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Abstract

Imprecise knowledge on the composition-processing-microstructure-property correlation of titanium alloys combined with experimental data are used for developing rule based models for predicting the strength and elastic modulus of titanium alloys. The developed models are used for designing alloys suitable for orthopaedic and dental applications. Reduced Space Searching Algorithm is employed for the multi-objective optimization to find composition, processing and microstructure of titanium alloys suitable for orthopaedic applications. The conflicting requirements attributes of the alloys for this particular purpose are high strength with low elastic modulus, along with adequate biocompatibility and low costs. The ‘Pareto’ solutions developed through multi-objective optimization show that the preferred compositions for the fulfilling the above objectives lead to β or near β alloys. The concept of decision making employed on the solutions leads to some compositions, which should provide better combination of the required attributes. The experimental development of some of the alloys has been carried out as guided by the model-based design methodology.
presented in this research. Primary characterizations of the alloys show encouraging results in terms of the mechanical properties.
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1. Introduction:

The metallic biomaterials are considered the most suitable for replacing failed hard tissues, and among them titanium alloys are getting much attention due to excellent specific strength and the best biocompatibility. Pure titanium and Ti–6Al–4V still occupy most of the market of titanium biomaterials [1, 2]. But due to lower elastic modulus, β type titanium alloys are found advantageous from the point of mechanical biocompatibility, regarded as an important factor. This property is important as a low elastic modulus reduces stress shielding of the neighbouring bone [3-5]. But pure Ti and α+β type Ti–6Al–4V alloys have an elastic modulus of 105–110 GPa, while the human cortical bone has a stiffness of around 20 GPa [6, 7]. β or near-β alloys include Mo, Nb, Zr, Fe, Ta, Pd and Sn as alloying elements [8, 9]. The implant materials also need high fatigue strength in addition to the low elastic modulus and high strength. Therefore, alloying elements such as Cu, Co, Ni, and Si are used for the purpose of strengthening [10]. The β-phase being highly plastic, forming of β-Ti-alloys becomes easy [11]. In addition, some recent concern has been highlighted over the use of Ti-6Al-4V, as it seems that Al and V dissolve out inside human body and may have a negative effect on the body. Thus, substitution of V by Nb or Ta, and substitution of Al by Zr can be advantageous from this aspect also [12-14].

It seems from the above that a judicious selection of composition may lead to further improvement of the properties of the Ti alloys suitable for its use as an implant material. Thus the information generated by previous workers through experimental findings [1, 3, 15-18] are utilized in this research in addition to the prior knowledge of the system to find the optimum composition of Ti alloys to achieve the best combination of high strength, low elastic modulus, adequate biocompatibility and with low costs. But searching the optimum combination of the alloying additions in the experimental domain becomes expensive from the view point of time and money. On the other hand computational design strategies have the capacity to stimulate ‘right first time’ experimentation [19, 20], which aims to achieve the targeted properties within a few attempts. The ‘first principle’ techniques are utilized by several workers using parameter-free density functional theory calculations to provide
guidance in selecting and optimizing Ti alloys with respect to the use of non-toxic alloy elements, the stabilization of the β-phase and the reduction of the stiffness compared [21] or by means of *ab initio* plane-wave pseudo-potential method to investigate mono-vacancy, di-vacancy and self-interstitials in α titanium [22]. There are several attempts to develop composition-property correlation in other materials also, e.g. single crystal elastic constants of Mg–Li alloys using density functional theory (DFT) [23], semiconductor spintronics [24], and Pb(Sc0.5Nb0.5)O3 perovskite alloy [25] etc. However, informatics- based design using computational intelligence techniques have been successfully used for designing Ti alloys [26-27] and other materials [28-30]. Informatics based materials design strategies try to extract the inherent correlation within data and utilize the information for designing novel materials [31]. Statistical as well as computational intelligence based techniques have the capacity to use data as well as imprecise knowledge (expert-knowledge) of a system and derive a model of the system that has the capability to generalise behaviour over a wide range of parameter variability. It can thus successfully and specifically handle noise as well as uncertainties in complex materials systems [32]- Such techniques are known to be ‘universal approximators’. Such efforts ultimately reinforce the ‘first principle’ approaches of materials modelling and design. In this work models for yield strength and elastic modulus of Ti alloys are developed using an innovative two-layered fuzzy inference system (FIS), where the first layered correlating the composition and process variables with the microstructural features is developed using prior-knowledge, and the second layer correlating the microstructure with properties were developed using published experimental data. An fuzzy inference system is a modelling tool based on fuzzy logic [33], which can be defined as an extension of multivalued logic. It has its origin in the theory of fuzzy sets, a theory which relates to classes of objects with transitional boundaries in which a membership of the set is a matter of ‘degree.’ FIS can use imprecise knowledge of a system in the form of *if-then* rules to map the relationship between inputs and outputs [34]. Fuzzy logic can also be used to develop *if-then* rules from experimental data, which can be used to develop the model. Such models can extract useful knowledge about the system, particularly that relating the microstructural features with the properties, in the form of *if-then* statements. The developed models are then used for identifying optimal combinations of compositions and processing for high strength and low elastic modulus. The objectives being conflicting in nature, multi-objective optimization is employed using an evolutionary algorithm known as Reduced Space Searching Algorithm (RSSA) [35, 36]. Evolutionary algorithm is a term used to describe
computer based problem solving systems which use computational models of evolutionary processes as key elements in their design and implementation [37]. RSSA is one type of evolutionary algorithm that is inspired by humans’ natural searching behaviour. To seek a target within a large and complex search space, a general means to reducing the workload could be of dividing the search space into parts and strategically arranging the sequence and density of searching among the various subspaces, as shown in Fig. 1. RSSA employs such an idea hierarchically and repeatedly so as to achieve the fast convergence and accurate optimization.

It is worth noting that the biocompatibility issue is treated as a constraint in the optimization process. For costs a weighted summation model of the elemental constituent is developed with the recent cost of the alloying additions. In case of multi-objective optimization, unlike the single objective optimization, a set of non-dominated solutions, where each solution is better than other in at least one objective, evolve; this set of solutions is called Pareto solutions [38-40]. In the present study, the compositions of alloys selected from the evolved Pareto solutions are developed for experimental trials.

2. Database

The published experimental data are collected from various sources [1, 41-50]. The input variables consist of compositional variables and processing parameters and the output variable are the mechanical properties. For strength, the number of training data is 145 and the number of testing data is 26, and for elastic modulus, the number of training data is 165 and the number of testing data is 29. The list of input and output variables, with their minimum and maximum values, is presented in Table 1.

3. Fuzzy Model Development

A Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) that maps an input space into an output space using the theory of fuzzy logic [33, 34] is used in this work. The FIS has three parts, viz. fuzzy membership functions, fuzzy operators and specific implication operations in the form of if-then statements or rules to give a quantitative meaning to a qualitative (linguistic) phrase (statement). The membership function of a fuzzy set represents the degree of truth, where fuzzy truth represents membership in vaguely defined sets. The fuzzy operators are the familiar operators like AND, OR, and NOT, can expressed as intersection, union, and complement. This non-linear mapping is capable of dealing with ambiguities (uncertainties)
surrounding the real-world and is also able to deal with what is known as ‘the curse of
dimensionality’. A sample fuzzy rule can be expressed as follows:

If P is X and Q is Y then R is Z

where X, Y and Z are linguistic variables (labels) defined by fuzzy sets on the specific ranges
e.g. high, low, fast slow etc., while P and Q are the input variables, and R is the output
variable. In such rules the ‘if’ part is called the “antecedent” and the ‘then’ part is called the
“consequent”. In the above fuzzy rule, the inputs and outputs would be both represented by fuzzy sets in case of Mamdani-type representation [34]. The FIS is explained in Fig. 2. As the Mamdani-type FIS is used in the present work, other type of FISs are not discussed.

In this work, predefined fuzzy sets are generated using the knowledge of experts (the authors). The rule-bases for the first layer of the models, i.e. the rules correlating the composition and microstructural features, are developed from prior knowledge. In case of the second layer correlating the microstructural features to the mechanical properties, the primary work consists of generating appropriate fuzzy rule-base. Any redundancy of the fuzzy rule is estimated via the following ‘confidence’ measure [51]:

$$\text{conf}(A \rightarrow B) = \frac{|C(A) \times C(B)|}{|C(A)|} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{m} (N_A(x_n) \times \mu_B(y_n))}{\sum_{n=1}^{m} N_A(x_n)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $|C(A)\times C(B)|$ is the number of training patterns compatible to the antecedent (the ‘if’ part of the rule) $A$ and the consequent (the ‘then’ part of the rule) $B$, whereas $|C(A)|$ is the same for the antecedent $A$ only. $N_A(x_n)$ is the grade of compatibility of the input $x_n$ along with antecedent $A = [A_1, A_2, ..., A_l]^T$, and $\mu_B(y_n)$ is that of the output value $y_n$ with the consequent $B$. $N_A(x_n)$ is defined by the minimum or the product operator, such that:

$$N_A(x_n) = \min(\mu_{A_1}(x_{1n}), \mu_{A_2}(x_{2n}), ..., \mu_{A_l}(x_{ln}))$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

or

$$N_A(x_n) = \mu_{A_1}(x_{1n}) \times \mu_{A_2}(x_{2n}) \times ... \times \mu_{A_l}(x_{ln})$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

In this case, to reduce the complexity of the system, and for the ease of expressing the existing domain knowledge in the form of if-then rules, the number of inputs is reduced from fourteen (14) to nine (9), using Mo-equivalent [10], where,

$$\text{Mo-equivalent} = Mo + 0.6*V + 0.28*Nb + 0.22*Ta + 1.25*Cr + 2.5*Fe$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)
Thus, the nine variables used for modelling are Mo-equivalent, Al, Zr, Sn, deformation temperature, solutionising temperature, cooling rate, ageing temperature and ageing time. Two separate models are developed for two outputs, i.e. yield strength (YS) and elastic modulus (EM), as per the schematics shown in Fig. 3.

Six Mamdani FISs correlating the composition and process variables with microstructural features are developed using prior knowledge of the system. Two Mamdani FISs correlating the microstructural features with the properties is developed using the database generated from published literatures.

3.1. FIS for volume fraction of $\beta$
Among the alloy additions most of the elements are $\beta$ stabilizers, whose individual effects are combined in the form of Mo equivalent [41]. Al is $\alpha$ stabilizer. Higher solutionising temperature and faster cooling rate leads to higher volume fraction of $\beta$. This concept is utilized to develop the rule base for this FIS. The rules are as follows:

1. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Al content is low) and (Solutionising temp is high) and (Cooling rate is fast) then (volume fraction of $\beta$ is high)
2. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Al content is high) and (Solutionising temp is low) and (Cooling rate is slow) then (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low)
3. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Al content is high) and (Solutionising temp is medium) and (Cooling rate is moderate) then (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low)
4. If (Mo equivalent is medium) and (Al content is medium) and (Solutionising temp is medium) and (Cooling rate is moderate) then (volume fraction of $\beta$ is medium)
5. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Al content is medium) and (Solutionising temp is high) and (Cooling rate is moderate) then (volume fraction of $\beta$ is medium)
6. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Al content is low) and (Solutionising temp is high) and (Cooling rate is moderate) then (volume fraction of $\beta$ is high)

The surface view generated by the developed FIS shows how much prior imprecise knowledge the model can capture (Fig. 4).

3.2. FIS for solid solution hardening
Here, the variation of hardness due to addition of alloying elements, found by previous workers [52], is considered to create the rule base as well as to fix the scale. Among the
alloying elements the purpose of adding Zr is chiefly due to its solid solution hardening, as it is a neutral element from the point of phase stabilization [10]. Other than that, Al, Sn and all other alloying additions (β stabilizers) have some effect on the final properties. All these effects are summarized in the form of if-then rules. The role of the β stabilizers is described in the form of Mo equivalent. The effect of solid solution hardening is expressed in terms of Hardness (soln) (VHN). The rules generated for the FIS are as follows:

1. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Al content is high) and (Zr content is high) and (Sn content is high) then (solution hardening is high)
2. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Al content is low) and (Zr content is low) and (Sn content is low) then (solution hardness is low)
3. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Al content is high) and (Zr content is medium) and (Sn content is medium) then (solution hardness is medium)
4. If (Mo equivalent is medium) and (Al content is medium) and (Zr content is low) and (Sn content is low) then (solution hardness is low)
5. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Al content is medium) and (Zr content is high) and (Sn content is high) then (solution hardness is high)

The surface views of the FIS are shown in Fig. 5.

**FIS: Grain size**

Most of the β stabilizers act as grain refiners, by increasing the recrystallisation temperature. Hence, the Mo equivalent was used as a qualitative expression for the grain refinement due to alloying addition. Daisuke Kuroda et al. observed that the average grain size of the alloys varies with varying amounts of Mo, Nb, Zr, Sn and other alloying elements [11, 53]. A lower deformation temperature increases the grain refinement as well as defects in the structure. A lower solution temperature reduces the possibility of grain growth. These factors are expressed in terms of fuzzy if-then rules as follows:

1. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Deformation temperature is high) and (Solutionising temperature is high) then (grain size is high)
2. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Deformation temperature is low) and (Solutionising temperature is low) then (grain size is low)
3. If (Mo equivalent is medium) and (Deformation temperature is medium) and (Solutionising temperature is medium) then (grain size is medium)
4. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Deformation temperature is low) and (Solutionising temperature is low) then (grain size is medium)

5. If (Mo equivalent is medium) and (Deformation temperature is low) and (Solutionising temperature is low) then (grain size is low)

The surfaced generated from the FIS prediction are shown in Fig. 6.

FIS: Acicularity

The acicularity of microstructure has significant effect on the mechanical properties of the Ti alloys. The acicular structures may form due to transformation at low temperature (martensite formation) or due to formation of Wüdmanstatten structure. Though unlike steel, martensites in Ti alloys are not strong, this factor was incorporated in the microstructure to explain its specific role in strength and elastic modulus. Filip et al. [54] noticed that the thickness and length of the α-phase decrease with increasing cooling rate and with increasing content of the β-stabilising elements. Acicularity is expressed here in terms of average aspect ratio of the grains. The rules generated from the concept of physical metallurgy of Ti alloys are as follows:

1. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Zr content is low) and (Sn content is low) and (solutionising temperature is high) and (Cooling rate is fast) then (aspect ratio is high)
2. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Zr content is low) and (Sn content is low) and (solutionising temperature is high) and (Cooling rate is slow) then (aspect ratio is high)
3. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Zr content is high) and (Sn content is high) then (aspect ratio is low)
4. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Zr content is low) and (Sn content is low) and (solutionising temperature is low) and (Cooling rate is slow) then (aspect ratio is low)
5. If (Mo equivalent is medium) and (Zr content is medium) and (Sn content is medium) and (solutionising temperature is medium) and (Cooling rate is moderate) then (aspect ratio is medium)

Fig. 7 shows the surfaces generated by the FIS.

FIS: Defect in the microstructure

Defect in the structure is generally shown through the dislocation density. A higher density of dislocation is generated by deformation below the recrystallisation temperature, lower
solutionising temperature and faster cooling. Here the concepts used are not specific to Ti alloys. The rules for this FIS are as follows:

1. If (Deformation temperature is low) and (solutionising temperature is low) and (Cooling rate is fast) then (dislocation density is high)
2. If (Deformation temperature is high) and (solutionising temperature is high) and (Cooling rate is slow) then (dislocation density is low)
3. If (Deformation temperature is medium) and (solutionising temperature is medium) and (Cooling rate is moderate) then (dislocation density is medium)
4. If (Deformation temperature is low) and (solutionising temperature is medium) and (Cooling rate is slow) then (dislocation density is low)

The surface views generated as shown in Fig. 8.

FIS: Other decomposition products
The solutionised alloy, when aged, forms several types of intermetallics as precipitates within the matrix; this leads to precipitation hardening. Ageing at 350°C hard and brittle ω phase precipitates in a dispersive manner, which leads to the significant increase of elastic modulus along with decrease of plasticity [10, 52]. But when the alloy is aged above 350°C the ω precipitation is reduced with the appearance of dot α precipitation, which decrease elastic modulus and strength and increase plasticity. Here, it is expressed in terms of Hardness (pptn) (VHN). A method similar to that employed in the case of solid solution hardening is employed here also. The formulated fuzzy rules are as follows:

1. If (Mo equivalent is medium) and (Solutionising temp is high) and (Cooling rate is fast) and (Ageing temp is medium) and (Ageing time is medium) then (hardness_pptn is high)
2. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Solutionising temp is medium) and (Cooling rate is fast) and (Ageing temp is high) and (Ageing time is high) then (hardness_pptn is high)
3. If (Mo equivalent is low) and (Solutionising temp is low) and (Cooling rate is slow) and (Ageing temp is low) and (Ageing time is low) then (hardness_pptn is low)
4. If (Mo equivalent is high) and (Solutionising temp is high) and (Cooling rate is slow) and (Ageing temp is low) and (Ageing time is low) then (hardness_pptn is low)
5. If (Mo equivalent is medium) and (Solutionising temp is medium) and (Cooling rate is moderate) and (Ageing temp is high) and (Ageing time is high) then (hardness _pptn is medium)

The surface views describes the effects of the variables on precipitation hardening, as depicted by the rule based FIS (Fig. 9).

The first part of the model, correlating the composition and process variables with the microstructural features, is generated from prior knowledge as described above. This model consisting of six FISs is same for both models, i.e. models for yield strength and elastic modulus. The second part of the models is generated separately using data collected from several published literatures as stated above. Here, the FIS is generated through rules which are evolved using the error minimization for predicting the existing database using all possible rule sets. The optimization uses the Reduced Space Searching Algorithm (RSSA) [35, 36]; this algorithm is a nature-inspired search technique which aims to shift the search space to a subspace including the ‘optimum’. In this technique, no equations (e.g. derivative-based equations) or evolutionary operators (such as mutation and crossover) are used, thus creating the opportunity to integrate this idea with other optimization techniques. This algorithm has already been successfully validated by a number of industrial applications [36].

The rules, generated in this process for the two FISs correlating the microstructure with two properties, can be used for knowledge elicitation, since the correlation between microstructure and mechanical properties, particularly in case of elastic modulus, is not clearly known.

**FIS: Yield Strength**

As stated above the rules relating the microstructural features and the YS for Ti alloys are extracted from the database using optimization technique. The rules generated from the minimization of the error for predicting the existing database are as follows:

1. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is low) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is low) then (Yield Strength is high)

2. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is medium) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is medium) then (Yield Strength is high)
3. If (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is low) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is medium) then (Yield Strength is medium)

4. If (solution hardening is medium) and (acicularity is medium) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is low) then (Yield Strength is low-medium)

5. If (volume fraction of β is medium) and (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is low) and (dislocation density is low) then (Yield Strength is high-medium)

6. If (volume fraction of β is medium) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is low) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is low) then (Yield Strength is high-medium)

7. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (grain size is high) and (dislocation density is medium) then (Yield Strength is low)

8. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is high) and (dislocation density is low) and (decomposition product is high) then (Yield Strength is low-medium)

9. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is medium) and (decomposition product is high) then (Yield Strength is medium)

10. If (solution strength is high) and (grain size is low) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is low) and (decomposition product is high) then (Yield Strength is high-medium)

11. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (grain size is medium) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is medium) and (decomposition product is high) then (Yield Strength is low-medium)

12. If (volume fraction of β is medium) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is low) and (dislocation density is low) then (Yield Strength is high)

13. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is high) then (Yield Strength is medium)

14. If (volume fraction of β is low) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is medium) and (decomposition product is high) then (Yield Strength is high-medium)
15. If (volume fraction $\beta$ is high) and (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is low) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is low) then (Yield Strength is low)

The high number of elicited rules indicates the complexity of the system. But this also makes it difficult to understand the correlation described through the linguistic expressions. Still an in-depth study of the rules shows that higher amount of $\beta$ reduces the strength. But it is difficult to understand the complex contribution of decomposition products of $\beta$, developed through ageing. It is worth noting here that the $\beta$ grains may contain $\alpha$, $\alpha''$ and $\omega$ phases, depending on the composition and processing [10]. But the roles of these phases vary significantly on the final property of the alloy. On the one hand the, $\alpha$ and $\omega$ phases increase the strength and elastic modulus, with the effect of $\omega$ more profound than the other one. On the other hand $\alpha''$ has the reverse such a role. Thus it becomes difficult for the rules to capture the phenomena in a simpler way. A similar complexity exists in case of solution hardening, grain size and defects in microstructure. The real relations are better revealed by the surface plots developed from the FIS guided by the above rules, which are reported later. The model predictions for the existing data are shown in Fig. 10. The excellent predictability of the novel semi-empirical model developed using imprecise knowledge as well as data shows that how imprecise knowledge of a materials system could be utilised to develop a predictive model.

The surface views generated by the model are shown in Fig. 11. Here, it can be seen that the effect of volume fraction of $\beta$ has been clearly depicted by the model prediction, but effect of grain size is not that significant. In case of solid solution hardening it can be seen that initially the strength is increased, but then it diminishes (Fig. 11b). This may be due to fact that here most of the alloying additions are $\beta$ stabilizers, and achieving higher solution hardening means a higher alloying addition, which leads to increase in $\beta$ content. This in turn leads to a decrease in strength. The effect of precipitates is not profound at low solution hardening, i.e. in the low alloying addition regime, i.e. in the $\alpha$ phase. But the situation becomes complex in the $\beta$ phase domain. The role of defects seems to be not so significant (Fig. 11c). In the case of a data base where cold deformation is missing, and mostly there exists some post deformation heat treatment, such behaviour is expected. The role of aspect ratio is rather complex and it can be seen that in the medium level it leads to softening.
FIS: Elastic Modulus

The rules for the elastic modulus model are elicited using the same method as for the yield strength model, and are as follows:

1. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is low) and (acicularity is medium) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is high) then (Elastic Modulus is low)

2. If (solution strength is high) and (grain size is medium) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is low) and (decomposition product is low) then (Elastic Modulus is medium)

3. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is high) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is low) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is low) and (decomposition product is low) then (Elastic Modulus is low)

4. If (grain size is low) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is low) and (decomposition product is low) then (Elastic Modulus is low-medium)

5. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is medium) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is medium) and (acicularity is low) and (decomposition product is medium) then (Elastic Modulus is high-medium)

6. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is high) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is high) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is high) then (Elastic Modulus is medium)

7. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is medium) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is high) and (dislocation density is medium) then (Elastic Modulus is high-medium)

8. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (grain size is medium) and (acicularity is low) and (dislocation density is low) and (decomposition product is low) then (Elastic Modulus is low)

9. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is medium) and (acicularity is medium) and (decomposition product is low) then (Elastic Modulus is high)

10. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is medium) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is medium) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is high) then (Elastic Modulus is low)

11. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is low) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is high) then (Elastic Modulus is high-medium)
12. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is high) and (acicularity is medium) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is high) then (Elastic Modulus is high)

13. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is low) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is high) then (Elastic Modulus is medium)

14. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is low) and (grain size is medium) and (dislocation density is medium) then (Elastic Modulus is high)

15. If (volume fraction of $\beta$ is low) and (solution hardening is high) and (grain size is medium) and (acicularity is medium) and (dislocation density is high) and (decomposition product is high) then (Elastic Modulus is low-medium)

In this case also it can be seen that the volume fraction of $\beta$ is directly correlated to the elastic modulus in the expected manner. All other microstructural features have highly complicated relation with the output. The model predictions, as shown in Fig. 12, are found to be good enough for all practical purposes.

The surface views for input/output relationships generated by the FIS from the elicited rules are shown in Fig. 13. It can be seen that the grain size, the solid solution hardening and dislocation density do not have any significant effects on the elastic modulus. The elastic modulus initially increases with increase in $\beta$ content, but decreases sharply with further increase. This indicates that the two phase structure has a higher elastic modulus. The elastic modulus is lowest with moderate precipitation hardening. As it can be seen in the case of yield strength also, the role of $\beta$ decomposition products are ‘tricky’ and may require further investigations. The increase in acicularity of the structure decreases the elastic modulus; this may indicate the formation of $\alpha'$ and $\alpha''$.

4. Alloy design
To design an alloy for orthopaedic and dental applications the primary considerations are its mechanical properties and biocompatibility. In the case of mechanical properties, on the one hand the strength of the material should be high enough to bear the load, and on the other hand, the elastic modulus of the alloy has to be as close as possible to the stiffness of the bone to avoid the problem stress shielding. As these two objectives are conflicting in nature, multi-
objective optimization may be a solution for effective design. In this case, evolutionary algorithm based RSSA is used to locate the Pareto solutions for designing the alloys. As in the case of multi-objective optimization a unique optimum solution may not be found, instead of the several non-dominated solutions, which are individually better in at least one objective compared to other such solutions, for the Pareto front may be the compromise solution. The developed rule-based models are now used as the evaluation function of the two mechanical properties (viz. yield strength and elastic modulus). As the alloy will be used for the aged section of the masses, alloy costs represent the third objective of the present problem. As the cost of the processing is same for all Ti alloys, the cost of the Ti and other alloying additions are only considered to create the objective function. A simple weighted summation method (amount of the alloying element multiplied by its cost) is employed. The costs of the alloying elements are mostly collected from ref. [55] and are converted to GBP/Kg. The objective function thus generated can be described as follows:

\[
\text{Cost} = 1.5*\text{Al} + 315*\text{V} + 21*\text{Zr} + 24*\text{Mo} + 98*\text{Nb} + 270*\text{Ta} + 0.5*\text{Fe} + 15*\text{Sn} + 8*\text{Cr} + 18*\text{Ti}
\]

\[\text{[Ti} = 100 - \Sigma\text{(all elements)}]\]

The biocompatibility issue was taken into account using two measures, viz. the coefficient of fibroblast outgrowth and the relative growth rate of L929 cells. The published values for the elements [41] are weighted according to the percentage added and summed, as in equations (6) and (7). The relation is constrained to a value (≥100), which is described as safe for orthopaedic implants.

\[
\text{Coefficient of Fibroblast Outgrowth} = 0.8*\text{Al} + 0*\text{V} + 1.18*\text{Zr} + 1.18*\text{Nb} + 1.17*\text{Ta} + 0*\text{Mo} + 1.15*\text{Cr} + 0.55*\text{Fe} + 1.3*\text{Sn} + 1.57*\text{Ti}
\]

\[
\text{Relative Growth rate of L929 cell} = 1.2*\text{Al} + 0*\text{V} + 1.1*\text{Zr} + 1.0*\text{Nb} + 0.9*\text{Ta} + 1.12*\text{Mo} + 0.94*\text{Cr} + 0*\text{Fe} + 1.3*\text{Sn} + 1.04*\text{Ti}
\]

The aluminium equivalent, suggested to be less than 9, for maintaining the toughness of the alloy at adequate level, the third constraint imposed. Ignoring the oxygen, nitrogen and carbon content, it is described by equation (8) [8, 11]:

\[
\text{Aluminium Equivalent} = \text{Al} + \frac{\text{Sn}}{3} + \frac{\text{Zr}}{6}
\]

The multi-objective optimization using the above three objectives and three constraints is implemented using Multi-objective Reduced Space Searching Algorithm (MO-RSSA) [36].
In the MO-RSSA algorithm, several important parameters are used to control the optimization process, such as the decreasing parameter $C_1$, the increasing parameter $C_2$, the changing ratio $K$, the decreasing threshold $m$ and the frequency parameter $H$. The decreasing parameter $C_1$ and the increasing parameter $C_2$ are used to choose the right moment for shifting the search space. The scale of the search space variation is determined from the changing ratio $K$. The decreasing threshold $m$ controls the achievable minimum size of the search space. The frequency parameter $H$ relates to the frequency of the weight changing in the random weighted aggregation mechanism, which was designed to solve the problems with multiple objectives. In this work, the following parameters were used in MO-RSSA: $C_1 = 3$, $C_2 = 1$, $K = 0.5$, $m = 20$, and $H = 1000$.

The Pareto front developed through the multi-objective optimization is shown in Fig. 14. The solutions have a significant variation in the strength and cost of the alloys, but the elastic modulus is within a narrow range. The detail analysis of the non-dominated solutions is reported elsewhere [26]. The solutions at the knee region of the Pareto front are considered for further studies, and based on which three alloys are designed for experimental validation.

5. Experimental validation

Designed Ti alloys are developed from under vacuum melting furnace due to the high oxidation tendency of a few elements. The composition of the alloys are shown in the Table 2. Three developed as cast Ti alloys are homogenized at 900°C and furnace cooled. Then again alloys are solutionized at 950, 700 and 850°C for alloys 1, 2 and 3 respectively, with soaking time of 1 hour. The solutionizing of the alloys are done above their $\beta$ transus temperature, which are calculated from literature [56]. After homogenization, one set of samples are water quench, and the other set are air cooled. A portion of the alloys are hot rolled with a 20% deformation.

The strength and elastic modulus of the developed alloys are measured using standard micro-hardness techniques. The samples are subjected to micro-vickers for measuring the yield strength in Leco Micro hardness Tester LM 100. The yield strength of the samples are calculated from the following equation [57].

$$\sigma_y = (332 \pm 18) \cdot H_V - (218 \pm 30)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
where $\sigma_y$ is the yield strength and $H_V$ is the Vickers hardness. The results are given in Table 3 along with the yield strength of commercial wrought Ti-6Al-4V measured in the same way. The processing conditions AC, WQ and HR denote air cooled, water quenched and hot rolled respectively. It is seen that in the case of Alloy 2, the strength level is lower than Ti-6Al-4V, the rest two alloys have superior strength level. It should also be noted here that the amount of $\beta$ stabilizers is maximum in Alloy 2. Hence, the presence of a higher amount of $\beta$ may have reduced the strength.

The elastic modulus of the alloys are measured through Knoop micro-hardness method. It is known that the Knoop hardness

$$H_K = 14.229 \frac{P}{L^3}$$

(10)

where $P$ is the applied test load in N, $L$ is the long indentation diagonal lengths in m, and 14.229 is the geometrical constant of the diamond pyramid [58]. Again it is reported that $w$ is the width of the indentation

$$\frac{w}{L} = \frac{w}{L'} - 0.45 \frac{H_K}{E}$$

(11)

where $w/L'$ theoretical ratio of length and width and is equal to 0.1406, calculated from the geometrical characteristics of the Knoop indenter. Thus, the equation becomes as follows :

$$\frac{w}{L} = 0.1406 - 0.45 \frac{H_K}{E}$$

(12)

The elastic modulus $E$ of the three different compositional Ti alloys, as measured through Knoop hardness method in the same hardness testing machine as above, and their respective processing conditions are shown in the Table 4. It can be seen that though the $E$ values varied extensively with processing conditions but most of the results are encouraging and almost up to expectations. In all cases, the $E$ values are consistently low in water quenched conditions. Alloy 2, which did not provide a good strength, has the lowest $E$ at water quenched condition. But the other alloys also had comparable results. A special mention should be made about Alloy 3; in this alloy the chief alloying addition is Cr to make the alloy less expensive. Still it has provided a good combination of high strength and low modulus of elasticity.

A preliminary study of the microstructure of the alloys in water quenched conditions was carried out using light microscope (Fig. 15). The alloys was etched using Kroll’s reagent, which is mostly suitable for Ti alloys [59]. The micrographs shows that in the case of alloys 1 and 3 the second phase (presumably $\alpha$) is present within the $\beta$ matrix significantly, where as
in case of Alloy 2 the amount of second phase is significantly low. This explains the low strength and elastic modulus of Alloy 2. The microstructure of the three alloys is significantly different from each other, and thus requires in depth characterization. It is worth here that the reason for the variations of the elastic modulus due to different processing need to be explored. The presence of other phases, such as $\alpha'$, $\alpha''$ and $\omega$, is known to have a strong influence on the modulus [11, 15, 60]. Thus, the analysis of the microstructural constituents may provide clues as to the behavior of the alloys at different processing conditions.

6. Conclusions

1. Prior knowledge in imprecise linguistic form could be successfully utilised for developing predictive models for the mechanical properties of Ti alloys using fuzzy logic.
2. Prior experimental finding may be used simultaneously to refine and develop a semi-empirical two-layered fuzzy inference system, incorporating the microstructural features.
3. The fuzzy models for strength and elastic modulus may be used as objective functions for the multi-objective optimization method using RSSA.
4. The Pareto solutions provide sufficient information for designing alloys. The solutions for the knee region of the Pareto front are used for decision making.
5. The experimental validation of the designed alloy provide encouraging results in terms of strength and elastic modulus of the alloys. Further in depth characterization of the alloy is sure to establish a few novel alloy systems superior in properties than the existing ones.
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Table 1: List of input and output variables with their lower and upper limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aluminium(Al)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vanadium (V)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Zirconium (Zr)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Molybdenum (Mo)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Niobium (Nb)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tantalum (Ta)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tin (Sn)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Silicon (Si)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chromium (Cr)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Testing Temperature</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Solutionising Temperature</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ageing Temperature</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ageing Time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cooling rate</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Elastic Modulus</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yield Strength</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Developed alloys from soft computing technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Yield strength calculated from Vickers hardness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Load (gf)</th>
<th>Dwell time (s)</th>
<th>H_V (GPa)</th>
<th>YS (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>1.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WQ</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.753</td>
<td>1.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WQ</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.902</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WQ</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>1.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-6Al-4V</td>
<td>Wrought</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.1451</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Elastic modulus of the alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Load (gf)</th>
<th>Dwell time (s)</th>
<th>H_K (GPa)</th>
<th>E (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WQ</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.6239</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.3924</td>
<td>100.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WQ</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.832</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.558</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.559</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WQ</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.691</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-6Al-4V</td>
<td>Wrought</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fuzzy inference systems correlating composition and process parameters with microstructural features
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Fig. 1: The Reduced Space Searching strategy for optimisation
Fig. 2: Schematic of Mamdani Fuzzy Inference System

Fig. 3: Schematic of the fuzzy models (composition-process-microstructure-property correlation)
Fig. 4: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) Al and Mo equivalent, (b) Solutionising temperature and Mo equivalent and (c) Cooling rate and Mo equivalent on volume fraction of β.
Fig. 5: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) Al and Mo equivalent, (b) Zr and Mo equivalent and (c) Sn and Mo equivalent on solid solution hardening.

Fig. 6: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) Mo equivalent and deformation temperature, and (b) solutionising temperature and deformation temperature on grain size.
Fig. 7: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) Zr and Mo equivalent, (b) Sn and Mo equivalent, and (c) cooling rate and solutionising temperature on the acicularity of microstructure.

Fig. 8: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) solutionising and deformation temperatures, and (b) solutionising temperature and cooling rate on the dislocation density in the microstructure.
Fig. 9: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) cooling rate and Mo equivalent, (b) cooling rate and solutionising temperature, and (c) ageing temperature and ageing time on precipitation hardening.

Fig. 10: Scatter plot showing model predicted versus measured yield strength values.
Fig. 11: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) volume fraction of $\beta$ and grain size, (b) solution and precipitation hardening, and (c) dislocation density and aspect ratio of grains on yield strength.

Fig. 12: Scatter plot showing model predicted versus measured elastic modulus values.
Fig. 13: Surface views generated by the FIS describing the effects of (a) volume fraction of β and grain size, (b) solution and precipitation hardening, and (c) aspect ratio of grains and dislocation density on modulus of elasticity.
Fig. 14: Pareto solutions resulted from the multi-objective optimization process.

Fig. 15: Light micrographs of (a) Alloy 1, (b) Alloy 2 and (c) Alloy 3 at water quenched condition.