**SYMPOSIUM REVIEW**

**Top down control of spinal sensorimotor circuits essential for survival**

Stella Koutsikou\(^1,2\), Richard Apps\(^2\) and Bridget M. Lumb\(^2\)

\(^1\)School of Biological Sciences, Life Sciences Building, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
\(^2\)Sensory and Motor Systems Group, School of Physiology, Pharmacology \& Neuroscience, Biomedical Sciences Building, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

---

**Antinociception**

**Proprioception**

**SENSORY MOTOR**

**Fight**

**Flight**

**Freezing**

**Sensory processing**

**Motor control centres**

**Supraspinal**

**Spinal**

**Ascending sensory pathways**

**Ascending motor pathways**

---

Stella Koutsikou completed her PhD in neurophysiology in 2004 with Sally Lawson, before joining the Bristol Sensory and Motor Systems Research Group. Currently she is working with Steve Soffe and Alan Roberts in the School of Biological Sciences at Bristol. Bridget Lumb started her research career in Birmingham and moved to Bristol in the 1980s to pursue her interests in CNS mechanisms of acute and chronic pain. She became a Professor of Neuroscience in 2008. Richard Apps received his PhD in neurophysiology from Bristol in 1988, and became a Professor of Neuroscience in 2007. His research interests focus on olivocerebellar contributions to sensorimotor control. The authors share a common interest in the pathways and mechanisms that modulate sensory and motor circuits that contribute to survival behaviours. Their combined research methodology includes *in situ* whole-cell patch recording and *in vivo* electrophysiological, behavioural and neuroanatomical techniques.

This review was presented at the symposium “Top-down control of pain”, which took place at Physiology 2016, Dublin, Ireland, 29–31 July 2016.
Introduction: role of periaqueductal grey in survival

Defence behaviours essential to survival can be innate, but they can also be learnt, and during evolution are conserved across species, including humans (Takahashi, 1992a,b; Blanchard et al. 2001a,b; Gross & Canteras, 2012; LeDoux, 2012).

The midbrain periaqueductal grey (PAG) sits at the heart of the brain circuitry that coordinates survival behaviours and has long been identified as a pivotal component of the so-called ‘emotional motor system’ (Holstege et al. 1996). The PAG surrounds the central aqueduct and, based on patterns of internal and external connectivity and on its cyto- and chemo-architecture, can be divided into four longitudinal columns (dorsomedial (dm), dorsolateral (dl), lateral (l) and ventrolateral (vl); see Fig. 1), each with distinct functions in survival behaviour (Carrive, 1993; Bandler & Shipley, 1994; Behbehani, 1995; Bandler et al. 2000; Keay & Bandler, 2002; Toyote et al. 2016; Watson et al. 2016).

As a functional interface between the limbic structures essential to survival defence behaviours, such as the central nucleus of the amygdala, the hypothalamus and medial prefrontal cortex (An et al. 1998; Petrovich et al. 2001; Canteras, 2002; Gross & Canteras, 2012; LeDoux, 2012; Linnman et al. 2012), and the lower brainstem and spinal cord (Fig. 2), the PAG plays a major role in integrating responses to internal and external threats (Blanchard et al. 1981; Bandler et al. 2000; Sokolowski & Corbin, 2012) that maximise an animal’s survival by generating a repertoire of conditioned and unconditioned fear behaviours (Bandler et al. 1985; Bandler & Depaulis, 1991; Bandler & Shipley, 1994; Depaulis et al. 1994; Chen et al. 2015; Deng et al. 2016; Toyote et al. 2016).

Survival behaviours orchestrated by the PAG can be categorised into active and passive coping strategies (Fig. 1). Active coping is evoked by activation of the dl/IPAG, whereas passive coping is triggered by activation of the ventrolateral column. Active coping strategies (e.g. confrontation, fight or flight) are evoked if the stressor is escapable (e.g. brief acute pain, close encounter with a predator). By contrast, passive coping strategies (e.g. quiescence, recuperation, freezing) are elicited if the stressor is inescapable (e.g. visceral pain, proximity to or capture by a predator), thus facilitating recovery and healing but also via identified dl/IPAG–vlPAG functional excitatory connections (Toyote et al. 2016), preparing the animal to react or escape when opportunity arises.
A key component of CNS strategies for effective defence behaviour is the top down modulation of sensory transmission in the spinal dorsal horn and, since the original description of ‘stimulation-produced analgesia’ from the PAG (Reynolds, 1969), attention has focused on descending pain modulatory systems that originate in the brainstem and operate at the level of the spinal cord.

**Periaqueductal grey: role in survival**

**Top down control of spinal sensory processing.** The spinal dorsal horn is the location of the first synapse in pain pathways and the capacity for the PAG to filter out nociceptive transmission at this early stage has long been recognised as essential for the execution of survival behaviours, as it minimises nociceptor-driven sensory distraction and motor disturbances that would otherwise perturb effective actions (Waters & Lumb, 1997, 2008).

In this context, we now know that the descending pain modulatory system that originates from d/dl- and vlPAG discriminates not only between spinal processing of low intensity mechanosensitive inputs versus high intensity (nociceptive) inputs but, importantly, between nociceptive inputs of different behavioural significance, i.e. those conveyed in A- versus C-nociceptors (Waters & Lumb, 2008). In their 2008 paper, Waters and Lumb provided mechanistic evidence that differential control of A- versus C-fibre-evoked responses of dorsal horn neurons from both the ventrolateral and dorsolateral/lateral columns of the PAG results from the modulation of spinal segmental inhibition. From a behavioural perspective, A- and C-fibre nociceptors convey different qualities of the nociceptive message; well-localised, rapidly conducted, ‘pricking pain’ that is tolerable versus poorly localised ‘aching/burning pain’ that is slowly conducted and can be intolerable. It has been proposed that suppression of C-fibre-mediated pain and simultaneous enhancement of A-fibre-mediated pain has important consequences in relation to both active and passive coping strategies as it would filter out unwanted distracting information and leave intact, or even augment, the ‘useful’ component of the pain signal that can provide motivation and guidance (Leith et al. 2007; Waters & Lumb, 2008; Drake et al. 2016).

*Figure 1. Midbrain periaqueductal grey coordinates emotional defensive behaviours*

Schematic illustration of the dorsomedial (dm), dorsolateral (dl), lateral (l) and ventrolateral (vl) neuronal columns within the rostral (top of figure) to the caudal (bottom of figure) periaqueductal grey (PAG). Overview of the emotional coping strategies associated with activation of distinct columns of the PAG. The dorsal/lateral columns and the ventrolateral column of the PAG orchestrate active vs. passive coping behaviours, respectively. Active coping includes behaviours that are associated with whole body movements, hypertension, tachycardia and a general hyperexcitability. By contrast, passive coping describes a set of behaviours that are generally characterised by lack of movement (but not necessarily flaccid body postures) and decreased responsiveness to the environment (e.g. fear-evoked freezing mediated by vlPAG activation associated with tense body postures). Information presented in this figure has been collated from experimental work on both cats and rats in the following publications: Bandler & Depaulis (1991), Bandler & Shipley (1994), Bandler et al. (2000), Gross & Canteras (2012) and Deng et al. (2016).
The hypothesis that descending control of spinal sensory processing acts to filter out distracting information en route to supraspinal motor control centres has, until now, been based on indirect evidence. Recently, Cerminara and colleagues provided evidence that the PAG can modulate cerebellar responses to sensory inputs (Cerminara et al. 2009) and this finding was subsequently advanced and refined in studies of PAG influences on spinal processing of sensory input to pre-cerebellar pathways.

Motor behaviours essential for survival are guided not only by information about the external environment that is provided by cutaneous mechanosensitive and A-fibre nociceptive inputs, but also by information from the internal environment, and in particular proprioceptive information that signals body position and movement. In this context, our recent studies have made the important finding that the PAG enhances proprioceptive transmission in spino-olivary pathways (Fig. 3D), which in turn forward information to the cerebellum (Fig. 3). The cerebellum is the largest sensorimotor structure in the brain and, as such, behaviourally relevant selectivity in descending control, including enhancement of responses to proprioceptive inputs, demonstrates the capacity of the PAG to regulate sensory input to supraspinal motor control centres and, as a consequence, to ensure the coordination of appropriate defensive behaviours in aversive situations when the PAG becomes active.

It is clear that the PAG can selectively control sensory input to the brain that ensures the execution of appropriate behaviours, but until recently, the question of whether the PAG has direct effects on the motor apparatus that drives behaviour remained unanswered.

**Top down control of motor circuits.** Descending control from the PAG is essential (Keay & Bandler, 2001) to elicit motor responses characteristic of survival behaviours. However, little is known of the neural circuits that mediate the diversity of behavioural responses associated with PAG activation (Fig. 1). This is a significant gap in our understanding given the survival importance of initiating, adapting and maintaining coordinated motor responses in aversive and threatening situations.

To elicit active or passive motor responses, the PAG must engage ultimately with spinal motor circuits and, importantly, we have recently reported facilitation of α-motoneurone excitability from the vlPAG (Koutsikou et al. 2014). These effects could be mediated by direct projections from the PAG to the spinal ventral horn (Mouton & Holstege, 1994) and/or indirectly (Manthyl, 1983; Tovote et al. 2016), including via the cerebellum (Dietrichs, 1983; Sillery et al. 2005). A cerebellar link is supported by the identification of anatomical connections between the PAG and pre-motor structures such as the lateral reticular nucleus (Roste et al. 1985) and the inferior olive, the sole source of climbing fibres to the cerebellum.
Top down integration of sensory and motor systems.

To date, data generated in separate studies provide clear evidence that the vlPAG is the source of top down control of either sensory processing or motor outflow. Importantly, in order for the vlPAG to execute neuron response to innocuous pressure (0.5 N). C, same as A except example of class 3 neuron response to noxious pinch (3.6 N). D, same as A except example of class 4 neuron response to innocuous ankle joint manipulation. E, standard transverse maps of the left PAG at three rostrocaudal levels to show histological reconstruction of injection sites. Coordinates are relative to bregma. DL, dorsolateral; DM, dorsomedial; L, lateral; VL, ventrolateral. Green indicates class 2 (noxious pinch); green with black outline, class 2 (noxious pinch and innocuous pressure); red, class 3; blue, class 4. Adapted with permission from Koutsikou et al. (2015).
its survival role effectively requires that neurones in this region are able to integrate sensory and motor functions in a coordinated way, as evidenced by our recent findings (Koutsikou et al. 2015). In these studies, neuronal stimulation at individual sites in the vlPAG resulted in suppression of cerebellar sensory transmission (inhibition of peripheral nerve-evoked cerebellar cortical field potentials), accompanied simultaneously by facilitation of spinal motor outflow (increased α-motoneurone excitability, Fig. 4), providing evidence that the PAG acts on both sensory and motor systems simultaneously.

Concluding remarks

As the PAG is the gatekeeper of spinal sensory transmission during aversive behaviour, its ability to exert selective control over sensory information of different modalities, and of different behavioural significance, including that transmitted to motor control centres, is pivotal to its role in the coordination of behaviours essential for survival. Importantly, it is now evident that selective control of sensory processing may be part of an integrated system whereby the PAG can orchestrate sensory and motor functions thus enabling behaviours to be executed with the appropriate degree of precision and strength, thereby assisting survival.
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