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Post-synthetic Mannich chemistry on metal-organic frameworks: system-specific reactivity and functionality-triggered dissolution


Abstract: The Mannich reaction of the zirconium MOF [ZrO4(OH)2(bdc-NH2)6] (UiO-66-NH2, bdc-NH2 = 2-amino-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate) with paraformaldehyde and pyrazole, imidazole or 2-mercaptimidazole led to post-synthetic modification (PSM) through C–N bond formation. The reaction with imidazole (Him) goes to completion whereas those with pyrazole (Hpypz) and 2-mercaptimidazole (HmSH) give up to 41% and 36% conversion, respectively. The BET surface areas for the Mannich products are reduced from that of UiO-66-NH2, but the compounds show enhanced selectivity for adsorption of CO2 over N2 at 273 K. The thiol-containing MOFs adsorb mercury(II) ions from aqueous solution, removing up to 99%. The Mannich reaction with pyrazole succeeds on [Zn2(bdc-NH2)2]2 (IRMOF-3), but a similar reaction on [Zn2(bdc-NH2)2(dabc0)] (dabc0 = 1,4-diazyabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) gave [Zn2(bdc-NH2)2.12(bdc-NHCH2pyz)2.60(dabc0)] 2C-H2 5, whereas the reaction with imidazole gave the expected PSM product. Compound 5 forms via a dissolution-recrystallisation process that is triggered by the ‘free’ pyrazolated nitrogen atom competing with dabc0 for coordination to the zinc(II) centre. In contrast, the ‘free’ nitrogen atom on the imidazolate is too far away to compete in this way. Mannich reactions on [In(OH)(bdc-NH2)] (MIL-68(ln-NH2)) stop after the first step, and the product was identified as [In(OH)(bdc-NH2)3.15(bdc-NHCH2OC(6H5)3.20(bdc-N=C(H2)2)3.20)]. with addition of the heterocycle prevented by steric interactions.

Introduction

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)[1] are currently attracting considerable interest for their porosity properties, and applications as diverse as carbon capture,[2] catalysis,[3] drug delivery[4] and chemical weapon detoxification.[5] Much of this attention arises from the wide diversity of MOF structures, with variation of both the metal centres and organic linkers providing an essentially limitless number of possible materials. Of specific interest for many applications is the potential for forming functionalised MOFs,[5] with particular functional groups appended to the pore walls. While such materials can sometimes be formed using a linker containing an appropriate substituent in the MOF synthesis, in practice many functional groups are intolerant to the synthetic conditions, or use of the functionalised linker in the synthesis gives rise to an unexpected product. Post-synthetic modification (PSM)[7] has emerged as a powerful tool for preparing such functionalised MOFs, and it is often the only way to place a particular substituent onto the pore walls of a MOF structure. A wide range of covalent post-synthetic modification reactions have been developed over recent years, including conversion of primary amines into amides,[8] isocyanates,[9] ureas,[10] azides,[11] β-amidoketones,[11] secondary amines,[12] and diazonium salts,[14] aldehydes into hydrazones,[15] azides to triazoles,[16] bromides to nitriles,[17] as well as oxidation,[18] and reduction[19] reactions. Despite this, there remains a need for new, versatile and synthetically-straightforward methods that allow different functional groups to be incorporated into MOFs, regardless of their metal centres and framework structure.

The Mannich reaction, first reported over 100 years ago,[20] involves the condensation of an amine with an aldehyde, normally formaldehyde, and a compound containing an active hydrogen.[21] Originally, this latter compound was an enolisable carbonyl such as an ester or a ketone, but development of the reaction has seen other nucleophiles such as nitroalkanes,[22] acetylenes[23] and electron-rich heterocycles, including pyrroles,[24] furans[25] and thiophenes[26] being employed as alternatives to carbonyl compounds. In this paper, we explore the post-synthetic modification of the amino-functionalised metal-organic frameworks [ZrO4(OH)2(bdc-NH2)6] (UiO-66-NH2, bdc-NH2 = 2-amino-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate)[27] [ZnO(bdc-NH2)3] (IRMOF-3)[28] [Zn2(bdc-NH2)3(dabc0)] (DMOF-1-NH2, dabc0 = 1,4-diazyabicyclo[2.2.2]octane)[29] and [In(OH)(bdc-NH)] (MIL-68(ln-NH2))30 using the Mannich reaction, employing pyrazole, imidazole and 2-mercaptimidazole as the nucleophiles. The products from these transformations were anticipated to have nitrogen and/or sulfur groups projecting into the pores and available for selective gas adsorption or metal ion uptake. In all cases presented herein, the Mannich reaction was carried out in two steps to prevent the nucleophile from reacting with formaldehyde, and no catalyst was required.

Results and Discussion

Mannich reactions on [ZrO4(OH)2(bdc-NH2)6], UiO-66-NH2...
[\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4\text{(OH)}_6\text{(bdc-NH}_2\text{)}_3]_\text{n}, \text{UiO-66-NH}_2\text{, is an attractive PSM precursor due to the high chemical stability of the zirconium-dicarboxylate framework, its high crystallinity and relatively large pore windows (~6 Å).[31] and the presence of the readily-functionalised amino groups.[32] Mannich reactions on UiO-66-NH\text{2} were undertaken as shown in Scheme 1.

The first step involves the formation of methoxymethyl amine groups by the reaction with paraformaldehyde and MeOH at 50 °C. These methoxymethyl amine groups were subsequently converted into the final product by reaction with pyrazole, imidazole or 2-mercaptoimidazole to give compounds 1-3, respectively. All reactions proceeded without the need for a Lewis acid catalyst, which has the additional advantage of eliminating the work-up associated with catalyst removal from the pores of the MOF and removes the possibility of pore blocking by the catalyst. The similarity between the PXRD patterns of UiO-66-NH\text{2} and the PSM products 1-3 (Figs. S1, S4 and S6) indicate that the original framework was maintained in all three cases.

The effectiveness of the PSM reactions in terms of the percentage conversion of amino groups into the Mannich products was gauged by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra were obtained from MOF samples that were washed to remove unreacted reagents before digesting in NH\text{4}F/D\text{2}O with DMSO-d\text{6}. For the reaction with pyrazole (Hpyz), the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of 1 (Fig. S2) shows a number of new signals in addition to those corresponding to the aromatic protons of the unmodified groups, present as D\text{2}bdc-NH\text{2} (δ 7.56d, 7.12s and 7.05d). The aromatic protons of D\text{2}bdc-NHCH\text{2}pyz were observed at δ 7.62d, 7.25s and 7.08d ppm, overlapping with the signals from D\text{2}bdc-NH\text{2} and others attributed to minor (<10\%) by-products. The precision of the pyrazole ring on the digested framework of 1 was confirmed by the signals at δ 7.57 and 6.28 ppm. Attempts to remove the by-products by thorough washing with a variety of solvents were unsuccessful, suggesting that these compounds are also derived from PSM reactions, with a double-Mannich product the most likely.

By comparison of the integrals for the signals at δ 7.13 and 6.28 ppm, the percentage conversion from –NH\text{2} into –NHCH\text{2}pyz groups was estimated to be 41%. Ignoring the minor by-products, this gives the formula for 1 as [\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4\text{(OH)}_6\text{(bdc-NH}_2\text{)}_3\text{.54(bdc-NHCH}_2\text{pyz)}]_\text{n}. Attempts to increase the degree of conversion by carrying out the reaction at a higher temperature or for a longer time period were unsuccessful, though it should be noted that higher conversion to the methoxymethyl amine in the first step might not be observable in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the digested product, given the likely reversion of any D\text{2}bdc-NHCH\text{2}OMe to D\text{2}bdc-NH\text{2} under the acidic digestion conditions.

The Mannich reaction of UiO-66-NH\text{2} with imidazole (Him) as the nucleophile was more successful than that with pyrazole, with the amino groups fully converted into –NHCH\text{2}im groups. This was confirmed by the disappearance of the signals which correspond to the aromatic protons of the starting MOF, UiO-66-NH\text{2}, in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of the digested product. Instead, new signals at δ 7.56d, 7.14s and 7.07d ppm were observed (Figure 1), corresponding to the protons from the benzene ring of D\text{2}bdc-NHCH\text{2}im.

In contrast to the complete conversion observed for 2, the comparable Mannich reaction with 2-mercaptoimidazole (HimSH) as the nucleophile gave only partial conversion. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum (Fig. S7) of the digested product 3 shows the presence of new peaks in addition to the aromatic proton peaks which correspond to the starting MOF, UiO-66-NH\text{2}. The signals attributed to the aromatic protons of D\text{2}bdc-NHCH\text{2}imSH are observed at δ 7.68d, 7.26s and 7.08d ppm, respectively, although these peaks overlap with others from minor by-products. The presence of new peaks at δ 6.98 and 6.76 ppm, from the imidazole ring, indicates that the 2-mercaptoimidazole ring was successfully grafted onto the MOF framework.

In summary, comparing the integrals for the signals at δ 7.16 and 6.76 ppm, the percentage conversion from –NH\text{2} into –NHCH\text{2}imSH was calculated as approximately 36% by comparing the integrals for the signals at δ 7.16 and 6.76 ppm. Ignoring minor by-products, this gives a formula for 3 of [\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4\text{(OH)}_6\text{(bdc-NH}_2\text{)}_3\text{.54(bdc-NHCH}_2\text{imSH)}]_\text{2.16}].
For 1-3, further evidence for successful PSM came from the ESI mass spectra of the digested products. The negative ion ESI mass spectra of digested 1 and 3 confirmed the presence of the deprotonated anions of H₃bdc-NHCH₃impyz and H₃bdc-NHCH₃imSO₄, respectively. In both cases a peak was also observed for H₂bdc-NH₃ (m/z = 252.0400 (predicted [M – H]⁻ = 252.0392), respectively). The negative ion ESI mass spectrum, with the protonated cation of H₂bdc-NHCH₃im observed at m/z = 262.0824 (predicted [M + H]⁺ = 262.0828).

The percentage conversions for the PSM reactions generating 1-3 are summarised in Table 1. The differences in degree of conversion can be related to the nucleophile strength. Imidazole is a stronger nucleophile than pyrazole due to its higher basicity, and is therefore more susceptible to nucleophilic substitution with –NHCH₂OCH₃, leading to a higher conversion. The steric demands of the nucleophile also have some influence on the extent of the reaction, with the lowest conversion achieved in the case of 2-mercaptopimidazole, the largest of the nucleophiles employed. This can be rationalised by the more restricted diffusion of 2-mercaptopimidazole within the pores of the MOF.

### Table 1. The effect of the nucleophile on the degree of conversion observed in the Mannich reaction. The reactions were carried out using the conditions shown in Scheme 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Nucleophile</th>
<th>% conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pyrazole</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Imidazole</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2-Mercaptopimidazole</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thiol substituent in 3 was anticipated to be able to coordinate to soft metal centres as mercury(II). In order to probe the effect of different –NHCH₂imSH loadings on Hg(II) uptake, a second thiol-containing MOF was prepared, using the same conditions as for 3, but with the temperature for the second step reduced from 80 °C to 50 °C.

The ¹H NMR spectrum (Fig. S9) of the digested product formed under these conditions, 3a, showed the presence of the modified group (~NHCH₂imSH), though present in a lower relative concentration than in 3. The percentage conversion from –NH₂ into –NHCH₂imSH groups was estimated as 21%, giving a formula for 3a of [Zr₆O₂(OH)₄(bdc-NH₂)₆]₇.₄₄(bdc-NHCH₂imSH)₁.₂₆. This confirms that the reaction temperature has a significant impact on the degree of modification, with a lower temperature leading to lower conversion.

The TGA profiles of the PSM products 1-3 and 3a exhibit similar features to that for UiO-66-NH₂ (Fig. S10). There is an initial mass loss (up to 110 °C) corresponding to removal of 1,4-dioxane from the pores. A small, gradual mass loss, observed in the range 110 – 470 °C, is attributed to the loss of residual solvent in the pores and/or the dehydroxylation of the Zr₆O₂(OH)₄ nodes.[33] The final mass loss, beginning at 470 °C, is due to the decomposition of the framework. Based on the TGA profiles, 1 has 4.0, 2 has 3.0, 3 has 5.0, 3a has 5.5 and UiO-66-NH₂ has 7.0 molecules of 1,4-dioxane per Zr₆O₂(OH)₄ unit in the unactivated MOFs. This shows that the amount of 1,4-dioxane in the pores decreases as the degree of post-synthetic modification increases. This is unsurprising, since the greater the degree of conversion, the lower the residual space available to accommodate guest solvent molecules.

The BET surface areas of 1-3 and 3a were determined based on their N₂ adsorption isotherms at 77 K (Figure 2). The compounds were activated using the conventional activation temperature for UiO-66 and its derivatives (120 °C for 12 h), and the BET surface area for UiO-66-NH₂ obtained in this work (S_{BET} = 1041 m² g⁻¹) is similar to previously reported values.[34] All PSM products exhibit type I isotherms, indicative of microporous materials, and have lower BET surface areas than UiO-66-NH₂, with S_{BET} values of 528 m² g⁻¹ for 1, 290 m² g⁻¹ for 2, 352 m² g⁻¹ for 3 and 608 m² g⁻¹ for 3a. BET surface areas are governed by the degree of conversion and the size of the modified groups. In general, the BET surface area reduces as the percentage conversion increases and 2, with complete conversion, has the lowest surface area. The presence of larger pendant groups in the pores also leads to lower BET surface areas, with the value for 3 less than that for 1, despite 1 possessing a higher degree of modification.

![Figure 2. N₂ sorption isotherms for compounds 1-3 and 3a at 77 K, in comparison to that for UiO-66-NH₂.](image_url)

The CO₂ adsorption isotherms of the PSM products were measured at 273 K (Fig. S11) to assess the influence of the modified groups on the CO₂ uptake capacities. All PSM products show lower CO₂ uptake capacities than UiO-66-NH₂, attributable to the reduction in pore volume and the lower percentage of –NH₂ groups in the pores. Of the PSM products, 1 shows the highest CO₂ uptake which is probably due to the favourable interactions of CO₂ molecules with the nitrogen atom in the pyrazole ring. Compound 2 shows a lower CO₂ uptake than 1, despite having higher percentage of heterocycles in the pores, which is consistent with the lower BET surface area, itself a consequence of the high degree of modification. Compounds 3 and 3a show the lowest CO₂ uptake capacities at 1 bar and this may be due to pore
blocking caused by higher steric hindrance of the modified groups. Nonetheless, the proportion of thiol groups in the pores has little impact on the CO$_2$ uptake capacities, as evidenced by the relatively small difference in CO$_2$ uptake between 3 and 3a.

In order to probe the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of 1-3 and 3a, N$_2$ adsorption measurements were also carried out at 273 K (Fig. S12). The results are presented in Table 2. All MOFs show a higher selectivity for CO$_2$ over N$_2$ relative to UiO-66-NH$_2$. This is most notable for 1, which shows an increase in selectivity at 0.1 bar by a factor of five.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>CO$_2$ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>N$_2$ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>Selectivity (CO$_2$/N$_2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 bar</td>
<td>0.1 bar</td>
<td>1 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66-NH$_2$</td>
<td>2.645</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>1.379</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thiol-containing PSM products, [Zr$_6$O$_4$(OH)$_8$(bdc-NH$_2$)$_6$(bdc-NHCH$_2$(imSH)$_2$)$_4$]$_3$ and [Zr$_6$O$_4$(OH)$_8$(bdc-NH$_2$)$_4$(bdc-NHCH$_2$(imSH)$_2$)$_4$]$_3$, were also investigated for their ability to remove mercury(II) from aqueous solutions. The Hg(II) uptake experiments were carried out by immersing the MOFs in a single gas isothem by dividing the CO$_2$ uptake by that of N$_2$ at a specific pressure (0.1 or 1 bar).

Mercury uptake capacities were calculated using Equation (1) where $C_1$ and $C_2$ represent the initial and equilibrium Hg(II) concentrations, respectively. In addition to PSM products 3 and 3a, the Hg(II) uptake capacities of the unmodified MOFs, UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH$_2$, were investigated for comparison, with the results presented in Table 3.

$$\text{Hg(II) uptake (\%) } = \left( \frac{C_1 - C_2}{C_1} \right) \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The post-synthetic grafting of thiol groups in the pores of UiO-66 proved to be beneficial for Hg(II) absorption, as the uptake capacities were significantly increased for 3 and 3a over the unmodified MOFs. Perhaps surprisingly, the highest Hg(II) uptake was observed for 3a, despite 3 having a higher loading of thiol groups in the pores. This reflects the lower porosity of 3, which is likely to lead to some of the thiol being unavailable to interact with the Hg(II) ions. The Hg(II) uptake in 3a is comparable to that reported for the previously reported derivative UiO-66-(SH)$_2$.[35] which is one of the highest reported for a MOF, demonstrating the potential of 3a for mercury removal.

### Table 2. The CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorption data for UiO-66-NH$_2$ and compounds 1-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>CO$_2$ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>N$_2$ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>Selectivity (CO$_2$/N$_2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 bar</td>
<td>0.1 bar</td>
<td>1 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66-NH$_2$</td>
<td>2.645</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>1.379</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. The Hg(II) uptake capacities of UiO-66, UiO-66-NH$_2$ and compounds 3 and 3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$C_{\text{Hg}}$ prior to MOF treatment (ppm)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{Hg}}$ after MOF treatment (ppm)</th>
<th>Hg(II) uptake (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66-NH$_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mannich reactions on [Zn$_4$(bdc-NH$_2$)$_4$], IRMOF-3

IRMOF-3 contains large channels (~9.6 Å) and there is considerable precedence for the post-synthetic modification of the amino groups that protrude into its pores.[28] IRMOF-3 has a low stability towards moisture and alcohol.[36] Toluene was selected as the optimum solvent for the Mannich reaction.

To demonstrate the applicability of Mannich reaction on IRMOF-3, the PSM reaction with pyrazole was carried out using the reaction conditions outlined in Scheme 2.

![Scheme 2. Mannich reactions on IRMOF-3 and DMOF-1-NH$_2$.](image)

The effectiveness of the PSM reaction was gauged by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy on the DCI/D$_2$O-digested product 4 (Fig. S15). In addition to the signals corresponding to the aryl protons of D$_2$-bdc-NH$_2$, new features attributed to the aryl protons of the modified product were observed at $\delta$ 7.89d, 7.46d and 7.20dd ppm. The successful incorporation of the–NHCH$_2$pyz groups could also be evidenced by the emergence of new signals at $\delta$ 8.64d, 7.72d and 6.25d ppm, corresponding to the protons of the pyrazole ring. The peak attributed to the methylene protons was located at $\delta$ 5.68 ppm. The degree of conversion was calculated by comparing the integrals at $\delta$ 7.46 and 7.42 ppm and found to be 75%, giving the formula for 4 as [Zn$_4$(bdc-NH$_2$)$_4$]$_{25}$(bdc-NHCH$_2$pyz)$_2$].
The negative ion ESI mass spectrum of the digested product 4 confirms the presence of the deprotonated anions of Hbzdc-NHCH=pyz and Hbzdc-NH₂ at m/z = 260.0669 (predicted [M – H]⁻ = 260.0671) and m/z = 180.0308 (predicted [M – H]⁻ = 180.0297), respectively. The PXRD pattern of 4 (Fig. S14) shows the similarities in peak positions with the starting MOF, IRMOF-3, indicating that the bulk framework structure remained unchanged upon PSM. Nonetheless, a degree of degradation was observed, as evidenced by the broadening of peaks and reduced intensities. The presence of stoichiometric MeOH in the first step and as a side product in the second step may cause some crystal degradation. Attempts to analyse 4 by single crystal X-ray crystallography were unsuccessful due to poor diffracting power of the sample.

**Mannich reactions on [Zn₂(bdz-NH₂)x(dabco)]₂, DMOF-1-NH₂**

[Zn₂(bdz-NH₂)x(dabco)], DMOF-1-NH₂, is a flexible MOF which consists of Zn₂(dicarboxylate)x sheets that are linked by dabco pillars into a three-dimensional network. MOFs in this series are able to undergo transitions from narrow rhomboidal pores to open, square pores, and this can be influenced by solvent or substituent. Toluene was selected as a solvent for post-synthetic Mannich reactions on DMOF-1-NH₂ due to it having little effect on the pore geometry and it not unduly affecting the crystallinity.

To demonstrate the applicability of the Mannich reaction on DMOF-1-NH₂, the reaction was carried out using the same conditions as outlined for IRMOF-3 in Scheme 2. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the digested product 5 (Fig. S18) shows the presence of aromatic protons attributed to Dbdz-NH₂ (δ 7.82d, 7.48d and 7.13d ppm) and Dbdz-NHCH=pyz (δ 7.89d, 7.49d and 7.20dd ppm). The peaks at δ 7.13 and 7.20 ppm overlap with the signals from the aryl protons of residual toluene solvent. The protons of the pyrazole ring are located at δ 7.85d 7.72d, and 6.25dd ppm. The peak attributed to the α-CH₃ protons is observed at δ 5.68 ppm although there is some overlap between this peak and that for HDO, present from the digestion mixture. Comparing the integrals of the protons at δ 7.48 – 7.49 ppm and δ 6.25 ppm, the percentage conversion of amino into NHCH=pyz groups was calculated to be 56%.

The negative ion ESI mass spectrum of the digested product 5 confirmed the presence of the deprotonated anions of Hbzdc-NHCH=pyz and Hbzdc-NH₂ at m/z = 260.0662 (predicted [M – H]⁻ = 260.0671) and m/z = 180.0364 (predicted [M – H]⁻ = 180.0375), respectively. The disappearance of –NH₃ stretching bands (3287 and 3457 cm⁻¹) of DMOF-1-NH₂ in the FTIR spectrum of 5 (Fig. S19) indicates the successful conversion of primary into secondary amine.

The PXRD pattern of 5 is completely different to that of DMOF-1-NH₂ (Fig. S17), revealing a significant structural difference between the two materials. Indeed, the PXRD pattern of 5 does not match any of the PXRD patterns reported in the literature for DMOF-1 type materials. Inspection of 5 under an optical microscope revealed the presence of small colourless crystals and the absence of brown block crystals, characteristic of DMOF-1-NH₂ and its derivatives. This observation suggests that DMOF-1-NH₂ has undergone a complete structural change upon reaction.

The crystal structure of 5 was successfully elucidated by single crystal X-ray crystallography and is shown in Figure 3. The compound crystallises in the trigonal space group R–3m, and the asymmetric unit (Fig. S34) contains one quarter of a zinc atom (Zn₁ and Zn₂ have 8.333% and 16.667% occupancy, respectively), one twelfth of a dabco ligand and one quarter of a ligand which is comprised of bdc-NH₂ and bdc-NHCH=pyz, disordered in a 34:56 ratio.

![Figure 3. The structure of [Zn₂(bd-NH₂)x(dabco)]₂C-H₆5, showing (a) the Zn₂(O₂CR)₃ SBU, and the gross structure of the framework viewed (b) along and (c) perpendicular to the c-axis. In (c), the hydrogen atoms and tag groups are omitted for clarity.](image-url)

Attempts to accurately determine the structural void volume via the PLATON SQUEEZE algorithm were hampered by pendant group site-occupancies, disorder and the smearing of electron density. The TGA of 5 indicates a mass loss that corresponds to two toluene molecules for every three zinc centres present, and this provides a formulation of 5 as [Zn₃(bd-NH₂)₀·½(bd-NHCH=pyz)₀·₆(dabco)]₀·₂C·H₆₅. Overall, the SBU in 5 contains three zinc centres, one 6-coordinate and two 4-coordinate (Figure 3a). The Zn₁ metal centre is in a distorted octahedral coordination environment, and is coordinated to six O₂ donor atoms, each from a different carboxylate group. In contrast, Zn₂ exhibits a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry, being coordinated to three O₁ donor
atoms from different carboxylate groups and to the nitrogen atom N1 of the dabco ligand.

The Zn(n)O₂CR₆ SBU’s are pillared by the dabco ligands along the c axis and these pillars are linked in the ab plane by the substituted bdc linkers to form a three-dimensional network containing infinite one-dimensional triangular channels (Figure 3b,c). The crystallographically located atoms in the modified groups protrude into the channel. The Zn[n]O₂CR₆ SBU exhibited by 5 has previously been observed in other MOF systems. For example, a three-dimensional MOF, [Zn(bppdc)(bpy)] (bppdc = 4,4’-biphenyl dicarboxylate, bpy = 4,4’-bipyridine) prepared by Li and co-workers,[35] contains zinc(II) metal centres which exhibit the same coordination geometries as those in 5.

In order to investigate the cause of the structural transformation from DMOF-1-NH₂ into 5, a series of control studies were carried out. No structural change was observed when DMOF-1-NH₂ crystals were heated in toluene, or when the crystals were treated separately with paraformaldehyde, MeOH or pyrazole (Fig. S20). This suggested that the formation of the methoxymethyl amine intermediate DMOF-1-NHCH₂OCH₃ in the first step was unproblematic, but that the structural transformation occurred in the second step of the Mannich reaction. In order to confirm this, the reaction of DMOF-1-NHCH₂OCH₃ with pyrazole was monitored under an optical microscope equipped with a camera. The reaction conditions were modified in order to be able to view the reaction in this way. In particular, DMOF-1-NHCH₂OCH₃ crystals were dispersed on a microscope slide containing a solution of pyrazole in toluene at room temperature. After five minutes, the crystals began to dissolve, with complete dissolution observed after 40 minutes. A new phase, corresponding to the crystals of 5, was first observed after approximately twenty minutes (Figure 4), confirming that 5 is produced in a dissolution-re-precipitation process.

Although it is not possible to provide a definitive mechanism for the dissociation of DMOF-1-NHCH₂OCH₃, a proposed reaction mechanism which leads to the dissociation of the SBUs is shown in Figure 5. After the first step of the Mannich reaction, the methoxymethyl amine species is localised in close proximity to the bridging dabco ligands. Upon addition of pyrazole, a facile reaction displacing methanol can occur to yield the –NHCH₃pyz group, which is aligned in such a way as to compete in an intramolecular manner with dabco for coordination to the Zn(II) metal centre. Displacement of dabco would break the three-dimensional network of the DMOF-1 framework, leading to rapid delamination, and ultimately triggering framework dissolution.

Notably, in the crystal structure of 5, the –NHCH₃pyz group is directed away from the dabco ligand (Fig. S35), so is unable to compete with it for coordination. Moreover, a diaza-[18]-crown-6 ligand functionalised with pendant pyrazole groups using a Mannich reaction also exhibited fragmentation behaviour in the presence of transition metals,[39] leading further credence to this hypothesis.

![Figure 5. Proposed mechanism for the dissociation of the DMOF-1 structure on reaction with pyrazole.](image)

The Mannich reaction of DMOF-1-NH₂ with imidazole as the nucleophile was carried out using the same conditions as with pyrazole (Scheme 2). The ¹H NMR spectrum of the digested product 6 (Fig. S23) shows aromatic protons from D₂bdc-NH₂ and D₂bdc-NH₂im, and from the integrals the percentage conversion of amino into –NHCH₃im groups was calculated to be 65%, giving a formula for 6 as [Zn₂(bpc-NH₂)₂(bdc-NH₂IM)₃](dpco)]. The negative ion ESI mass spectrum of the acid-digested product 6 confirmed the presence of the deprotonated anions of Hbdc-NH₂im and Hbdc-NH₂ at m/z = 260.0661 (predicted [M – H]⁻ = 260.0671) and m/z = 180.0339 (predicted [M – H]⁻ = 180.0297).

The PXRD pattern of 6 and the starting MOF, DMOF-1-NH₂, closely match one another (Fig. S22), demonstrated that PSM does not affect the gross structure or the crystallinity of the product. Furthermore, visual inspection of 6 confirmed the presence of only brown block crystals and the absence of new phases. Attempts to analyse 6 crystallographically were hampered by crystal twinning. Nonetheless, a screening experiment suggested that there were similarities in the unit cell parameters of 6 (a = 15.2955(17) Å, b = 15.2860(15) Å, c = 19.2072(2) Å) and those of DMOF-1 (a = 15.063(2) Å, c = 19.247(5) Å).

Based on these results, it is clear that framework dissolution does not occur when imidazole was used as a nucleophile. It is believed that substituting pyrazole by imidazole prevents the dissolution of DMOF-1-NHCH₂OCH₃, by eliminating the possibility of coordinative competition with dabco. The ‘free’ nitrogen atom in imidazole is positioned beyond the coordination sphere of the zinc(II) centre and, as a consequence, the process shown in Figure 5 is unable to occur.

The Mannich reaction of DMOF-1-NH₂ with 2-mercaptopimidazole as the nucleophile was attempted using the same conditions as in the reaction with imidazole. However, the ¹H NMR spectrum of the digested solid showed only signals corresponding to the aryl protons of DMOF-1-NH₂ (Fig. S27), indicating that the inclusion of 2-mercaptopimidazole onto this MOF framework was unsuccessful. The PXRD pattern (Fig. S26)
is similar to that for DMOF-1-NH₃, implying that the framework was retained throughout the experiment. The unsuccessful grafting of 2-mercaptoimidazole onto the MOF framework is likely to be due to its larger size than imidazole, which makes it too big to pass through the pore windows (2-mercaptoimidazole: 8.4 Å × 6.6 Å, DMOF-1-NH₃ channels: 5.3 Å × 4.8 Å).

Mannich reactions on [In(OH)(bdc-NH₃)]\(_2\), MIL-68(In)-NH₃

[In(OH)(bdc-NH₃)]\(_2\), MIL-68(In)-NH₃, is a three-dimensional MOF that is constructed from chains of InO₂(OH)\(_2\) octahedral units that are linked together by bdc-NH₃ ligands to form triangular (~6 Å) and hexagonal (~16 Å) one-dimensional channels. In MIL-68(In)-NH₃, the amino groups are oriented towards the InO₂(OH)\(_2\) octahedral chains rather than projecting into the pores. However, this has not prevented successful tandem post-synthetic modifications involving formation of the azide and subsequent click reactions from being carried out,\(^{[11]}\) so presumably some flexibility is possible to accommodate the bulkier, modified groups.

MIL-68(In)-NH₃ was prepared using an analogous synthesis to that for MIL-68(In), originally reported by Loiseau and co-workers.\(^{[20]}\) In a typical PSM procedure, MIL-68(In)-NH₃ crystals were treated with paraformaldehyde and MeOH at 50 °C for 24 h. In this reaction, MeOH was used as a reactant as well as a solvent, as MIL-68(In) is stable towards alcohols, thus eliminating the need to use a different solvent. The intermediate product was then washed with 1,4-dioxane and treated with pyrazole at 80 °C for 24 h, before quenching the reaction by washing the sample with fresh 1,4-dioxane.

The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the digested PSM product 7 (Fig. S29) was obtained by digesting the MOF in a basic aqueous solution (NaOD/D₂O). In addition to the signals corresponding to the aromatic protons of Dbdc-NH₃⁻, two new sets of signals were observed in the downfield region of the spectrum. However, the absence of peaks attributed to the protons of the pyrazole ring indicated that the PSM reaction did not afford the expected pyrazole-containing product. The signals at δ 7.73d, 7.36s ppm and 7.15d ppm are believed to be due to the aryl protons from the intermediate MOF, MIL-68(In)-NHCH₂OCH₃, observed as Dbdc-NHCH₂OCH₃⁻ in the NMR spectrum. The peaks attributed to the methylene protons and methyl terminus of Dbdc-NHCH₂OCH₃⁻ are located at δ 4.87 and 4.76 ppm, respectively, although these are partly obscured by the peak from HDO, present from the digestion solvent. The other signals, at δ 7.68d, 7.43d ppm and 7.18d ppm, are believed to be from the imine Dbdc-N=CH₂⁻, with mass spectrometry providing support for this (vide infra).

In order to confirm that the observed products do not require the presence of pyrazole, the reaction mixture was analysed prior to its addition. The first step of the Mannich reaction is depicted in Scheme 3, broken down into two stages. As anticipated, the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the digested product (Fig. S30) illustrates a high similarity with that for 7, with only small differences in the relative proportions of the two products.
With regards to the PSM reactions on UiO-66-NH₂, the degree of conversion from –NH₂ into –NH·R (R = CH₃pyz, CH₃imid and CH₃ImSH) depends on the strength and size of the nucleophiles. Complete conversion was achieved with the strongest nucleophile (imidazole) whereas a lower conversion (41%) was obtained with the isosteric weaker nucleophile, pyrazole. The use of a larger nucleophile, 2-mercaptopimidazole, led to the lowest conversion (36%) and this is most likely due to the restricted diffusion of the nucleophile within the pores of UiO-66-NH₂. The modified MOFs have lower BET surface areas than UiO-66-NH₂, but show enhanced selectivity for CO₂ over N₂ at 273 K. In addition, the thiol-containing products show excellent uptake of mercury(II) from aqueous solutions.

With regard to the PSM reaction on IRMOF-3, 75% conversion of –NH₂ into –NHCH₂pyz was achieved whilst using pyrazole as a nucleophile. However, the successful PSM reaction comes at a cost of decreased product crystallinity as evidenced by the broadening of peaks and reduction in peak intensities in the PXRD pattern of the PSM product.

The Mannich reaction on DMOF-1-NH₂, using pyrazole as the nucleophile, unexpectedly afforded [Zn₃(bdc-NH₂)₃]₉[bdc-NHCH₂pyz]₈[dabco]·2C₂H₆ 5, which was characterised by single crystal X-ray crystallography, ¹H NMR spectroscopy and TGA analyses. The framework transformation occurs when the intermediate MOF, DMOF-1-NHCH₂OCH₃ dissolves in the presence of pyrazole and re-precipitates 5. In contrast, the Mannich reaction of DMOF-1-NH₂ with imidazole afforded a product 6, bearing the same gross structure as DMOF-1-NH₂, showing that substituting pyrazole for imidazole prevents the dissolution of DMOF-1-NHCH₂OCH₃. This difference in reactivity has been rationalised on the basis of a functionality-dependent dissolution process, in which the ‘free’ nitrogen atom on pyrazole is in a position to compete with the dabco ligand for coordination to zinc, whereas the equivalent atom on imidazole is too far away to coordinate.

Subjecting MIL-68(In)-NH₂ to a similar PSM reaction with pyrazole, gave a modified product 7 that did not contain the heterocycle. The first step of the Mannich reaction proceeded, but the methoxymethyl amine intermediate did not react with pyrazole in the expected manner. The X-ray crystal structure of 7 suggests that this is a consequence of the location and orientation of these groups which are inaccessible to the pyrazole molecules, thus preventing the second step in the Mannich reaction from occurring.

This work has demonstrated that the post-synthetic Mannich reaction represents a versatile route to introducing complex functionalities into a range of metal-organic frameworks, and we are currently working to further develop the breadth of this approach.

**Conclusions**

The results presented herein demonstrate a previously unreported post-synthetic modification process on MOFs, whereby catalyst-free Mannich reactions were used to convert the primary amines of UiO-66-NH₂, IRMOF-3, DMOF-1-NH₂ and MIL-68(In)-NH₂ into a range of azole-functionalised MOFs with conversions of up to 100%.

**Experimental Section**

Full experimental details are presented in the electronic supplementary information. As an example, the reaction of UiO-66-NH₂ with formaldehyde and imidazole is presented here: UiO-66-NH₂ (117 mg, 0.4 mmol eq. of NH₂) and paraformaldehyde (24 mg, 0.8 mmol, 2 eq.) were added into a glass vial containing methanol (5 mL). The vial was placed in an oven and heated at 50 °C for 24 h. The powder was then washed with 2,4-dioxane.
(three times) via centrifugation to remove any residual paraformaldehyde and MeOH in the pores or on the solid surfaces. The powder was subsequently treated with imidazole (54 mg, 0.8 mmol, 2 eq.) in 1,4-dioxane at 80°C for 24 h before quenching the reaction by rinsing the sample with fresh 1,4-dioxane. The product was soaked in 1,4-dioxane for 3 days, replacing the solvent with fresh solvent every 24 h, before isolation by centrifugation. Prior to characterisation, samples were left to dry in air for 2 h to obtain free-flowing powders.

Full details of the X-ray crystal structures of 5 and 7:0.8dioxane are given in the Supplementary Information. The structures have also been deposited with the Cambridge Structural Database (CCDC 1824632-3).
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