

Note. This article will be published in a forthcoming issue of the *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*. The article appears here in its accepted, peer-reviewed form, as it was provided by the submitting author. It has not been copyedited, proofread, or formatted by the publisher.

Section: Original Investigation

Article Title: Improved Sprint Performance With Inhaled Long-Acting B₂-Agonists Combined With Resistance Exercise

Authors: Michele Merlini¹, Greg Whyte², Sam Marcora¹, Mike Loosemore³, Neil Chester² and John Dickinson¹

Affiliations: ¹School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Kent, Chatham Maritime, UK. ²Research Institute of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK. ³The Institute of Sport, Exercise and Health, University College London, London, UK.

Journal: *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*

Acceptance Date: March 8, 2019

©2019 Human Kinetics, Inc.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2018-0921>

Improved sprint performance with inhaled long-acting β_2 -agonists combined with resistance exercise

Michele Merlini ¹, Greg Whyte ², Sam Marcora ¹, Mike Loosemore ³, Neil Chester ² and John Dickinson ¹

School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Kent, Chatham Maritime, UK

²Research Institute of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK.

³The Institute of Sport, Exercise and Health, University College London, London, UK

Corresponding Author

Michele Merlini
School of Sport & Exercise Sciences
University of Kent
Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4AG
United Kingdom
Email: michele.merlini1986@gmail.com

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the impact of twice daily inhalation of 100 µg of salmeterol or 12 µg of formoterol in addition to a strength and power training programme over a 5-wk period on 30-m sprint, strength, power, mood, stress, and skinfold thickness.

Methods: In a randomized single-blind study, 23 male and 15 female nonasthmatic, recreationally active individuals were recruited (mean ± SD age 26.3 ± 5.4 y, weight 76.2 ± 11.5 kg, height 176.9 ± 8.5 cm). Participants completed 3 standardized whole-body strength and power training sessions per week for 5 wk. During the 5-wk training period they were assigned to a salmeterol (SAL), formoterol (FOR), or placebo (PLA) group. Participants used their inhaler twice per day as instructed and completed assessments of sprint, strength, and power at baseline and 1 wk after cessation of the training program. The assessments included 30-m sprint, vertical jump, 1-repetition-maximum (1RM) bench press, 1RM leg press, peak torque flexion and extension, anthropometric evaluation, and Rest-Q questionnaires.

Results: After 5 wk of strength and power training, 30-m sprint time reduced in FOR (0.29 ± 0.11 s, $P = .049$) and SAL (0.35 ± 0.05 s, $P = .040$) groups compared with PLA (+0.01 ± 0.11 s). No significant change was found in other assessments of strength, mood, or skinfold thickness.

Conclusions: When strength and power training is combined with the inhalation of FOR or SAL over a 5-wk period, moderately trained individuals experience an improvement in 30-m sprint performance.

Key words: performance, asthma, doping

Introduction

The 2019 World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA)¹ permits athletes to use inhaled therapeutic doses of β_2 -agonists salbutamol (1600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$, no more than 800 μg in a 12-h period), formoterol (54 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$), and salmeterol (200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$). However, there is some debate as to whether the current rules allow unscrupulous athletes, with and without asthma-related conditions, to use inhaled β_2 -agonists for the purpose of benefitting from a potential ergogenic action.

Previous research investigating the acute and short term use (e.g. 2 weeks) of inhaled β_2 -agonists suggests they do not have an ergogenic action on endurance performance.² Furthermore, endurance performance is not improved from acute doses of inhaled formoterol³ and salmeterol.⁴ However, moderately and highly trained individuals may experience enhanced strength and power performance from the acute use of short acting⁵ and long acting β_2 -Agonists.⁶

The mechanisms behind the ergogenic action from acute doses that have been observed in skeletal muscle include: β_2 -adrenergic stimulation that counteracts exercise-induced reductions in Na^+ - K^+ ATPase maximum rate of activity, elevated glycolytic activity during high intensity exercise and enhanced rates of Ca^{2+} release and uptake from the sarcoplasmic reticulum.⁵ Furthermore, increased anaerobic ATP utilisation has been suggested as a potential mechanism.⁶ However, others have failed to demonstrate changes in peak force velocity, and have shown maximal strength deteriorates following acute oral terbutaline administration.⁷

Long-term use of β_2 -Agonists also has the potential to produce an ergogenic action. Data from animal models suggests long-term β_2 -adrenergic stimulation results in muscle hypertrophy.⁸ Studies investigating the long-term β_2 -adrenergic stimulation in humans suggest increases in skeletal muscle mass⁹ and portioning of amino acids from oxidative loss toward protein synthesis¹⁰ may occur. Furthermore, salbutamol has been shown to counteract a negative net protein balance following resistance training in males.¹¹ These changes to skeletal

muscle from long-term use of β_2 -Agonists has been shown to increase peak muscle strength¹² and power output,¹³ whilst also inducing a slow-to-fast twitch muscle phenotype transition in humans.¹⁴

Long-term use of β_2 -Agonists may also decrease body fat due to increased fat mobilization from adipose tissue¹³, decreased fat synthesis in adipose tissue and liver¹⁵, or a combination of both.¹⁶ Although there is clear potential for ergogenic action with oral or supra-therapeutic inhaled doses of β_2 -Agonists, we do not know whether long term stimulation of β_2 -adrenoreceptors via therapeutic doses of long acting inhaled β_2 -Agonists has a similar effect.

Endurance training has been shown to confound the ergogenic action of inhaled short acting β_2 -Agonists¹⁷. However the ergogenic action of inhaled short acting β_2 -Agonists is augmented with resistance training.⁹ It is not known whether there is a similar interaction when long acting β_2 -Agonists are inhaled whilst engaging in strength training. This is a realistic consideration as athletes using long acting inhaled β_2 -Agonists (salmeterol or formoterol) are prescribed to do so on a daily basis, which may modify their response to strength and power training.

Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of therapeutic doses of inhaled salmeterol or formoterol combined with a resistance exercise training programme on 30 m sprint, strength, power, mood, stress and skinfold thickness.

Methods

The study procedure was approved by the Faculty of Science Research Ethics Committee at the University of Kent and followed the ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects set by the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

To ensure none of our participants had asthma or exercise induced bronchospasm (EIB) they were required to declare they had no history of asthma diagnosis and objectively demonstrate this via a eucapnic voluntary hyperpnoea challenge (EVH).

Participants completed a series of assessments prior to and following a five week resistance exercise training programme, which included: 30 m sprint, peak concentric strength of the knee extensors and flexors, maximal one repetition of bench and leg press, vertical jump and skinfold thickness. Participants were randomly allocated to one of three treatments to be inhaled twice daily: placebo inhaler (PLA), 100 µg inhaled salmeterol (SAL) or 12 µg inhaled formoterol (FOR). Over the course of five weeks participants administered their inhaler as instructed and completed supervised strength and power training sessions three times per week. The training programme included lower body and upper body exercises that progressed appropriately over the five weeks. Sprint training focused on quickness and coordination. To assess the status of recovery from day to day training the participants completed the Recovery-Stress questionnaire (figure 1).

Participants: We initially recruited twenty-four male participants however one male participant withdrew from the study due to an injury not related to the study in week four. We therefore had twenty-three healthy recreationally active males (mean ± SD: age 27.9 ± 5.5 years; height 179.8 ± 7.3 cm; weight, 78.8 ± 10.3 kg) and fifteen recreational active females (age 24.1 ± 4.1 years; weight 65.4 ± 9.5 kg; height 168 ± 4.3 cm) who volunteered for the study, provided informed consent and completed the study. All participants had been involved in strength and power activities over the past year during their weekly training habits. The heterogeneous nature of the male participants taking part in the study was characterised by their involvement in a variety of sports at an amateur competitive level including: football (n = 9); basketball (n = 4); track and field (n = 2); martial arts (n = 3); swimming (n = 1); running (n = 2); and cycling (n = 3). Female participants were characterised by their involvement in a variety

of sports at an amateur competitive level including: basketball (n = 8); football (n = 4); boxing (n = 2); running (n = 1). Prior to participation in the study none of the participants competed in strength and power lifting sports. Participants engaged in strength and power training sessions at least three times per week completing a diary to record their training engagement and progress.

Broncho-provocation Challenge: All participants were free from asthma and EIB, which was confirmed by the presentation of a negative EVH challenge.¹⁸ The EVH challenge consisted of six minutes breathing cold dry air from a compressed gas cylinder at a target minute ventilation of 85% of estimated maximal voluntary ventilation (30 x baseline FEV₁). Maximal flow-volume loops were measured at baseline and 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 minutes post EVH. An EVH challenge was deemed positive if the individuals FEV₁ fell >10% from baseline FEV₁ at two consecutive time points following the EVH challenge.

Treatment groups: Participants were randomly assigned to one of three groups using a minimisation method.¹⁹ As part of this randomisation we factored in gender balance between groups so that they were balanced eight males to five females in each group. In a single blinded randomised design each group was allocated to use either:

- Placebo inhaler (containing water vapor) twice daily (PLA)
- Inhaled 100 µg salmeterol twice daily (Serevant, Accuhaler 50 µg/dose, GSK, UK)
- Inhaled 12 µg formoterol twice daily (Oxis Turbohaler 6 µg/dose, Astra Zeneka, UK)

These doses were chosen as they are high therapeutic doses permitted for use by athletes.¹ Participants were instructed about their inhaler technique. At each training session researchers checked the participants were using their inhalers as instructed by reading the inhalation counter on their device to confirm they were adhering to the protocol.

Assessments

Participants completed each of the following assessments at baseline and one week after the final inhaler dose and training session (figure 1). Prior to the start of the study participants attended two familiarisation sessions for all assessments.

30 meters sprint: Participants were asked to complete a maximal 30 m sprint on a non-motorised calibrated treadmill (Force Treadmill System, Woodway, SA). The peak speed data collected from the non-motorised treadmill has been described in literature to be approximately 80% of that achieved in free-sprint track performance.²⁰ Each participant completed three 30 m sprints separated by 5 minutes. The fastest 30 m sprint was recorded.

Isokinetic dynamometry: Participants performed three maximal voluntary contractions of the knee extensors at 120°.s⁻¹ and three maximal voluntary contractions of the knee flexors at 120°.s⁻¹ (Biodex 830-210, Biodex Medical System, Shirley, New York, USA). The highest peak torque measurement was taken as a measurement of maximal strength in the knee extensors and knee flexors.

Maximal One Repetition Bench Press and incline Leg Press: Participants progressively worked toward a maximum one repetition for both incline leg press and bench press. The incline leg press (CF800 Leg Press/Hack Squat Machine, Bodymax, UK) was performed at 45° by first completing a six repetitions maximum. This was followed by a four repetition maximum and a two repetition maximum at increasing weights. The bench press was performed using a 20 Kg Olympic bar with weights added to it accordingly. The participants continued to complete one-repetition efforts at increasing weight until they reached failure. Each effort was separated by four minutes.²¹ The weight lifted during the last complete repetition was taken as their maximal one repetition.²²

Power – Counter movement vertical jump (CMJ): A counter movement jump was performed on a jump mat (Probiotics Inc., Huntsville, AL, USA). The participants were

instructed to jump as high as they could by performing a CMJ with an arm swing. Coaching of technique was only provided if participants consistently landed off the jump mat demonstrating poor technique. Participants performed three counter movement jumps and the greatest vertical jump height achieved was recorded.

Body Composition: Skin-fold thickness was taken at the following recognised sites on the right hand side of the body: triceps, biceps, subscapular and supraspinale. All measurements were taken by the same technician using a single set of Harpenden skinfold callipers (Baty International, Sussex, UK). Skin-fold thickness measurements were taken from each site consecutively a total of two times with the mean of the two measurements taken as the skin fold thickness for each specific site. The criterion for a valid measurement was a difference of less than 1 mm between the two totals. If this was not the case the measurements were repeated until the criterion was met. The sum of four mean skin-folds thickness measurement was calculated.

Recovery, Sleep and Mood Questionnaires: Participants completed questionnaires in week three and five to measure recovery and stress from training and mood. Recovery and stress from training were assessed via the Recovery-Stress Questionnaire for athletes.²³ Mood was assessed using the Brunel Mood Scale.²⁴

Strength and Power Training Programme: Following the completion of the above baseline assessments participants began a strength and power training programme. The training programme focused on developing strength, power and sprinting. Participants training was individualised and supervised by a strength and conditioning specialist.

Participants training incorporated lower body exercise such as lunges, squat, leg press and leg curl; upper body exercises included chest and shoulder press, shoulder dumbbell raise and arm exercises using both barbell and dumbbells. Each training session consisted of twelve exercises. Each exercise was completed with a target of completing three sets of eight

repetitions, with each set separated by two minutes. When participants were able to complete all three sets they increased the load. Sprint training included exercises involving quickness and coordination with a set of 5 to 10 m sprint accelerations performed five times at the end of the training session. Participants recorded total work done during each session. Participants were asked not to engage in strength, power or sprint training outside of the programme. Aerobic training outside of the study was restricted to two sessions per week. It was not feasible to accurately record or control for the intensity and duration of any additional endurance based training.

Statistical Analysis: Changes in sprint performance, strength, power, mood, recovery, sleep and skinfold thickness from baseline to week five between PLA, SAL and FOR were analysed using a mixed model repeated measures ANOVA (3 group x 2 time). Assumptions for this analysis was checked and corrected for according to the methods described by Atkinson and Nevill.²⁵ A $P \leq 0.05$ was deemed significant. Effect size was calculated according to Cohen’s statistical power analysis used to indicate the standardised difference between two means measuring small, medium and large effect sizes ($d = 0.20, 0.50, 0.80$).²⁶

Results

There were no differences at baseline between groups for any of the sprint ($p=0.670$), strength and power ($p=0.226$), anthropometric ($p=0.438$) and skinfolds ($p=0.762$). Psychological variables were different at baseline ($p=0.001$) but not at week 3 and week 5 between groups ($p=0.234$) (table 1, 2 and 3).

30 m Sprint

Between baseline and week five 30 m sprint time improved in both the FOR (-0.29 ± 0.11 s; $p=0.049$; ES= 0.50) and SAL (-0.35 ± 0.05 s; $p=0.040$; ES= 0.41) groups when compared to the placebo group ($+0.01 \pm 0.11$ s; see table 1 and figure 2).

Strength and Power Assessments

Over the five weeks all groups improved markers of strength and power (see table 1). There was no difference in the rate of improvement between groups.

Anthropometric measures

Over the five weeks of training the sum of skinfold thickness across four sites did not change significantly ($p=0.762$; table 2). No significant changes in body mass between groups were observed over the five weeks of training ($p=0.915$; table 2).

Recovery, Sleep and Mood Questionnaires

Recovery-Stress Questionnaire index did not change ($p=0.395$) across the five week training period in PLA, SAL or FOR groups (table 3).

Discussion

Our study suggests that 30 m sprint performance is improved when daily doses of inhaled formoterol or salmeterol are combined with strength, power and sprint training over a five week period. However we did not observe significant changes in strength, power, mood, recovery or skinfold thickness between formoterol, salmeterol and placebo over the five-week period.

The improved 30 m sprint performance following five weeks of inhaled formoterol or salmeterol administration in our study was similar to previous reports examining acute and long term use of β_2 -Agonists. Likewise, administration of oral β_2 -Agonists enhances muscle strength and peak power output during maximal cycling.^{27, 28, 29} Improvements in sprint and power performance from short-term use of β_2 -Agonists has been suggested to be as a result of increased skeletal muscle mass and maximal muscle force production, leading to greater initial peak power.¹³ Furthermore, high doses of formoterol can augment resting energy expenditure and fat utilization in active males.¹⁰ However, in some cases where authors report changes in

peak power this does not correspond to significant improvements in the mean power produced during a wingate test.¹² Although wingate performance is related to sprint performance, the duration of our 30 m sprint was approximately seven seconds compared to the 30 s Wingate challenge. It may be long-term use of formoterol and salmeterol have a greater potential for ergogenic action for explosive sprints lasting under 10 s, compared to longer sprinting activities. Further research is required to confirm this hypothesis.

In our study although we observed an improvement in sprint performance we did not see changes in strength, power or skinfold thickness. This may be due to the smaller therapeutic doses of salmeterol and formoterol use in our study, compared to other studies using supra-therapeutic doses.³⁰ Although, our method of using skin fold thickness to measure changes in body composition may not have been sensitive enough to detect changes in muscle mass. By using other means of measuring changes in body composition (e.g. DEXA) we may have detected changes in muscle mass. In a recent study by Jessen et.al⁹ they observed a significant increase in lean body mass of 1.03 – 1.04 kg as detected by DEXA following daily inhalation of 4 mg terbutaline over a four week period whilst participants engaged in strength training and also those in an habitual life-style group, but this was not the case for those engaging in endurance training.

Gender differences in pulmonary anatomy may influence the potential ergogenic action of formoterol and salmeterol. Although previous studies that have suggested this may be the case for salbutamol, the hypothesis has not been rigorously investigated.³¹ Due to the relatively small number of females in our study, our data was underpowered to conduct meaningful sub analyses.

Future studies should investigate the relationship between long acting β_2 -Agonists administration in male and female athletes and the bio-availability required to stimulate an increase in muscle protein turnover and synthesis between sexes. Previous studies suggest

formoterol induces opposing effects between oxidation and synthesis but ultimately results in net anabolic gain because of a greater anti-catabolic effect (oxidation) over reduced synthesis.¹⁰ In females, these anti-catabolic and synthesis effects were three-fold larger when compared to men.¹⁰

A limitation of this study is that we cannot assume the observations we have seen in our participants who take part in recreational sport translate to elite athletes. However, if elite athletes did take part in our study they may have been subject to a doping test, in which they may have provided a urine sample with a concentration of formoterol that is above the permitted level and therefore committed an anti-doping violation. For this reason we excluded elite athletes from participating. Future studies may incorporate highly trained individuals to investigate whether they experience a similar response to sprint performance following five weeks of inhaling either formoterol or salmeterol.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the potential ergogenic action of long-term use of β_2 -Agonists can be confounded by endurance training.⁹ In our study we specifically focused on strength and power training which has previously been shown not to confound increases in lean mass. We have not investigated whether endurance training would confound the improvements in 30 m sprint performance, which has been previously reported when short acting β_2 -Agonists have been used over a four to six week period incorporating endurance training.³²

Athletes use formoterol and salmeterol to protect against bronchoconstriction. Both drugs have side effects including: increased heart rate, headaches, tremors, muscle cramps and palpitations. It is not known whether athletes using either inhaled formoterol or salmeterol increase the risk of these side effects. However, in our study we did not observe athletes reporting these symptoms throughout the study. Furthermore, we did not see any significant differences between the recovery, sleep and mood between groups. We investigated these

parameters to see if salmeterol or formoterol may have influenced perception of recovery between training days. As we have not detected any differences between groups we can exclude this as a potential mechanism to explain improvements in 30 m sprint performance.

Conclusion

This study was the first to demonstrate five weeks of therapeutic doses of either inhaled salmeterol or formoterol in combination with strength, power and sprint training may improve 30 m sprint performance. At this stage we are not able to conclude that similar effects will occur in highly trained athletes using similar doses. Therefore anti-doping stake-holders may wish to commission investigations into whether highly trained athletes experience a similar ergogenic action from inhaled formoterol or salmeterol. These studies should be conducted before changes to the WADA Prohibited List are recommended. However, our findings suggest that consideration should be given to closer monitoring of inhaled long acting β_2 -Agonists use by athletes in and out of competition. Future research is required to investigate the mechanism behind the potential improvement in sprint performance in both males and females.

Practical Application

Our results demonstrate long-term use of long acting beta-2-agonists may lead to improvements in sprint performance. Before changes are made to the WADA anti-doping code, similar research project on highly trained athletes should be conducted.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by a grant from the World Anti-Doping Agency.

Conflict of Interest

None to report

References

1. Prohibited List. World Anti-Doping Agency. https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/files/prohibited_list_2019_en.pdf . Accessed January 1, 2019.
2. B.M. Pluim, O. de Hon, J.B. Staal, J. Limpens, H. Kuipers, S.E. Overbeek, A.H. Zwinderman, R.J.P.M. Scholten. β_2 -Agonists and Physical Performance. *Sport Med.* 2011;41(1):39–57
3. Tjørhom A, Riiser A, Carlsen KH. Effects of formoterol on endurance performance in athletes at an ambient temperature of -20 degrees C. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2007;17(6):628-635
4. Sue-Chu M, Sandsund M, Helgerud J, Reinertsen RE, Bjermer L. Salmeterol and physical performance at -15 degrees C in highly trained nonasthmatic cross-country skiers. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 1999;9(1):48-52.
5. Hostrup M, Kalsen A, Ortenblad N, et al. β_2 -adrenergic stimulation enhances Ca²⁺ release and contractile properties of skeletal muscles, and counteracts exercise-induced reductions in Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase V_{max} in trained men. *J Physiol.* 2014;592(24):5445-5459.
6. Kalsen A, Hostrup M, Backer V, Bangsbo J. Effect of formoterol, a long-acting β_2 -adrenergic agonist, on muscle strength and power output, metabolism, and fatigue during maximal sprinting in men. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2016;310(11):1312-1321.
7. A. M. J. Sanchez, F. Borrani, M.A. Le Fur, A. Le Mieux, V. Lecoultré, G. Py, C. Gernigon, K. Collomp, R. Candau. Acute supra-therapeutic oral terbutaline administration has no ergogenic effect in non-asthmatic athletes. *European Journal of Applied Physiol.* 2013;113(2):411–418
8. Burniston JG, Clark WA, Tan L-B, Goldspink DF. Dose-dependent separation of the hypertrophic and myotoxic effects of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor agonist clenbuterol in rat striated muscles. *Muscle Nerve.* 2006;33(5):655-663.
9. Jessen S, Onslev J, Lemminger A, Backer V, Bangsbo J, Hostrup M. Hypertrophic effect of inhaled beta-2-agonist with and without concurrent exercise training: A randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2018;28(10):2114-2122
10. Lee P, Day RO, Greenfield JR, Ho KKY. Formoterol, a highly β_2 -selective agonist, increases energy expenditure and fat utilisation in men. *Int J Obes.* 2013;37(4):593-597
11. Hostrup M, Reitelseder S, Jessen S, et al. Beta₂ -adrenoceptor agonist salbutamol increases protein turnover rates and alters signalling in skeletal muscle after resistance exercise in young men. *J Physiol.* 2018;596(17):4121-4139
12. Hostrup M, Kalsen A, Auchenberg M, Bangsbo J, Backer V. Effects of acute and 2-week administration of oral salbutamol on exercise performance and muscle strength in athletes. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2016;26(1):8-16

13. Hostrup M, Kalsen A, Onslev J, Jessen S, Haase C, Habib S, Ørtenblad N, Backer V, Bangsbo J. Mechanisms underlying enhancements in muscle force and power output during maximal cycle ergometer exercise induced by chronic β_2 -adrenergic stimulation in men. *J Appl Physiol* 2015;119: 475–486.
14. Hostrup M, Onslev J, Jacobson GA, Wilson R, Bangsbo J. Chronic β_2 -adrenoceptor agonist treatment alters muscle proteome and functional adaptations induced by high intensity training in young men. *J Physiol*. 2018;596(2):231-252.
15. Onslev J, Jacobson G, Narkowicz C, et al. Beta-2-adrenergic stimulation increases energy expenditure at rest, but not during submaximal exercise in active overweight men. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2017;117(9):1907-1915.
16. Yang YT, McElligott MA. Multiple actions of beta-adrenergic agonists on skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. *Biochem J*. 1989;261(1):1-10.
17. Dickinson J, Hu J, Chester N, Loosemore M, Whyte G. Acute Impact of Inhaled Short Acting B₂-Agonists on 5 Km Running Performance. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2014;13(2):271-279.
18. Anderson SD, Argyros GJ, Magnussen H, Holzer K. Provocation by eucapnic voluntary hyperpnoea to identify exercise induced bronchoconstriction. *Br J Sports Med*. 2001;35(5):344-347.
19. Scott NW, McPherson GC, Ramsay CR, Campbell MK. The method of minimization for allocation to clinical trials. a review. *Control Clin Trials*. 2002;23(6):662-674.
20. Morin J-B, Sève P. Sprint running performance: comparison between treadmill and field conditions. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2011;111(8):1695-1703.
21. Willardson JM. A brief review: factors affecting the length of the rest interval between resistance exercise sets. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2006;20(4):978-984.
22. Baechle TR, Earle RW. *Essentials of Strength Training and Conditioning*. Human Kinetics; 2008.
23. Kellmann M. Preventing overtraining in athletes in high-intensity sports and stress/recovery monitoring. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2010;20 Suppl 2:95-102.
24. Terry PC, Lane AM, Fogarty GJ. Construct validity of the Profile of Mood States Adolescents for use with adults. *Psychol Sport Exerc*. 2003;4(2):125-139.
25. Atkinson G, Nevill AM. Selected issues in the design and analysis of sport performance research. *J Sports Sci*. 2001;19(10):811-827.
26. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci*. 1992;1(3):98-101.
27. Martineau L, Horan MA, Rothwell NJ, Little RA. Salbutamol, a beta 2-adrenoceptor agonist, increases skeletal muscle strength in young men. *Clin Sci*. 1992;83(5):615-621.
28. Le Panse B, Collomp K, Portier H, et al. Effects of short-term salbutamol ingestion during a Wingate test. *Int J Sports Med*. 2005;26(7):518-523.

29. Le Panse B, Arlettaz A, Portier H, Lecoq A-M, De Ceaurriz J, Collomp K. Short term salbutamol ingestion and supramaximal exercise in healthy women. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;40(7):627-631.
30. Hostrup M., Kalsen A., Bangsbo J., Hemmersbach P., Karlsson S., Backer V. High- dose inhaled terbutaline increases muscle strength and enhances maximal sprint performance in trained men. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2014;114:2499–2508
31. Koch S, Karacabeyli D, Galts C, MacInnis MJ, Sporer BC, Koehle MS. Effects of inhaled bronchodilators on lung function and cycling performance in female athletes with and without exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2015;18(5):607-612.
32. Dickinson J, Molphy J, Chester N, Loosemore M, Whyte G. The ergogenic effect of long-term use of high dose salbutamol. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2014;24(6):474-481.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of the study across five week training intervention




Figure 2: 30 m sprint performance at pre and post five weeks training for (a) participants in PLA, (b) participants in SAL, (c) participants in FOR and (d) mean 30 m sprint performance in PLA, SAL and FOR groups.

Table 1: 30 meters Sprint, Power and Strength Performance in SAL, FOR and PLA groups at week 0 and week 5 (Mean ± SD)

	SAL			FOR			PLA		
	Week 0	Week 5	Mean Change	Week 0	Week 5	Mean Change	Week 0	Week 5	Mean change
1RM Inc. Leg Press (Kg)	102 ± 59	135 ± 92	33 ± 41	111 ± 59	135 ± 92	24 ± 44	102 ± 58	131 ± 83	29 ± 41
1RM Hack Squat (Kg)	135 ± 57	201 ± 57	66 ± 40	123 ± 33	190 ± 34	67 ± 15	108 ± 34	166 ± 52	58 ± 21
1RM Bench Press (Kg)	55 ± 20	64 ± 27	9 ± 26	51 ± 20	57 ± 17	6 ± 18	53 ± 27	60 ± 31	7 ± 44
Leg Extension (N•m)	226 ± 48	228 ± 22	1 ± 41	199 ± 24	212 ± 23	13 ± 33	186 ± 19	189 ± 36	3 ± 27
Leg Flexion (N•m)	123 ± 23	137 ± 10	14 ± 25	121 ± 18	128 ± 16	7 ± 9	110 ± 12	121 ± 10	11 ± 14
Vertical Jump (cm)	49.6 ± 10.7	53.5 ± 9.4	3.9 ± 5.2	49.7 ± 8.8	54 ± 10.4	4.3 ± 5.9	49.4 ± 8.1	52.3 ± 8.4	2.9 ± 7.3
30 m Sprint (s)	7.38 ± 0.70	7.03 ± 0.72*	-0.35 ± 0.05	7.10 ± 0.70	6.81 ± 0.74*	-0.29 ± 0.11	7.40 ± 1.33	7.41 ± 1.23	0.01 ± 0.11

Abbreviations: 1RM = one maximal repetition; SAL = salmeterol; FOR = formeterol; PLA = placebo

* = Significantly different from PLA ($P < 0.05$).

Incline Leg press 45°, Hack Squat and Bench Press measured is reported at 1RM; Leg Extension, Leg Flexion is reported as

Peak Torque measured at 120°.s⁻¹

Table 2: Skinfolds and Body Mass from week 0 to week 5 in SAL, FOR and PLA groups. Mean \pm SD.

	SAL			FOR			PLA		
	Week 0	Week 5	Mean Change	Week 0	Week 5	Mean Change	Week 0	Week 5	Mean change
Skinfolds	46 \pm	41 \pm	- 5 \pm 17	47 \pm	46 \pm	-1 \pm 28	44 \pm	42 \pm 7	- 2 \pm 12
Σ 4 (mm)	18	12		16	15		10		
Body Mass (Kg)	80.8 \pm	81.6 \pm	- 0.8 \pm	76.6 \pm	76.9 \pm	0.3 \pm 4.1	80.4 \pm	80.1 \pm	- 0.3 \pm
	12	10.6	2.1	7.1	5.8		13.3	13.5	7.4

Abbreviations: Σ 4 = Sum of the four skinfold sites (triceps, biceps, subscapular and supraspinale); RM = repetition maximum; SAL = salmeterol; FOR = formoterol; PLA = placebo

Table 3: Rest Q Recovery and Stress Index values in weeks 3 and 5 in SAL, FOR and PLA groups (Mean ± SD).

	SAL			FOR			PLA		
	Week 3	Week 5	Mean Change	Week 3	Week 5	Mean Change	Week 3	Week 5	Mean change
Rest Q Recovery Index (A.U.)	2.5 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.1	- 0.2 ± 0.1
Rest Q Stress Index (A.U.)	1.9 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.2	- 0.1 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.2	- 0.1 ± 0.4

Abbreviations: A.U. = arbitral units; SAL = salmeterol; FOR = formeterol; PLA = placebo