

# Kent Academic Repository

## Full text document (pdf)

### Citation for published version

O'Grady, C, Passfield, Louis and Hopker, James G. (2021) Variability in submaximal self-paced exercise bouts of different intensity and duration. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* . ISSN 1555-0265. (In press)

### DOI

### Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/87830/>

### Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

#### Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

#### Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

#### Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

[researchsupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:researchsupport@kent.ac.uk)

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

1 **Variability in submaximal self-paced exercise bouts of**  
2 **different intensity and duration**

3  
4 **Submission type:** Original Investigation

5  
6 **Authors:** Ciaran O’Grady<sup>1</sup>, Louis Passfield <sup>1,2</sup>, James G.  
7 Hopker<sup>1</sup>

- 8 1. Endurance Research Group, School of Sport and  
9 Exercise Sciences, University of Kent, UK.  
10 2. Human Performance Lab, Faculty of Kinesiology,  
11 University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

12  
13 **Correspondence:**

14 Mr Ciaran O’Grady  
15 School of Sport and Exercise Sciences  
16 University of Kent at Medway  
17 Medway Building  
18 Chatham, Kent  
19 ME4 4AG  
20 England  
21 [cmao3@kent.ac.uk](mailto:cmao3@kent.ac.uk)  
22 +44 (0)7904 597368

23  
24 **Running head:** Effort-based exercise variability

25  
26 Abstract word count: 241

27  
28 Text-only word count: 3641

29  
30 Number of figures and tables: 4 tables

31  
32 References: 52

33

## 34 ABSTRACT

35 **Purpose:** The use of rating of perceived exertion (RPE) as a  
36 training intensity prescription has been extensively used by  
37 athletes and coaches. The individual variability in physiological  
38 response to exercise prescribed using RPE has not been  
39 investigated. **Methods:** Twenty well-trained competitive  
40 cyclists (18 = male, 2 = female,  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ :  $55.07 \pm 11.06 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ )  
41 completed 3 exercise trials each consisting of nine  
42 randomised self-paced exercise bouts of either 1, 4, or 8  
43 minutes at RPE 9, 13, and 17. Within- (WAV) and between-  
44 athlete (BAV) variability in power and physiological responses  
45 were calculated using coefficient of variation (CV). Total  
46 variability (TV) = ratio of WAV and BAV. **Results:** Increased  
47 RPE saw higher power, HR, work,  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}CO_2$ ,  $\dot{V}E$ , and  $\Delta\text{HHb}$   
48 ( $P < .001$ ), and lower  $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$  and  $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$  ( $P < .001$ ). At RPE 9,  
49 shorter durations resulted in lower  $\dot{V}O_2$  ( $P < .05$ ), and  $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$   
50 decreased and  $\Delta\text{HHb}$  increased as duration increased ( $P < .05$ ).  
51 At RPE 13, shorter durations resulted in lower  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}E$ , and  
52  $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$  ( $P < .001$ ), higher power, HR,  $\Delta\text{HHb}$  ( $P < .001$ ) and  
53  $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$  ( $P < .05$ ). At RPE 17, power ( $P < .001$ ) and  $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$  ( $P$   
54  $< .05$ ) increased as duration decreased. As intensity and  
55 duration increased, WAV and BAV in power, work, HR,  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  
56  $\dot{V}CO_2$ , and  $\dot{V}E$  decreased, and WAV and BAV in NIRS  
57 increased. **Conclusions:** Self-paced intensity prescriptions of  
58 high effort and long durations result in greatest consistency on  
59 both a within-athlete and between-athlete basis.

60

61 **KEYWORDS:** endurance training, individual variability,  
62 effort-based training, cycling training, measurement error

63

## 64 INTRODUCTION

65 Perception of effort is defined as the intensity of subjective  
66 effort, stress, discomfort, and fatigue which is felt during  
67 exercise or physical activity<sup>15,34</sup>. The common method of  
68 measuring perception of effort is the rating of perceived  
69 exertion (RPE) scale<sup>4</sup> which is believed to be influenced by  
70 factors such as fatigue, effort, strain, discomfort, and/or pain<sup>49</sup>.  
71 It has been demonstrated that increased RPE is associated with  
72 increases in oxygen consumption, metabolic acidosis,  
73 ventilation, and heart rates<sup>14,39</sup>. The RPE scale is commonly  
74 used to record RPE whilst an individual is exercising<sup>31</sup> but can  
75 also be used as a tool to prescribe exercise intensity in the so-  
76 called '*production mode*' which provides an exercise intensity  
77 continuum that exercising individuals can use to regulate their  
78 work rate or resistance<sup>4,36</sup>.

79 When using RPE in production mode, it is unclear whether  
80 both the intensity of the RPE anchor and the duration of the  
81 work bout would influence the accuracy and reliability of the  
82 exercising individual to adjust their work rate or resistance to  
83 maintain a specified RPE level, or anchor. The reproducibility  
84 of this approach to exercise prescription has been investigated  
85 involving blind <sup>5</sup>, child <sup>17</sup>, and healthy participants <sup>21</sup>. It has  
86 been shown that when exercise intensity was prescribed using  
87 RPE in production mode during both low and high levels of  
88 exertion there is no difference in reliability in children when  
89 used with, or without, an anchoring protocol involving  
90 familiarisation with a low and high RPE workload before  
91 investigation <sup>50</sup>. Increased reliability using RPE in production  
92 mode after a series of trials has been demonstrated in blind men  
93 and women (maximal oxygen uptake [ $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ]; 5.2pp at RPE 9,  
94 and 6.8pp at RPE 11)<sup>5</sup> and children aged 7-10 years old (power  
95 output; 9.5pp in boys, 13pp in girls)<sup>17</sup> which may indicate a  
96 learning effect of using the scale in this manner. Nevertheless,  
97 in a large study of 2,560 Caucasian men and women, healthy  
98 individuals are able to accurately reflect heart rate and blood  
99 lactate response using RPE <sup>43</sup>. As duration and intensity are  
100 both known to impact an individuals' perception of effort it is  
101 therefore likely to impact upon reliability of the exercise  
102 intensity that is selected in response to a specific RPE anchor  
103 <sup>45</sup>. It has been demonstrated that increased intensity of  
104 perceptually regulated exercise results in increased reliability  
105 <sup>21</sup>.

106 Traditionally, the prescription of exercise training intensities  
107 has been derived from standardised percentages  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$   
108 <sup>24,29,30,38</sup>. However, the inter-individual variability in  
109 performance that occurs during exercise prescribed in this  
110 manner is large <sup>9,26,42,51,52</sup>. The use of RPE in production mode  
111 may provide exercise practitioners with a useful tool to  
112 consistently prescribe exercise intensity. However, with limited  
113 research exploring the impact of duration on the reliability of  
114 perceptually regulated exercise <sup>16,35</sup>, and no knowledge of the  
115 impact of changes in both duration and intensity on reliability,  
116 the interaction is unknown. It is possible that both the intensity  
117 of the RPE anchor and the duration of work bout itself could  
118 affect an individual's ability to accurately and reliably regulate  
119 their exercise intensity or work rate to the desired target. This  
120 study aimed to assess the reliability and reproducibility of self-  
121 paced submaximal exercise of different intensities in trained  
122 competitive cyclists using long, medium, and short workload  
123 periods.

124

## 125 **METHODOLOGY**

126 Participants. Twenty well-trained cyclists (18 males, 2 females;  
127 mean  $\pm$  SD: age  $38 \pm 11$  years, height  $176.6 \pm 9.7$  cm, mass  
128  $72.4 \pm 9.2$  kg,  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$   $55.07 \pm 11.06$  mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>, maximum  
129 minute power (MMP)  $337 \pm 54$  W, HR<sub>max</sub>  $180 \pm 9$  bpm), with  
130 at least 3 years of cycling training and racing experience  
131 (Performance Level 3-4<sup>11,37</sup>), provided written informed  
132 consent to voluntarily participate in the study which held full  
133 ethical approval from the local institutional ethics committee  
134 according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

135

## 136 **Study Design**

137 Participants visited the exercise testing laboratory on four  
138 separate occasions in a euhydrated state over a period of  $5 \pm 2$   
139 weeks, with visits separated by at least 72 hours to ensure full  
140 recovery between each. In Visit 1, participants completed an  
141 incremental exercise test to identify  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  and MMP,  
142 followed by a  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  confirmation effort (see: *Maximal*  
143 *incremental test*) and familiarisation with laboratory  
144 equipment. Visits 2 to 4 comprised of 3 supervised exercise  
145 sessions each consisting of 3 separate self-paced exercise  
146 bouts; 3 RPE-anchored exercise intensities (RPE 9, 13, 17)  
147 lasting either 1, 4, or 8 minutes completed in a randomized  
148 order during each visit (see *Exercise testing sessions*). All visits  
149 for each participant were completed within the same 3-hour  
150 period of the day and participants were asked to maintain a  
151 consistent diet and lifestyle, and to avoid alcohol and strenuous  
152 exercise the day before the sessions. To aid familiarisation,  
153 participants were asked to incorporate effort-based training  
154 bouts similar to those included in the present investigation into  
155 their training before commencing the study. In addition,  
156 participants had previous experience of exercise testing and the  
157 use of the RPE scale, but not specifically in “*production*  
158 *mode*”. A cooling fan present and plain water available for  
159 participants to drink ad libitum.

160

161 *Maximal incremental test.* Participants completed a maximal  
162 incremental test on a bicycle ergometer (Cyclus2, RBM  
163 Electronics, Leipzig, Germany) to identify MMP,  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , and  
164 maximum heart rate (HR<sub>max</sub>). After riding at 100W for a period  
165 of 10 minutes, the external load was increased by 20W every  
166 60 seconds until volitional exhaustion, defined as the point  
167 where self-selected cadence dropped below 60rpm despite  
168 strong verbal encouragement<sup>23</sup>. MMP was calculated as the  
169 highest power output averaged over a period of 60 seconds,  
170  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  was calculated as the highest  $\dot{V}O_2$  achieved over a  
171 period of 30 seconds, and HR<sub>max</sub> was identified as the highest

172 HR value reached in the incremental test. After a period of 30  
173 minutes (10 minutes cool-down at 100W, 10 minutes seated  
174 rest, and 10 minutes warm-up at 100W) participants were  
175 instructed to exercise at MMP until volitional exhaustion in  
176 order to identify time-to-exhaustion (TTE) at an intensity  
177 corresponding to  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  and also to confirm  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  values  
178 recorded during the incremental test.

179

180 *Exercise testing sessions.* After a warm-up period of 10  
181 minutes easy cycling, participants completed randomised work  
182 bouts of either 1-min, 4-min, and 8-min (SHORT, MED,  
183 LONG) at RPEs of either 9, 13, 17 (6 – 20 scale <sup>4</sup>), with 5  
184 minutes easy cycling between each bout. Participants were  
185 instructed to self-select their cycling power output in order to  
186 achieve and maintain the desired RPE anchor for each bout by  
187 using their gearing system on their bicycle. Elapsed time was  
188 available for participants during all bouts, but they were blind  
189 to all other data and information, and no encouragement was  
190 given during exercise to minimize effects of external factors <sup>10</sup>.  
191 Power output was continuously measured, and heart rate was  
192 transmitted using a compatible heart rate strap (Cyclus2 heart  
193 rate, RBM Electronics, Leipzig, Germany). Data was  
194 subsequently segmented into the 9 sections corresponding to  
195 the 9 exercise bouts for analysis. Respiratory gas exchange data  
196 were measured continuously throughout all sessions using an  
197 online gas analyser (Metalyzer 3B, CORTEX Biophysik  
198 GmbH, Leipzig, Germany), and an appropriately sized  
199 facemask covering the nose and mouth. A 10-second rolling  
200 average was used when analysing respiratory gas exchange  
201 data. Expired gas data were analysed to quantify volume of  
202 expired oxygen ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ), volume of expired carbon dioxide  
203 ( $\dot{V}CO_2$ ), and minute ventilation ( $\dot{V}_E$ ). Muscle oxygenation was  
204 measured using spatially resolved dual-wavelength near-  
205 infrared spectroscopy (NIRS; Portamon, Artinis Medical  
206 Systems, BV, Netherlands), with the optode positioned 10cm  
207 superior to the lateral epicondyle of the femur at the distal end  
208 of the vastus lateralis muscle and secured with muscle tape and  
209 bandage. NIRS data were analysed relative to a 2-min resting  
210 baseline measurement completed prior to each testing session,  
211 to provide relative change ( $\Delta$ ) in tissue saturation index  
212 (TSI%), oxy-haemoglobin ( $O_2Hb$ ), and deoxyhaemoglobin  
213 (HHb). Prior to each exercise session, the Daily Analysis of  
214 Life Demands for Athletes (DALDA <sup>8</sup>) questionnaire was  
215 administered and following the session the Task Load Index  
216 (NASA-TLX <sup>20</sup>) was administered.

217

218 **Data and statistical analysis**

219 Data were processed according to the combination of exercise  
220 duration (SHORT, MED, and LONG), intensity (RPE 9, 13,  
221 17), and session repeat (3 x SHORT, MED, and LONG). Prior  
222 to statistical analysis all data was checked for normality of  
223 distribution. Sphericity of the data was investigated using the  
224 Mauchly test, and the Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment was  
225 made when data was deemed non-spherical. Data are reported  
226 as mean and standard deviation (mean  $\pm$  SD), and CV's are  
227 presented as a percentage unless specified otherwise. When  
228 assessing variability, low CV's indicating a consistent  
229 response, and high CV's displaying variable response.  
230 Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to  
231 analyse power output and physiological response data between  
232 exercise session visits, and two-way repeated-measures  
233 ANOVA (duration x intensity) was used to analyse  
234 performance and physiological parameters. When significant  
235 differences were found, Bonferroni test was used to determine  
236 where differences occurred. Effect sizes were calculated using  
237 partial eta squared ( $\eta_p^2$ ) and were defined as small, medium, or  
238 large based upon 0.10, 0.25, and above 0.40, respectively <sup>7</sup>.  
239 Linear mixed modelling was completed to analyse the  
240 variability in power output, work done, HR, %MMP, %HR<sub>max</sub>,  
241  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}CO_2$ ,  $\dot{V}E$ , % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ , TSI%, O<sub>2</sub>Hb, and HHb for each  
242 combination of duration and intensity. Quantification of  
243 individual variation observed was completed by calculating  
244 CV's for the within- (WAV), between- (BAV), and total  
245 variability (TV) of each parameter by expressing the standard  
246 deviation relative to the mean for each parameter. Linear mixed  
247 models, ANOVA's, and post-hoc testing were conducted using  
248 the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 26 for  
249 Mac OS X (SPSS, IBM®, Armonk, New York, USA), and an  
250 alpha level was set at  $P < .05$  for the criteria for detection of  
251 significance in all cases. CV was calculated in Microsoft Excel  
252 (Excel v16.3 Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA).

253

## 254 **RESULTS**

### 255 *Power output and cardiovascular response during exercise* 256 *bouts*

257 Power, heart rate, and work done are reported in Table 1, and  
258 power as %MMP and HR as %HR<sub>max</sub> in Table 2. Increases in  
259 power ( $F_{(1.517, 89.53)} = 596.297$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .910$ ), HR ( $F_{(1.539, 90.829)} =$   
260  $681.286$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .920$ ), work done ( $F_{(1.467, 86.553)} = 633.586$ ;  $\eta_p^2 =$   
261  $.915$ ), %MMP ( $F_{(1.59)} = 919.212$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .940$ ), and %HR<sub>max</sub>  
262 ( $F_{(1.578, 93.095)} = 709.357$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .923$ ) were found as RPE anchor  
263 increased ( $P < .001$ ). Changes in power ( $F_{(1.301, 76.771)} = 71.292$ ;  
264  $\eta_p^2 = .547$ ), HR ( $F_{(2, 118)} = 282.581$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .827$ ), work done  
265 ( $F_{(1.045, 61.678)} = 1309.505$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .957$ ), %MMP ( $F_{(1.414, 83.444)} =$

266 22.101;  $\eta_p^2 = .273$ ), and % HR<sub>max</sub> ( $F_{(2, 118)} = 270.719$ ;  $\eta_p^2 =$   
267 821) were found as time increased ( $P < .001$ ). An interaction  
268 effect of time and RPE anchor was observed for power ( $F_{(2.562,$   
269  $151.172)} = 51.178$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .465$ ), HR ( $F_{(2.816, 166.160)} = 29.766$ ;  $\eta_p^2 =$   
270  $.335$ ), work done ( $F_{(2.383, 140.613)} = 314.413$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .842$ ), %MMP  
271 ( $F_{(1.829, 107.922)} = 14.640$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .199$ ), and HR as %HR<sub>max</sub> ( $F_{(2.773,$   
272  $163.623)} = 29.634$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .334$ )( $P < .001$ ). Overall, TV, BAV, and  
273 WAV in power and work done decreased as intensity and  
274 duration increased. Power TV was lowest in LONG bouts of  
275 RPE 17, and highest in SHORT bouts of RPE 9. Heart rate  
276 displayed lower CV's in comparison to power and work done,  
277 with greater consistency being displayed as exercise intensity  
278 increased. TV, BAV, and WAV were all higher when reporting  
279 %MMP compared to %HR<sub>max</sub>, with higher levels of  
280 consistency being found as intensity and duration increases.

281 INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

282 INSERT TABLE 2 HERE

283

#### 284 *Expired gas response during exercise bouts*

285  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}CO_2$ , and  $\dot{V}_E$  are reported in Table 3, and % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  in  
286 Table 2. Increases in  $\dot{V}O_2$  ( $F_{(1.473, 86.936)} = 529.082$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .90$ ),  
287  $\dot{V}CO_2$  ( $F_{(1.485, 87.629)} = 494.818$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .893$ ),  $\dot{V}_E$  ( $F_{(1.507, 88.896)} =$   
288  $371.169$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .863$ ), % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  ( $F_{(1.676, 98.908)} = 684.862$ ;  $\eta_p^2 =$   
289  $.921$ ) were found as RPE anchor increased ( $P < .001$ ). Changes  
290 in  $\dot{V}O_2$  ( $F_{(1.728, 101.944)} = 228.521$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .795$ ),  $\dot{V}CO_2$  ( $F_{(1.723,$   
291  $101.629)} = 203.813$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .776$ ),  $\dot{V}_E$  ( $F_{(1.796, 105.985)} = 158.104$ ;  $\eta_p^2$   
292  $= .728$ ), % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  ( $F_{(1.738, 102.55)} = 194.221$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .767$ ) were  
293 found as time increased ( $P < .001$ ). An interaction effect of  
294 time and duration was observed for  $\dot{V}O_2$  ( $F_{(3.177, 187.454)} =$   
295  $39.009$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .398$ ),  $\dot{V}CO_2$  ( $F_{(3.11, 183.511)} = 36.972$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .385$ ),  
296  $\dot{V}_E$  ( $F_{(2.914, 171.899)} = 43.228$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .423$ ), % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  ( $F_{(3.448, 203.438)}$   
297  $= 32.817$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .357$ )( $P < .001$ ). Overall, TV, BAV, and WAV  
298 in  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}CO_2$ , and % $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  decreased as intensity and  
299 duration increased. Variability in  $\dot{V}_E$  was similar across  
300 intensities and durations. Total CV in  $\dot{V}O_2$  was lowest in  
301 LONG bouts of RPE 17, and highest in LONG bouts of RPE 9.

302 INSERT TABLE 3 HERE

303

#### 304 *Muscle oxygenation response during exercise bouts*

305  $\Delta TSI\%$ ,  $\Delta O_2Hb$ , and  $\Delta HHb$  are reported in Table 4. Decreases  
306 in  $\Delta TSI\%$  ( $F_{(1.245, 23.660)} = 65.598$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .775$ ),  $\Delta O_2Hb$  ( $F_{(1.147,$   
307  $21.791)} = 61.594$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .764$ ), and increases in  $\Delta HHb$  ( $F_{(1.056,$   
308  $20.073)} = 27.735$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .593$ ) were found as RPE anchor  
309 increased ( $P < .001$ ). Decreases in  $\Delta TSI\%$  ( $F_{(1.503, 28.561)} =$



310 11.798;  $\eta_p^2 = .383$ ) and increases in  $\Delta\text{HHb}$  ( $F_{(1.223, 23.233)} =$   
311 13.385;  $\eta_p^2 = .413$ ) were found as time increased ( $P < .001$ ).  
312 No change was observed in  $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$  ( $F_{(1.468, 27.901)} = .918$ ;  $\eta_p^2 =$   
313  $.046$ ,  $P = 383$ ) as time increased. No interaction effects were  
314 observed for  $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$  ( $F_{(4, 76)} = .695$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .035$ ,  $P = 598$ ),  
315  $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$  ( $F_{(4, 76)} = .988$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .049$ ,  $P = 420$ ), or  $\Delta\text{HHb}$  ( $F_{(2.538,$   
316  $48.223)} = 1.115$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = .055$ ,  $P = 346$ ). Overall, TV, WAV, and  
317 BAV in  $\Delta\text{TSI}\%$ ,  $\Delta\text{O}_2\text{Hb}$ , and  $\Delta\text{HHb}$  increased as effort level  
318 and duration increased. Total CV in  $\Delta\text{HHb}$  was lowest in  
319 SHORT bouts of RPE 17, and highest in MED bouts of RPE 9.

320 INSERT TABLE 4 HERE

321

### 322 *Psychological response comparisons*

323 No differences were observed for perceived levels of stress  
324 prior to sessions ( $P = .765$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .008$ ) and load attributed to  
325 mental ( $P = .338$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .048$ ), physical ( $P = .576$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .025$ ),  
326 temporal ( $P = .257$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .06$ ), performance ( $P = .748$ ,  $\eta_p^2 =$   
327  $.013$ ), effort ( $P = .569$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .025$ ), and frustration ( $P = .860$ ,  
328  $\eta_p^2 = .007$ ) sources following each testing session.

329

### 330 *Session order differences*

331 All data for repeated sessions were not significantly different  
332 for RPE9 ( $P \geq .098$ ,  $\eta_p^2 \leq .115$ ), RPE13 ( $P \geq .109$ ,  $\eta_p^2 \leq .11$ ),  
333 and RPE17 ( $P \geq .056$ ,  $\eta_p^2 \leq .154$ ), with the exception of both  
334  $\dot{V}\text{CO}_2$  ( $P = .045$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .18$ ) and  $\dot{V}\text{E}$  ( $P = .026$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .168$ ) which  
335 were higher in repeat 2 versus repeat 1 in SHORT\_RPE17.

336

337

## 338 **DISCUSSION**

339 The present study aimed to investigate both the physiological  
340 response, and consistency of response, during self-paced  
341 submaximal exercise over different intensities and durations in  
342 trained competitive cyclists. The main findings of this study  
343 were that there were interactions between intensity and  
344 duration across all measured variables with the exception of  
345 muscle oxygenation measures. Specifically, increases in  
346 intensity and duration resulted in greater consistency within  
347 measured parameters.

348 Unsurprisingly, as demonstrated in other research, increasing  
349 the RPE anchor resulted in higher cycling power outputs and  
350 greater physiological responses<sup>4,21</sup>. Moreover, when duration

351 increased, power output remained similar during RPE 9 bouts,  
352 but decreased during RPE 13 and 17 bouts, suggesting that  
353 participants altered their power output in order to maintain the  
354 same perception of effort as the duration of the bout is extended  
355 <sup>45</sup>. The interaction between duration and intensity is also shown  
356 by changes difference in work done during each bout, as this is  
357 influenced by both duration and intensity.

358 As shown by Table 1, the current study found lower levels of  
359 variability during exercise at higher RPE anchors. When  
360 exercising at higher absolute exercise intensity, a small change  
361 in power output can result in large changes in physiological  
362 response and fatigue compared to lower absolute exercise  
363 intensities <sup>67</sup>, thus participants are likely to control their  
364 exercise intensity within a closer bandwidth, highlighted by the  
365 ranges of WAV observed at RPE 9, 13, and 17 (13.1-19.7%,  
366 9.4%-15.2%, and 5.3%-10.6%, respectively). This finding is  
367 supported by previous work demonstrating lower variability in  
368 measured physiological variables at higher exercise intensity,  
369 with lowest variation during maximal conditions <sup>2</sup>. It is likely  
370 that as the intensity of exercise increases, the cyclist will likely  
371 commit more conscious attention towards the required work  
372 rate and physiological responses, such as regionalised pain and  
373 pulmonary ventilation <sup>44</sup>. Indeed, as RPE anchor and duration  
374 increased, the WAV observed in HR,  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}CO_2$ , and  $\dot{V}_E$   
375 decreased (HR, 5.3% to 3.0%;  $\dot{V}O_2$ , 14.8% to 4.3%;  $\dot{V}CO_2$ ,  
376 10.9% to 5.9%; and  $\dot{V}_E$ , 10.8% to 7.0%), indicating greater  
377 homogeneity in the workloads produced by the athletes at a  
378 given RPE. The heightened perception of changes in the  
379 aforementioned physiological parameters may result in a shift  
380 in the cyclist's attention towards internal-associative modes at  
381 the higher intensities and durations, and away from external-  
382 dissociative mode experienced at lower intensities <sup>44</sup>. This is a  
383 possible explanation for the reduced variability in power  
384 output, and therefore physiological responses, as intensity and  
385 duration increased. However, in contrast to the findings of the  
386 current study, some research has suggested that when athletes  
387 are instructed to perform maximal effort time trials, reliability  
388 of performance is high, but may decline as duration is increased  
389 <sup>41</sup>. The apparent reasons for these conflicting findings are  
390 unclear but could be related to fatigue over the longer duration  
391 efforts involved, as well as methodological in nature as  
392 participants were instructed to "*as fast as possible*" and  
393 therefore may have resulted in differing pacing profiles to the  
394 present study <sup>41</sup>.

395 Changes in skeletal muscle oxygenation follow expected  
396 patterns of decreasing  $\Delta TSI\%$   $\Delta O_2Hb$  and increasing  $\Delta HHb$   
397 with the increase of exercise intensity <sup>25,27,32,47(p)</sup>. Duration  
398 could be seen to impact skeletal muscle oxygenation less than  
399 intensity, with differences only being found for  $\Delta TSI\%$  and

400  $\Delta$ Hb during SHORT bouts, likely due to inadequate time for  
401 steady state skeletal muscle oxygenation consumption to be  
402 attained before the end of the exercise bout, compared to MED  
403 or LONG<sup>33,40</sup>. Interestingly,  $\Delta$ O<sub>2</sub>Hb did not differ in this  
404 manner, displaying similar levels across all durations for each  
405 RPE anchor. NIRS data displayed large levels of both WAV  
406 and BAV, particularly  $\Delta$ TSI% (a range of -83.8% to 3.3%,  
407 respectively) and  $\Delta$ O<sub>2</sub>Hb (-231.1% to 422.7%), with  $\Delta$ Hb  
408 presenting lower levels of variability in most cases (18.1% to  
409 44.4%). The levels of WAV observed in  $\Delta$ TSI%,  $\Delta$ O<sub>2</sub>Hb, and  
410  $\Delta$ Hb were not affected by changes in intensity or duration,  
411 although BAV reduced with increased intensity (Table 4). This  
412 finding is somewhat in contrast to previous research which has  
413 shown increased reliability of skeletal muscle oxygenation  
414 measurements at higher versus lower work rate<sup>19</sup>, suggesting  
415 that blood volume and blood flow may be more variable at  
416 lower intensities due to the reduced physiological demand on  
417 the working muscle.

418 Maximal time trials have been observed to have higher  
419 reliability compared to any of the durations or intensities  
420 investigated in the current study<sup>12,13,28,46,48</sup>. WAV observed  
421 from 4-min efforts in the current study display increasing  
422 reliability as intensity increases; 15.4% (RPE 9), 10.8% (RPE  
423 13), and 8.6% (RPE 17), which shows agreement with lower  
424 CV's displayed from maximal 4-min TT's; 2.2%<sup>28</sup> and 2.0%  
425<sup>13</sup>. Longer maximal efforts similarly display higher levels of  
426 reliability compared to shorter efforts; 20min TT 1.4%<sup>28</sup>,  
427 20min TT 1.3%<sup>12</sup>, 16.1km TT 2.7%<sup>46</sup>, 20km TT 2.7%<sup>48</sup>.  
428 Similarly, in the present study, increased levels of reliability  
429 were observed during 8min efforts; 19.7% (RPE 9), 9.4% (RPE  
430 13), and 5.3% (RPE 17). The above suggests that the adoption  
431 of intensity prescriptions of a high or maximal self-paced  
432 intensity and longer duration intervals in a training session  
433 format could provide a novel opportunity to homogenise the  
434 exercise prescription. The higher the self-paced exercise  
435 intensity, the more consistent the power output distribution and  
436 physiological response on a single-bout basis. The intensity  
437 prescription of maximal session effort, which is the  
438 maintenance of high levels of physical exertion over a duration  
439 that would result in a maximal exertion for a given training  
440 session has been utilised in research<sup>1,45</sup>, but not with the goal  
441 of assessing individual variability in exercise training response.

442 Previous research has demonstrated a difference in perceptual  
443 response to exercise between trained and untrained individuals  
444<sup>22</sup>, suggesting competitive athletes are more able to accurately  
445 and reliably utilise RPE to regulate exercise intensity. It has  
446 been previously suggested that perceptual responses (in this  
447 case, session RPE) are more accurate when the athlete has more  
448 experience<sup>3</sup>. Experience athletes are better equipped to

449 perceive effort accurately and reliably as they will regularly  
450 experience the use of perception of effort <sup>18</sup>. Future research  
451 may look to investigate the differences in the changes in  
452 reliability between trained and untrained individuals as  
453 intensity and duration are manipulated. However, based on the  
454 findings in the current study, the utilisation of effort-based  
455 prescriptions to elicit a reliable exercise stimulus may be  
456 limited to high or maximal session effort prescriptions, and  
457 therefore limit the application to lower intensity training.  
458 Nevertheless, this training methodology could hold potential  
459 for decreasing levels of individual variability in response to  
460 high intensity training.

461

## 462 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

463 Our findings could be utilised by athletes and coaches to  
464 potentially reduce individual variability in exercise training  
465 response by including effort-based training of high intensity  
466 and longer durations. Coaches may also be able to detect  
467 changes in the performance of an athlete when using regular  
468 maximal effort-based exercise bouts and detecting when power  
469 output exceeds the expected WAV.

470

## 471 **CONCLUSION**

472 In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that using self-  
473 paced exercise intensity prescriptions at higher effort levels and  
474 longer durations result in greatest consistency on both a within-  
475 athlete and between-athlete basis. This presents a direction to  
476 investigate the use of maximal effort prescriptions for whole  
477 training sessions in order to provide greater consistency of  
478 training stimulus, and potentially greater consistency in long-  
479 term training response.

480

## 481 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

482 None.

483

## 484 **REFERENCES**

- 485 1. Abbiss CR, Peiffer JJ, Meeusen R, Skorski S. Role of  
486 Ratings of Perceived Exertion during Self-Paced Exercise:  
487 What are We Actually Measuring? *Sports Medicine*.  
488 2015;45(9):1235-1243. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0344-5

- 489 2. Bagger M, Petersen PH, Pedersen PK. Biological  
490 Variation in Variables Associated with Exercise Training.  
491 *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
492 2003;24(6):433-440. doi:10.1055/s-2003-41180
- 493 3. Barroso R, Cardoso RK, Carmo EC, Tricoli V. Perceived  
494 Exertion in Coaches and Young Swimmers With Different  
495 Training Experience. *International Journal of Sports  
496 Physiology and Performance*. 2014;9(2):212-216.  
497 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2012-0356
- 498 4. Borg. *Borg's Perceived Exertion and Pain Scales*. Human  
499 Kinetics; 1998.
- 500 5. Buckley JP. Ratings of perceived exertion in braille:  
501 validity and reliability in production mode. *British  
502 Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2000;34(4):297-302.  
503 doi:10.1136/bjism.34.4.297
- 504 6. Burnley M, Jones AM. Power–duration relationship:  
505 Physiology, fatigue, and the limits of human performance.  
506 *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2018;18(1):1-12.  
507 doi:10.1080/17461391.2016.1249524
- 508 7. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis. *Current Directions in  
509 Psychological Science*. 1992;1(3):98-101.
- 510 8. Coutts AJ, Slattery KM, Wallace LK. Practical tests for  
511 monitoring performance, fatigue and recovery in  
512 triathletes. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*.  
513 2007;10(6):372-381. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2007.02.007
- 514 9. Coyle EF, Coggan AR, Hopper MK, Walters TJ.  
515 Determinants of endurance in well-trained cyclists.  
516 *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1988;64(6):2622-2630.  
517 doi:10.1152/jappl.1988.64.6.2622
- 518 10. Currell K, Jeukendrup AE. Validity, Reliability and  
519 Sensitivity of Measures of Sporting Performance: *Sports  
520 Medicine*. 2008;38(4):297-316. doi:10.2165/00007256-  
521 200838040-00003
- 522 11. Decroix L, De Pauw K, Foster C, Meeusen R. Guidelines  
523 to Classify Female Subject Groups in Sport-Science  
524 Research. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and  
525 Performance*. 2016;11(2):204-213.  
526 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2015-0153
- 527 12. Driller MW. The reliability of a 30-minute performance  
528 test on a Lode cycle ergometer. 2012;1:7.

- 529 13. Driller MW, Argus CK, Bartram JC, et al. Reliability of a  
530 2-Bout Exercise Test on a Wattbike Cycle Ergometer.  
531 *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*  
532 *Performance*. 2014;9(2):340-345. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2013-  
533 0103
- 534 14. Ekblom B, Golobarg AN. The Influence of Physical  
535 Training and Other Factors on the Subjective Rating of  
536 Perceived Exertion. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*.  
537 1971;83(3):399-406. doi:10.1111/j.1748-  
538 1716.1971.tb05093.x
- 539 15. Eston R. Use of Ratings of Perceived Exertion in Sports.  
540 *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*  
541 *Performance*. 2012;7(2):175-182.  
542 doi:10.1123/ijsp.7.2.175
- 543 16. Eston RG, Faulkner JA, Mason EA, Parfitt G. The validity  
544 of predicting maximal oxygen uptake from perceptually  
545 regulated graded exercise tests of different durations.  
546 *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2006;97(5):535-  
547 541. doi:10.1007/s00421-006-0213-x
- 548 17. Eston RG, Parfitt G, Campbell L, Lamb KL. Reliability of  
549 Effort Perception for Regulating Exercise Intensity in  
550 Children Using the Cart and Load Effort Rating (CALER)  
551 Scale. *Pediatric Exercise Science*. 2000;12(4):388-397.  
552 doi:10.1123/pes.12.4.388
- 553 18. Gearhart RF. Comparison of memory and combined  
554 exercise and memory-anchoring procedures on ratings of  
555 perceived exertion during short duration, near-peak-  
556 intensity cycle ergometer exercise. *Perceptual and Motor*  
557 *Skills*. 2004;99(3):775-784.
- 558 19. Gerz E, Geraskin D, Franke J, Platen P, Steimers A, Kohl-  
559 Bareis M. Tissue Oxygenation During Exercise Measured  
560 with NIRS: Reproducibility and Influence of  
561 Wavelengths. In: Van Huffel S, Naulaers G, Caicedo A,  
562 Bruley DF, Harrison DK, eds. *Oxygen Transport to Tissue*  
563 *XXXV*. Vol 789. Springer New York; 2013:171-177.  
564 doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-7411-1\_24
- 565 20. Hart SG, Staveland LE. Development of NASA-TLX  
566 (Task Load Index): Results of Empirical and Theoretical  
567 Research. In: *Advances in Psychology*. Vol 52. Elsevier;  
568 1988:139-183. doi:10.1016/S0166-4115(08)62386-9
- 569 21. Hartshorn J, Lamb K. The Reproducibility of Perceptually  
570 Regulated Exercise Responses During Short-Term Cycle

- 571 Ergometry. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
572 2004;25(5):362-367. doi:10.1055/s-2004-815840
- 573 22. Hassmén P. Perceptual and physiological responses to  
574 cycling and running in groups of trained and untrained  
575 subjects. *Europ J Appl Physiol*. 1990;60(6):445-451.  
576 doi:10.1007/BF00705035
- 577 23. Hopker JG, O'Grady C, Pageaux B. Prolonged constant  
578 load cycling exercise is associated with reduced gross  
579 efficiency and increased muscle oxygen uptake.  
580 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*.  
581 2017;27(4):408-417. doi:10.1111/sms.12673
- 582 24. Hurley BF, Hagberg JM, Allen WK, et al. Effect of  
583 training on blood lactate levels during submaximal  
584 exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*.  
585 1984;56(5):1260-1264. doi:10.1152/jappl.1984.56.5.1260
- 586 25. Jones B, Hesford CM, Cooper CE. The Use of Portable  
587 NIRS to Measure Muscle Oxygenation and  
588 Haemodynamics During a Repeated Sprint Running Test.  
589 In: Van Huffel S, Naulaers G, Caicedo A, Bruley DF,  
590 Harrison DK, eds. *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XXXV*. Vol  
591 789. Springer New York; 2013:185-191. doi:10.1007/978-  
592 1-4614-7411-1\_26
- 593 26. Katch V, Weltman A, Sady S, Freedson P. Validity of the  
594 relative percent concept for equating training intensity.  
595 *European Journal of Applied Physiology and*  
596 *Occupational Physiology*. 1978;39(4):219-227.  
597 doi:10.1007/BF00421445
- 598 27. Kemps HMC, Prompers JJ, Wessels B, et al. Skeletal  
599 muscle metabolic recovery following submaximal  
600 exercise in chronic heart failure is limited more by O<sub>2</sub>  
601 delivery than O<sub>2</sub> utilization. *Clinical Science*.  
602 2009;118(3):203-210. doi:10.1042/CS20090220
- 603 28. MacInnis MJ, Thomas ACQ, Phillips SM. The Reliability  
604 of 4-Minute and 20-Minute Time Trials and Their  
605 Relationships to Functional Threshold Power in Trained  
606 Cyclists. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*  
607 *Performance*. 2019;14(1):38-45. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2018-  
608 0100
- 609 29. Mann T, Lamberts RP, Lambert MI. Methods of  
610 Prescribing Relative Exercise Intensity: Physiological and  
611 Practical Considerations. *Sports Medicine*.  
612 2013;43(7):613-625. doi:10.1007/s40279-013-0045-x

- 613 30. Mayes R, Hardman A, Williams C. The influence of  
614 training on endurance and blood lactate concentration  
615 during submaximal exercise. *British Journal of Sports*  
616 *Medicine*. 1987;21(3):119-124.
- 617 31. Myles WS, Maclean D. A comparison of response and  
618 production protocols for assessing perceived exertion.  
619 *European Journal of Applied Physiology and*  
620 *Occupational Physiology*. 1986;55(6):585-587.  
621 doi:10.1007/BF00423201
- 622 32. Niemeijer VM, Spee RF, Jansen JP, et al. Test-retest  
623 reliability of skeletal muscle oxygenation measurements  
624 during submaximal cycling exercise in patients with  
625 chronic heart failure. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*.  
626 2017;37(1):68-78. doi:10.1111/cpf.12269
- 627 33. Nioka S, Moser D, Lech G, et al. Muscle Deoxygenation  
628 in Aerobic and Anaerobic Exercise. In: Hudetz AG,  
629 Bruley DF, eds. *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XX*. Vol 454.  
630 Springer US; 1998:63-70. doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-4863-  
631 8\_8
- 632 34. Noble BJ, Robertson RJ. *Perceived Exertion*. Human  
633 Kinetics; 1996.
- 634 35. Okuno NM, Soares-Caldeira LF, Milanez VF, Perandini  
635 LAB. Predicting time to exhaustion during high-intensity  
636 exercise using rating of perceived exertion. *Science &*  
637 *Sports*. 2015;30(6):e155-e161.  
638 doi:10.1016/j.scispo.2015.05.003
- 639 36. Parfitt G, Evans H, Eston R. Perceptually Regulated  
640 Training at RPE13 Is Pleasant and Improves Physical  
641 Health: *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*.  
642 2012;44(8):1613-1618.  
643 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31824d266e
- 644 37. de Pauw KD, Roelands B, Cheung SS, de Geus B,  
645 Rietjens G, Meeusen R. Guidelines to Classify Subject  
646 Groups in Sport-Science Research. *International Journal*  
647 *of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 2013;8(2):111-  
648 122. doi:10.1123/ijsp.8.2.111
- 649 38. Poole DC, Gaesser GA. Response of ventilatory and  
650 lactate thresholds to continuous and interval training.  
651 *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1985;58(4):1115-1121.  
652 doi:10.1152/jappl.1985.58.4.1115
- 653 39. Robertson RJ, Falkel JE, Drash AL, et al. Effect of blood  
654 pH on peripheral and central signals of perceived exertion.



- 655            *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*.  
656            1986;18(1):114-122.
- 657    40.    Saltin B, Radegran G, Koskolou MD, Roach RC. Skeletal  
658            muscle blood flow in humans and its regulation during  
659            exercise. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 1998;162(3):421-436.  
660            doi:10.1046/j.1365-201X.1998.0293e.x
- 661    41.    Schabert EJ, Hawley JA, Hopkins WG, Mujika I, Noakes  
662            TD. A new reliable laboratory test of endurance  
663            performance for road cyclists. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.  
664            1998;30:1744-1750.
- 665    42.    Scharhag-Rosenberger F, Meyer T, Gäßler N, Faude O,  
666            Kindermann W. Exercise at given percentages of  
667            VO<sub>2</sub>max: Heterogeneous metabolic responses between  
668            individuals. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*.  
669            2010;13(1):74-79. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2008.12.626
- 670    43.    Scherr J, Wolfarth B, Christle JW, Pressler A, Wagenpfeil  
671            S, Halle M. Associations between Borg's rating of  
672            perceived exertion and physiological measures of exercise  
673            intensity. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*.  
674            2013;113(1):147-155. doi:10.1007/s00421-012-2421-x
- 675    44.    Schomer H. Mental strategies and the perception of effort  
676            of marathon runners. *International Journal of Sport  
677            Psychology*. 1986;17(1):41-59.
- 678    45.    Seiler S, Sylta Ø. How Does Interval-Training  
679            Prescription Affect Physiological and Perceptual  
680            Responses? *International Journal of Sports Physiology  
681            and Performance*. 2017;12(s2):S2-80-S2-86.  
682            doi:10.1123/ijsp.2016-0464
- 683    46.    Sparks A, Williams E, Jones H, Bridge C, Marchant D.  
684            Test-retest reliability of a 16.1 km time trial in trained  
685            cyclists using the CompuTrainer ergometer. *Test*.  
686            2016;5:7.
- 687    47.    Sperandio PA, Borghi-Silva A, Barroco A, Nery LE,  
688            Almeida DR, Neder JA. Microvascular oxygen delivery-  
689            to-utilization mismatch at the onset of heavy-intensity  
690            exercise in optimally treated patients with CHF. *American  
691            Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*.  
692            2009;297(5):H1720-H1728.  
693            doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00596.2009
- 694    48.    Sporer B, McKenzie D. Reproducibility of a Laboratory  
695            Based 20-km Time Trial Evaluation in Competitive  
696            Cyclists Using the Velotron Pro Ergometer. *International*

- 697 *Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2007;28(11):940-944.  
698 doi:10.1055/s-2007-964977
- 699 49. St Clair Gibson A, Lambert EV, Rauch LHG, et al. The  
700 Role of Information Processing Between the Brain and  
701 Peripheral Physiological Systems in Pacing and  
702 Perception of Effort. *Sports Medicine*. 2006;36(8):705-  
703 722. doi:10.2165/00007256-200636080-00006
- 704 50. Thompson J, Lamb KL. The effect of perceptual  
705 anchoring on the reliability of exercise regulation in  
706 young children. *J Sports Sci*. 2001;19:27-28.
- 707 51. Weltman A, Snead D, Seip R, et al. Percentages of  
708 Maximal Heart Rate, Heart Rate Reserve and  $\dot{V}O_2$  max  
709 for Determining Endurance Training Intensity in Male  
710 Runners. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
711 1990;11(03):218-222. doi:10.1055/s-2007-1024795
- 712 52. Weltman A, Weltman J, Rutt R, et al. Percentages of  
713 Maximal Heart Rate, Heart Rate Reserve, and  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak  
714 for Determining Endurance Training Intensity in  
715 Sedentary Women\*. *International Journal of Sports  
716 Medicine*. 1989;10(03):212-216. doi:10.1055/s-2007-  
717 1024903
- 718

719 **Table 1** - Power output and cardiovascular response during RPE-clamped exercise bouts  
 720 showing mean data, standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable	Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation			
			TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)	
<b>Power output (W)</b>						
RPE 9 a	SHORT	95	41	43.1	43.5	13.1
	MED	93	40	42.8	43.3	15.4
	LONG	96	39	41.0	41.3	19.7
RPE 13 a	SHORT b	228	69	30.3	30.5	15.2
	MED	200	49	24.6	24.9	10.8
	LONG	202	48	23.9	24.2	9.4
RPE 17 a	SHORT b	349	97	27.7	8.9	10.6
	MED b	275	61	22.1	22.4	8.6
	LONG b	261	50	19.3	19.5	5.3
<b>Work done (kJ)</b>						
RPE 9 a	SHORT b	6	2	44.0	44.4	11.8
	MED b	22	10	43.1	43.6	16.1
	LONG b	46	19	40.8	41.2	19.7
RPE 13 a	SHORT b	14	4	30.7	30.9	15.5
	MED b	48	12	24.7	25.0	10.7
	LONG b	97	23	23.9	24.3	9.3
RPE 17 a	SHORT b	21	6	27.4	8.6	11.1
	MED b	66	15	22.2	22.5	8.5
	LONG b	125	24	19.2	19.5	5.2
<b>Heart rate (bpm)</b>						
RPE 9 a	SHORT	109	12	11.3	11.5	5.3
	MED	111	15	13.8	14.0	7.5
	LONG	111	16	14.6	14.7	6.5
RPE 13 a	SHORT b	127	14	10.9	11.0	6.1
	MED c	138	13	9.7	9.9	6.6
	LONG	142	15	10.3	10.5	6.1
RPE 17 a	SHORT b	139	12	8.5	3.0	3.4
	MED b	154	12	8.0	8.1	4.2
	LONG b	160	11	6.7	6.8	3.0

721 *a = Significant difference observed between all RPE's (P < .001). b = P < .001 vs. all other durations. c = P < .05 vs.*  
 722 *LONG.*

723

724 **Table 2** - Relative power output, cardiovascular, and expired gas response during RPE-  
 725 clamped exercise bouts showing mean data, standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable	Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation			
			TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)	
<b>Power as % MMP (%)</b>						
RPE 9 <i>a</i>	SHORT	28	11	39.2	39.6	13.5
	MED	28	11	40.5	41.1	15.6
	LONG	29	11	39.5	39.9	19.6
RPE 13 <i>a</i>	SHORT	67	17	24.7	25.0	15.2
	MED	59	12	19.4	19.7	10.8
	LONG	60	11	17.6	17.9	9.4
RPE 17 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	103	20	19.8	6.5	10.6
	MED <i>b</i>	81	9	11.7	11.8	8.6
	LONG <i>b</i>	77	6	7.8	7.5	5.2
<b>Heart rate as % HR<sub>max</sub> (%)</b>						
RPE 9 <i>a</i>	SHORT	60	6	10.6	10.8	5.5
	MED	62	8	13.6	13.8	7.6
	LONG	61	9	14.2	14.3	6.4
RPE 13 <i>A</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	70	7	10.1	10.2	6.0
	MED <i>c</i>	77	7	9.6	9.7	6.4
	LONG	79	8	10.0	10.1	6.1
RPE 17 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	77	6	7.3	2.5	3.3
	MED <i>b</i>	85	6	6.7	6.8	4.2
	LONG <i>b</i>	89	4	5.0	5.0	2.9
<b><math>\dot{V}O_2</math> as % <math>\dot{V}O_{2max}</math> (%)</b>						
RPE 9 <i>a</i>	SHORT	38.6	8.3	21.5	21.7	10.0
	MED	39.4	9.9	25.2	25.6	10.7
	LONG	40.6	10.1	24.8	25.1	13.0
RPE 13 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	56.7	10.5	18.5	18.6	12.0
	MED <i>c</i>	65.2	11.5	17.6	17.3	9.7
	LONG	69.2	11.9	17.2	17.2	8.6
RPE 17 <i>a</i>	SHORT <i>b</i>	67.7	11.0	16.2	5.0	10.3
	MED <i>b</i>	82.2	10.8	13.1	13.2	7.6
	LONG <i>b</i>	86.3	11.4	13.3	13.4	4.2

726 *a* = Significant difference observed between all RPE's ( $P < .001$ ). *b* =  $P < .001$  vs. all other durations. *c* =  $P < .05$  vs.  
 727 LONG.

728

729 **Table 3** - Expired gas response during RPE-clamped exercise bouts showing mean data,  
 730 standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable	Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation		
			TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)
<b><math>\dot{V}O_2</math> (L.min<sup>-1</sup>)</b>					
RPE 9 a SHORT c	1.50	0.38	25.3	24.8	14.8
MED	1.51	0.39	26.1	26.3	10.4
LONG	1.53	0.43	27.9	28.3	11.2
RPE 13 a SHORT b	2.21	0.52	23.7	23.7	12.2
MED c	2.54	0.53	20.9	20.9	9.6
LONG	2.69	0.54	20.0	20.2	8.5
RPE 17 a SHORT b	2.65	0.61	22.9	8.3	10.2
MED b	3.22	0.63	19.6	19.8	7.6
LONG b	3.36	0.57	16.9	17.1	4.3
<b><math>\dot{V}CO_2</math> (L.min<sup>-1</sup>)</b>					
RPE 9 a SHORT	1.39	0.38	27.1	27.2	10.9
MED	1.42	0.41	28.7	29.1	10.8
LONG	1.48	0.44	29.9	30.3	15.0
RPE 13 a SHORT b	2.08	0.55	26.6	26.6	14.5
MED c	2.51	0.65	25.8	25.6	12.4
LONG	2.73	0.61	22.5	22.5	11.0
RPE 17 a SHORT b	2.75	0.84	30.7	10.5	15.9
MED	3.62	0.82	22.8	23.0	11.1
LONG	3.67	0.67	18.2	18.4	5.9
<b><math>\dot{V}_E</math> (L.min<sup>-1</sup>)</b>					
RPE 9 a SHORT	42.17	11.16	26.5	26.4	10.8
MED	42.33	12.18	28.8	29.2	12.4
LONG	42.94	10.99	25.6	25.8	13.3
RPE 13 a SHORT b	61.49	17.94	29.2	29.2	15.2
MED c	72.32	20.18	27.9	28.0	14.5
LONG	77.53	20.68	26.7	27.0	11.6
RPE 17 a SHORT b	81.50	25.37	31.1	11.0	14.4
MED b	104.20	27.71	26.6	27.0	10.6
LONG b	111.77	24.20	21.7	22.0	7.0

731 *a = Significant difference observed between all RPE's (P < .001). b = P < .001 vs. all other durations. c = P < .05 vs.*  
 732 *LONG.*

733

734 **Table 4** - Muscle oxygenation response during RPE-clamped exercise bouts showing mean  
 735 data, standard deviation, and coefficients of variation.

Variable				Mean	SD	Coefficient of variation		
						TV (%)	BAV (%)	WAV (%)
<b>ΔTSI%</b>								
RPE 9	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b</i>	-2.7	9.7	-355.6	-515.3	3.3
		MED		-4.5	12.0	-264.5	-278.0	-10.3
		LONG		-4.5	12.0	-264.5	-278.0	-62.2
RPE 13	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b</i>	-12.9	12.3	-96.0	-97.5	-83.8
		MED		-14.2	13.6	-95.7	-98.0	-81.2
		LONG		-14.8	13.2	-89.4	-90.9	-50.6
RPE 17	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>d</i>	-16.0	12.5	-78.0	-23.6	-45.0
		MED		-16.9	12.8	-75.7	-76.8	-34.1
		LONG		-17.1	13.9	-81.4	-83.0	-39.6
<b>ΔO<sub>2</sub>Hb</b>								
RPE 9	<i>a</i>	SHORT		2.6	7.6	292.5	299.9	-41.9
		MED		2.5	9.2	363.7	395.6	16.4
		LONG		2.6	7.6	292.5	299.9	25.1
RPE 13	<i>a</i>	SHORT		-8.4	10.9	-128.7	-128.8	-124.8
		MED		-8.4	10.3	-122.8	-124.8	112.3
		LONG		-9.5	10.4	-110.0	-112.4	-231.1
RPE 17	<i>a</i>	SHORT		-11.5	9.8	-84.9	-34.8	-133.9
		MED		-12.1	10.8	-89.2	-90.7	0.1
		LONG		-11.7	12.1	-103.8	-105.8	422.7
<b>ΔHHb</b>								
RPE 9	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b</i>	5.7	5.6	98.0	100.2	33.7
		MED		6.4	7.3	114.6	114.8	38.9
		LONG		6.4	6.1	95.4	97.4	44.4
RPE 13	<i>a</i>	SHORT	<i>b,c</i>	13.2	11.4	86.9	82.1	33.6
		MED		14.7	10.7	72.8	72.7	28.8
		LONG		15.5	11.4	73.1	74.0	20.9
RPE 17	<i>a</i>	SHORT		15.0	9.9	66.2	20.6	18.1
		MED		16.8	11.7	69.6	69.6	20.2
		LONG		17.4	13.0	74.8	75.5	22.9

736 *a* = Significant difference observed between all session formats ( $P < .001$ ). *b* =  $P < .05$  vs LONG. *c* =  $P < .001$  vs MED. *d*  
 737 =  $P < .05$  vs MED

738