



A Review of Making the DEC Recommended Practices “Come to Life”

Journal:	<i>Tizard Learning Disability Review</i>
Manuscript ID	TLDR-05-2021-0012
Manuscript Type:	Book Review

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Book Review

1
2
3
4 Deris, A. R., & DiCarlo, C. F. (2021). *Making the DEC recommended practices “come*
5
6
7 *to life”*: Using case method of instruction in early childhood special education. Charles C.
8
9
10 Thomas. Paper (ISBN) 978-0-398-09346-4. Ebook (ISBN) 978-0-398-09347-1
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



A Review of Making the DEC Recommended Practices “Come to Life”

Deris and Dicarolo (2021), present a resource for people working with students who have a diagnosis of developmental delay or developmental disability or who may go on to receive one. Their book is centred around the guidelines on Recommended Practices (RPs) provided by the Division of Early Childhood of the Council of Exceptional Children (Division for Early Childhood, 2014). Its primary aim is to act as a training resource for higher-education students who are training to enter the field of early education, or educators already working in the field who are pursuing continuous professional development.

The authors provide fifty-five case studies that cover seven of the eight domains of RPs that are relevant to practitioners, namely (a) assessment, (b) environment, (c) family, (d) instruction, (e) interaction, (f) teaming and collaboration, and (g) transition. The only domain not covered in the book is leadership, as that domain is not aimed at practitioners but rather educational leaders such as headteachers or local authorities (Division for Early Childhood, 2014).

The authors use a systematic approach to preparing their case studies grounded in Case Method of Instruction (CMI; Atkinson et al., 2000; Snyder & McWilliam, 2003). CMI is an instructional design strategy promoting reflective thinking, problem-solving, and decision-

Book Review

3

1
2
3 making (Snyder and McWilliam, 2003). As a result, the case studies are carefully designed to
4
5
6 resemble real-life scenarios. That way, they invite the reader to apply their understanding of
7
8
9 the RPs to and to to come to a conclusion about each of them. What is more, the authors
10
11 provide a mixture of unresolved and resolved case studies. The unresolved case studies
12
13 provide information on the situation without going into how the situation was resolved. In
14
15
16 contrast, the resolved case studies include information on how the situation was dealt with. In
17
18
19 That way, the reader is expected to not only suggest what would be the best way to deal with
20
21
22 the situation following the RPs but also reflect on whether any suggested resolutions were
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 appropriate or not.
30
31

32
33
34 This book is an excellent resource for designing training that brings the students in
35
36
37 contact with best-practice recommendations while simulating the clinical environment. It
38
39
40 provides the necessary structure to guide the students through each case study by having
41
42
43 dedicated questions at the end of each one and it provides opportunities both for independent
44
45
46
47 study as well as group work.
48
49

50
51 It is also another step towards improving the support being provided to families and
52
53
54 children who have or may go on to have a diagnosis of developmental disabilities or delay.
55
56

57 Education is moving towards specific standards in terms of the curricula used, the educational
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 aims set (Porter *et al.*, 2011), and the support practitioners provide (Nelson and Campbell,
5
6
7 2017). Such a move is necessary if we are to increase the educational process' transparency
8
9
10 while improving the fidelity of the support we provide to the families and the outcomes we
11
12
13 produce for the children (William McKenna and Parenti, 2017). That move towards increased
14
15
16 accountability could be one of the cornerstones of the educational sector's development and it
17
18
19 would be applicable to all grade levels and types of schooling (e.g., mainstream- and special
20
21
22 education (Campbell *et al.*, 2017; Nelson and Campbell, 2017).
23
24
25

26
27 Examining the RPs, it is evident that there are best-practice recommendations that
28
29
30 promote a holistic approach to education. The RPs take into account all aspects of the
31
32
33 educational experience and provide much-needed guidance to professionals. They go beyond
34
35
36 the focus on evidence and integrate considerations around diversity and values. Therefore,
37
38
39 these guidelines are in line with recent developments in education and the move from being
40
41
42 evidence-based to being evidence-informed, integrating best practice but also tailoring its
43
44
45 application to the unique nature of the educational process (Brown and Zhang, 2016). In line
46
47
48 with the notion of evidence-informed education, the book offers educators opportunities to
49
50
51 practice combining evidence-based strategies with their professional judgment; a process that
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Book Review

5

educators will hopefully be expected to engage in more in the future, despite the existing barriers (Brown and Zhang, 2016).

This book can be a great starting point for professionals. It offers them the opportunity to see the breadth of different situations they might find themselves in during their career. It goes beyond the typical case study that summarises a problem with a reasonably evident dilemma and resolution. The information provided in each case study is broad and, in some cases, complex. Therefore, the reader is placed in a position where they need to combine the information given and refer to the RPs to guide their decision-making process. Moreover, the book is set out in a way that expects more from the instructor as well. Specifically, the authors highlight that the instructor should be well-prepared to guide the students through each case study by being familiar with it and well-versed in the relevant RPs (Deris and Dicarolo, 2021). This resource is not only expecting students to engage with the case study, it also sets expectations about the instructor's contribution to the process. In other words, it guides the instructor from being a passive collaborator to actively switching between the role of the teacher and the facilitator.

One limitation of the book is the lack of a suggested resolution to each case study or commentary about the resolved case studies.. Including this additional guidance could be

Book Review

6

1
2
3 particularly helpful on a practical level, especially for trainers who might need an extra layer
4
5
6
7 of support.
8
9

10 Despite this limitation, the book is a great resource and should be integrated into
11
12
13 courses related to education, psychology, behaviour analysis, and related disciplines. The RPs
14
15
16 provided by the Council of Exceptional Children (Division for Early Childhood, 2014) offer
17
18
19 information that should be relevant to various professionals working with young children,
20
21
22 and this book would allow those professionals to access them in a way that is both
23
24
25 meaningful and accessible. That benefit of accessibility would be particularly relevant for
26
27
28 higher-education institutions that offer full-time courses or distance-learning courses, which
29
30
31 are not always able to offer hands-on training to their students.
32
33
34
35
36

37 In conclusion, Deris and Dicarolo (2021) have succeeded in preparing an educational
38
39
40 tool that should help professionals working in the broader area of early intervention come in
41
42
43 contact with real-life scenarios that demonstrate how complex the educational process can be
44
45
46 at times.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

Atkinson, R.K., Derry, S.J., Renkl, A. and Wortham, D. (2000), "Learning from examples:

Instructional principles from the worked examples research", *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 70 No. 2, pp. 181–214.

Brown, C. and Zhang, D. (2016), "Is engaging in evidence-informed practice in education

rational? What accounts for discrepancies in teachers' attitudes towards evidence use

and actual instances of evidence use in schools?", *British Educational Research Journal*,

Vol. 42 No. 5, pp. 780–801.

Campbell, C., Pollock, K., Briscoe, P., Carr-Harris, S. and Tuters, S. (2017), "Developing a

knowledge network for applied education research to mobilise evidence in and for

educational practice", *Educational Research*, Vol. 59 No. 2, pp. 209–227.

Deris, A.R. and Dicarolo, C.F. (2021), *Making the Recommended Practices "Come to Life"*.

Using Case Method of Instruction in Early Childhood Special Education, Charles C

Thomas, Springfield.

Division for Early Childhood. (2014), *DEC Recommended Practices in Early*

Intervention/Early Childhood Special Education., available at: <http://www.dec->

[sped.org/recommendedpractices](http://www.dec-sped.org/recommendedpractices).

Book Review

8

Nelson, J. and Campbell, C. (2017), "Evidence-informed practice in education: meanings and applications", *Educational Research*, Routledge, Vol. 59 No. 2, pp. 127–135.

Porter, A., McMaken, J., Hwang, J. and Yang, R. (2011), "Common Core Standards: The New U.S. Intended Curriculum", *Educational Researcher*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 103–116.

Snyder, P. and McWilliam, P.J. (2003), "Using Case Method of Instruction Effectively in Early Intervention Personnel Preparation", *Infants & Young Children*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 284–295.

William McKenna, J. and Parenti, M. (2017), "Fidelity Assessment to Improve Teacher Instruction and School Decision Making", *Journal of Applied School Psychology*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 331–346.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Athanasios Vostanis¹

¹Tizard Centre, University of Kent, Cornwallis North East, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF,

United Kingdom

Author Note:

Athanasios Vostanis, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3065-4545>

We have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Correspondence for this article should be addressed to Athanasios (Thanos) Vostanis. Tizard

Centre, Cornwallis North East, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NF. Email:

thanos.vostanis@outlook.com