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Processes for the biological removal of phosphate from wastewater rely on the temporary manipulation of bacterial polyphosphate levels by phased environmental stimuli. In E. coli polyphosphate levels are controlled via the polyphosphate-synthesizing enzyme polyphosphate kinase (PPK1) and exopolyphosphatases (PPX and GPPA), and are temporarily enhanced by PPK1 overexpression and reduced by PPX overexpression. We hypothesised that partitioning PPK1 from cytoplasmic exopolyphosphatases would increase and stabilise E. coli polyphosphate levels. Partitioning was achieved by co-expression of E. coli PPK1 fused with a microcompartment-targeting sequence and an artificial operon of Citrobacter freundii bacterial microcompartment genes. Encapsulation of targeted PPK1 resulted in persistent phosphate uptake and stably increased cellular polyphosphate levels throughout cell growth and into the stationary phase, while PPK1 overexpression alone produced temporary polyphosphate increase and phosphate uptake. Targeted PPK1 increased polyphosphate in microcompartments 8-fold compared with non-targeted PPK1. Co-expression of PPX polyphosphatase with targeted PPK1 had little effect on elevated cellular polyphosphate levels because microcompartments retained polyphosphate. Co-expression of PPX with non-targeted PPK1 reduced cellular polyphosphate levels. Thus, subcellular compartmentalisation of a polymerising enzyme sequesters metabolic products from competing catabolism by preventing catabolic enzyme access. Specific application of this process to polyphosphate is of potential application for biological phosphate removal.
1. Introduction

Polyphosphate is a molecule thought to be present in all organisms [1] playing a role in cellular metabolic processes, stress response processes, virus replication and cell structure [2]. Bacterial polyphosphate accumulation underlies the enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) process, which uses microorganisms to remove inorganic phosphate (Pi) from wastewater [3]. Phosphate recovery processes are required to reduce eutrophication, the overgrowth of cyanobacteria and plants in water polluted by excess phosphorus from human activity [4], and to recycle phosphate because of the unsustainability of current natural resources beyond the next century [5]. In EBPR, cycling of wastewater sludge through aerobic and anaerobic phases of incubation lasting several hours, when continued over a period of weeks selects a bacterial consortium that has a net effect of removing phosphorus from wastewater over the cycle by accumulating it in the sludge. Polyphosphate-accumulating bacteria are key consortium components [6, 7]. Phosphate release from the consortium occurs in the anaerobic phase in parallel with consumption of volatile fatty acids, polyhydroxyalkanoate polymer formation and glycogen utilisation. In the aerobic phase stored polyhydroxyalkanoate is catabolised, glycogen replenished and phosphate taken up to form polyphosphate granules [7]. EBPR is a complex dynamic process - an uncultured bacterium Candidatus Accumulibacter phosphatis performs a key role in EBPR polyphosphate accumulation [8], and a functioning EBPR reactor may cease phosphate removal for unknown reasons [3, 9].

Genetic manipulation of aspects of phosphate binding, uptake and storage by a single model organism such as E. coli has been suggested as an alternative or additive approach
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to biological phosphorus removal [10–13]. One issue with over-expression of a
polyphosphate forming enzyme in E. coli has been that most of the consequent increase of
polyphosphate is temporary, probably because of the existence of competing catabolic
enzymes [14, 15] and phosphate release from the cells then occurs as the polyphosphate is
broken down.

In E. coli polyphosphate kinase PPK1 (EC. 2.7.4.1) is the enzyme responsible for
assembling inorganic polyphosphate polymers in the bacterial cytoplasm by catalysing the
reaction \( n\text{ATP} \rightarrow (\text{polyphosphate})_n + n\text{ADP} \) [16, 17]. Although this is a reversible reaction,
in E. coli this enzyme generally favours synthesis of polyphosphate over breakdown (Vmax
ratio of 4.1) [17] (Fig. 1A). However, the balance between net accumulation and breakdown
changes dynamically during culture growth and also in response to external stimuli such as
anaerobiosis in part due to the action of degradative exopolyphosphatases. In this
respect E. coli contains two such polyphosphatases that release orthophosphate from the
termini of long chain polyphosphate: \((\text{polyphosphate})_n \rightarrow (\text{polyphosphate})_{n-1} + \text{Pi}\). The
two polyphosphatases are called PPX (EC. 3.6.1.11, sometimes referred to as PPX1) [18, 19],
which is encoded in the same operon as PPK1, and its homologue guanosine
pentaphosphate phosphohydrolase (GPPA or PPX2) [17, 20]. GPPA (EC. 3.6.1.40) also
hydrolyses guanosine pentaphosphate (pppGpp) to guanosine tetraphosphate (ppGpp) with
phosphate release as part of the control of the stringent response. Both PPX and GPPA are
competitively inhibited by pppGpp [21]. Consequently, amino acid starvation in E. coli
leads to the accumulation of large amounts of polyphosphate due to the high levels of
pppGpp produced as part of the stringent response [21]. In E. coli ppk1 and ppx are adjacent

Commented [MOU1]: Anaerobiosis: Dictionary definition e.g. Mirriam-Webster is “life in the absence of air or free oxygen”. Anaerobiosis
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1 genes forming an operon and knockout of ppx alone has been engineered by combined
2 knockout of ppk1 and ppk with heterologous plasmid expression of ppk1 [15, 22, 23].
3 Elevation of polyphosphate levels in these cells rapidly declines a few hours after ppk1
4 plasmid induction whether ppx is active or knocked out [15], showing that PPX is not the
5 sole cause of instability in polyphosphate levels in E. coli. We hypothesized that an
6 alternative approach to prevent the access of all other cytoplasmic enzymes, (not just PPX)
7 to polyphosphate formed from recombinant PPK1 would stabilise cellular polyphosphate
8 levels and create a phosphate-retaining phenotype. The mechanism used to achieve this is
9 targeting of PPK1 to a recombinant bacterial microcompartment.

10 Bacterial microcompartments (BMCs) are proteinaceous vesicles found in certain bacteria
11 that house specific metabolic pathways encased within a closed polyhedral shell of 100-
12 150 nm diameter. The shells are made of thin protein sheets [24] containing pores less than
13 1 nm in diameter [25] which can be positively or negatively charged. There are two broad
14 groups of BMCs, those associated with the anabolic process of RuBisCO-mediated carbon
15 fixation (carboxysomes) and those associated with catabolic fermentative processes such
16 as 1,2-propanediol utilisation (metabolosomes) [26, 27]. Although BMCs were first seen
17 over fifty years ago in photosynthetic cyanobacteria [28], their presence in the cytoplasm
18 of heterotrophic bacteria was only confirmed in 1998 [29] after they were detected in thin
19 sections of Salmonella enterica grown on 1,2-propanediol. In fact, around twenty per cent
20 of bacterial genome sequences contain BMC structural genes [26], in many cases
21 associated with enzymes of unknown function [30].
A significant proportion of bacteria therefore make a major investment in retaining and expressing large (15+ gene) operons encoding these structures and associated enzymes. It is believed the structures help mediate metabolic efficiency by selective limitation of the shell pores on the passage of substrates [31], by metabolite channelling, or other unknown mechanisms resulting in retention of reaction intermediates within the structure [32, 33].

Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium accrues a competitive metabolic advantage by BMC-mediated respiration of ethanolamine in a mouse colitis model [34]. Enterohaemorrhagic E. coli obtains a similar competitive advantage from BMC-mediated ethanolamine fermentation in bovine intestinal fluid [35], favouring persistent intestinal carriage.

Recombinant BMCs using genes from Citrobacter freundii can be expressed heterologously in E. coli [36], both with and without [37] the associated interior enzymes. Peptide sequences enabling enzyme localisation to the BMC interior have been identified [37] [38]. For instance, the first 18 amino acids of PduP, P18, or the first 18 or 60 amino acids of PduD (D18 or D60) can be used as fusions to direct “foreign” proteins into the BMC [39]. Compartmentalisation of the cellular interior is a functionally transforming process often thought of as characteristic of eukaryotes [40], but specific localisation of any enzyme to a re-engineered BMC in bacteria could increase metabolic flexibility of the bacterial host enabling novel phenotypes [41]. Nanotechnological applications of biological compartment systems have included the use of viral capsids for DNA delivery [42], lumazine synthase enclosure of HIV protease [43], and the compartmentalisation of a metabolic pathway in a bacterial microcompartment [39]. We hypothesized that directing
PPK to a BMC would enhance polyphosphate formation within a cellular compartment and that segregation from the known degradative polyphosphatases and other cytoplasmic enzymes should stabilise accumulation of polyphosphate (Fig.1A).

2. Materials and Methods

Strains, plasmids and culture conditions
E. coli was grown in LB or MOPS medium [44] with either 0.5 mM or 1.0 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$ as indicated. Expression of genes cloned into pET vectors was induced by IPTG in E. coli BL21 (DE3) and E. coli BL21 Tuner™ (DE3) (Novagen). Strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1 and oligonucleotides in Supplementary Data Table S1. To reduce background expression levels of T7 RNA polymerase causing leaky gene expression prior to specific IPTG induction, a T7 lysozyme gene was present in all clones on pLysS or its derivative pSF37 (pLysS-pduABJKNU) unless specifically indicated. Cultures for phosphate uptake experiments were incubated at 37 °C and a sample growth curve is shown in Supplementary Data Fig. S3A. When inducing pDuet vectors the incubation temperature was lowered to 18 °C.

Molecular biology techniques
Cloning strains used in this study were E. coli JM109 or E. coli TOP10 (Invitrogen). E. coli BL21(DE3) and E. coli BL21 Tuner™(DE3) were used for expression following standard transformation techniques [45]. For PCR reactions standard protocols were applied using an MJ Research PTC-200 Thermal Cycler for reaction cycles. Genomic DNA
was extracted from E. coli JM109 using a Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). Plasmid constructs were sequenced commercially (GATC Biotech).

**Targeting of PPK1 to microcompartments**

The cloning strategy used to engineer plasmids for targeting of PPK1 to the microcompartment is summarised in Supplementary Data Fig.S1. The ppk1 gene coding for polyphosphate kinase (PPK1) was PCR-amplified with a proofreading DNA polymerase (Bioline High Velocity Polymerase, Bioline UK, London), using genomic DNA from E. coli JM109 as template, using the forward primer PPK1-F and the reverse primer PPK1-R (Table S1). The PCR product was digested with SacI and HindIII (Fermentas) followed by ligation into pET23b-pduP18-gfp digested with SacI and HindIII. The gene encoding the GFP was replaced by ppk with retention of the pdu localization sequence p18. The ligation product was transformed into E. coli Top 10 electrocompetent cells (Invitrogen) by electroporation. The new vector, named pML001 (pET23b-pduP18-ppk1), was extracted and the ppk1 insert was sequenced (GATC-Biotech) to confirm no mutation had occurred. Two constructs, pML001 and pLysSpduABJKNU (pSF37), expressing empty pdu BMCs [37]), were co-transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) by heat shock.

**Co-expression of targeted and untargeted PPK1 and PPX**

The pCOLADuet-1 coexpression vector (Novagen) system encoding two multiple cloning sites (MCS) each preceded by a T7 promoter, lac operator, and ribosome binding site was used to express targeted and untargeted E. coli PPK1 and PPX (ppx amplified from E. coli
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JM109) in combination (pYY005, pYY007, pYY008) and alone (pYY002, pYY010) (see Table 1).

Microcompartment purification

Recombinant BMCs were extracted from E. coli by modification of the method of Sinha et al [46]. A single colony of E. coli BL21(DE3) containing the plasmid encoding microcompartment proteins (pLysS-pduABJKNU) was picked and grown in 200 ml of LB to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.4 followed by protein induction with 0.4 mM IPTG. Cells were harvested at OD$_{600}$ 1.0-1.2 and washed twice with 40 ml of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM KCl, 12.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1.5% 1,2-PD). Cells (1 g wet weight) were resuspended in a mixture of 10 ml of buffer A and 15 ml of B-PER II bacterial protein extraction reagent supplemented with 5mM mercaptoethanol, Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) at the manufacturer’s recommended working dilution, 25 mg of lysozyme, and 2 mg of DNase I. The suspension was incubated for 30 min on a shaking platform at room temperature and on ice for 5 min. After initial removal of cell debris by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C (repeated twice), the BMC fraction was pelleted by spinning at 20,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed once with a mixture of 4 ml of buffer A and 6 ml of B-PER II and resuspended in 0.5 ml of buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1% 1,2-PD) containing protease inhibitor. Remaining cell debris was removed by centrifugation for 1 min at 12,000 x g at 4°C (repeated three times). Aliquots (50 µg) of extracted protein were separated by SDS-PAGE using a 15% polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions in a MiniProtean apparatus (Bio-Rad) and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 (Fig.1B). To confirm the identity of proteins peptide fingerprinting by MALDI-TOF-MS (matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization-
time of flight mass spectroscopy) was carried out as previously described [47]. Microcompartments used in ATP regeneration assays (Fig. 1C,D) and whole cell polyphosphate assays (Fig 2) were initially extracted with CelLytic B (Sigma-Aldrich) instead of B-PER II because of reported efficacy of this reagent for polyphosphate extraction [48]. Later comparisons of B-PER II extractions and CelLytic B extractions had shown little difference in measured polyphosphate levels and B-PER II was used for microcompartment extractions shown in Fig. 3. Micrococcal nuclease 2 mg (Sigma-Aldrich) prepared with calcium buffer was substituted for DNase I for all microcompartment extractions where polyphosphate was assayed because of the potential adverse effect of Mg$^{2+}$ containing buffers on polyphosphate [49].

**ATP regeneration assay to detect PPK1 enzyme activity in microcompartments**

A combination of two previously described PPK1 assay methods [48, 50] using luciferase to detect ATP produced from polyphosphate by PPK1 was used as a biochemical screen for the presence of PPK1 and polyphosphate in microcompartment fractions. Briefly, to assay relative PPK1 content 20 µL of BMC extract was added to a 100 µL reaction mixture containing: ultrapure ADP (ATP-free, Cell Technology Inc, Ca), 30 mM MgCl$_2$, 1% (w/v) Polyphosphate (Sigma), 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8). The reaction mixture was diluted 1:100 in 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 4 mM EDTA, of which 0.1 mL was added to 0.1 mL of luciferase reaction mixture from ATP Bioluminescence Assay Kit CLS II (Roche). Luminescence was measured by using a luminometer (Luminoskan, Thermo Labsystems). A standard curve for ATP by dilution in 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 containing 4 mM EDTA was used to determine PPK1 activity of the extracts (Fig. 1C). To assay relative
polypolyphosphate content, the same reaction omitting added polyphosphate was performed (Figure 1D).

**Determination of polyphosphate content of whole cells and extracted microcompartments**

Polyphosphate concentrations in whole cells presented in Fig. 2A were determined following lysis of pelleted cells from 10 ml of cultures. A metachromatic assay was employed using the 530/630nm absorbance ratio of 10 µL of lysate added to 1 mL of toluidine dye solution (6 mg/L toluidine blue in 40 mM acetic acid) as described [51]. In later experiments (Fig. 3) polyphosphate was determined by a higher-yielding method using 4′-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) as described previously [52]. For this method cells were harvested by centrifuging at 5000 x g for 10 min at 4° C. After washing in 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) the cell pellet or purified microcompartment sample was frozen at -20° C followed by defrosting at room temperature. Cell pellets/purified microcompartments were resuspended in HEPES buffer at an appropriate dilution to ensure that the cellular polyP concentration was in the linear range of the DAPI assay (0-6 g polyP/ml). Total assay volume was 300µl which included 100 µL of polyP containing samples and 200 µL of DAPI assay buffer containing 150 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.0) and 10 µM DAPI solution. After a 10 min incubation at room temperature DAPI fluorescence was measured with a plate reader equipped with excitation and emission filters of 420 nm and 550 nm respectively. This method was also used to assess the efficacy of heat treatment in releasing polyphosphate from cells (Supplementary Data Fig. S4).
A polyphosphate standard curve was prepared using sodium phosphate glass Type 45 (S4379 Aldrich) and sodium hexametaphosphate (SX0583). Protein concentration of cell extracts was measured using a 10μL sample by Bradford assay [53], with Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce) with bovine serum albumin as the standard resuspended in the same buffer as the sample.

**Phosphate uptake** by bacteria from defined media was determined as follows (Fig. 2B). Strains were cultured in LB to OD$_{600}$ 0.4-0.6 and then induced for 1 hr with 0.5 mM of IPTG before being transferred to MOPS medium pH 5.5 [44] containing 0.01 mM iron and 0.5 mM potassium phosphate, at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.2. Incubation at 37°C was continued up to 48 hrs with intermittent sampling of 0.2 mL. Samples were pelleted by centrifugation and the MOPS medium was analysed for orthophosphate concentration. The cell pellet was analysed for polyphosphate content and protein concentration as described above. Orthophosphate was assayed using a molybdovanadate colorimetric method [54]. 0.2 mL of molybdovanadate solution (Reagecon, cat no: 1056700) was added to 5 mL of culture medium, mixed and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Optical density of 1 mL was measured at 430 nm against a blank of 4% molybdovanadate in distilled water and a calibration curve of potassium phosphate in MOPS.

**Light Microscopy**

Polyphosphate granules were visualised (Fig. 4) by Neisser’s stain using Chrysoidin counterstain [55].
Electron microscopy for parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy (PEELS) and element mapping by electron spectroscopic imaging (ESI)

Unstained cells were fixed in 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.3 (Sigma), dehydrated in an acetone-series and embedded in epoxy resin (Spurr, hard mixture; [56]), as described [57]. For elemental analysis 30 - 40 nm ultrathin sections (otherwise 90 nm for general ultrastructure) were sectioned with a Reichelt-Jung ultramicrotome (Leica, Vienna, Austria), equipped with a diamond knife and were picked up with 300 mesh Cu-grids. Electron micrographs were recorded in the elastic brightfield mode (slit width: 10 eV) with an EF-TEM (operated in general at 120 kV acceleration voltage), equipped with an in-column Omega-type energy filter (LIBRA120 plus, Zeiss, Oberkochen Germany), in a magnification range from x 4000 to x 32000 with a bottom-mount cooled 2048 x 2048 CCD camera (SharpEye; Tröndle, Moorenweis, Germany).

Parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy (PEELS)

Spot-PEELS were recorded within electron dense cytoplasmic inclusion bodies. Spot-size was set to 16 nm and the objective aperture was 60 μm (spectrum magnification: x100; energy range: 67 – 290 eV; recording time: 10 s; emission current: 1 μA) and the spectrum energy resolution was about 1.6 eV at zero-loss (FWHM). Recorded PEELS data were corrected for background, applying the ‘potence’ underground function of the EsiVision Pro Software (EsiVision Pro, Vers. 3.2; SIS – Soft Imaging Systems, Munster, Germany) and were ‘medium’-filtered (settings: 1.5 eV width).

Element mapping by electron spectroscopic imaging (ESI)
Phosphorus mapping was performed as previously described [57] with unstained 35 nm ultrathin sections. According to the '3-window method' energy-windows were set to a dedicated energy loss for the P-L23 edge, as it was given by the corresponding first intensity maximum from the spot-PEELS, i.e. 138 eV (W1: 125 eV; W2: 115 eV). The energy selective slit was set to 6 eV width, and images were recorded with an illumination aperture of 0.63 mrad, an emission current of 1 μA, a 60 μm objective aperture, and a nominal magnification of x 6300. Background subtraction for calculating the phosphorus element map was performed by the 'multiwindow exponential difference' method.

3. Results

PPK1 is targeted to recombinant BMCs

The localisation of PPK1 to a recombinant BMC was achieved by engineering a fusion between the known targeting peptide P18 and the N-terminus of PPK1, resulting in P18-PPK1. Recombinant BMCs with the associated P18-PPK1 were isolated from a strain co-producing the C. freundii Pdu shell proteins PduABJKNU (pSF37) and P18-PPK1 (pML001). Analysis of the purified BMC fraction by SDS-PAGE revealed the presence of P18-PPK1 together with the BMC-associated shell proteins (Fig. 1B).

A functional assay designed to maximise PPK1’s ATP breakdown function was employed to determine the activity of PPK1 when it was directed to the BMC. In comparison to BMCs isolated from cells producing only empty BMCs, or empty BMCs and non-targeted PPK, the purified BMCs from the strain co-producing BMCs and P18-PPK1 generated over twenty-fold more ATP per mg of protein from added polyphosphate (Fig. 1C).
was little activity in the equivalent protein fraction prepared using the same microcompartment purification protocol from cells producing only P18-PPK1 in the absence of BMCs. This showed that polyphosphate kinase activity had been transferred to the microcompartments by enzyme targeting.

The same ATP regeneration assay was repeated in the absence of any added exogenous polyphosphate (Fig. 1D). Any ATP generated in this assay would therefore reflect the amount of endogenous polyphosphate within the fraction. The BMC fraction from the cells that co-produced both the BMCs and P18-PPK1 generated more than twice as much ATP as control BMC fractions from cells expressing empty BMCs or BMCs with non-targeted PPK1 (Fig. 1D). This result indicates that the BMCs from strains co-expressing targeted PPK1 had increased levels of polyphosphate, compatible with localisation of PPK1 to the microcompartment and formation of polyphosphate in situ.

Effect of PPK1 targeting effect on polyphosphate content and phosphate uptake,

Targeted PPK1 with co-expressed BMCs conferred a distinct cellular phenotype. DAPI negative staining of polyphosphate extracted from whole cells cultured at 37 °C, size-separated on a PAGE gel (Supplementary Data Figure S2), showed that the polyphosphate detected in strains over-expressing either P18-PPK1 alone or P18-PPK1 and BMCs exceeded the length of the sodium phosphate glass Type 45 polyphosphate control. This indicates that long chain polyphosphate is present in these strains. No qualitative difference in chain length was detected between these two clones but long chain polyphosphate in the E. coli strain over expressing P18-PPK1 and recombinant BMCs was less evident at time
zero but persisted to a later phase of growth (Fig. S2) than in cells expressing P18-PPK1 alone. The cells expressing P18-PPK1 alone contained long chain polyphosphate before IPTG induction, probably because of promoter leakage (Supplementary Data Figure S2).

No long chain polyphosphate was detected in the E. coli control.

A simultaneous quantitative assay of the cellular polyphosphate and phosphate content of the culture supernatant from the cultures used in the polyphosphate chain length assay was also undertaken. Here, increased phosphate uptake from culture medium was observed in comparison to the host E. coli control (Fig. 2B) by both the P18-PPK1-expressing strain and the strain expressing both P18-PPK1 and BMCs. A maximal uptake of approximately 0.25 mM at 20 hours was observed for both strains. However, the P18-PPK1-expressing strain returned a third of this phosphate to the supernatant after 48 hours, while the strain expressing both P18-PPK1 and BMCs returned less than 9% of phosphate taken up by 48 hours. Correspondingly, the cell-associated polyphosphate levels of the P18-PPK1 strain were maximal at 20 hours and declined thereafter (Fig. 2A), while the P18-PPK1 and BMC-expressing strain retained approximately the same level of cell associated polyphosphate at 48 hours as at 20 hours. The polyphosphate time course was repeated with a simultaneous growth curve and both co-expression of targeted PPK1 and recombinant microcompartments in E. coli expression of targeted PPK1 alone caused a similar mild growth retardation to (Supplementary Data Fig. S3).

BMCs protect endogenous polyphosphate from exogenous polyphosphatases
Simultaneous expression of polyphosphate-forming PPK1 and the exopolyphosphatase PPX from the pDuet vector in BMC-expressing E.coli strains was used to examine the effect of BMC-targeting of these enzymes on cellular polyphosphate accumulation. The induction of non-targeted PPK1 from the pDuet vector increased whole cell polyphosphate levels 5-fold in comparison to control cells containing the BMC shell protein operon and the pDuet vector with no enzyme insert (the enzyme-free control, Fig. 3). It did not increase the polyphosphate content of co-expressed recombinant microcompartments when compared to the enzyme-free control. However, P18-PPK1, when co-produced with the BMCs, increased polyphosphate levels in the BMC fraction 8-fold in comparison to the enzyme-free control, while giving a similar overall 5-fold increase in whole cell polyphosphate to that seen with expression of non-targeted PPK1.

Co-expression of non-targeted polyphosphatase PPX with non-targeted PPK1 reduced whole cell polyphosphate levels by 50% compared with non-targeted PPK1 expression alone, with little effect on polyphosphate levels in the microcompartment fraction. Co-expression of non-targeted PPX and BMC-targeted P18-PPK1 reduced whole cell polyphosphate levels by 22% and BMC-associated polyphosphate by 18% when compared with microcompartment targeted PPK1 alone. BMC-associated polyphosphate was still at least 2.5 times greater than in cells co-expressing non-targeted PPK1 in the presence or absence of non-targeted PPX. Co-expression of BMC targeted P18-PPK1 with PPX targeted to the microcompartment using a different tag (D60) reduced the BMC-associated polyphosphate content by 50% in comparison to the BMC-targeted P18-PPK1 alone, while reducing whole cell polyphosphate by 22%. The D60 tag was used empirically, to avoid
risking potential interference with targeting caused by using the same tag for two enzymes, subsequent experiments outside the scope of this manuscript showed differential tagging was unnecessary. These data suggest that the BMC-targeting of PPK1 results in the synthesis of polyphosphate that is located primarily within the BMC fraction of the cell and is relatively inaccessible to cytoplasmic co-expressed PPX, but more accessible to BMC-targeted PPX. However, this polyphosphate is released from cells by heat treatment (Supplementary Data Figure S4).

Microscopy

Blue-black granules were apparent with Neisser’s stain in a proportion of all cells overexpressing P18-PPK1, but not the E. coli BL21 (DE3) insert-free control or without any targeted enzyme (Fig. 4). These appearances are consistent with the accumulation of intracellular polyphosphate in E. coli cells with increased PPK1 activity. All cells overexpressing P18-PPK1 showed a heterogeneous granule phenotype, with a proportion of non-toluidine blue staining cells in all fields.

E. coli expressing the recombinant microcompartment and P18-PPK1 retained the polyphosphate staining at 44 hours whereas cells expressing P18-PPK1 without the recombinant microcompartment showed reduced staining after 40 hours (Fig. 4).

All E. coli expressing the recombinant microcompartment had a proportion of cells which were greatly elongated. All E. coli forming multiple polyphosphate granules tended to be larger than the non-granulated cells, presumably because of distension by the granules.
However, the largest cells were seen with co-expression of recombinant microcompartments and the tagged P18-PPK1 enzyme.

**Electron-loss spectroscopic analysis by Energy-filtered Transmission Electron Microscopy (EFTEM).**

Increased phosphorus deposition was detected in all cells expressing recombinant E. coli PPK1 by EFTEM (Fig. 5C,D,E,F), verified from PEELS measurement (see below), compared with control E. coli strains with no recombinant gene expression (Fig. 5A) or expressing microcompartment genes (Fig. 5B). In cells expressing PPK1 alone, most phosphate signal was represented by particles <5 nm, but some large homogeneous masses > 200 nm with plane edges were visible (Fig. 5C) in a few cells. In cells expressing targeted PPK1 and a recombinant microcompartment operon, in addition to signals from particles <5 nm, multiple phosphate signals from particles 50-100 nm were present (Fig. 5D,E,F) and in some cases large circular masses/crescents > 300 nm were present (Fig. 5D,F). These large masses were not homogeneous and appeared composed of small particles and the cells containing them were enlarged. These images appeared similar to light microscopy observations (Fig. 4D,H,L).

**Parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy (PEELS)**

Spot-PEELS recorded from dark inclusions apparent as electron dense regions about 100 nm in diameter (Fig 5G), confirmed they contained phosphate, verified from the characteristic ELNES-fingerprint (Energy-Loss Near-Edge Structure) of reference spectra
that were recorded from sodium polyphosphate (Fig. 5G). The largest polyphosphate
inclusion in figure 5E, shown in yellow, is magnified in the inset of the spot-PEELS (Fig.
5G); here the 16 nm beam spot and its position are indicated (white circle).
4. Discussion

Polyphosphate accumulation is the basis of the enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) process, which uses microorganisms to remove inorganic phosphate (Pi) from wastewater. Accumulation occurs in aerobic conditions as intracellular polyphosphate [8, 12] is released as Pi in anaerobic conditions [58] or when the consortium is supplied with organic carbon or heated [12]. The best characterized enzyme responsible for polyphosphate synthesis (PPK1), originally found in E. coli [59], can only be detected in silico in the genome sequences of a minority of bacterial genera [60]. The enzyme responsible for polyphosphate synthesis in most bacteria therefore remains to be identified [60].

In E. coli, polyphosphate accumulation in wild-type strains occurs with amino acid starvation or in the stationary phase [21, 50, 61]. Large amounts of polyphosphate accumulate only if the copy number of ppk1 is increased [14], or a heterologous ppk gene is supplied [62], or the phosphate regulatory gene phoU is mutated [63, 64]. Even in E. coli strains overexpressing ppk1, initial accumulation of polyphosphate is known to be partially or completely reversed as the cells reach stationary phase [14, 15]. Because this also occurs in E. coli overexpressing ppk1 with no chromosomal functioning ppx gene it has been suggested to be due to either product-induced reversal of the PPK-catalysed reaction, or the activity of another phosphatase enzyme present in the cytoplasm [15]. We observed a similar reversal of polyphosphate accumulation in our overexpressing ppk1 clone, accompanied by increasing Pi in the culture supernatant (Fig. 2). This did not occur when
the \textit{ppk1} gene was engineered to encode an N-terminal BMC localisation sequence (p18-ppk1) and was expressed in trans with an operon encoding an empty BMC.

Cells co-producing P18-PPK1 and the empty BMC had a different phosphorus distribution by EFTEM (Fig 5D,E,F) to those expressing PPK1 alone (Fig. 5C), containing single or agglomerated particles in the BMC size range. BMC extractions show the presence of metabolically active PPK1 (Fig.1C,D) and polyphosphate in the BMC fraction (Fig.1D;Fig.3) when PPK1 is microcompartment-targeted in this way. Our results suggest that targeting of PPK1 to a bacterial microcompartment still allows access of the small molecule substrate ATP to the enzyme (Figure 1A), but effectively stabilises the large polymer polyphosphate product (Fig. 2B).

We hypothesized that this stabilisation results from reduced access of PPX, GPPA or other cytoplasmic phosphatases to the polyphosphate produced by BMC-targeted PPK1.

To confirm this we carried out co-expression experiments of PPK1 with PPX (Fig. 3). Co-production of PPX with PPK1 resulted in lower cellular polyphosphate levels than expression of ppk1 alone (Fig. 3), as has been previously reported [65]. This reduction in total cellular polyphosphate was partially prevented by BMC-association of PPK1, due to increased levels of polyphosphate in the BMC fraction. BMC-targeting of PPK1 therefore results in the synthesis of polyphosphate that is located primarily in the BMC fraction of the cell. Polyphosphate in the BMC fraction is inaccessible to cytoplasmic co-expressed PPX. Adding BMC targeting to PPX using an alternative tag (D60-PPX) co-expressed with targeted PPK1 (P18-PPK1) partially reverses the increase in polyphosphate levels in
the BMC fraction conferred by targeted PPK1, presumably by increasing access of the PPX to polyphosphate in the BMC fraction. This suggests that the mechanism of stabilisation of polyphosphate conferred by BMC targeting of PPK1 involves reduced access by cytoplasmic phosphatases.

Other examples of such macromolecular association of enzymes exist. A variant of lumazine synthase was recently employed to encapsidate HIV protease within an E. coli host [43] facilitating recombinant synthesis of this potentially toxic enzyme by separating it from the remaining cytoplasm. Lumazine synthase compartments are genetically unrelated to BMCs involved in catabolic metabolism, and form pentameric components form smaller 30-40 nm icosahedral structures that more closely resemble viral capsids [66]. The enzyme is bound to part of the shell molecule forming the inner surface by an electrostatic mechanism [43, 67], (N-terminal fusion displays it on the outside [68]).

Enzymically active inclusion bodies can be formed within bacterial cells by C-terminal attachment of short self-assembling peptide sequences [69], or N-terminal fusion with a self aggregating protein [70] but these enzymes are not enclosed within a structure accessed via pores. Subcellular localisation of enzymes catalysing successive reactions in a metabolic pathway to peroxisomes in fungi [71] or BMCs [39] can promote product formation.

Our results demonstrate that P18-PPK1 is targeted to a recombinant BMC. The observation that polyphosphate accumulates within the BMC suggests that targeted PPK1 is internalised within the structure and remains functional, generating polymeric product.
ATP must be able to enter the recombinant BMC to allow it to act as one of the substrates for the P18-PPK1 enzyme (Fig. 1A). However, this is not surprising as the native Pdu BMC must allow ATP access as it is required by PduO (located within the microcompartment) for the regeneration of the coenzyme form of cobalamin needed by the diol dehydratase complex [72]. The association of PPK1 with the BMC however leads to sequestration of the enzyme’s metabolic product, presumably because its size does not allow it to leave the BMC by the same route by which the enzyme substrate ATP arrived. Protection of the polyphosphate product from catabolism from cytosolic enzymes is therefore achieved, illustrating a general mechanism by which BMC can be used to re-engineer cellular metabolism. The specific polymer generated, polyphosphate, is an important intermediary in the enhanced biological phosphate removal (EBPR) process employing environmental bacteria to remove phosphate from wastewater [3, 12] and has industrial applications [73]. We have shown heat treatment releases microcompartment-located polyphosphate from bacteria (Data Supplement FigS4) as it does from standard EBPR sludge bacteria [74], so recovery of sequestered polyphosphate is readily achievable. EBPR requires prolonged cycles of aerobic and aerobic incubation to operate. The ability to stabilise polyphosphate produced in a single growth phase so that phosphate is not returned to the cell exterior could lead to a streamlined process with a single phase of incubation. This would require transfer of the recombinant microcompartment and targeted polyphosphate kinase from E. coli to a more environmentally robust organism, but horizontal transfer of both these components is straightforward. Further evaluation of the properties of any recombinant organism of this
type in a closed system would be required before any assessment of the safety of
environmental release.
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Table 1
Plasmids and strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmids and strains</th>
<th>Genotype*</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET23b</td>
<td>pBR322, T7 Ap</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCOLADuet-1™</td>
<td>ColA ori lacI T7lac Kan’</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLysS</td>
<td>p15A Cam'</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET23b- PduP18-GFP</td>
<td>pET23b with pduP18’ leader sequence and gfp†</td>
<td>Prof. Martin Warren, University of Kent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLysS-PduABJKNU (pSF37)</td>
<td>Cam**, Tet*** pduABJKNU††</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pML001</td>
<td>pET23b with pduP18’-ppk1 fusion without gfp*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pML002</td>
<td>pET23b-ppk1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCOLADuetPPK (pYY002)</td>
<td>pCOLADuet-1 with ppk1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCOLADuetP18PPK (pYY010)</td>
<td>pCOLADuet-1 with pduP18’-ppk1 fusion</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCOLADuetPPXPPK (pYY005)</td>
<td>pCOLADuet-1 with ppk1 and ppx</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCOLADuetP18PPKPPX (pYY007)</td>
<td>pCOLADuet-1 with pduP18’-ppk1 fusion and ppx</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCOLADuetD60PPXPPK (pYY008)</td>
<td>pCOLADuet-1 with ppk1 and pduD60’-ppx fusion</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli JM109</td>
<td>endA1 glnV44 thi-1 relA1 gyrA96 recA1 mcrB’ A(lac-proAB) e14- hsdR17(rK- mK+ )</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.coli Top 10</td>
<td>F- mcrA A(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) 80lacZAM15  Alt74 nupG recA1 araD139 A(ara-leu)7697 galE15 galK16 rpsL(StrR) endA1 λ</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>F' ompT hsdS3 (rK- mK+) gal dcm (DE3)</td>
<td>Stratagene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.coli Tuner (DE3)</td>
<td>F' ompT hsdS3 (rK- mK+) gal dcm lacY1 (DE3)</td>
<td>Stratagene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All inserts from E.coli JM109 unless specified †From Citrobacter freundii ‡From Aequorea victoria
Figures

Fig. 1. The effect of microcompartment-targeting of polyphosphate kinase (PPK1) on polyphosphate metabolism in E. coli

second column represents a control microcompartment extraction procedure from \textit{pLysS containing} cells expressing P18-PPK alone without microcompartments.
Fig. 2. Co-expression of targeted PPK1 and recombinant microcompartments in E. coli results in stable orthophosphate uptake and polyphosphate retention.

A. Polyphosphate content of whole cells over 48 hours. B. Orthophosphate concentration in culture medium over 48 hours. Dashed line with filled circles: E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS control. Continuous red line with filled squares: P18-PPK1, microcompartment-targeted PPK1 (pML001 + pLYS). Continuous green line with filled triangles: P18-PPK1 + BMC, microcompartment-targeted P18-PPK1 plus microcompartments (pML001 + pSF37). Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent observations.
Fig. 3. Co-expression of microcompartment-targeted PPK1 and recombinant microcompartments in E. coli increases the polyphosphate content of isolated microcompartments and protects polyphosphate from co-expressed cytoplasmic polyphosphatase.

Polyphosphate content of isolated microcompartments (blue bars) and whole cells (purple bars) measured with a DAPI assay following overnight culture. All E.coli BL21 (DE3) strains were expressing microcompartments (pSF37) and in addition various combinations of targeted and un-targeted PPK1 and PPX. BMC: microcompartments only, (pSF37). PPK1 + BMC: non-targeted PPK1 and microcompartments, (pML002 + pSF37). PPK1 + PPX + BMC: non-targeted PPK1 and non-targeted PPX and microcompartments (pYY005 + pSF37). P18-PPK1 + D60PPX + BMC: targeted PPK1 and targeted PPX and microcompartments (pYY08+ pSF37). P18-PPK1 + PPX + BMC: targeted PPK1 and non-targeted PPX and microcompartments (pYY07 + pSF37). P18-PPK1 + BMC: targeted PPK1 and microcompartments (pYY010 + pSF37). Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent observations.
Fig. 5. Phosphorus content of cytoplasmic granules in E. coli expressing recombinant polyphosphate kinase is confirmed by ultrastructural and electron-loss spectroscopic analysis using energy-filtered transmission electron microscopy (EFTEM) and is increased and qualitatively altered by recombinant microcompartment co-expression A: control E. coli Tuner™(DE3). B: E. coli Tuner™ (DE3) BMC, microcompartment only (pSF37). C: E. coli BL21 (DE3) P18-PPK1, targeted PPK1 (pML001+ pLysS). D,E,F,G: E. coli Tuner™ (DE3) P18-PPK1 + BMC, targeted PPK1 plus microcompartments (pML001 + pSF37). A-F: Electron spectroscopic imaging. Phosphorus signals are shown as overlays: green in A,B,C,F; red in D; yellow in E. Scale bar 1 µm unless stated. G: Parallel electron
energy-loss spectroscopy (PEELS) of the largest granule in E. The red line represents SpotPEELS of the large inclusion from E with the spot (size: 16 nm) placed centrally (electron micrograph inset). The green-boxed area represents the P-L2,3 energy-loss near-edge structure (ELNES), characterized by the two peaks (asterisks). The blue-coloured dashed spectrum is referenced from sodium polyphosphate.