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Abstract—Fisher vector coding methods have been demonstrated to be effective for image classification. With the help of convolutional neural networks (CNN), several Fisher vector coding methods have shown state-of-the-art performance by adopting the activations of a single fully-connected layer as region features. These methods generally exploit a diagonal Gaussian mixture model (GMM) to describe the generative process of region features. However, it is difficult to model the complex distribution of high-dimensional feature space with a limited number of Gaussians obtained by unsupervised learning. Simply increasing the number of Gaussians turns out to be inefficient and computationally impractical.

To address this issue, we re-interpret a pre-trained CNN as the probabilistic discriminative model, and present a CNN based Fisher vector coding method, termed CNN-FVC. Specifically, activations of the intermediate fully-connected and output soft-max layers are exploited to derive the posteriors, mean and covariance parameters for Fisher vector coding implicitly. To further improve the efficiency, we convert the pre-trained CNN to a fully convolutional one to extract the region features. Extensive experiments have been conducted on two standard scene benchmarks (i.e. SUN397 and MIT67) to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Classification accuracies of 60.7% and 82.1% are achieved on the SUN397 and MIT67 benchmarks respectively, outperforming previous state-of-the-art approaches. Furthermore, the method is complementary to GMM-FVC methods, allowing a simple fusion scheme to further improve performance to 61.1% and 83.1% respectively.

Index Terms—Image Classification, Convolutional Neural Network, Gaussian Mixture Model, Fisher Vector Coding

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades, Fisher Vector coding (FVC) and its variants [1], [2], [3] stand out as very effective methods for image classification. FVC was originally derived from the Fisher kernel, which aims to combine the benefit of generative and discriminative approaches for pattern recognition [4]. Generally, the FVC process can be roughly divided into front-end feature extraction and back-end modeling stages. Existing methods mostly employ a diagonal Gaussian mixture model (GMM) as a generative model to characterize the distribution of local features, such as SIFT [5] and HoG [6]. The Fisher vector is then derived from the gradients with respect to the GMM parameters, as detailed in Section II.

Recently, deep convolutional neural networks (CNN) applied to FVC have demonstrated state-of-the-art performance by adopting the activations from a single fully-connected layer as the image or patch features [7], [8], [9]. However, it is difficult to model the complex distribution of high-dimensional region features using the GMM obtained via unsupervised learning methods. Simply increasing the number of Gaussians has substantial impact on computational complexity and storage. In [8], a generative model with an infinite number of Gaussians was used for back-end modeling, which can be further approximated by a sparse coding procedure. However, it is still time-consuming to encode the high-dimensional features. As an alternative, Doersch et al. proposed a discriminative mode seeking method to discover visual elements from mid-level regions [10]. In [9], a semantic FVC method was proposed, which uses the outputs of a CNN soft-max layer as patch descriptors, and computes the Fisher vector with GMM in the projected natural parameter space.

Unlike existing FVC methods, we reinterpret the pre-trained CNN as a probabilistic discriminative model, and present a CNN based Fisher vector coding method (CNN-FVC). Specifically, a CNN pre-trained on ImageNet [11] is first converted into a fully-convolutional one, with which the feature maps of the intermediate and soft-max layers can be efficiently computed. By using the output soft-max probabilities together with activations of the intermediate feature map, the statistics required for the Fisher vector can be derived, as detailed in Section III. The contributions of this work can be summarized as follows.

- We exploit CNN activations from different layers to cover both the front-end feature extraction and back-end modeling stages in FVC. It is known that there exists a gradual transition from low-level visual features and high-level semantics in deep neural networks trained on natural images [12]. Exploiting information from different layers may help to improve the effectiveness of representation.
- We use the pre-trained CNN to classify image patches and consider the class posterior probabilities as locally extracted semantic descriptors. This effectively represents an image as a bag-of-semantics. Compared to the unsupervised learned GMM, the CNN posteriors may provide more discriminative and accurate information.
- With a limited number of components, the proposed CNN-FVC method can achieve state-of-the-art performance on MIT67 and SUN397 scene benchmarks.
II. GMM based Fisher vector coding

In this section, we will briefly introduce the GMM based Fisher vector coding (GMM-FVC) method by building on the established setup introduced in [1].

The pre-trained CNN is first converted to a fully convolutional one by considering the fully connected layers as the convolutions with kernels that cover their entire regions. This conversion allows us to take input of any size and output corresponding feature maps. Compared to previous works [7], [8], using the fully convolutional neural network can greatly reduce the computational complexity. In GMM-FVC, the region features are extracted from the feature map corresponding to the 7-th fully-connected layer (FC7). Following [1], PCA projection is first applied to reduce the dimensionality and decorrelate the coefficients of region features.

We assume a $K$-component diagonal GMM in $D$-dimensional descriptor space, $\lambda = \{\lambda_k = (\pi_k, \mu_k, \sigma_k)\}_{k=1}^{K}$, where $\pi_k \in [0,1]$, $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^D$ are the mixture weight, mean vector and diagonal covariance vectors of the $k$-th component respectively. Given a descriptor $x$, we can write the likelihood function as $p(x|\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k g(x; \lambda_k)$, where $g(x; \lambda_k)$ denotes a Gaussian. The GMM parameters are obtained on a large training set of descriptors using the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm to optimize a maximum likelihood criterion.

According to [1], for any descriptor $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$, we define a vector $\Psi(x) = (\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x), \ldots, \psi_K(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{KD}$. Each component $\psi_k(x)$ is a gradient vector of $p(x|\lambda_k)$ with respect to the $\mu_k$. \footnote{For high-dimensional descriptors, using $\Psi(x)$ is sufficient for good performance [9].}

$$\psi_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi_k}} \gamma_k(x) \left( \frac{x - \mu_k}{\sigma_k} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\gamma_k(x)$ is the posterior probability or responsibility of feature $x$ on Gaussian component $\lambda_k$.

$$\gamma_k(x) = \frac{\pi_k g(x; \lambda_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j g(x; \lambda_j)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

To represent a image $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T\}$ with $T$ descriptors, the Fisher vector $\Psi(X)$ can be obtained by average-pooling,

$$\Psi(X) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \Psi(x_t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

In practice, we further post-process the Fisher vector using

$$\hat{\psi}_k(x) = \text{sign}(\Psi(x)) \sqrt{|\Psi(x)|} \sqrt{\|\Psi(x)\|_{L_1}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

which computes the signed-square of Fisher vector coefficients followed by normalization. This post-processing has been shown to further improve the effectiveness of Fisher vectors in [1].

III. CNN-based Fisher vector coding

In this section, we first consider the pre-trained CNN as a probabilistic discriminative model, and show its relationship to conventional GMM. Subsequently, we derive the FVC method using outputs of soft-max and intermediate fully-connected layers.

In the GMM-FVC method, the $k$-th Gaussian posterior can be conceptually represented as $\gamma_k(x) = F_{\lambda_k}(x)$, where $F_{\lambda_k}()$ is defined by eqn.(2). Similarly, a pre-trained CNN that consists of $L$-layers can be parameterized with $\theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_L\}$. The actual computation within the $l$-th layer has two phases: first, a linear convolution of the inputs. For CNNs, these maps are convolutions of the inputs with learned filter parameters. Afterwards, a non-linear activation function, such as a sigmoid or a ReLU [13], and often a spatial pooling or a sub-sampling operation are applied. The CNN output probabilities can be conceptually represented as $\gamma(x^l) = G_{\theta_{l+1}, \theta_l}(x^l)$, where $x^l$ are the activations of the $l$-th layer, $\gamma(x^l) = (\gamma_1(x^l), \gamma_2(x^l), \ldots, \gamma_K(x^l))$, and $G_{\theta_{l+1}, \theta_l}()$ represents the linear/nonlinear operations in the CNN layers.

The main difference is that the GMM parameters are trained using unsupervised EM, while the CNN parameters are obtained using the cross-entropy criterion with supervision. Furthermore, the CNN structure contains millions of parameters tuned from large scale datasets, which provides much stronger descriptive and discriminative capability.

Let $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^{T}$ be the extracted region descriptors, and $\gamma(x_t)$ be the output posterior probabilities\footnote{For simplicity, we omit the superscript that indicates activations from the $l$-th layer} of $x_t$.

As shown in [14] and [1], the $0^{th}$-., $1^{st}$-., and $2^{nd}$-order Baum-Welch statistics can be computed using feature/posterior pairs from a large-scale training set: $(x_t, \gamma(x_t))_{t=1}^{T}$.

$$N_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{k,t}, \quad F_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{k,t} x_t, \quad S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{k,t} x_t x_t^T$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

With these statistics, the parameter of $k$-th component $\lambda_k = \{w_k, \mu_k, \sigma_k\}$ can then be computed as

$$w_k = \frac{N_k}{T}, \quad \mu_k = \frac{F_k}{N_k}, \quad \sigma_k = S_k - \mu_k \mu_k^T$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

This implicitly represents a diagonal GMM that is derived from the activations of intermediate and output soft-max layers\footnote{The multiplication and division of vectors should be understood as component-wise.}. Given an image $X$, the Fisher vector in eqn. (3) can be rewritten by using the computed statistics.

$$\hat{\psi}_k(x) = (F_k - N_k \mu_k) / (T \sqrt{\mu_k} \sigma_k)$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

For the ImageNet dataset, there are 1000 classes corresponding to various object classes [11]. In our implementation, we select K(=100) classes according to descending order of their $0^{th}$-order statistics $N_k$. Similar to in GMM-FVC, the FC7 layer is used for front-end feature extraction, since it has been proven that the FC7 activations are discriminative and generalizable.
for various image classification tasks [15]. It is worth noting that FC7 and soft-max activations can be computed in a single CNN feed-forward pass, which is more efficient compared to the sparse coding method in [8].

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

We use the excellent MatConvNet toolbox to implement the proposed CNN-FVC method [16]. The pre-trained CNN on large-scale ImageNet [11] is first converted into a full-convolutional one due to its efficiency for region feature extraction [17]. Two CNN structures with different complexities (namely AlexNet [18] and VGG16 [19]) are employed as feature extractors. The input images are firstly resized so that their minimum dimension is at least 512, and then fed into the fully convolutional neural network, creating feature maps with about 10 × 15 size in different layers. Specifically, the front-end features are extracted from the feature map of the FC7 layer, and the corresponding posteriors are from the output soft-max layer. For the FC7 features, we first reduce the dimension to 512 using PCA. For the soft-max posteriors, as aforementioned, we select 100 classes according to the descending order of \( \{N_k\}_{k=1}^{100} \).

Once the features and corresponding posteriors are extracted, we encode them using the proposed CNN-FVC method, and generate the image-level representation by averaging-pooling and normalization. We use libsvm [20] as the SVM solver, and precompute the linear kernels as the inputs. This is because kernel matrix computation actually occupies most of computational time when the feature dimensionality is high. Furthermore, linear kernel computation can be implemented efficiently in parallel.

Table I lists the computational complexities of the feed-forward pass in terms of seconds using the MatConvNet toolbox. All evaluations are conducted on a server with Intel i7-2700k CPU and NVIDIA GTX 980 GPU card installed. From Table I, we can see that VGG16 is computationally heavier than AlexNet. However, the feed-forward pass from the FC7 to soft-max layer, only costs an extra 0.07s in CPU time and 0.01s in GPU time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>Layer name</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>GPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VGG16</td>
<td>soft-max</td>
<td>1.54s</td>
<td>0.08s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FC7</td>
<td>1.50s</td>
<td>0.07s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlexNet</td>
<td>soft-max</td>
<td>0.24s</td>
<td>0.02s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FC7</td>
<td>0.17s</td>
<td>0.01s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. EXPERIMENT AND ANALYSIS

We evaluate the proposed CNN-FVC method on two benchmarks: MIT67 [23] and SUN397 [24] datasets. For comparison, we further implement the GMM-FVC method with the FC7 features, as shown in section II. For GMM-FVC, the FC7 features are first reduced to 512-dimensions using PCA, and then GMM-FVC is implemented with a 100-component mixture using the public vlFeat toolbox [25].

Experiments on MIT67

The MIT67 dataset contains 6700 images over 67 indoor scene categories, with 100 image in each category. This dataset is quite challenging since most scenes are collections of objects organized in a highly variable layout, with some subtle cross-category differences.

We use the standard training/test split which consists of 80 training and 20 test images for fair comparison. The experimental results are shown in Table II. Furthermore, we compare the classification accuracy with previous works [8], [7], [15], [19], [21]. Firstly, we can see that the proposed CNN-FV method outperform the GMM-FV by 1.5%-3% for AlexNet and VGG16 respectively.

With AlexNet, the best performance is 72.9%, which is achieved by using semantic FVC with multi-scale patch features. It is worth noting that our proposed CNN-FVC could be easily extended to the multi-scale case, but this is left for future work. Compared to the sparse coding based Fisher vector (SCFVC) method [8], the GMM-FVC(AlexNet) with similar settings performs slightly worse, which is consistent with their conclusion. However, the proposed CNN-FVC can outperform SCFVC by 1% absolutely. This validates the idea that using the features extracted from different layers may help to improve classification performance. The PlaceCNN [21]...
method learns an AlexNet directly on a 2 million place dataset [21]. On MIT67, the classification accuracy is about 68.2%, outperforming the 63.8% Imagenet fine-tuning method with the same CNN structure. It would be interesting to try the CNN-FVC method using PlaceCNN in future.

With a more complex VGG16 structure, GMM-FVC achieves 78.9%, outperforming the previously reported state-of-the-art, such as 77.5% of the VGG19 DAG method that uses activations from multiple layers [22]. However, the features extracted at image-level may lack geometric invariance, which limits their robustness for scene classification. The VGG19 [19] method extracts the FC7 activations from multi-scale regions, but it uses a simple average-pooling for final image representation to achieve an accuracy of 70.8%. The result for the proposed CNN-FV is 82.1%, which is particularly impressive compared to the results of GMM-FV (78.9%) and VGG19-DAG (77.5%).

Experiments on SUN397

SUN397 [24] is a large scale scene recognition dataset with about 100K images spanning 397 categories. The evaluation protocol involves publicly available train-test splits, each with 50 training and 50 test images. Table III reports our results on the SUN397 dataset. From the results, we can see that CNN-FVC works consistently better than GMM-FVC, with about 2%-3% performance gap for both AlexNet and VGG16 CNN structures. Among AlexNet CNN structures, the best performance for SUN397 is achieved by Semantic-FVC [9] using multi-scale features, which is slightly better than PlaceCNN [21]. For the VGG16 CNN structure, the proposed CNN-FVC method achieves an accuracy of 60.8%, outperforming the previous best result of 56.2% by a significant margin. Furthermore, for MIT67 and SUN397, the fusion CNN-FVC and GMM-FVC systems can improve the accuracy to 61.1%. In comparison, simply increasing component numbers from 100 to 200 has only a slight performance improvement in our experiments.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented a CNN-FVC method by treating a pre-trained CNN as the probabilistic discriminative model. More specifically, activations from an intermediate layer (FC7) and the output soft-max layer are used for both front-end feature extraction and back-end modeling stages in conventional FVC methods. These activations can be efficiently obtained through a single feed-forward pass. Compared to GMM-FVC, the CNN-FVC method can effectively describe the complex distribution of the high-dimensional feature space with the help of the CNN structure. The experimental results on two standard scene benchmarks (i.e. SUN397 and MIT67) validate the effectiveness of the proposed CNN-FVC method. Classification accuracies of 60.7% and 82.1% can be achieved on SUN397 and MIT67 benchmarks respectively, outperforming previously reported state-of-the-art results.
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