

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Gardner, Charlie J. and Gough, Charlotte and Levrel, Adrian and Singleton, Rebecca and Rocliffe, Steve and Vincke, Xavier and Harris, Alasdair (2017) Small-scale fisheries, food security, and the SSF Guidelines: value chain challenges in two community-managed fisheries in western Madagascar. In: Jentoft, S. and Chuenpagdee, R. and Barragan-Palladines, M.J. and Franz, N., eds. The Small-Scale

DOI

Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/84413/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

1 **Small-scale fisheries, food security, and the SSF Guidelines: value**
2 **chain challenges in two community-managed fisheries in western**
3 **Madagascar**

4
5
6 Charlie J. Gardner^{1,2*}, Charlotte Gough¹, Adrian Levrel¹, Rebecca L. Singleton^{1,3}, Steve
7 Rocliffe¹, Xavier Vincke¹ and Alasdair Harris¹

*¹Blue Ventures Conservation, Level 2 Annex, Omnibus Business Centre, 39-41 North Road,
London, N7 9DP, UK.*

*²Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology (DICE), University of Kent, Canterbury CT2
7NR, UK*

*³Institute for Oceans and Fisheries, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4,
Canada*

* Corresponding author:

Email addresses: CJG, cg399@kent.ac.uk; CG, charlie@blueventures.org; AL,
adrian@blueventures.org; RLS, rebecca@blueventures.org; SR, steve@blueventures.org; XV,
xavier@blueventures.org; AH, al@blueventures.org

Word count: 6532 (+ abstract 180, references 1574)

2 Figures

0 Tables

9 **Abstract**

10 Madagascar, among the world’s poorest countries, depends heavily on small-scale fisheries
11 for food security and income. Many of its fisheries have transitioned from subsistence- to
12 market-oriented in recent decades, driven by the emergence of new export markets. In this
13 chapter we consider the *Voluntary Guidelines for Securing Sustainable Small-scale Fisheries*
14 *in the Context of Food Security and Poverty Eradication* (‘SSF Guidelines’) in light of
15 experiences from two small-scale fisheries in Madagascar: for octopus (*Octopus cyanea*) and
16 mud crab (*Scylla serrata*). We focus on articles related to value chains, post-harvest and trade.
17 The dispersed nature of the fisheries means fishers rely on private sector collectors to access
18 markets. Post-harvest actors hold disproportionate negotiating power, with benefits from
19 management initiatives accruing mainly to actors high in the value chain rather than the
20 fishers who implement them. To address these imbalances and increase the contribution of
21 these fisheries to poverty reduction and food security, it is critical to empower fishers and
22 improve their representation in management processes. Data deficiencies must also be
23 tackled, to enhance transparency and provide an evidence base for decision-making.

24

25 **Keywords:** Community-based natural resource management; Locally Managed Marine Area
26 (LMMA); Market-based approaches; Mud crab; Octopus

27

28 **Introduction**

29 Small-scale fisheries (SSFs) directly or indirectly support the livelihoods of over 500 million
30 people worldwide (Béné et al. 2007; FAO 2016), so ensuring that they are managed
31 sustainably is critical to food security and poverty reduction efforts (FAO 2005; Bell et al.
32 2009; Garcia & Rosenberg 2010; Smith et al. 2010). They are particularly important in
33 tropical developing countries where the majority of the world’s fishers live (Pomeroy and
34 Andrew 2007), but where productivity and sustainability are often threatened by a suite of
35 factors including competition with industrial fleets (Pauly 1997; 2006), climate change
36 (Allison et al. 2009; Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno 2010), inadequate environmental governance
37 (Allison et al. 2012; Garcia & Rosenberg 2010), and the marginalisation of small-scale
38 fisheries in policy and planning (Andrew et al. 2007; Mills et al. 2011). As a result, many
39 such fisheries are “failing to fulfil their potential as engines of social and economic
40 development” (Andrew et al. 2007).

41
42 Recognising that a lack of policy guidance was hindering the sustainable development of the
43 sector, in 2015 the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO) published
44 the *Voluntary Guidelines for Securing Sustainable Small-scale Fisheries in the Context of*
45 *Food Security and Poverty Eradication* (‘SSF Guidelines’, FAO 2015), the first
46 internationally agreed instrument focusing on small-scale fisheries. Developed through a
47 bottom-up, participatory process involving over 4000 fishers, academics, government and
48 civil society stakeholders from over 120 countries (da Silva 2015), the guidelines are viewed
49 as a potential turning point for small-scale fishers worldwide (Jentoft 2014). However, the
50 impact of the SSF Guidelines will depend entirely on their implementation, and this should be
51 a “cyclical, interactive, and iterative process, where original objectives are subject to repeated
52 questioning, debate, evaluation and reformulation” (Jentoft 2014).

53
54 In this chapter, we contribute to this refinement process by considering the SSF Guidelines in
55 light of experiences from two small-scale fisheries in Madagascar, an island state with
56 extremely high poverty (World Bank 2015) and high dependence on small-scale fisheries for
57 both food security and income (Le Manach et al. 2012; Barnes-Mauthe et al. 2013). The two
58 fisheries target reef octopus (*Octopus cyanea*) and mangrove mud crab (*Scylla serrata*). We
59 focus specifically on Chapter 7 of the SSF Guidelines ‘value chains, post-harvest and trade’,
60 since the isolated, dispersed nature of the fisheries, combined with their export-oriented

61 markets, mean that interventions centred on reducing value-chain inefficiencies have great
62 potential to improve the economic returns received by small-scale fishers.

63

64 **Study system**

65 The fourth largest island on Earth, Madagascar spans 14 degrees of latitude and has more than
66 5500 km of coastline, along which over half the population is concentrated (WRI 2003). The
67 third least food secure nation globally (GFSI 2015), Madagascar suffers absolute poverty rates
68 of around 90 % (World Bank 2015). Its largely rural population depends heavily on renewable
69 natural resources for subsistence and household income (Horning 2008), particularly in
70 western coastal regions, where poor soils and climatic constraints impede agriculture
71 (Razanaka et al. 2001), and where small-scale fisheries are concentrated (Harris 2011). This
72 area is home to the Vezo, a semi-nomadic ethnic group of traditional fishers (Astuti 1995).
73 Traditionally subsistence dominated, the Vezo economy has become increasingly trade-
74 oriented since the 1970s, and has focused on export markets for octopus, sea cucumbers and
75 shark fin since the turn of the 21st century (Iida 2005; Muttенzer 2015; Cripps and Gardner
76 2016). However, several stressors threaten the safety net provided by small-scale fisheries in
77 this region, including reef sedimentation (Maina et al. 2012; Sheridan et al. 2015), coral
78 bleaching (McClanahan et al. 2009), destructive capture techniques (Andréfouët et al. 2013),
79 and overfishing driven by increases in fisher populations, improved technologies and new or
80 growing markets (Bruggemann et al. 2012; Grenier 2013; Muttенzer 2015). In addition the
81 State continues to promote access to its fisheries by foreign fleets (Le Manach et al. 2013),
82 despite evidence that this may damage the sector's productivity (Le Manach et al. 2012), and
83 lacks the capacity to regulate the small-scale fisheries sector effectively (Harris 2011).

84

85 Given the lack of central fisheries management capacity, management initiatives in the
86 country's small-scale fisheries sector are largely driven by non-governmental organisations
87 (NGOs), often with assistance from international development agencies. Blue Ventures (BV),
88 a British environmental conservation NGO working with communities to rebuild tropical
89 fisheries, has been supporting local fisheries management and conservation initiatives in
90 Madagascar since 2003. From its initial experience with octopus fishery management and
91 community-managed protected area development, the organisation now implements diverse
92 programmes in community health, education, aquaculture and mangrove-based carbon
93 emissions reductions, and contributes to the management of several fisheries.

94

95 *Octopus fishery*

96 The octopus fishery of south-west Madagascar targets three species, although *Octopus cyanea*
97 makes up 95 % of landings (Raberinary and Benbow 2012). Octopus is harvested by all
98 sectors of Vezo society and is either gleaned from coral reef flats during low tides, or caught
99 by free divers in deeper waters (Westerman and Benbow 2013). Traditionally of minor local
100 importance, the fishery expanded at the turn of the 21st century, when private sector export
101 companies started to send trucks carrying ice buckets to remote villages along the coast,
102 buying octopus from intermediaries and transferring them to processing facilities and the
103 export cold chain (L'Haridon 2006).

104

105 In order to improve the productivity of the fishery, in 2004 a trial closure was implemented
106 over 150 ha of reef flat near the village of Andavadoaka by the local community with support
107 from BV and several private sector, NGO and government partners (Harris 2007). The
108 perceived success of this approach stimulated adoption of the periodic closure system by
109 neighbouring villages, and in the decade since, more than 200 hundred closures have been
110 implemented along 500 km of coastline in south-west Madagascar, as well as others in
111 northern Madagascar, Pemba (Tanzania), Cabo Delgado (Mozambique) and Bahia de los
112 Angeles (Mexico). Recent evaluations have demonstrated that well-managed closures create
113 net economic benefits for fishers (Oliver et al. 2015). The periodic closure system has also
114 influenced national fisheries policy, with the introduction of a minimum catch size of 350 g
115 and an annual national fishery closure for 1.5 months in 2005. National closures have also
116 been adopted by Mauritius and Rodrigues in the Western Indian Ocean as a result of this
117 work.

118

119 At present (2016), periodic octopus closures form the bedrock of broader marine resource
120 management initiatives centred on Andavadoaka. Twenty-five villages are grouped into a
121 local marine environmental management association named Velondriake, governed by an
122 elected committee. The association is legally empowered to set and enforce resource use rules
123 using a form of by-law known as *dina* (see Article 7.4; Andriamalala and Gardner 2010), and
124 manages over 600 km² of shallow coastal seas as Madagascar's first Locally Managed Marine
125 Area (LMMA). In addition to periodic closure sites, the LMMA incorporates permanent
126 reserves in reef and mangrove areas, and in 2015 was officially gazetted as an IUCN category
127 V protected area, co-managed by the Velondriake Association and Blue Ventures.

128

129 *Mud crab fishery*

130 Madagascar's mud crab (*Scylla serrata*) fishery is based on traditional methods using simple
131 gears, with fishers operating on foot or from small dugout canoes in the mangrove forests of
132 the west and north-west coasts. Small-scale collectors and sellers operate locally, often within
133 informal markets, and sell their produce to seafood export companies. Market demand has
134 grown significantly in recent years, particularly for live crabs for export to China, leading to
135 price increases of 500% since 2011 and subsequent pressure on wild stocks. In 2014 national
136 production reached 3087 T of which 75% was exported to China (Fisheries Hygiene
137 Authority statistics, 2015).

138
139 Since 2011, local communities and the Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources have
140 implemented management measures, including 3-5 month periodic closures. National
141 legislative changes in 2014 set a minimum catch size of 11 cm, an annual quota of 5000 T
142 (4250 T for export), and an annual nationwide fishery closure from July to October (Arrêtés
143 37206/14 and 32101/14). Management priorities include stock management through further
144 periodic closures, decreasing post-harvest losses, developing crab aquaculture and/or fattening
145 to reduce pressure on wild stocks, and improving monitoring, transparency and regulation
146 along the value chain.

147

148 **Application of SSF Guidelines chapter 7: value chains, post-harvest and trade**

149 The bulk of BV's management interventions in Madagascar's small-scale fisheries were
150 implemented prior to 2015, thus their success or otherwise cannot be linked to the SSF
151 Guidelines. Nevertheless, experiences in Madagascar's SSF sector over the last decade offer
152 valuable insights that can contribute to their iterative improvement, particularly on account of
153 the public-private partnership-based approaches to fisheries management and post-harvest
154 value chain improvement that has been developed in these fisheries. The lessons learnt from
155 our experiences may prove instructive to other parties – be they State, private or civil society
156 – seeking to implement the SSF Guidelines in similar socio-ecological contexts. Here, we
157 discuss our experiences of value chain interventions in Madagascar's small-scale octopus and
158 mud crab fisheries using the framework of the SSF Guidelines Chapter 7 on 'value chains,
159 post-harvest and trade'.

160

161 **Article 7.1** *All parties should recognize the central role that the small-scale fisheries post-*
162 *harvest subsector and its actors play in the value chain. All parties should ensure that post-*

163 *harvest actors are part of relevant decision-making processes, recognizing that there are*
164 *sometimes unequal power relationships between value chain actors and that vulnerable and*
165 *marginalized groups may require special support.*

166

167 Both the octopus and mud crab fisheries occur largely in isolated locations lacking transport
168 infrastructure or cold chains, but are primarily export-oriented. As such, post-harvest actors
169 are particularly important for economic productivity, providing collection, processing, and
170 access to markets (Oliver et al. 2015; Smartfish 2015). BV's actions in both fisheries integrate
171 post-harvest actors in all management activities, but focus predominantly on traditional small-
172 scale fishers, the most vulnerable and marginalised actors in the supply chain (see Article
173 7.4).

174

175 The seafood export company Copefrito, one of two main collectors operating out of the city
176 of Toliara (SW Madagascar), has been a key partner in the development of periodic fishery
177 closures for octopus since initial trials in 2004 (Harris 2007; Oliver et al. 2015). By
178 coordinating collections on closure openings and offering a price premium of 15-20 % for
179 octopus at openings, as well as a premium for larger octopus, the partnership contributes to
180 the economic incentive for good closure management. This move by a key commercial actor
181 has since been followed by the region's other principal buyer, Murex, as well as by some
182 smaller collectors.

183

184 All octopus fishery stakeholders (including fisher associations and collectors/export
185 companies as well as the Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources, marine research
186 institute (IHSM) and supporting NGOs) convene quarterly within the octopus fishery
187 management platform (*Comité de Gestion de la Pêche aux Poulpes* (CGP)). An informal
188 platform with no official fisheries management mandate, the CGP nonetheless serves as an
189 effective forum for engaging stakeholders. Decisions made by the body mostly relate to the
190 management of periodic closures, negotiation of prices, and implementation of the south-west
191 Octopus Fishery Improvement Project (FIP) – an action plan intended to move the fishery
192 towards certification by the Marine Stewardship Council's (MSC) ecolabel.

193

194 In the mud crab fishery, buyers are, whenever possible, involved in decisions over the timing
195 of periodic closures, or notified of opening dates, in order to ensure prompt collection
196 following openings. There is some evidence from the Smartfish project (see Article 7.3) that

197 buyers catalysed adoption of improved storage and transport technologies, since they valued
198 healthier crabs (Smartfish 2015). To identify the key actors in the value chain to be integrated
199 into management activities, a stakeholder analysis for this fishery will be completed in 2016.

200

201 *Challenges*

202 Within the octopus fishery, the development of effective partnerships between private sector
203 buyers, fishers and NGOs is threatened by rivalry and mistrust, particularly between those
204 operating without the necessary authorisations. While illegal, such informal trade is
205 practically unavoidable, given the country's vast coastline, poor transport and
206 communications infrastructure, and limited central fisheries management capacity. While the
207 CGP helps to engage community representatives in an open forum, it lacks the legal authority
208 to bring about necessary but unpopular actions, and as such, currently operates based on
209 cross-party consensus. Even within the CGP, fishers have limited negotiating power over
210 prices as they remain heavily dependent on post-harvest actors for access to markets.

211

212 Madagascar's mud crab fishery lacks a coordination platform and thus the negotiating power
213 of fishers is even more limited. There is no fixed price for mud crab, and buyers are in a
214 strong position to dictate prices since fishers have little capacity for post-harvest conservation
215 of their produce and remain unorganised. Coordination efforts are more complex than in the
216 octopus fishery, since there are more buyers, collaboration is lower, and the market is much
217 more dynamic.

218

219 **Article 7.2** *All parties should recognize the role women often play in the post-harvest*
220 *subsector and support improvements to facilitate women's participation in such work. States*
221 *should ensure that amenities and services appropriate for women are available as required in*
222 *order to enable women to retain and enhance their livelihoods in the post-harvest subsector.*

223

224 Women play a key role in both fisheries, as fishers and in the immediate post-harvest sub-
225 sector. Between 2004 and 2011, 58% of recorded octopus fishing outings in 14 villages were
226 by women (Westerman and Benbow 2013). Women comprise the majority of local
227 intermediaries employed by export companies to buy octopus from fishers at the village level.
228 Nevertheless, men tend to dominate discussions and decision-making related to octopus
229 closures (Westerman and Benbow 2013), as well as the CGP.

230

231 In Velondriake an awareness-raising campaign called *Ampela Tsy Magnavake* ('women not
232 segregated') was launched in 2014, aimed at encouraging the participation of women in
233 octopus fishery management and culminating in the establishment of women's fora in 19
234 villages. Each forum has two focal points participating in key meetings and relating news
235 back to their group. The initiative has helped to increase the participation of women in
236 meetings.

237

238 *Challenges*

239 The transition of octopus from subsistence food to valuable commodity over the last decade
240 has increased the participation of men in the fishery, reducing the proportion of landings from
241 women, and marginalising them from decision-making processes. While efforts are underway
242 to address the latter issue, traditional Malagasy societies are strongly patriarchal, and women
243 traditionally play only a limited role in collective decision-making (Gezon 2002). Although
244 the *Ampela Tsy Magnavake* initiative has stimulated increased female participation in fishery
245 management, an exclusive focus on women risks the disempowerment and disenchantment of
246 male stakeholders. Further, while women's attendance has increased, many remain reluctant
247 to actively participate and speak out in meetings.

248

249 **Article 7.3** *States should foster, provide and enable investments in appropriate*
250 *infrastructures, organizational structures and capacity development to support the small-*
251 *scale fisheries post-harvest subsector in producing good quality and safe fish and fishery*
252 *products, for both export and domestic markets, in a responsible and sustainable manner.*

253

254 State investment in post-harvest infrastructure was virtually non-existent until the launch of
255 the African Development Bank-funded *Projet d'Appui aux Communautés de Pêcheurs*
256 (PACP) in 2006. Project activities included the construction of fisheries landing and pre-
257 processing stations ('*débarcadères*') in 20 octopus fishing villages in the south-west costing
258 1.5 million UC. The aim of the *débarcadères* is to ensure landings meet the hygiene standards
259 expected of western markets, and their planning included provisions for solar power and ice
260 production facilities. Unfortunately, the project failed to consult local fishers, and whilst
261 facilities were completed in 2013, most remain locked and unopened (ICAI 2015). The poor
262 planning and execution of this project has been highly controversial, making headlines in the
263 British press as an example of wasted overseas aid money (Martin 2015; Parfitt 2015).

264 I

265 Although there has been little State involvement in the mud crab fishery, the European-Union
266 funded Smartfish programme has focused on reducing post-harvest mortality in the mud crab
267 fishery since 2013. Initiatives have included the promotion of low-tech crab storage and
268 transport equipment such as improved ox-carts and fishing vessels, fixed cages, tidal pens and
269 storage sheds, as well as a multimedia campaign on mortality reduction. The project, which
270 has been implemented in 33 villages across four regions has decreased post-capture mortality
271 by about 15 %, benefitting all actors in the value chain (Smartfish 2015, Smartfish,
272 unpublished data).

273

274 *Challenges*

275 State agencies are virtually absent through much of rural Madagascar, and major-donor-
276 agency funded development interventions are not always well conceived, implemented or
277 received. Consequently, there is widespread apathy amongst fishers and buyers regarding the
278 State's capacity to mobilise support for infrastructure improvements in support of small-scale
279 fisheries. The persistence of pre-existing collection stations for octopus, in which fishers sell
280 catches to intermediaries in unsanitary conditions under a makeshift wooden shelter – often
281 against a backdrop of a sophisticated yet securely locked and vacant landing station –
282 provides a compelling illustration of the origin of such sentiment.

283

284 **Article 7.4** *States and development partners should recognize the traditional forms of*
285 *associations of fishers and fish workers and promote their adequate organizational and*
286 *capacity development in all stages of the value chain in order to enhance their income and*
287 *livelihood security in accordance with national legislation. Accordingly, there should be*
288 *support for the setting up and the development of cooperatives, professional organizations of*
289 *the small-scale fisheries sector and other organizational structures, as well as marketing*
290 *mechanisms, e.g. auctions, as appropriate.*

291

292 As part of a broader initiative to devolve natural resource management rights and
293 responsibilities to rural communities (Ferguson et al. 2014), in 1996 the Malagasy State
294 permitted local social norms known as *dina* to be formalised and ratified as by-laws in the
295 context of community forestry contracts (Antona et al. 2004). *Dina* have since been used to
296 formalise resource use regulations in a range of community-based conservation and natural
297 resource management initiatives, including community forestry contracts (Pollini et al. 2014),

298 LMMAAs (Andriamalala and Gardner 2010), and new protected areas (Virah-Sawmy et al.
299 2014).

300

301 Periodic octopus fishery closures in Velondriake LMMA are regulated by the Velondriake
302 *dina*, which specifies rules for each management zone, as well as penalties and enforcement
303 mechanisms. Developed in a participatory process, it can be applied against offenders locally,
304 but serious cases can be taken to a magistrate's court if required (Andriamalala and Gardner
305 2010). Similar *dina* have been developed with local communities in several LMMAs along
306 the south-west coast to support octopus fisheries management efforts.

307

308 In the mud crab fishery BV has supported the creation of five fisher associations in the
309 Menabe region, enabling a limited number of fishers to receive licenses to sell their products
310 in urban markets, thus bypassing middlemen and gaining higher prices. It is anticipated that
311 the associations will also empower fishers to negotiate better prices with collectors. These
312 associations use *dina* to regulate their fisheries (including management measures such as
313 periodic mangrove closures), although the *dina* have yet to be ratified in a District court and
314 thus can only be applied at the local level without recourse to formal legal procedures.

315

316 *Challenges*

317 While *dina* have allowed the legal recognition of community-developed (or in many cases
318 NGO-suggested, Pollini et al. 2014) rules over local resource use, in practice the Velondriake
319 *dina* is rarely fully applied within the community because of strong social cohesion, and is
320 difficult or impossible to apply against outsiders such as migrants, motorised artisanal fishers
321 or illegal industrial fleets (Andriamalala and Gardner 2010; Cripps and Gardner 2016). In
322 addition, although communities are legally empowered to manage the fishery, they have little
323 capacity to influence the post-harvest value chain, since they are unable to transfer their
324 product to market and thus remain entirely dependent on buyers to support their efforts. While
325 the CGP provides an informal platform for price negotiations, buyers retain a position of
326 disproportionate strength in discussions. This is compounded by a lack of awareness amongst
327 fishers of their rights and ability to dictate terms, as well as by fisher poverty, which compels
328 them to sell their produce daily. Further, all forms of formal social organisation within small-
329 scale fisheries in Madagascar are hampered by very low literacy rates, high isolation and a
330 lack of infrastructure, as well as by the costs and legal/administrative complexity of existing
331 mechanisms. Training in collective bargaining is currently being trialled within BV's

332 aquaculture programme and may be extended to fisheries following evaluation. Fisheries
333 management efforts for mud crab are further constrained by jurisdictional complexities
334 regarding the mangrove habitats in which they live, since mangroves are legally considered
335 terrestrial forests under national legislation and therefore do not fall under the remit of the
336 Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources.

337

338 **Article 7.5** *All parties should avoid post-harvest losses and waste and seek ways to create*
339 *value addition, building also on existing traditional and local cost-efficient technologies,*
340 *local innovations and culturally appropriate technology transfers. Environmentally*
341 *sustainable practices within an ecosystem approach should be promoted, deterring, for*
342 *example, waste of inputs (water, fuelwood, etc.) in small-scale fish handling and processing.*

343

344 Post-harvest losses were identified as the key inefficiency in the mud crab value chain, with
345 losses averaging 23 % (rising to 50 % in the rainy season) due to low investment in storage
346 and transport materials by collectors (Smartfish 2015). The Smartfish project aims to reduce
347 such losses through the spread of simple innovations made using readily-available local
348 materials (see Article 7.3). These techniques reduce waste and ensure that crabs are kept in
349 good condition, facilitating entry into more lucrative export markets for live, rather than
350 frozen, animals. Independently of Smartfish, BV has launched a feasibility study into ‘crab
351 fattening’ aquaculture, since the value can double from an ‘empty’ crab to a ‘full’ crab of the
352 same size. Undersized and badly damaged crabs are consumed by fishers or sold locally, thus
353 waste during immediate post-harvest stages is low, though losses during onward
354 transportation may be significant.

355

356 Waste in the octopus fishery is minimal. Undersized octopus and those not processed to
357 export standards are dried for sale in the domestic market, thus contributing to domestic food
358 security, while ink sacs are used as bait to fish rabbitfish (*Siganus* spp.) (Gough et al. 2009).
359 Because current harvesting methods (spearing) damage the product and reduce its value, the
360 possibility of introducing alternative methods (e.g. traps) is being investigated. However,
361 these may trigger overfishing, for example by allowing the harvesting of octopus from deeper
362 waters than can currently be fished. Post-harvest inputs are low in both fisheries, and we do
363 not believe that they generate serious environmental impacts.

364

365 *Challenges*

366 The octopus fishery suffers from overwhelming dependence on a small number of buyers with
367 exclusive access to the cold chain for preservation. Although some commercial operators are
368 sensitive to fishers' needs and interests, fishers remain entirely distinct from collection
369 businesses, and have little negotiating power.

370

371 **Article 7.6** *States should facilitate access to local, national, regional and international*
372 *markets and promote equitable and non-discriminatory trade for small-scale fisheries*
373 *products. States should work together to introduce trade regulations and procedures that in*
374 *particular support regional trade in products from small-scale fisheries and taking into*
375 *account the agreements under the World Trade Organization (WTO), bearing in mind the*
376 *rights and obligations of WTO members where appropriate.*

377

378 There is no regional cooperation between Western Indian Ocean coastal states with regards
379 the octopus or mud crab trade. Existing trade regulations relating to the mud crab fishery
380 work against the interests of small-scale fishers since quotas are based on vague and obsolete
381 mangrove productivity studies and are likely to have overestimated productivity of stocks,
382 potentially stimulating overfishing. Further, by requiring all collector-exporters to have an
383 aquaculture pond of at least 1500 m², new export regulations discriminate against small
384 collectors seeking to export live crabs.

385

386 **Article 7.7** *States should give due consideration to the impact of international trade in fish*
387 *and fishery products and of vertical integration on local small-scale fishers, fish workers and*
388 *their communities. States should ensure that promotion of international fish trade and export*
389 *production do not adversely affect the nutritional needs of people for whom fish is critical to a*
390 *nutritious diet, their health and well-being and for whom other comparable sources of food*
391 *are not readily available or affordable.*

392

393 The impacts of octopus and mud crab export markets on the food security of small-scale
394 fisher communities, as well as of inland communities they may previously have traded with,
395 have not been investigated. Many Vezo fishers now prioritise fishing for trade (i.e. sea
396 cucumbers, shark fin) over fishing for subsistence (Muttенzer 2015; Cripps and Gardner
397 2016). There is also some anecdotal evidence that Vezo communities consume more octopus
398 during the national closure (when buyers are not operating) than during other periods,
399 suggesting that octopus which is usually traded may otherwise have been consumed. Trade is

400 additionally likely to reduce the amount of protein locally available, with children the most
401 liable to suffer as a result. Conversely, by providing a ready source of cash in isolated
402 communities that largely rely on subsistence fishing, export markets offer a rare opportunity
403 for fishers to earn income to purchase foodstuffs, as well as invest in fishing equipment. The
404 question of whether these markets serve to alleviate or reduce poverty and food security in
405 participating fisher communities would certainly benefit from additional research.

406
407 Blue Ventures supports MIHARI, a national peer-to-peer learning network of more than 150
408 community associations working on LMMAs. The network gives small-scale fishers
409 increased capacity to reach and influence policy makers (Mayol 2013), and is currently
410 raising the profile of the small-scale fishing sector in discussions around Madagascar's plan to
411 triple the total coverage of its marine protected areas, with a focus on LMMA-based
412 approaches (Rajaonarimampianina 2014).

413
414 *Challenges*

415 International trade remains very lightly regulated but can have rapid and profound impacts on
416 both small-scale fisher communities and the resources/ecosystems they exploit. For example,
417 fishing intensity in the mud crab fishery strictly follows international demand and is now
418 leading to overexploitation in the most accessible areas (Blue Ventures, unpublished data).
419 While there is chronic food insecurity in south and south-west Madagascar, there is little
420 understanding of the impacts of international trade on such issues and the resilience of small-
421 scale fisher communities. Beyond the local point of collection, small-scale fishers have no
422 representation in trade or related decision-making processes, and because trade monitoring
423 systems are very poor, existing data may not be reliable. Small-scale fishers need to be better
424 represented in national-level decision-making, and an improved understanding of the
425 importance of small-scale fisheries to food security and local resilience is an urgent research
426 priority (Le Manach et al. 2012).

427
428 **Article 7.8** *States, small-scale fisheries actors and other value chain actors should recognize*
429 *that benefits from international trade should be fairly distributed. States should ensure that*
430 *effective fisheries management systems are in place to prevent overexploitation driven by*
431 *market demand that can threaten the sustainability of fisheries resources, food security and*
432 *nutrition. Such fisheries management systems should include responsible post-harvest*

433 *practices, policies and actions to enable export income to benefit small-scale fishers and*
434 *others in an equitable manner throughout the value chain.*

435
436 The impact of new and growing export markets on the sustainability of octopus and mud crab
437 fisheries remains poorly understood, in part due to a lack of monitoring systems and reliable
438 data. However, effective fisheries management systems (i.e. periodic closures) have been
439 developed and are spreading rapidly amongst small-scale fisher populations (Mayol 2013).
440 LMMAs focused on ensuring resource productivity and sustainability are likely to play a key
441 role in Madagascar's plans to triple marine protected area coverage, greatly increasing the
442 proportion of small-scale fisheries managed through community-based mechanisms. While
443 many initiatives that reduce fishing effort may favour some institutions or segments of society
444 over others, octopus closures do not impose differential access restrictions and so theoretically
445 avoid the issue of elite capture: all community members may access closure areas following
446 their opening, whether or not they are members of the management association. That said,
447 there is some evidence that arrangements like LMMAs may favour wealthier resource users
448 (Cinner et al, 2012). Notions of equity have received little research attention in this context,
449 and could benefit from further study.

450
451 *Challenges*

452 The dispersed nature of the fisheries and existence of a strong informal trade sector hinders
453 the development of effective stock and trade monitoring systems, thus the data required for
454 adaptive management of the fisheries are lacking. At the national level, State policies to
455 ensure that maximal additional value from export trade filters down to small scale fishers are
456 required to provide strong management incentives and promote poverty reduction.

457
458 **Article 7.9** *States should adopt policies and procedures, including environmental, social and*
459 *other relevant assessments, to ensure that adverse impacts by international trade on the*
460 *environment, small-scale fisheries culture, livelihoods and special needs related to food*
461 *security are equitably addressed. Consultation with concerned stakeholders should be part of*
462 *these policies and procedures.*

463
464 All fisheries management measures supported by BV over the last 14 years have been
465 developed through bottom-up, participatory processes that integrate marginalised members of
466 small-scale fisher communities (e.g. women, migrants) as well as actors in the post-harvest

467 value chain. The spread of LMMAs and anticipated future recognition of them within
468 Madagascar's protected area system should legally empower small-scale fisher communities
469 to implement management initiatives and thereby minimise the adverse impacts of trade. The
470 development of the MIHARI network of LMMA managers will also provide small-scale
471 fishers with increased opportunities and power to reach and influence policy-makers (see
472 article 7.7).

473

474 *Challenges*

475 There are currently no mechanisms through which concerned SSF stakeholders can reach
476 policy-makers effectively, although the MIHARI network has been designed to address this
477 deficiency. Existing fisheries legislation (i.e. minimum catch size and national closure period)
478 for mud crab is inappropriate, not being based on our latest understanding of the species'
479 biology and life cycle (Le Vay 2001; Leoville 2013). As a result the national closure does not
480 correspond to the peak of reproduction, and minimum catch sizes are set too low, permitting
481 the capture of sexually immature females (Blue Ventures, unpublished data). In addition the
482 economic and social impacts of national and periodic fishery closures remain poorly
483 understood.

484

485 **Article 7.10** *States should enable access to all relevant market and trade information for*
486 *stakeholders in the small-scale fisheries value chain. Small-scale fisheries stakeholders must*
487 *be able to access timely and accurate market information to help them adjust to changing*
488 *market conditions. Capacity development is also required so that all small-scale fisheries*
489 *stakeholders and especially women and vulnerable and marginalized groups can adapt to,*
490 *and benefit equitably from, opportunities of global market trends and local situations while*
491 *minimizing any potential negative impacts.*

492

493 Participatory monitoring systems using locally trained data collectors and mobile technology
494 to monitor landings are being developed for each fishery, though these cover only a small
495 proportion of fishers. The CGP has implemented a dashboarding system to facilitate the
496 communication of these landings data, but it remains insufficiently understood by
497 stakeholders. Further, information on market conditions is not available to fishers, limiting
498 their ability to adjust to market conditions or negotiate prices.

499

500 *Challenges*

501 Small-scale fishers have no access to market and price information other than the prices
502 offered by local buyers. NGOs and partner fisher communities struggle to keep pace with the
503 rapid expansion of fisheries improvement initiatives, to implement effective participatory
504 monitoring systems at scale, and to find ways of effectively disseminating data back to
505 communities and into local decision-making processes. Efforts to build management capacity
506 within fisher communities have been launched in order to address these issues.

507

508 Lack of access to broader market information prevents identification and design of fisheries
509 management interventions that may improve the value of the products at a local level, and in
510 some cases reduce post harvest losses. For example, if trap-based fishing for octopus were
511 viable, it could result in a product with the potential to meet quality standards of new higher
512 value markets. There is thus an urgent need for a centralised and transparent monitoring and
513 support system for small-scale fisheries landings and trade, one that includes broader market
514 data.

515

516 Knowledge of international market-based initiatives to encourage sustainable fishing through
517 ecolabel schemes is low among fisheries authorities and seafood exporters, and none of
518 Madagascar's fisheries have yet been certified through the MSC standard. Given the lack of
519 central management of the small-scale fisheries sector, as well as the high data deficiency and
520 uncertainty around stock status, accessing such schemes – and thus potentially higher value
521 export markets rewarding sustainable fishing practices – remains out of reach of this sector.
522 Notwithstanding these limitations, south-west Madagascar's octopus fishery underwent pre-
523 assessment against the MSC standard in 2010, and an ambitious FIP is being implemented by
524 BV and the CGP with a view to potentially entering full assessment for the fishery. Notably
525 this FIP is not being led by the collection and export companies that would have the most to
526 gain from potential future certification, largely on account of scepticism of the likely future
527 benefits of MSC certification within these businesses.

528

529 **Discussion**

530 The post-harvest subsector is critical to efforts to improve the capacity of Madagascar's
531 small-scale fisheries to contribute to poverty reduction and food security, since the fisheries
532 are widely dispersed around the country's 5500 km of coastline and fishers rely on post-
533 harvest actors such as seafood export companies to reach markets and derive maximum
534 revenues from their produce. However, to date most fisheries improvement initiatives have

535 focused on building local capacity for fisheries management and governance, and initiatives
536 in the post-harvest subsector remain in their infancy.

537

538 At present the post-harvest value chains of both fisheries are opaque, poorly understood, and
539 managed exclusively by commercial actors, notably private sector seafood collection and
540 export companies. Beyond direct transactional relationships, these companies have little
541 engagement with fishers, whose interests are not necessarily considered at higher levels of the
542 supply chain. Moreover, commercial actors have little incentive to address imbalances in
543 post-harvest power relationships or to maximise the value received by fishers for their
544 fisheries management efforts. Given widespread poverty and low literacy among small-scale
545 fishing communities, severe transport and communications challenges, and a general
546 disregard of the small-scale sector by central fishing authorities, fishers remain particularly
547 vulnerable to exploitation and unfair distribution of benefits by actors higher in the supply
548 chain. Although communities have developed notable experience in local fisheries
549 management efforts over the past decade, there is no history of formal fishers' organisations,
550 cooperatives or trade associations representing the interests of these marginalised groups.

551

552 To improve the capacity of fishers to engage with, influence and benefit from post-harvest
553 processes, greater fisher representation in national fisheries policy decision-making will be
554 needed, for example through the establishment and formalisation of multi-stakeholder
555 fisheries management platforms. It will also require the fundamental imbalances in bargaining
556 power between actors to be addressed through capacity building of fisher communities and
557 external pressure from civil society organisations. The vulnerability of small-scale fishers
558 through these unequal power relationships notwithstanding, seafood collection and export
559 businesses have a strong interest in ensuring a sustainable supply of high quality produce and
560 have generally proved willing to collaborate on fisheries management initiatives. Indeed, such
561 is their importance in providing access to markets that their partnership is considered
562 indispensable.

563

564 While much of the SSF Guidelines focus on State parties, experience from the octopus and
565 mud crab fisheries in Madagascar indicates that partnerships between the State, small-scale
566 fisher communities, civil society organisations, academic institutions and private sector
567 businesses provide the most realistic hope for rapid and far-reaching advances in the
568 management of the Madagascar's small-scale fisheries. Like many tropical developing

569 countries, Madagascar's State lacks the capacity to regulate its fisheries sector effectively and
570 has only recently recognised the importance of small-scale fisheries in meeting its domestic
571 food security and poverty reduction goals (Harris 2011). NGOs and fishers have played an
572 important role in bringing small-scale fisheries to the attention of policy-makers, and are
573 likely to remain at the forefront of fisheries improvement efforts, notably through the
574 innovation and adoption of appropriate, participatory management initiatives. However, the
575 State, international development actors and the private sector all have a crucial role in
576 popularising and scaling these initiatives, and enshrining them in policy.

577

578 Several key challenges remain for States and other SSF stakeholders to reduce value chain
579 inefficiencies and ensure maximum returns to fisher communities. Most importantly, data
580 deficiencies and the lack of transparency regarding market, catch and price information
581 throughout the supply chain should be urgently addressed. Knowledge of what and how much
582 fishers are catching, where they are catching it, how much they receive and how much is
583 consumed or traded locally, domestically and internationally is fundamental for understanding
584 the importance of the SSF sector for poverty alleviation and reduction. Such understanding
585 will help raise awareness of the importance of these 'not so small-scale' fisheries in the eyes
586 of decision-makers. Low-cost mobile technology-based approaches offer the potential to
587 collect the required data at scale.

588

589 Further regulation and professionalization is required of all steps in the supply chain,
590 including the registration of fishers, centralisation and sanitation of landing stations and the
591 supervision of middle-men and collectors, particularly those operating in the informal sector.
592 Such steps would promote improved quality standards and could thus increase the revenues
593 accruing to fishers, while facilitating the collection of data required to inform decision-
594 making.

595

596 Ensuring that the export of seafood products does not exacerbate food insecurity amongst
597 small-scale fisher populations or the populations they previously traded with will not only
598 require a better comprehension of the social impacts of decisions to fish for trade rather than
599 subsistence, but also the implementation of robust, science-based stock management to ensure
600 that existing fisheries can sustainably meet domestic fish protein needs. The allocation of
601 export quotas should be informed by the best available data and include the avoidance of food
602 insecurity as a guiding principle, while simultaneous mechanisms to promote sustainability,

603 such as the introduction or revision of minimum catch sizes and other harvest control rules
604 should be included in legislation and enforced.

605

606 All proposed measures require substantial investment, particularly in building the capacity of
607 central fisheries agencies. However, such investment would be modest relative to the
608 importance of the small-scale fisheries sector from both a poverty and food security
609 perspective. Alongside the implementation of improved fisheries management initiatives,
610 structural investment in the post-harvest subsector will be key to maximising – and sustaining
611 – the value that fishers are able to recover from these resources in the long term. As such the
612 publication of the SSF Guidelines is particularly timely, providing a valuable starting point to
613 raise awareness of small-scale fisheries among policy-makers and guidance to enhance their
614 contribution to food security and poverty reduction. To maximise the impact of the
615 Guidelines, and avoid the risk of them losing relevance over time, we need to continuously
616 refer back to small-scale fisher communities throughout implementation. Improving the value
617 of fisheries products such as octopus and mud crab is only one element; it is also critical to
618 ensure that the added value is passed on to the fishers themselves, providing incentives to
619 adhere to best practice in management, and develop socio-economic monitoring systems to
620 enable the detection of any negative impacts arising from international trade. Independent
621 organisations such as BV that have a permanent field presence and established, trusting
622 relationships with fishing communities are in an excellent position to facilitate dialogue
623 between fishers, other stakeholders and the SSF Guideline developers for the iterative,
624 bottom-up improvement of the Guidelines, and thus help ensure their contribution to global
625 food security and poverty eradication.

626

627 **Acknowledgements**

628 BV's efforts to support management of mud crab and octopus fisheries in Madagascar are
629 supported by MacArthur Foundation, Helmsley Charitable Trust, DFID and Smartfish. We
630 thank Leah Glass for the preparation of Fig. 1, the editors for the opportunity to contribute to
631 this volume, and the reviewers for comments which greatly improved the manuscript.

632

633 **References**

634 Allison, E.H., Perry, A.L., Badjeck, M.-C., Adger, W.N., Brown, K., Conway, D., et al.
635 (2009). Vulnerability of national economies to the impacts of climate change on fisheries.
636 *Fish and Fisheries*, 10(2), 173–196.

637
638 Allison, E.H., Ratner, B.D., Åsgård, B., Willmann, R., Pomeroy, R. & Kurien, J. (2012).
639 Rights-based fisheries governance: from fishing rights to human rights. *Fish and Fisheries*,
640 13, 14–29.
641
642 Andréfouët, S., Guillaume, M.M.M., Delval, A., Rasoamanendrika, F.M.A., Blanchot, J., &
643 Bruggemann, J.H. (2013). Fifty years of changes in reef flat habitats of the Grand Récif of
644 Toliara (SW Madagascar) and the impact of gleaning. *Coral Reefs*, 32(3), 757–768.
645
646 Andrew, N.L., Béné, C., Hall, S.J., Allison, E.H., Heck, S., & Ratner, B.D. (2007). Diagnosis
647 and management of small-scale fisheries in developing countries. *Fish and Fisheries*, 8(3),
648 227–240.
649
650 Andriamalala, G., & Gardner, C.J. (2010). L'utilisation du *dina* comme outil de gouvernance
651 des ressources naturelles: leçons tirés de Velondriake, sud-ouest de Madagascar. *Tropical*
652 *Conservation Science*, 3, 447–472.
653
654 Antona, M., Biénabe, E.M., Salles, J.-M., Péchard, G., Aubert, S., & Ratsimbarison, R.
655 (2004). Rights transfers in Madagascar biodiversity policies: achievements and significance.
656 *Environment and Development Economics*, 9, 825–847.
657
658 Astuti, R. (1995). *People of the sea: identity and descent among the Vezo of Madagascar*.
659 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
660
661 Barnes, D.K.A., & Rawlinson, K.A. (2009). Traditional coastal invertebrate fisheries in south-
662 western Madagascar. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*,
663 89, 1589–1596.
664
665 Barnes-Mauthe, M., Olesen, K.L.L., & Zafindrasilivonona, B. (2013). The total economic
666 value of small-scale fisheries with a characterization of post-landing trends: an application in
667 Madagascar with global relevance. *Fisheries Research*, 147, 175–185.
668
669 Bell, J.D., Kronen, M., Vunisea, A., Nash, W.J., Keeble, G., Demmke, et al. (2009). Planning
670 the use of fish for food security in the Pacific. *Marine Policy*, 33, 64–76.

671
672 Béné, C., Macfadyen, G., & Allison, E.H. (2007). *Increasing the contribution of small-scale*
673 *fisheries to poverty alleviation and food security*. Rome: FAO.
674
675 Bruggemann, J.H., Rodier, M., Guillaume, M.M.M., Andréfouët, S., Arfi, R., Cinner, J.E., et
676 al. (2012). Wicked social-ecological problems forcing unprecedented change on the
677 latitudinal margins of coral reefs: the case of southwest Madagascar. *Ecology and Society*, 17,
678 47. doi: 10.5751/ES-05300-170447.
679
680 Cinner, J.E., McClanahan, T.R., MacNeil, M.A., Graham, N.A.J., Daw, T.M., Mukminin, A.,
681 et al. (2012). Comanagement of coral reef social-ecological systems. *Proceedings of the*
682 *National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 109, 5219–5222.
683
684 Cripps, G., Gardner, C.J., 2016. Human migration and marine protected areas: Insights from
685 Vevo fishers in Madagascar. *Geoforum*, 74, 49–62.
686
687 Da Silva, J.G. (2015). Foreword. In FAO, *Voluntary guidelines for securing sustainable*
688 *small-scale fisheries in the context of food security and poverty eradication*, p. v. Rome:
689 FAO.
690
691 Epps, M.M. (2008). *A socioeconomic baseline assessment: implementing the socioeconomic*
692 *monitoring guidelines in southwest Madagascar*. London: Blue Ventures.
693
694 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations) (2005). *Increasing the*
695 *contribution of small-scale fisheries to poverty alleviation and food security*. Rome: FAO.
696
697 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations) (2015). *Voluntary guidelines*
698 *for securing sustainable small-scale fisheries in the context of food security and poverty*
699 *eradication*. Rome: FAO.
700
701 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations) (2016). Fishing People. FAO
702 Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, FAO. <http://www.fao.org/fishery/topic/13827/en>.
703 Accessed 9 February 2016.
704

705 Ferguson, B., Gardner, C.J., Andriamarovololona, M.M., Healy, T., Muttenger, F., Smith, S.,
706 et al. (2014). Governing ancestral land in Madagascar: have policy reforms contributed to
707 social justice? In M Sowman, R Wynberg (Eds.), *Governance for justice and environmental*
708 *sustainability: lessons across natural resource sectors in Sub-Saharan Africa* (pp. 63–93).
709 London: Routledge.

710

711 Garcia, S.M., & Rosenberg, A.A. (2010). Food security and marine capture fisheries:
712 characteristics, trends, drivers and future perspectives. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
713 *Royal Society B, Biological Sciences*, 365, 2869–2880.

714

715 Gezon, L.L. (2002). Marriage, kin, and compensation: a socio-political ecology of gender in
716 Ankarana, Madagascar. *Anthropological Quarterly*, 75, 675–706.

717

718 Gough, C., Thomas, T., Humber, F., Harris, A., Cripps, G., & Peabody, S. (2009). *Vezo*
719 *fishing: an introduction to the methods used by fishers in Andavadoaka, southwest*
720 *Madagascar*. London: Blue Ventures Conservation.

721

722 GFSI (2015). *Global food security index 2015*. London: The Economist Intelligence Unit.

723

724 Grenier, C. (2013) Genre de vie vezo, pêche “traditionnelle” et mondialisation sur le littoral
725 sud-ouest de Madagascar. *Annales de Géographie*, 693, 549–571.

726

727 Harris, A. (2007). “To live with the sea”: development of the Velondriake community
728 managed protected area network, southwest Madagascar. *Madagascar Conservation &*
729 *Development*, 2, 43–49.

730

731 Harris, A. (2011). Out of sight but no longer out of mind: a climate of change for marine
732 conservation in Madagascar. *Madagascar Conservation & Development*, 6, 7–14.

733

734 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Bruno, J.F.(2010). The impact of climate change on the world’s
735 marine ecosystems. *Science*, 328, 1523–1528.

736

737 Horning, N.R. (2008). Strong support for weak performance: donor competition in
738 Madagascar. *African Affairs* 107, 405–431.

739
740 ICAI (Independent Commission for Aid Impact) (2015). *How DFID works with multilateral*
741 *agencies to achieve impact*. London: ICAI.
742
743 Iida, T. (2005). The past and present of the coral reef fishing economy in Madagascar:
744 implications for self-determination in resource use. *Senri Ethnological Studies*, 67, 237–258.
745
746 Jentoft, S. (2014). Walking the talk: implementing the international voluntary guidelines for
747 securing sustainable small-scale fisheries. *Maritime Studies*, 13, 16.
748
749 Le Manach, F., Gough, C., Harris, A., Humber, F., Harper, S. & Zeller, D. (2012). Unreported
750 fishing, hungry people and political turmoil: the recipe for a food security crisis in
751 Madagascar? *Marine Policy*, 36, 218–225.
752
753 Le Manach, F., Andriamahefazafy, M., Harper, S., Harris, A., Hosch, G., Lange, G.M., et al.,
754 (2013). Who gets what? Developing a more equitable framework for EU fishing agreements.
755 *Marine Policy*, 38, 257–266.
756
757 Leoville, A. (2013). Diagnostic de l'état de la mangrove et de la pêche du crabe de mangrove
758 (*S. serrata*) dans la région de Belo-sur-Mer, Menabe, Sud-Ouest de Madagascar. MSc thesis,
759 Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Dunkerque.
760
761 Le Vay, L. (2001). Ecology and management of mud crab *Scylla* spp. *Asian Fisheries*
762 *Science*, 14, 101-111.
763
764 L'Haridon, L. (2006). *Evolution de la collecte de poulpe sur la côte Sud Ouest de*
765 *Madagascar: éléments de réflexion pour une meilleure gestion des ressources*. London: Blue
766 Ventures Conservation.
767
768 Maina, J., de Moel, H., Vermaaq, J.E., Bruggemann, J.H., Guillaume, M.M.M., Grove, C.A.,
769 et al. (2012). Linking coral river runoff proxies with climate variability, variability, hydrology
770 and land-use in Madagascar catchments. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 64, 2047–2059.
771

772 Martin, D. (2015, June 11). Millions of UK aid ‘being squandered’: international agencies
773 failing to spend British money in ways that effectively help the poor. Daily Mail,
774 [http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3119170/Millions-UK-aid-squandered-International-](http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3119170/Millions-UK-aid-squandered-International-agencies-failing-spend-British-money-ways-effectively-help-poor.html)
775 [agencies-failing-spend-British-money-ways-effectively-help-poor.html](http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3119170/Millions-UK-aid-squandered-International-agencies-failing-spend-British-money-ways-effectively-help-poor.html) (accessed 11.02.2016)
776

777 Mayol, T.L. (2013). Madagascar’s nascent locally managed marine area network.
778 *Madagascar Conservation & Development*, 8, 91–95.
779

780 McClanahan, T.R., Ateweberhan, M., Omukoto, J. & Pearson, L. (2009). Recent seawater
781 temperature histories, status, and predictions for Madagascar’s coral reefs. *Marine Ecology*
782 *Progress Series*, 380, 117–128.
783

784 Mills, D.J., Westlund, L., De Graaf, G., Kura, Y., Willman, R., & Kelleher, K. (2011). Under-
785 reported and undervalued: small-scale fisheries in the developing world. In R.S. Pomeroy, &
786 N.L. Andrew (Eds.), *Small-scale fisheries management: frameworks and approaches for the*
787 *developing world* (pp. 1–15). Cambridge: CABI International.
788

789 Muttenger, F. (2015). The social life of sea cucumbers in Madagascar: migrant fishers’
790 household objects and display of a marine ethos. *Etnofoor*, 27, 101–121.
791

792 Oliver, T.A., Olesen, K.L.L., Ratsimbazafy, H., Raberinary, D., Benbow, S. & Harris, A.
793 (2015). Positive catch and economic benefits of periodic octopus fishery closures: do
794 effective, narrowly targeted actions ‘catalyze’ broader management? *PLoS ONE*, 10,
795 e0129075.
796

797 Pauly, D.(1997). Small-scale fisheries in the tropics: marginality, marginalization, and some
798 implications for fisheries management. In: E.K. Pikitch, D.D. Huppert & M.P. Sissenwine
799 (Eds.), *Global trends: fisheries management* (pp. 40–49). Bethesda, Maryland: American
800 Fisheries Society Symposium.
801

802 Pauly, D., 2006. Major trends in small-scale marine fisheries, with emphasis on developing
803 countries, and some implications for the social sciences. *Maritime Studies*, 4, 7–22.
804

805 Parfitt, T. (2015, June 11). Revealed: Britain ‘wasted MILLIONS on foreign aid project that
806 was never used’. Daily Express, [http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/583652/foreign-aid-](http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/583652/foreign-aid-government-African-Development-Bank-Madagascar-fishing)
807 [government-African-Development-Bank-Madagascar-fishing](http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/583652/foreign-aid-government-African-Development-Bank-Madagascar-fishing) (accessed 11.02.2016).
808

809 Pollini, J., Hockley, N., Muttenger, F.D. & Ramamonjisoa, B.S. (2014). The transfer of
810 natural resource management rights to local communities. In I.R. Scales (Ed.) *Conservation*
811 *and environmental management in Madagascar* (pp. 172–192). Abingdon: Routledge.
812

813 Pomeroy, R.S., & Andrew, N.L. (2011). *Small-scale fisheries management: frameworks and*
814 *approaches for the developing world*. Cambridge: CABI International.
815

816 Rajaonarimampianina, H. (2014). ‘Sydney Vision’ Declaration. Speech presented at VIth
817 World Parks Congress, Sydney, 12-19 November 2014.
818

819 Razanaka, S., Grouzis, P., Milleville, P., Moizo, B., & Aubry, C. (Eds.). (2001). *Sociétés*
820 *paysannes, transitions agraires et dynamiques écologiques dans le sud-ouest de Madagascar*.
821 Antananarivo: CNRE-IRD.
822

823 Sheridan, C., Baele, J.M., Kushmaro, A., Frejaville, Y. & Eeckhaut, I. (2015). Terrestrial
824 runoff influences white syndrome prevalence in SW Madagascar. *Marine Environmental*
825 *Research*, 101, 44–51.
826

827 Smartfish (2015). Enhancing value-chain performance for mud crab in Madagascar.
828 <http://commissionoceanindien.org/fileadmin/projets/smartfish/Fiche/FICHE3ENGLISH.pdf>
829 (accessed 11.02.2016).
830

831 Smith, M.D., Roheim, C.A., Crowder, L.B., Halpern, B.S., Turnipseed, M., Anderson, J.L., et
832 al. (2010). Sustainability and global seafood. *Science*, 327, 784–786.
833

834 Virah-Sawmy, M., Gardner, C.J. & Ratsifandrihamanana, A.N.(2014). The Durban Vision in
835 practice: experiences in participatory governance of Madagascar’s new protected areas. In
836 I.R. Scales (Ed.), *Conservation and environmental management in Madagascar* (pp. 216–
837 252). Abingdon: Routledge.

838

839 Westerman, K., & Benbow, S. (2013). The role of women in community-based small-scale
840 fisheries management: the case of the south west Madagascar octopus fishery. *Western Indian*
841 *Ocean Journal of Marine Science*, 12, 119–132.

842

843 World Bank (2015). *Madagascar systematic country diagnostic*. Washington, DC: The World
844 Bank Group.

845


846 WRI (World Resources Institute) (2003). *Earth trends: coastal and marine ecosystems,*
847 *Madagascar*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute.

848

849 **Figure Captions**

850

851 **Fig. 1** Map of Madagascar showing major towns of western regions and approximate extent
852 of octopus and mud crab fisheries.



853

854 **Fig.2** Images illustrating the fisheries and value chains of small scale octopus (*Octopus*
855 *cyanea*) and mud crab (*Scylla serrata*) fisheries in Madagascar. A, octopus are collected from

856 fishers by intermediaries in coastal villages; B, seafood export companies then transport the
857 octopus to export processing centres in ice buckets loaded on trucks; C, fishers collecting mud
858 crab from mangroves in northwest Madagascar; D, live mud crabs stored in rice sacks by
859 intermediaries, prior to transport to an export processing centre. Image credits: A, Xavier
860 Vincke; B, Alejandro Castillo Lopez; C and D, Adrian Levrel.



861
862
863