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Abstract

In July 2019, the UK Parliament voted by an overwhelming majority for fundamental reform of Northern Ireland’s archaic abortion laws. Drawing on extensive archival resources and a small number of interviews, we locate this extraordinary political moment in a broader historical context. We explore the factors that blocked the possibility of reform in either Westminster or Stormont for over five decades, and consider what it was that had changed in 2019 to render it possible. While the measure passed in Westminster represents a radical rupture with the past, we suggest that it was anything other than sudden, rather representing the culmination of decades of sustained campaigning. We conclude by briefly discussing what this change is likely to mean for the future.

## Introduction

In July 2019, the UK Parliament voted by an overwhelming majority for fundamental reform of Northern Ireland’s abortion law. The existing law, which relied on statutory criminal prohibitions dating from the mid-Victorian era, had long been amongst the most restrictive in Europe. Now, it is set to become, in certain respects at least, amongst the most liberal and, moreover, more permissive than that in force elsewhere in the UK. The vote represented a dramatic rupture with the past, with successive UK governments having long steered a careful course of studied inaction on the issue. Indeed, Karen Bradley (Con)’s very recent statement – that any such reform must be ‘debated and decided by the people of Northern Ireland and their locally elected, and therefore accountable, politicians’ – could have been made by any one of her predecessors in the Northern Ireland Office over the previous five decades.[[1]](#footnote-1) However, while public opinion in Northern Ireland had moved firmly in favour of reform over that period, discussions at Stormont had proved tortuous and ultimately inconclusive.

Drawing on archival resources and a small number of interviews with those active in campaigns for reform, we aim to locate this extraordinary political moment in a broader historical context extending back five decades.[[2]](#footnote-2) We explore the factors that blocked the possibility of even limited change before now, beginning at Westminster (which retained legislative responsibility for criminal justice in Northern Ireland until 2010), then moving to Stormont (which debated abortion on multiple occasions before the most recent collapse of the Assembly in 2017), and finally returning to Westminster. We then consider what had changed in 2019 to render this reform possible and conclude by briefly discussing what it means for the future.

## 1. Northern Ireland’s abortion law

Until the late 1960s, England, Wales and Northern Ireland were subject to broadly the same abortion laws. Abortion was an offence under the Offences Against the Person Act 1861, which punished ‘unlawful procurement of miscarriage’ with life imprisonment.[[3]](#footnote-3) A separate and overlapping offence applied after viability, prohibiting the intentional destruction of a child ‘capable of being born alive’.[[4]](#footnote-4) While the 1861 Act contained no explicit defence for its abortion offences, the case of *Bourne* (1938) carved out a therapeutic exception, providing that no offence was committed when abortion was performed to ‘preserve the life of the mother’. This was held to include cases where a termination was deemed necessary to prevent the pregnant woman from becoming a ‘mental or physical wreck’.[[5]](#footnote-5)

In 1967, the Abortion Act was passed, providing a statutory framework for the provision of legal abortion in England, Wales and Scotland. While remaining a criminal offence where the Act’s terms were not met, abortion would henceforth be lawful where two doctors certified it was appropriate on the basis of one of four broad grounds laid down in the Act, and where performed by a doctor within NHS or other approved premises. Most abortions would be authorised under the first ground: that continuing with a pregnancy would pose greater risk to a woman’s mental or physical health than would termination.[[6]](#footnote-6) While this provision was initially subject to widely diverging interpretations by doctors, a liberal interpretation came to dominate and, in practice, NHS-funded abortion is today readily available on request in England, Wales and Scotland within the earlier stages of pregnancy.[[7]](#footnote-7)

In Northern Ireland, the position was very different, with the statutory position remaining that which had pertained before 1967. Moreover, *Bourne* was subject to a narrow interpretation within the Northern Irish courts: abortion would be lawful only where any adverse effects on a woman’s health would be ‘real and serious’, and ‘permanent or long term’.[[8]](#footnote-8) This test became understood increasingly restrictively within clinical practice over time. While it is likely that many thousands of legal abortions were performed in England and Wales on the basis of *Bourne* before 1967, and several hundred in Northern Ireland each year during the 1970s and 1980s, there were just 12 in 2017/18.[[9]](#footnote-9)

Why was the Abortion Act not extended to Northern Ireland? In 1967, this question scarcely needed to be asked: it was standard practice to exclude the region when legislating on issues of sexual morality.[[10]](#footnote-10) The UK Government believed that Northern Ireland’s inclusion in liberalizing abortion law reform ‘would provoke religious and political controversy of a most undesirable kind’ that might militate against efforts ‘to promote a better relationship between the communities in the Province.’[[11]](#footnote-11) As a Scottish MP, the Act’s sponsor, David (now Lord) Steel (Lib), was respectful of the significance of devolution.[[12]](#footnote-12) Moreover, with a majority for his Bill far from assured, its supporters feared mobilising the certain opposition of Northern Irish MPs. The veteran Abortion Law Reform Association campaigner, Diane Munday, who had lobbied for the introduction of the 1967 Act, told us: it was ‘appalling to exclude Northern Irish women. But if we hadn’t done it, we wouldn’t have got anything at all. [The Abortion Act] was by the skin of its teeth getting through.'[[13]](#footnote-13)

While barely considered at the time, this decision would nonetheless have profound consequences for the women of Northern Ireland. Only a vanishingly small number would be able to access abortion services in local hospitals.[[14]](#footnote-14) Many more would attempt to end their pregnancies illegally. While today this generally means buying abortion pills from the internet,[[15]](#footnote-15) in earlier years it involved gin and hot baths, jumping off steps, drinking porter and castor oil, or using syringes to squirt hot soapy water or disinfectant into the uterus.[[16]](#footnote-16) A Pro-Choice booklet published in 1980 paints a stark picture of the options open to women in a one page ‘Abortion Game’ set out to resemble a Monopoly board. Alongside squares for ‘period comes relax’ or ‘have money and sympathetic GP’, the board includes squares for ‘friend uses knitting needles’, ‘take gin and hot baths’, ‘sterilization’ (reflecting the practice whereby doctors would agree to an abortion only on condition of simultaneous sterilisation),[[17]](#footnote-17) ‘Go to England’, ‘commit suicide’, ‘puncture uterus and go to hospital’ and ‘die from septicaemia’.[[18]](#footnote-18) Some women did indeed die in the process.[[19]](#footnote-19) Others resorted to the abandonment of newborn babies: three were reported found in just one month in 1980, two of them dead.[[20]](#footnote-20)

Some women travelled to access services, with a rapidly increasing number ‘taking the boat’ to England: 199 made the trip in 1970 rising to 1,565 in 1980. However, this was not an easy option. Information about services was initially difficult to find, with leaflets containing details of British abortion clinics deemed to fall within a prohibition on the advertising of services ‘abroad’.[[21]](#footnote-21) Moreover, the problems of explaining one’s absence from home or finding the necessary money could prove prohibitive,[[22]](#footnote-22) with difficulties sometimes further compounded by the Troubles. One woman, married to a political prisoner and pregnant by another man, went to England for an abortion. On her return, she was taken to a holding centre and threatened that her abortion would be revealed to her husband if she did not become a police informer.[[23]](#footnote-23) Nonetheless, reported figures suggest that well over 60,000 women have made this journey since 1968, with numbers rising sharply across the 1970s, stabilising at between 1,400-1,800 per year across the 1980s and 1990s and then declining gradually from 2002, as the Morning After Pill became available over the counter and illegal home use of abortion pills became more widespread.[[24]](#footnote-24) A pronounced uptick in numbers from 2017 reflects the fact that – fifty years after the introduction of the Abortion Act – NHS funded services elsewhere in the UK were made available to Northern Irish women for the first time.[[25]](#footnote-25)

Other women undoubtedly felt forced to continue unwanted pregnancies. This result was recently welcomed by Northern Ireland’s then Health Minister, Edwin Poots (DUP), who suggested that ‘not having the ability to pop into a facility that can basically give you an abortion on demand’ has had the ‘happy result of many tens of thousands of births’.[[26]](#footnote-26) While the true figure is unknowable, it is sometimes claimed that 100,000 people are alive today in Northern Ireland because of its abortion laws.[[27]](#footnote-27) If so, the flipside is 100,000 women required to continue pregnancies against their will.

Elsewhere in the UK, a burgeoning feminist movement took up the issue of abortion rights from the 1970s onwards.[[28]](#footnote-28) This did not happen in Northern Ireland, where the women’s movement was heavily circumscribed by nationalistic politics,[[29]](#footnote-29) and abortion was an ‘unmanageable and unmentionable subject’.[[30]](#footnote-30) Academic and activist, Goretti Horgan would go on to become a founding member of the grassroots Pro-Choice campaign group, Alliance for Choice (AFC), which established branches in Belfast and Derry in the mid-1990s. In these earlier years, she reported being ‘really shocked’ by the women’s groups meetings that she attended:

‘every time I raised the question of abortion it was as if I, I don’t know, said something really rude and people didn’t want to talk about it. I mean they just didn’t want to talk about it, and literally would actually deny it when I would say, there is probably a woman in this room who has had an abortion. They would go, “don’t be ridiculous!” [laughs] It was really, really difficult.’[[31]](#footnote-31)

Audrey Simpson, the former Director of the Family Planning Association in Northern Ireland (FPANI) likewise told us that while the FPANI would become ‘gradually known as the agency working for abortion rights’, ‘the feminist movement were saying nothing.’[[32]](#footnote-32)

A recognisable campaign for abortion law reform would nonetheless gradually emerge. In 1970, following the attempted suicide of a nineteen year old woman who had been unable to procure an abortion, the Ulster Pregnancy Advisory Association (UPAA) was established to offer advice and support.[[33]](#footnote-33) Its charitable status made it difficult for it to publicise its services; the Northern Ireland Abortion Law Reform Association (NIALRA) was thus established to take on this role and to work for a limited relaxation of the law.[[34]](#footnote-34) In 1980, following the death of a second woman, this time in a backstreet abortion, the Northern Ireland Abortion Campaign (NIAC) was formed.[[35]](#footnote-35) In 1981, it delivered 600 coat hangers with a copy of a British Airways ticket attached to the House of Commons, explaining to MPs that these were the only two ways for Northern Ireland women to get abortions. The creation of NIAC provoked the formation of a local Life group,[[36]](#footnote-36) which carried out ‘crisis pregnancy’ work and campaigned against the introduction of ‘the British Abortion Act’.[[37]](#footnote-37)

While the groups involved on both sides of the debate would change over time, a coordinated campaign for reform existed from this time, playing an important role in increasing public and political awareness of the harsh effects of the law. Abortion support groups were established in England.[[38]](#footnote-38) The FPANI took on an increasingly significant role. NIAC folded, with the call for reform taken up by a second iteration of the Northern Irish Abortion Law Reform Association (NIALRA), which in turn would eventually be superseded by the Women’s Right to Choose Group and then the organisation that remains most active today, AFC. The Society for the Protection of Unborn Children (SPUC) joined Life in working against reform in Northern Ireland and, later, a home-grown organisation, Precious Life, was also founded. For many years, these campaigns would be dominated by the question of the possible extension of the Abortion Act and, with the Parliament of Northern Ireland having been suspended in 1972, the attention of campaigners was initially directed firmly towards Westminster.

## 2. Westminster (1972-2010): ‘The Government has no plans for amending the law’

While the establishment of Direct Rule in 1972 increased the opportunity for Westminster to legislate on abortion law, it would prove reluctant to do so for the same broad reasons that had originally militated against including Northern Ireland within the Abortion Act. The region was seen a place apart with its own conservative, deeply religious culture and values; moral issues were viewed as a matter for local resolution; local people and politicians were believed to be uniformly and unequivocally opposed to reform; and the region’s distinctive position on moral issues was conceived as a kind of ‘glue’ that bound the community together, protecting against social and political disintegration.[[39]](#footnote-39)

These assumptions have remained powerful across the past five decades, serving to block the possibility of reform, even as it became clear that Northern Irish abortion law was incompatible with human rights norms and that public opinion within the region was far more open to change than its politicians suggested. Successive UK governments would maintain a stance of studied neutrality, deliberate procrastination and, at times, carefully choreographed ignorance, refusing to generate the official knowledge that might indicate the need for action.

### ‘Much better to leave it for the time being’

For more than a decade after the introduction of the Abortion Act, there was near silence regarding the issue of abortion law reform in Northern Ireland. When a recognisable campaign for reform did emerge, it faced formidable challenges. First, for many republicans, it was anathema to suggest that demands for legal change should be put to the ‘British imperial state’,[[40]](#footnote-40) and campaigns for reform would struggle against the accusation that the ‘British Abortion Act’ was fundamentally ‘other’ to the unique history and character of Northern Ireland.[[41]](#footnote-41) Moreover, the alleged harms that would inevitably flow from extending the Act were repeatedly evoked by nationalists and unionists alike, with abortion one of the few issues to unite them on public platforms at the height of the Troubles. One NIAC member would later recall:

It was one of the few issues on which it seemed that everyone agreed, and they were all against us! To give you just one example, Frank Maguire, who was elected to Westminster on an abstentionist ticket for Fermanagh/South Tyrone as an Independent Nationalist, went to the House of Commons on two occasions, one of which was to vote for a tightening of abortion laws which did not apply in Northern Ireland anyway.[[42]](#footnote-42)

Second, pro-reform campaigners encountered a culture of ignorance, indifference, and unwillingness to ‘rock the boat’ at Westminster. In a period where Northern Ireland suffered from marked deprivation and acute social problems,[[43]](#footnote-43) it had taken an average of no more than two hours of Westminster’s time each year until 1972,[[44]](#footnote-44) with scrutiny remaining ‘lamentable’ thereafter.[[45]](#footnote-45) Northern Irish constituencies have never been represented by MPs from the Labour or Conservative parties at Westminster, meaning that UK Governments have never been able to appoint ‘home grown’ ministers. This contributed to the limited understanding of Northern Irish affairs: Karen Bradley was far from the first Northern Ireland Secretary to confess a deep ignorance of her brief.[[46]](#footnote-46)

On the rare occasions that Westminster could be persuaded to turn its attention to the issue of abortion law, the primary concern of successive governments of either political hue was to avoid destabilising progress towards a lasting political settlement. In 1972, the chair of NIALRA attempted to persuade Westminster to take an interest in the subject. The Labour spokesperson for Northern Ireland, Merlyn Rees MP, told him that ‘matters of this kind, important as they are of themselves, must take second place at the moment to the major problem of bringing peace to Ulster.'[[47]](#footnote-47) William Whitelaw, Conservative Northern Ireland Secretary, noted simply that ‘this does not seem an opportune time to raise this subject’.[[48]](#footnote-48)

In 1978, the Northern Ireland Standing Advisory Commission on Human Rights (the predecessor to the current Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission) asked the Northern Ireland Secretary, Roy Mason (Lab), for his views on whether it should study various potential reform projects. His response, given in a brief handwritten marginal note, speaks volumes:

Divorce law reform – fine

Homosexual law reform – fine

Abortion law reform!!! – this is too much, too indigestible, the pace is too fast – politicians in Northern Ireland and the people too would revolt if we tried to bring in this almost on top of the others, which are quite controversial enough – therefore, much better to leave it for the time being.[[49]](#footnote-49)

This view – that it was ‘much better to leave it for the time being’ – would remain firmly entrenched as the unofficial policy of successive governments, albeit becoming gradually more difficult to sustain over time. In 1981, a civil servant wrote:

Ministers know well that abortion is an active and emotional issue with “rights”, women’s and foetuses’, on both sides; offering no simple choice between happiness and misery. They may wish to bear in mind –

(a) that such a distinction as there is now between the law in GB and Northern Ireland may be difficult to sustain always, especially when one main result is that Northern Ireland simply exports its problems;

(b) that law enforcement is not always easy in an area which may be seen by many responsible people as one for legitimate personal decision; and

(c) that it is not impossible that the issue will be taken up in a European context and that we find ourselves confronted, as on homosexuality, with a human rights decision.[[50]](#footnote-50)

This awareness that the law was unfair, difficult to enforce and potentially incompatible with human rights norms would grow over time. However, human rights obligations would be viewed not as a prompt for pre-emptive action but rather as an external threat that might eventually force government’s hand.[[51]](#footnote-51)

Over time, however, it would become increasingly difficult to justify replying to calls for reform with nothing more than ‘the Government has no plans for amending the law’. The following year, another civil servant from the Northern Ireland Office reported ‘a feeling over here’ that this ‘curt answer’ was ‘not enough and that we should give a brief reason for not intending to legislate.’[[52]](#footnote-52) His correspondent in the Department of Health apologised for not being of greater assistance but explained that there were ‘no “medical” or “social welfare” arguments’ that might be offered in explanation for Northern Ireland’s distinctive legal position.[[53]](#footnote-53)

The short response that ‘the Government has no plans for amending the law’ would thus remain the official, uneasy mantra of successive administrations, even as pressure for action started to build. During the 1980s, advocates for[[54]](#footnote-54) – and particularly against[[55]](#footnote-55) – reform would make repeated representations to government on the issue. By 1984, interest had become sufficient to provoke a response from Stormont, where an Assembly was briefly established to scrutinise decisions made by the Northern Ireland Office: a motion opposing the extension of the Abortion Act was passed by 74 votes to one.[[56]](#footnote-56)

### Abortion in the Twilight Zone

While the Government clung firmly to a position of studied inaction, in 1990 there was a real possibility that the matter would be taken out of its hands. A proposal to extend the Abortion Act to Northern Ireland came before Parliament for the first time, as one of a number of amendments tabled during the passage of the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act (1990). David Steel argued that, with no government in Stormont and women forced to travel to access services, Parliament must now address whether they should be denied the ‘right which is extended to all other female citizens of the United Kingdom.’[[57]](#footnote-57) His fellow Liberal MP and leading opponent of liberal abortion laws, David Alton, condemned the proposal as ‘extraordinarily arrogant’, ‘neo-colonialist’, and in direct contradiction to the strong view expressed by the Assembly in 1984.[[58]](#footnote-58) The Reverend Ian Paisley, founder and leader of the Democratic Unionist Party (DUP), likewise proclaimed that the issue of abortion ran ‘to the very gut and heart of the Ulster people’ and cited ‘overwhelming opposition’ to the Abortion Act from all quarters.[[59]](#footnote-59) Moreover, reflecting the more expansive understanding of the law then prevalent in Northern Ireland, he claimed that abortion was already available where needed because of ‘[f]oetal abnormality, rape, risk to the physical health of a mother and severe psychological trauma’.[[60]](#footnote-60) By convention, abortion is treated as an issue of conscience and subject to a free vote at Westminster. While this was respected for all other abortion law amendments tabled to the 1990 Act, the Government opposed this one, noting that it concerned a devolved matter and would be ‘offensive to the overwhelming majority of people in the Province’.[[61]](#footnote-61) It was defeated by a margin of two votes to one.[[62]](#footnote-62)

Notwithstanding this setback, the campaign for reform continued to grow during the 1990s, bolstered by surveys revealing growing support for liberalising reform amongst both doctors and the general population in Northern Ireland. These showed substantial public support for abortion to be permitted where pregnancy resulted from rape or incest; where the woman’s health was at risk; or where there was evidence of fetal anomaly.[[63]](#footnote-63) While politicians might remain firmly opposed to any reform, the evidence now contradicted any claim that the public did not support change.

In 1993, the campaign also received a significant boost from the publication of an influential report by Professor Simon Lee (Queen’s University Belfast) for the Northern Ireland Standing Advisory Commission on Human Rights. Lee argued that abortion law had been left to operate in a ‘twilight zone’, being so uncertain as to violate the standards of international human rights law.[[64]](#footnote-64) As a result, some women were wrongly forced to travel to end pregnancies even though legally eligible to do so in Northern Ireland, with decisions resting on the ‘moral views and legal boldness of doctors’.[[65]](#footnote-65) Uncertainty was compounded by the fact that ‘astonishingly’ the Government did not collate abortion statistics.[[66]](#footnote-66) However, the ‘best informed guess’ was that most abortions in Northern Ireland were performed for reason of fetal anomaly, and while it was widely assumed that such abortions were lawful under *Bourne,* this was mistaken.[[67]](#footnote-67) Abundant contemporary sources confirm Lee’s finding that the law was confusing and poorly understood by the doctors required to operate within it.[[68]](#footnote-68) A senior gynaecologist explained that ‘[e]very time you carry out an abortion, you are left wondering if you are going to get arrested for it. It’s an appalling situation. People are very frightened’.[[69]](#footnote-69)

Lee’s findings were widely reported,[[70]](#footnote-70) with one newspaper proclaiming that ‘[r]adical changes to Northern Ireland’s abortion law could be just around the corner’.[[71]](#footnote-71) They were also fiercely contested: Life warned the Commission to keep out of the ‘right to life’ debate;[[72]](#footnote-72) SPUC focused its annual conference on the theme of *Forcing Abortion on Northern Ireland*;[[73]](#footnote-73) and Northern Irish MPs issued a press release opposing reform:

‘We do not want the abortion culture which has so undermined the family in this country tainting our people and our standards of medicine … In Northern Ireland we have never lost our respect for women, for children and for the family and we have no intention of allowing English-based organisations to impose laws, eroding our quality of family life, against the wishes of our people.’[[74]](#footnote-74)

Meanwhile, the FPANI began a long campaign for clarification of the law, initially demanding a Commission of Inquiry.[[75]](#footnote-75)

The Government felt obliged to respond but walked a painfully fine line in doing so. First, it could not deny that statistics were inadequate. Its own, unpublished figures revealed that in 1992/1993 there had been 44 primary diagnoses of ‘therapeutic abortion’; 1129 of ‘spontaneous abortion’; and 627 of ‘unspecified abortion’, with the substantial number in the last category reflecting ‘either an incomplete description of the diagnosis or a reluctance to be specific in what is a sensitive area’.[[76]](#footnote-76) Officials were aware, however, that any attempt to improve coding practice risked a chilling effect on practice, with ‘even more reluctance to use the “therapeutic abortion” code (even where appropriate) if the subject is known to be under scrutiny.’[[77]](#footnote-77)

Neither could the Government easily refute the claim that the law was unclear, with internal discussions amply illustrating the confusion. A doctor within the Department of Health was invited to comment on the issue. His detailed reply stated that the majority of doctors within Northern Ireland probably believed abortion to be legal in the case of severe fetal anomaly; that such abortions were not legal; and that most doctors would welcome clarification of the law. He concluded, however, by denying that there was ‘any doubt in the minds of the medical profession as to the circumstances where abortion is legal in Northern Ireland’ and advising against the publication of official guidance on the matter.[[78]](#footnote-78) The inconsistencies in his view did not go unremarked by the colleague who had invited it.[[79]](#footnote-79)

To determine an official response, the Conservative Secretary of State, Patrick Mayhew, convened a meeting of ministers and senior civil servants in the Northern Ireland Office. The minutes record acknowledgment that the law was unclear and that this had been recognised in recent court cases. Moreover, it was noted that it was ‘difficult to defend’ in terms of fairness, given that the middle class could travel to access abortion services, whilst the working class could not.[[80]](#footnote-80) However, while the FPANI’s proposal for a Commission of Inquiry was considered, it was firmly rejected.[[81]](#footnote-81) First, it was already known that the law was unclear, with no need for further confirmation of this fact. Second, there was a danger that a Commission would make recommendations to which the Government would then need to react.[[82]](#footnote-82) Rather, there was benefit in ‘keeping the overall political temperature in Northern Ireland as low as possible,’ with a decision better deferred for six months when it might be ‘somewhat cooler’.[[83]](#footnote-83) The one female minister present – Baroness Denton – wondered, with some prescience, if ‘in following this analysis, the time would ever be right to make a change.’[[84]](#footnote-84)

A senior official in the Department of Health concluded as follows (with the emphasis in the original):

‘In the light of the opinions expressed by Simon Lee, Professor of Juresprudence [sic], Queen’s University and High Court judges, it would be difficult, it [sic] not impossible, to argue against the contention that the Northern Ireland abortion law is unclear. Equally, if the Government publicly accepted that the law is unclear, it would be difficult to defend a “do nothing” stance. **It is therefore recommended that the Secretary of State’s response does not express any view on the state of the law.’** [[85]](#footnote-85)

The chosen course was thus studied inaction and carefully choreographed ignorance.[[86]](#footnote-86) A one sentence response to a parliamentary question reiterated that the Government did not propose to suggest any changes to the law at present.[[87]](#footnote-87) While privately aware that the law was unclear, publicly the Government maintained that ‘medical colleagues in the Department do not consider that there is any doubt in the minds of the medical profession about the circumstances in which abortions are legal in Northern Ireland’ (a claim that appears to have relied on the confused opinion of the single doctor cited above).[[88]](#footnote-88) While privately briefed on ‘a surprising degree of support among both sections of the community in Northern Ireland for the liberalisation of the existing law’,[[89]](#footnote-89) publicly the Government noted that the overwhelming majority of the representations it had received expressed opposition to change, and that abortion was properly a matter for Northern Irish MPs.[[90]](#footnote-90) It did, however, commit to improving the quality of abortion statistics: the resulting changes in coding practices would fully justify the fears expressed above that such a move might have a chilling effect on practice.[[91]](#footnote-91)

In 1997, there seemed some prospect that this long-established status quo might be disrupted by the coming to power of ‘New Labour’ with a substantial injection of female Labour MPs, who had emerged as the prime defenders of abortion rights in Westminster.[[92]](#footnote-92) Further, for the first time, the Northern Ireland Office was to be occupied by a woman and one, moreover, known to be personally supportive of ‘the right to choose for the women of Northern Ireland’: Mo Mowlam.[[93]](#footnote-93) Goretti Horgan recalls that for the first time, the issue of abortion law reform seemed up for discussion, with Pro-Choice campaigners ‘welcomed to Westminster’ and finding that ‘people were willing to have a dialogue.’[[94]](#footnote-94)

However, if a change in tack was anticipated, it was not forthcoming. When the Good Friday Agreement was signed in 1998, the Labour Government maintained the long-standing position that the Abortion Act would be extended only with the broad support of the people of Northern Ireland. Indeed, it was rumoured that, in order to encourage all parties to sign, Mowlam had privately committed that there would be no subsequent moves to extend the Act.[[95]](#footnote-95) She would later note her regret at having failed to achieve reform.[[96]](#footnote-96)

### Westminster during power sharing

While legislative responsibility for health was immediately transferred to the newly formed Northern Ireland Assembly, responsibility for criminal justice was initially reserved, leaving one further significant opportunity for the UK Parliament to debate the extension of the Abortion Act to the region. The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008 was designed to update the 1990 Act of the same name, which had earlier been used as a vehicle for abortion law reform. The opportunity of tabling further amendments to it was firmly grasped by MPs on all sides of the debate. A first set of amendments sought to restrict the Abortion Act and these were duly put to a vote. However, a second group of amendments proposing liberalising changes, including a proposal to extend the Abortion Act to Northern Ireland, was blocked without debate.[[97]](#footnote-97) This prompted furious accusations that the Government had acted ‘in an asymmetrical way, so that those who sought at an earlier stage to curtail abortion rights were heard, but those who seek at this stage to extend them are to be silenced.’[[98]](#footnote-98)

With its official position one of neutrality towards abortion law, the Government’s action was indeed unusual. It sought to justify it as necessary to protect the available parliamentary time for matters which went ‘to the very heart’ of the Bill.[[99]](#footnote-99) However, with restrictive amendments given time and Parliament awarded a Christmas recess of ‘unprecedented length’, this rang hollow.[[100]](#footnote-100) Kenneth Clarke (Con), who as Health Secretary had created time for abortion law reform in 1990, described the action as a ‘particularly cynical’ piece of political expediency designed to prevent a vote.[[101]](#footnote-101) It was very widely rumoured that it was motivated by the desire to avoid a vote on the extension of the Abortion Act to Northern Ireland, with this the quid pro quo offered to secure the support of DUP MPs for a controversial anti-terrorist measure.[[102]](#footnote-102)

While this suggestion was flatly denied at the time,[[103]](#footnote-103) it was strongly confirmed by our interviewees. Horgan reported that campaigners were preparing to send a deputation to Westminster when they received a call from Emily Thornberry (Lab), who had intended to table the Northern Ireland amendment:

[Thornberry had] been called to No. 10 and she had been told that she couldn’t put down the amendments, that if she did she would destroy the peace process and she would make life very difficult for the prime minister, who was Gordon Brown. […] And I was like, “do you not think we are the ones [who] know? Both sides are both against it and for it, and it would make no difference to the peace process. This is just a load of nonsense.” Now I have to say I admire her that she actually had the guts to phone … And then it became obvious that it wasn’t going to happen actually, because although Diane Abbott took it over, and she put down the amendment in October, it became clear then that okay, the apparatus is against it, so it’s not going to go through.’[[104]](#footnote-104)

Audrey Simpson related the same story, noting that the amendment was then picked up by the one who ‘couldn’t give a damn’, Diane Abbott’ (Lab).[[105]](#footnote-105) Marge Berer, then Chair of the UK Voice for Choice coalition, likewise reports having been told that ‘if we would drop Northern Ireland we would get the other six amendments [that we were supporting]. It was outrageous and we said no.’[[106]](#footnote-106)

While the motivation for blocking debate of the liberalising amendments remains disputed, the consequences were clear. Diane Abbott noted that women in Northern Ireland would thus be denied for another generation the rights that had been enjoyed elsewhere in the UK for 40 years.[[107]](#footnote-107) Horgan made this point more forcefully, suggesting that, with legislative responsibility for criminal justice transferring to the Northern Ireland Assembly, women’s abortion rights were now ‘in the hands of an evangelical taliban’.[[108]](#footnote-108)

## 3. Stormont (1998-2017): ‘Bleak House’

### An inauspicious context for reform

From 2010, it was nonetheless to Stormont that campaigners were forced to look. They had little reason for optimism. In 1984, a motion against the extension of the Abortion Act had passed by 74 votes to one.[[109]](#footnote-109) In 2000, a second, similarly framed motion had likewise passed by a clear, if less emphatic majority.[[110]](#footnote-110) And the Assembly’s opposition to abortion would again be demonstrated in 2013, with a measure designed to end the work of a Marie Stopes clinic, which had recently opened in Belfast in order to provide a small number of legal abortions and offer referrals to British clinics. In an attempt to shut down the clinic, Paul Givan (DUP) and Alban Magennis (SDLP) tabled an amendment to prohibit abortions being performed outside NHS premises. This again won an absolute majority (53 votes to 40), but was blocked through a petition of concern.[[111]](#footnote-111) Its defeat followed the well-publicised publication of an open letter signed by 100 people, mainly women, describing the prevalence of illegal abortion in the region: signatories declared that they had either used abortion pills to end pregnancies or had helped others to do so.[[112]](#footnote-112)

At least three factors were significant in making the Northern Ireland Assembly a hostile climate for abortion law reform. First, religion has historically played a far more central role in political debates in Northern Ireland, offering a powerful marker of identity in social and political conflict. While a significant proportion of the Northern Irish public has now come to identify with neither the nationalist nor unionist community,[[113]](#footnote-113) this has not translated readily into a less religiously divided Assembly as the majority of these ‘Neithers’ tend to support no party at all.[[114]](#footnote-114) Indeed, in Stormont, the conditions of the political settlement agreed in the Good Friday Agreement have tended to harden lines of political debate, assuming the existence of two distinct ‘communities’ divided along sectarian lines and creating obstacles to the emergence of an alternative, ‘third way’ politics.[[115]](#footnote-115) This has served to entrench the role of religious values within the Assembly, with political representatives taking a considerably harder line against the possibility of relaxing abortion laws than have their constituents,[[116]](#footnote-116) and debate of abortion dominated by a ‘holy alliance’ of evangelical Protestantism and fundamentalist Catholicism.[[117]](#footnote-117)

While smaller parties in Stormont have tended to see abortion as an issue of conscience,[[118]](#footnote-118) the larger parties have historically been strongly opposed to reform. The Social Democratic and Labour Party (with twelve MLAs) considers itself a ‘pro-life party’ albeit, since May 2018, allowing its members a conscience vote on abortion. Sinn Féin (27 MLAs) has only very recently revised a previously strongly anti-abortion position to favour allowing abortion within ‘a limited gestational period’.[[119]](#footnote-119) The region’s largest party, the Democratic Unionist Party (DUP, 28 MLAs) – with its close association with a particular brand of evangelical Protestantism[[120]](#footnote-120) – has remained staunchly and consistently opposed to even the most limited relaxation of the law, with its politicians playing a leading role in blocking reform. The gulf between political representatives and their supporters is particularly visible with regard to the DUP. In 2016, the authoritative Northern Irish Life and Times survey collected views on whether abortion should be legal in a range of seven different circumstances: it found that DUP supporters believed that abortion should definitely or probably be legal in six of the seven (excluding just the case where a woman simply did not want to have children).[[121]](#footnote-121) Indeed, it is possible that individual MLAs might themselves privately favour a softer line than that officially espoused by their parties. Audrey Simpson speculated:

‘Every political party has sympathetic MLAs. But all the political parties are so scared of change, so scared that they are going to lose the moral high ground, that they won’t give anybody a free vote on it […] I think the political shift probably reflects the change that there has been in public opinion as well. But you can’t get a clear sense of the political shift, because you know some of them are being quiet. You’d love to have a one-to-one with all MLAs, and say off the record, tell me what you think.’[[122]](#footnote-122)

Second, abortion has never been adopted as a women’s issue in Stormont in the same way as in Westminster, where female Labour MPs have formed a highly visible lobby for abortion rights. While women are also in the minority in Westminster, this was for many years still more pronounced at Stormont, which remained ‘a virtual male monopoly’.[[123]](#footnote-123) This in itself is partly attributable to the strongly religious, ‘rigidly patriarchal’ nature of Northern Irish society,[[124]](#footnote-124) and the fact that the legacy of divided politics left little space for other types of politics, such as feminism.[[125]](#footnote-125) However, it is changing: while just four per cent of Assembly seats were held by women in the early 1980s,[[126]](#footnote-126) today, almost one third of MLAs are women.[[127]](#footnote-127) And as numbers have grown, female MLAs have played an active and growing role in challenging the status quo. For example, all women who spoke in the 2000 debate on the motion against extending the Abortion Act either argued against it or spoke in favour of an amendment proposed by Monica McWilliams (Northern Ireland Women’s Coalition), to establish a Committee of Inquiry.[[128]](#footnote-128) However, while female representation has expanded at Stormont, the explicit framing of arguments for reform in terms of women’s rights – which has become gradually more dominant in Westminster – has remained marginal.[[129]](#footnote-129)

Third, debates at Stormont have been consistently and powerfully haunted by the spectre of the Abortion Act, which has been consistently presented as a thoroughly bad law and the antithesis of Northern Irish values. The threat of the Act has played a powerful role in closing down debate over even the most limited reform. In a 2014 debate, for example, the Justice Minster, David Ford (Alliance) was obliged to refute the repeated ‘serious misrepresentation’ that an amendment aiming solely to make provision for abortion in the case of fatal fetal abnormality was ‘the 1967 Act by the back door’.[[130]](#footnote-130)

### The long battle for legal clarification

With legal reform efforts focused primarily on Westminster before 2010, debate had nonetheless continued within Northern Ireland, with a powerful initial focus on the need for clarification of the law. When Westminster refused to establish a Commission of Inquiry following publication of the Lee Report, the FPANI had turned to the courts, where it eventually won a judgment confirming the need for official guidance to clarify the law for health professionals.[[131]](#footnote-131) Simpson, then Director of the FPANI notes:

‘We took nine months to build up a case and we kept it completely in house, and nobody in Northern Ireland knew that we were doing it […] as soon as we lodged it, the story broke […] and all hell did break loose. It took 15 months to get it to court, and […] there were something like 12 barristers and an equal number of solicitors on this case. There wasn’t enough room for them all. Because we had interveners: Precious Life, Life, SPUC, the Catholic Church [laughs], everybody! […] And then it took eighteen months for judgement, it was in the law journals as the longest judgement ever awaited. And then when it came out, he ruled against us. [… But] the three appeal judges ruled in our favour. So by initiating that process, the amount of publicity that attracted, it just really, really blew the lid off the situation in Northern Ireland. I think that was one of the major, major things that we did.’[[132]](#footnote-132)

This was, however, only the beginning. In finding for the FPANI, the Court of Appeal noted that any legal uncertainty might ‘easily be removed’ by guidance.[[133]](#footnote-133) However, the subsequent process of clarifying the precise legal meaning of statutory language dating back to the Victorian era and the ambiguously worded *Bourne* judgment – which had ‘left plenty of loose ends and ample scope for clarification’[[134]](#footnote-134) – was to prove tortuous, highly politicised and painfully slow.

A first attempt at producing official guidance in 2007 provoked another debate in Stormont, which voted to reject it on the basis that it had the effect of relaxing, rather than clarifying, the law.[[135]](#footnote-135) Jeffrey Donaldson (DUP), who proposed the motion, also commended it as an opportunity to send another clear message to Westminster opposing any extension of the Abortion Act, at a time when the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008 was under consideration.[[136]](#footnote-136) A second (2009) draft of official guidance, containing a more restrictive statement of the law, was challenged by SPUC.[[137]](#footnote-137) This was found to offer an accurate description of the grounds for abortion but to be misleading in its advice on counselling and conscientious objection.[[138]](#footnote-138) While these sections were being redrafted, interim guidance was issued but swiftly withdrawn following the threat of further legal challenge. A fourth draft was then published and submitted to the Executive for approval but had not been considered before the Assembly was dissolved in March 2011.[[139]](#footnote-139)

With the *SPUC* court having both confirmed the substantial accuracy of the second draft of the guidance and offered a clear statement of the changes required to render it capable of withstanding further legal challenge, the possibility of publishing clear and accurate guidance nonetheless now appeared within easy grasp. However, the incoming Health Minister, Edwin Poots (DUP), sent civil servants back to the drawing board.[[140]](#footnote-140) The result was substantially new guidance, offering the most restrictive reading of the law yet.[[141]](#footnote-141) A chorus of criticism now came from the other side.[[142]](#footnote-142) While abortion had hitherto not been prominent within the work of human rights organisations in Northern Ireland, this fifth draft was to prove ‘a game changer’, doing ‘what several decades of pro-choice advocacy did not: prompting mainstream human rights advocates to take on the human rights concerns inherent in restrictive access to abortion in Northern Ireland.’[[143]](#footnote-143) Amnesty International, the Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission (NIHRC), and the Committee on the Administration of Justice each now intervened in the debate for the first time.[[144]](#footnote-144) The guidance was again withdrawn, with a further and significantly revised sixth iteration eventually published only in 2016, more than twenty years after the Lee Report and the start of the FPANI’s campaign for clarification of the law, and over a decade since a court had required its production.[[145]](#footnote-145)

In more than one way, the 2016 guidance represented an important victory for pro-reform campaigners. In particular, the legacy of a focus on human rights would prove crucial over the following years. However, the process of clarifying the law had also cast a powerful spotlight on an area of longstanding legal ambiguity. Combined with earlier Government work to improve the clinical coding used by gynaecologists, this would have a marked chilling effect on practice. Most importantly, there was no longer any space for lingering confusion concerning the legality of abortion for fetal anomaly. In 1978, it was estimated that around 400 terminations were performed within Northern Ireland’s health services.[[146]](#footnote-146) By 2005, this number had dwindled to 82;[[147]](#footnote-147) by 2011/12, it was 35;[[148]](#footnote-148) and, in 2017/18, just 12 abortions were reported.[[149]](#footnote-149)

Moreover, the acceptance of a far more restrictive understanding of the law was accompanied by a vehement defence of the new *status quo*. In the 1990s, the Reverend Ian Paisley had been one of many to believe that abortion was already available in ‘hard cases’, including for serious fetal anomaly, or where a pregnancy resulted from rape.[[150]](#footnote-150) Now, however, Northern Irish politicians would block moves to relax the law to permit termination for these reasons, including even in cases of anomalies so severe as to be incompatible with life.

### ‘Bleak House’

Outside Stormont, public opinion had continued to shift in favour of reform.[[151]](#footnote-151) Opposed every step of the way by an equally vociferous anti-abortion movement, pro-reform campaigners had been active and creative in raising public awareness of the ways in which the restrictive law impacted on women.[[152]](#footnote-152) A particularly prominent role was played by AFC, which worked closely with activists in the Republic as well as a range of civil society organisations across Northern Ireland. AFC highlighted the burdens that the existing law imposed on Northern Irish women, organising ‘speak outs’ to disrupt the silence around abortion. It used creative artistic interventions to demonstrate the reality of abortion within Northern Ireland, often focusing on the individual stories behind the statistics of women forced to travel or self-induce abortions.[[153]](#footnote-153) It also took every opportunity to inform women about not-for-profit groups that would prescribe and supply abortion pills,[[154]](#footnote-154) with activists sometimes openly inviting prosecution for their actions.[[155]](#footnote-155) While the number of women travelling to access services in England had initially gradually risen across the 1970s and then stabilised during the 1980s and 1990s, numbers would now halve in the space of fifteen years from 1,391 in 2002 to 724 in 2016.[[156]](#footnote-156)

The use of abortion pills transformed the reality of illegal abortion within Northern Ireland, becoming an increasingly open secret. While concerns were expressed regarding the public health risks of buying medicines online,[[157]](#footnote-157) the authorities long appeared to be turning a blind eye.[[158]](#footnote-158) However, this came to a sudden end in 2016, with the conviction of a nineteen year old woman for ending an early pregnancy using pills. Imposing a suspended sentence, the judge is reported to have noted his discomfort at being asked to enforce a statute that was 150 years old.[[159]](#footnote-159) In a second case, a young man and woman were released with a caution in light of evidence of the impact on the woman’s fragile mental health.[[160]](#footnote-160) A further prosecution was brought against a mother charged with procuring abortion pills to allow her teenage daughter to end a pregnancy conceived during an abusive relationship,[[161]](#footnote-161) with the mother going on to seek judicial review of the decision to prosecute.[[162]](#footnote-162)

These cases appear to have played a powerful role in increasing public awareness of, and empathy with, Northern Irish women experiencing unwanted pregnancies: polling data demonstrates strong and rapidly increasing public disapproval of using criminal abortion laws against them.[[163]](#footnote-163) Public opinion was also undoubtedly influenced by the high profile case of Sarah Ewart, a young woman given a diagnosis of anencephaly following her 20 week scan. Ewart was advised that there was no prospect of her child surviving, that she faced the likelihood of a ‘very traumatic’ birth, and that she could not have a legal termination within Northern Ireland until such time as ‘the baby has passed away’. She thus travelled to England to end her pregnancy, away from the support of family and friends, emerging as a powerful advocate for legal reform on her return.[[164]](#footnote-164) With mainstream human rights organisations now actively engaged on the issue, the NIHRC would now bring a legal action arguing that the failure to permit abortion in cases of fatal fetal anomaly or pregnancies resulting from rape or incest was incompatible with human rights law. The case was won in the High Court, lost in the Court of Appeal, and then proceeded to the Supreme Court.[[165]](#footnote-165)

These interventions were widely discussed in the press and were clearly influential in shaping public opinion. Simpson notes:

the sea-shift has been incredible. You’ve even got a supportive press, as well. The big thing is that you’ve got more politicians speaking out, more doctors speaking out, importantly more women speaking out. We’ve got human rights organisations finally involved. And when I think about it, that’s all happened in a relatively short space of time […] Women sum up the situation perfectly. Women’s voices, to me, are the strongest lobbyists and campaigners.[[166]](#footnote-166)

The impact on opinion was clear. As noted above, the Northern Ireland Life and Times survey asks respondents whether abortion should be legal in seven separate circumstances. In 2016, in just one of these circumstances – where a woman simply did not want to have children – did a majority (60 per cent) believe that abortion should not be legal. A solid 81 per cent of respondents thought that abortion should be legal where there the fetus had a lethal fetal anomaly and will not survive birth; 78 per cent where pregnancy resulted from rape or incest; and 75 per cent where a doctor says there is a greater risk to a woman’s health in continuing, as opposed to terminating, a pregnancy (with this wording based on section 1(1)(a) of the Abortion Act, which offers the legal basis for 98 per cent of abortions carried out in England and Wales).[[167]](#footnote-167) Suggesting clear public sympathy for the women who had been prosecuted for use of abortion pills, 71 per cent of respondents agreed both that abortion should be a matter of medical regulation and not criminal law; and that a woman should never go to prison for having an abortion. With the prosecutions discussed above being widely reported within Northern Ireland, just two years later in 2018, the proportions agreeing with these two last claims had grown to 82 per cent and 89 per cent respectively.[[168]](#footnote-168)

While the Northern Irish public was thus overwhelmingly supportive of some legal reform, the process of negotiating even the most modest change within the Northern Ireland Assembly would prove just as tortuous as the attempt to agree guidelines. With official guidance confirming that fetal anomaly was not a ground for abortion within Northern Ireland, the Justice Minister, David Ford (Alliance) wrote to the Health Minister, Edwin Poots (DUP) to suggest that their two Departments might work together to conduct a joint consultation on this issue. No response was forthcoming. The Justice Department thus proceeded alone to consult on whether abortion should be permitted in cases of fatal fetal anomaly and pregnancy resulting from sexual assault,[[169]](#footnote-169) eventually publishing a recommendation for narrowly drawn reform in just the former case.[[170]](#footnote-170) Ford then twice asked the Executive to agree the introduction of such a measure in the Assembly.[[171]](#footnote-171)

With the Executive making no move to respond to Ford’s request, an attempt was then made to bring reform via two amendments to a criminal justice Bill introduced by individual backbench Alliance MLAs.[[172]](#footnote-172) The first sought to permit abortion in the presence of a fatal fetal anomaly; the second where pregnancy resulted from rape, incest or serious sexual assault.[[173]](#footnote-173) As is clear from the polling data discussed above, there was very strong public support for these changes, and the Department of Justice had already consulted extensively on them. Nonetheless, the amendments were rejected in favour of a further commission,[[174]](#footnote-174) a move described by an Assembly Member who had tabled one of the amendments as an attempt to ‘kick this proposal into the long grass’.[[175]](#footnote-175) The Commission went on to recommend the introduction of a tightly worded statutory exception to permit abortion in the presence of a fatal fetal anomaly, with a subsequent proposal for reform falling with the collapse of the Assembly and Executive in January 2017.[[176]](#footnote-176) This put an end to any movement towards even such highly limited reform.

In the words of one MLA, the Northern Ireland Executive had suffered from ‘a collective inability to agree legislation, and indeed can barely agree on much more than a consultation’ on the issue of abortion.[[177]](#footnote-177) As another put it, Stormont had become a kind of ‘Bleak House’, with MLAs ‘in the Chancery Courts, waiting day after day after day after day for a decision that never comes.’[[178]](#footnote-178)

## 4. Westminster (2018-19): ‘Human rights delayed are human rights denied’

### Abortion as an issue of women’s rights

As the period without functioning government in Stormont gradually extended, the attention of pro-reform campaigners turned back to Westminster. While the position of the UK Government remained unchanged, they would now encounter a climate that was otherwise radically more favourable to abortion law reform. Northern Ireland’s law now became subject to a series of well-publicised indictments for breaching human rights, representing the culmination of many years work of pro-reform campaigners and particularly, in recent years, that of AFC and FPANI.

First, in early 2018, the monitoring committee of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) published a damning report, finding that women were subject to grave and systematic rights violations when compelled either to carry a pregnancy to term or to travel outside Northern Ireland for a legal abortion.[[179]](#footnote-179) CEDAW made wide-ranging recommendations including, *inter alia,* the repeal of sections 58 and 59 of the 1861 Act; the adoption of legislation permitting abortion on expanded grounds; and a moratorium on the application of current criminal laws concerning abortion.[[180]](#footnote-180) The report resulted from a joint request for an inquiry under CEDAW’s Optional Protocol made by the FPANI, the Northern Ireland Women’s European Platform and AFC. In support of their request, they had submitted a volume of evidence that remains the ‘most detailed, comprehensive and robustly evidenced documentation of the legal, political and social contexts of access to abortion in Northern Ireland, as well as the material, emotional and political consequences of the restrictive abortion regime’.[[181]](#footnote-181) The CEDAW report offered a powerful vindication of their concerns.

Second, in June 2018, the Supreme Court handed down its judgment in the NIHRC case. In formal legal terms, the NIHRC failed: it was held to lack locus standi to bring the case in its own right and thus was not granted the declaration of incompatibility that had been sought.[[182]](#footnote-182) However, in broader political terms, it was very successful. A majority of the seven judges who heard the case accepted that the then current law in Northern Ireland was incompatible with the right to respect for private and family life under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, in failing to permit abortion in the presence of a fatal fetal anomaly or where a pregnancy resulted from rape or incest. Two judges also found an incompatibility with Article 3 (the right not to be subjected to inhuman or degrading treatment). Given that the case had been lost on the issue of standing, Sarah Ewart then brought – and eventually won – an action in her own name.[[183]](#footnote-183)

Third, in April 2019, the House of Commons Women and Equalities Committee published a damning report on Northern Ireland’s abortion law.[[184]](#footnote-184) Evidence had been taken in Belfast, Derry and London, with Committee members later to report that hearing women’s testimonies had offered the most ‘harrowing’ experience of their time in Parliament.[[185]](#footnote-185) The Committee made a number of recommendations, including the need for better information and guidance for women and healthcare professionals on certain aspects of the law; for the introduction of measures to improve access to services in the UK for marginalised women and girls; and for the UK Government to legislate as a matter of urgency to allow access to abortion within Northern Ireland in cases of fatal fetal anomaly.[[186]](#footnote-186)

Finally, the campaign for reform had been given a powerful boost by events south of the border. In May 2018, following a bitterly contested campaign, the Republic of Ireland voted by a large majority to repeal the Eighth Amendment of the Irish Constitution and to permit abortion on request within the first twelve weeks of pregnancy (and, thereafter, in tightly limited circumstances). In the British and Irish media, this move was generally lauded as humane, progressive and long overdue; reports were frequently accompanied by images of smiling young people travelling home to vote, emphasising the modernising nature of the reform.[[187]](#footnote-187) A rare critical commentary was offered in the *Spectator*, where Melanie McDonagh decried what she saw as partisan media coverage and concluded that:

‘The result of this vote is, of course, that the pressure is now on Northern Irish politicians to follow the Republic, an interesting inversion of the old unionist trope that Home Rule is Rome Rule; indeed Mary Lou McDonald, Sinn Fein leader, cheerfully suggested that women may be travelling from north to south to procure abortions. Rarely have I been so grateful for the robust and intransigent character of the DUP; hang on in there Arlene’.[[188]](#footnote-188)

Not only had the referendum result cast a powerful spotlight on the equally restrictive law in the North, it also had the further important result of prompting Sinn Féin radically to revise its earlier policy and to join calls for liberalisation of the law within ‘a limited gestational period’.[[189]](#footnote-189) Mary Lou McDonald was now pictured with Michelle O’Neill (Sinn Féin’s leader in Stormont), together holding a sign proclaiming that the ‘north is next’.[[190]](#footnote-190) While the ‘robust and intransigent character’ of the DUP had hitherto been of sustained importance to blocking even the most modest reform of the law, the party would now find itself increasingly politically isolated.

The Irish Referendum also had one further important effect: it highlighted the possibility of achieving reform that, in certain respects at least, went far beyond the current British framework for legal abortion.[[191]](#footnote-191) With the human rights frame now dominant and campaigners elsewhere in the UK fighting for decriminalisation of abortion, any call for the extension of the Abortion Act would now appear long forgotten. While they remained powerfully opposed by Pro-Life groups, Pro-Choice campaigners now coalesced powerfully behind the demand for decriminalisation of abortion in Northern Ireland as the logical next step, adopting the hashtags: #NowforNI, #theNorthIsNow and #theNorthIsNext.

With no end in sight to the suspension of the Northern Ireland Assembly and the chorus of condemnations of human rights abuses becoming louder, it would become increasingly difficult for Westminster to ignore the issue. And while there was no notable softening in the Government’s position, Parliament had grown more receptive to the desirability of liberal abortion laws, understood within a framework of reproductive health and women’s rights. In March 2017, Diana Johnson (Lab) brought the first of two Ten Minute Rule Bills proposing the decriminalisation of abortion in England and Wales. Johnson positioned herself firmly within a long tradition of Labour women’s campaigning on this issue, arguing that reform was necessary in order to recognise women ‘as the authors of our own lives’.[[192]](#footnote-192) While furiously opposed by Maria Caulfield (Con), the Bill nonetheless passed its first reading by a majority of thirty votes.[[193]](#footnote-193) With no prospect of the restoration of government in Stormont in sight, in October 2018, Johnson introduced a second Bill that included Northern Ireland within its remit. This was again robustly opposed, with Fiona Bruce (Con) devoting most of the ten minutes permitted for her response to criticism of the ‘ignoble endeavour to take advantage of a temporary Executive lacuna and to foist legislation unconstitutionally on to the people of Northern Ireland’.[[194]](#footnote-194) The second Johnson Bill nonetheless succeeded by a wider margin than the first.[[195]](#footnote-195) As Ten Minute Rule Bills, neither measure had any realistic prospect of progressing further; they had nonetheless offered an important gauge of Parliamentary opinion.

### The Creasy Amendments

While in some ways, the political context thus appeared favourable to those seeking abortion law reform in Northern Ireland, in others it did not. Westminster was floundering in the face of Brexit and, following a snap general election in June 2017, the Conservative Government’s wafer thin parliamentary majority now depended on a ‘confidence and supply’ arrangement with the DUP, which had made it clear that it did not intend to compromise its views on abortion as ‘the rights of the unborn child trump any political agreement’.[[196]](#footnote-196) Nonetheless, Stormont’s suspension and the volatile political climate of a hung Parliament at Westminster created unusual opportunities, which would be seized with both hands by Stella Creasy (Lab), supported by a parliamentary researcher, Cara Sanquest, who had co-founded the London-Irish Abortion Rights Campaign.[[197]](#footnote-197) In earlier decades, MPs had been highly creative in their attempts to restrict abortion law.[[198]](#footnote-198) Commenting on the particularly frenzied activity in one Parliamentary session, the veteran Abortion Law Reform Association campaigner, Dilys Cossey, complained of the ‘”lateral arabesque” school of anti-choice activity’, whereby amendments relating to abortion were ‘tabled to any Bill where there could be some remote connection’.[[199]](#footnote-199) Some thirty years later, the boot was on the other foot.

Creasy’s first success was to secure access to NHS-funded services for Northern Irish residents who travelled to end pregnancies in England.[[200]](#footnote-200) While the Government had vigorously opposed such a demand in the courts,[[201]](#footnote-201) it swiftly capitulated in the face of Creasy’s plan to table an amendment to this effect to the Queen’s Speech. Her proposal had garnered significant support from ‘dozens of Conservative MPs’, including several former ministers, raising the possibility that it would be accepted.[[202]](#footnote-202) Were this to be so, DUP MPs would have found themselves forced either to vote in favour of a legislative programme that included improved abortion access for Northern Irish residents or, alternatively, to vote down the entire programme.[[203]](#footnote-203) The Government thus performed a speedy volte face, agreeing to provide the funding demanded.[[204]](#footnote-204) As a result, the number of Northern Irish residents accessing abortion services in England and Wales, which had declined gradually over the previous decades, now rose by half over the space of two years.[[205]](#footnote-205)

Creasy now built momentum towards substantive legal reform. Having first secured an emergency debate on the issue,[[206]](#footnote-206) she then took advantage of a series of Bills designed to address the absence of a functioning Executive in Stormont, which offered convenient vehicles for other amendments. First, she secured the passage of a measure that required the Government to issue guidance to officials regarding how they should provide and manage public services within Northern Ireland, given the incompatibility with human rights norms of those statutory provisions that criminalised abortion and denied same sex marriage rights.[[207]](#footnote-207) The resulting guidance was disappointing: it stated merely that Northern Ireland departments should continue to have regard to all legal obligations; and that it was for the devolved administration both to legislate on, and to ensure compliance with, human rights obligations in relation to devolved matters.[[208]](#footnote-208) Creasy criticised this latter claim as factually wrong, with human rights a matter of reserved competence and devolution ‘no excuse for denying women in Northern Ireland their human rights.’[[209]](#footnote-209)

Next, Creasy tabled an amendment that would have prohibited UK taxpayers’ money ‘being used to perpetuate … human rights abuses by funding prosecutions and defending claims that are having to be brought by Northern Irish citizens to uphold their rights’.[[210]](#footnote-210) While ultimately she withdrew the amendment without forcing a vote, she again advised the Government that until it recognised its responsibility for the human rights of Northern Irish women, ‘this House will take every single opportunity to speak up for the Sarah Ewarts’.[[211]](#footnote-211)

Finally and most importantly, Creasy was one of a number of MPs to make use of the vehicle offered by a narrowly framed process Bill, intended to extend the period available for negotiating the re-establishment of an Executive at Stormont. Other amendments were tabled to extend same sex marriage and civil partnership in Northern Ireland, and to establish a scheme to compensate those who suffered injuries as a result of the Troubles.[[212]](#footnote-212) Creasy’s amendment was enormously ambitious: it required the UK Government to implement the wide-ranging recommendations of the CEDAW. With abortion law falling within the legislative competence of Stormont, Creasy claimed her amendment to be carefully framed so as to respect devolution: it would come into effect only if the Northern Ireland Assembly was still not sitting on 21 October and was thus itself unable to introduce legislation. In any case, she noted that Westminster retained responsibility for the human rights of all UK citizens, and that ‘human rights delayed are human rights denied’.[[213]](#footnote-213) It was now time to end five decades of ‘turning a blind eye’.[[214]](#footnote-214)

The tabling of any of these amendments to a narrowly focused process bill was controversial and made doubly so given the sensitive nature of the issues concerned. Northern Irish constituencies currently return 18 MPs to Westminster, only 11 of whom take up their seats: one independent, and ten from the DUP.[[215]](#footnote-215) The DUP MPs were vocal in opposing the amendment, with debate focused heavily on the question of Westminster’s authority to act on a devolved issue;[[216]](#footnote-216) and the possibility that the amendments might ‘jeopardise fragile talks’ regarding the restoration of the Northern Ireland Executive.[[217]](#footnote-217) Others argued that too much authority was being given to CEDAW (a ‘minor sub-committee of the UN’ with ‘no judicial authority’) and recalled that the Supreme Court was yet to issue a declaration of incompatibility.[[218]](#footnote-218) Further, it was noted that the effect of the Creasy amendment – with CEDAW’s recommendations including the repeal of sections 58 and 59 – went far beyond either the *obiter* findings of human rights breaches made by the Supreme Court or the recommendations of the Women and Equalities Committee.[[219]](#footnote-219) Further, the Bill was not the best vehicle for these changes,[[220]](#footnote-220) with Parliament asked to legislate in a ‘hop, skip, jump and a prayer manner’, on the basis of an amendment that had received none of the sustained scrutiny normally accorded to such significant statutory reform.[[221]](#footnote-221)

The Government agreed that the amendment suffered from ‘technical’ problems.[[222]](#footnote-222) Indeed, on its initial drafting, it was unclear whether it would decriminalise abortion just in Northern Ireland or also in England and Wales;[[223]](#footnote-223) and whether it would apply only to consensual abortions or also those provoked as a result of assault or the surreptitious administration of pills.[[224]](#footnote-224) Moreover, if sections 58 and 59 of the Offences Against the Person Act (1861) were repealed, then the criminal prohibition of post-viability abortion would rely upon the separate offence of ‘child destruction’ and it was unclear whether this would create an upper time limit for abortion of 24 or 28 weeks, with Parliament having previously strongly rejected the higher gestational limit.[[225]](#footnote-225)

However, any concerns – technical or otherwise – were swept aside in the face of Parliament’s apparent overwhelming support for the issue of principle: that abortion was an issue of human rights and an appropriate focus of its attention in the absence of a sitting Northern Ireland Assembly. The Creasy amendment passed by an emphatic majority of 332 to 99 votes. The independent Northern Irish MP, Sylvia Hermon, joined the DUP in voting against it, with two DUP MPs acting as tellers for the noes. As such, all MPs representing Northern Irish constituencies who voted on the amendment, voted against it. The UK Government maintained to the end its position that abortion law reform was a matter for Stormont, with many Conservative MPs joining the Prime Minister and Northern Ireland Secretary in abstaining. Those Conservatives who did vote proved relatively evenly split.[[226]](#footnote-226) However, the response from all other political parties was overwhelmingly in favour of reform: all ten Liberal Democrat MPs who voted were in favour of the amendment, as were 220 of 225 Labour MPs, and 20 of 22 SNP MPs.[[227]](#footnote-227) The amendment, lightly revised to address some of the technical issues identified by the Government, was likewise accepted by a strong majority – of almost five to one – in the House of Lords.[[228]](#footnote-228)

 With no executive re-established in Stormont by 21 October 2019, the Creasy amendment came into effect. Close to the deadline, it was suggested that the Conservative Government was attempting to buy the support of the DUP for Boris Johnson’s Brexit withdrawal deal, with the offer of returning responsibility for the legal change to Stormont. If true, these rumoured attempts to use ‘women as “bargaining chips”’ were unsuccessful.[[229]](#footnote-229) In a final ‘high stakes move’, the DUP attempted to recall the Assembly for the first time since 2017 to pass their Defence of the Unborn Child Bill (2019), which sought to repeal the Creasy amendment.[[230]](#footnote-230) However, the Speaker, himself a DUP MLA, rejected the request to suspend standing orders so that the Bill might be debated. Sinn Féin boycotted the meeting, saying that they welcomed ‘the decriminalisation of women’. The DUP then followed the SDLP in walking out.[[231]](#footnote-231)

The Creasy amendment obliges the UK Government to act ‘expeditiously, recognising the importance of doing so for protecting the human rights of women in Northern Ireland’.[[232]](#footnote-232) Sections 58 and 59 of the Offences Against the Person Act are repealed for Northern Ireland. Any ongoing prosecutions – including that of the mother who had sourced abortion pills online for her fifteen-year old daughter[[233]](#footnote-233)– have been dismissed. For an interim period, while local services are developed, the UK Government agreed to fund travel, accommodation and the cost of the procedure for all Northern Ireland residents who access abortion services in England.[[234]](#footnote-234) It is planned that safe legal abortion services will be available within Northern Ireland from 31 March 2020. At the time of writing, the Government is consulting on how these services should be regulated.[[235]](#footnote-235) The recent experience of the Republic of Ireland suggests that services can be introduced quickly and effectively where the will exists to do so.[[236]](#footnote-236)

The Government’s recently published consultation document offers a clear insight into its plans regarding the shape of future regulation. It explores the possibility of allowing abortion on request within early pregnancy (defined as up to 12 or 14 weeks); until viability (defined as up to 22 or 24 weeks) where continuing a pregnancy poses a greater risk of injury to the health of the pregnant woman than would abortion (the circumstances laid down in section 1(1)(a) of the Abortion Act 1967); and thereafter in cases of lethal or severe fetal anomaly, risk to the woman’s life, or grave and permanent injury to her health. The consultation also seeks views on the proposals that a doctor or any other appropriately trained healthcare professional (including nurses and midwives) should be authorised to perform terminations; and that services should be ‘provided in the most effective way possible’ without statutory restriction as to the place of provision, at least before 22 or 24 weeks. It invites views on the need for the creation of exclusion (or safe) zones around clinics in order to protect women from harassment by anti-abortion protesters. Finally, it proposes a statutory right of conscientious objection to apply other than in circumstances where abortion is necessary to save the life or to prevent grave permanent injury to a pregnant woman. In line with the position elsewhere in the UK, it is suggested that this would cover participation in the whole course of treatment for the purpose of the abortion but not any associated ancillary, administrative and managerial tasks.[[237]](#footnote-237)

If implemented, these proposals would result in a significantly more liberal formal legal position than that in force elsewhere in the UK, where women still need the authorisation of two doctors to access a legal abortion at any stage of pregnancy; abortions can only be performed by doctors on NHS or other specially licensed premises; and calls for legislation to create exclusion zones around clinics have thus far been rebuffed (notwithstanding the Court of Appeal’s recent opinion that clinic protests in one London Borough had caused some women ‘significant emotional and psychological damage’).[[238]](#footnote-238) Of course, if and when a government is re-established at Stormont, it will have the power to introduce new restrictive legislation regarding abortion (within the limits set by human rights law). However, securing a cross-party agreement in favour of reversing the reform is likely to prove challenging in light of Sinn Féin’s current position on abortion law.

## 5. Conclusions

The reform described in this paper represents a radical rupture with the past but, in light of the history set out above, it is also one that appears anything but sudden. Rather, it offers the culmination of over four decades of sustained struggle by pro-reform campaigners, opposed every step of the way by a highly active, well-organised and vociferous anti-abortion movement. Across those decades, campaigners have cast a sustained light on the law’s harsh effects, encouraging women to tell their stories, and playing an important role in informing public opinion in the region. They have worked within official channels, lobbying politicians, giving evidence to enquiries, bringing or supporting legal cases, and inviting the influential intervention of CEDAW. They have also worked outside these channels, with a particular focus in recent years on spreading awareness of, and supporting the safe use of, abortion pills.

Over the same period, successive UK governments have charted a course of studied inaction, which has been apparently unaffected by political party, the personal views of relevant ministers, or the locus of legislative competence for abortion law and policy. While long aware that public opinion had moved in favour of some reform and that Northern Ireland’s harsh and archaic law was likely in breach of human rights norms, women’s reproductive rights have been treated, at best, as of secondary importance to other political considerations. Moreover, it has been rumoured on several occasions – in 1998, 2008 and 2019 – that they were offered as trading chips to achieve unrelated political ends. And while Westminster has maintained that abortion law reform is a matter for Stormont, the latter has offered a ‘Bleak House’, which has been unwilling or incapable of agreeing even the most limited reform.

It remains to be seen whether this move to liberalising reform will prove ‘too much’ and ‘too indigestible’ for the Northern Irish people, dissolve the invisible ‘glue’ that holds together communities, and derail the peace process. However, it appears highly unlikely: the belief that the public are united in opposition to liberalisation of abortion law seems to be more grounded in assumption that Northern Ireland is a ‘unique wee place’ apart,[[239]](#footnote-239) than hard polling data. Indeed, while vehemently opposed by some within the region,[[240]](#footnote-240) the proposed changes to the law are arguably better aligned with public opinion than is the status quo. While polling data shows that the majority of people in Northern Ireland do not support permitting abortion purely on the basis that a woman does not wish to continue a pregnancy, it also demonstrates that the majority do support regulating abortion as a medical rather than a criminal matter, and permitting access on a wide range of other grounds.[[241]](#footnote-241) Nor is it convincing to suggest that taking this issue out of the hands of the Northern Ireland Assembly will derail progress towards the re-establishment of devolved government. Indeed, with one bitterly contested and highly divisive issue – same sex marriage recognition – now also taken out of Stormont’s hands, it may be that a further hurdle to the re-establishment of the Executive has been removed. Further, any hypothetical threat that abortion rights might pose to the peace process must surely pale in comparison to the very real risks posed by Brexit and the possible recreation of a hard border with the Republic of Ireland.

Finally, the UK Parliament’s overwhelming support for the repeal of criminal prohibitions against abortion in Northern Ireland necessarily also raises the question of decriminalisation in the rest of the UK.[[242]](#footnote-242) The UK Government has made it clear that it has no plans to act in this regard.[[243]](#footnote-243) However, it may not be too long before those in favour of reform elsewhere in the UK identify a suitable legislative vehicle through which to force further change. Jackie Doyle-Price (Con) recently went further than had previous health ministers in recognising the problems caused by the statutory framework established by the aging Abortion Act 1967 and, speaking in a personal capacity, expressed the hope that ‘in future we can have sensible discussions about how we might modernise it’.[[244]](#footnote-244)

In seeking further liberalising reform, campaigners elsewhere in the UK will of course face the same considerable parliamentary hurdles as those who for many decades sought further restriction of abortion law: it is nearly impossible to secure controversial reform by way of private members’ bills without Government support and that has proved infrequently forthcoming.[[245]](#footnote-245) Nonetheless, the decades’ long struggle of Northern Irish campaigners has demonstrated that sustained campaigning may eventually achieve success in unforeseen ways, with hurdles that once seemed insurmountable quickly turning to dust.
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