

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Bilgin, Ayten and Wolke, Dieter (2021) Bed-Sharing in the First 6 Months: Associations with Infant-Mother Attachment, Infant Attention, Maternal Bonding, and Sensitivity at 18 Months. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics* . ISSN 0196-206X.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0000000000000966>

Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/89037/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

Abstract

Objective: To investigate whether bed-sharing during the first 6 months of life is associated with infant's attachment and behavioral outcomes and mother's bonding and sensitive parenting at 18 months of age.

Methods: The sample with complete longitudinal data comprised 178 infants and their caretakers. Bed-sharing was assessed with maternal report at term, 3, 6 and 18 months. Infant attachment was measured at 18 months using the strange situation procedure. Infant behavioral outcomes (i.e., poor attention/hyperactivity and task persistence) were assessed with two observational measures at 18 months. Maternal sensitivity was observed at 3 and 18 months and mothers reported on bonding to their infant at term, 3 and 18 months.

Results: Bed-sharing was common at term (41.2%), which decreased at 3 months (22.6%) followed by a slight increase at 6 (27.5%) and 18 months of age (31.3%). No associations between bed-sharing during the first 6 months and infant-mother attachment and infant behavioral outcomes at 18 months were found. Similarly, there were no associations between bed-sharing during the first 6 months and maternal bonding and sensitivity at consequent assessment points (i.e., 3 and 18 months).

Conclusions: Bed-sharing during the first 6 months is not associated with positive or negative outcomes about infant-mother attachment, infant behavior, maternal bonding or sensitive parenting.

Introduction

Whether parents should share a bed with their infants is a topic hotly debated by researchers and parents¹. Some have argued strongly against bed sharing due to the potential harmful outcomes for the infant, while others have endorsed bed-sharing based on the potential benefits for the infant and the mother^{2,3}.

Opponents of bed-sharing have voiced concern regarding its safety. There is consistent evidence that the risk of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) is increased when parents sleep in the same bed with their infant even in the absence of hazardous situations⁴. The risk of bed-sharing for SIDS is further increased when parents consume alcohol, take drugs, smoke or sleep on a soft surface (i.e., soft mattress, sofa)⁴⁻⁶. One report estimates that up to 90% of SIDS could have been avoided if parents had not shared a bed with their infants when their infant was less than three months of age⁴. Given this research evidence, the American Academy of Pediatrics advises against bed-sharing before 6 months of age and recommends that infants should sleep in their own crib in the same room with their parents for at least the first 6 months but preferably a year³.

Despite the warnings, bed-sharing has been endorsed in some parenting books and websites suggesting that it was the norm traditionally and it is beneficial for both the mother and the infant as long as practiced safely.^{2,7,8} Particularly, it has been endorsed by supporters of *'Attachment Parenting'*, which is a popular Western parenting philosophy promoting close contact between the mother and infant with an aim to improve infant attachment and behavioral development^{9,10}. Consequently, it has been reported that an increasing number of parents either choose to share a bed or take their infant into bed once awoken.¹¹⁻¹³ Mothers report several reasons to bed-share such as convenience, facilitation of breastfeeding (i.e., longer durations of breastfeeding),^{14,15} better infant-mother attachment, maternal bonding (i.e., mother's attachment feelings towards her infant), and sensitive caregiving^{2,7,16}. Thus,

1 some mothers choose bed-sharing for its potential benefits¹⁷. However, many parents may
2 share a bed with their infants not because they planned to but as a reaction to the sleeping
3 difficulties of their infants such as frequent night waking and long settling durations^{18,19}.
4 Different from intentional bed-sharers, these mothers report increased marital conflict and
5 fatigue^{20,21}. Thus, it is important to consider the role of infant sleeping difficulties in
6 understanding the outcomes of bed-sharing.

7 The strong and opposing opinions by parents and experts as well as the reactive use of
8 bed-sharing make it impossible to conduct a randomized controlled trial on the effects of bed
9 sharing. However, there is even a dearth of longitudinal observation studies that have
10 investigated whether bed-sharing has any associations with infant subsequent relational (i.e.,
11 attachment) or behavioral development (i.e., poor attention/hyperactivity and task
12 persistence) as well as maternal bonding and sensitive parenting²¹. To this point, only one
13 previous study investigated the link between bed-sharing and infant-mother attachment¹¹.
14 This Dutch study investigated bed-sharing at 2 months and infant-mother attachment was
15 assessed at 14 months in 550 mother-infant dyads. Those who never shared a bed with their
16 parents were more likely to form an insecure attachment, in particular resistant attachment, in
17 comparison to those who had any bed-sharing with their parents (OR= 1.74, 95% CI: 1.10-
18 2.76).

19 Similarly, little apart from anecdotal evidence is available about the outcomes of bed-
20 sharing on maternal parenting behavior and bonding. The link between bed-sharing and
21 maternal bonding was investigated by only one cross-sectional study when the infants were
22 11 weeks old²². Interestingly, this study revealed that bed-sharing was associated with
23 impaired maternal bonding, where mothers reported being annoyed or irritated by their baby
24 more often in comparison to those who did not share a bed with their infant. The link
25 between bed-sharing and parenting quality was also assessed by a further study²³ that found

1 that mother's supportiveness at 1 year of age was not associated with bed-sharing from 1 to 3
2 years of age. The infant-related behavioral outcomes of bed-sharing such as poor
3 attention/hyperactivity and low task persistence have only been investigated again by a single
4 study that found no significant associations between bed-sharing from 1-3 years of age and
5 hyperactivity at 5 years of age.²³ In summary, there is a lack of longitudinal investigations
6 that have assessed the effects of bed-sharing on infant-mother attachment, behavioral
7 development and on mother's parenting and bonding within the same study.

8 The current study has two aims. First, to investigate whether parental bed-sharing
9 during the first 6 months of life is related to infant attachment and behavior development
10 such as poor attention/hyperactivity and task persistence at 18 months. Second, to investigate
11 if parental bed-sharing during the first 6 months of life is associated with maternal bonding
12 and observed maternal sensitivity at subsequent assessment points (i.e., 3 and 18 months).

13 **Methods**

14 **Participants**

15
16 Caretakers of 112 very preterm/very low birth weight (VP/VLBW; <1500g or <32
17 weeks of gestation) in three Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU) in southeast England were
18 approached during an 18-month period^{24,25}. Seventy-six caretakers of 90 VP/VLBW infants
19 participated at the first assessment point at term and 73 participated throughout the whole
20 study period. Recruitment of full-term infants (FT; 37-42 weeks gestation) were conducted in
21 the postnatal wards of the same hospitals within 48 hours of birth. One hundred fifteen FT
22 infants, matched for annual income, sex and multiple birth, and their caretakers (N= 98)
23 participated at the first assessment point at term and 105 took part throughout the study (See
24 Supplemental File 1 for a full description of the recruitment process). Both VP/VLBW and
25 FT infants were included to allow investigation for whether there are differences in parenting
26 (e.g. sharing a bed) and subsequent behaviour or attachment between the two groups²⁶⁻²⁸.

1 Ethical approval was received from the Ethics committee of the Psychology Department at
2 the [university-University of Hertfordshire](#) and from the ethics committee at all hospitals.

3 Informed consent was obtained from all mothers. VP/VLBW and FT samples were combined
4 to allow for sufficient statistical power while controlling for any effect of preterm birth in all
5 analyses. The infants and their mothers were assessed longitudinally at term (i.e., at birth for
6 the FT group and at the corrected age of term for the VP/VLBW group), 3, 6, and 18 months.

7 **Measures**

8 **Bed-sharing:** Mothers were asked to report on the frequency of bed-sharing with the
9 following question at term, 3, 6 and 18 months: ‘How often does your baby sleep in your bed
10 at night?’ The answers were as follows: Never, once a month or less, 1-4 times a month, 2-3
11 times per week and 4 times a week or more. In line with previous studies^{11,23}, we created a
12 dichotomous variable to measure bed-sharing at term, 3 and 6 months and 18 months: 0=
13 solitary sleeping (never bed-sharing); 1= bed-sharing (once a month or less to 4 times a week
14 or more).

15 **Mother-Infant Attachment:** Attachment type was assessed at 18 months with the strange
16 situation procedure (SSP), a widely used and well-validated laboratory procedure to measure
17 the quality of attachment²⁹. [The experimenters were trained by Dr. Elizabeth Carlson and all
18 tapes were sent and coded at the Institute of Child Development, University of Minnesota.](#)

19 The coders were blind to child and family characteristics and infant history. A third (32%) of
20 the tapes were randomly selected for inter-rater reliability assessment, which was found to be
21 acceptable ($\kappa = 0.76$). A categorical variable was created to measure attachment insecurity:
22 0=secure versus 1=insecure (insecure-avoidant and insecure-resistant). Attachment
23 disorganization scores were coded according to Main and Solomon’s (1990) continuous scale
24 and a categorical variable was created: 0= organized versus (<5) 1= disorganized (≥ 6).

1 **Infant Behavioral Outcomes:** Infant behavior was assessed with two different observational
2 measures. The first assessment was the Play Observation Scheme and Emotion Rating
3 (POSER) at 18 Months³⁰. POSER is an observational measure to rate maternal and infant
4 behaviors, which includes play with a toy and free play, each lasting 2.5 minutes. Scales in
5 both sessions were rated by two independent researchers who were blind to child characteristics.
6 Each episode was viewed by the researchers a minimum of three times, focusing firstly on
7 maternal behaviors, followed by infant behaviors and mother-infant joint behaviors. Overall, the
8 coding procedure took approximately half an hour per infant-mother dyad. Infant behaviors were
9 rated using 9-point Likert scales (1= very low, 9 = very high). Activity, intensity and
10 persistence/attentiveness were combined to a scale of poor attention/hyperactivity²⁵. Internal
11 consistency was found to be moderate ($\alpha = 0.71$) and the inter-rater reliability was found to
12 be $\kappa = 0.90$.

13 The second assessment is the Tester's Rating of Infant Behaviour (TRIB) completed by a
14 trained examiner during the Bayley Scales assessment^{31,32} at 18 months. Observations lasted
15 on average 45 minutes and 20% of the assessments were videotaped and rated by
16 independent examiners for reliability assessment. Behaviors were rated on a nine-point Likert
17 scale ranging from 1=very low to 9=very high. The six rating scales attentiveness,
18 competence, cooperativeness, robustness/endurance, low demandingness and difficultness
19 were combined to an overall scale of task persistence²⁵ with high internal consistency ($\alpha =$
20 0.95) and $\kappa = 0.93$ inter-rater reliability.

21 **Maternal Bonding:** Mothers were asked to report on their feelings about their infant with the
22 following question at term, 3 and 18 months: 'How close/attached do you feel to your baby at
23 the moment?' The answers were as follows: Not at all attached, a little attached, moderately
24 attached, attached and very closely attached.

1 **Maternal Sensitivity:** At 3 months of age, maternal sensitivity was measured with the
2 Mother-Infant Structured Play Assessment (MISPA)^{33,34} during 2 minutes of play with a toy
3 and 2 minutes of free play. Maternal sensitivity was coded using a 5-point scale of maternal
4 positive emotion expression, sensitivity, and stimulation adapted from 3 interaction coding
5 schemes: the Play Observation Scheme and Emotion Ratings: POSER³⁰; the Emotional
6 Availability Scales: EAS³⁵; and the Infant and Caregiver Engagement Phases: ICEP³⁶. The
7 inter-rater reliability scores for each item were high ($\kappa_{\text{positive emotion}} = 0.76$, $\kappa_{\text{sensitivity}} = 0.76$,
8 $\kappa_{\text{stimulation level}} = 0.78$) and the overall internal consistency of the maternal sensitivity factor was
9 moderate ($\alpha_{\text{maternal sensitivity}} = 0.73$).

10 At 18 months, maternal sensitivity was observed with the POSER³⁴, the same mother-child
11 interaction observation we used to rate infant behavior (see above). The maternal sensitivity
12 factor consisted of maternal positive emotion expression, sensitivity, and appropriateness of
13 play each rated on a 9-point Likert scale (1= low; 9= high). The inter-rater reliability of each
14 of the maternal behavior items ($\kappa_{\text{positive emotion}} = 0.93$, $\kappa_{\text{sensitivity}} = 0.90$, $\kappa_{\text{appropriateness of play}} = 0.91$)
15 was high. The ratings on the three items during the unstructured and structured play situation
16 were totalled for an overall maternal sensitivity score, which had high internal consistency
17 ($\alpha = 0.90$).

18 **Maternal Depressive Symptoms.** At 6 months, mothers completed the Edinburgh
19 Depression Scale³⁷, which is a widely used 10-item screening tool to assess postnatal
20 depression on 4-point scales and has high sensitivity to detect depression in the postnatal
21 period³⁸. An example item is as follows: '*In the past 7 days, I have been anxious or worried*
22 *for no good reason*' (0= No, not at all, 1= Hardly ever, 2= Yes, sometimes, 3= Yes, very
23 often). Individual scores were summed up to create a continuous depression score, which can
24 range from 0 to 30.

1 **Infant Night Waking and Settling Duration.** Parents were asked to report on the frequency
2 of night waking per night and how long it takes to settle their infant to sleep at term, 3, 6 and
3 18 months.

4 **Breastfeeding.** Mothers were asked about how they fed their infant at term, 3 and 6 months.
5 They were divided into 2 categories: 0=not breastfed and 1=breastfed.

6 **Statistical Analysis**

7 Analyses were performed using SPSS version 23 in three stages. First, differences in sample
8 characteristics across the two groups of bed-sharing (0= solitary sleeping, 1=bed-sharing)
9 were analyzed using X^2 tests and independent sample t-tests. Covariates were identified based
10 on these comparisons.

11 Second, logistic regression analyses were used to analyze the association between night
12 waking and settling duration (i.e., term, 3, 6 and 18 months) and bed-sharing at term, 3, 6 and
13 18 months while considering the role of breastfeeding (i.e., term, 3 and 6 months) and
14 controlling for preterm birth (0= FT, 1= VP/VLBW), infant sex, maternal age, and parental
15 annual income. Based on the results of this analysis, earlier or concurrent night waking and
16 settling duration were used as covariates in the main analyses to account for the role of
17 reactive bed-sharing.

18 Third, logistic regression and multiple linear regression analyses were conducted to
19 investigate the association between bed-sharing at term, 3 and 6 months and subsequent
20 mother-infant attachment (insecure or disorganized) at 18 months; infant poor
21 attention/hyperactivity and task persistence at 18 months; and maternal bonding (at 3 months
22 and 18 months) and maternal sensitivity at 3 and 18 months while controlling for covariates.
23 Sensitivity analysis was performed where all analyses were repeated excluding VP/VLBW
24 born participants and using the following combination of bed-sharing (0= never and once a

1 month or less; 1= 1-4 times a month to 4 times a week or more). We applied Bonferroni
2 correction to account for multiple comparisons.

3

4

5 **Results**

6 There were 101 (56.7%) male and 77 (43.3%) female infants. The mean gestational age was
7 35.03 ($SD = 4.91$) weeks and the mean birth weight was 2408.98 ($SD = 1061.81$) grams.

8 Forty-one percent of infant participants had no siblings, 39% had one sibling and the
9 remaining 20% participants had more than one sibling. There were 29.8% multiple births.

10 Furthermore, 40% had low to moderate income (yearly income of <£25k). Mean maternal
11 age was 30.6 years ($SD = 5.82$) and 34.5% of the infants' mothers had education of more than
12 10 years (Supplemental Table 1).

13 **Characteristics of bed-sharing mothers and infants**

14 Figure 1 shows the frequency of bed-sharing during the first 18 months, as well as breast-
15 feeding, night-waking frequency and settling duration in those who were solitary sleepers or
16 bed-sharers. The rate of bed-sharing was highest at term ($N= 68, 41.2\%$), which decreased
17 sharply at 3 months ($N= 40, 22.6\%$) and then slightly increased at 6 ($N= 46, 27.5\%$) and 18
18 months ($N=55, 31.3\%$).

19 At term, mothers of male infants reported bed-sharing more often than those of females.

20 Those who were bed-sharing had higher frequency of night-wakings at term, 3, 6 and 18
21 months, had higher rates of breast-feeding at 3 and 6 months and had longer settling duration
22 at 6 months of age in comparison to solitary sleepers (Table 1). Mothers who were bed-
23 sharing at 6 and 18 months were older and mothers who were bed-sharing at 6 months had
24 higher income in comparison to those who were not bed-sharing. Supplemental Table 2
25 shows the bivariate correlations for all study variables.

1 **Concurrent and longitudinal associations between infant night-waking, settling**
2 **duration and bed-sharing**

3 Night-waking at 3 and 6 months was associated with higher rates of bed-sharing concurrently
4 at 3 and 6 months (OR= 2.46; 95% CI= 1.57-3.85 and OR= 1.50; 95% CI= 1.10-2.06,
5 respectively). Similarly, settling duration at 6 and 18 months was associated with higher rates
6 of bed-sharing concurrently at 6 and 18 months (OR= 1.03; 95% CI= 1.00-1.07 and OR=
7 1.03; 95% CI= 1.00-1.06, respectively). There were no prospective associations between
8 night-waking, settling duration and bed-sharing (Table 2).

9 **Longitudinal associations between bed-sharing and infant-related outcomes**

10 There were no significant associations between bed-sharing during the first 6 months of age
11 and mother-infant insecure or disorganized attachment at 18 months of age (Table 3). Bed-
12 sharing at 6 months of age was negatively associated with task persistence at 18 months of
13 age ($\beta = -0.20$, $p = 0.01$). However, this finding was rendered non-significant when the p-value
14 was adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction ($0.05/8 = 0.006$).
15 Sensitivity analyses revealed the same findings (Supplemental Tables 3 and 4).

16 **Associations between bed-sharing and mother-related outcomes**

17 There were no significant associations between bed-sharing at term and 3 months and
18 maternal bonding at subsequent assessment points (i.e., 3 and 18 months respectively) (Table
19 3). Similarly, there were no significant associations between bed-sharing at term and 3
20 months and maternal sensitivity at subsequent assessment points (i.e., 3 and 18 months
21 respectively). When we repeated the analyses excluding VP/VLBW infants, findings
22 remained the same (Supplemental Table 3).

23
24
25

24 **Discussion**

26 This prospective longitudinal study revealed no significant associations between bed-
27 sharing in the first 6 months in infancy and infant-mother insecure or disorganized

1 attachment at 18 months. Moreover, there were no significant associations between bed-
2 sharing in the first 6 months and poor attention/hyperactivity rating at 18 months, as well as
3 no significant links with maternal bonding and maternal sensitivity. There was a significant
4 association between bed-sharing at 6 months and low task persistence at 18 months.
5 However, this association became non-significant once corrected for multiple comparisons.

6 Thus, this longitudinal study reveals no positive or negative outcomes of bed-sharing
7 on infant relational and behavioral development, as well as on maternal bonding and sensitive
8 parenting. Our findings regarding infant attachment and maternal bonding are in contrast to
9 the findings of the two previous studies conducted in this area. The first study showed a
10 significant positive link between bed-sharing at 2 months and secure infant-mother
11 attachment at 14 months¹¹ and the second study showed a negative association between bed-
12 sharing and maternal bonding²². However, these studies had limitations. In the first study¹¹,
13 bed-sharing was assessed only once at 2 months and the role of sleeping difficulties was not
14 considered. The second study²² was cross-sectional and did not control for any covariates. On
15 the other hand, our finding that bed-sharing was not associated with poor
16 attention/hyperactivity and maternal sensitive parenting is in line with the findings of a
17 previous study²³. Moreover, the only significant finding on task persistence at 18 months
18 disappeared after correction for multiple comparisons. Thus, there is not yet enough evidence
19 to support or refute the primary message of the supporters of bed-sharing, which suggests that
20 bed-sharing is an evolutionarily meaningful and natural practice with several benefits to the
21 infant and mother.

22 Findings from this UK sample showed that the highest rate of bed-sharing occurred at
23 term (41.2%), which decreased by half at 3 months (22.6%) showing that most mothers seem
24 to follow the guidance against bed-sharing before 4 months of age even though they might be
25 bed-sharing right after birth¹⁶. Afterwards, the rate of bed-sharing increased by approximately

1 10% until 18 months of age. Similar to the findings of previous studies, mothers who were
2 bed-sharing were older, breastfeeding and had higher income¹⁴ and were more likely to have
3 boys than those who were not bed-sharing. This could be due to boys having more sleeping
4 problems than girls.³⁹ There was no longitudinal associations between infant sleeping and
5 bed-sharing, however cross-sectional associations between bed-sharing and infant sleeping
6 were found. To illustrate, infants who were bed-sharing had higher frequency of night-
7 waking consistently over the first 18 months, and longer settling durations from 3 to 18
8 months than solitary sleepers, which was also shown in previous studies¹⁹. Our findings
9 suggest that bed-sharing early on at 3 months of age is related to night-waking frequency at
10 the same assessment point. Similarly, at 6 months of age night-waking and settling duration
11 was associated to bed-sharing at the same age, and bed-sharing later on at 18 months of age
12 was associated with settling duration at the same age. Thus, these findings suggest that
13 concurrent infant sleeping difficulties are associated with bed-sharing rather than the
14 influence of pre-existing difficulties in sleeping.

15 This prospective longitudinal study has several strengths, including adjustment for
16 several important covariates, using repeated assessment of bed-sharing during infancy,
17 observer assessed infant-mother attachment and the assessment of infant attention using
18 researcher observations. There are also limitations. First, the correlational design of the
19 current study does not allow to determine if there is a causal relationship between bed-
20 sharing and the assessed outcomes. However, randomization in controlled trials is unlikely to
21 be possible due to strongly held views by parents. Second, the current study included a large
22 group of infants who were born VP/VLBW (41%). However, all analyses were controlled for
23 the role of VP/VLBW birth. Furthermore, sensitivity analysis excluding VP/VLBW born
24 participants did not alter the main findings except the significant link between bed-sharing
25 and poor attention/hyperactivity in the FT group, revealing wider confidence intervals. Third,

1 maternal bonding was assessed using a one-item measure rather than a scale affecting
2 reliability. Fourth, bed-sharing was reported by mothers. Video observations of bed-sharing
3 during night time would have provided more objective results, however, conducting video
4 observations was not feasible given the sample size and repeated measurement in this study.

5 In conclusion, there are neither positive or negative consequences of bed-sharing
6 during the first 6 months on infant-mother attachment and infant behavioral development, or
7 on mother's sensitive parenting and bonding to her infant according to the current
8 observation study based on a UK sample. However, further studies are required to confirm
9 the findings of the current study given the lack of research evidence on the link between bed-
10 sharing and infant's attachment and behavioral development and mother's sensitive parenting
11 and bonding.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

References

1. Ferber R. *Solve your child's sleep problems: new, revised, and expanded edition*. New York: Simon & Schuster; 2006.
2. McKenna JJ, McDade T. Why babies should never sleep alone: A review of the co-sleeping controversy in relation to SIDS, bedsharing and breast feeding. *Paediatr Respir Rev*. 2005;6:134-152.
3. Moon RY, Task Force on Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. SIDS and other sleep-related infant deaths: Updated 2016 recommendations for a safe infant sleeping environment. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138:e20162938.
4. Carpenter R, McGarvey C, Mitchell EA, et al. Bed sharing when parents do not smoke: is there a risk of SIDS? An individual level analysis of five major case-control studies. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3.
5. Blair PS, Sidebotham P, Pease A, et al. Bed-sharing in the absence of hazardous circumstances: is there a risk of sudden infant death syndrome? An analysis from two case-control studies conducted in the UK. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e107799-e107799.
6. Vennemann MM, Hense HW, Bajanowski T, et al. Bed sharing and the risk of sudden infant death syndrome: can we resolve the debate? *J Pediatr*. 2012;160:44-48.e42.
7. Ward TCS. Reasons for mother–infant bed-sharing: A systematic narrative synthesis of the literature and implications for future research. *Matern Child Health J*. 2015;19:675-690.
8. Ramos KD, Youngclarke DM. Parenting advice books about child sleep: cosleeping and crying it out. *Sleep*. 2006;29:1616-1623.

- 1 9. Sears W, Sears M. *The Attachment Parenting Book: A Commonsense Guide to*
2 *Understanding and Nurturing Your Baby*. New York: Little Brown and Company;
3 2001.
- 4 10. Miller PM, Commons ML. The benefits of attachment parenting for infants and
5 children: A behavioral developmental view. *Behav Dev Bull*. 2010;16:1-14.
- 6 11. Mileva-Seitz VR, Luijk MP, van Ijzendoorn MH, et al. Association between infant
7 nighttime-sleep location and attachment security: No easy verdict. *Infant Ment Health*
8 *J*. 2016;37:5-16.
- 9 12. Ruys JH, de Jonge GA, Brand R, et al. Bed-sharing in the first four months of life: a
10 risk factor for sudden infant death. *Acta Paediatr*. 2007;96:1399-1403.
- 11 13. Colson ER, Willinger M, Rybin D, et al. Trends and factors associated with infant bed
12 sharing, 1993-2010: the National Infant Sleep Position Study. *JAMA Pediatr*.
13 2013;167:1032-1037.
- 14 14. Blair PS, Heron J, Fleming PJ. Relationship between bed sharing and breastfeeding:
15 longitudinal, population-based analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126:e1119-1126.
- 16 15. Huang Y, Hauck FR, Signore C, et al. Influence of bedsharing activity on
17 breastfeeding duration among US mothers. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2013;167:1038-1044.
- 18 16. Blair PS, Ball HL. The prevalence and characteristics associated with parent-infant
19 bed-sharing in England. *Arch Dis Child*. 2004;89:1106-1110.
- 20 17. Volpe LE, Ball HL. Infant sleep-related deaths: why do parents take risks? *Arch Dis*
21 *Child*. 2015;100:603-604.
- 22 18. Wolke D, Meyer R, Ohrt B, et al. Häufigkeit und Persistenz von Ein-und
23 Durchschlafproblemen im Vorschulalter: Ergebnisse einer prospektiven
24 Untersuchung an einer repräsentativen Stichprobe in Bayern. *Prax Kinderpsychol K*.
25 1995;43:331-339.

- 1 19. Mao A, Burnham MM, Goodlin-Jones BL, et al. A comparison of the sleep-wake
2 patterns of cosleeping and solitary-sleeping infants. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev.*
3 2004;35:95-105.
- 4 20. Messmer R, Miller LD, Yu CM. The Relationship between parent-infant bed sharing
5 and marital satisfaction for mothers of infants. *Fam Relat.* 2012;61:798-810.
- 6 21. Mileva-Seitz VR, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Battaini C, et al. Parent-child bed-
7 sharing: The good, the bad, and the burden of evidence. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2017;32:4-
8 27.
- 9 22. Mitchell EA, Hutchison BL, Thompson JM, et al. Exploratory study of bed-sharing
10 and maternal-infant bonding. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 2015;51:820-825.
- 11 23. Barajas RG, Martin A, Brooks-Gunn J, et al. Mother-child bed-sharing in toddlerhood
12 and cognitive and behavioral outcomes. *Pediatrics.* 2011;128:e339-e347.
- 13 24. Bilgin A, Wolke D. Regulatory Problems in very preterm and full-term infants over
14 the first 18 months. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* 2016;37:298-305.
- 15 25. Bilgin A, Wolke D. Parental use of ‘cry it out’ in infants: no adverse effects on
16 attachment and behavioural development at 18 months. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.*
17 2020;61:1184-1193.
- 18 26. Bilgin A, Wolke D. Maternal sensitivity in parenting preterm children: A meta-
19 analysis. *Pediatrics.* 2015;136:e177-193.
- 20 27. Faleschini S, Matte-Gagné C, Luu TM, et al. Trajectories of overprotective parenting
21 and hyperactivity-impulsivity and inattention among moderate-late preterm children:
22 A population-based study. *J Abnorm Child Psychol.* 2020;48:1555-1568.
- 23 28. Wolke D, Eryigit-Madzwamuse S, Gutbrod T. Very preterm/very low birthweight
24 infants’ attachment: infant and maternal characteristics. *Arch Dis Child - Fetal*
25 *Neonatal Ed.* 2013.

- 1 29. Ainsworth M, Blehar M, Waters E, et al. *Patterns of attachment*. Hillsdale, N.J.:
2 Erlbaum; 1978.
- 3 30. Wolke D. Play Observation Scheme and Emotion Rating. University of Hertfordshire;
4 1986.
- 5 31. Wolke D, Skuse D, Mathisen B. Behavioral style in failure-to-thrive infants - a
6 preliminary communication. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 1990;15:237-254.
- 7 32. Jaekel J, Wolke D, Bartmann P. Poor attention rather than hyperactivity/impulsivity
8 predicts academic achievement in very preterm and full-term adolescents. *Psychol*
9 *Med*. 2013;43:183-196.
- 10 33. Wolke D. The mother-infant structured play assessment (MISPA). University of
11 Hertfordshire 1999.
- 12 34. Bilgin A, Wolke D. Development of comorbid crying, sleeping, feeding problems
13 across infancy: Neurodevelopmental vulnerability and parenting. *Early Hum Dev*.
14 2017;109:37-43.
- 15 35. Biringen Z, Robinson, J., & Emde, R.N. *The Emotional Availability Scales* (2nd ed.).
16 Fort Collins: Colorado State University; 1993.
- 17 36. Weinberg MK, Tronick EZ. *Infant and Caregiver Engagement Phases system*. Boston,
18 MA: Children's Hospital and Harvard Medical School; 1998.
- 19 37. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of
20 the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1987;150:782-
21 786.
- 22 38. Eberhard-Gran M, Eskild A, Tambs K, et al. Review of validation studies of the
23 Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2001;104:243-249.

- 1 39. Schmid G, Schreier A, Meyer R, et al. A prospective study on the persistence of
2 infant crying, sleeping and feeding problems and preschool behaviour. *Acta Paediatr.*
3 2010;99:286-290.

4

5

6

Figure Legend

7 **Figure 1.** Percentages of breastfeeding, night-waking and settling duration in solitary

8 sleepers vs bed-sharers at term, 3, 6 and 18 months

9