CHAPTER TWO: PHYSICAL ACTIVITY FOR CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE

Contents
Overview .................................................................................................................................................. 2
The Cardiovascular System .................................................................................................................. 2
Pathophysiology of Cardiovascular Disease ...................................................................................... 3
Cardiac Rehabilitation ......................................................................................................................... 6
Cardiac Exercise Physiology ................................................................................................................ 7
Exercise and Secondary Cardiac Events ............................................................................................. 12
Psychological Health and Quality of life ............................................................................................. 13
Physical Activity in Cardiac Rehabilitation .......................................................................................... 15
Future Research in Cardiac Exercise Rehabilitation .............................................................................. 20
Summary .............................................................................................................................................. 26
References ........................................................................................................................................... 26
Overview

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the greatest cause of morbidity and premature mortality in western society and is an umbrella term for a number of disorders, including coronary artery disease, heart failure, valvular disease, peripheral vascular disease and stroke. The UK has seen a reduction in the mortality of CVD, mainly due to the advancement of medical care and surgical interventions, but also as a result of alteration in lifestyle risk factors of patients following diagnosis through cardiac rehabilitation activities. This chapter will focus on the role of physical activity in the rehabilitation of those diagnosed with a cardiac condition.

The Cardiovascular System

The heart consists of four chambers, the ‘upper’ right and left atrium and the ‘lower’ right and left ventricles. Valves between the upper and lower chambers ensure the one directional flow of blood. Both atrium contract at approximately the same time, as do both ventricles, ejecting blood out of the heart ensuring effective blood flow to the pulmonary (right side of the heart) and systemic circulation (left side of the heart). The heart muscle, the myocardium, receives its supply of blood and nutrients via the coronary arteries, which form part of the coronary circulation. The coronary circulation emerges at the root of the aorta, distributing blood and oxygen to the myocardium, with deoxygenated blood returned to the right atrium via coronary veins. The two main coronary arteries
are the left (otherwise known as the left main stem) and right coronary artery, each serving the left and right side of the heart respectively. The left artery further divides into the left anterior descending artery (LAD) and the circumflex artery (Cx), supplying blood to different regions of the myocardium. For a more in depth discussion of cardiovascular physiology consult Levick (2009).

**Pathophysiology of Coronary Artery Disease**

**Atherosclerosis**

Atherosclerosis is the term used to describe the changing physiology and structure of the arterial wall which results in endothelial dysfunction and causes the narrowing of the arterial lumen. The complex process of atherosclerosis is slow and progressive and symptoms do not usually present until the artery is more than two-thirds blocked. Two mechanisms can occur that clinically exacerbate the process: either the narrowing (stenosis) is such that blood flow to that area of the myocardium is reduced and the flow cannot meet the demands for oxygen; or the plaque can rupture, leading to platelet aggregation, which subsequently can then either further occlude the artery, or break off (embolus), travel downstream and impede blood supply.

Risk factors that may contribute to the development of atherosclerosis are uncontrolled Type II diabetes, physical inactivity, smoking, stress, hypertension and dyslipidaemia. The initial stages of the process have been found in children and can potentially occur in any of the arteries of the body, but it is commonly found in the coronary arteries (coronary heart disease, CHD), the arteries of the head and neck (cerebrovascular disease or stroke) and the peripheral (leg) arteries (peripheral
vascular disease, PVD), the latter causing leg pain when exercising. It is possible for one artery to have multiple atherosclerotic lesions.

**Acute Coronary Syndrome**

Angina pectoris is the pain sensation caused by ischaemia to the myocardium due to a partially blocked artery. These symptoms disappear with rest (oxygen demand decreases) or use of a prescribed nitrate spray (inducing dilation of coronary blood vessels). Stable angina refers to symptoms that are predictable, for example during exercise at a known intensity, or after a heavy meal. Unstable angina has no pattern and can occur at any time, even at rest and is an absolute contraindication for exercise.

A myocardial infarction (MI) is caused by the same atherosclerotic process as angina, but refers to the death of the myocardium caused by restriction of oxygen (ischaemia). The term acute MI is given to a sudden episode of complete stenosis that precipitates myocardial damage. MI can be further classified according to which coronary artery has been occluded, for example an Anterior MI (the anterior site of the left ventricle) will result from blockage of the left anterior descending artery (LAD); an occlusion in the right coronary artery will result in an inferior MI (the inferior heart wall).

Symptoms of ischaemia may include pain, shortness of breath, sweating, confusion and nausea, although patients can experience a ‘silent MI’ where no signs or symptoms are present – common with those with diabetes due to autonomic neuropathy. The range of symptoms differs widely
between individuals. However, with an MI symptoms do not resolve with rest. If an MI is suspected, medical attention should be sought immediately, with the aim of restoring blood flow to the myocardium by removing the blockage with thrombolytic medication or surgical procedure (percutaneous coronary angioplasty [PCI], or re-establishing blood supply with a coronary artery bypass graft [CABG]).

Diagnostic testing following a coronary event may include;

- Testing of biochemical (enzyme) markers, for example troponin and creatinine kinase. Levels of these biomarkers will typically rise following MI and remain raised for several days.
- ECG (electrocardiogram) monitoring. In the days or weeks following MI, some patients may be required to undergo an ECG stress test that usually involves an incremental bout of exercise (e.g. walking on a treadmill) while attached to an electrocardiogram (ECG) monitor. This procedure examines how the heart responds to exertion, and identifies areas of the heart experiencing ischaemia, indicated by changes in the ECG trace (ST elevation or depression).
- Coronary angiogram, which is an x-ray procedure using intravenous dye into the coronary arteries to determine extent of atherosclerosis and stenosis.
- CT (computerized tomography) or MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) to determine the extent of myocardial damage.

**Chronic Heart Failure**
The term chronic heart failure (CHF) refers to the inability of the heart to effectively deliver blood around the body, often due to valvular disease, a large MI or multiple smaller MIs having damaged extensive areas of the myocardium. When the heart is unable to maintain its ability to pump, cardiac output decreases and congestion can develop within the circulatory system. Symptoms of heart failure include peripheral and pulmonary oedema and shortness of breath.

For a more comprehensive discussion of cardiovascular pathophysiology consult Sheppard (2011).

**Cardiac Rehabilitation (CR)**

CR is a multi-faceted, professionally supervised intervention that aims to improve the health and well-being of cardiac patients. CR usually consists of a 6 – 12 week programme of exercise, education, psychological support, behavioural change and medical risk factor management. In the UK there are currently over 300 CR programmes that are audited by the National Audit of Cardiac Rehabilitation (NACR).

The multi-disciplinary team may include the following health professionals:

- Cardiologist
- Cardiac specialist nurse
- Exercise physiologists/specialist
- Dietician
- Psychologist or other mental health specialist
- Pharmacist
- Physiotherapist
Benefits of exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation:

- 13-26% reduction in all-cause mortality
- 26-46% reduction in cardiac mortality
- 23-56% reduction in hospital re-admission
- Cost-effective intervention
- Improved quality of life
- Improved functional capacity
- Supports early return to work
- Empowers the development of self-management skills

(Davies et al., 2010; Heran et al., 2011; Lawler et al., 2011; NICE, 2008; Papadakis et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2004; Yohannes et al., 2010)

The British Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (BACPR) suggest seven core components that should be included within CR in order to ensure a competent, cost effective and clinically-effective service (BACPR, 2012), see figure 2.1.

**Figure 2.1**
**Exercise Effects on Physical Fitness**

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is an independent risk factor of cardiac mortality in men and women with CVD. Patients possessing low CRF are 2 to 5 times more likely to die than those patients with higher CRF (Franklin & McCullough, 2009). The research of Martin *et al.* (2013) found for every 1-MET increase in patient CRF after a 12 week training programme was associated with a 13% point reduction in mortality, but 30% point reduction in those who started with lower CRF. The clinical effectiveness of CR can be clearly reflected by increases in VO$_2$peak, as CRF is not influenced by pharmacological intervention, unlike blood lipid profiles or blood pressure measurements (Hansen *et al.*, 2010). Generally, most studies report increases in VO$_2$peak following training, with increases ranging from 2% - 78% (Hansen *et al.*, 2005). Gender, age or β-adrenoceptor antagonist (β-blocker) use do not seem to influence potential increases in VO$_2$peak (Balady *et al.*, 1996; Pavia *et al.*, 1995). However, some patients cannot increase their VO$_2$peak through exercise, with possible explanations including: a high baseline VO$_2$peak, the presence of a hibernating myocardium, or the exercise stimulus was insufficient to stimulate a training response (Hansen *et al.*, 2005). Research evidence suggests that the exercise dose in some UK CR programmes is too limited to significantly influence key patient outcomes, such as physical fitness and mortality, and challenges the efficacy of contemporary exercise CR interventions (Sandercock *et al.*, 2013a). This should provide the stimulus for CR programmes to review their exercise component and ensure it delivers an effective intervention according to recommended guidelines, particularly in terms of exercise intensity. Due to changes in service commissioning, measurable patient outcomes may become necessary to evaluate and provide evidence of measurable patient outcomes; exercise capacity is an outcome with potential global benefit to a range of cardiac patients, and a metric that can be easily captured. CR programmes should also aim to encourage...
broader engagement in domestic, occupational and recreational physical activities outside formal delivered CR exercise sessions. This should reinforce the need to engage in a physically active lifestyle, not just one to two structured exercise sessions per week, and avoid sedentary behaviour.

Patients with CVD who were randomised to long-term moderate exercise training (n = 48) significantly increased VO$_2$peak by 18% (P≤0.001) and decreased resting heart rate (P≤0.01) compared to a sedentary control group (n = 46) (Bellardinelli et al., 1999). Other studies have found 17% (Giannuzzi et al., 2003) and 29% (Gielen et al., 2003) improvement in VO$_2$peak compared to control groups, with either no detrimental effect on left ventricular re-modelling, or attenuating abnormal re-modelling. Physiological adaptations have resulted in improved exercise tolerance, reflected in a 20% increased walking distance in the 6-minute walk test, increased quality of life measures, and fewer hospital re-admissions (Giannuzzi et al., 2003).

More recent studies have also found improvement in VO$_2$peak of 2.06 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ (Van Tol et al., 2006) and 2.7 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ (Chien et al., 2008), which are clinically significant since VO$_2$peak decreases by ~0.14 ml·kg·min$^{-1}$ yearly in patients with heart failure after the age of 40 (Forman et al., 2009). Furthermore, those with a VO$_2$peak <15 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ are at the greatest risk of mortality but an increase of 1 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in an individual’s VO$_2$peak was associated with a 15% decrease in the risk of mortality, indicating how important even marginal improvements in CRF can be (Keteyian et al., 2008).

Besides improvements in maximal exercise capacity, favourable adaptations in submaximal measures can be gained following exercise, such as increased exercise time, ventilatory threshold
and quality of life in patients with CHF (Wielenga et al., 1999a). The potential improvements in functional capacity in older adults with CHF can be under-estimated, given the age-related decline in physiological function experienced with advancing age. Although patients with CHF aged >65 years old do not increase their VO$_{2peak}$ to the same extent as those aged <65 years old, clinically significant improvements can still occur (Wielenga et al., 1999b). Older patients with CHF aged 75-90 years significantly improved 6 minute walk test distance (6MWD) by over 40m, representing a 20% increase in distance walked, following a 12 week tailored exercise programme (Owen & Croucher (2000). Whilst many research studies have indicated favourable outcomes following exercise interventions, more work is still needed around interventions across a diverse demographic of the cardiac population. (Lloyd-Williams et al., 2002).

**Exercise Effect on Cardiac Function**

As exercise capacity should improve following an exercise regime, a number of physiological adaptations occur, especially to cardiac functional capacity, reflected in an increased cardiac output during maximal symptom-limited exercise (Hansen et al., 2010; Hambrecht et al., 1995). Haykowsky et al. (2007) found significant improvements in left-ventricular ejection fraction, end diastolic volume and end systolic volume when aerobic training was performed. These cardiac adaptations may contribute to the reduction in secondary cardiac events and mortality rates associated with exercise participation.

Although many arrhythmias of the heart are not life-threatening, some can lead to cardiac arrest. Heart rate variability (HRV), the variation in time between R-R intervals (the time interval between ventricular contractions), can be used as a key predictor of secondary events (Tsuji et al., 1994).
Exercise training within CR has been shown to enhance patients’ HRV, thus lowering maximal and resting heart rate (HR). When HR is high, there is an increased risk of arrhythmia (Rennie et al., 2003). A reduction in patients’ resting and exercise HR has been associated with arterial re-modelling and adaptation in the cardiorespiratory centre, providing cardio-protective mechanisms to reduce sympathetic drive and enhance parasympathetic outflow (Nelson et al., 2005).

**Exercise Effects on Vascular Function**

A decrease in vasoconstriction of blood vessels, both at rest and during exercise, can reduce hypertension, a key risk factor for CVD (Graham et al., 2007). The endothelium produces numerous vasoactive substances, including nitric oxide (NO), which are crucial anti-atherogenic mediators, but may precipitate CVD when they become dysfunctional (Green et al., 2008). The benefit of exercise-based CR upon endothelial function appears to be a crucial factor in secondary prevention and management of risk factors, as impaired endothelial function inhibits redistribution of blood flow, thus potentially limiting oxygen delivery to the exercising muscles (Maxwell et al., 1998). Improvement in endothelial function increases the ability of the cells to respond to varying vascular conditions and exercise training seems to confer a degree of vascular conditioning, providing a cardioproteective benefit (Green et al., 2004; Green et al., 2008). Exercise-based CR has been shown to directly benefit the coronary vasculature through improved endothelial function to meet MVO₂ more effectively (Green et al., 2004). CR exercise provides the potential to induce a training adaptation in endothelial function and thus preferential redistribution of blood flow to the working muscles during exercise. This may mean the cardiac patient may improve exercise tolerance (Demopoulos et al., 1997; Maxwell et al., 1998).
Exercise-based CR, incorporating both large and small muscle groups (cardiovascular and muscular strength endurance exercises) decreases vasoconstriction in response to a vasoconstrictor stimulus (Hambrecht et al., 2000). This leads to an improvement in NO vasodilator function, increasing blood flow to exercising limbs, which in turn enhances the peak vasodilatory capacity of the vasculature (Green, et al., 1996).

Exercise and Secondary Cardiac Events

Cardiac Mortality

CVD is progressive and there is a strong likelihood of a secondary cardiac event through weakening of the structure and function of the heart (Van Stel et al., 2012; Leon et al., 2005). Vigorous physical activity in individuals unaccustomed to such exertion may disrupt unstable atherosclerotic plaques, causing vasospasm rather than vasodilation, triggering the onset of an MI (Mittleman et al. (1993). However, exercise can significantly reduce the occurrence and severity of a secondary cardiac event (Artham et al., 2008; Lavie & Milani, 1997). A Cochrane review of 47 studies determined that exercise reduces the mortality rates of cardiac patients yet found no significant relationship between the overall physical activity dose of the exercise programme (session intensity, frequency and duration of individual sessions), and mortality rates (Heran et al., 2011). Secondary MIs were reduced by 25% in a sample group of 4554 participants (O’Connor et al., 1989) and a 27% reduction in secondary cardiac events in 8440 participants following CR (Jolliffe et al., 2001). Furthermore, after 14 years of follow-up those that attended CR had a 58% lower mortality risk than those who did not attend (Beauchamp et al., 2012). This demonstrates
the value of attending a CR programme and that lifestyle change can potentially contribute to quality of life and survival.

Not only do mortality rates among patients with CVD decrease with exercise training, the number of hospitalisations also reduced (Davies et al., 2010). Following the completion of 16 exercise sessions over the course of 8 weeks, 10.6% of the exercise group was admitted to hospital compared to 20.2% of the non-exercising control group within 24 weeks after completion of training (Austin et al., 2005). Furthermore, those in the non-exercise group had more multiple admissions and remained in hospital longer. A meta-analysis of the effects of the exercise component of CR found significant reductions in hospital admissions when a study with poor adherence was excluded from the analysis (Davies et al., 2010). In a multi-centre trial of 2331 patients with CVD, found that 759 patients in the exercise group were hospitalised or died, compared to 796 patients in the control group (O’Connor et al., 2009). This suggests that involvement in exercise may influence patient survival, however, these findings need to be treated with caution since only 30% of the exercise group completed all of the prescribed exercise, whilst 8% of the control group increased their physical activity levels.

**Psychological Health and Quality of life**
Health problems associated with CVD are not just physical, as anxiety and depression have a negative inter-relationship with patient cardiovascular health, patient outcomes such as quality of life, and potentially increase mortality (Carney et al., 1999; Rozanski et al., 2005; Stein et al., 1995). Anxiety and/or depression can also adversely affect patient recovery (Lavie et al., 2009). Patients who attended CR had a 40-70% decrease in anxiety and depression along with a 70%
decrease in mortality risk (Lavie et al., 2009). Since CR can potentially provide a supportive social setting for exercise, some of the psychological benefits may accrue from exposure to this environment, not just the physicality element of exercise. Attending CR sessions does place patients within an ‘exercise and health-promoting environment’ and increases their opportunities to increase physical activity levels. Although patients gain functional improvements through exercise, they often report that the most noticeable benefits of CR are psychosocial in nature. This has implications for CR delivery, as individualised exercise programmes may be insufficient to improve psychological health, and potentially quality of life (Ades, 2001; Chien et al., 2008).

Multidisciplinary CR, including education, individual therapy and group workshops, has been shown to decrease both anxiety and depression, however this is not routinely provided by all CR programmes (Child et al., 2010; British Heart Foundation, 2013). However, exercise can still prevent depression and is therefore valued as an important psychosocial support mechanism compared to other interventions (Rozanski et al., 2005). Exercise for post-MI patients can increase their wellbeing, confidence and happiness, as well as decreasing depression and anxiety (Taylor et al., 1986).

A meta-analysis of 3647 participants from 19 studies of exercise-based CR reported that all studies found an improvement in quality of life (Davies et al., 2010), with one study in particular finding an average improvement of 9.7 points, using the Minnesota Living With Heart Failure questionnaire (MLWHF) (Van Tol et al., 2006). Such improvements are also experienced relatively consistently across sex, age, race and other sub-groups with 54% of those in exercise-based CR demonstrating clinically noticeable improvements in quality of life compared to only
29% of a non-exercise control group (Flynn et al., 2009). Improvements in quality of life scores have been closely correlated with improvements in 6MWD following high intensity training, which may reflect improved endurance capability during active daily living (Nilsson et al., 2008). Physical attributes associated with improved quality of life following CR include improved physical function, energy availability, body pain and exercise tolerance (Lavie & Milani, 1995; Saeidi et al., 2013; Marchionni et al., 2003).

**Physical Activity in Cardiac Rehabilitation**

*Is Exercise in Cardiac Rehabilitation Safe?*
There are potential risks with vigorous physical activity. It is known to trigger cardiac complications, as up to a fifth of all MIs are induced after physical exertion (Fletcher et al., 2001). However, in a CR context, these risks are relatively low due to the emphasis on moderate intensity effort. Cardiac mortality has been reported as 0.61 per 100,000 hours of exercise in CR programmes (Fletcher et al., 1996). Similarly low incident rates have been reported elsewhere, with figures ranging from 1 cardiac event in 50,000 patient exercise hours, to 1 in 120,000 hours, with a reported 2 fatalities within 1.5 million hours of exercise (Franklin et al., 1998; Thompson et al., 2003). As the benefits of exercise are widely reported, it is understandable that doctors and health care professionals consider the benefits outweigh the risks. The relatively small numbers of MIs that are experienced during or after vigorous exercise, the majority of these are seen in those who are unaccustomed to this type of activity and whose cardiac profile is undiagnosed (Hambrecht et al., 2000).
Patients with known CVD are initially risk stratified and then supervised during CR exercise sessions. With the 4-stage structure of cardiac rehabilitation in the UK, patients do not graduate to the next phase until it is deemed medically safe. In addition, most cardiac rehabilitation exercise is most likely pitched at a moderate intensity (3 – 6 MET range) to conform to recommended guidelines (Sandercock et al., 2013b); so the risk of a fatal cardiac related event is significantly reduced.

The recommended hierarchy of MET thresholds for risk stratification are: low risk (> 6 METs capacity 3 or more weeks following clinical event), moderate risk (5 – 6 METs 3 or more weeks following clinical event) and high risk patients (< 5 METs) (AACVPR, 2004; ACPIR, 2015).

Establishing appropriate MET thresholds for exercise intensity in CR can be a challenge, especially when there is restricted access to comprehensive cardiovascular testing data. Guidelines for appropriate exercise intensities are usually based upon % VO\(_{2\max}\), %HR\(_{max}\), %HRR or %VO\(_{2\text{reserve}}\) (VO\(_2\)R). For the majority of CR patients estimates of exercise intensity have to be made based upon theoretical calculations, such as %HR\(_{max}\) or %HRR (Franklin & Fardy, 1998). But these are at best only estimates and there is considerable variability in these methods (Achten & Jeukendrup, 2003; Miller et al. 1993).

**Aerobic Exercise Training**

The British Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (BACPR, 2012) describes the aim of cardiac rehabilitation (CR) as a means to encourage patients to regain full
physical, psychological and social status and ultimately slow, or even reverse the progression of CVD (Fletcher et al., 2001). Structured physical activity is regarded as the cornerstone component of CR, not only to help re-establish function, but also to address low physical fitness as a precursor for CVD (BACPR, 2012; Fletcher et al., 1996; Piepoli, et al., 2010). Physical activity has been known as a therapeutic intervention for disease since ancient times, but neglected until 1772 when William Heberden reported wood sawing as a cure for angina pain. However, the incorporation of exercise rehabilitation into contemporary CR programmes is a more recent phenomenon (Balady et al., 1994). The aim of CR is to encourage exercise independence and to return the patient to occupational, or recreational activities (Pescatello et al., 2014).

Aerobic exercise forms the primary component of CR and tends to be delivered as a circuit training format (Association of Chartered Physiotherapists in Cardiac Rehabilitation [ACPICR], 2015). This mode of training allows for easy adaptation, the potential for a more social environment (exercise group format) and due to its intermittent nature, facilitates recovery breaks between exercise bouts. This provides a format that suits an older clinical population, allowing them to recover their breath and not over-work one specific part of the body, by alternating cardiovascular (CV) exercise bouts with muscular strength and endurance activities (MSE) as an active recovery. Improvements in aerobic endurance and musculoskeletal strength have been demonstrated following a period of moderate intensity circuit training, without causing any cardiovascular complications (Kelemen et al., 1986).

**Interval vs Continuous Aerobic Training**
Interval training prescribed in isolation, or combined with MSE training (which is often the typical CR exercise format) has been shown to provide clinically significant adaptations in cardiac patients, and potentially more beneficial than moderate continuous intensity CV training (MCT) (Cornish et al., 2011). Wisloff et al. (2007) reported a 46% improvement in peak oxygen uptake (VO$_{2peak}$) among an interval training group, compared to a substantially smaller 14% increase in a continuous training group, with concomitant less tedium reported. More recently Mohdolt et al. (2012) completed a similar study finding a larger improvement in VO$_{2peak}$ in an interval training group against a continuous training group. When comparing 4 interval training protocols, a period of 30 seconds of exercise, followed by a passive recovery, was found to be safest and enabled exercisers to operate above 85% of their VO$_{2peak}$ with no adverse effects reported (Meyer et al., 2012). Normal operating CR procedures encourage active recovery period to reduce the risk of a sudden drop in blood pressure, which could occur due to blood pooling in the legs during inactive periods, and a side-effect of anti-hypertensive medications.

**Resistance Training (RT)**

Besides the obvious strength gains, the benefits of RT go further by also improving muscular endurance, metabolism and CVD risk factors, such as reduced blood pressure, as well as enhancing cardiovascular function and exercise capacity (Pollock et al., 2000). RT has been shown to increase other aspects of health including: functional independence, self-efficacy and quality of life (Bjarnason-Wehrens et al., 2004; Cornelissen & Fagard, 2005; Fletcher, 2001; McCartney, 1998; Williams et al., 2007). Bjarnason-Wehrens et al. (2004) reported no increased risk of cardiovascular events in RT compared to CV. The physical adaptations seem to benefit the heart directly as the rate pressure product (RPP) has been shown to decrease when muscle strength has
improved (Parker et al., 1996). This is important to the cardiac patient as RPP is an indirect measurement of myocardial workload (MVO$_2$), combining heart rate (HR) and systolic blood pressure (SBP), calculated using the following formula: RPP = HR x SBP. This measurement takes into account not only the rate of myocardial contraction but also force of contraction (afterload). RT adaptations therefore could lead to a decrease in myocardial oxygen demand (MVO$_2$) during activities of daily life. For example carrying groceries, or completing DIY jobs will be easier and there will be less risk of exceeding the ischaemic threshold of CVD patients (Pollock et al., 2000).

Heavy RT is not advised immediately after cardiac surgery as it may lead to further complications, such as osteomyelitis, and re-opening of surgical wounds, (Williams et al., 2007; Pollock et al., 2000). Consequently, implementation of RT should be delayed until patient’s condition permits safe engagement (usually 4-8 weeks post-surgery, or until able to exercise >5 METs), but should be incorporated as a core component of physical conditioning (Williams et al. 2007). Bjarnason-Wehrens et al., (2004) did acknowledge the risks of increasing blood pressure during resistance exercise with cardiac patients. Blood pressure increases depend on load intensity, the muscle mass engaged, and the nature of the repetitions performed. Therefore, correct technique and supervision may be necessary, as well as appropriate screening of cardiac patients, their cardiac stress tolerance and clinical status (Bjarnason-Wehrens et al., 2004), to ensure safety during RT exercise. Breath holding, or the Valsalva manoeuvre (attempting to exhale against a closed glottis), should be avoided (Wise & Patrick., 2011).

One of the main concerns with RT is with elevations in blood pressure, which may relate to the nature of the muscle contraction. Dynamic exercises tend to be favoured over static (isometric)
contractions (Bethell, 1999). Isometric exercises are not recommended, due to the potential adverse blood pressure response associated with them (Fletcher et al., 1996; Wenger, 2008). However, performing isometric muscular work is unavoidable when carrying objects or lifting, such as shopping or children, and when performing many active daily living tasks, such as DIY or gardening. Some researchers have found beneficial effects from isometric exercise (McCartney et al., 1991). However, it is safer to teach patients to regulate their breathing if isometric actions cannot be avoided.

Combining CV and RT-based training in exercise programmes appears to be optimum. Smart and Marwick (2004) systematically reviewed studies on patients with CHF. These indicated positive trends of survival rates, along with improved functional capacity and reduced cardiorespiratory symptoms, after a combination of supervised CV and RT. Current guidelines recommend that an exercise regime for cardiac patients incorporate both CV and RT exercises (ACPICR, 2015; Fletcher et al., 2001). This approach has been shown to attenuate the loss of skeletal muscle, which can lead to reductions in basal metabolic rate, and therefore contributing to long term weight management and health - particularly important in an older patient demographic (Bryner et al., 1999). Improvements in muscle strength and overall functional capacity occur due to a combination of these exercise modalities, supporting a more active lifestyle and potential improved quality of life (Hansen et al., 2010).

Future Research in Cardiac Exercise Rehabilitation

High Intensity Training (HIT)
There is a growing interest amongst health professionals in the role of high intensity exercise training (HIT) in CR, both in terms of catering for younger cardiac patients, but also striving to achieve optimal health benefit (Sandercock et al., 2013b). HIT involves exercise bouts of usually < 300 seconds at > 70% VO$_{2peak}$ or > 90% peak heart rate (or RPE > 15), interspersed with bouts of lower intensity exercise, or active recovery. This mixture of work and recovery periods allows more intense exercise to be tolerated before fatigue, and potentially in time, results in better tolerance to fatigue and greater benefit to the patient, due to the higher intensity workloads. The intensity of exercise matters in terms of outcomes and whilst there are many variations of HIT, early research indications suggest it is safe for a CR population with stable CVD to engage in this training, and are performing it under controlled conditions. Rognmo, et al. (2004) found significantly superior improvements in VO$_{2peak}$ following HIT (17.9%) than in the conventional moderate intensity approach (7.9%). There were no significant changes in other health outcomes, for example resting heart rate, blood pressure or body mass. An exercise based CR programme, consisting of repeated 15 second phases of exercise at 100% peak power output, improved health outcomes in stable CVD patients. However, 35% of the group reported exercise-induced ischemia (Guiraud et al., 2009), although it was not prolonged.

It is difficult to compare the relative risk of different forms of exercise training, however the rates of cardiac complications to the number of patient-exercise hours were 1 (fatal cardiac arrest) per 129,456 hours of moderate intensity exercise and 1 (non-fatal) per 23,182 hours of HIT (Rognmo et al., 2012). Both statistics suggest that exercise, at moderate – high intensity presents a small and manageable risk of an adverse event occurring.
Cardiac patients are a heterogenous population (Van Camp et al., 1994), therefore although these patients may ‘tolerate’ the HIT, other patients may experience difficulties maintaining high workloads, or have poor exercise adherence due to low tolerance of the exercise regime. This highlights the need for further investigation to gain a better understanding into the nature, scope and tolerability of HIT for CVD patients.

*Exercise Adherence*

The potential benefits of exercise will only be realised through continued participation, so adherence to exercise programmes is fundamental to improved health outcomes. Due to the low uptake of CR both in the UK and the USA (UK ~44% [British Heart Foundation, 2013]; USA <40% [Keteyian et al., 2012]), research needs to focus on increasing the number of eligible patients being referred for CR and promoting long-term exercise adherence. One study found that of the 30% of eligible patients referred to CR only one third still attended CR after 6 months (10% overall) (Daly et al., 2002). This does not necessarily mean these patients are not exercising, just that they are not exercising in a formal CR setting. However, the main factors that were reported for the lack of adherence included a lack of social support, motivation and commitment (Daly et al., 2002). Tracking physical activity levels beyond Phase III CR is not currently catered for in the NACR. This should be considered a priority to determine the longer term impact of CR on physical activity behaviours and patient outcomes. Methods of promoting ‘graduation’ from phase III to phase IV CR exercise programmes should also be explored, including patient-exercise instructor relationships and effective referral pathways.
Case study of cardiac rehabilitation participant

On presentation at the cardiac rehabilitation programme (6 weeks post cardiac event);

Name: Caroline

Age: 61

Cardiac event: Anterior MI – primary PCI (LAD)

Height (cm): 163

Body mass (kg): 86.4

BMI (kg·m²): 32.5

BP (mmHg): 120/80

Resting pulse (bpm): 66 & regular

Total cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹): 6.1 /TC/HDL-C ratio 4.4

Past medical history: Hypertension, palpitations

Medication: Aspirin 75mg, Clopidogrel 75mg, Citalopram 20mg, Bisoprolol 2.5mg, Atorvastatin 80mg, Ramipril 5mg, GTN spray

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors present post diagnosis</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Risk details, level and action plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family CHD history (1st degree relatives less than 55 yrs old)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Father MI aged 66 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal CHD history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Stopped 1/12 ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Total 6.1, ratio 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td>119/70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;30 or &gt; waist circumference</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Height 163cm, weight 86.4kg, BMI 32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brisk walk 90 mins 4 + per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Husband recently diagnosed with lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>&gt; 2 units per week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The cardiac rehabilitation intervention:

- 12 week programme of exercise
- 2 x 1 hour sessions per week of interval (circuit) based exercise prescribed according to evidence based guidelines
- Daily walking programme commenced (home)
- Referral to cardiac counsellor
- Goal setting
- 12 weekly lifestyle sessions
- Up titration of medication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
<th>Pre rehabilitation</th>
<th>Post rehabilitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>101cm</td>
<td>95cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISWT score (metres)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol TC</td>
<td>Total 6.1mmols</td>
<td>Total 4.2mmols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC / HDL ratio</td>
<td>ratio 4.4</td>
<td>ratio 2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

Substantial evidence supports the incorporation of exercise within CR programmes to promote the recovery of physical and psychological health. The evidence presented highlights the positive effect of exercise intervention on: secondary cardiac events, mortality rates, cardiac function and physical fitness of CVD patients to supplement contemporary clinical care. However, further research studies are needed on a greater variety of cardiac patient groups to fully verify the effectiveness of different exercise regimes. Many of the studies cited were performed with heart failure patients, who potentially may experience greater improvements in physical function and quality of life, due to the debilitating nature of this condition. Though heart failure patients are incorporated into contemporary CR delivery, they are not representative of the wider patient demographic that access CR. Finally, combined CV and RT provides the greatest potential improvements to physical fitness. However, further research is needed to validate the delivery of contemporary CR services across a heterogenous patient demographic to ensure the exercise component meets an appropriate dose to elicit favourable adaptations that impact positively on patient outcomes.
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