

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Portaluri, Alessandro and Waterstraat, Nils (2014) On bifurcation for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems on geodesic balls. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 415 (1). pp. 240-246. ISSN 0022-247X.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.01.064>

Link to record in KAR

<http://kar.kent.ac.uk/51397/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

On bifurcation for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems on geodesic balls

Alessandro Portaluri and Nils Waterstraat

Abstract

We study bifurcation from a branch of trivial solutions of semilinear elliptic Dirichlet boundary value problems on a geodesic ball, whose radius is used as the bifurcation parameter. In the proof of our main theorem we obtain in addition a special case of an index theorem due to S. Smale.

1 Introduction

Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let $\Delta = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ denote the associated Laplace-Beltrami operator. Let $V : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $V(p, 0) = 0$ for all $p \in M$ and

$$|V(p, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|^\alpha), \quad \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi}(p, \xi) \right| \leq C(1 + |\xi|^\beta), \quad (p, \xi) \in M \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

for some $C > 0$ and constants $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ depending on the dimension n of M (cf. [AP93, §1.2]). In this paper we deal with local solutions of the semilinear equation

$$-\Delta u(p) + V(p, u(p)) = 0, \quad p \in M, \quad (2)$$

under Dirichlet boundary conditions. Note that many equations from geometric analysis are of the type (2). Let us refer to [Au82], [Be87] and just mention as an example on compact manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$ the equation

$$4 \frac{n-1}{n-2} \Delta u(p) + s(p)u(p) = \mu u(p)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, \quad p \in M, \quad (3)$$

where $s : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the scalar curvature function and μ the Yamabe invariant of the metric g on M . Positive solutions $u \in C^\infty(M)$ of (3) give rise to metrics \tilde{g} of constant scalar curvature on M by $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$.

We now fix a point $p_0 \in M$ and assume that the unit ball $B(0, 1) \subset T_{p_0} M$ is contained in the

¹2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35B32; Secondary 47A53, 35J25, 58E07

²A. Portaluri was supported by the grant PRIN2009 “Critical Point Theory and Perturbative Methods for Nonlinear Differential Equations”.

³N. Waterstraat was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD).

maximal domain on which the exponential map \exp_{p_0} at p_0 is an embedding. Let us denote by $B(p_0, r) = \exp_{p_0}(B(0, r))$ the geodesic ball of radius $0 < r \leq 1$ around p_0 and consider the Dirichlet boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(p) + V(p, u(p)) = 0, & p \in B(p_0, r) \\ u(p) = 0, & p \in \partial B(p_0, r). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

We call $r^* \in (0, 1]$ a *bifurcation radius* for the boundary value problems (4) if there exists a sequence of radii $r_n \rightarrow r^*$ and functions $u_n \in H_0^1(B(p_0, r_n))$ such that u_n is a non-trivial weak solution of (4) on $B(p_0, r_n)$ and $\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(B(p_0, r_n))} \rightarrow 0$. Note that we exclude from the definition the limiting case $r^* = 0$ in which the domain degenerates to a point. The reason is that $\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(B(p_0, r_n))} \rightarrow 0$ for $r_n \rightarrow 0$ holds, for example, for any sequence of functions $u_n \in C^1(B(p_0, r_n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that all u_n and their weak derivatives are bounded uniformly. Consequently, a bifurcation radius $r^* = 0$ would not imply the existence of non-trivial solutions of (4) for small $r > 0$ which are arbitrarily close to the trivial solution $u \equiv 0$ in a suitable sense. Let us now consider the linearised boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(p) + f(p)u(p) = 0, & p \in B(p_0, r) \\ u(p) = 0, & p \in \partial B(p_0, r), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $f(p) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi}(p, 0)$, $p \in M$. We call $r^* \in (0, 1]$ a *conjugate radius* for (5) if

$$m(r^*) := \dim\{u \in C^2(B(p_0, r^*)) : u \text{ solves (5)}\} > 0,$$

and from now on we assume that $m(1) = 0$. Our main result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *The bifurcation radii of (4) are precisely the conjugate radii of (5).*

We explain below in the proof of Theorem 1.1 that we obtain from our methods a new proof of the Morse-Smale index theorem [Sm65] (cf. also [Sm67]) for the linearised equations (5). As a consequence, we conclude that $m(r) = 0$ for almost all radii $r \in (0, 1)$, and moreover, we derive from Theorem 1.1 the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Let μ denote the Morse index of (5) on $B(p_0, 1)$, i.e. the number of negative eigenvalues counted according to their multiplicities. If $\mu \neq 0$, then there exist at least*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\mu}{\max_{0 < r < 1} m(r)} \right\rfloor$$

distinct bifurcation radii in $(0, 1)$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of a real number.

Let us point out that a proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 for the special case that M is a star-shaped domain in \mathbb{R}^n can be found in [PW13]. The following section is devoted to the more general setting which we consider here.

2 The proof

Our main reference for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on manifolds with boundary is [Ta96, §2.4]. Let us recall at first that in local coordinates

$$\Delta u = \sum_{j,k=1}^n |g|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(g^{jk} |g|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right),$$

where g^{jk} , $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, are the components of the inverse of the metric tensor $g = \{g_{jk}\}$ and $|g| := |\det\{g_{jk}\}|$ is the absolute value of its determinant. Denoting by dvol_g the volume form of g , we find for $v \in H_0^1(B(p_0, r))$, $0 < r \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{B(p_0, r)} (\Delta u)(p)v(p) \text{dvol}_g + \int_{B(p_0, r)} V(p, u(p))v(p) \text{dvol}_g \\ &= - \int_{B(0, r)} v(x) \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(g^{jk}(x)|g(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(x) \right) dx + \int_{B(0, r)} |g(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} V(x, u(x))v(x) dx \\ &= \int_{B(0, r)} \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(x)|g(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^j}(x) dx + \int_{B(0, r)} |g(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} V(x, u(x))v(x) dx \\ &= r \int_{B(0, 1)} \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(r \cdot x)|g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(r \cdot x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^j}(r \cdot x) dx \\ &+ r \int_{B(0, 1)} |g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} V(r \cdot x, u(r \cdot x))v(r \cdot x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{B(p_0, r)} (\Delta u)(p)v(p) \text{dvol}_g + \int_{B(p_0, r)} f(p)u(p)v(p) \text{dvol}_g \\ &= r \int_{B(0, 1)} \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(r \cdot x)|g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(r \cdot x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^j}(r \cdot x) dx \\ &+ r \int_{B(0, 1)} |g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} f(r \cdot x)u(r \cdot x)v(r \cdot x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now set $B := B(0, 1)$ and define for $0 \leq r \leq 1$ a functional $q_r : H_0^1(B) \times H_0^1(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$q_r(u, v) = \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(r \cdot x)|g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^j}(x) dx + r^2 \int_B |g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} V(r \cdot x, u(x))v(x) dx$$

as well as a quadratic form $h_r : H_0^1(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h_r(u) = \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(r \cdot x)|g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}(x) dx + r^2 \int_B |g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} f(r \cdot x)u(x)^2 dx.$$

From the computations above we conclude that:

- i) $r^* \in (0, 1]$ is a bifurcation radius for (4), if and only if there exist a sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1]$, $r_n \rightarrow r^*$, and a sequence of non-trivial functions $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H_0^1(B)$, $u_n \rightarrow 0$, such that $q_{r_n}(u_n, \cdot) = 0 \in (H_0^1(B))^*$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

ii) $r^* \in (0, 1]$ is a conjugate radius for (5) if and only if h_r is degenerate.

We now define a function $\psi : [0, 1] \times H_0^1(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi(r, u) = \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(r \cdot x) |g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}(x) dx + r^2 \int_B |g(r \cdot x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} G(r \cdot x, u(x)) dx,$$

where

$$G(x, t) = \int_0^t V(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

It is a standard result that ψ is C^2 -smooth under the growth conditions (1), and $D_u \psi_r = q_r(u, \cdot)$, $u \in H_0^1(B)$. Moreover, $0 \in H_0^1(B)$ is a critical point of all functionals ψ_r and the corresponding Hessians are given by $D_0^2 \psi_r = h_r$. From the compactness of the inclusion $H_0^1(B) \hookrightarrow L^2(B)$, we see at once that the Riesz representation of the quadratic form h_r is a selfadjoint Fredholm operator. In particular, it is invertible if h_r is non-degenerate.

Let us now assume at first that $r^* \in (0, 1]$ is not a conjugate radius. Then h_{r^*} is non-degenerate and we conclude by the implicit function theorem [AP93, §2.2] that the equation $q_r(u, \cdot) = 0$ has no other solutions than $(r, 0) \in [0, 1] \times H_0^1(B)$ in a neighbourhood of $(r^*, 0)$. Consequently, $(r^*, 0)$ is not a bifurcation radius, and we have shown that every bifurcation radius in $(0, 1]$ is a conjugate radius.

In order to prove the remaining implication of Theorem 1.1, we make use of the bifurcation theory for critical points of smooth functionals developed in [FPR99]. Accordingly, we consider for $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ the quadratic forms

$$\Gamma(h, r_0) : \ker h_{r_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Gamma(h, r_0)[u] = \left(\frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_0} h_r \right) u.$$

By [FPR99, Thm. 1& Thm. 4.1], r_0 is a bifurcation radius if $\Gamma(h, r_0)$ is non-degenerate and has a non-vanishing signature (cf. also Section 2.1 in [PW13]). Consequently, we now assume that $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ is a conjugate radius and our aim is to compute $\Gamma(h, r_0)$. Let us write for simplicity of notation

$$\begin{aligned} a^{jk}(x) &= g^{jk}(x) |g(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in B, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n, \\ \tilde{f}(x) &= |g(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x), \quad x \in B. \end{aligned}$$

For $u \in \ker h_{r_0}$ we have by definition

$$\Gamma(h, r_0)[u] = \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \nabla a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x), x \rangle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} dx + \int_B \frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_0} (r^2 \tilde{f}(r \cdot x)) u(x)^2 dx. \quad (6)$$

Let us now introduce a new function by $v_r(x) := u(\frac{r}{r_0} \cdot x)$, $r \in (0, r_0]$, $x \in B$, and denote

$$\dot{u}(x) := \frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_0} v_r(x) = \frac{1}{r_0} \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle. \quad (7)$$

It is readily seen that v_r satisfies

$$- \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(a^{jk}(r \cdot x) \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial x^k} \right) + r^2 \tilde{f}(r \cdot x) v_r(x) = 0,$$

and by differentiating with respect to r at $r = r_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(\langle \nabla a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x), x \rangle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right) - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial x^k} \right) \\ & + \frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_0} (r^2 \tilde{f}(r \cdot x)) u(x) + r_0^2 \tilde{f}(r_0 \cdot x) \dot{u}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We multiply (8) by u and integrate over B :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & - \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(\langle \nabla a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x), x \rangle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right) u(x) dx - \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial x^k} \right) u(x) dx \\ & + \int_B \frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_0} (r^2 \tilde{f}(r \cdot x)) u(x)^2 dx + \int_B r_0^2 \tilde{f}(r_0 \cdot x) \dot{u}(x) u(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \dots, \nu_n(x))$, $x \in \partial B$, denote the outward pointing unit normal to the boundary of B . Using $u|_{\partial B} = 0$, we obtain from integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \nabla a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x), x \rangle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} dx - \int_{\partial B} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \nabla a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x), x \rangle \nu_j(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right) u(x) dS \\ & + \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} dx - \int_{\partial B} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \nu_j(x) \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial x^k} \right) u(x) dS \\ & + \int_B \frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_0} (r^2 \tilde{f}(r \cdot x)) u(x)^2 dx + \int_B r_0^2 \tilde{f}(r_0 \cdot x) \dot{u}(x) u(x) dx \\ = & \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle \nabla a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x), x \rangle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} dx - \int_B \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right) \dot{u}(x) dx \\ & + \int_{\partial B} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \nu_j(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right) \dot{u}(x) dS \\ & + \int_B \frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_0} (r^2 \tilde{f}(r \cdot x)) u(x)^2 dx + \int_B r_0^2 \tilde{f}(r_0 \cdot x) \dot{u}(x) u(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in \ker h_{r_0}$,

$$- \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right) + r_0^2 \tilde{f}(r_0 \cdot x) u(x) = 0, \quad x \in B, \quad (9)$$

and it follows from (6) and (7) that

$$\Gamma(h, r_0)[u] = -\frac{1}{r_0} \int_{\partial B} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{jk}(r_0 \cdot x) \nu_j(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} \right) \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle dS. \quad (10)$$

If we set $A(x) := \{a_{jk}(x)\}$, $x \in B$, and use that $\nu(x) = x$ for all $x \in \partial B$, we can rewrite (10) as

$$\Gamma(h, r_0)[u] = -\frac{1}{r_0} \int_{\partial B} \langle A(r_0 \cdot x)x, \nabla u(x) \rangle \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle dS.$$

Denoting by $(A(r_0 \cdot x)x)^T$, $x \in \partial B$, the tangential component of the vector $A(r_0 \cdot x)x$, we have

$$\langle A(r_0 \cdot x)x, \nabla u(x) \rangle = \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle \langle A(r_0 \cdot x)x, x \rangle + \langle \nabla u(x), (A(r_0 \cdot x)x)^T \rangle$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(h, r_0)[u] &= -\frac{1}{r_0} \int_{\partial B} \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle^2 \langle A(r_0 \cdot x)x, x \rangle dS \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r_0} \int_{\partial B} \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle \langle \nabla u(x), (A(r_0 \cdot x)x)^T \rangle dS. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle \langle \nabla u(x), (A(r_0 \cdot x)x)^T \rangle = \operatorname{div}(u(x) \langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle (A(r_0 \cdot x)x)^T), \quad x \in \partial B,$$

we finally get by Stokes' theorem

$$\Gamma(h, r_0)[u] = -\frac{1}{r_0} \int_{\partial B} \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle^2 \langle A(r_0 \cdot x)x, x \rangle dS \leq 0, \quad (11)$$

where we use that $A(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in B$.

Moreover, we obtain from (11) that if $\Gamma(h, r_0)[u] = 0$ for some $u \in \ker h_{r_0}$, then

$$\langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle = \langle \nabla u(x), \nu(x) \rangle = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \partial B$ which implies $u \equiv 0$ by the unique continuation property.

In summary, we have shown that $\Gamma(h, r_0)$ is negative definite, and so in particular non-degenerate with the non-vanishing signature

$$\operatorname{sgn} \Gamma(h, r_0) = m(r_0). \quad (12)$$

Consequently, r_0 is a bifurcation radius and Theorem 1.1 is proven.

Let us now prove Corollary 1.2. We note at first that the Morse index μ of (5) on the full domain $B(p_0, 1)$ is given by the Morse index $\mu(h_1)$ of the quadratic form h_1 . Moreover, since h_0 is positive, we see that $\mu(h_0) = 0$. It is shown in [FPR99, Prop. 3.9& Thm. 4.1] that if $\Gamma(h, r)$ is non-degenerate for all $r \in (0, 1)$, then $\ker h_r = 0$ for almost all $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\mu(h_1) - \mu(h_0) = \sum_{0 < r < 1} \operatorname{sgn} \Gamma(h, r).$$

Consequently, we conclude from (12) that $m(r) = \dim \ker h_r = 0$ for almost all $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\mu = \sum_{0 < r < 1} m(r). \quad (13)$$

Let us point out that (13) was obtained by Smale in [Sm65] by studying the monotonicity of eigenvalues under shrinking of domains. Hence we have obtained a new proof of Smale's theorem for the boundary value problem (5), and moreover, Corollary 1.2 is now an immediate consequence of (13) and Theorem 1.1.

References

- [AP93] A. Ambrosetti, G. Prodi, **A Primer of Nonlinear Analysis**, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **34**, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [Au82] T. Aubin, **Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds. Monge-Ampère Equations**, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **252**, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [Be87] A.L. Besse, **Einstein Manifolds**, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer, 1987.
- [FPR99] P.M. Fitzpatrick, J. Pejsachowicz, L. Recht, **Spectral Flow and Bifurcation of Critical Points of Strongly-Indefinite Functionals Part I: General Theory**, J. Funct. Anal. **162** (1999), 52-95.
- [PW13] A. Portaluri, N. Waterstraat, **On bifurcation for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems and the Morse-Smale index theorem**, submitted, arXiv:1301.1458 [math.AP].
- [Sm65] S. Smale, **On the Morse index theorem**, J. Math. Mech. **14**, 1965, 1049–1055.
- [Sm67] S. Smale, **Corrigendum: “On the Morse index theorem”**, J. Math. Mech. **16**, 1967, 1069–1070.
- [Ta96] M.E. Taylor, **Partial Differential Equations I-Basic Theory**, Applied Mathematical Sciences 115, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

Alessandro Portaluri
 Department of Agriculture, Forest and Food Sciences
 Università degli studi di Torino
 Via Leonardo da Vinci, 44
 10095 Grugliasco (TO)
 Italy
 E-mail: alessandro.portaluri@unito.it

Nils Waterstraat
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche
Politecnico di Torino
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24
10129 Torino
Italy
E-mail: waterstraat@daad-alumni.de