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In-Situ observation of radiation physics and chemistry of nanostructured cerium oxide in water

Muhammad Sajid Ali Asghar¹, Beverley Inkson¹, Sudipta Seal², Marco Molinari³, Dean Sayle⁴, Günter Möbus¹

¹NanoLAB Centre, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, UK.
²Advanced Materials Processing Analysis Center (AMPAC) and Nanoscience Technology Center (NSTC), Material Science and Engineering, College of Medicine, University of Central Florida, Florida 32816, United States
³Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Queensgate, Huddersfield, HD1 3DH, UK
⁴School of Physical Sciences, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NZ, UK

E-mail: g.moebus@sheffield.ac.uk

Room temperature electron irradiation in aqueous environment is applied to CeO₂ nanoparticles using a transmission electron microscope equipped with liquid environmental cell. Oxide dissolution kinetics become accessible at unprecedented scale of spatial and time resolution through irradiation activation of water within a sub-µm size volume, allowing direct measurements of transformation rate and morphologies. Successful live-observation of the formation of nano-needles provides essential inside in how 1D-nanostructures can form. Furthermore, formation of hydrogen bubbles is found and interpreted in relation to the dose needed for ceria dissolution. The results are of importance for many research applications of ceria in water, e.g. for catalysis, environmental remediation, biomedical radiation protection, anti-corrosion coatings, and ultimately via analogy to UO₂ also for fission-power fuel engineering and waste disposal.

1. Introduction

Ceria Nanoparticles (CNPs) are one of the most remarkable and versatile multi-functional nanomaterials with wide-ranging applications from catalysis over optical and biomedical fields to machining. Typically, characterisation studies on the nanoscale, such as TEM of dry powders, aim at the defect structure, distribution of oxygen vacancies, and the ionic mobility in bulk and at the surface. However, the majority of applications of CNPs use aqueous environment, and therefore nano-characterisation would be incomplete unless the CNP-water interaction, including possible corrosion behaviour, is studied in-situ. Prominent uses of CNPs in water include e.g. abrasive materials for the polishing of glasses and for chemical-mechanical planarization of electronic integrated circuit materials, sun screens to protect from ultraviolet rays, anti-corrosion coatings on metals, diesel fuel additives for a more complete combustion to abate soot formation, environmental cleaning and remediation and for various concepts in catalysis. More recently, the antioxidant and radio-protection properties of CNPs in cellular liquid environment have been explored, aiming e.g. at neuro protection or improved cancer treatment. Additionally, ceria is a common non-radioactive analogue for UO₂ and ThO₂, for which irradiation and dissolution are major study subjects in nuclear fuel specification during operation as well as for spent fuel disposal. For the latter three applications (environmental remediation, biomedical protection, and nuclear ceria) a common feature is the combined application of ceria in water under external radiation. While ceria material is known to be exceptionally stable with respect to both reactive chemical and irradiative environments, no studies seem to specialise on combined irradiation chemical reaction and corrosion. The advent of liquid cell TEM has opened up the capability to study samples involving an electron transparent thin film of liquid giving valuable results in NP-growth, NP-movements, and occasionally nanoparticle shrinkage.

Ceria reactions with water molecules are typically studied as part of catalytic reactors or fuel cells emphasising water splitting but ignoring reverse effects of the water-split products on ceria surface and the integrity of the fluorite structure. Acid dissolution of ceria on the other hand is an ongoing bulk-chemistry research topic. However, in any published data the dissolution rate of ceria remains rather small even under modified experimental conditions including ultrasound, addition of Pt catalyst particles, or using multiple acids. A detailed study of ex-situ irradiative ceria dissolution in water from a nuclear materials point of view finds evidence of radiation-induced acceleration of dissolution. Solubility of cerium phases and dissolved ionic species have been discussed as function of pH, based on earlier work. Here we use liquid cell TEM for a first comprehensive and dedicated study of the multi-stage corrosion behaviour of nanostructured CeO₂ in water under radiolytic conditions. In expansion of our recent work, we use the electron beam induced activation of water as a deliberate ultra-fast accelerated testing tool for qualitative and quantitative studies of ceria dissolution. Here we accompany dissolution studies with in-situ live observation of secondary effects, including formation of secondary phases, and generation of gas bubble.
2. Method

Ceria nanoparticulate powders are sampled from pre-manufactured nanoparticle dispersions for liquid cell TEM observation, followed by specific in-situ electron irradiation procedures. Particles are either 10-40 nm size commercial ceria nanoparticles (CeO$_2$, purity >99.9%), characterised earlier[32], or ceria nanorods fabricated as described earlier[33,34].

Ceria nano-particles are suspended in DI water to be placed into the liquid cell (model Protochips Poseidon P500[35], comprising a microfluidic cell of two Si$_3$N$_4$ membranes. Samples are directly loaded as aqueous suspensions onto the chip, while after insertion into the TEM we occasionally injected further small quantities of water via a syringe into the cell, whenever needed to mobilise particles. For imaging and digital video recording we mainly use a JEOL JEM 3010 TEM operated at 300 kV, while a JEOL JEM 2010-F, operated at 200 kV, is used where mentioned.

The electron irradiation in both electron microscopes is applied with largest condenser aperture (CA) and spot size 1. At normal imaging intensity at 300 kV (roughly below ≈ 1 nA/µm$^2$), extended observations of movement of nanoparticles can be achieved with little dissolution. However, above an intensity range of ≈ 3 nA/µm$^2$, ceria is found to chemically react with irradiated water (detailed values depend on water thickness), and it is this 2nd regime which is the topic of this paper. All experiments dealing with particle dissolution had the electron beam spread onto an area of at least 2 µm diameter. Further converging of the beam to below 2 µm has been realised for Figure 5 to increase the intensity range seven-fold, exclusively for the purpose of triggering gas bubble formation.

3. Results

The multiple in-situ electron irradiation experiments reported here are detailed in order of consecutive observation of effects, starting with “Stage 1” comprising all particle corrosion or dissolution effects, followed by “Stage 2” comprising observation of new solid phases, and finally “Stage 3” including gas bubble formation.

3.1. Stage 1: Corrosion of nanoscale cerium oxide of particle and rod shape

The primary observation (stage 1) of electron irradiation of CNPs in water shows corrosion attack localised to the irradiation volume, with eventual complete dissolution. Here we concentrate on studying the influence of particle type (nanorod, nanosphere), irradiation voltage, and irradiation intensity. In-situ imaging is also used to track the complex kinetic behaviour of the corrosion process. Rather than being confined to volume loss per time interval,
the process of corrosion comprises specific particle shape changes, ranging from particle rounding to roughening and changes of aspect ratio, but also changing particle attachment geometries. Due to the wide-spread interest in oxide-corrosion at room temperature, it is our aim not only to capture dissolution behaviour on video sequences, but also to quantify and compare with previously reported, laboratory wet-chemistry based, dissolution rates. Extended low-intensity imaging of nanoparticle agglomerates is possible in liquid cell TEM, e.g. for selecting regions of interest and for focusing, by keeping beam intensity below a threshold or critical intensity. If the threshold is exceeded, dissolution is triggered immediately, Figure 1a-c. The sequence demonstrates, that for sufficient irradiation ultimately dissolution is completely achieved irrespective of the starting shape and starting size of nanoparticles and that at the end of stage 1,  ionically enriched water resulting from fully dissolved particles temporarily persists. A potentially important aspect of the dissolution experiments is the amount of convection between irradiated and non-irradiated water: Figures 1d-e show in-situ imaging of a completed local irradiation and dissolution experiment. Here, in Fig 1d the sample has been swiftly moved laterally to allow comparison of irradiated (bottom right, CNPs dissolved) and non-irradiated sample (top left, full CNP density). In the other image, Fig 1e, sudden magnification reduction achieves the same purpose. Essentially, a sharp border-line outlining the original beam-contour confirms that the spatial concentration profile between highly reactive ionised water (inside) and original DI water (outside) is retained, and convective blurring can temporarily be kept small (the non-round apparent beam-shape in 1e might be due to some convection effect).

From the volume-loss $\Delta V$ per image interval $\Delta t$, normalised to the particle surface-area $A$ at the start of $\Delta t$, and the material density $\rho$, corrosion rates $R$ are calculated by equation (1):

$$R = \frac{\rho \Delta V}{A \Delta t} \quad \text{(equation 1)}$$

The dissolution rates are approximate by assuming symmetry of revolution (volumes are estimated from projections), and also vary from particle to particle, depending on thickness of water-layer, local electron intensity, and contact of particle to the silicon nitride membranes of the liquid cell as well as to other particles. Time intervals printed onto Figs 1-3 do not indicate the start of the irradiation, but the start of measurement, chosen after some pre-irradiation, once particles are clear enough to be tracked in isolation.

In extension of the preliminary measurements in [31], corrosion of some non-spherical CNPs are now detailed in Figure 2. This figure also serves to highlight some challenge to quantification, as during overall shrinkage of particles lateral movement, rotation in 3D, and shape changes occur. Figure 2 a-d is an oval shaped object. We observe that sharp corners and annexed rough features are disappearing first by rounding, however the rounding is not progressing monotonously. Tracking of aspect ratio reveals that ratios can increase from 1.6 – 2.1 (Figure 2 a-d). The shape change is therefore now found more complex than simple minimisation of surface energy resulting in spheres found earlier [31].

![FIG. 2 Sequence of ceria dissolution: (a-d) ceria nanoparticle involving particle aspect ratio increase before complete dissolution; (JEM 3010 at 300kV)](image_url)
FIG 3: Dissolution of ceria nanorods (a-d) involving rough surface (JEM 2010-F at 200kV; boxes indicate measured items for table 1). (e-h) dissolution of straight, smooth and homogeneous ceria nanorod along with one round nanoparticle (JEM-2010-F at 200kV; arrows indicate measured items for table 1).

Due to the complex dissolution found for CNPs of various roundness, it is of interest to compare nanoparticles with ceria nanorods (CNRs) as dissolution targets, see Figure 3. CNRs are suggested to result from needle-shape hydroxide nucleation events during ex-situ synthesis before transforming to ceria during hydrothermal ageing\cite{33,34}. With the cylindrical symmetry pre-existing, volume losses can be quantified easily from a suitably chosen cylindrical segment. We observe two sets of nanorods, one sample (Figure 3a-d) contains nanorods with visible imperfections in straightness, flatness of surface, and being internally inhomogenous. The other sample (Figure 3e-h) shows smooth and flat nanorods. At the same time a spurious CNP is found next to the smooth rods as a minority phase, which provides important calibration.

Dissolution data for ceria nano-objects (particles and rods) are collected in Table 1, with items 1-4 referring to objects of Figure 3a-d and Figure 3e-h. For each object dissolution rates are found to vary over time and the indicated range refers to a lower and upper limit for early (slow) and late (faster) dissolution. Each data is the average of multiple diameter measurements, reported as standard error (SE), units of $\text{g m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ are preferred to facilitate comparison with literature.

Comparing the dissolution rates for the two types of nanorods, we find that the average data of the two rough nanorods (Rod I and II) is about 7 times faster than the data for the smooth CNR (Rod III), see table 1. This is expected as roughness in any corrosion process, provides an extra enhancement of active surface, not entered into the surface-estimates for the dissolution formula. On the other hand it is interesting to compare nanorods with nanoparticles, and here the data for the rough nanorods are found to be within the range of our various findings for nanoparticles. However, the smooth CNR (table 1, item 3) shows an order of magnitude slower shrinkage than most nanoparticles. It appears that the higher surface curvature of particles relative to rods accelerates dissolution in the same way as roughening of the rods. Finally, the influence of voltage is examined: while the nanoparticle samples (Figure 1 and Figure 2) are examined at 300keV, this latter CNP (table 1, item 4) on the nanorod sample, examined at 200keV, gives important evidence of the influence of beam energy on dissolution rate, which is found to be limited to only within one order of magnitude, comparing item 4 with item 0 (row 1) of table 1.

In summary, the dissolution rates for nanorods, elongated nanoparticles and round nanoparticles were of similar order of magnitude of data, confirming a robustness of the measurement across various experiments, with unavoidable variation in water-thickness, which influences irradiation effects.
Table 1  Quantified ceria dissolution rates of nanoparticles and nanorods in comparison with earlier work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>( R_{\text{min}} ) (g/m(^2)/day)</th>
<th>( R_{\text{max}} ) (g/m(^2)/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNPs,300kV: Sphere, Oval &amp; Octahedral</td>
<td>7 (±0.7)</td>
<td>700 (±0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rod I 200kV</td>
<td>25 (±0.8)</td>
<td>99 (±0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rod II 200kV</td>
<td>30 (±1)</td>
<td>83 (±2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rod III 200kV</td>
<td>06 (±2)</td>
<td>13 (±6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sphere NP 200kV</td>
<td>35 (±3)</td>
<td>192 (±19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Stage 2: Formation of New Phases

The dissolution of nanoparticles leads to the water film becoming enriched in cerium ions and compound molecules \((\text{Ce}^{n+}, \text{Ce(OH)}_n)\). These are meta-stable in solution and the ion enriched water will re-establish a more stable state once irradiation levels, local concentrations or pH change significantly. It can be expected that some new solid phases form. Live electron diffraction recording confirms that all initial fluoride ceria Bragg reflections disappear in-situ and electron scattering from residual material along with water and amorphous \(\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4\) membranes contributes to the halo-features seen in the final-state diffraction. However, small volumes of new crystalline minority phases, not visibly contributing to a wide area diffraction pattern, are nevertheless expected to persist.

The main distinction in newly formed phases is the mass-thickness and Bragg scattering contrasts:

- On the one hand, several distinct lightly scattering phases (low average atomic number) one of which is illustrated in Fig 4a-d. These phases exceed the original particles in size and sometimes surround the latter or link them into chains, or replace them over time.
- On the other hand, we find new dark (high atomic number), strongly scattering phases, which can be further subdivided into 1D structures of predominantly needle shape (Figure 4g-h) and predominantly roundish particles (Figure 5) which exceed the size of the raw ceria nanoparticles, and which do not show any octahedral morphology.
- The main difference of above dark and bright phases is the occurrence of Bragg scattering features: These are either displaced white spots (shadow images of dark particles) or contours inside dark particles, both requiring lattice planes. Therefore all dark particles in Fig 4a-h are identified as crystalline. In contrast, the bright phase of Fig 4a-d never shows such Bragg features, and also has a non-facetted morphological appearance, both indicating an amorphous phase.

While the dark phases suffer eventual dissolution similar to the original particles, the amorphous phases appear stable against beam-induced dissolution. The needles appear to be a transient phase, forming at rather late stage during raw particle dissolution, before being finally subjected to dissolution themselves. For both Figs 4 and 5, the time calibration is relative to a chosen start, the image labelled „0 sec“ would already had experienced some time of irradiation.

![FIG. 4](image-url) Parallel formation and dissolution: (a-d) Growth of amorphous phase around and replacing raw nanoparticles. (e-h) Transformation of 3D irregular shaped particles into needle shaped particles. The arrow helps tracking one corner of a particle rotating while transforming.
3.3 Stage 3: Gas Bubble Formation

Using the highest achievable intensity irradiation (see methods), the timing and relationship of CNP dissolution and gas bubble generation is explored. In Figure 6 four stages of radiolytic behaviour are compared, all at identical intensity (seven-fold higher than in dissolution experiments above). In Figure 6a the initial presence of the last few ceria NPs can still be seen (arrows), while in Figure 6b all particles have vanished due to progressive dissolution. Continued irradiation for Figure 6c then kick-starts the formation of nano-bubbles in water, indicated as bright spherical features. The size and number of bubbles continues to increase to over 150 nm diameter (Figure 6d).

Following earlier observations in the literature \[36\] they are most likely due to the formation of molecular hydrogen within the irradiated water volume in the liquid cell. The phenomenon of formation of bubbles when water is irradiated by electron beams of >100 kV is well known \[36\]. Here we aim to put the effect into context relative to ceria dissolution, finding that the irradiation dose necessary to generate bubbles is significantly higher than for ceria NP dissolution.

4. Discussion

The three stages of response of our water-particle-system to irradiation are discussed with respect to the modifications to water imposed by the electron beam. Dissolution studies of materials by liquid cell TEM are rare and mostly reported as side effect of particle growth studies \[37, 38\], such as calcium carbonate and Au NPs \[15, 39\], etching of Pd NPs \[20\] and ZnO NPs \[40\]. The radiolysis of water caused by the electron beam is known to change pH, and artificial acidity down to pH=3 has been modelled \[36\] specifically for liquid cell TEM conditions starting with pure de-ionised water. Nano-ceria stability in water has been tuned by pH variation via induced surface charges as function of ageing time and temperature \[41\]. A recent ex-situ study of solution chemistry \[26\] proposes Ce\(^{III}\) released from CeO\(_2\) particles in water below pH=4. Such reductive dissolution is much faster than Ce\(^{IV}\) release at higher pH \[26\], matching therefore our case of dissolution exceeding any previously reported rates. Macroscopically, ceria and related actinide-oxides in contact with water are known to either very slowly dissolve (environmental release studies \[42\]) or adopt a reaction layer (passivation layer, considered amorphous Me-hydroxide) on its surface (nuclear materials leaching studies \[43\]). Enhanced oxygen reactivity of wet ceria in comparison to dry ceria nanoparticles has been modelled by computer simulations \[21, 44\]. In agreement, ex-situ
laboratory-scale dissolution was found in thoria where the pH of solution was 1.5-3 in 0.1 M NaCl. Particle morphology influence is moderate, although sharp corners of irregular particles are primary dissolution locations complemented by locations with higher surface roughness or any features with excess of dangling bonds. Dissolution (stage 1) and particle formation (stage 2) should be seen as overlapping stages in time, with the sequence of post-dissolution events to be explored via the Pourbaix E-pH diagrams for ceria. The water radiolysis experiment with its pH and redox changes therefore defines a trajectory in the Pourbaix diagram due to the formation of a variety of reactive species by water splitting, leading to quaternary hydroxides, or through pH-reversal, leading to ternary hydroxides. Growth of solid or gel-type precipitates of Ce(OH)$_3$ dissolves, where the Ce-reduction originates by water. Other most recent work have proposed alternatively either solid Ce(OH)$_3$ as end product or solid CeO$_2$ species as temporary intermediate. Our reported high dissolution rates are however compatible with early stage persistence of CeO$_2$, and reproduced in [53].

Alternative concepts for new phases found in liquid-cell TEM experiments, discussed in the literature include: (i) carbon contamination, mainly on the vacuum-side of the window; (ii) Formation of corrosive pitting layers have been found for calcium carbonate. They are sharply bordered and of lower average atomic number, and associate more with core-shell appearances during dissolution, rather than with formation of new phases.

No report in any literature points to our unique needle phase as of Fig 4e-h. Its extreme anisotropy and dark contrast with sharp external facets, points to options of crystalline structure with unique axis: (i) Formation of hexagonal solid Ce(OH)$_2$, often evidenced via ex-situ wet-chemical experiments, but unstable in air, is possible here as the liquid cell prevents air exposure. However, direct Ce$^{3+}$ to Ce(OH)$_3$ conversion is not favoured by Pourbaix analysis. (ii) Relaxation of acidic pH back to near neutral could favour an elongated ceria crystal structure which achieves its 1D shape by oriented attachment. The latter option conforms with evidence of needles having same mass-thickness appearance as raw ceria particles. Unfortunately, no traces of this particular needle-phase could be found on any dry chips disassembled after the liquid cell experiment, supporting instability in air. Alternatively, growth of ternary Ce-hydroxide particles growing from a Ce-nitrate solution via pH-shift into highly alkaline regions have been explored in [48], however, achieving round particles, more like Fig 5 here, instead of needles.

We discuss stage 3, the gas bubble formation, as part of our combined radiolysis experiment, as it is of central interest to associate the inferred hydrogen generation relative to ceria nanoparticle reactions, as there would be two pathways. (i) H formation by catalysis on ceria surfaces, or (ii) H formation by radiolysis of water alone (without CNPs involved). Figure 5 supports assumption (ii) necessitating a critical dose rate for enough hydrogen to be released simultaneously to react into stable molecular H$_2$ and start visible bubble formation. The absence of ceria NPs during later stages of bubble formation proves H$_2$ is not primarily resulting from a catalytic water-splitting effect known for water adsorbed to surfaces of ceria and zirconia. The latter might still happen in our case at minor rates, but its visibility is obscured by the dominant direct electron beam induced water splitting.

5. Conclusions

Liquid cell TEM is successfully employed to observe in real-time a great variety of phenomena in the radiolytical dissolution and re-growth of cerium oxide and related nanostructures in a microfluid film of water. Apart from the
innovative ability to video-record water-oxide reactions on nm-scale resolution with near TV rate time-resolution, some novel very specific findings are:

- Nanorod formation is tracked in-situ, transforming an isotropic nanomaterial into a 1D structure. Such behaviour has been previously predicted via modelling or identified ex-situ and attributed to oriented attachment.[26,49]

- The radiolytic dissolution of ceria is now established as a quantified rate \( R \), within a range around \( R = 100 \) gday\(^{-1}\)m\(^{-2}\) which is exceptionally high compared to any earlier reports. Here our extended results compared to our earlier short report [31], confirm the validity for a variety of shapes and sized of particles (including nanorods), but also for two acceleration voltages (200 and 300kV).

- Hydrogen bubble formation is observed for the first time in a single experiment relative to ceria corrosion. The observed need for significantly higher dose rate for bubble visibility compared to the ceria-dissolution reactions, points to a dominance of direct radiolysis rather than reactive-surface induced H\(_2\) production. This is of relevance to research fields involving the triple-combination of radiation, reactive oxides or alloys, and water, whether in catalysis chemistry[47,50], or in nuclear engineering[51,52].

The significance of the overall findings are due to their relevance for a variety of industrial and biomedical applications, e.g. catalytic, environmental, polishing, corrosion, recycling, nuclear fuels and waste disposal, but also cellular radiation protection in radiotherapy. All those fields critically depend on understanding the water-ceria reaction front.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding contributions for this project have been received by EPSRC, UK (EP/J021199/1), University of Sheffield, UK, and NED University of Engineering and Technology, Karachi, Pakistan.

Nielsen M H, Aloni S, and De Yoreo J J 2014 Science 345 1158
Dahle J T, Livø K, and Arzi Y 2015 Chemosphere 119 1365
Caër S L 2011 Water 3 235
Ewing R C 2015 Nature Materials 14 252
Saji G 2014 International Conference on Nuclear Engineering 22 1