

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Powell, Raymond and Kennell, James and Barton, Christopher (2018) Dark Cities: A dark tourism index for Europe's tourism cities, based on the analysis of DMO websites. *International Journal of Tourism Cities*, 4 (1). pp. 4-21. ISSN 2056-5607.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJTC-09-2017-0046>

Link to record in KAR

<http://kar.kent.ac.uk/64290/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>



Dark Cities: A dark tourism index for Europe's tourism cities, based on the analysis of DMO websites

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Tourism Cities</i>
Manuscript ID	IJTC-09-2017-0046.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Keywords:	Dark Tourism, Cities, Europe, Content Analysis, Urban Tourism

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Dark Cities: A dark tourism ranking of Europe's tourism cities, based on the analysis of**
4
5 **DMO websites**
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 **Purpose**
13

14 Dark tourism is a topic of increasing interest, but it is poorly understood when considering its
15 significance for mainstream and commercial tourism. This paper investigates the significance
16 of dark tourism in the top ten most visited European tourist cities and proposes a dark tourism
17 ranking of Europe's tourism cities.
18
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 **Methodology**
26

27 Data was collected from the websites of the cities' Destination Management Organisations
28 (DMOs) using a content analysis methodology, based on keywords related to dark tourism
29 taken from the research literature in this area. Descriptive statistics were produced and the
30 variance between the frequencies of keywords related to each city was analysed for statistical
31 significance. These results were then used to construct a darkness ranking of the cities.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 **Findings**
42

43 There are significant differences in the extent to which dark tourism products and services are
44 promoted by the DMOs of Europe's top ten most visited European cities. The ranking of
45 cities by darkness does not correspond to the ranking by visitor numbers, and further
46 qualitative analysis suggests that that the ranking is also independent of the actual presence of
47 dark sites within the destination. This implies that European city DMOs are engaging with
48 the emerging dark tourism market with to varying degrees.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Research Limitations

The purposive sample of ten cities can be extended in future research to increase the validity of the findings of this paper. A further limitation is the selection of keywords for content analysis, which have been developed following the literature review contained below. Future research could develop an extended list of keywords using a systematic review process.

Research Value

This paper shows that it is possible to create a ranking of tourist cities in terms of their darkness, and that this methodology could be extended to a much larger sample size. This links dark tourism research to the urban tourism literature and also offers possibilities for creating a global ranking that could be used by destinations to judge their success in engaging with the dark tourism market, as well as by tour operators seeking to develop products for the same market.

Key Words

Dark tourism, cities, Europe, content analysis, urban tourism

Introduction

The academic study of dark tourism gathered momentum in the early twenty-first century (Stone 2013), and is reflecting the growing interest of the citizens of postmodern societies in the sites of death and disasters – an interest that needs deeper research to be understood fully. It also reflects the increased sensitivity of the international community to the significance of such events for nations, national identities and the direction of their history. Dark tourism sites offer the opportunity to capture and conserve the “dark” memory of humanity and make it available, through domestic and international tourism, to the wider public. It should also be noted that vicarious thrill seeking and entertainment motivations are also significant factors that have affected the recent growth of the dark tourism sector. This study of dark tourism in an urban setting, therefore, offers the opportunity to investigate the many ways in which dark tourism offerings are packaged and presented as tourism products within a significant sector of the tourism industry. This paper aims to investigate this in the context of European city tourism and to construct a ranking of Europe’s top tourism cities in terms of the ‘darkness’ of their tourism offer.

Dark Tourism

Dark tourism can be defined as tourism associated with the visitation of sites which have death, tragedy or suffering as an ingredient in the mix of visitor motivations in some way or other. Stone (2005) considers dark tourism to be an old concept in a new world. That is to say that the fascination with scenes of death and disaster are old and quite possibly universal, but what is new is the way in which there is a commercialised and functional tourism industry able to make very much more available to very many more people than was the case in the past. The definition of dark tourism is wide and varied. Smith (1998) argues that sites associated with war probably attract more visitors than any other single type of attraction.

1
2
3 Dark tourism is a widespread and growing reality and it is an important factor when
4
5 considering the supply and demand of sites and attractions. Lennon and Foley (1996)
6
7 described the phenomenon of death related activity and coined the term “dark tourism” to
8
9 describe this observable and growing trend. Yan et al (2016) review a range of definitions
10
11 and sub-definitions of this tourism activity and conclude that the phrase ‘dark tourism’ itself
12
13 is the most frequently applied term to describe it. Seaton (1996) described the same
14
15 experience as “thanotourism”, acknowledging that it is not solely a recent occurrence, but
16
17 has, in fact, ancient precedent. Blom (2000) was able to recognise an apparent subset within
18
19 the motivations of so called dark tourists, and used the term “morbid tourism”.
20
21
22
23

24 25 **The academic study of dark tourism**

26
27 As interest in the academic study of dark tourism grew, so too did the labels by which it
28
29 could be known. Rojek (1993) considered phrases such as “disaster tourism”, “black spot
30
31 tourism” and even “phoenix tourism” to be appropriate to communicate the central idea of
32
33 dark tourism: it entails visitation to sites associated with death, suffering and tragedy.
34
35 Commonly such visits are conducted with commemoration, education or entertainment in
36
37 mind (Stone, 2005), meaning that much dark tourism research has been published within the
38
39 heritage tourism literature (Yankholmes & Akyeampong 2010). The attraction of death,
40
41 disaster and the macabre promises to be a significant factor in the tourism sector worldwide,
42
43 and in Europe in particular. Dark tourism offers the interested participant the chance to "gaze
44
45 upon real or recreated death" (Stone, 2005: 3.) The fascination with scenes of tragedy is not
46
47 unique to any one set or group of tourists, but dark tourism, as yet, remains on the fringes of
48
49 respectability: a number of authors have given consideration to "shades" of dark tourism
50
51 (Lennon and Foley, 2000; Stone, 2005; Strange and Kempa, 2003) which is to say that it is
52
53 recognised that there is a continuum of "dark" touristic experiences, ranging from very mild
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 excitation to the grim reality of the holocaust and terrorism, for example. It is often observed
4
5 in the literature that dark tourism has a continuum of darkness (see fig 1 below).
6
7
8
9

10 At the darkest end of that continuum, attractions are categorised largely on the basis of real,
11 recent and actual suffering and death. There is often an educational and commemorative
12 rationale which underpins the establishment of an attraction, very often being the authentic
13 site of the suffering which is visited, such as at Auschwitz or the site of the Twin Towers in
14 New York. Stone (2006) recognises that the connection with dark tourism at particular sites is
15 fluid, and the relative darkness of each attraction lies along a continuum, ranging from the
16 very dark (Auschwitz, for example) to the commodified, entertainment based attraction, such
17 as the London dungeon which represents gruesome torture as family entertainment. Europe
18 has an abundance of dark tourism sites, both real and imagined which offer ample
19 opportunities to experience dark tourism in all of these ways if so desired. However, they are
20 rarely conceptualised as dark products, and as such categorisation of individual attractions is,
21 at the moment, confused. This also means that whilst dark tourism is proving to be an
22 effective draw for significant numbers of tourists, many sites are not considered in this dark
23 context, and as such opportunities for capitalisation and exploitation of a valuable tourism
24 resource are often overlooked.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Lennon and Foley (2000) have largely been credited with coining the phrase "dark tourism"
46 in "Dark Tourism: The Attraction of Death and Disaster" They sought to signify what they
47 identified as a "fundamental shift in the way in which death, disaster and atrocity are being
48 handled by those who offer associated tourism 'products' "(p3). In doing so, they identified
49 that dark tourism as it is presented in a modern context is the product of the late modern
50 world, and is intimately connected to the political, sociological, economic and technological
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 landscape within which modern tourism products are made available. That is to say, whilst
4
5 death and disaster have always had a universal appeal, the circumstances of modern media,
6
7 accessibility and technology mean that interest in dark sites is at an unprecedented level, and
8
9 the tourism industry should be capable of making every effort to provide a product which
10
11 meets the demand for such dark experiences. The increasing consumerism of post-modern
12
13 western societies has a tendency towards making such experiences much more entertainment
14
15 based. Lee et al (2012: 76) highlight the ‘tacit moral opposition’ between dark tourism and
16
17 ‘light’ tourism, with their negative and positive connotations in terms of the motivations and
18
19 values of the experiences involved, explaining how it possible, for example, for the relatives
20
21 of the bereaved to visit sites of disaster to remember loved ones, or for non-dark tourists to
22
23 admire architecturally significant buildings associated with dissonant heritage (Lemelin et al
24
25 2013), without enjoying places of tragedy and death in a vicarious manner. Taylor (2006)
26
27 examines tourism marketing in destinations that have recently suffered terrorist attacks and
28
29 shows how DMOs can promote tourism in the wake of an atrocity through marketing
30
31 campaigns which aim to support local visitor economies, leading to tourism that is associated
32
33 with ‘dark’ sites, but which is not easily categorised as dark tourism when it does not
34
35 involved ‘dark’ motivations.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Dark tourism – an old concept**

44
45 The concept of dark tourism however is not a new one. Tourists have been drawn to
46
47 battlefield sites, places of execution, tombs and other related sites for a very long time (Stone,
48
49 2005). Seaton (1996) has identified dark tourism as being the visitation of sites associated
50
51 with death and disaster, something which dates from the Middle Ages at least. Dale and
52
53 Robinson (2011) also identify Dark Tourism as being an established practice as far back as
54
55 the Eleventh Century. It is possible to argue that dark tourism as an actuality was established
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in ancient times: a compelling thought if we consider the popularity of Roman Gladiatorial
4 contests, for example. Collins-Kriener (2016: 1186) examines the concept of dark tourism
5 alongside the more ancient idea of pilgrimage, noting that 'both dark tourism and pilgrimage
6 emerge from the same milieu to include the sites of dramatic historic events that bear extra
7 meaning.' The metaphor of modern pilgrimage has been used to describe the motivations of
8 non-religious dark tourists, as for example in the work of Winter (2011) who explored
9 motivations for tourism to First World War battlefield sites in Belgium. This
10 conceptualisation removes dark tourism from its solely 'thanatourism' (Seaton 1996) roots as
11 being linked to death and instead attaches it to a broader trend in visitation to sites of
12 historical significance, some of which will be dark but, for example in the case of
13 pilgrimage, some will be associated with rituals of joy and thanksgiving. Historically it can
14 be seen that tourism has always had aspects of dark tourism in its gaze (Stone 2012).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Several commentators (Lickorish and Jenkins, 1997; as cited in Lennon and Foley, 2000;
33 Vellas and Becherel, 1995) have identified that pilgrimage is one of the earliest forms of
34 tourism. Pilgrimage sites are often associated with death in one form or another, with
35 religious pilgrims often visiting the site of the death, or the graves of individuals or groups.
36 Such visits tend to have religious or spiritual associations relevant to the pilgrims visiting,
37 and are seen as acts of remembrance, commemoration or veneration, and usually feature as
38 part of a ritualistic or tokenistic ceremony, perhaps tied to a particular religious or even
39 secular calendar. It is clear that such ritualistic approaches to commemoration are not solely
40 the stuff of sanitised tourism products within the remit of the modern tourism industry. It
41 should be recognised that there is an increasing demand for dark tourism products which
42 offer a connection with scenes of suffering and death. That is not to say that this is a
43 completely modern phenomenon: visitation to scenes associated with death in particular;
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 battlefields, graveyards and the former homes of dead celebrities for example, have proven to
4
5 be a significant motivator in tourism in past-times as well. However, of particular
6
7 significance to this study is the consideration of the commodification of such sites has
8
9 transformed the tourism industry in such a way as to generate demand for, as well as access
10
11 to, dark tourism experiences. Shondell Miller & Gonzalex (2013) also discuss 'death
12
13 tourism', an emerging field of travel associated with assisted dying, which despite its
14
15 superficially specific features, can be classified within the spectrum of dark tourism
16
17 experiences. The growth of a new form of tourism associated with a new set of social or
18
19 cultural practices or technologies is a regular feature of the development of modern tourism
20
21 (Poon 1994) and Stone (2013) observes that 'dark tourism is simply a fascinating and
22
23 controversial area' and therefore the growth in recent scholarship in this field may be
24
25 attributable to the nature of the subject matter as much as to any specific maturation in the
26
27 field of tourism studies or developments in the industry itself.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Supply and demand as factors in dark tourism**

35
36 Much of tourism can be seen to be related to classic demand/supply issues: does demand
37
38 drive supply or does supply initiate demand? The nature of dark tourism is multi-faceted, and
39
40 despite its increasing popularity, understanding of the phenomenon remains limited (Biran et
41
42 al, 2011). Yan et al (2016: 110) split their definition of dark tourism into two categories; that
43
44 which is focused on a psychological consumption process and which 'offers tourists desired
45
46 psychological outcomes and actual psychological outcomes to satisfy tourists' emotional
47
48 demands' and that which is focused on the supply-side of dark tourism and which 'supplies
49
50 emotional and cognitive experiences to tourists who have an interactive relationship with a
51
52 dark tourism product, including either man-made or natural disaster destinations.' Biran et al
53
54 (2011) see little distinction between dark tourism and heritage tourism, however. They argue
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that dark tourism is largely a product of supply side factors, and as such visitation to
4
5 Auschwitz-Birkenau (the case study under consideration by them) needs further examination
6
7 of visitors' perceptions must be considered in order to fully understand the complex
8
9 motivations of such visitors, and it is unlikely to be simply a morbid fascination with death
10
11 alone which encourages current annual visitation of over 1.7 million people (Times Of Israel
12
13 2016). This notion challenges the descriptive idea that dark tourism is simply about death and
14
15 disaster to an approach which must necessarily encourage the exploitation of dark attractions
16
17 in line with other, similar heritage attractions. The core motivations in this case are seen as
18
19 essentially those of heritage tourists with the dark aspect being an addition rather than a
20
21 prime motivator.
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Famaki (2013) suggests that dark tourism in general appears to be supply driven and
29
30 attraction based, but a segmented approach to marketing such attractions which takes into
31
32 consideration the purpose of the visit with regard to personal considerations and the relevance
33
34 of the dark site to the individual tourist and the depth of the dark element all combine to make
35
36 a clear exposition of dark tourism motivations difficult to ascertain. It seems likely that there
37
38 are a variety of motivations, but nonetheless there are a number of drivers which dark tourism
39
40 destinations can be better placed to exploit. The significance of that observation for this work
41
42 is that dark attractions will prove appealing and are likely to increase overall visitation in an
43
44 urban context especially, where there are also other pull factors encouraging tourists to visit
45
46 in addition to the dark attractions alone. Over 3.5 million visitors visited the Ground Zero site
47
48 in New York in 2007, marking it out as one of the biggest visitor attractions in the city (Kang
49
50 et al, 2012). It seems unlikely that all of those 3.5 million visitors were visiting New York
51
52 exclusively to visit Ground Zero, and it seems reasonable, therefore, to speculate that dark
53
54 tourism is an additional motivation to visit urban environments when there are a range of pull
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 factors at play (Crompton, 1979) to entice and encourage visitation. Rural locations, however,
4
5 also have the potential to offer resources for the dark tourism market, whether these are the
6
7 now-empty battlefield sites of Scotland (Banks & Pollard, 2011), the 'rural dystopias' of
8
9 post-agricultural Australia (Rofe 2012) or the haunted castles of Transylvania (Light 2017).
10

11
12
13
14 Stone (2006) offers a categorisation of the supply-side of dark tourism into seven 'dark
15
16 suppliers': *Dark Fun Factories* - those sites which are primarily focussed on entertainment,
17
18 with low levels of authenticity; *Dark Exhibitions* – dark tourism products that blend
19
20 entertainment and learning opportunities, often with a commemorative or reflective intention;
21
22 *Dark Dungeons* – which rely on the presentation of sites associated with previously
23
24 implemented penal codes; *Dark Resting Places* – based on cemeteries or grave markers; *Dark*
25
26 *Shrines* – these are specially constructed attractions which provide an opportunity to
27
28 commemorate or remember the recently deceased; *Dark Conflict Sites* – being sites
29
30 associated with warfare and *Dark Camps of Genocide* – sites at the darkest end of the dark
31
32 tourism spectrum which present locations associated with genocide, atrocity and catastrophe.
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 Stone (2005) suggests that the supply side aspects of delivering a dark tourism product
40
41 depend largely on factors such as the attempt to manipulate a dark site for political purposes,
42
43 or the desire to achieve a commercial advantage. Such factors need, rightly, to be considered
44
45 with sensitivity, and may prove to be a significant limiting factor in the willingness of
46
47 DMO's to develop dark sites fully on a commercial basis. Notwithstanding this consideration,
48
49 as research develops it is becoming apparent that the typology of dark attractions is varied.
50
51 Stone's (2006) work develops the darkness continuum, within which attractions can be
52
53 considered according to their perceived darkness (fig 1). Miles (2002) proposes a similar
54
55 model, again through consideration of Auschwitz as a destination, which also has authenticity
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 at the core of the dark offering. That is to say, actual sites of death and disaster exert a
4
5 stronger pull for the visitor. Such authentic sites tend to have commemoration at the core of
6
7 their offering and tend to be history-centric. This does mean that sites which are authentic
8
9 have a much greater pull in dark tourism, and as is the case with similar heritage attractions,
10
11 it does present a unique selling point for DMO's to consider, bearing in mind that visitation is
12
13 unlikely to involve visitation to the dark site alone for the duration of the stay. Stone (2012,
14
15 2013: 307) points out that dark tourism has become an 'increasingly pervasive part of the
16
17 visitor economy' and refers to the locations of dark tourism experiences as 'deathscapes'.
18
19 Authenticity with regard to location is a key factor at the darkest end of Stone's (2006)
20
21 spectrum, but time is also a consideration, as in how much time has elapsed since the actions
22
23 commemorated at the site. Essentially, the nearer the events are to us in time, the darker they
24
25 appear. Sites which are near contemporary *and* located at the actual scene prove to be the
26
27 darkest of all, and this in turn can influence visitor expectations and experience due to the
28
29 level of empathy shown by visitors who are aware of a closer connection to dark events,
30
31 therefore (Miles, 2002). Ryan and Kohli (2016) also argue that temporal, political and
32
33 ideological factors all have influence on visitor perceptions at a dark attraction. These
34
35 considerations can partly explain the way in which supply side dark tourism is not keeping
36
37 pace with demand. However, this paper argues that the potential for dark tourism is often
38
39 unacknowledged when considerations other than commemoration are brought into the mix. It
40
41 should be recognised that no study of the supply side of dark tourism is complete without also
42
43 considering demand led factors (Stone, 2006).
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1 - A Dark Tourism spectrum: perceived product features of Dark Tourism within a "darkest-lightest" framework of supply (Stone, 2006)

Motivations for visiting dark sites

The motivations for visiting dark sites is an under-researched area (Sharpley and Stone, 2009), and somewhat beyond the remit of this paper. However, Stone (2005) identifies that the desire to create a mercantile advantage or manipulate a particular site for political reasons are usually the primary supply-side factors which will determine whether a Dark site is developed or not. It should also be noted that a usual explanation for the establishment of Dark sites is that they allow contemplation of one's own death – the *memento mori* effect (Walter, 2006). Inauthentic offerings allow this contemplation to be at several stages removed, unlike the horror of a death camp for example. There is also a marked reluctance to be seen to be making money from other people's suffering, and it may be that esoteric questions of taste cloud the development of more authentic dark sites.

1
2
3
4
5 The dark tourism continuum model has been adapted by Raine (2013) to similarly
6 characterise dark tourists in terms of the 'shade' of their motivation, ranging from
7 'mourners', through the 'morbidly curious' and the sightseers' to 'passive recreationists',
8 although the limited sample size of 23 graveyard visitors means that the typology requires
9 further testing. Researching the motivations of black metal tourists in Norway, Podoshen
10 (2013) identified motivations related to consumption linked to a specific cultural form,
11 suggesting that dark tourism motivations can also be considered from the perspectives of
12 subcultures and media and Podoshen et al (2015) specifically suggest that the application of
13 concepts from outside of mainstream tourism studies such as Consumer Cultural Theory
14 (CCT) to the study of dark tourism motivations may produce yet more sophisticated
15 perspectives on the demand side of the dark tourism phenomenon.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Seaton (1996) argues that visitors to dark sites have as a significant motivation the desire for
33 an actual or symbolic encounter with death, and this underlying motivation needs to be
34 considered in conjunction with the idea that visitors to many dark sites may not always be
35 necessarily aware that the site is, in fact, dark. This is because attractions like museums may
36 not be explicitly marketed as "dark", but do fit into the continuum of dark attractions as
37 postulated by Stone (2006, fig 1) but which still act as key motivator in actuality, although
38 the draw may be more linked to the idea of "heritage", as suggested by Famaki (2013). As
39 Rittichainuwat (2008) highlights, motivations for dark tourists can depend on differences in
40 motivations between the very diverse groups who partake in it; children and young people are
41 the most curious group when it come to a general interest in atrocities, for example, whilst
42 women are most likely to show concerns about safety and security when choosing dark
43 tourism destinations. When evaluating the motivations of Scandinavian and domestic-Thai
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 visitors to dark tourism sites in Phuket, Thailand, Rittichainuwat (2008) found significant
4
5 differences in push and pull-factor motivations (Crompton 1979) based on the nationalities of
6
7 the tourist studied, as well as in their ages. Lee et al (2012), in their study of tourism to the
8
9 North Korean Mt Kungang mountain resort, also consider the western-centric perspective of
10
11 much dark tourism research, suggesting that future research may wish to more effectively
12
13 distinguish between indigenous and non-indigenous tourism to non-western dark tourism
14
15 sites. This point is supported by the work of Lemelin et al (2013) who show that the
16
17 consumption of 'war heritage' sites of significance to indigenous peoples, by dark tourists,
18
19 can contribute to the perpetuation of 'grand colonial narratives' which reinforce the dominant
20
21 histories associated with dissonant heritage.
22
23
24
25
26

27 It is, therefore, a key consideration for this paper that dark tourism is a real phenomenon, in
28
29 that it exists as a motivator for visitation, albeit in ways which are not necessarily fully
30
31 understood or researched at present. Nevertheless, it is also recognised also that there is
32
33 significant overlap with dark and other forms of tourism (for example heritage tourism), and
34
35 in certain cases visitation to dark sites may be coincidental. Seaton and Lennon (2004)
36
37 identified two key motivators for dark visitation: 1. Shadenfreude (the contemplation of
38
39 others' misfortune: and 2. Thanatopsis – the contemplation of death. However, it may be that
40
41 such motivations are considered as an afterthought rather than necessarily primary factors,
42
43 although Smith and Croy (2005) maintain that all visitors to dark sites are motivated by an
44
45 interest in death, and it is acknowledged that not all tourists share the same experience. This
46
47 has significant implications for the marketing of dark attractions, and it could be inferred that
48
49 currently (with the possible exception of the darkest attractions such as concentration camps)
50
51 the marketing implications of dark site development needs to be further evaluated and
52
53
54
55
56 understood more fully. It is a key contention of this paper that often visitors to dark sites may
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 not be aware of the classification of “dark” as being especially relevant to them and their
4
5 leisure activities. However, DMO’s would benefit from identifying suitable dark attractions
6
7 and making them available to this latent and often untapped market. In other words, if there is
8
9 more clarity around what an attraction has to offer, it will prove more attractive to visitors
10
11 and in the case of dark attractions marketed as such will appeal to more visitors potentially.
12
13 Nevertheless, they are most definitely an integral part of the appeal of dark tourism, and it is
14
15 that appeal that DMO’s need to recognise and capitalise on in order to attract increased
16
17 visitation.
18
19
20
21
22

23 **(Some) ethical considerations related to visitation of dark sites**

24
25 It is recognised that commercial or other exploitation of dark sites involves a number of
26
27 ethical issues. In particular Seaton (2009) points out that there is a general feeling that “it is
28
29 unacceptable to profit from the dead” (p87). The classic model of visitor attractions would
30
31 dictate that commercial, and in particular retail operations are an important source of revenue.
32
33 However, this may prove a contentious area: consider the restraints on merchandise they can
34
35 offer, limited by both location and subject matter (Brown, 2013). This does mean that DMO’s
36
37 and other interested parties will need to consider their dark developments carefully: there is
38
39 an appeal, but if that appeal and increased visitation is difficult to capitalise then it is less
40
41 likely to happen. Of course, authentic dark sites in particular have merit on their own as
42
43 attractions, but it is necessary to also consider matters of taste and decency (Sharpley, 2009).
44
45 This may serve to limit commercial exploitation, but as part of a coordinated approach to
46
47 visitor management overseen by a DMO or similar it can be a vital part in increasing overall
48
49 visitation, with the benefits to commercial exploitation perhaps realised elsewhere in other
50
51 local businesses such as cafes and bars. It is also worth noting that often dark tourism sites
52
53 are not spatially or temporally distinct or unusual places (Johnson, 2015). For example,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Ground Zero in New York is a busy pedestrian thoroughfare, and families regularly picnic in
4
5 Pierre Lachaise cemetery in Paris. This observation has implications when considering use of
6
7 space: it should be possible to combine multiple uses with careful planning, thus maximising
8
9 potential within an urban context. In particular, it should be recognised that often
10
11 Thanatourism sites are not all one-dimensional theme parks created purely for those
12
13 interested in death. The sites exist in their own right and often serve everyday functions,
14
15 existing as places where local people live their lives (Johnson, 2015).
16
17
18
19

20 21 **Urban Tourism in Europe**

22
23 Urban tourism is a challenging and multi-disciplinary field of study that draws on areas
24
25 including sociology, urban studies, planning and architecture, as well as traditional tourism
26
27 research (Selby 2004). Research in urban tourism has been prompted by the recent dramatic
28
29 growth of tourism to cities, and research into this area forms a relatively new part of the
30
31 tourism literature (Maxim 2017, Wearing & Foley 2017). Maitland (2016) notes that tourism
32
33 is just one of the many mobilities that brings visitors to a city, echoing earlier research by
34
35 Pearce (2001) that indicated the difficulties in carrying out research in to urban tourism due
36
37 to tourism's relatively minor role in the complex socio-economic life of urban areas. If we
38
39 define urban tourism simply as tourism that takes place in urban areas, it becomes more
40
41 important to develop a thorough understanding of the components of urban tourism
42
43 destinations. Selby (2004), in his review of previous writing on urban destinations, includes
44
45 the following aspects of cities as forming part of the foci of urban tourism research: historic
46
47 cities, casinos, urban regeneration, major sporting events, visitor management, historic
48
49 districts, entertainment districts, tourist zones and sacred spaces. Van den Berg et al (1995)
50
51 describe the five aspects of what they call a 'tourist city'. Firstly, primary products. These
52
53 are the core reasons why tourists visit a city and can include natural features, historical
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 attributes and specialist tourism facilities such as conference venues, sporting arenas and
4
5 museums. Next are the secondary products and services, such as accommodation, retail,
6
7 restaurants and information, which are necessary for generating staying visits, as opposed to
8
9 day visits in a city. External transport is the third aspect of the tourist city, highlighting the
10
11 connectivity of the city to tourism-generating regions. The fourth aspect is internal transport:
12
13 the city must be easily navigable to tourists to ensure that the whole city becomes a resource
14
15 to tourism, again extending the length of visits. Finally, the tourist city must have a developed
16
17 tourism image. The image of the city is developed from the relationship of the first four
18
19 elements to the marketing activities of the city's Destination Management Organisation and
20
21 the perception of the city held by potential visitors.
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 The growth of urban tourism can be understood as part of the changing cultural context of
29
30 developed countries. Urry (2002) noted that the shift of tourism to urban areas from
31
32 traditional coastal destinations has accompanied a broader shift within postmodern culture,
33
34 which prioritises consumption, accessibility, culture and technology, which began in the
35
36 1980s. Gale presents Urry's (1994) set of processes that exemplify the postmodern
37
38 transformation of culture, and therefore tourism, which were in turn developed from Harvey's
39
40 (1989: 340-341) categorisations of the emergence of postmodernism in developed economies.
41
42 The first of these, the shift in the nature of experienced time towards instantaneity, has meant
43
44 that 'post-tourists' or 'post-modern tourists' have moved away from the regimented Saturday
45
46 to Saturday element of traditional holidays (Gale 2005: 94), helping to explain the growth in
47
48 shorter city-break tourism. Coupled with increases in the efficiency and accessibility of
49
50 transport, this has meant that the time-space compression that is a characteristic of
51
52 contemporary globalization has made international travel more affordable and practical (Gale
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 2005: 95). Changes associated with post-modern culture such as the increase in

1
2
3 individualisation, the weakening of group identities, and the playfulness of modern
4
5 consumption have led to the increasing popularity of new urban destinations and a rejection
6
7 of older resorts which are now seen as 'tasteless' by many (Gale 2005: 93).
8
9

10
11
12 As well as cultural explanations for the growth in urban tourism, economic explanations also
13
14 help to explain this phenomenon. By the end of the 1990s, many post-industrial cities were
15
16 seeking ways of diversifying their economies, and tourism development offered opportunities
17
18 for investment and promotion (Ben Dalia et al 2013, Spirou & Judd 2014, Garcia-Hernandez
19
20 et al 2017). Hoffman (2003) studies the development of Harlem, New York in the post-
21
22 Fordist period from the perspective of regulation theory and shows how significant changes
23
24 in the local economy over a period of thirty years have provided specific opportunities for
25
26 tourism development in the area. For example, cultural diversity has become a key aspect of
27
28 marketing in a highly differentiated market place and the area of Harlem can offer products
29
30 and services that meet the needs of many different cultural segments, as well as the desires of
31
32 tourists to consume diverse products, leading to a growth in niche tourism products such as
33
34 cultural tourism which are an example of 'flexible specialisation', a concern of regulationist
35
36 approaches to understanding post-Fordist economies.
37
38
39

40
41
42 Urban tourism offers a number of benefits to destinations. Unlike other forms of tourism, it
43
44 displays little seasonality (Higham & Luck 2010), attracts relatively well educated and well-
45
46 off tourists and provides opportunities for niche tourism development such as business
47
48 tourism (Davidson 1996) and cultural tourism (Richards 2007). Whilst noting that tourism
49
50 appears to function well as an economic engine for urban areas, Gladstone & Feinstein note
51
52 that 'the distributional consequences of tourism are more debatable' (2001: 38). Urban
53
54 tourism is now a core part of urban redevelopment and competitiveness strategies (Richards
55
56 & Wilson 2007, Spirou 2007, Smith 2007b, Zukin 1995), but this only serves to reinforce the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 already central role of tourism and culture in the development and image of urban areas. As
4
5 Sharon Zukin points out, “For several hundred years, visual representations of cities have
6
7 ‘sold’ urban growth. Images, from early maps to picture postcards, have not simply reflected
8
9 real city spaces; instead they have been imaginative reconstructions – from specific points of
10
11 view – of a city’s monumentality” (1995: 16). The huge competition between cities, coupled
12
13 with the growth in accessible transportation and the emergence of new economies into the
14
15 global economy, has meant that cities that have not historically been well-known tourism
16
17 destination to enter the tourism industry, leading to increased global competition between
18
19 cities for urban tourists (Ben Dalia et al 2013, Valls et al 2014). Because of this, it is
20
21 important for cities to understand areas of the tourism market in which they can offer novel
22
23 and unique experiences to tourists, to increase their attractiveness – the growing dark tourism
24
25 market (Stone 2005; Stone & Sharpley, 2008, Biran & Hyde, 2013) may offer a way for
26
27 many cities to become more competitive in this sense.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Europe is the world’s most competitive tourist region according to the World Economic
36
37 Forum (2017) and the world’s number one tourism destination, according to the UNWTO
38
39 (2017), attracting around 50% of the world’s total tourism arrivals in 2016 This has been
40
41 facilitated by increasing European integration, with a knock-on effect on price comparability
42
43 and ease of movement, as well as the growth in European low-cost airlines (Baldassin et al
44
45 2017). This research focuses on tourism to Europe’s most popular tourist cities, in terms of
46
47 arrivals, shown in table 1, below. Cities are the most important part of Europe’s tourism
48
49 product, especially when it comes to the cultural and heritage tourism that forms the core part
50
51 of their offer (Garcia-Hernandez et al 2017, Wickens 2017). European city destinations
52
53 range in size from the relatively small, emerging destinations that have recently entered the
54
55 tourism market such as Ljubljana (Bozic et al 2017) and Krakow (Matowga & Palowska
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 2016) to world cities such as London and Paris (Maxim 2017). Valls et al (2014) show that
4
5 there are a diversity of holiday products offered by European cities, with different cities
6
7 particularly attractive for certain type of holiday. Paris and London are the most popular
8
9 tourist cities by far, but Rome and Barcelona are also significant long holiday destinations
10
11 and Berlin and Venice major city break destinations.
12
13

14
15
16 One of the aims of this paper is to investigate to what extent dark tourism products feature as
17
18 an important part of the offer of European cities, and to put this into the context of the
19
20 literature on urban tourism, which currently suggests that that urban tourism destinations are
21
22 not recognising or promoting their dark tourism products consistently or effectively (Powell
23
24 & Kennell 2016). Destination Management Organisations in developed economies are still
25
26 adapting to the impacts of the global economic crisis that began in 2007 (Kennell &
27
28 Chaperon 2013), and many European destinations are now operating in a situation where
29
30 supply-side factors associated with cultural tourism that have supported the growth of post-
31
32 industrial cities are losing their significance (Kennell 2013), meaning that there is a need for
33
34 destinations to establish new grounds for their competitive advantage in a crowded city
35
36 tourism market (Valls et al 2014). Major tourist cities in Europe and the United States that
37
38 have historically been magnets for tourism development, are now also facing competition
39
40 from secondary and satellite cities and need to innovate in terms of their tourism offer to
41
42 remain competitive (Spirou & Judd 2014). In terms of Van den Berg et al's description of
43
44 the tourist city, this paper aims to explore the representation of dark tourism as a primary
45
46 product for European cities, with implications for the marketing activities of Destination
47
48 Management Organisations in the region.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Method

In order to assess the significance of dark tourism products in the offering of European tourist cities, a purposeful sample (Bryman & Bell 2009) was constructed. An extreme case (Saunders et al 2007) approach was taken to choosing which cities were included in the sample to increase the likelihood that the chosen cities would provide sufficient data on their tourism products to support this initial phase of research. Using the most recently published Euro monitor data for international tourism arrivals (Euromonitor 2017), the following list of the top ten European tourist cities was constructed as the sampling frame for this research. The Destination Management Organisation (DMO) for each city was identified, along with its English-language website. English was chosen as a major lingua franca for international tourists and to ensure that each website was comparable, although this does present a limitation of this research. Each city, its visitor numbers, its DMO and the DMO website are shown in table 1, below.

Table 1 - Europe's top ten tourist cities

City	Visitors (millions, Euromonitor 2017)	Destination Management Organisation	Website (English version)
London	18,580.00	Visit London	http://www.visitlondon.com/
Paris	15,023.00	Paris Info	http://en.parisinfo.com/
Istanbul	12,414.60		http://www.visitistanbul.org/
Rome	9,558.70	Rome Official Tourist Site	http://www.turismoroma.it/?lang=en

Prague	6,967.40	Prague.eu	http://www.prague.eu/en
Milan	6,684.00	Visitamilano	http://www.visitamilano.it/turismo_en/
Barcelona	6,612.20	Barcelona Turisme	http://www.turismedebarcelona.net/
Amsterdam	5,897.00	I am Amsterdam	http://www.iamsterdam.com/en/visiting
Vienna	5,718.90	Welcome to Vienna	http://www.wien.info/en
Venice	5,490.00	Venice tourism	http://www.venice-tourism.com/en/visit-venice.html

The content of each DMO website was searched using the keywords in table 2, below. The search was carried out during April 2017 using the 'site:' function in Google Advanced Search (Google 2017), which allows for the targeted searching of specific web domains using keywords, producing frequency counts for each word. A system of keywords was used to categorise the data that refers to themes and concepts of relevance to this research that have been developed in the preceding sections on dark tourism and urban tourism. This is a *deductive* method of code generation (Berg 2007), that formed a starting point for an *inductive* process of further categorising the data to allow for the emergence of additional information of relevance to the research. In total, nineteen keywords were identified deductively from the literature reviewed above, with diverse terms chosen and judged for applicability and frequency in the literature, until a theoretical saturation point (van Rijnsover 2017) was reached. Certain terms were then excluded from the list because of their ambiguity, such as 'memorial' and 'historical', which were judged to have too broad an application in DMO promotional material, whilst not retaining a clear focus on tourism products associated with dark tourism. The terms chosen were found in multiple sources.

Some terms, for example the word ‘monument’, are open to multiple interpretations and could reasonably be expected to refer to a range of historical events, only some of which would be considered as ‘dark’ in the context of this research. This limitation is addressed in the conclusions section, below. The final list of keywords is shown in table 2, below, along with indicative sources in the literature.

Table 2 - Keywords used for content analysis

Keyword			
Atrocity	Taylor (2006)	Execution	
Battlefield	Banks & Pollard (2011)	Genocide	Stone 2006
Catastrophe	Stone (2006)	Holocaust	Walter (2009)
Commemoration	Dale & Robinson (2011)	Macabre	Sharpley & Stone (2009)
Conflict	Lemelin 2013	Monument	Putnik (2016)
Dark	Seaton (1996)	Pilgrimage	Collins-Kriener (2016)
Death	Lennon & Foley (2000)	Suffering	Stone (2006)
Disaster	Sharpley & Stone (2009)	Tragedy	Dale & Robinson (2011)
Dungeon	Powell & Iankova (2015)		Smith (1998)
Dying	Shondell Miller & Gonzalex (2013)	War	

Results

The data collected from DMO websites was using the keywords set out in table two was coded and sorted to allow the construction of descriptive statistics about the data, shown in table three, below.

Table 3 - Content analysis descriptive statistics

Code	London	Paris	Istanbul	Rome	Prague	Milan	Barcelona	Amsterdam	Vienna	Venice	Frequency	%
Monument	865	4010	50	4810	2850	280	1900	6740	756	132	22393	45.50
War	2670	140	648	340	395	161	325	1100	504	10	6293	12.79
Execution	5140	5	12	16	52	9	90	9	8	1	5342	10.85
Dark	829	192	1170	34	248	36	123	2140	279	1	5052	10.26
Death	667	64	616	88	236	107	343	58	821	7	3007	6.11
Dungeon	2040	2	1	0	4	0	0	225	0	0	2272	4.62
Dying	93	58	605	48	118	71	9	35	673	7	1717	3.49
Pilgrimage	199	10	5	57	52	12	6	956	9	2	1308	2.66
Holocaust	71	2	1	0	7	6	0	329	5	1	422	0.86
Commemoration	73	4	14	29	200	24	8	21	7	3	383	0.71
Conflict	195	3	5	9	36	13	7	4	2	1	275	0.56
Tragedy	145	8	3	9	31	12	4	9	4	0	225	0.46
Suffering	30	7	10	16	60	35	5	47	4	0	214	0.43
Macabre	134	2	1	6	0	2	0	4	0	1	150	0.30
Disaster	59	0	5	2	5	1	0	9	2	1	84	0.17
Catastrophe	26	1	0	4	4	3	0	1	2	0	41	0.08
Genocide	15	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	23	0.05
Battlefield	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	0.02
Atrocity	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8	0.02
<u>Totals</u>	<u>13266</u>	<u>4512</u>	<u>3149</u>	<u>5468</u>	<u>4298</u>	<u>775</u>	<u>2820</u>	<u>11689</u>	<u>3076</u>	<u>167</u>	<u>49220</u>	<u>100.00</u>

The data was then further analysed using the chi-square to test the null hypothesis that there was no statistically significant association between total dark word count and city category.

The tests compared the observed total dark word count for each city category with totals expected under the null hypothesis, under the assumption that totals amongst city categories would be equal if the null hypothesis was correct. The results of the chi-square test is shown in table 4.

Table 4 - Chi-squared analysis

City	Observed frequency	Expected frequency	Residual (=Obs/Exp)	(Obs/Exp) ²	Component =(Obs/Exp) ² /Exp
London	13266	4922	2.695245835	7.264350111	0.001475894
Paris	4512	4922	0.916700528	0.840339858	0.000170731
Istanbul	3149	4922	0.639780577	0.409319187	8.31612E-05
Rome	5468	4922	1.110930516	1.234166611	0.000250745
Prague	4298	4922	0.873222267	0.762517128	0.00015492
Milan	775	4922	0.157456319	0.024792492	5.03708E-06
Barcelona	2820	4922	0.57293783	0.328257757	6.66919E-05
Amsterdam	11689	4922	2.374847623	5.639901232	0.001145856
Vienna	3076	4922	0.624949208	0.390561512	7.93502E-05
Venice	167	4922	0.033929297	0.001151197	2.33888E-07
				Chi square:	0.00343262
				df=(N-1):	9
				P	0

Since $P < 0.001$, the null hypothesis is rejected, and a conclusion is made that there is a significant association between total dark word count and city category, meaning that it was possible to construct a ranking of Europe's top ten most visited tourism cities in terms of the darkness of the tourism products and services that were present on their DMO websites, creating a darkness ranking of Europe's top tourism cities. This ranking is shown in table 5, below.

Table 5 – Dark tourism ranking for Europe's most visited tourism cities

Ranking	City	<i>f</i>
1st	London	13266
2nd	Amsterdam	11689
3rd	Rome	5468
4th	Paris	4512
5th	Prague	4298
6th	Istanbul	3149
7th	Vienna	3076
8th	Barcelona	2820
9th	Milan	775
10th	Venice	167

Discussion

The results presented above describe the extent to which words associated with dark tourism products and services on the websites of DMOs, which indicates the extent to which dark tourism is part of the tourist offering of each destination. Although this approach involves some limitations, discussed below, the systematic way in which it has been applied gives an indication of the relative significance of dark tourism in the promotional strategies of each DMO. As described in the methods section, above, the deductive process of keyword searching and the generation of descriptive statistics was then followed by an inductive process of analysis to explain the results in greater detail. This discussion section presents analysis of two key areas of the results: the variation within the sample and the differences between the ranking of the cities by visitor numbers and by darkness.

1
2
3 Table 4 shows the ranking of Europe's most visited cities by darkness, indicated by the
4 frequency at which the selected keywords appeared on their DMO websites. Within this,
5 there is a wide variation in the frequency of keywords. In this discussion, the two highest and
6 two lowest ranked cities are analysed in more depth, in order to establish the validity of the
7 results above. The highest ranked city, London ($f=11689$), receives a frequency seventy-nine
8 times greater than the lowest ranked city, Venice ($f=167$). As Powell & Iankova (2015)
9 explain, London offers an 'entertainment-focused dark tourism experience' through the
10 promotion of a number of high volume attractions such as The London Dungeons and
11 Madame Tussaud's Chamber of Horrors. Although London does contain numerous sites of
12 dark history, it is the presence of these entertainment-focused attractions on the Visit London
13 website that accounts for the high frequency of keywords associated with dark tourism. This
14 research confirms Powell & Iankova's (2015) previous findings that London offers a highly
15 commercialised dark tourism product to tourists.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 The second highest ranked city in these results is Amsterdam, with 11, 689 instances of dark
37 tourism keywords featuring on the DMO website. Further analysis shows that although
38 Amsterdam does not contain the range of inauthentic dark tourism attractions that can be
39 found in London, the DMO promotes a variety of experiences that could be placed on the
40 dark tourism spectrum (Stone 2006). These experiences include the Anne Frank House, a
41 museum associated with the occupation of the Netherlands during the Second World War, the
42 Slavernijmonument, a monument commemorating the slavery associated with the
43 Netherland's colonial past, and a guide to the 'haunted' locations in the city connected to
44 historical executions, dungeons, robbery and famous deaths. Complicating this analysis, the
45 city is characterised by many heritage and other cultural attractions described using the word
46 dark because of, for example, they are lacking windows at street level to protect from
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 flooding, or because of the prevailing painting style of the later Dutch Masters. (Iamsterdam
4
5 2017). Both of the highest-ranked cities included in the sample used for this research
6
7 demonstrate the complexity involved in categorising dark tourism products in terms of their
8
9 authenticity as dark sites (Miles 2002, Stone 2005, 2006, Brown 2013, Famaki 2013, Johnson
10
11 2015).
12
13

14
15
16
17
18 The two lowest-ranked cities shown in table 4 are Milan ($f=775$) and Venice ($f=167$). Both of
19
20 these cities are associated with significantly low frequency of keywords associated with dark
21
22 tourism on their DMO websites. Milan is one of Italy's top international tourist destinations
23
24 (Euromonitor 2017) and is regarded as one of the country's 'art cities', along with Turin,
25
26 Venice, Bologna, Ferrara, Florence, Perugia, Rome, Naples and Palermo (Italia 2017). Its
27
28 prominence as a cultural tourism destination and its worldwide reputation for its fashion
29
30 industry (de Carlo et al 2009) perhaps explain the lack of attention given to the presentation
31
32 of dark tourism products on the DMO website. In addition, significant resources that could
33
34 be used to develop a dark tourism offering are associated with dissonant heritage (Lemelin
35
36 2013) related to periods of recent conflict in the city, including the occupation of Northern
37
38 Italy by Nazi troops and the public hangings of the Italian Dictator, Benito Mussolini and
39
40 other Fascist leaders in 1945. As Stone (2005) identifies, political factors on the supply-side
41
42 can have a significant influence over whether a dark site is developed for as a tourism
43
44 attraction.
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52
53 Venice is the third most popular tourist city in Italy and the tenth most visited city in Europe
54
55 (Euromonitor 2017). It is a major international tourism destination for cruise holiday, in
56
57 particular, and has been a feature of European tourism since the days of the Grand Tour
58
59
60

1
2
3 (Verhoeven 2013). Unlike Milan, neighbouring destination in Northern Italy, Venice has not
4
5 been caught up in historical conflicts and although it was occupied during World War Two, it
6
7 was never bombed, preserving its significant architecture and unique canal-based
8
9 infrastructure, which has become the main resource for its contemporary tourism. Venice
10
11 does have some heritage resources that could be incorporated into a dark tourism offer for
12
13 tourists, including the prisons of the Doge's Palace and the Catacombs (Venice Tourism
14
15 2017), these are associated mainly with very localised events and the individual tragedies of
16
17 the criminal justice system, and lack broad tourist appeal. The dominant narratives that form
18
19 Venice's tourism brand are linked to its cultural and natural heritage (Manrai et al 2017) and
20
21 its reputation as 'the city of love'. Dark tourism does not fit into this brand and
22
23 unsurprisingly, words associated with darkness do not feature strongly on its DMO website.
24
25 This support's Biran et al's (2011) view that supply-side factors are the most important factor
26
27 in creating dark tourism destinations.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Table 5, below, shows the differences between Europe's most visited tourist cities in terms of
37
38 visitors and darkness. Although this research has not considered causal relationships, it
39
40 appears that there is no obvious link between the promotion of dark tourism and the success
41
42 of a destination in attracting tourists. This descriptive analysis suggests that, the association
43
44 of darkness with visitor numbers merits further investigation, although on the basis of this
45
46 exploratory research it is not possible to draw any conclusions about this relationship. Table
47
48 5 has been provided to show the differences between tourist arrivals and the promotion of
49
50 darkness, and to stimulate further research in this area.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 5 - Differences in visitor and darkness rankings for European cities

City	Visitor ranking	Darkness ranking	Difference
London	1	1	=0
Paris	2	5	-3
Istanbul	3	8	-5
Rome	4	6	-2
Prague	5	7	-2
Milan	6	9	-3
Barcelona	7	4	+3
Amsterdam	8	2	+6
Vienna	9	3	+6
Venice	10	10	=0

Conclusions

This paper has investigated the relationship between city tourism and dark tourism in a European context, through the analysis of the websites of the DMOs of Europe's top ten most visited tourist cities. In order to do this, the literature on dark tourism and tourist cities was reviewed, and nineteen keywords were identified from this, related to dark tourism. A search was carried out on DMO websites for the frequency of these words, and the variation in these totals was tested for statistical significance using the chi-squared test. The variation within this sample was found to be significant, and a new ranking of these cities was created, which ranked them in terms of the significance the promotion of their dark tourism offer to tourists.

1
2
3 The approach taken in this paper presents a number of limitations that can be explored in
4
5 further research. Firstly, the keywords shown in table 2 were chosen following the literature
6
7 review presented in this paper. Certain prospective keywords were eliminated from this list,
8
9 however this process was completed in a rigorous but not systematic way. Because of this,
10
11 an element of subjectivity was inherent in the selection process. Future research applying
12
13 this method should conduct a systematic review of the literature and consider using software
14
15 to aid this selection process, such as NviVo or emerging semantic analysis tools, to develop a
16
17 more objective set of keywords to use in content analysis. A second limitation is the small
18
19 sample size that was chosen for this research. This exploratory paper made use of a
20
21 purposeful sample of the top ten tourism cities in Europe, but now that this methodology has
22
23 been developed, the study could be repeated using the full Euromonitor (2017) list of all
24
25 major European tourist cities, or extended to a global list of tourism cities. This would allow
26
27 for the construction of a more extensive ranking of tourism cities by darkness. The third
28
29 limitation of this study has been explored in the discussion section above. This paper has
30
31 only considered the presentation of dark tourism product and services within DMO websites,
32
33 in English. Because of this, specific DMO marketing campaigns were excluded from the
34
35 analysis, which might give an alternative measure of DMO promotional activity. In addition,
36
37 only international-focused websites were analysed, that are presented in the English
38
39 language, which might miss activity related to developing dark tourism products and services
40
41 for the domestic tourism market.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 This research has confirmed the prevailing view in the literature that there are differences of
52
53 emphasis on the supply-side of dark tourism, and that the idea of a scale of darkness that has
54
55 been applied to dark tourism products (Lennon & Foley 2000, Raine 2013, Stone 2005,
56
57 Strange & Kempa 2003) can also be applied to the classification of cities as dark tourism
58
59
60

1
2
3 destinations. Biran et al (2011) and Yan et al (2016) draw attention to the key role played by
4
5 the supply-side of dark tourism in determining whether a site can be developed for dark
6
7 tourism. The four examples of cities discussed above (London, Amsterdam, Milan and
8
9 Venice), show how supply-side issues of varying kinds, such as the authenticity of the offer,
10
11 the number of dark attractions, the dominant brand of a destination and the presence of
12
13 dissonant heritage can impact on the ranking of the darkness of a city destination.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 For practitioners in tourist city marketing, tourism marketing and destination management,
21
22 this research shows that there is a wide variation between European cities in terms of the
23
24 promotion of dark tourism products and services as part of their tourist offer. This suggest
25
26 product development and marketing opportunities for cities that wish to capture the emerging
27
28 dark tourism market. A more developed and comprehensive dark tourism ranking of tourist
29
30 cities can be used by practitioners to evaluate their success in promoting this aspect of their
31
32 city's tourism offer and to judge their performance against competitor destinations.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Baldassin, L., Gallo, M. and Mattevi, E., 2017. Tourism in European cities: Price competitiveness of hotels in towns of artistic interest. *Tourism Economics*, 23(1), pp.3-28.
- Banks, I., & Pollard, T. (2011). Protecting a bloodstained history: battlefield conservation in Scotland. *Journal of Conflict Archaeology*, 6(2), 124-145.
- Berg, B. (2007) *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences*, London: Sage
- Biran, A., Poria, Y., Oren, G. (2011) SOUGHT EXPERIENCES AT (DARK) HERITAGE SITES, *Annals of Tourism Research*, Volume 38, Issue 3, July 2011, Pages 820-841.
- Blom, T. (2000), "Morbidity tourism – a postmodern market niche with an example from Althorp", *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift*, Vol. 54 No. 1, pp. 29-36.
- Božić, S., Kennell, J., Vujičić, M. & Jovanović, T. (2017 in press) 'Urban Tourist Motivations: Why Visit Ljubljana?'. *International Journal of Tourism Cities*, 3 (4).
- Brown, J. (2013) Dark tourism shops: selling "dark" and "difficult" products. *International Journal of Culture and Tourism and Hospitality Research*, VOL. 7 NO. 3 2013, pp. 272-280.
- Bryman, A. & Bell, E. (2007) *Business Research Methods*, Oxford: Oxford University Press

1
2
3 Collins-Kreiner, N. (2016). Dark tourism as/is pilgrimage. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 19(12),
4
5 1185-1189.
6
7

8
9
10
11 Crompton, J. L. (1979). Motivations for pleasure vacation. *Annals of tourism research*, 6(4),
12
13 408-424.
14
15

16
17
18 Dale, C., & Robinson, N. (2011), "Dark Tourism", in Robinson, P., Heitmann, S., & Dieke,
19
20 P. (Eds). *Research Themes for Tourism*, 38(1), pp 193-209.
21
22

23
24
25 Dann, G.M., 1998. *The dark side of tourism*. CIRET-International Center for Research and
26
27 Studies in Tourism.
28
29

30
31
32 De Carlo, M., Canali, S., Pritchard, A., & Morgan, N. (2009). Moving Milan towards Expo
33
34 2015: designing culture into a city brand. *Journal of Place Management and Development*,
35
36 2(1), 8-22.
37
38

39
40
41 Euromonitor (2017) *Top 100 City Destinations Ranking*, London, Euromonitor
42
43

44
45 Farmaki, A., (2013), "Dark tourism revisited: a supply/demand conceptualisation",
46
47 *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, Vol. 7 Iss 3 pp. 281 –
48
49 292.
50
51

52
53
54 Hyde, K.F. and Harman, S. (2011), "Motives for a secular pilgrimage to the Gallipoli
55
56 battlefields", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 32, pp. 1343-1351.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 Gale, T. (2005) 'Modernism, Post-modernism and the Decline of British Seaside Resorts as
6
7 Long Holiday Destinations: A Case Study of Rhyl, North Wales' in *Tourism Geographies*,
8
9 Vol. 7, No.1, pp.86-112
10

11
12
13
14 García-Hernández, M., de la Calle-Vaquero, M. and Yubero, C., 2017. Cultural Heritage and
15
16 Urban Tourism: Historic City Centres under Pressure. *Sustainability*, 9(8), p.1346.
17
18

19
20
21 Google (2017) *Advanced Search* [online]

22
23 Available from: https://www.google.co.uk/advanced_search

24
25
26 Accessed 26th April 2017
27
28

29
30 Hoffman, L. (2003) 'The Marketing of Diversity in the Inner City: Tourism and Regulation in
31
32 Harlem' in *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, Vol.27, No.2, pp.286-99
33
34

35
36
37
38 Hyde, K.F. and Harman, S. (2011), 'Motives for a secular pilgrimage to the Gallipoli
39
40 battlefields', *Tourism Management*, Vol. 32, pp. 1343-1351.
41
42

43
44
45
46 Iamsterdam (2017) 'Things to do' [online]

47
48 Available from: <https://www.iamsterdam.com/en/see-and-do/things-to-do/>

49
50
51
52 Accessed 12th September 2017
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Johnston, T., (2015) The geographies of thanatourism. *Geography* Vol 100 Part 1 Spring
4
5 2015.

6
7
8
9
10 Kang, E.J., Scott, N., Lee, T.J. and Ballantyne, R. (2012), ‘Benefits of visiting a dark
11
12 tourism site: the case of the Jeju April 3rd Peace Park, Korea’, *Tourism Management*, Vol.
13
14 33, pp. 257-265.

15
16
17
18 Kennell, J. (2013) ‘After the Crisis: Cultural Tourism and Urban Regeneration in Europe’ in
19
20 Richards, G. & Smith, M.(eds) *Handbook of Cultural Tourism*, London: Routledge

21
22
23
24
25
26
27 Kennell, J. and Chaperon, S., 2013. Analysis of the UK Government's 2011 tourism policy.
28
29 *Cultural Trends*, 22(3-4), pp.278-284.

30
31
32
33
34 Lee, C. K., Bendle, L. J., Yoon, Y. S., & Kim, M. J. (2012). Thanatourism or peace tourism:
35
36 Perceived value at a North Korean resort from an indigenous perspective. *International*
37
38 *Journal of Tourism Research*, 14(1), 71-90.

39
40
41
42
43
44 Harvey Lemelin, R., Powys Whyte, K., Johansen, K., Higgins Desbiolles, F., Wilson, C., &
45
46 Hemming, S. (2013). Conflicts, battlefields, indigenous peoples and tourism: addressing
47
48 dissonant heritage in warfare tourism in Australia and North America in the twenty-first
49
50 century. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 7(3), 257-271.

51
52
53
54
55
56
57 Italia (2017) ‘Art Cities’ [online]
58
59
60

1
2
3 Available from: <http://www.italia.it/en/travel-ideas/art-cities.html>
4
5

6 Accessed 13th September 2017
7
8
9

10
11 Lennon, J., & Foley, M. (2000). *Dark Tourism: the attraction of death and disaster*,
12 Thomson, London.
13
14

15
16
17
18 Light, D. (2017) 'The undead and dark tourism: Dracula tourism in Romania', in G. Hooper
19 and J.J. Lennon (eds) *Dark Tourism: Practice and Interpretation*, Routledge, Abingdon, 121-
20
21
22 133
23
24

25
26
27
28 Maitland, R., 2016. Everyday Tourism in a World Tourism City: Getting backstage in
29 London. *Asian Journal of Behavioural Studies*, 1(1), pp.13-20.
30
31
32

33
34
35
36 Manrai, L. A., Manrai, A. K., & DeLuca, J. (2017). Twenty Shades of Italy: An Analysis of
37 its Cultural, Natural, and Dual Tourist Attractions with Implications for Global Tourism
38 Marketing. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 1-12.
39
40
41
42

43
44
45
46 Matoga, Ł. and Pawłowska, A., 2016. Off-the-beaten-track tourism: a new trend in the
47 tourism development in historical European cities. A case study of the city of Krakow,
48 Poland. *Current Issues in Tourism*, pp.1-26.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Maxim, C., 2017. Challenges faced by world tourism cities–London’s perspective. *Current*
4
5 *Issues in Tourism*, pp.1-20.
6
7
8
9

10
11 Miles, W.F.S. (2002), “Auschwitz: museum interpretation and darker tourism”, *Annals of*
12
13 *Tourism Research*, Vol. 29, pp. 1175-1178.
14
15
16
17
18

19 Pearce, D.G., 2001. An integrative framework for urban tourism research. *Annals of tourism*
20
21 *research*, 28(4), pp.926-946.
22
23
24
25
26

27 Podoshen, J. S. (2013). Dark tourism motivations: Simulation, emotional contagion and
28
29 topographic comparison. *Tourism Management*, 35, 263-271.
30
31
32
33
34

35 Podoshen, J. S., Andrzejewski, S. A., Venkatesh, V., & Wallin, J. (2015). New approaches to
36
37 dark tourism inquiry: A response to Isaac. *Tourism Management*, 51, 331-334.
38
39
40
41
42

43 Poon, A. (1994). The ‘new tourism’ revolution. *Tourism management*, 15(2), 91-92.
44
45
46
47
48

49 Powell, R., and Iankova, K. (2015) *Dark London: Dimensions and characteristics of dark*
50
51 *tourism supply in the UK capital*. *Anatolia: An International Journal of Tourism and*
52
53 *Hospitality Research*. ISSN 1303-2917 (Print), 2156-6909 (Online) (In Press)
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Putnik, V., 2016. Second World War monuments in Yugoslavia as witnesses of the past and
4 the future. *Journal of Tourism and Cultural Change*, 14(3), pp.206-221.
5
6
7

8
9
10 Raine, R. (2013). A dark tourist spectrum. *International Journal of Culture, tourism and*
11 *hospitality Research*, 7(3), 242-256
12
13
14

15
16
17 Richards, G. (ed.) (2007) *Cultural Tourism: Global and Local Perspectives*, New York:
18 Haworth Press
19
20
21

22
23
24 Richards, G. & Wilson, J. (2007) 'The Creative Turn in Regeneration: Creative Spaces,
25 Spectacles and Tourism in Cities' in Smith, M. (ed.) *Tourism Culture and Regeneration*,
26 Wallingford: CABI, pp. 12-24.
27
28
29
30
31

32
33
34 Rittichainuwat, N. (2008). Responding to disaster: Thai and Scandinavian tourists' motivation
35 to visit Phuket, Thailand. *Journal of Travel Research*, 46(4), 422-432.
36
37
38

39 Rofe, M. W. (2013). Considering the limits of rural place making opportunities: Rural
40 dystopias and dark tourism. *Landscape Research*, 38(2), 262-272.
41
42
43
44

45
46 Rojek, C. (1993), *Ways of Escape*, Macmillan, London.
47
48

49
50
51 Ryan, C., and Kohli, R., (2006) The Buried village, New Zealand – An example of dark
52 tourism? *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research* Vol. 11 , Iss. 3.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Seaton, A.V. (1996). "Guided by the dark: from thanatopsis to thanatourism", *International*
4
5 *Journal of Heritage Studies*, 2(4), pp.234-244.
6
7

8
9
10 Seaton, A. V. & Lennon, J. J. (2004) : New horizons in tourism: strange experiences and
11
12 stranger practices, Editor : Singh, T. V. pp.63-82, CABI Publishing, Wallingford.
13

14
15
16 Seaton, A. (2009), "Purposeful otherness: approaches to the management of thanatourism",
17
18 in Sharpley, R. and Stone, P. (Eds), *The Darker Side of Travel: The Theory and Practice of*
19
20 *Dark Tourism*, Channel View Publications, Bristol, pp. 75-108.
21
22

23
24
25 Selby, M. (2004) *Understanding Urban Tourism*, London: IB Tauris
26
27

28
29
30 Sharpley, R. and Stone, P. (2009), *The Darker Side of Travel: The Theory and Practice of*
31
32 *Dark Tourism*, Channel View Publications, Bristol.
33

34
35
36
37
38 Sharpley, R. (2009), "Shedding light on dark tourism: theories and concepts", in Sharpley,
39
40 R. and Stone, P. (Eds), *The Darker Side of Travel: The Theory and Practice of Dark Tourism*,
41
42 Channel View Publications, Bristol, pp. 3-22.
43
44

45
46
47 Shondell Miller, D., & Gonzalez, C. (2013). When death is the destination: the business of
48
49 death tourism—despite legal and social implications. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism*
50
51 *and Hospitality Research*, 7(3), 293-306.
52
53

54
55
56
57 Smith, M. (2007) 'Space, Place and Placelessness in the Culturally Regenerated City' in
58
59
60

1
2
3 Richards, G. (ed.) *Cultural Tourism: Global and Local Perspectives*, New York: Haworth
4
5 Press, pp. 91-108
6
7

8
9
10 Smith, V. (1998). "War and tourism: an American ethnography". *Annals of Tourism*
11
12 *Research*, 25, 202-227
13

14
15
16 Smith, N. and Croy, W.G. (2005), "Presentation of dark tourism: TeWairoa, the Buried
17
18 Village", in Ryan, C., Stone, P. and Sharpley, R. (2008) *Consuming dark tourism: A*
19
20 *Thanatological Perspective*, [Annals of Tourism Research](#), [Volume 35, Issue 2](#), April 2008,
21
22 Pages 574–595.
23
24

25
26
27 Spirou, C. (2007) 'Cultural Policy and Urban Restructuring in Chicago' in Smith, M. (ed.)
28
29 *Tourism Culture and Regeneration*, Wallingford: CABI, pp. 123-131
30
31

32
33
34 Spirou, C. and Judd, D.R., (2014). *The Changing Geography of Urban Tourism: Will the*
35
36 *Center Hold?*. *disP-The Planning Review*, 50(2), pp.38-47.
37
38

39
40
41 Stone, P. (2005), "Review: Dark Tourism – cashing in on tragedy?", paper presented at the
42
43 Tourism Society Seminar Event, 17 October, London, available at: www.dark-tourism.org.uk
44
45 (accessed 06 September 2013).
46
47

48
49
50 Stone, P. (2006). "A Dark Tourism spectrum: towards a typology of death and macabre
51
52 related tourist sites, attractions and exhibitions", *Tourism*, 54(2), pp. 145-160
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Stone, P. R. (2012). Dark tourism and significant other death: Towards a model of mortality
4 mediation. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 39(3), 1565-1587.
5
6
7
8
9

10
11 Stone, P. (2013). Dark tourism scholarship: A critical review. *International Journal of*
12 *Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 7(3), 307-318.
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 Stone, P. and Sharpley, R (2008) Consuming dark tourism: A Thanatological Perspective,
20 *Annals of Tourism Research*, Volume 35, Issue 2, April 2008, Pages 574–595.
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Strange, C., & Kempa, M. (2003). “Shades of Dark Tourism: Alcatraz and Robben Island”
28 *Annals of Tourism Research*, 30(2) pp.386-405.
29
30
31
32

33 Taylor, P. A. (2006). Getting them to forgive and forget: Cognitive based marketing
34 responses to terrorist acts. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 8(3), 171-183.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Times of Israel (2016) *Over 1.7 million visit Auschwitz, breaking Annual Record* [online]

43
44 Available from: [http://www.timesofisrael.com/over-1-7-million-visit-auschwitz-breaking-](http://www.timesofisrael.com/over-1-7-million-visit-auschwitz-breaking-annual-record/)
45 [annual-record/](http://www.timesofisrael.com/over-1-7-million-visit-auschwitz-breaking-annual-record/) (accessed 04/03/2017)
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 UNWTO (2017) *World Tourism Barometer - August 2017*. Madrid. UNWTO
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Urry, J. (2002) *The Tourist Gaze 2nd ed.*, London: Sage
4

5
6
7 Valls, J.F., Sureda, J. and Valls-Tuñon, G., 2014. Attractiveness analysis of European tourist
8 cities. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 31(2), pp.178-194.
9

10
11
12
13
14 Van den Berg, L., J. van der Borg and J. van der Meer (1995), *Urban Tourism; Performance*
15 *and strategies in eight European cities*, Euricur Series, Ashgate, Aldershot
16

17
18
19
20
21 van Rijnsoever, F. J. (2017). (I Can't Get No) Saturation: A simulation and guidelines for
22 sample sizes in qualitative research. *PLoS One*, 12(7), e0181689.
23

24
25
26
27
28 Vellas, F. & Becherel, L. (1995), *International Tourism*, Belhaven, London.
29

30
31
32 Venice Tourism (2017) 'Places' [online]
33

34 Available from: <http://www.venice-tourism.com/en/places>
35

36
37 Accessed 13th September 2017
38

39
40
41 Verhoeven, G. (2013). FORESHADOWING TOURISM: Looking for modern and obsolete
42 features—or some missing link—in early modern travel behavior (1675–1750). *Annals of*
43 *Tourism Research*, 42, 262-283.
44
45
46
47

48
49
50 Walter, T. (2009), "Disaster, modernity, and the media" in Garces-Foley, K. (Ed), *Death and*
51 *Religion in a Changing World*, M.E. Sharpe, Armonk, NY.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Wearing, S.L. and Foley, C., 2017. Understanding the tourist experience of cities. *Annals of*
4
5 *Tourism Research*, 65, pp.97-107.
6
7

8
9
10 Wickens, E., 2017. The consumption of cultural experiences in city tourism. *Tourism and*
11
12 *Hospitality Research*, 17(3), pp.264-271.
13
14

15
16
17
18 Winter, C. (2011). Battlefield visitor motivations: Explorations in the Great War town of
19
20 Ieper, Belgium. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 13(2), 164-176.
21
22

23
24
25 World Economic Forum (2017) *Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Report 2017*. Geneva.
26

27 World Economic Forum
28
29

30
31
32 Yan, B. J., Zhang, J., Zhang, H. L., Lu, S. J., & Guo, Y. R. (2016). Investigating the
33
34 motivation–experience relationship in a dark tourism space: A case study of the Beichuan
35
36 earthquake relics, China. *Tourism Management*, 53, 108-121.
37
38

39
40
41
42 Zukin, S. (1995) *The Cultures of Cities*, Blackwell, Oxford.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60