

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Hone, Andrew N.W. (2015) Curious Continued Fractions, Nonlinear Recurrences and Transcendental Numbers. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 18 (15.8.4). pp. 1-10. ISSN 1530-7638.

DOI

Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/50523/>

Document Version

Updated Version

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

Curious Continued Fractions, Nonlinear Recurrences and Transcendental Numbers

Andrew Hone*

September 17, 2015

Abstract

We consider a family of integer sequences generated by nonlinear recurrences of the second order, which have the curious property that the terms of the sequence, and integer multiples of the ratios of successive terms (which are also integers), appear interlaced in the continued fraction expansion of the sum of the reciprocals of the terms. Using the rapid (double exponential) growth of the terms, for each sequence it is shown that the sum of the reciprocals is a transcendental number.

1 Introduction

For some time there has been considerable interest in rational recurrences which surprisingly generate integer sequences. Such sequences were made popular by the articles of Gale [7, 8], who discussed some particular nonlinear recurrence relations of the form

$$x_{n+N} x_n = f(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+N-1}), \quad (1.1)$$

where f is a polynomial in $N - 1$ variables. Observe that the above recurrence is rational, in the sense that each new iterate x_{n+N} is a rational function of the N previous terms x_n, \dots, x_{n+N-1} . Starting from N initial values x_0, \dots, x_{N-1} which are all integers, there is no reason to expect that subsequent terms will be, because one must divide by x_n at each step. However, a very wide variety of examples are now known, for which the recurrence (1.1) has the Laurent property: if the initial values are viewed as variables, then for certain special choices of f , all of the iterates belong to the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{N-1}^{\pm 1}]$, consisting of Laurent polynomials in the initial values with integer coefficients. In particular, the Laurent property implies that if all the initial values are taken to be 1 (or ± 1), then $x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all n .

*School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NF, U.K. E-mail: A.N.W.Hone@kent.ac.uk

The Laurent property is a key feature of Fomin and Zelevinsky's cluster algebras [5], which are generated by birational iterations of the same shape as (1.1), that is

$$\text{old variable} \times \text{new variable} = \text{exchange polynomial},$$

in the particular case that the exchange polynomial f is a binomial. The main tool available for proving the Laurent property is the Caterpillar Lemma due to Fomin and Zelevinsky [6], which also applies to more general choices of f , fitting into the broader framework of Laurent Phenomenon (LP) algebras [14]. Within the axiomatic setting of cluster algebras or LP algebras, there is a requirement that the exchange polynomials should not be divisible by any of the variables. However, this requirement is not necessary for the Laurent property to hold. Indeed, even for the case of a recurrence of second order, of the form

$$x_{n+2} x_n = f(x_{n+1}), \quad (1.2)$$

the requirement that $x \nmid f(x)$ is not necessary. In work by the author [12, 13] it was shown that recurrences of the form (1.2) having the Laurent property fit into three classes, depending on the form of f : (i) $f(0) \neq 0$, in which case the recurrence belongs within the framework of cluster algebras (when it is a binomial) or LP algebras (when it is not); (ii) $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) \neq 0$; (iii) $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$. In classes (i) and (ii) there are additional requirements on f , but in class (iii) one can take $f(x) = x^2 F(x)$ with arbitrary $F \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

The simplest non-trivial example of the form (1.2) belonging to the third of the classes identified in previous work by the author [12] is the recurrence

$$x_{n+2} x_n = x_{n+1}^2 (x_{n+1} + 1). \quad (1.3)$$

Due to the Laurent property, the initial values $x_0 = x_1 = 1$ generate an integer sequence:

$$1, 1, 2, 12, 936, 68408496, 342022190843338960032, \dots; \quad (1.4)$$

this is sequence [A112373](#) in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS). As one might expect from the first few terms, this sequence grows very rapidly: $\log x_n \sim C\lambda^n$ with $C \approx 0.146864$ and $\lambda = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$. Another feature of sequence [A112373](#) is that the ratios $y_n = x_{n+1}/x_n$ also form an integer sequence, that is

$$1, 2, 6, 78, 73086, 4999703411742, 1710009514450915230711940280907486, \dots, \quad (1.5)$$

which is sequence [A114552](#) in the OEIS, and the same is true for the ratios of ratios, i.e., $z_n = y_{n+1}/y_n = x_{n+1} + 1$ by (1.3); this property of the ratios is common to all recurrences in class (iii). Hanna made some very interesting empirical observations about the sequence (1.4) [9], by considering \mathcal{S} , the sum of reciprocals of the terms:

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_j} = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{936} + \dots \approx 2.5844017240. \quad (1.6)$$

In the OEIS, the digits of this number appear as sequence [A114550](#), yet it is not the decimal expansion of \mathcal{S} that is interesting, but rather its continued fraction representation; with the notation

$$[a_0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, \dots] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots \frac{1}{a_n + \dots}}}}$$

one finds that

$$\mathcal{S} = [2; 1, 1, 2, 2, 6, 12, 78, 936, 73086, 68408496, 4999703411742, \dots]. \quad (1.7)$$

What appears to be the case from the above is that (apart from the initial value $a_0 = 2$) the sequence of a_n in (1.7), which is number [A114551](#), is obtained by interlacing the original sequence (1.4) with the ratios (1.5). As observed by Shallit [16], and shown by Harris [11], this implies that the even/odd terms satisfy

$$a_{2n} = a_{2n-1}a_{2n-2}, \quad a_{2n+1} = a_{2n-1}(a_{2n} + 1), \quad (1.8)$$

respectively, where the first formula holds for $n \geq 2$ and the second for $n \geq 1$.

The purpose of this short note is to prove Hanna's observations concerning the continued fraction expansion (1.7), and generalize them to an infinite family of integer sequences generated by recurrences belonging to class (iii) in the author's previous work [12]. At the same time we show that the number \mathcal{S} given by (1.6) is transcendental, and the same is true for the sums of reciprocals obtained from the other sequences in this family.

The results presented here are similar in spirit to those in a paper by Davison and Shallit, who found some continued fractions whose partial quotients (coefficients) are explicitly related to the denominators of their convergents, and used this to prove the transcendence of Cahen's constant [3]. For references to examples of other transcendental numbers whose complete continued fraction expansion is known, the reader should consult the latter paper.

2 Continued fractions for sums of reciprocals

Before we proceed with presenting a family of sequences which generalizes (1.4), we present some facts about this particular example, to motivate the proof of the main result. When taking the sum of reciprocals (1.6), it is more convenient to exclude the index $j = 0$ from the sum, and then consider the partial sums

$$S_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{x_j}.$$

Calculating the finite continued fractions of these partial sums, we find that

$$S_1 = 1, \quad S_2 = \frac{3}{2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}, \quad S_3 = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2}}}}$$

$S_4 = [1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 6, 12]$, $S_5 = [1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 6, 12, 78, 936]$, and

$$S_6 = [1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 6, 12, 78, 936, 73086, 68408496]$$

are the first few partial sums. As will be proved in due course, the pattern is

$$S_N = [x_0; y_0, x_1, y_1, x_2, \dots, y_{N-2}, x_{N-1}], \quad (2.1)$$

so that the even/odd coefficients are $a_{2n} = x_n$ and $a_{2n+1} = y_n$ respectively, and as we have chosen to start the sum with $1/x_1 = 1$ we now have $a_0 = x_0 = 1$ which ensures that both formulae (1.8) hold for all $n \geq 1$. The result for S_2 looks anomalous, but in fact (2.1) is seen to hold for $N = 2$ upon noting that

$$S_2 = [1; 2] = [1; 1, 1].$$

The continued fraction for the infinite sum $S_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_j}$ is obtained in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, and compared with (1.6) we have $\mathcal{S} = S_\infty + 1$.

We now wish to generalize these observations to integer sequences generated by recurrences of the form

$$x_{n+2} x_n = x_{n+1}^2 F(x_{n+1}), \quad (2.2)$$

where $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and we assume that $d = \deg F \geq 1$ to avoid a trivial case. If we take such a recurrence with the initial values $x_0 = x_1 = 1$, and set

$$y_n = \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}, \quad z_n = \frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n} = \frac{x_{n+2} x_n}{x_{n+1}^2} = F(x_{n+1}),$$

then we have $y_0 = 1$, $x_2 = y_1 = z_0 = F(1)$, and by induction we see that $x_n, y_n, z_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \geq 0$, so we have three integer sequences, as long as the recurrence (2.2) does not reach a singularity (division by zero), which can happen if $F(x) = 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, suppose that for some positive integer m we have $0 \neq x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$, but $F(x_m) = 0$; then at the next step $x_{m+1} = 0$, followed by $x_{m+2} = 0$, and then x_{m+3} is undefined. The analysis of these recurrences near to a singularity has been performed previously [12].

To avoid the possibility of reaching a singularity from the initial conditions $x_0 = x_1 = 1$, we choose F to have only positive integer coefficients ($F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$), so that $F(x) > 0$ whenever $x > 0$, and then all three sequences, (x_n) , (y_n) and (z_n) , consist of positive integers. In order for the continued fraction expansion of the sum of reciprocals to behave in the right way, we must make

the further assumption that $F(0) = 1$, which (since the degree of F is positive) implies $F(1) > 1$, and hence $x_{n+1} > x_n$ for $n \geq 1$, and the sequences (y_n) and (z_n) are strictly increasing as well. The precise rate of growth of these sequences will be considered in the next section, but for now we proceed with the main result on continued fractions.

Theorem 2.1. *For a sequence (x_n) generated from the initial values $x_0 = x_1 = 1$ by the recurrence (2.2) with $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$ and $F(0) = 1$, the partial sums of reciprocals have the continued fraction expansions*

$$S_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{x_j} = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2N-2}] \quad (2.3)$$

for all $N \geq 1$, where

$$a_{2n} = x_n, \quad a_{2n+1} = \frac{F(x_{n+1}) - 1}{x_n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}. \quad (2.4)$$

Corollary 2.2. *The infinite sum of the reciprocals of the terms of the sequence (x_n) is given by*

$$S_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_j} = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots], \quad (2.5)$$

where the coefficients of the continued fraction are as in (2.4).

The latter result on the infinite sum follows immediately from (2.3) by taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the convergence of the sum is guaranteed since the infinite continued fraction makes sense for any sequence of positive integer coefficients (a_n) ; the convergence of the infinite sum can also be proved directly by using estimates for the growth of (x_n) , as given in the next section.

Remark 2.3. *We may write*

$$S_\infty - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y_1 y_2 \cdots y_j}$$

and observe that (y_n) is a non-decreasing sequence of positive integers, with $y_n \geq 2$ for $n \geq 1$, so this is an example of an Engel expansion (see Theorem 2.3 in Duverney's book [4]).

To prove Theorem 2.1, we start by recalling some general facts about convergents of continued fractions, which are well known; for a brief summary of these results, the reader is referred to the first chapter of Manin and Panchishkin's book [15], or for more details see the book by Cassels [2]. The n th convergent of the continued fraction $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ is given by

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n],$$

where the numerators p_n and denominators q_n are given in terms of the coefficients according to the matrix identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_n & p_{n-1} \\ q_n & q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

and both p_n and q_n are obtained recursively via the same linear three-term recurrence relation, that is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1}, \\ q_{n+1} &= a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

with the initial values

$$q_{-1} = 0, \quad p_{-1} = q_0 = 1, \quad p_0 = a_0. \quad (2.8)$$

Taking the determinant of both sides of (2.6) gives the formula

$$p_n q_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_n = (-1)^{n+1}, \quad (2.9)$$

valid for $n \geq 0$, and the identity

$$p_n q_{n-2} - p_{n-2} q_n = (-1)^n a_n \quad (2.10)$$

for $n \geq 1$ follows by combining (2.7) with (2.9).

Now consider the convergents of the continued fraction whose coefficients a_n are given in terms of the sequence (x_n) by (2.4). First of all, note that if we write $F(x) = 1 + xG(x)$ for $G \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$, then $a_{2n+1} = x_{n+1}G(x_{n+1})/x_n = y_n G(x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ as claimed; so in general the coefficients with odd index are integer multiples of the ratios y_n . We prove by induction that

$$q_{2N-1} = y_N - 1 = \frac{x_{N+1}}{x_N} - 1, \quad q_{2N} = x_{N+1}. \quad (2.11)$$

For $N = 0$ we have $q_{-1} = x_1/x_0 - 1 = 0$ and $q_0 = x_1 = 1$ in agreement with (2.8), and assuming that (2.11) holds for some N , from (2.7) we have

$$q_{2N+1} = a_{2N+1}q_{2N} + q_{2N-1} = (F(x_{N+1}) - 1) \frac{x_{N+1}}{x_N} + \frac{x_{N+1}}{x_N} - 1 = \frac{x_{N+2}}{x_{N+1}} - 1,$$

by (2.2), and hence

$$q_{2N+2} = a_{2N+2}q_{2N+1} + q_{2N} = x_{N+1} \left(\frac{x_{N+2}}{x_{N+1}} - 1 \right) + x_{N+1} = x_{N+2}$$

as required. Now (2.3) is clearly true for $N = 1$, and if it holds for some index N then, by using the second equation in (2.11) as well as (2.10) and (2.4), we find

$$S_{N+1} = S_N + \frac{1}{x_{N+1}} = \frac{p_{2N-2}}{q_{2N-2}} + \frac{1}{q_{2N}} = \frac{p_{2N}q_{2N-2} - a_{2N} + q_{2N-2}}{q_{2N-2}q_{2N}} = \frac{p_{2N}}{q_{2N}}$$

which means that the partial sum S_{N+1} is the $2N$ th convergent of the continued fraction $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ with coefficients (2.4), so (2.3) holds for the index $N + 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Transcendence of the sums

We now prove the following

Theorem 3.1. *The infinite sum S_∞ , as in (2.5), is a transcendental number.*

The proof is based on Roth's theorem, which says that if α is an irrational algebraic number then for an arbitrary fixed $\delta > 0$ there are only finitely many rational approximations p/q for which

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^{2+\delta}} \quad (3.1)$$

(see Manin and Panchishkin's book for a brief discussion [15], and for a proof see the book by Cassels [2]). Note that the number S_∞ is irrational, since its continued fraction expansion (2.5) consists of an infinite sequence of coefficients $a_n \neq 0$. In order to make use of Roth's theorem, it is enough for the integer sequence (x_n) to satisfy the growth condition

$$x_{n+1} > x_n^\kappa \quad \text{for some } \kappa > 2, \quad (3.2)$$

for all sufficiently large n . Supposing that this is so, it follows that

$$x_{n+j} > x_n^{\kappa^j} \quad \text{for } j \geq 1$$

whenever n is large enough. Then from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\left| S_\infty - \frac{p_{2n}}{q_{2n}} \right| = \sum_{j=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_j} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_{n+1}^{\kappa^j}}.$$

Now the function $g(j) = j^{\frac{1}{j-1}}$ is monotone decreasing with $g(2) = 2$, so $\kappa^j > j\kappa$ for $j \geq 2$ and $\kappa > 2$, which together with (2.11) implies

$$\left| S_\infty - \frac{p_{2n}}{q_{2n}} \right| < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_{n+1}^{j\kappa}} = \frac{(1 - x_{n+1}^{-\kappa})^{-1}}{x_{n+1}^\kappa} < \frac{1}{x_{n+1}^{\kappa-\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{q_{2n}^{\kappa-\epsilon}}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ and n sufficiently large. So if ϵ is chosen such that $\kappa - \epsilon = 2 + \delta > 2$, then $\alpha = S_\infty$ has infinitely many rational approximations satisfying (3.1), and hence must be transcendental.

To show that (3.2) holds for any sequence (x_n) defined by the recurrence (2.2) with $x_0 = x_1 = 1$ and $F(0) = 1$, $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$ as in Theorem 2.1, we can use a very crude estimate. Indeed, we have $F(x) = 1 + \dots + cx^d$ for some integer $c \geq 1$, so $F(x) > x^d$ for all $x > 0$, and then from (2.2) we obtain

$$x_{n+1} > \frac{x_n^{d+2}}{x_{n-1}} \geq x_n^{d+1} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $n \geq 1$, since the ratios $y_n = x_{n+1}/x_n$ form an increasing sequence. The above growth condition is sufficient for (3.2) when the degree $d \geq 2$, but not

when $d = 1$, which is the case relevant to the original sequence (1.4). However, this estimate can be improved upon by using $x_{n-1} < x_n^{\frac{1}{d+1}}$ in the first inequality in (3.3), to yield

$$x_{n+1} > x_n^{d+2-\frac{1}{d+1}} \geq x_n^{5/2} \quad (3.4)$$

for $d \geq 1$.

In fact, we can get a more accurate measure of growth from asymptotic arguments. Upon taking the logarithm of (2.2) we find that $\Lambda_n = \log x_n$ satisfies

$$\Lambda_{n+1} - (d+2)\Lambda_n + \Lambda_{n-1} = \log c + \alpha_n, \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_n = \log \left(\frac{F(x_n)}{cx_n^d} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Note that $\alpha_n = \log(1 + O(x_n^{-1})) = O(x_n^{-1})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which means that, to leading order, the growth of Λ_n is governed by a homogeneous linear equation with constant coefficients, given by the vanishing of the left-hand side of (3.5). The characteristic equation is $\lambda^2 - (d+2)\lambda + 1 = 0$, with the largest root being

$$\lambda = \frac{d+2 + \sqrt{d(d+4)}}{2} > 2. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus we find that

$$\Lambda_n \sim C\lambda^n, \quad (3.7)$$

for some $C > 0$. Hence $\Lambda_{n+1}/\Lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so for any $\epsilon > 0$ it follows that $\Lambda_{n+1} > (\lambda - \epsilon)\Lambda_n$ for all sufficiently large n , giving the required growth condition

$$x_{n+1} > x_n^{\lambda - \epsilon}.$$

The asymptotic properties of the sequence (x_n) can be determined more precisely by adapting the methods of Aho and Sloane [1], leading to the following result, which is easy to verify directly from (3.5).

Proposition 3.2. *For the initial conditions $x_0 = x_1 = 1$, the logarithm $\Lambda_n = \log x_n$ of each term of the sequence satisfying (2.2) is given by the formula*

$$\Lambda_n = \left(\frac{(1 - \lambda^{-1})\lambda^n - (1 - \lambda)\lambda^{-n}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} - 1 \right) \log c^{\frac{1}{d}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\lambda^{n-k} - \lambda^{k-n}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} \right) \alpha_k, \quad (3.8)$$

where α_k is defined as in (3.5) and λ as in (3.6).

Corollary 3.3. *To leading order, the asymptotic approximation of the logarithm Λ_n is given by (3.7), where*

$$C = \left(\frac{1 - \lambda^{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} \right) \log c^{\frac{1}{d}} + \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} \alpha_k,$$

and for the terms of the sequence

$$x_n \sim c^{-\frac{1}{d}} \exp(C\lambda^n).$$

Remark 3.4. *The form of the expression (3.8) is the discrete analogue of the solution of a linear inhomogeneous differential equation as obtained via the method of variation of parameters [10]; if α_k were given in advance, then it would provide the exact solution of (3.5), viewed as a linear equation with initial values $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_1 = 0$. However, as was pointed out for a different example by Aho and Sloane [1], a formula such as (3.8) only represents the solution of the corresponding nonlinear equation (in this case the equation (2.2) for x_n) in a tautologous sense, because α_k depends explicitly on the terms of the sequence (x_n) . Nevertheless, this formula does yield useful asymptotic information about the sequence.*

4 Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Paul Hanna, Mitch Harris, Gerald McGarvey and Jeffrey Shallit for interesting correspondence in December 2005 related to the sequence [A112373](#); and he would like to thank an anonymous referee for several helpful comments, in particular for pointing out the reference [3]. This work is supported by EPSRC Fellowship EP/M004333/1.

References

- [1] A. V. Aho and N. J. A. Sloane, Some doubly exponential sequences, *Fibonacci Quart.* **11** (1973), 429–437.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels, *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation*, Cambridge University Press, 1957.
- [3] J. L. Davison and J. O. Shallit, Continued fractions for some alternating series, *Monatsh. Math.* **111** (1991), 119–126.
- [4] D. Duverney, *Number Theory: An Elementary Introduction Through Diophantine Problems*, World Scientific, 2010.
- [5] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: Foundations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), 497–529.
- [6] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, The Laurent phenomenon, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **28** (2002), 119–144.
- [7] D. Gale, The strange and surprising saga of the Somos sequences, *Math. Intelligencer* **13** (1) (1991), 40–42.
- [8] D. Gale, Somos sequence update, *Math. Intelligencer* **13** (4) (1991), 49–50.
- [9] P. Hanna, e-mail to Seqfan mailing list, 7th December 2005.
- [10] E. L. Ince, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Dover, 1956.
- [11] M. Harris, e-mail to Seqfan mailing list, 9th December 2005.
- [12] A. N. W. Hone, Singularity confinement for maps with the Laurent property, *Phys. Lett. A* **361** (2007), 341–345.
- [13] A. N. W. Hone, Nonlinear recurrence sequences and Laurent polynomials, in J. McKee and C. Smyth, eds., *Number Theory and Polynomials*, LMS Lecture Notes Series, vol. **352**, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 188–210.

- [14] T. Lam and P. Pylyavskyy, Laurent phenomenon algebras, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2611v2>.
- [15] Yu. I. Manin and A. A. Panchishkin, *Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer, 2nd Edition, 2005.
- [16] J. O. Shallit, e-mail to Seqfan mailing list, 7th December 2005.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11J70; Secondary 11B37.
Keywords: continued fraction, nonlinear recurrence, transcendental number, Laurent property.

(Concerned with sequences [A112373](#), [A114550](#), [A114551](#), and [A114552](#).)