and throwing stones at mangoes, or whatever, when you’re young, or swimming, or doing something, every Caribbean writer – or maybe that’s why it’s so richly varied, the fiction – defines, or tries to find his, or her, own lineaments through an individual language. In other words, what should have happened is that we should have had a bunch of writers all resembling one another because they all came out of the same historical experience, and there should be one sort of standard format of that experience in the language of the novel or in the personal style of the writer. But there is a very big difference between, say, Wilson Harris and Naipaul, between Naipaul and Lamming, between Lamming and Hearne, and Hearne and Reid, and so on. So it’s as if each writer is defining his outline, or her outline, through the language. And that language is claimed by the writer personally, and can’t just be identified as a polemical kind of language, or sentimental or pastoral kind of language, which is shared by everyone.

So that when Naipaul tries to evolve what is, who is Naipaul, or what does a Naipaul mean, in Trinidad, the same kind of experience is being defined by George Lamming in Barbados, or by Eddie Brathwaite in Jamaica or in Barbados. But that is being defined purely through the writer’s own sense of his own contours, and not in a steadied, historical viewpoint, and that’s what’s enriched it, you know.

PB: Yes, I do know what you mean. Your personal background is complex, as you tell us in Another Life. The first chapter in fact is entitled ‘The Divided Child’. You have black and white ancestry. You have the French and English linguistic inheritance. In
religious terms, your family was Methodist but you went to a Catholic school. You are one of twins, divided in a very literal sense that way; you have a very close relationship with your twin brother. You were also divided between careers of painting and poetry, and your first choice as somebody growing up was that you were going to be a painter. In that, you were going to be following your father who had died when you were a baby, and there must have been a lot of emotion that went into that feeling, that this was the role for you. What made you feel in the end that it was not going to be painting – it was going to be the word?

DW: I tried to write about that in Another Life, about a friend of mine who is a painter, and who can paint very badly sometimes like all painters. I think the difference must be that I always try to paint very well, and therefore very fine, and therefore very correctly – and that’s not really a painter. A painter is a man who takes enormous risks and does terrible flops, and then does some great stuff, suddenly and unexpectedly, in a way that a writer can do it too. I think maybe it may have been not just the painting, I don’t think. But the visual reality of painting is that it can represent people, it can represent landscape and so forth; but that in terms of language, there’s another dimension in language which is that of time, and a sense of history, and also language is more claimed in a way. You can’t own a painting. There’s one painting: anything else is a reproduction. So even if it is part of the cultural history of a place, there’s only one painting, only one Irises by Van Gogh, whereas a novel or a poem can be held, and shared. There’s not a single object. That may have been part of it too, especially through the theatre, that must have been what made me feel more and more that I wanted to write plays, because there’s a larger audience, and the fact that that would be a more quickly communicable way of reaching people too. Quite apart from the lack of the zest and confidence that a real painter requires.

PB: I was interested to hear you say about the risk-taking that an artist needs, because it seems to me that one of your strengths as an artist is actually your willingness to take risks. For instance, in an age dominated by short poems you have the audacity to write long poems making complicated allusions to the classics, and you do it so well that we actually want more. Do you ever think ‘I’d be a fool to try and do that’, artistically? Do you feel that there is no limit, that anything should be tried, and can be tried, and can be made a success of?

DW: I think if you live in centres, metropolitan centres – New York, London, Rome or wherever – I think you’re affected by fashion, you’re affected by what’s supposed
to be *passé*, what's supposed to be *avant-garde*. Well, luckily, I'm way beyond that, the reach of any of that. Take rhyme, for instance, or what people call 'formal poetry' – as if there's any other thing but formal poetry – so that you don't feel bound or constricted by what's supposed to be the language of the epoch – twenty years ago it was T.S. Eliot, well this time it's somebody else, do you know...? That sense of time simply because of distance, and also because of a rejection of the convention of historical judgement, I think, has made me feel that I can just write the way I want to write.

PB: You talk a lot nowadays about your craft and the music of verse. Is it the music that makes the difference between prose and poetry?

DW: Absolutely, yes. I think it's the memory. How many passages of prose do we want to put down and learn by heart? What the Greeks said is absolutely true, that memory is the mother of poetry.

PB: You have the ability to make words be the thing they describe with a translucent rightness. For instance, describing a waterfall in your volume called *Midsummer* you write 'we startle a place / where a waterfall crashes down rocks. Abounding grace!' and it seems to me that the sound of that is just so perfect, it actually is the waterfall – you have caught the movement, the sound, in those words.

DW: I used the word 'lace' before, so I just switched it, and I hope 'lace' is inside of 'grace' as well.

PB: So that is inherent in it?

DW: Yes.

PB: Although it happens in time, you have an echoing effect which goes through. In what sense does the linear time of poetry affect its form?

DW: Well, I think the older I get the more lucky I sound, because I felt that when I was very young, I didn't have that kind of idea of, you know – if I had an anthology, I could go back and forth in an anthology without feeling the sense of the period, saying, if I'm enjoying a couple of lines of Dryden, and I switch to Shelley, and go down to Skelton, I'm not thinking as a poet somewhere in the Caribbean 'Oh, I'm now in another age.' The dust isn't on the language. The enjoyment is there, and I think the luck of that was knowing that poetry was its own element in terms of time, and was not manifested through epochs, and schools, and changes of style, and so on. I think that has made me look stubborn or dated or whatever, but it doesn't bother me, you know.
PB: Some people would say that one has to move on, that the form of the past age belongs in that, and that one has to innovate always as an artist. Is there a sense in which that is true?

DW: No, that’s a pressure of cities, that’s a pressure of publicity, that’s a pressure of career. Actually the ideal thing would be, every poet would be anonymous and would write one poem. Every poet would agree with that, I think.

PB: Do you regard yourself as being in a way in a tradition? Some people would make connections, for instance, with Lowell, and say that the feeling of Lowell is in you. Reading your verse one has the sense of other writers frequently. You have a great gift to write a line which sounds like whoever it is that you are wishing to allude to.

DW: Well, I think I once called myself a sponge, and I don’t mind – not that I sponge off people – but something that I think can absorb whatever it likes. And if the holes in the sponge suck in whatever they want, you may call it parasitic if you want. But I think that I have never let myself feel original in that sense. I’ve never vaunted about originality. I don’t think originality is a quality in poetry. I think the sublime is a quality in poetry. I don’t think that trying to identify yourself, and being different, and trying to separate yourself from the ancestry of the language, I think that’s just a career move, really.

PB: Yes, it’s more important than that. In a very special sense you have put tiny St Lucia on the world map. In a recent poem you wrote with a gentle irony of bringing your island only ‘this thing I have called “The Light of the World”.’ For many of your readers, who’ve never been there, the landscape and social contours of St Lucia have become as vivid as, say, the sense of Russia one gets from reading Russian novels. In what way do you think that we need such landscapes of the mind? Are they specially important to us?

DW: I think they’re inevitable if the passion is there. I think the Russian thing you’re talking about comes from such a deep love of Russia that is there in the people who have suffered for Russia – whether it’s there in Akhmatova, or Brodsky or Mandelstam – that it transcends the regime. And I think that if I’ve done any of that it is the intensity that I feel, just the sacredness to me of being in St Lucia. It is a very holy thing for me simply because nature has made me feel that good, and you want to give something back to an experience that you’ve had, and to share – not even
necessarily to share, just to direct thanks to what you’ve been blessed with seeing or going through.

PB: When you write, what audience do you have in mind? Who are you writing for?

DW: I imagine, as I said, I’m writing for someone who is my alter ego, or my double – my doppelganger – and who will say, ‘Now what nonsense is this?’ You know, a sort of self-editor, who might say, ‘Well, that’s not bad for today.’ And ultimately I think one does write for another poet. The praise of other people in the craft is a very technical thing. They’re not interested in huge subjects. Someone might be interested in ‘That’s a nice phrase, you put that well,’ quite apart from the immensity of some undertaking. As Graves has said, you do write for people in the craft to say, ‘Well, that’s pretty good, that’s nice.’ That may sound petty, but it has to with the intensity with which you work on the craft, because you have shadows of other people in the room. If you have any kind of awe or humility, if you can induce the image of Ovid looking and laughing at the absurdity you’ve just written, that’s a sacred thing, I think.

PB: The pastoral tradition deals in ideals: the idealised natural landscape in eternal tension with a notion of the ideal city. As a young man growing up on your remote and beautiful small island you write what you dreamed of cities ‘devoted neither to power nor to money, but to art’ and you said that that was the true vision. In a sense, is that still true?

DW: I’ve always thought it possible. I’ve always thought that the Caribbean, political leaders in the Caribbean, may be vigorous, but at heart, they’re intellectually lazy. That the vision that is possible does not have to be based on the same kind of method of seeing a community, a city, a place. The neglect of the arts in the Caribbean, in some of the other islands, is criminal. The corruption of that is unforgivable. And yet in spite of that, people continue to write, under conditions that are really quite shocking. Trinidad doesn’t have a good museum. Theatre is a sort of nomadic situation in Trinidad, at the point where it had billions of dollars in reserve. And when that happens in a government, then of course you get bitter and furious and angry and so forth. And I think that the Caribbean – I don’t know if it’s beyond the point, now – but I think it can still be transformed in some kind of simplicity, and certainly by its own architecture and a sense of the scale of the Caribbean. I mean if you see two skyscrapers downtown in Port of Spain trying to look like the twin towers of New York City, there’s something wrong with that. And it’s true in many parts of the
world that that sort of standardized block of living is turning people into zombie. And you don’t want zombies in the Caribbean; there’s too much light and air for that. It seems to be the fate of cities to mechanize people into going into certain concrete blocks, coming out of them, and living by that rhythm. And if we start to do that in the Caribbean, then there’s something radically wrong in terms of what the ordinary vision of the politician should be. But what we get is the same model repeating itself. Unless that is changed, then we’re going to have a lot of delusion in the Caribbean about its potential power. The Caribbean is powerless. It can’t ever have important geopolitical power. And therefore what is the alternative? The alternative remains in shaping, thinking of politics as an art, the art of politics, political vision. Even if it was based on the idea of the Greek islands, antithetically – not antithetically, but as a sort of reverse side of the coin of the world – it could have happened, it might have happened. It can still happen.

**PB:** You’ve always been a blistering critic of things which you found hypocritical, inhumane, bad, wherever you find them, but I sense that when it’s a Caribbean target, this has evoked some bitterness. To some people in the Caribbean it’s almost as if it were disloyal to criticise your own to the rest of the world – in front of the rest of the world. I sometimes feel that the attitude within the Caribbean is that in a sense that you are distanced now. Do you feel that?

**DW:** No. Remember in the Caribbean, that basically, no matter how big they are, those towns and villages, you can walk up to someone and call him a damn fool. I mean really, personally, call him an idiot. It’s very hard to do that in large cities, immense cities, where the power is remote. The possibility of change includes your ability to have a serious row with a minister over a drink, or something. That is potential for change, and potential for making people realize that there is anger. I think the immediacy of that kind of anger, which is almost pure vitriolic personal abuse, is much better than, say, that distance of remotely criticising something through critical judgement or through sociological essays. I think the immediacy of that anger is part of the shaping of the possibility of telling somebody, ‘What you are doing is nonsense, it’s terrible.’ I mean, verbally one can do that. I think that the immediacy of the Caribbean novel, for instance, or the Caribbean poem, is that it is directly accusing the person of this kind of betrayal, or abuse, of power.

**PB:** And it is a reflection of the vigour of an oral tradition, the spoken word and what it can do, in the Caribbean.
DW: It makes the anger not remote. It doesn’t take the same historical line, of saying this is the consequence of that. If you can tell somebody directly there is absolutely no reason why you should do that, even if you say there are causes why. It’s possible that you can achieve a change or even a defiance or a hostility, that may be necessary, whereas I think in a large situation... And I think that these quarrels that are there in ancient Greece are village quarrels. The size of Athens was a village compared to what we have now. And therefore when they got up in the senates, or whatever they did, and quarrelled with each other, these were villagers quarrelling. They weren’t the idea of a metropolitan kind of argument, or certainly not a kind of universal viewpoint like the United Nations. The more constricted the passion within the arena that it’s in, the more possibility there is. I think that Periclean Athens was confined to a very small space, and therefore vision, which is part of quarrelling – the antithesis of anger in a sense – is more possible in scales of that kind.

PB: When you write in a poem such as ‘The Fortune Traveller’ of the expert, as it were – the person who moves from one country to another, from one continent to another – trying what he can, perhaps, to improve the world, but feeling helpless to do anything about the fundamental injustice of there being a Third World, in what sense do you feel that you as an artist have a particular responsibility to speak for that Third World?

DW: Not just the Third World. I think pity has probably gone from the world. It’s quite terrifying. I think there should have been nothing after the holocaust; nothing should have happened after the holocaust that was bad. One can say, well, that’s how nations behave. If there is nothing to have been learnt from it then it was OK. And although you can say that is a very naïve view of human conduct, that is not any more naïve than the Declaration of Independence or the Communist Manifesto. So either everybody’s naïve, or everybody’s lying. And I think that the power that a writer can summon should have that passion in it. We should never stop writing poems about the holocaust no matter how tired the theme may become. To make movies out of it, or to make a lot of money is another thing. But to continue the sense of anguish in the world, and of conscience, I think that that is going. And I think it’s being muted by television, by the media, by an excess, an inundating of the mind with all sorts of excuses, or examinations of it. But the simple thing that Blake described when he spoke about pity, I think that is diminishing, frankly, in the experience of the world. I think that even massacres can get into fashion. I’m not against the idea of benefits for
Ethiopia, benefits for someone, but in a sense that becomes part of celebrity, and what we remember is the celebrity of the event. I’m really not criticising them. I’m saying that what we absorb daily as human beings in the twentieth century is that we absorb massacres, we absorb earthquakes, we absorb genocide. And we absorb it through the media. It isn’t that one is more callous, but there is some kind of terrible indifference that goes on. And I think the indifference is based on the fact that the very thing that Blake and great poets have feared, has happened, that science has taken over, that we think of science as an excuse for a lack of prayer, in a sense, a lack of devotion, a lack of compassion, of pity. We invent a language that covers the idea of pity. We have an absolutely Orwellian condition now of language, in which it is no longer amusing to define something as a ‘clean bomb’ as being a contradiction, but I think it’s absorbed into the acceptance of the idea of such a thing. And I think the famine in Ethiopia, what we say about famine in Ethiopia is ‘My God, weren’t they starving last year? You mean they’re starving again this year? Does that mean another benefit?’ Do you know what I’m saying? That seeps into the twentieth century, daily, homme moyen – the average man or average woman – just takes as part of daily life, and that is terrifying.

PB: The title poem of The Arkansas Testament includes the disclaimer, ‘There are things that my craft cannot / wield, and one is power.’ Yet in a sense it does seem to me that you do acknowledge that art is perhaps the only chance we still have left to find that compassion.

DW: Yes, but we do have a problem about wielding it. You can’t get up and say ‘Let me run this place, I’m a poet,’ or something. Joseph has a great Nobel Prize speech...

PB: Joseph Brodsky?

DW: Yes... in which he says if tyrants read, really read, they wouldn’t do what they did, because too much would be revealed, too much would touch them. I think we read now the way tyrants read. We read for information. We don’t read to be touched. But what poetry does, and yes, that is the power of poetry – as Owen said, the power is in the pity – if that can touch, yes it has power, but in terms of wielding the power, that is something else.

PB: But it does have the power to alter the heads, which is where the bad decisions are made.
DW: Yes, I mean if we think of contemporary Russia we don't remember the last three presidents, or whatever they were. I mean I can't remember backwards – leaving out names – but I never forget Mandelstam.

PB: You've called poetry 'the bread that lasts when systems have decayed.' I think it's true to say that perhaps the love poetry of earlier ages often lasts the best. Is that something that you would like to be remembered as, a love poet?

DW: No... I don't want to be remembered. I just hope that I've written a couple of poems that someone might recite to somebody else. It would be wonderful if somebody, however many years from now, was taking his girlfriend out for a walk on the beach and said a couple of lines that I wrote... and that helped him. You know?

PB: You are increasingly acknowledged as a great poet of the English language. Robert Graves said that you handled English with a closer understanding of its inner magic than most, if not all, of your English-born contemporaries. The Nobel Prize-winner, your friend, Joseph Brodsky, has spoken of you as the best poet the English language has today. In your middle age you have an impressive body of work behind you. What do you hope lies ahead?

DW: Well I'll tell you, if I see my name, as I have seen recently, next to names that I revere, I really feel quite embarrassed. I feel I shouldn't be in that company. If I see my name next to Auden, I feel, 'Listen, you fake, somebody's going to find you out very soon, you know, so you'd better duck.' I don't really feel any sense of – I don't think anybody does, really, I don't think any poet does – I think Auden, anyone you want, doesn't feel achievement. It's not like... you become president, or something like that. You don't campaign, you're not offering votes, so the idea of the greatness of a poet is nothing a poet would accept in himself.

PB: But in terms of what you still want to write, do you have any long-held ambitions, anything that you've always wanted to get out so that other people could share them?

DW: Well, you constantly have ahead of you these deep things that are almost architecturally inaccessible. You have Dante in front of you, you have Shakespeare in front of you, you have Ovid in front of you, and Virgil, you know, and you're just like a fly approaching some sort of edifice. That's how you feel.

PB: Derek Walcott, thank you very much.

DW: Thank you.
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PB: Derek Walcott, it's quite a big idea to turn something as vast as Homer's Odyssey into a play. Whose idea was that?

DW: It certainly wasn't mine. I wouldn't have done it, but the young director of the RSC, Greg Doran, had been asked what was it he wanted to direct, and he said the Odyssey, and then he got in touch with me and asked me if I wanted to do it. Adrian Noble wrote me, and so did he, and I didn't want to do it because I didn't want to take on the idea of doing another - not a directly - Homeric thing like the book I'd just finished, but I thought that....I began to experiment with the idea of compressing some of the scenes into lines, and essentializing them, and then got excited about the shape of the poem, the stage poem, and it went pretty far down, and I showed them about fifteen pages, which they liked, and then I came over here and I worked with the workshop situation with more pages, and a shape for the thing, and then they said go ahead and now it's here.

PB: Of course, you and Homer go back a long way. It's many years now since you first looked at the Caribbean in terms of it being a possible parallel to the Aegean. As a Caribbean writer what draws you particularly to the ancient Greeks?

DW: Well, I think this is getting out of hand a little bit. I don't want to be stamped as someone who tries to adapt the Aegean, you know, or whatever, to the Caribbean, because - I try to say that in the book too. But the obvious thing is the strongest thing, and the obvious thing is that this is an archipelago - the Caribbean is an archipelago - the whole presence of the sea, the variety of the islands, that any departure from an island means a return to it, and this is the same thing in the Odyssey, you are leaving an island to come back to it, and that simple rhythm is what is there in the Odyssey - I mean, things are a little more varied than that, but I think basically it is the reality of the sea, which is really a very huge sea, the Caribbean - it's bigger than the Aegean - and so the sense of distance is even longer than it is in the Aegean, I think, but that
whole idea of, what is it, do you see...I think there's a reality about cultures, and whatever the geographic origins of a culture may be...I think it's a sort of marine culture, it's an island culture, in Greece, and it's the same thing in the Caribbean - it is an island culture. I'd rather not think as if it were deriving from but were parallel to it - in other words, it's not that you're trying to bring the Caribbean up to the level, the cultural level of Greece, or something like that, you know, it is that the shape, the geographic reality of the Caribbean, is the cultural reality of the Caribbean, and the cultural reality of Greece is the geographic reality of the Aegean, the archipelago, and that's what I find, it's there. I mean, the Caribbean islands existed at the same time; they didn't decide to imitate the Aegean, they were there at the same time, you know.

PB: In your great epic poem Omeros you remoulded Homer's stories and heroes into a distinctively Caribbean shape. Does this play, the Odyssey, make a similar transformation of the Homer, or is it closer to the Greek in a sense?

DW: Well, at the end if I don't.... I don't like being fidgety, but I think the book Omeros is not an imitation or remodelling of the Odyssey. The point about the book, which you know, is that people are given names in the book, Achille, and Helen, and Philoctete, and so on – they don't know who they are, they don't know what their names mean, and part of that is to discover that these names are not exactly, first of all, meaningless in terms of their true names, and then they become real for them because they have the qualities of what's been ascribed to them, like an Achillean fisherman could look like Achilles who is a splendid figure (and I'm not just transferring white to black or marble to ebony – that's desperate!) so it isn't a matter of trying to make a parallel in the same way that Ulysses by Joyce is a parallel, a template, of a previous book - I find no point in doing that - but when I had the responsibility of taking the poem by Homer and putting it on stage then what I wanted to do, definitely, which I did not do and had no intention of doing in Omeros, was to take the story the way he told it and to try to contain it – which is a huge arc of a story – starting as much as I could with the sequence of events, but leaving things out if you couldn't always put on....like you couldn't put on a [ ] with Calypso – how do you compress that? and so on, and the Oxen of the Sun and various other incidents that you just couldn't do in the given time that was there – but to follow the narrative line of the story, which I've done as much as I could....the one thing I thought I....I'm not talking about things like what does the Cyclops mean in a particular context, or what do the Lotus Eaters mean in a contemporary context, but in the shape of the
book. Because it's an epic and not a dramatic poem it doesn't have to have a particular symmetry; it has a story-telling symmetry like a departure and a return, but it doesn't have to have, it does not have, a theatrical symmetry, because incidents can follow any way they want to, more like a novel than a play, so that you can progress from one episode to the other without any previous connection, losing characters progressively. Now in a play, if you work on a character in Act 1, you presume that that character will return somehow in Act 2, or the theme of the character will be returned to. But that doesn't happen in an epic poem, because you can have one section dealing with Menelaus and Nestor - and there's no return to that; and so you progress from one section, one story, to another. And that's what the Odyssey is, from one story to the other. In a play you have to have a symmetry in terms of time, certainly, and certainly in terms of what kind of arc of a definition you have, and the concentration of keeping the arc of the story in the narrative form meant that some things are returned, so that in the second act what I do is to try and see that the people who return domestically are the equivalent of people who were there in the stories that are there, so that there is a theme of a recurrence, a déjà vu thing that happens in the stories.

PB: Homer is often thought of as a specifically European writer - you often see him referred to as the first 'European' writer - but one of the things you've done, I feel, is alert us to the influence of Africa on ancient Greece: the old nurse is Egyptian, for instance, and you have an Egyptian praise singer with a role rather like that of the Greek chorus, blind Billy Blue. How did his character, a kind of calypsonian, evolve?

DW: I don't think there's any difference in the role of Homer - who was basically pre-TV, a guy who was the evening's entertainment, and who probably was singing while people were having dinner, and had to be loud and clear, maybe be ignored while he was singing these stories - and the emblematic figure of Homer as an itinerant person, poet, moving around the islands and to different cities, singing these songs that he picked up and making them one big epic poem (that's a nice romantic view: I don't think it's always true because a lot of it feels written to me, some of it). Anyway, so here's the emblematic idea of Homer and that story-teller, or that singer, who contains the history of the race: it's an oral history of the race that's being set, by this legend or whether it's fact. And the same thing is embodied emotionally, certainly, and sometimes in stories, even if it's a story like 'Frankie and Johnny' or being in jail, that contains the experience that is sung by the poet who is... most blues
musicians of that kind for some reason are blind or wear dark glasses or something, maybe because they've had a hard night out, or whatever, or may be blind: there've been great blind blues singers; and the same thing is true of the calypsonian, who is untouchable as a figure, who contains the history of the race, who is a vessel for that. And I see absolutely no difference; and since there's no equivalent now in Greece, I mean singing, and since there's no equivalent in any of the cities that we live in, and since it's not just a device, then the only reality is if this person is coming out of a context in which validly and not just theatrically that that person is acceptable as a figure. Because who is there you can say in England who would be a Homeric singer of songs: a rock star or an opera singer, who? Because the whole tribal sense has gone. In these cities you have no tribal sense. And the tribal sense is unified in the black man, in the blues singer, and it's true in other cultures, where that is more Homeric than having somebody with a harp up there, and a beard, you know, plucking away at a lyre.

PB: Odysseus the hero doesn't fit the popular image of the standard Greek hero. He's a little guy who gets through all his travails and trials by using his wits. Hasn't he got something in common with Anansi?

DW: Yes, very much. I said somewhere else that I think the elusiveness, certainly in terms of...well, elusive in the sense that he is being hounded by Poseidon, he is escaping, he has to go through certain labours, and stuff like that – his thing is, certainly in the Cyclops scene, for instance, his wit, his evasiveness: the sort of zoological equivalent would be like a bear chasing a mouse or something, or some huge animal trying to catch another small one, and how does that animal evade, you know. Now if you transfer that into, say, a Cyclops and a thing, and that two animals, and the small one or monkey or anything you want, Anansi, a spider, whatever you want – it's the same thing. It's like the Tiger and Anansi, or whatever, it's the same. All these stories come out of one imagination. There's only one imagination, and the folk imagination, whatever it says about how there was a world created, there's always one figure in the folk imagination who is kind of a protestant figure, an elusive figure, who is not part of the cosmology and upsets the hierarchy somehow, either by defiance, or by wit or by solving challenges. Like most of the West Indian jokes, which are based on African stories, are somebody always challenging Tiger, always making an idiot out of Tiger, and if you – not an idiot but even challenging Tiger. And in a sense the Tiger represents a kind of deity. And this person who is sceptical
and smart and avoids the power of the Tiger is really a kind of a protest against some – or query or scepticism – but omniscience or power. And that's what he represents, I think.

PB: It is a tremendous narrative, the great sweep of that twenty-year journey with all the marvellous encounters ending in an emotional homecoming. Do you see it as one of the great love stories of the world?

DW: The amount of sexuality in it – without trying to be Jungian or something – is very true. We were talking about it last night to some of the actresses - that I think Penelope is all the women that he meets, that he's never really left home. That Penelope is Circe, she is Calypso, she's Minerva/Athena, she's all these women. And I think the deeper you think about the book, it is that he really hasn't gone anywhere, that whatever he's done it comes back in a complete circle. He's coming back home, in the same way that Joyce did with Molly Bloom. That, you know, Bloom leaves in the morning and comes back to a very fertile embodiment that Molly Bloom is, if you want to call her the earth mother, or earth or mother, or whatever it is: it's like going back to a womb in a sense. So that when Ulysses – and Odysseus – when he comes back home to his wife, he has been through four or five different kinds of women, including Nausicaa, maybe in Penelope's innocence, Circe in her, you know, some kind of sexual witchery, Calypso, and so on. And that one woman – the actress said last night, it would be great if one woman played all those roles, because she does embody all these things and she's very...The usual figure of Penelope that people think of is some sort of profile of a woman, you know, stitching something for twenty years, with absolutely no temptations – all of that stuff. But that's not what's there in the book. She's very smart – she's smarter than Odysseus, and many times.

PB: Yes, the reunions aren't straightforward, are they. He has to get rid of all his wife's suitors – there's a hundred of them – and in Homer not only are they all slaughtered but their concubines are hanged too. Now you chose to handle that ending differently. Can you say why?

DW: Well I think it's unbearable to think of....I don't think you can have a happy ending in which some guy hangs a lot of women who are mates, you know what I mean? I don't think it's a compromise: I wondered when I was doing it if I was sort of giving King Lear a happy ending, like this other guy did once, you know. But it's just.... it's the culture: the punishment, the severity of ruining hospitality, and disobedience and stuff, that the punishment happens. It's one thing to write it in a
poem; it's another thing to enact it. Because even if, you know, you dismissively say 'And then he hanged the women', and then you proceed to a serene ending, you have to show that on stage, that the women are hanged so there is a.... We went through a thing about that, the director and I, whether he should, yes, he should hang the girl, for incidence, and we took one girl rather than a number of women. But it's just an unendurable image of him coming home.... and so I changed it, into – yes, he's determined to hang her, as she should be punished, and then Penelope defies this, because.... it's just I was trying to, not make it feminist, but just to say that this is, the ideas of justice – and the ideas of justice that are there in Homer, you know, whatever they are, in terms of hospitality, or insolence, or whatever they are – are just not tenable now because.... you just can't write that off, and say 'Then they're hanged'.

PB: You've got a lot of very good ideas in the play, I think, like the way you present the one-eyed monster, the Cyclops, as a repulsive dictator in a world where, if I've got the line right, 'The state is a nightmare and freedom is its dream'. Did you have a particular political model in mind when you were writing that? Had recent events sort of sparked off that portrayal?

DW: Well I think the story – I don't think it's been clarified sufficiently in this production, but that's what I make clear in the text – is the time of the colonels of Greece. Greece is the foundation of western democracy, and the time of the colonels (this is the city of...this is the time, you know, Athenian democracy, and it's Periclean, whatever) that the Greece I'm talking about was, is, a Greece in which there is a tyranny. And then the irony is that the foundation of democracy does turn into a tyranny, so that I'm thinking of.... The thing that people forget is, everybody thinks, Oh it's all over: Russia, the Communist thing, and whatever's happening is gone, it's not going to come back. But tyranny comes back in different forms, right? So it's not passé to think of what used to be called...whatever it was, so that this recurrent figure of a dictator who in the presentation is as much a dictator, or the kind of dictator as much as he is in, say, a Marquez book, you know, or it's Latin American, or a George Grosz-looking type dictator, and that's where it's set. So that the whole idea of the Cyclops's power and this person, this little man, defying the power, it's really a poem about rebellion.

PB: And it is of course in verse. But it has a strong colloquial flavour too and several of the characters use Caribbean English, for instance. Was it important to you to get in that mix of language?
DW: No, it's not a mix. I start off as being Caribbean, 'A', so that that's where it starts. But to make it colourful, or to make it exotic, or to make it Caribbean, or do it in dialect, is to get very nationalistic and I'm not interested in that, because that's like trying to claim Homer in the same way that the British try to claim Homer. The English think Homer belongs to them and that... variations on the theme – even in the Greek translation, the attitude will be, yes, basically it's an English poem that has been translated into Greek – you know? So that, the whole idea of the context of the poem is Greek, and I find the attraction the same. I mean, Homer could only have written in a demotic language at the time that he was writing. It could not have been written in one of those... I mean, it was a dialect, he had to be writing in a dialect, even from the scale of what he's... what we would call a dialect because of its regionality and concentration, right, just from the population only, it would have to be. So that tonally, you can't tell the tone of Homer, but one thing you know, reading it, is that it's extremely funny, it is a humorous book and Joyce knew that, and that Joyce made his novel a comic novel because that is the tone of Homer – a very different tone from, say, the Greek tragedians, or the Shakespearian idea, even, of comedy – so that the book is funny. It's funny, but it's funny in – I'm not going to say it's funny in an ironic way – I think the élan and the vigour of the book is humorous, that it comes out of this story in which a man goes through a hell of a bad time, but the essential drive is humour; so that, to me, is immediate.

PB: Now that range of style and language is something Shakespeare is famous for, and in many ways the subject matter is reminiscent of Shakespeare's late plays, such as Pericles or The Winter's Tale. Did you feel you had him looking over your shoulder when you began this daunting task of dramatizing such a vast work - and to be staged in his birthplace?

DW: Absolutely, because what is the undertaking? The undertaking is: OK, here is one of the great poems of the world; you're now going to take it and you're going to put it on stage (I don't think it's been done before, certainly not in English in that shape), you're going to do it in verse because that's what the poem deserves (out of respect, it's written in verse, there's no point putting it into prose), and how do you undertake that? What is good about that is that the language, the tone you get from the language of Homer is in itself colloquial, either of a high colloquiality, or sometimes even – there are a lot of bad puns in Homer, for instance – so that you're starting from something that is already energized by a kind of vulgarity, not by a kind of
pomposity. And by vulgarity I mean a theatrical thing: basically all storytelling is vulgar by its nature, in the sense that it appeals to the mob, or one person listening – it's not a remote language, removed in language. So that the challenge of doing that was great. But also to accept the fact that the real Homeric language now is not English but American, and that the inflexion of language is not English-accented but American-accented, and what we really are speaking, however defensively the English may feel about it, is we are all speaking American. It comes into the language and there is nothing closer to ... as you can see in Hemingway, for instance. Why is Hemingway the closest writer we have in prose to Homer? – because he's an American. He has that vitality and vigour of dialect tone, of colloquial immediacy, that is not there in the English but is there in the Greek, I think.

PB: Now Faber & Faber are going to publish the play in September, I believe, and the Royal Shakespeare Company production will probably transfer to London next year, but is it a play that you would like to put on in the Caribbean?

DW: I would love to do it on a beach, absolutely, and I'd hire a lot of people and have them charging through the surf. Oh yeah, definitely, it would be great.

PB: Derek Walcott, thank you very much.

DW: Thank you.
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