

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Milton, Damian (2019) The perils and pitfalls of presenting. In: PARC Presenting Workshop, 21st May 2019, London, UK. (Unpublished)

DOI

Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/75298/>

Document Version

Presentation

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

The perils and pitfalls of presenting


Dr. Damian E M Milton



Introduction

- This presentation will reflect upon my experiences of presenting in order to offer some advice, and also to warn of 'perils and pitfalls' in presenting about autism as an autistic person.
- I will not be talking about the Autism Show specifically as an environment as this will be covered by Leo later on.
- A starting place – a bit of Aristotle!

Logos, ethos, pathos



Using logic – rationale and evidence


- Particularly important for academic-based presentations and conferences, but not to be taken lightly at practice-based events.
- Overstating the case and humility.
- Confidence in the evidence one does have and what can be deduced from it.
- Acknowledging contentions and controversies.
- Academic sources and referencing.

- Why should the audience take you seriously?

Trust and credibility

- Use of evidence (again)
- Status games
- Insider perspectives – advantages and potential problems (at least perceived by others)

- Why should the audience trust you and what you are saying?



Using quotes, visuals and emotional content

- “Some of us aren’t meant to belong. Some of us have to turn the world upside down and shake the hell out of it until we make our own place in it.” (Lowell, 1999).
- Why should the audience care about what you have to say?



Perils and pitfalls

- Beware: shark infested waters!
- Recognition and autonomy
- Benefits and costs
- Those unexpected moments and questions!
- Environment and technology
- Not having a backup plan
- Interruptions
- Losing one's balance
- Example: nothing tangible vs. 'death by powerpoint'
- Perfectionism: you can't please all the people all of the time, but you can please some of the people some of the time.

The audience

- Be careful of what was coined as the ‘self-narrating zoo exhibit’.
- Audience members and need for self-validation vs. potential need to challenge ideas (which can make people uncomfortable).
- Audience participation.

Putting it all together

- Style – going your own way, playing to strengths
- Practice – authentic and simulation (example: the penalty shootout)
- Knowing when to say no to a presenting opportunity
- Confidence and humility in message and content
- Tangible activities and being engaging
- Utilising your own strengths and interests (example: the ‘batversity’ workshop)

THE UK'S EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY



www.kent.ac.uk

University of
Kent