Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Stevens, Alex (2016) Configurations of corruption: A cross-national qualitative comparative analysis of levels of perceived corruption. International Journal of Comparative Sociology, 57 (4). pp. 183-206.

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1177/0020715216665663

Link to record in KAR

http://kar.kent.ac.uk/57322/

Document Version

UNSPECIFIED

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





Tables

Table 1: Description of raw data for o				
	n	Min'	Median	Max'
Perceived corruption	174	1	55	85
Income inequality	141	4.5	21.1	68.3
Human development	168	0.34	0.72	0.94
Democracy	166	-10	7	10
Traditional/rational-secular orientation	90	-0.559	0.014	1.145
Survival/self-expression orientation	90	-0.374	0.034	1.488

Table 2: Fuzzy set calibration thresholds						
	Calibration threshold					
Condition set	Fully out	Max' ambiguity	Fully in			
High perceived corruption	30.0	50.5	65.0			
Low perceived corruption	65.0	50.5	30.0			
Income inequality	14	22.4	33			
Human development	0.550	0.709	0.800			
High democracy	0.5	5.5	9.5			
Rational-secular orientation	-0.37	0.05	0.55			
Self-expression orientation	-0.17	0.03	0.40			

	Configuration of fuzzy set			et	Consists	ency for				
	memberships				Consiste	ency for				
Row	High democracy	Rational-secular orientation	Self-expression orientation	Human development	Income inequality	high perceived corruption	low perceived corruption	Countries with each configuration		
1	0	0	1	0	0	1.000	0.559	Ethiopia, Vietnam		
2	0	0	1	1	1	1.000	0.650	Ecuador,Thailand,Venezuela		
3	1	1	0	0	0	1.000	0.627	Moldova		
4	0	0	0	0	0	0.995	0.440	Egypt,Iraq,Tanzania,Yemen		
5	1	0	0	0	0	0.993	0.601	Indonesia, Pakistan		
6	1	0	1	0	1	0.990	0.604	Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Philippines		
7	0	1	0	1	0	0.986	0.454	Armenia,Belarus,Ukraine		
8	0	1	0	1	1	0.986	0.630	China,Russia		
9	1	0	1	0	0	0.980	0.802	India		
10	0	0	0	1	0	0.951	0.575	Azerbaijan, Jordan, Kazakhstan		
11	1	0	0	0	1	0.944	0.597	Ghana,Kyrgyzstan,Zambia		
12	0	0	0	0	1	0.929	0.469	Bangladesh,Burkina Faso,Morocco,Nigeria,Rwanda,Uganda,Zimbabwe		
13	1	0	0	1	0	0.870	0.787	Turkey		
14	1	0	0	1	1	0.849	0.760	Georgia		
15	1	1	0	1	1	0.836	0.758	Lebanon		
16	1	0	1	1	1	0.728	0.711	Argentina,Brazil,Chile,Colombia,Mexico,Peru		
17	1	1	0	1	0	0.727	0.763	Albania,Bosnia and Herzegovina,Bulgaria,Estonia,S.Korea,Latvia,Macedonia, Montenegro,Romania		
18	1	0	1	1	0	0.583	0.919	Cyprus,Poland,Trinidad and Tobago		
19	1	1	1	1	1	0.513	0.938	United States, Uruguay		
20	1	1	1	1	0	0.233	0.960	Australia, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom		

Table 4: Intermediate solution for low perceived corruption				
<u>Configuration</u>	Consistency	Coverage		
HIGH DEMOCRACT AND SELF-EXPRESSION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT AND RATIONAL- SECULAR; OR				
HIGH DEMOCRACY AND SELF-EXPRESSION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT AND income inequality	0.934	0.639		
NB. Upper case indicates a high level of that condition. Lower cases indicates a low level.				

Table 5: Intermediate solution for high perceived cor							
Configuration	Consistency	Coverage					
human development AND rational-secular; OR							
human development AND self-expression: OR							
democracy AND self-expression; OR	0.891	0.739					
democracy AND rational-secular AND INCOME INEQUALITY							
NB. Upper case indicates a high level of that condition. Lower cases indicates a low level.							