Functional Versatility of a Series of Zr Metal–Organic Frameworks Probed by Solid-State Photoluminescence Spectroscopy
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ABSTRACT: Many of the desirable properties of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) can be tuned by chemical functionalization of the organic ligands that connect their metal clusters into multidimensional network solids. These linker molecules are intrinsically fluorescent, they can pass on this property to the resultant MOF, potentially generating solid-state sensors, as analytes can be bound within their porous interiors. Herein, we report the synthesis of a series of 14 interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs linked by functionalized 4,4′-[1,4-phenylene-bis(ethyne-2,1-diyl)]-dibenzoate (peb2−) ligands, and we analyze the effect of functional group incorporation on their structures and properties. Addition of methyl, fluoro, naphthyl, and benzothiadiazolyl units does not affect the underlying topology, but induces subtle structural changes, such as ligand rotation, and mediates host–guest interactions. Further, we demonstrate that solid-state photoluminescence spectroscopy can be used to probe these effects. For instance, introduction of naphthyl and benzothiadiazolyl units yields MOFs that can act as stable fluorescent water sensors, a dimethyl modified MOF exhibits a temperature dependent phase change controlled by steric clashes between interpenetrated nets, and a tetrafluorinated analogue is found to be superhydrophobic despite only partial fluorination of its organic backbone. These subtle changes in ligand structure coupled with the consistent framework topology give rise to a series of MOFs with a remarkable range of physical properties that are not observed with the ligands alone.

INTRODUCTION

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are multidimensional network materials containing both inorganic and organic constituents. The diverse choice of organic and inorganic units that can be used to construct MOFs results in a wide variety of structures. The high porosity of MOFs has prompted investigations toward potential applications such as gas capture and storage, catalysis, sensing, and drug delivery. Lately, many synthetic efforts toward MOFs have focused on those containing group IV transition metal ions, especially zirconium and hafnium. Zr and Hf MOFs linked by linear dicarboxylate ligands generally adopt the well-documented UiO-66 topology (UiO = Universitetet i Oslo) which contains M₆O₆(OH)₄ clusters (M = Zr or Hf) linked in three dimensions by 12 bridging organic ligands. Alternative topologies of Zr and Hf MOFs have been obtained, usually with nonlinear carboxylate ligands while extended organic ligands have resulted in interpenetrated UiO-66 analogues. Members of this series of Zr MOFs are constructed from substituted 4,4′-[1,4-phenylene-bis(ethyne-2,1-diyl)]-dibenzoate (peb2−) ligands (Figure 1a) and exhibit a two-fold interpenetrated structure (Figure 1b).

This family of Zr MOFs has been extended to include an anthracene derivative and functionalized analogues designed for postsynthetic modification (PSM), while they have been investigated for catalytic applications and also CO₂ separation. We are particularly interested in Zr MOFs containing integral alkyne moieties, having recently demonstrated their successful postsynthetic halogenation for potential use as I₂ sequestration materials, and for their ability to modulate the mechanical properties of Zr MOFs, which can be manipulated by the choice of organic ligand.

In 2005, a report detailing the optical properties of the dimethyl ester of the π-conjugated peb2− ligand (L1-Me₂), demonstrated that this ligand precursor exhibited strong absorption in the UV region and was strongly fluorescent, with a quantum yield of 0.91 (λₑₓᵣ = 335 nm) in dichloromethane. Since then, the Zr MOF containing peb2− (Zr-L1;...
A number of other which proved again to be very e, harmful gases/vapors, explosives, Alternatively, the intrinsic or as modulators in DMF resulted in the for, and antibiotics. (see isolation of a series of new interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs, whose unusual structural features and host behavior have been probed via solid-state emission techniques. Inspired by the intrinsic fluorescence of L1-Me₂, we herein report the synthesis and structural/optical characterization of a series of interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs containing substituted peb²⁻ ligands. We have varied the chemical substituents on the central core of the extended ligand to include methyl, fluorine, naphthyl, and benzothiadiazolyl moieties (Figure 1c). We detail how linker functionalization modulates the structural and optical properties of the resulting Zr and Hf MOFs, whose unusual structural features and host–guest behavior have been probed via solid-state emission techniques.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dimethyl esters of each of the ligands shown in Figure 1c (see Supporting Information) were synthesized by Pd/Cu-catalyzed Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions, followed by saponification to produce the free acids (see SI, Section S2). Solvothermal reactions containing the required ligand, ZrCl₄ or HfCl₄, hydrochloric acid, and either benzoic acid or 1-proline as modulators in DMF resulted in the isolation of a series of new interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs (see SI, Section S3). Careful choice of reaction parameters, such as concentration, temperature, and modulator choice/equivacency resulted in the isolation of 14 highly crystalline interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs. We described the solid-state structures of [M₄O₄(OH)₄(L1)]₆₈ (M = Zr or Hf) recently, and this repeating formula is common to all 14 MOFs. The MOFs are herein described as M-Ln, where M is either Zr or Hf and Ln is the ligand used to construct the framework.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) was used to first analyze the bulk phase purity of the MOFs that were isolated from the synthetic mixtures by centrifugation. Initial attempts at bulk MOF syntheses were performed using our recently discovered 1-proline modulation, which proved again to be very efficient. 1-Proline effectively modulated 12 of the 14 MOFs; however, it did not produce Zr or Hf MOFs containing the tetrafluoro ligand (L₅), which is unexpected considering the difluorinated ligands (L₃ and L₄) were compatible with these synthetic conditions. Instead, we turned to benzoic acid modulation for Zr-L₅ and Hf-L₅, and bulk microcrystalline samples were successfully obtained. Upon comparison of the PXRD patterns of the Zr MOFs, it is immediately obvious that all the MOFs are highly crystalline and structurally very similar (Figure 2a),

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** (a) Chemical structure of 4,4’-[1,4-phenylene-bis(ethyne-2,1-diyl)]-dibenzoate, peb²⁻, termed L1 herein, alongside (b) the solid-state packing structure of the interpenetrated MOF it forms with Zr, termed Zr-L1. (c) Structural description and naming scheme of the functionalized peb²⁻ ligands used throughout this study.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** (a) Comparison of the PXRD patterns of the Zr MOFs synthesized during this study, with the inset highlighting the high-angle data. The analogous data for the Hf MOFs are provided in the SI, Section S4. (b) Portions of the solid-state structures of Zr-L₄, which shows positional disorder of the fluorine atoms on the ligand core; Zr-L₆, highlighting the two disordered arrangements of the naphthyl moieties which rotate out of the plane of conjugation; and Zr-L₇, showing disorder of the thiadiazolyl units over both sides of the ligand.
while the Hf MOFs display analogous structures (see SI, Section S4).

Optimization of reaction conditions resulted in the isolation of single crystals of 13 of the MOFs, with Hf-L2 being the only MOF that could not be prepared as diffraction quality single crystals. The crystal structures of the Zr and Hf analogues of individual ligands are very similar, as expected based on the close structural agreement between Zr-L1 and Hf-L1.64 The pendant functionality of the ligands does not disrupt formation of the MOFs with all materials displaying two-fold interpenetration. Crystal structures of the Zr and Hf MOFs containing the difluorinated ligands L3 and L4 display positional disorder of the fluorine atoms across all four sites of the central phenylene ring and, on first inspection, they all appear to be identical and resemble the MOFs containing L5. The positional disorder, however, is accounted for by adjusting chemical occupancies (Figure 2b). Similarly, the benzothiadiazoxy unit of L7 is disordered across two orientations in Zr-L7 and Hf-L7, maintaining the cubic symmetry despite the lower symmetry of the ligand. Interestingly, we observe differences in the solid-state structures of Hf-L6 and Zr-L6, which contain naphthyl units. In Hf-L6 there is similar positional disorder of the naphthyl unit across both sides of the ligand, while in Zr-L6 the same disorder is observed; however, in this case the naphthyl core twists out of the plane of conjugation of the ligand by approximately 23°, presumably to minimize steric interactions with other linkers in the interpenetrated structure. The reason for the different behavior in the Zr and Hf analogues has not been established.

All the functionalized MOFs discussed thus far adopt the typical cubic structure and crystallize with the Fd̅3m symmetry of the parent MOFs; however, close inspection of the PXRD patterns reveals slight differences for MOFs containing L2 (Figure 3a), with additional peaks observed. Single crystals of Zr-L2 (Figure 3b) have both lozenge-shaped and rounded morphologies, unlike the typical well-defined octahedral crystals of the other members of the series. Both of these forms of Zr-L2 crystallize in the lower symmetry orthorhombic Imma space group but have the same connectivity and composition as the other MOFs, and this is attributed to the presence of different ligand orientations. Of the 12 ligands connected to each Zr6 cluster, four ligands in an equatorial plane are planar with disordered methyl units (Figure 3c) while the other eight ligands adopt a conformation where the central dimethylphenylene unit is twisted by approximately 40° out of the plane of conjugation, and the methyl groups are fully ordered (Figure 3d). Twisting of the ligands in Zr-L2 is believed to be the result of steric interactions between the bulky methyl groups and adjacent phenyl units of the other interpenetrated net, which are in close proximity (Figure 3e).

It is interesting to note that L4, which contains fluorine atoms in the same positions that L2 contains methyl groups, can be incorporated into Zr and Hf MOFs with the expected cubic symmetry, as the steric interactions imposed by the fluorine atoms are insufficient to drive the structural perturbation observed in Zr-L2. In the crystal structure of Zr-L2 the ligands of one net bow away from the Zr6 cluster of the second net, while in Zr-L4 and the rest of the MOFs the ligands bow in toward the cluster, and this structural difference is likely to be due to the differing extent of steric interactions (see SI, Section S5).

The PXRD pattern of Zr-L2 changed significantly over the course of N2 adsorption experiments (Figure 3a). Comparing the experimental PXRD pattern of chloroform exchanged Zr-L2 (Zr-L2 exp) with the predicted pattern from the orthorhombic single crystal structure (Zr-L2 pred), it is clear that some of the peaks match well, while some of them are split, indicating the presence of multiple phases. The PXRD pattern of cubic Zr-L1 was also predicted (Zr-L1 pred), revealing that the experimental pattern of chloroform exchanged Zr-L2 resembles both the orthorhombic and cubic predicted patterns to some extent, again suggesting a mixture of two phases. The presence of mixed phases of Zr-L2 suggests that the material is dynamic and that it may be able to transition from the orthorhombic (Imma) to the cubic (Fd̅3m) phase by rotation of the central dimethylphenylene units. This is indeed confirmed by PXRD analysis of Zr-L2 after N2 adsorption experiments (Zr-L2 post-BET) with the pattern now in excellent agreement.
with the predicted pattern for cubic Zr-L1. Prior to N$_2$ uptake experiments the material was activated by heating at 120 °C for 20 h, and we believe that, upon heating, the ligands rotate to adopt the linear geometry found in the cubic MOFs. We further investigated this phenomenon by performing variable-temperature PXRD, and the same trend was observed, confirming that heating results in a structural modification and crystallographic phase change (see SI, Section S5).

With the series of interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs in hand, we decided to analyze and compare the effect of substitution on their physical properties. From the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) profiles (see SI, Section S6) it is clear that the thermal stabilities of the materials are relatively unaffected by the presence of either Zr$_6$ or Hf$_6$ clusters with degradation occurring at approximately the same temperature. TGA experiments were performed under an air atmosphere, resulting in decomposition of the MOFs to either ZrO$_2$ or HfO$_2$, and the increased mass of Hf relative to Zr accounts for the smaller percentage mass losses that are observed for the Hf MOFs. Ligand functionalization results in slightly altered thermal properties; however, there is no obvious negative impact on the MOFs’ thermal stabilities, with all 14 materials decomposing at ~450–500 °C.

Comparing the N$_2$ uptakes of the Zr MOFs (see SI, Section S7, and Figure 4a) it is evident that ligand functionalization results in a reduction in gravimetric N$_2$ uptake compared with Zr-L1, as would be expected. The N$_2$ uptakes of Zr-L2, Zr-L3, and Zr-L4 are similar, which is reassuring as all three MOFs contain two pendant moieties of similar mass: either methyl groups or fluorine atoms. The porosity of Zr-L7 is similar to those of the MOFs containing pendant dimethyl/fluorine moieties, while Zr-L6 displays a slightly lower uptake. The reason for the lower than expected BET surface area of Zr-L5 (1420 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) is not immediately obvious; however, low values were consistently observed for multiple batches. It should be noted that the uptake of Hf-L5 (675 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) is also lower than expected, and therefore it may be plausible that the activation conditions were ineffective for MOFs containing L5 or that during activation the MOFs were partially collapsing. The calculated pore-size distributions (QSDFT) are consistent with the functional groups occupying the pore space of the MOFs, with an observed reduction of the main pore diameter from 14.2 Å in Zr-L1 to 13.0 Å in Zr-L7 (Figure 4b). Similar trends were observed for the Hf MOFs. CO$_2$ uptake isotherms of the Zr MOFs were collected at 0 °C (see SI, Section S7) to examine the potential for MOF-guest interactions and reveal that, while ligand functionalization does not significantly improve the CO$_2$ uptake capacities under the pressure range investigated (Figure 4c), the similar uptakes obtained for Zr-L1, Zr-L6, and Zr-L7 (they are superimposed in Figure 4c) suggest that naphthyl and benzothiadiazolyl units do enhance CO$_2$ uptake to some extent. This enhancement comes in spite of the incorporation of bulky aromatics/heterocycles, presumably through favorable interactions between CO$_2$ and either the π-system of Zr-L6 or the electron-rich heterocycle of Zr-L7, which has been observed previously in a related Cu-MOF.63

Given that L1-Me$_2$ and its derivatives exhibit interesting absorption properties, and with the incorporation of known chromophores such as naphthyl64,65 and benzothiadiazoyl66,67 units into the MOFs, solid-state (SS) UV−vis spectra of all the Zr-Ln MOFs were collected (Figure 5a) and compared with those of the dimethyl esters (the diesters are a better model for the Zr MOFs as hydrogen bonding between carboxylates is nullified) and free acids of the ligands (see SI, Section S8). The comparisons revealed that in most cases the spectroscopic

![Figure 4](image_url) **Figure 4.** (a) N$_2$ adsorption and desorption isotherms collected at 77 K for the Zr MOFs. (b) Corresponding pore size distributions (QSDFT) for the MOF series. Analogous data for the Hf MOFs are given in the SI, Section S7. (c) CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms (273 K) of the Zr MOFs. (d) Calculated BET surface areas for all 14 Zr and Hf MOFs.

![Figure 5](image_url) **Figure 5.** (a) Comparison of the SS-UV−vis spectra of the Zr MOFs. Photographs of (b) Zr-L1 and (c) Zr-L5 highlight the unexpected intense yellow color of Zr-L5.
properties of the MOFs are generally inherited from the respective ligand.

In particular, it is clear that the benzoathiadiazolyl containing MOF (Zr-L7; a deep yellow solid) absorbs furthest into the visible region (∼600 nm), with its strongest absorbance occurring at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 391 \) nm. Zr-L6, a pale yellow solid comprised of naphthyl chromophores, also absorbs well into the visible region (\( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 333 \) nm), aligning well with the absorption properties of L6-Me2. Similarly, Zr-L5 absorbs in the visible region and is yellow (Figure 5c), correlating well with the SS-UV–vis spectra, despite L5-H2 and L5-Me2 being white. The red-shifted absorption of Zr-L5 relative to that of L5-Me2 can be ascribed to an LMCT transition that appears as a shoulder at approximately 460 nm.\(^6\) These transitions have been well described for both Zr\(^{IV}\) and Ti\(^{IV}\)-based UiO-66 systems,\(^69\) and here they are further red-shifted due to the high degree of conjugation within these peb\(^{2+}\) ligand systems. More generally, the transitions would be more appropriately described as ligand-to-cluster charge transfer (LCCT) since any participation in the CT comes from a cumulative cluster-centered energy state.\(^70\)

The SS-UV–vis absorption features of the MOFs and the conjugated nature of their bridging ligands prompted us to examine their respective emission behavior (see SI, Section S9) by solid-state photoluminescence spectroscopy in the presence of different small-molecule analytes to determine their potential for sensing. Emission spectra were collected for dry and wetted ligands, diesters, and MOFs, as well as MOF samples in the presence of gaseous \( \text{N}_2, \text{CO}_2, \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \). Small shifts in emission maxima were observed when the MOFs were exposed to various gases, although the most significant changes occurred upon wetting (see SI, Figure S34), which was not evident for the diesters (see SI, Figure S33). For example, Zr-L1 shows a demonstrable red-shift upon exposure to a hydrated environment, with \( \lambda_{\text{em}} = 407 \) nm drifting to 423 nm, and \( \Phi_f \) decreasing from 0.17 to 0.12 (Figure 6a).

We see no such effects with Zr-L5, where the central aryl-moiety of L5 is decorated with four fluorene atoms. The emission profiles are almost identical under both dry and wet conditions with little impact upon \( \Phi_f \) (Figure 6b). Having pores lined with fluorene atoms, we posit that the fluorescence emission of Zr-L5 does not change under hydrating conditions due to the increased hydrophobicity of the material, preventing water from penetrating into and interacting with the framework. Contact angles of packed powdered samples of Zr-L1 and Zr-L5 were measured to understand whether differences in hydrophobicity are responsible for the different emission behaviors (Figure 6c,d and SI, Section 10). Zr-L1 has a contact angle of ∼73.8° indicative of a hydrophilic material, while Zr-L5 has a contact angle of ∼164.8° which is typical of superhydrophobic materials.\(^71\)

These measurements show that small changes to the bridging organic ligand can considerably alter the MOF’s hydrophobicity.\(^72\) Recently, in a report by Maji et al., a self-cleaning Zn MOF containing alkoxyoctadecyl (C\(_{18}\))-substituted peb\(^{2+}\) ligands displayed superhydrophobic behavior,\(^73\) while MOFs containing fluorine-abundant ligands have been shown to be hydrophobic.\(^74,75\) In contrast, we have shown that, by substitution of only one-third of the aromatic protons for fluorine atoms in the organic ligand, one can generate a superhydrophobic surface comparable to the remarkable examples detailed above.\(^76,77\) Zr-L5 is an example of a stable, carboxylate-based fluorinated MOF, and we anticipate that other functionalized peb\(^{2+}\) ligands could be synthesized and incorporated into interpenetrated Zr MOFs to control hydrophobicity.

Of all the MOFs examined, Zr-L6 and Zr-L7 exhibited the most significant changes in the presence of the different analytes likely as a consequence of the intrinsic fluorescence of their functional units. The solid-state fluorescence emission of evacuated Zr-L7 (\( \lambda_{\text{em}} = 500 \) nm; \( \lambda_{\text{exc}} = 468 \) nm, Figure 7) is noticeably different to the corresponding diester L7-Me3 (\( \lambda_{\text{em}} = 525 \) nm; \( \lambda_{\text{exc}} = 450 \) nm), with a hypsochromic shift in the major emission band due to separation of ligands in the MOF removing any interligand CT, as well as the influence of the more accessible LCCT noted above. More specifically, Zr-L7 exhibits two clear transitions centered at 500 and 525 nm.

For both MOFs, the most sensitive fluorescence emission change is observed upon hydration, with the pronounced changes in Zr-L7 suggesting application as a water vapor sensor (Figure 7). As Zr-L7 is exposed to hydrated environments, the 500 nm emission band begins to quench, while the emission at 525 nm red-shifts to 586 nm (both at 97% relative humidity (H\(_{\text{rel}}\)) and when wetted, \( \lambda_{\text{exc}} = 468 \) nm), a significant \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) color shift of 86 nm (see chromaticity in Figure 7 inset). Zr-L7 also

![Figure 6. Normalized solid-state photoluminescence emission profiles of (a) Zr-L1 and (b) Zr-L5, under dry and wet conditions. Contact angles were measured for (c) Zr-L1 and (d) Zr-L5.](image_url)
Particularly noteworthy is the emission shift at 10.1021/jacs.7b02184 and inherent vacancies made available coupled with the SI SI SI This is hydration-dependent emission behavior. The requirement of the framework topology for the solvent- and cause slight alterations to the L6 dependent emission shifts in the solid state (see SI, Figure S34). This is further shifted by cooperative hydrogen-bonding effects with L7 (as seen with other protic solvents), compared with Zr-L6 where hydrogen bonding to the naphthyl units is not possible. Few studies have reported intrinsic fluorescence sensing of water by MOFs,SI,S27,S28 This is noteworthy since Zr-MOFs are ideal platforms for water sorptionSI and sensing applications due to their well-known aqueous stability,SI,S28,S29 and inherent vacancies made available upon activation from indiscriminately defective Zr-clusters within the networks. Furthermore, the decrease in intensity at λem = 465 nm decreases upon wetting. This decrease in intensity suggests that water is causing a structural perturbation of the MOF, presumably by altering the degree of ligand twisting. Furthermore, the decrease in intensity at λem = 465 nm is accompanied by an increase in Φf, indicative of a higher population of decay centered on the transition at λem = 486 nm. It is worth noting that this observation is purely with material that has been exposed to liquid water; exposure to a range of increasing Hrel values perturbs the system only minimally. Coupled with the observed crystallographic transformation from the orthorhombic (twisted/planar ligands) to cubic structure (planar ligands) under heating noted above, these emission data lead us to believe that wetting may result in an increased population of the planar ligand conformation.

From these results, it is clear that solid-state photoluminescence spectroscopy is a versatile tool to not only probe host–guest interactions in MOFs (with potential for sensing) but also physical properties such as hydrophobicity, and subtle structural features such as the ligand rotation phenomenon observed in Zr-L2.

### CONCLUSIONS

We have prepared a series of interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs comprised of functionalized 4,4′-[1,4-phenylene-bis(ethyne-2,1-diy1)]-dibenzoate ligands and shown that, while functionalization does not affect overall topology, it does induce subtle changes in linker orientation and net-net interactions in the solid state. In particular, the pendant methyl groups of Zr-L2 induce a change in crystal habit and symmetry, through steric clashes and resultant twisting of dimethylphenylene units to form an orthorhombic structure, which can subsequently be

![Figure 9. Normalized solid-state photoluminescence emission of Zr-L2 under dry and wet conditions alongside a schematic representation of the observed twisting of the ligands (λem = 396 nm).](image-url)

### Figure 8. Normalized solid-state photoluminescence emission spectra of Zr-L2 after exposure to various solvents (λem = 396 nm). Inset: Correlation of change in λem with solvent dipole moment; water and toluene have been excluded from correlation as they demonstrate direct interaction.

Water (node coordination) and toluene (π-stacking) behave as outliers. In contrast, Zr-L7 demonstrates less pronounced solvatochromism, with no distinct trends relating to solvent dipole moment, dielectric, or polarity indices. It is worth noting that L2-Me3 and L7-Me3 show no distinctive solvent-dependent emission shifts in the solid state (see SI, Figure S43), in contrast to their respective Zr MOFs. This confirms the requirement of the framework topology for the solvent- and hydration-dependent emission behavior.
converted to the parent cubic form by heating, with the twisted dimethylphenylene units returning to planarity. The MOFs have excellent porosity, with the introduction of aromatic units and nucleophilic heterocycles enhancing CO₂ uptake. Access to this related series of MOFs with intrinsically fluorescent linkers has allowed us to probe their structures and properties using solid-state photoluminescence spectroscopy, demonstrating the versatility and potential power of the technique. The subtle structural changes described for Zr-L₅ can be observed in the relative intensities of different emission maxima when spectra are collected under different conditions. Incorporation of naphthyl and benzothiadiazolyl fluorophores into Zr-L₆ and Zr-L₇, respectively, generates MOFs that can detect guest molecules, with highly sensitive emission changes upon wetting and structural stability suggesting possible use of Zr-L₇ as a water sensor. Additionally, the superhydrophobicity of the partially fluorinated Zr-L₅ can be seen by the close correlation between its spectra collected when wet and dry, in contrast to water sensor. Additionally, the superhydrophobicity of the free ligands, has allowed us to demonstrate not only the potential for simple introduction of such structural and physical variety in the resulting MOFs, but also the potential for using photoluminescence spectroscopy as a facile technique to probe these subtle changes in MOF structure, physical properties, and host–guest interactions. We also expect the dynamic behavior of these interpenetrated Zr and Hf MOFs to modulate their mechanical properties, while studies investigating modulation of their physical and optical properties by synthesizing new function-alized analogues are underway.
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