

# Kent Academic Repository

## Full text document (pdf)

### Citation for published version

Bossi, Arthur and Mesquida, Cristian and Passfield, Louis and Rønnestad, Bent R and Hopker, James G. (2019) Optimizing interval training through power output variation within the work intervals. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*. ISSN 1555-0265. (In press)

### DOI

### Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/77645/>

### Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

#### Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

#### Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

#### Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

[researchsupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:researchsupport@kent.ac.uk)

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

1 **Optimizing interval training through power output variation within the work**  
2 **intervals**

3  
4 Original Investigation

5  
6 Arthur H. Bossi<sup>1,4</sup>, Cristian Mesquida<sup>2,4</sup>, Louis Passfield<sup>1,3</sup>

7 Bent R. Rønnestad<sup>4</sup>, James G. Hopker<sup>1</sup>.  
8

9 <sup>1</sup> School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Kent, Chatham, Kent, England.

10 <sup>2</sup> Facultad de Biología, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

11 <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

12 <sup>4</sup> Department of Sport Science, Inland Norway University of Applied Science,  
13 Lillehammer, Norway.  
14

15 **Correspondence.** Dr James Hopker; School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University  
16 of Kent at Medway, Medway Building, Chatham, Kent, ME4 4AG, England;  
17 J.G.Hopker@kent.ac.uk; +44 (0)1634 888814  
18

19 Running head: Interval training optimization  
20

21 Abstract word count: 250

22 Text-only word count: 3871

23 Number of figures and tables: 4 figures & 2 tables

24 References: 35

25 **ABSTRACT**

26 **Purpose.** Maximal oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) is a key determinant of endurance  
27 performance. Therefore, devising high-intensity interval training (HIIT) that maximizes  
28 stress of the oxygen transport and utilization systems may be important to stimulate  
29 further adaptation in athletes. We compared physiological and perceptual responses  
30 elicited by work intervals matched for duration and mean power output, but differing in  
31 power output distribution. **Methods.** Fourteen cyclists ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ :  $69.2 \pm 6.6$  ml·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>)  
32 completed three laboratory visits for a performance assessment and two HIIT sessions  
33 using either varied- or constant-intensity work intervals. **Results.** Cyclists spent longer  
34 time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  during HIIT with varied-intensity work intervals ( $410 \pm 207$  vs.  $286$   
35  $\pm 162$  s;  $P = 0.02$ ), but there were no differences between sessions in heart rate- or  
36 perceptual-based training load metrics (all  $P \geq 0.1$ ). When considering individual work  
37 intervals, minute ventilation ( $\dot{V}E$ ) was higher in the varied-intensity mode ( $F = 8.42$ ;  $P =$   
38  $0.01$ ), but not respiratory frequency, tidal volume, blood lactate concentration [La],  
39 ratings of perceived exertion, or cadence (all  $F \leq 3.50$ ;  $P \geq 0.08$ ). Absolute changes ( $\Delta$ )  
40 between HIIT sessions were calculated per work interval, and  $\Delta$  total oxygen uptake was  
41 moderately associated with  $\Delta\dot{V}E$  ( $r = 0.36$ ;  $P = 0.002$ ). **Conclusions.** In comparison to a  
42 HIIT session with constant-intensity work intervals, well-trained cyclists sustain higher  
43 fractions of  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  when work intervals involve power output variations. This effect is  
44 partially mediated by an increased oxygen cost of hyperpnoea, and not associated with a  
45 higher [La], perceived exertion or training load metrics.

46  
47 **KEYWORDS.** intensity prescription; time at  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ; elite cycling; maximal aerobic  
48 power; exercise hyperpnoea.

49 **INTRODUCTION**

50 High-intensity interval training (HIIT) involves repeated bouts of high-intensity exercise  
51 interspersed with recovery periods. This method is typically employed to increase the  
52 training stimulus for the cardiorespiratory system over prolonged continuous exercise.  
53 Accordingly, much of the scientific work related to HIIT has focused on maximal oxygen  
54 uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) improvements<sup>1-4</sup>; as the upper limit to the aerobic metabolism and a key  
55 determinant of endurance performance<sup>5</sup>. It has been suggested that exercising at high  
56 intensities is beneficial to improve  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ <sup>4</sup>, particularly in the case of well-trained  
57 athletes<sup>1-3</sup>. Therefore, accumulating time at or close to  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  (e.g. >90% or >95%)  
58 during a HIIT session may be important for training adaptation<sup>1-4,6-9</sup>.

59  
60 Previously, Billat et al.<sup>10</sup> have demonstrated that the ability to sustain exercise at  
61 >95%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  can exceed 15 min if power output is adjusted according to expired gas  
62 responses. In comparison, constant work rate exercise or HIIT performed to exhaustion  
63 produces time at >90% or >95%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  of only a few minutes<sup>1-3,6,7,10</sup>. Billat et al.<sup>10</sup> used  
64 a protocol that commenced at the lowest power output eliciting  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  and, once attained,  
65 power output was decreased progressively. Subsequently, power output was regulated as  
66 per individual oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ) responses, enabling >95%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  to be sustained and  
67 time to exhaustion prolonged<sup>10</sup>. While this laboratory protocol is appealing as a training  
68 session, it is not practical for the majority of athletes. Alternatively, a HIIT session in  
69 which the work intervals include power output variations might provide similar means to  
70 increase time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ .

71  
72 Previous research suggests that power output distribution affects physiological responses  
73 during standardized HIIT sessions<sup>6,9</sup>, with increased time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  following  
74 decreasing- vs. constant-intensity work intervals<sup>6</sup>, and greater time at >85%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$   
75 following all-out vs. constant-intensity work intervals being reported<sup>9</sup>. Although the  
76 aforementioned studies did not investigate potential mechanisms, authors attributed the  
77 results to a difference in  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics between HIIT modes<sup>6,9</sup>, as faster  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics have  
78 been observed during decreasing- vs. constant-intensity single bouts of exercise matched  
79 for mean power output<sup>11,12</sup>. It is believed that  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics reflect changes in oxidative  
80 metabolism within the muscle<sup>13,14</sup>, which in turn respond to the energy state of the cells,  
81 in particular, the concentration of adenosine diphosphate (ADP)<sup>15</sup>. Higher work rates  
82 elevate ADP concentrations and activate oxidative phosphorylation more rapidly<sup>16</sup>,  
83 ultimately producing faster  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics at the onset of decreasing- compared to constant-  
84 intensity exercise<sup>11,12</sup>. This mechanism leads to the possibility that multiple changes in  
85 power output within the first half of a work interval would maximize time at  
86 >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ .

87  
88 Despite the attractiveness of the  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics hypothesis, ventilatory variables such as  
89 minute ventilation ( $\dot{V}E$ ) or respiratory frequency ( $f_R$ ) have been largely ignored as part  
90 of the physiological responses to different patterns of power output distribution<sup>6,9,11,12</sup>.  
91 As the oxygen cost of hyperpnoea at high-intensity exercise is substantial, reaching 15%  
92 of  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  in some individuals<sup>17,18</sup>, exacerbated ventilatory responses caused by varied-  
93 intensity work intervals may help to explain an increased time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  in this type  
94 of HIIT. Indeed, evidence suggests work rate magnitude affects ventilatory response  
95 dynamics<sup>19</sup>. However, the strong association reported between  $f_R$  and ratings of  
96 perceived exertion (RPE)<sup>20</sup> suggests the extra respiratory drive may be associated with a  
97 higher perceptual strain and premature fatigue<sup>21</sup>, potentially offsetting the benefits of  
98 being able to spend a longer time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ .

99

100 The purpose of this study was to compare the physiological and perceptual responses  
101 elicited by work intervals matched for duration and mean power output, but differing in  
102 power output distribution. Specifically, constant-intensity work intervals were prescribed  
103 in one HIIT session, whereas power output was repeatedly varied within the work  
104 intervals of the other one. We tested the following hypotheses: higher fractions of  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$   
105 would be sustained in the varied-intensity mode, and ventilatory variables would predict  
106 changes in  $\dot{V}O_2$  response.

107

## 108 **METHODS**

109 **Participants.** Fourteen well-trained male cyclists volunteered for this study during their  
110 off-season. The institution's ethics committee approved the study in compliance with the  
111 Declaration of Helsinki.

112

113 **Study design.** Participants visited the laboratory on three occasions, at the same time of  
114 the day, separated by at least 48 h. In the first visit, participants completed a submaximal  
115 lactate threshold test and a maximal incremental test to characterize their cycling ability  
116 and physiological profile. They were also familiarized with the HIIT sessions used during  
117 subsequent visits. In visits two and three, participants performed in randomized order two  
118 HIIT sessions with either varied- or constant-intensity work intervals, matched for  
119 duration and mean power output. Acute physiological and perceptual responses were  
120 compared between HIIT sessions at the same time points.

121

122 Participants were instructed to refrain from all types of intense exercise 24 h before each  
123 laboratory visit and to prepare as they would for competition. They were instructed to  
124 consume identical meals 1 h before each laboratory visit and to refrain from caffeine  
125 during the preceding 3 h. All tests were performed free from distractions, under similar  
126 environmental conditions (16-17°C), with participants being cooled with a fan.

127

128 **Ergometer setup.** All cyclists used the same bike (2017 Roubaix One.3 size 56, Fuji,  
129 Taichung, Taiwan) mounted on a cycle ergometer (KICKR, Wahoo Fitness, Atlanta,  
130 USA) considered to be valid and reliable<sup>22,23</sup>. Saddle position was individually adjusted  
131 and measures were noted for replication. The bike was equipped with a crank-based  
132 power meter (SRAM S975, SRM, Jülich, Germany), from which power output and  
133 cadence were recorded. An indoor cycling training software (TrainerRoad v1.0.0.49262,  
134 TrainerRoad LLC, Reno, USA) was used to customize all testing sessions, which were  
135 performed in ergometer mode. The laptop was connected to the KICKR through  
136 Bluetooth and to the SRM through an ANT+ dongle. With this setup, the resistance of the  
137 KICKR was controlled by the power output and cadence readings of the SRM. Power  
138 output, cadence and heart rate (HR) were recorded by a cycle computer (PowerControl 8,  
139 SRM, Jülich, Germany) at 1 Hz sampling rate and subsequently analyzed using  
140 GoldenCheetah v3.4. The KICKR and the SRM were calibrated by the manufacturer prior  
141 to the study. Before each use, a member of the research team warmed-up the KICKR by  
142 riding for 10 min at 100 W, and then performed the 'spindown' through the TrainerRoad  
143 software, which is a zero-offset calibration of the strain gauges based on bearing and belt  
144 friction. The zero offset procedure of the SRM was performed according to the  
145 manufacturer's recommendations.

146

147 To examine the validity of the power outputs generated by the KICKR through this setup,  
148 individual targets determined for each HIIT session (see text below) were compared to

149 the SRM readings. A freely available spreadsheet<sup>24</sup> was used to assess data at 77%, 84%  
150 and 100% of maximal aerobic power (MAP) for agreement, with a total of 288, 96 and  
151 288 duplicates, respectively. The comparison KICKR vs. SRM revealed a typical error of  
152 estimate (TTE) of 7 W [CL: 6 – 7 W], correlation coefficient (r) of 0.98 [CL: 0.97 – 0.98]  
153 and mean bias of -3 W [CL: -4 – -3 W] at 77%MAP; a TEE of 2 W [CL: 2 – 3 W], r =  
154 1.00 [CL: 1.00 – 1.00] and mean bias of 1 W [CL: 0 – 1 W] at 84%MAP; and a TEE of 8  
155 W [CL: 7 – 9 W], r = 0.97 [CL: 0.97 – 0.98] and mean bias of 11 W [CL: 10 – 12 W] at  
156 100%MAP. Our ergometer setup was therefore deemed valid.

157  
158 **Preliminary testing.** In the first visit, participant's height and body mass were measured  
159 and they completed a cycling experience index questionnaire<sup>25</sup>, as well as standalone  
160 questions about their training habits. Briefly, by adding up the scores from each question,  
161 individuals are assigned a total score from 0 (representing a complete non-cyclist) to 37  
162 (representing a highly experienced and well-trained cyclist)<sup>25</sup>. Participants subsequently  
163 completed a lactate threshold test, which started at 125 W, increasing by 50 W every fifth  
164 minute (25 W if blood lactate concentration [La] was  $\geq 3$  mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>), and terminated when  
165 [La] reached  $\geq 4$  mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>. Blood samples were taken from a fingertip at the last 30 s of  
166 each 5-min bout and were immediately analyzed (Biosen C-Line, EKF Diagnostics,  
167 Penarth, UK). At the start of the test, cyclists chose their cadence, which they  
168 subsequently held constant throughout the remainder of the test. Power output at 4  
169 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup> [La] was calculated for each cyclist from the relationship between [La] and  
170 power output in the last two stages, by using linear regression.  $\dot{V}O_2$  was measured during  
171 the last 3 min of each stage (15-s sampling time) using a computerized metabolic system  
172 with mixing chamber (Oxycon Pro, Erich Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany). Prior to every  
173 test, the gas analyzer was calibrated with certified calibration gases of known  
174 concentrations and the flow turbine (Triple V, Erich Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany) was  
175 calibrated with a 3 L syringe (5530 series, Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, USA).

176  
177 After the lactate threshold test, cyclists rode for 10 min at a power output between 50 and  
178 100 W before performing the maximal incremental test to determine both  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  and  
179 MAP. The test started at 200 W with work rate being increased by 25 W every minute  
180 until voluntary exhaustion, or an inability to maintain cadence above 70 rev·min<sup>-1</sup> despite  
181 verbal encouragement. Pedaling cadence was freely chosen but participants were  
182 instructed to avoid abrupt changes.  $\dot{V}O_2$  was continually measured, and  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  was  
183 calculated as the highest 60-s mean. MAP was calculated according to Daniels et al.<sup>26</sup>.  
184 This method extrapolates the relationship between submaximal power outputs and  
185 respective measures of  $\dot{V}O_2$  to  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ , by means of linear regression<sup>26</sup>. Power output  
186 data were recorded continuously throughout the test, with  $\dot{W}_{max}$  calculated as the mean of  
187 the last 60-s of the incremental test. Straight after the incremental test, a blood sample  
188 was taken from a fingertip and immediately analyzed to establish [La]. Cyclists reported  
189 their peak RPE using the Borg's 6-20 scale immediately after terminating the test.

190  
191 **HIIT sessions.** Initially, participants performed a 15-min warm-up based on Borg's 6-20  
192 RPE scale. The warm-up consisted of 5 min at 11 (light), followed by three 1-min  
193 intervals at 16 (between hard and very hard), interspersed with two 2-min blocks, and a  
194 final 3 min, all at 9 (very light). Cyclists were allowed to manipulate the work rate  
195 imposed by the cycle ergometer in order to match the required RPE.

196  
197 Both HIIT sessions started with 5 min at 50%MAP, followed by six 5-min work intervals  
198 at a mean intensity of 84%MAP, interspersed with 2.5-min recovery at 30%MAP. Varied-

199 intensity work intervals consisted of three 30-s surges at 100%MAP, interspersed with  
200 two 1-min blocks, and a final 1.5 min at 77%MAP. Constant-intensity work intervals  
201 consisted of 5 min at 84%MAP. A detailed outline of the warm-up and both work  
202 intervals can be seen in Figure 1. The number of work intervals, their duration, and the  
203 duration of recovery intervals were chosen based on athletes perception of what  
204 constitutes a valuable training session for aerobic capacity development. The mean  
205 intensity for the work intervals was chosen based on pilot testing to warrant both HIIT  
206 sessions would be completed with physiological responses typical of exercise performed  
207 within the severe intensity domain. As for the varied-intensity work intervals, the 30-s  
208 surges at 100%MAP were chosen based on previous work of our lab with cyclists<sup>7</sup> and  
209 cross-country skiers<sup>27</sup>. Given the superior time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  elicited by 30-s compared  
210 to longer work intervals in the cycling study<sup>7</sup>, we reasoned that the 1.5 min at 100%MAP  
211 employed in the cross-country skiing study<sup>27</sup> could be split into three surges to  
212 characterize the varied-intensity work interval.

213  
214 HR was continuously measured during the entire HIIT sessions.  $\dot{V}O_2$  was measured  
215 during the 5-min work intervals (5-s sampling time) using the same equipment and  
216 following the calibration procedures adopted in the preliminary testing. Time at  
217 >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  was calculated by summing all raw  $\dot{V}O_2$  measures over the established cut-  
218 off. At the end of each work interval, fingertip blood samples were taken to assess [La],  
219 and RPE was recorded. Participants self-selected their cadence and water consumption  
220 was not restricted. Twenty minutes after finishing the HIIT sessions, session RPE (sRPE)  
221 was recorded. iTRIMP, a training-load metric based on HR<sup>28</sup>, was also calculated to  
222 compare the training load between HIIT sessions. Within the iTRIMP calculation,  
223 exercise intensity is weighted according to participants' own HR-[La] exponential  
224 relationship<sup>28</sup>, obtained during the preliminary testing. iTRIMP was calculated for each  
225 HIIT session by summing the weighted scores from every 5-s HR means<sup>28</sup>.

226  
227 [Figure 1 here]  
228

229 **Data analyses.** Dependent variables were assessed for normality using Shapiro-Wilk  
230 tests. Paired t-tests were used to compare time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ , sRPE and iTRIMP  
231 between HIIT sessions. Two-way repeated measures analyses of variance (work interval  
232 mode x work interval number) were performed to test for differences in mean  $\dot{V}O_2$  as a  
233 percentage of maximal (%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ), total  $\dot{V}O_2$ , mean  $\dot{V}E$ , mean ventilatory equivalent for  
234 oxygen ( $\dot{V}E \cdot \dot{V}O_2^{-1}$ ), mean  $f_R$ , mean tidal volume ( $V_T$ ), mean carbon dioxide output  
235 ( $\dot{V}CO_2$ ), mean HR, [La], RPE, and mean cadence. Following analysis of variance,  
236 Bonferroni pairwise comparisons were used to identify where significant differences  
237 existed within the data. Cohen d or partial eta squared ( $\eta^2_p$ ) were computed as effect size  
238 estimates. Absolute changes between HIIT sessions were calculated for mean  $\dot{V}E$  ( $\Delta\dot{V}E$ )  
239 and total  $\dot{V}O_2$  ( $\Delta\dot{V}O_2$ ) per work interval. The association between  $\Delta\dot{V}E$  and  $\Delta\dot{V}O_2$  was  
240 modelled by multilevel analysis with participant as a random effect (i.e. random  
241 intercept). A correlation coefficient (r) was then computed by adjusting for repeated  
242 observations within participants. Data were analyzed using SSPS (SSPS Statistics 25,  
243 IBM, Armonk, USA) and significance level was set at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Results are presented as  
244 mean  $\pm$  SD [90% confidence limits (CL)].

## 245 246 **RESULTS**

247 Participants' characteristics are presented in Table 1. There was a longer time at  
248 >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  for HIIT with varied- compared to constant-intensity work intervals ( $410 \pm$

249 207 vs.  $286 \pm 162$  s [CL: 312 – 508 vs. 209 – 362 s];  $t = 2.63$ ;  $P = 0.02$ ;  $d = 0.16$  – Figure  
250 2a), despite no difference in mean power output as measured by the SRM crank ( $324 \pm$   
251  $30$  vs.  $323 \pm 30$  W [CL: 310 – 338 vs. 309 – 337 W];  $t = 1.35$ ;  $P = 0.20$ ;  $d = 0.01$ ). There  
252 was also no differences in sRPE ( $6.0 \pm 1.8$  vs.  $6.6 \pm 1.7$  [CL: 5.2 – 6.9 vs. 5.8 – 7.5];  $t =$   
253  $-1.62$ ;  $P = 0.13$ ;  $d = -0.09$  – Figure 2b), or iTRIMP ( $178 \pm 43$  vs.  $181 \pm 46$  [CL: 157 – 198  
254 vs. 160 – 203];  $t = -0.43$ ;  $P = 0.68$ ;  $d = -0.02$  – Figure 2c). The mean  $\dot{V}O_2$  responses to  
255 both types of work intervals are presented in Figure 3.

256  
257 [Table 1 here]

258 [Figure 2 here]

259 [Figure 3 here]

260  
261 Statistics and effect size estimations from the analysis of variance are given in Table 2.  
262 No interactions between work interval mode and work interval number were found for  
263  $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$  (Figure 4a), total  $\dot{V}O_2$  (Figure 4b),  $\dot{V}E$  (Figure 4c),  $\dot{V}E \cdot \dot{V}O_2^{-1}$ ,  $f_R$  (Figure 4d),  
264  $V_T$  (Figure 4e),  $\dot{V}CO_2$  (Figure 4f), HR, [La] (Figure 4g), RPE (Figure 4h), or cadence  
265 (Figure 4i). There was a main effect of work interval mode for  $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , total  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}E$ ,  
266  $\dot{V}E \cdot \dot{V}O_2^{-1}$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$ , but not for  $f_R$ ,  $V_T$ , HR, [La], RPE, or cadence. A main effect of  
267 work interval number was found for  $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , total  $\dot{V}O_2$ ,  $\dot{V}E$ ,  $\dot{V}E \cdot \dot{V}O_2^{-1}$ ,  $f_R$ ,  $V_T$ , HR,  
268 [La] and RPE. Pairwise comparisons revealed differences between consecutive work  
269 intervals for all variables (all  $P \leq 0.05$ ), except for  $V_T$ , in which work interval 1 was  
270 different from 3, 4, 5 and 6 (all  $P \leq 0.02$ ). There was no main effect of work interval  
271 number for  $\dot{V}CO_2$  or cadence.

272  
273 [Table 2 here]

274 [Figure 4 here]

275  
276 Multilevel analysis produced the following model ( $y = mx + b$ ):

277  
278 
$$\Delta \dot{V}O_2 \text{ (ml)} = 23.3 \cdot \Delta \dot{V}E \text{ (L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}) + 239.6 \quad (1)$$

279 ( $m_{SE} = 4.4$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ;  $b_{SE} = 118.9$ ;  $P = 0.06$ ;  $ICC = 0.43$ )

280  
281 A moderate correlation was found between  $\Delta \dot{V}E$  and  $\Delta \dot{V}O_2$  ( $r = 0.36$ ;  $r^2 = 0.13$ ;  $P =$   
282  $0.002$ ).

## 283 284 **DISCUSSION**

285 Consistent with our first hypothesis, well-trained cyclists sustained higher fractions of  
286  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  when they performed the varied- compared to constant-intensity work intervals  
287 during a HIIT session. Time at  $>90\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ,  $\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$  sustained, and total  $\dot{V}O_2$ , all  
288 suggest an increased aerobic cost elicited by the varied-intensity work intervals.  
289 Importantly, this increased demand was not accompanied by a higher  $f_R$ , HR, [La], RPE,  
290 or cadence. Furthermore, we found no differences between conditions in sRPE or  
291 iTRIMP, which may suggest varied-intensity work intervals produce a higher training  
292 stimulus per dose of exercise. Consistent with our second hypothesis,  $\dot{V}E$  was also higher  
293 during the varied- compared to constant-intensity work intervals. In addition,  $\Delta \dot{V}E$  was  
294 moderately associated with  $\Delta \dot{V}O_2$ , suggesting differences in the oxygen cost of  
295 hyperpnoea partially explain the magnitude of  $\dot{V}O_2$  differences between HIIT sessions.

296  
297 Varying power output between 100% and 77%MAP within the work intervals of a HIIT  
298 session increased the mean time at  $>90\% \dot{V}O_{2\max}$  by 43%, from 286 s (4 min 46 s)



299 produced by the constant-intensity work intervals (84%MAP) to 410 s (6 min 50 s). This  
300 result stands out as we did not manipulate the mean intensity and length of the work and  
301 recovery intervals, or total HIIT duration, which often is the case in studies assessing time  
302 at or close to  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ <sup>1-3,7,8</sup>. Previously, Billat et al.<sup>10</sup> demonstrated that effort could be  
303 minimized, and exercise sustained for more than 15 min at >95%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , when power  
304 output was manipulated according to expired gas responses. Despite HIIT with varied-  
305 intensity work intervals produced a shorter duration at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  compared to that of  
306 Billat et al.<sup>10</sup>, our results provide evidence for a more practical approach to programming  
307 this type of training.

308

309 Unique to our study was that varied-intensity work intervals increased  $\dot{V}O_2$  without  
310 affecting most variables reflecting the physiological and perceptual strain of exercise. In  
311 contrast, Zadow et al.<sup>9</sup> reported times at >85%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  of 2 min 31 s and 2 min 04 s, for  
312 respectively all-out and constant-intensity work intervals, but with greater HR, RPE, and  
313 sRPE<sup>9</sup>. Collectively, these results suggest there may be a tolerance limit for the  
314 magnitude of power output variation that allows cyclists to optimize time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$   
315 without compromising exercise capacity. Another strength of our work is that HIIT  
316 sessions were matched for all prescription elements affecting the exercise dose, except  
317 power output distribution. For instance, Lisbôa et al.<sup>6</sup> reported longer time at  
318 >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  (4 min 19 s vs. 2 min 03 s) following decreasing- vs. constant-intensity  
319 work intervals, but conditions were matched by participant's capacity to perform work  
320 above critical power<sup>6</sup>. Work and recovery interval durations were not controlled,  
321 potentially affecting time at a high fraction of  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  more than the power output  
322 distribution itself<sup>1-3,7,8</sup>. Thus, the higher time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  was likely achieved by a  
323 change in exercise dose.

324

325 HIIT can be prescribed with different formats according to the aim of the training session.  
326 To produce the longest times at or close to  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , short work intervals (< 1 min) have  
327 been recommended<sup>1-3,7,8</sup>. In agreement with this proposition, adding repeated power  
328 output variations within longer 5-min work intervals increased time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ .  
329 Nevertheless, there is contrasting evidence from training studies, with evidence that both  
330 short<sup>8,29</sup> and long work intervals<sup>4,30</sup> may trigger a potent stimulus for increasing  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ .  
331 This suggests time at >90%  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  is unlikely to be the only training variable driving  
332  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  enhancements. Its relatively poor reliability must also be taken into account<sup>31</sup>.  
333 Despite these considerations, we speculate that our novel HIIT session, if repeated over  
334 time, may combine the benefits of both short and longer work intervals. Further work is  
335 necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

336

337 Ventilatory responses to work intervals of different power output distributions have been  
338 previously neglected<sup>6,9</sup>. Interestingly, our results suggest they play a role in the observed  
339 changes in total  $\dot{V}O_2$ . Compared to constant-intensity work intervals, varied intensity  
340 produced higher  $\dot{V}E$  and  $\dot{V}E \cdot \dot{V}O_2^{-1}$ , implying a greater mechanical work of the pulmonary  
341 system and an increased oxygen cost of hyperpnoea<sup>17,18,32</sup>. Indeed, the multilevel analysis  
342 used in this study predicted that for each L of increase in  $\dot{V}E$ ,  $\dot{V}O_2$  is increased by 4.7 ml.  
343 This is nevertheless higher than the cost of exercise hyperpnoea reported by Aaron et al.  
344<sup>32</sup> as 2.9 ml of oxygen per L of  $\dot{V}E$ , or more recently by Dominelli et al.<sup>18</sup> as 2.4 ml·L<sup>-1</sup>.  
345 Taking together the model intercept of 239.6 ml, results suggest mechanisms other than  
346 an increased  $\dot{V}E$  may account to a greater extent for the observed changes in aerobic cost  
347 of HIIT. It is therefore not surprising that only a moderate correlation between  $\Delta\dot{V}E$  and  
348  $\Delta\dot{V}O_2$  ( $r = 0.36$ ) was found in the present study.

349

350 The fact we did not find differences in  $f_R$  or  $V_T$  between varied- and constant-intensity  
351 work intervals, alongside the differences in  $\dot{V}E$ , has some practical and mechanistic  
352 implications. Practically,  $f_R$  has been considered a marker of physical effort<sup>20</sup>,  
353 reinforcing the sense of equivalence in strain levels between both types of HIIT.  
354 Mechanistically, a higher  $\dot{V}E$  with no significant changes in either  $f_R$  or  $V_T$  indicates that  
355 both contributed to the increases in  $\dot{V}E$ , although in small magnitudes or with inter-  
356 individual differences, challenging the hypothesis of a distinct mechanistic control of  $f_R$   
357 and  $V_T$  during exercise<sup>20</sup>. Indeed, it has been previously suggested that during high-  
358 intensity exercise central command regulates  $\dot{V}E$  preferentially through changes in  $f_R$ <sup>20</sup>,  
359 which our data do not support. Instead, Tipton et al.<sup>33</sup> have proposed  $\dot{V}E$  is regulated by  
360 a complex integration of mechanical and physiological factors, making it difficult to  
361 completely associate  $f_R$  and  $V_T$  with a particular type of reflex. Therefore, the higher  $\dot{V}E$   
362 in the varied- compared to the constant-intensity work intervals is likely the result of a  
363 tightly coupled interaction between the increases in  $f_R$  and  $V_T$  that manifest during this  
364 type of exercise.

365

366 Additional mechanistic insight can be gained from a close inspection of Figure 3.  
367 Repeated surges at 100%MAP, as opposed to a single surge at the start of each work  
368 interval, seem required to produce the observed differences in time at  $>90\% \dot{V}O_{2max}$ . Not  
369 only the oxygen cost of hyperpnoea, but also the oxygen cost of muscle contraction, may  
370 have been greater during the varied- compared to the constant-intensity work intervals.  
371 Higher exercise intensities have been shown to elicit a more uniform activation of the  
372 quadriceps femoris muscles<sup>34</sup> and their motor units<sup>34,35</sup>. Thus, it is reasonable to assume  
373 some high-threshold fibers were only recruited at 100%MAP. The low efficiency and  
374 high fatigability of these fibers may have contributed to an increased whole-body  $\dot{V}O_2$   
375 and time at  $>90\% \dot{V}O_{2max}$ <sup>13</sup>. Besides, we cannot discard the  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics hypothesis as  
376 proposed by other authors<sup>6,9,11,12</sup>. If the initial 30-s surges of the varied-intensity work  
377 intervals did not directly affect time at  $>90\% \dot{V}O_{2max}$ , faster  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics apparently  
378 contributed to a higher  $\% \dot{V}O_{2max}$  sustained and total  $\dot{V}O_2$ . Future studies should use  
379 breath-by-breath ergospirometry and leg electromyography to provide evidence for these  
380 hypotheses.

381

## 382 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

383 Well-trained cyclists looking for alternative strategies to optimize training stimulus are  
384 advised to try the varied-intensity work intervals as outlined here. Whether performance  
385 adaptations will be superior to constant-intensity work intervals remains to be established  
386 by a longitudinal study; but similar  $f_R$ , HR, [La], RPE and training load metrics suggest  
387 it is unlikely that negative training outcomes occur.

388

## 389 CONCLUSIONS

390 In comparison to a HIIT session with constant-intensity work intervals, well-trained  
391 cyclists sustain higher fractions of  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  when power output is repeatedly varied within  
392 the work intervals. This effect is partially mediated by an increased oxygen cost of  
393 hyperpnoea.

394

## 395 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

396 A.H.B. is a CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico –  
397 Brazil) scholarship holder [200700/2015-4]. The authors thank Joar Hansen for his  
398 technical support and Tomas Urianstad, Vemund Lien and Ingvild Berlandstveit for their

399 assistance with data collection. The authors also thank the participants for their  
400 enthusiasm to complete this study.

401

## 402 REFERENCES

- 403 1. Billat LV. Interval training for performance: a scientific and empirical practice.  
404 Special recommendations for middle- and long-distance running. Part I: aerobic interval  
405 training. *Sports Med.* 2001;31(1):13-31. doi:10.2165/00007256-200131010-00002
- 406 2. Buchheit M, Laursen PB. High-intensity interval training, solutions to the  
407 programming puzzle: Part I: cardiopulmonary emphasis. *Sports Med.* 2013;43(5):313-  
408 338. doi:10.1007/s40279-013-0029-x
- 409 3. Midgley AW, Mc Naughton LR. Time at or near VO<sub>2</sub>max during continuous and  
410 intermittent running. A review with special reference to considerations for the  
411 optimisation of training protocols to elicit the longest time at or near VO<sub>2</sub>max. *The J*  
412 *Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2006;46(1):1-14.
- 413 4. Bacon AP, Carter RE, Ogle EA, Joyner MJ. VO<sub>2</sub>max trainability and high  
414 intensity interval training in humans: a meta-analysis. *PloS One.* 2013;8(9):e73182.  
415 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073182
- 416 5. Joyner MJ, Coyle EF. Endurance exercise performance: the physiology of  
417 champions. *J Physiol.* 2008;586(1):35-44. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2007.143834
- 418 6. Lisbôa FD, Salvador AF, Raimundo JA, Pereira KL, de Aguiar RA, Caputo F.  
419 Decreasing power output increases aerobic contribution during low-volume severe-  
420 intensity intermittent exercise. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2015;29(9):2434-2440.  
421 doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000000914
- 422 7. Rønnestad BR, Hansen J. Optimizing interval training at power output associated  
423 with peak oxygen uptake in well-trained cyclists. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2016;30(4):999-  
424 1006. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182a73e8a
- 425 8. Turnes T, de Aguiar RA, Cruz RS, Caputo F. Interval training in the boundaries  
426 of severe domain: effects on aerobic parameters. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2016;116(1):161-  
427 169. doi:10.1007/s00421-015-3263-0
- 428 9. Zadow EK, Gordon N, Abbiss CR, Peiffer JJ. Pacing, the missing piece of the  
429 puzzle to high-intensity interval training. *Int J Sports Med.* 2015;36(3):215-219.  
430 doi:10.1055/s-0034-1389973
- 431 10. Billat V, Petot H, Karp JR, Sarre G, Morton RH, Mille-Hamard L. The  
432 sustainability of VO<sub>2</sub>max: effect of decreasing the workload. *Eur J Appl Physiol.*  
433 2013;113(2):385-394. doi:10.1007/s00421-012-2424-7
- 434 11. Jones AM, Wilkerson DP, Vanhatalo A, Burnley M. Influence of pacing strategy  
435 on O<sub>2</sub> uptake and exercise tolerance. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2008;18(5):615-626.  
436 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2007.00725.x
- 437 12. Bailey SJ, Vanhatalo A, DiMenna FJ, Wilkerson DP, Jones AM. Fast-start  
438 strategy improves VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics and high-intensity exercise performance. *Med Sci Sports*  
439 *Exerc.* 2011;43(3):457-467. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181ef3dce
- 440 13. Jones AM, Grassi B, Christensen PM, Krustrup P, Bangsbo J, Poole DC. Slow  
441 component of VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics: mechanistic bases and practical applications. *Med Sci Sports*  
442 *Exerc.* 2011;43(11):2046-2062. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821fcfc1
- 443 14. Rossiter HB, Ward SA, Kowalchuk JM, Howe FA, Griffiths JR, Whipp BJ.  
444 Dynamic asymmetry of phosphocreatine concentration and O<sub>2</sub> uptake between the on-  
445 and off-transients of moderate- and high-intensity exercise in humans. *J Physiol.*  
446 2002;541(Pt 3):991-1002. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2001.012910

- 447 15. Wilson DF. Oxidative phosphorylation: unique regulatory mechanism and role in  
448 metabolic homeostasis. *J Appl Physiol.* 2017;122(3):611-619.  
449 doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00715.2016
- 450 16. Wilson DF. Regulation of metabolism: the rest-to-work transition in skeletal  
451 muscle. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2015;309(9):E793-801.  
452 doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00355.2015
- 453 17. Aaron EA, Seow KC, Johnson BD, Dempsey JA. Oxygen cost of exercise  
454 hyperpnea: implications for performance. *J Appl Physiol.* 1992;72(5):1818-1825.  
455 doi:10.1152/jappl.1992.72.5.1818
- 456 18. Dominelli PB, Render JN, Molgat-Seon Y, Foster GE, Romer LM, Sheel AW.  
457 Oxygen cost of exercise hyperpnoea is greater in women compared with men. *J Physiol.*  
458 2015;593(8):1965-1979. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2014.285965
- 459 19. Casaburi R, Barstow TJ, Robinson T, Wasserman K. Influence of work rate on  
460 ventilatory and gas exchange kinetics. *J Appl Physiol.* 1989;67(2):547-555.  
461 doi:10.1152/jappl.1989.67.2.547
- 462 20. Nicolò A, Massaroni C, Passfield L. Respiratory frequency during exercise: The  
463 neglected physiological measure. *Front Physiol.* 2017;8:922.  
464 doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00922
- 465 21. Harms CA, Wetter TJ, St Croix CM, Pegelow DF, Dempsey JA. Effects of  
466 respiratory muscle work on exercise performance. *J Appl Physiol.* 2000;89(1):131-138.  
467 doi:10.1152/jappl.2000.89.1.131
- 468 22. Zadow EK, Kitic CM, Wu SS, Smith ST, Fell JW. Validity of power settings of  
469 the Wahoo KICKR power trainer. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2016;11(8):1115-1117.  
470 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2015-0733
- 471 23. Zadow EK, Kitic CM, Wu SSX, Fell JW. Reliability of power settings of the  
472 Wahoo KICKR power trainer after 60 hours of use. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*  
473 2018;13(1):119-121. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2016-0732
- 474 24. Hopkins WG. Spreadsheets for analysis of validity and reliability. *Sportscience.*  
475 2015;19:36-42.
- 476 25. Edwards LM, Jobson SA, George SR, Day SH, Nevill AM. Whole-body  
477 efficiency is negatively correlated with minimum torque per duty cycle in trained cyclists.  
478 *J Sports Sci.* 2009;27(4):319-325. doi:10.1080/02640410802526916
- 479 26. Daniels J, Scardina N, Hayes J, Foley P. Elite and subelite female middle-and  
480 long-distance runners. In: Landers DM, ed. *Sport and elite performers.* Vol 3.  
481 Champaign, USA: Human Kinetics; 1984:57-72.
- 482 27. Rønnestad BR, Rømer T, Hansen J. Increasing oxygen uptake in well-trained  
483 cross-country skiers during work intervals with a fast start. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*  
484 2019. [Accepted Manuscript]
- 485 28. Manzi V, Iellamo F, Impellizzeri F, D'Ottavio S, Castagna C. Relation between  
486 individualized training impulses and performance in distance runners. *Med Sci Sports*  
487 *Exerc.* 2009;41(11):2090-2096. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a6a959
- 488 29. Rønnestad BR, Hansen J, Vegge G, Tonnessen E, Slettalokken G. Short intervals  
489 induce superior training adaptations compared with long intervals in cyclists - an effort-  
490 matched approach. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2015;25(2):143-151. doi:10.1111/sms.12165
- 491 30. Seiler S, Joranson K, Olesen BV, Hetlelid KJ. Adaptations to aerobic interval  
492 training: interactive effects of exercise intensity and total work duration. *Scand J Med Sci*  
493 *Sports.* 2013;23(1):74-83. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2011.01351.x
- 494 31. Midgley AW, McNaughton LR, Carroll S. Reproducibility of time at or near  
495 VO<sub>2</sub>max during intermittent treadmill running. *Int J Sports Med.* 2007;28(1):40-47. doi:  
496 10.1055/s-2006-923856

- 497 32. Aaron EA, Johnson BD, Seow CK, Dempsey JA. Oxygen cost of exercise  
498 hyperpnea: measurement. *J Appl Physiol.* 1992;72(5):1810-1817.  
499 doi:10.1152/jap.1992.72.5.1810
- 500 33. Tipton MJ, Harper A, Paton JFR, Costello JT. The human ventilatory response to  
501 stress: rate or depth? *J Physiol.* 2017;595(17):5729-5752. doi:10.1113/jp274596
- 502 34. Heinonen I, Nesterov SV, Kemppainen J, Fujimoto T, Knuuti J, Kalliokoski KK.  
503 Increasing exercise intensity reduces heterogeneity of glucose uptake in human skeletal  
504 muscles. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(12):e52191. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052191
- 505 35. Hodson-Tole EF, Wakeling JM. Motor unit recruitment for dynamic tasks: current  
506 understanding and future directions. *J Comp Physiol B.* 2009;179(1):57-66.  
507 doi:10.1007/s00360-008-0289-1

508

## 509 FIGURE CAPTIONS

510 **Fig. 1** a. Warm-up procedure based on ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) that was  
511 performed prior to both sessions of high-intensity interval training (HIIT), b. varied-  
512 intensity work intervals, c. constant-intensity work intervals. The intensity of both  
513 sessions was prescribed as a percentage of the individual's maximal aerobic power  
514 (%MAP) and six work intervals were completed. Both HIIT sessions started with 5 min  
515 at 50%MAP, which is omitted from the figure for clarity.

516

517 **Fig. 2** a. Time spent over 90% of maximal oxygen uptake (time at  $>90\% \dot{V}O_{2max}$ ), b.  
518 session ratings of perceived exertion (sRPE), c. training load metric based on heart rate  
519 (iTRIMP). Open circles represent each participant and black squares represent the mean  
520 values for high-intensity interval training sessions with varied- (varied WI) and constant-  
521 intensity work intervals (constant WI). \*Different from constant WI ( $P = 0.02$ ).

522

523 **Fig. 3** Mean oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ) responses (5-s sampling time) to varied- (dotted line)  
524 and constant-intensity (solid line) work intervals. The horizontal dashed line represents  
525 90% of maximal oxygen uptake (mean of all participants). SD is omitted from the figure  
526 for clarity. As individual participants reached 90% of maximal oxygen uptake at different  
527 time points, dotted and solid lines do not reflect the mean time spent over 90% of maximal  
528 oxygen uptake.

529

530 **Fig. 4** a. Mean oxygen uptake as a percentage of maximal ( $\% \dot{V}O_{2max}$ ), b. total oxygen  
531 uptake (Total  $\dot{V}O_2$ ), c. mean minute ventilation ( $\dot{V}E$ ), d. mean breathing frequency ( $f_R$ ),  
532 e. mean tidal volume ( $V_T$ ), f. mean carbon dioxide output ( $\dot{V}CO_2$ ), g. blood lactate  
533 concentration [La], h. ratings of perceived exertion (RPE), i. mean cadence. Data are  
534 displayed per work interval as mean  $\pm$  SD for high-intensity interval training sessions  
535 with varied- (triangles) and constant-intensity work intervals (squares). \*Different from  
536 previous work interval (all  $P \leq 0.03$ ). †Different from work intervals 3, 4, 5 and 6 (all  $P$   
537  $\leq 0.02$ ). ‡Main effect of work interval mode (all  $P \leq 0.01$ ). §Main effect of work interval  
538 number (all  $P < 0.001$ ).