

Kent Academic Repository

Roitzheim, Constanze and Gutierrez, Javier (2016) *Towers and fibered products of model categories.* Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 13 (6). pp. 3863-3886. ISSN 1660-5446.

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/49522/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-016-0719-3

This document version Publisher pdf

DOI for this version

Licence for this version CC BY (Attribution)

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).

Towers and Fibered Products of Model Structures

Javier J. Gutiérrez & Constanze Roitzheim

Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics

ISSN 1660-5446

Mediterr. J. Math. DOI 10.1007/s00009-016-0719-3

<text>

Birkhäuser



Your article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution license which allows users to read, copy, distribute and make derivative works, as long as the author of the original work is cited. You may selfarchive this article on your own website, an institutional repository or funder's repository and make it publicly available immediately.



Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics

CrossMark

Towers and Fibered Products of Model Structures

Javier J. Gutiérrez and Constanze Roitzheim

Abstract. Given a left Quillen presheaf of localized model structures, we study the homotopy limit model structure on the associated category of sections. We focus specifically on towers and fibered products (pullbacks) of model categories. As applications we consider Postnikov towers of model categories, chromatic towers of spectra and Bousfield arithmetic squares of spectra. For stable model categories, we show that the homotopy fiber of a stable left Bousfield localization is a stable right Bousfield localization.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 55P42, 55P60, 55S45.

Keywords. Localization, model category, Postnikov tower, homotopy fibered product, homotopy pullback.

Introduction

Localization techniques play an important role in modern homotopy theory. For several applications it is often useful to approximate a given space or spectrum by simpler ones by means of localization functors. For instance, given a simplicial set X, one can consider its Postnikov tower. This tower can be built as a sequence of fibrations

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} P_n X \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} P_{n-1} X \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_2 X \xrightarrow{f_1} P_1 X \xrightarrow{f_0} P_0 X$$

and maps $p_n: X \to P_n X$ satisfying that $p_n = f_n \circ p_{n+1}$ for every $n \ge 0$ and that $\pi_k(f_n): \pi_k(X) \cong \pi_k(P_n X)$ if $k \le n$ for any choice of base point of X, and $\pi_k(P_n X) = 0$ if k > n and all choices of base points.

Each of the spaces $P_n X$ can be built as a localization of X with respect to the map $S^{n+1} \to *$, and p_n is the corresponding localization map. If X is connected, then the fiber of f_{n-1} is an Eilenberg-MacLane space

J. J. Gutiérrez was supported by the NWO (SPI 61-638) and the MEC-FEDER Grants MTM2010-15831 and MTM2013-42178-P. Both authors received support from the LMS Scheme 4 Grant No. 41360.

 $K(\pi_n(X), n)$ and every simplicial set X can be reconstructed as the homotopy limit of its Postnikov tower $X \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{n\geq 0} P_n X$; see [15, Chap. VI, Theorem 3.5].

In the category of spectra, given any spectrum E, we can consider its associated homological localization functor L_E which inverts the maps that induce isomorphisms in E_* -homology in a universal way. Given an abelian group G, let us denote by MG the associated Moore spectrum. It is well known that any spectrum X can be built, using Bousfield's arithmetic square [9], as a homotopy pullback of the diagram of homological localizations

$$L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}X \longrightarrow L_{M\mathbb{Q}}X \longleftarrow L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}X,$$

where J and K form any partition of the set of prime numbers and \mathbb{Z}_J are the integers localized at the set of primes J.

Furthermore, the chromatic convergence theorem [26, Theorem 7.5.7] states that a finite *p*-local spectrum X is the homotopy limit of its chromatic localizations $L_{E(n)}X$ at the prime *p*.

The aim of this paper is to present categorified versions of these statements in the framework of Quillen model structures. Given a diagram (left Quillen presheaf) of model categories $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$, there is an injective model structure on the category of sections associated wit F, which we can further colocalize to obtain the homotopy limit model structure. We study these model structures for towers and homotopy fibered products (homotopy pullbacks) of model categories.

First, we construct the Postnikov tower of an arbitrary combinatorial model category. As an application we show that for simplicial sets and for bounded below chain complexes these towers converge in a certain sense. Another tower model structure is the homotopy limit model structure on the left Quillen presheaf of chromatic towers Chrom(Sp), where Sp denotes here the category of *p*-local symmetric spectra. We show that the Quillen adjunction

$$const : Sp \rightleftharpoons Chrom(Sp) : lim$$

induces a composite

$$\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})^{\operatorname{fin}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\operatorname{const}} \operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Chrom}(\operatorname{Sp}))^F \xrightarrow{\operatorname{holim}} \operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})^{\operatorname{fin}}$$

which is isomorphic to the identity. (Here, F and fin denote suitable finiteness conditions.) This set-up is a step towards deeper insights into the structure of the stable homotopy category via viewing chromatic convergence in a categorified manner.

We then move to fibered products of model categories. Using this setup, we show that the category of symmetric spectra is Quillen equivalent to the homotopy limit model structure of the left Quillen presheaf for Bousfield arithmetic squares of spectra.

As a final application we focus on a correspondence between the homotopy fiber of a left Bousfield localization $\mathcal{C} \to L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$ and certain right Bousfield localizations. This is then used, among other examples, to understand the layers of the Postnikov towers established earlier and to study the correspondence between stable localizations and stable colocalizations.

1. Model Structures for Sections of Quillen Presheaves

In this section we recall the injective model structure on the category of sections of diagrams of model categories. We will state the existence of this model structure in general, although we will be mainly interested in the cases of sections of towers and fibered products of model categories. Details about these model structures can be found in [4, Section 2, Application II], [6,7], [16, Section 3] and [27, Section 4].

Let \mathcal{I} be a small category. A left Quillen presheaf on \mathcal{I} is a presheaf of categories $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ such that for every i in \mathcal{I} the category F(i)has a model structure, and for every map $f: i \to j$ in \mathcal{I} the induced functor $f^*: F(j) \to F(i)$ has a right adjoint and they form a Quillen pair.

Definition 1.1. A section of a left Quillen presheaf $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ consists of a tuple $X = (X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where each X_i is in F(i), and, for every morphism $f: i \to j$ in \mathcal{I} , a morphism $\varphi_f: f^*X_j \to X_i$ in F(i) such that the diagram



commutes for every pair of composable morphisms $f: i \to j$ and $g: j \to k$.

A morphism of sections $\phi: (X, \varphi) \to (Y, \varphi')$ is given by morphisms $\phi_i: X_i \to Y_i$ in F(i) such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c|c} f^*X_j \xrightarrow{f^*\phi_j} f^*Y_j \\ \varphi_f \\ \downarrow \\ X_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} Y_i \end{array}$$

commutes for every morphism $f: i \to j$ in \mathcal{I} .

A section (X, φ) is called homotopy cartesian if for every $f: i \to j$ the morphism $\varphi_f: f^*Q_jX_j \to X_i$ is a weak equivalence in F(i), where Q_j denotes a cofibrant replacement functor in F(j).

Recall that a model category is left proper if pushouts of weak equivalences along cofibrations are weak equivalences, and right proper if pullbacks of weak equivalences along fibrations are weak equivalences. A model category is proper if it is left and right proper.

The category of sections admits an injective model structure, which is left or right proper, if the involved model structures are left or right proper, respectively. A proof of the following statement can be found in [4, Theorem 2.30, Proposition 2.31]. Recall that a model category is called combinatorial if it is cofibrantly generated and the underlying category is locally presentable. Foundations of the theory of combinatorial model categories may be found in [5,11,23]. The essentials of the theory of locally presentable categories can be found in [1,14,24].

Theorem 1.2. (Barwick) Let $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ be a left Quillen presheaf such that F(i) is combinatorial for every i in \mathcal{I} . Then there exists a combinatorial model structure on the category of sections of F, denoted by $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$ and called the injective model structure, such that a morphism of sections ϕ is a weak equivalence or a cofibration if and only if ϕ_i is a weak equivalence or a cofibration if \mathcal{I} , respectively. Moreover, if F(i) is left or right proper for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$, then so is the model structure on $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$. \Box

Now, to model the homotopy limit of a left Quillen presheaf, we would like to construct a model structure on the category of sections whose cofibrant objects are precisely the levelwise cofibrant homotopy cartesian sections. This will be done by taking a right Bousfield localization of $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$. The resulting model structure will be called the homotopy limit model structure.

The existence of the homotopy limit model structure when the category $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$ is right proper was proved in [7, Theorem 3.2]. Without any properness assumptions, the homotopy limit model structure exists as a right model structure, as proved in [4, Theorem 5.25]. It follows directly from those results that if F(i) is right proper for every i in \mathcal{I} , then we get a full model structure. For the reader's convenience we spell this out in a little more detail.

Theorem 1.3. Let $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ be a left Quillen presheaf such that F(i) is right proper and combinatorial for every i in \mathcal{I} . Then there exists a combinatorial model structure on the category of sections of F, called the homotopy limit model structure, with the same fibrations as $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$ and whose cofibrant objects are the sections that are cofibrant in $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$ and homotopy cartesian.

Proof. Let \mathcal{D} be the full subcategory of Sect (\mathcal{I}, F) consisting of the homotopy cartesian sections. Consider the functor

$$\Phi\colon\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I},F)\longrightarrow\prod_{f\colon i\to j}\operatorname{Arr}(F(i))$$

defined as $\Phi((X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}) = \prod_{f: i \to j} \varphi_f$, where f runs over all morphisms of \mathcal{I} and $\operatorname{Arr}(-)$ denotes the category of arrows, and let Q denote an accessible cofibrant replacement functor in $\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$.

The categories $\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$ and $\prod_{f: i \to j} \operatorname{Arr}(F(i))$ are accessible (in fact, they are locally presentable; see [1, Corollary 1.54]) and the functor Φ is an accessible functor since it preserves all colimits (as these are computed levelwise). Hence Φ is an accessible functor between accessible categories.

Each F(i) is combinatorial for every i in \mathcal{I} , and hence by [23, Corollary A.2.6.6] the subcategory of weak equivalences weq(F(i)) is an accessible and accessibly embedded subcategory of $\operatorname{Arr}(F(i))$. Therefore, $\prod_{f: i \to j} \operatorname{weq}(F(i))$ is an accessible and accessibly embedded subcategory of $\prod_{f: i \to j} \operatorname{Arr}(F(i))$.

By [1, Remark 2.50], the preimage $(\Phi \circ Q)^{-1}(\prod_{f: i \to j} \operatorname{weq}(F(i)))$ is an accessible and accessibly embedded subcategory of $\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$. But this preimage is precisely \mathcal{D} .

Now, since \mathcal{D} is accessible there exists a set \mathcal{K} of objects and a regular cardinal λ such that every object of \mathcal{D} is a λ -filtered colimit (and hence a homotopy colimit if we choose λ big enough; see [11, Proposition 7.3]) of objects in \mathcal{K} . Moreover, since \mathcal{D} is accessibly embedded this homotopy colimit lies in \mathcal{D} .

The homotopy limit model structure is then the right Bousfield localization $R_{\mathcal{K}} \operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$. (We can perform this right Bousfield localization because every F(i) and hence $\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$ are right proper.) The fact that the cofibrant objects of this new model structure are precisely the levelwise cofibrant homotopy cartesian sections follows from [19, Theorem 5.1.5].

2. Towers of Model Categories

Let N be the category $0 \to 1 \to 2 \to \cdots$. A tower of model categories is a left Quillen presheaf $F \colon \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{CAT}$. The objects of the category of sections are then sequences $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n, \ldots$, where each X_i is an object of F(i), together with morphisms $\varphi_i \colon f^*X_{i+1} \to X_i$ in F(i) for every $i \ge 0$, where $f \colon i \to i+1$ is the unique morphism from i to i+1 in N. A morphism between two sections $\phi_{\bullet} \colon X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ consists of morphisms $\phi_i \colon X_i \to Y_i$ in F(i) such that the diagram



commutes for every $i \ge 0$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $F: \mathbb{N}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ be a tower of model categories, where F(i) is a combinatorial model category for every $i \geq 0$. There exists a combinatorial model structure on the category of sections, denoted by $\text{Sect}(\mathbb{N}, F)$, where a map ϕ_{\bullet} is a weak equivalence or a cofibration if and only if for every $i \geq 0$ the map ϕ_{\bullet} is a weak equivalence or a cofibration in F(i), respectively. The fibrations are the maps $\phi_{\bullet}: X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ such that ϕ_{0} is a fibration in F(0) and

$$X_{i+1} \longrightarrow Y_{i+1} \times_{f_*Y_i} f_*X_i$$

is a fibration in F(i+1) for every $i \ge 0$, where f_* denotes the right adjoint to f^* . The fibrant objects are those sections X_{\bullet} such that X_i is fibrant in F(i) and the morphism

$$X_{i+1} \longrightarrow f_* X_i$$

is a fibration in F(i+1) for every $i \ge 0$.

Proof. The existence of the required model structure follows from Theorem 1.2. The description of the fibrations follows from [16, Theorem 3.1]. \Box

Proposition 2.2. Let $F \colon \mathbb{N}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ be a tower of model categories, where each F(i) is combinatorial and right proper for every $i \ge 0$. Then there is a model structure Tow(F) on the category of sections of F with the following properties:

- (i) A morphism φ_• is a fibration in Tow(F) if and only φ_• is a fibration in Sect(N, F).
- (ii) A section X_• is cofibrant in Tow(F) if and only if X_i is cofibrant in F(i) and the morphism f*X_{i+1} → X_i is a weak equivalence in F(i) for every i ≥ 0.
- (iii) A morphism ϕ_{\bullet} between cofibrant sections is a weak equivalence in $\operatorname{Tow}(F)$ if and only if ϕ_i is a weak equivalence in F(i) for every $i \ge 0$.

Proof. The existence of the model structure Tow(F) follows from Theorem 1.3 applied to the left Quillen presheaf F. The characterization of the weak equivalences between cofibrant objects follows since Tow(F) is a right Bousfield localization of $\text{Sect}(\mathbb{N}, F)$.

2.1. Postnikov Sections of Model Structures

Let \mathcal{C} be a left proper combinatorial model category and $n \geq 0$. The model structure $P_n\mathcal{C}$ of *n*-types in \mathcal{C} is the left Bousfield localization of \mathcal{C} with respect to the set of morphisms $I_{\mathcal{C}} \Box f_n$. Here $I_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of generating cofibrations of \mathcal{C} , $f_n: S^{n+1} \to D^{n+2}$ is the inclusion of simplicial sets from the (n + 1)sphere to the (n + 2)-disk, and \Box denotes the pushout-product of morphisms constructed using the action of simplicial sets on \mathcal{C} coming from the existence of framings; see [20, Section 5.4]. A longer account about model structures for *n*-types can be found in [18, Section 3].

For every n < m the identity is a left Quillen functor $P_m \mathcal{C} \to P_n \mathcal{C}$. Thus we have a tower of model categories $P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} \colon \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{CAT}$. The objects X_{\bullet} of the category of sections are sequences

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_0$$

of morphisms in \mathcal{C} , and its morphisms $f_{\bullet} \colon X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ are given by commutative ladders



By Proposition 2.1, if \mathcal{C} is a left proper combinatorial model category, then there exists a left proper combinatorial model structure on the category of sections Sect($\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$), where a map f_{\bullet} is a weak equivalence or a cofibration if for every $n \geq 0$ the map f_n is a weak equivalence or a cofibration in $P_n\mathcal{C}$, respectively. The fibrations are the maps $f_{\bullet}: X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ such that f_0 is a fibration in $P_0\mathcal{C}$ and

$$X_n \longrightarrow Y_n \times_{Y_{n-1}} X_{n-1}$$

is a fibration in $P_n \mathcal{C}$ for every $n \geq 1$. The fibrant objects can be characterized as follows:

Lemma 2.3. Let X_{\bullet} be a section of $P_{\bullet}C$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) X_{\bullet} is fibrant in Sect($\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}C$).
- (ii) X_0 is fibrant in $P_0\mathcal{C}$ and $X_{n+1} \to X_n$ is a fibration in $P_{n+1}\mathcal{C}$ for all $n \ge 0$.
- (iii) X_n is fibrant in $P_n\mathcal{C}$ and $X_{n+1} \to X_n$ is a fibration in \mathcal{C} for all $n \ge 0$.

Proof. This follows because a fibration in $P_n \mathcal{C}$ is also a fibration in $P_{n+1}\mathcal{C}$ as well as a fibration in \mathcal{C} .

If the model structures for *n*-types $P_n\mathcal{C}$ are right proper for every $n \ge 0$, then by Proposition 2.2 the model structure $\text{Tow}(P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$ exists and will be denoted by $\text{Post}(\mathcal{C})$. It has the following properties:

- (i) A morphism f_● is a fibration in Post(C) if and only if f_● is a fibration in Sect(N, P_●C).
- (ii) A section X_{\bullet} is cofibrant if and only if X_n is cofibrant in \mathcal{C} and $X_{n+1} \to X_n$ is a weak equivalence in $P_n \mathcal{C}$ for every $n \ge 0$.
- (iii) A morphism f_{\bullet} between cofibrant sections is a weak equivalence if and only if f_n is a weak equivalence in $P_n \mathcal{C}$ for every $n \ge 0$.

For every $n \geq 0$ the identity functors form a Quillen pair id : $\mathcal{C} \rightleftharpoons P_n \mathcal{C}$: id, since $P_n \mathcal{C}$ is a left Bousfield localization of \mathcal{C} . This extends to a Quillen pair

$$\mathrm{id}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{inj}}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{op}}} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Sect}(\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}): \mathrm{id},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\text{inj}}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}}$ denotes the category of \mathbb{N}^{op} -indexed diagrams with the injective model structure. Indeed weak equivalences and cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{inj}}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}}$ are defined levelwise and every weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} is a weak equivalence in $P_n\mathcal{C}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence, there is a Quillen pair

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow[\operatorname{ind}]{\operatorname{const}} \mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{inj}}^{\operatorname{N^{\operatorname{op}}}} \xrightarrow[\operatorname{id}]{\operatorname{id}} \operatorname{Sect}(\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[\operatorname{id}]{\operatorname{id}} \operatorname{Post}(\mathcal{C}),$$

where const denotes the constant diagram functor.

Lemma 2.4. The adjunction const : $C \rightleftharpoons \text{Post}(C)$: lim is a Quillen pair.

Proof. By [19, Proposition 8.5.4(2)], it is enough to check that the left adjoint preserves trivial cofibrations and cofibrations between cofibrant objects. If f is a trivial cofibration in \mathcal{C} then const(f) is a trivial cofibration in $\text{Sect}(\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$. But since $\text{Post}(\mathcal{C})$ is a right Bousfield localization of $\text{Sect}(\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$ it has the same trivial cofibrations. Hence const(f) is a trivial cofibration in $\text{Post}(\mathcal{C})$.

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a cofibration between cofibrant objects in \mathcal{C} . Then const(f) is a cofibration between cofibrant objects in Sect $(\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$. But const(X) and const(Y) are both cofibrant in Post (\mathcal{C}) by Proposition 2.2. Hence const(f) is a cofibration in Post (\mathcal{C}) if and only if it is a cofibration in Sect $(\mathbb{N}, P_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$ (see [19, Proposition 3.3.16(2)]).

Let $sSet_*$ denote the category of pointed simplicial sets with the Kan– Quillen model structure. Then the model structure $Post(sSet_*)$ exists, since $P_n sSet_*$ is right proper for every $n \ge 0$; see [10, Theorem 9.9]. **Theorem 2.5.** The Quillen pair const : $sSet_* \rightleftharpoons Post(sSet_*)$: lim is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. By [20, Proposition 1.3.13] it suffices to check that the derived unit and counit are weak equivalences. Let X be a fibrant simplicial set. Then const(X) is cofibrant in $Post(sSet_*)$, since const is a left Quillen functor. Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_0$$

be a fibrant replacement of const(X) in $Post(sSet_*)$. Hence we have that X_n is fibrant in P_n sSet_{*} and $X_{n+1} \to X_n$ is a fibration in sSet_{*} and a weak equivalence in P_n sSet_{*} for all $n \ge 0$. By [15, Chap. VI, Theorem 3.5], the map $X \to \lim X_{\bullet}$ is a weak equivalence.

Now, let X_{\bullet} be any fibrant and cofibrant object in Post(sSet_{*}). We have to see that the map const(lim X_{\bullet}) $\to X_{\bullet}$ is a weak equivalence in Post(sSet_{*}). This is equivalent to seeing that the map $\lim X_{\bullet} \to X_n$ is a weak equivalence in P_n sSet_{*} for every $n \ge 0$. First note that since the category $\mathbb{N}_{>n}^{\text{op}} = \cdots \to$ $n+3 \to n+2 \to n+1$ is homotopy left cofinal in \mathbb{N}^{op} we have that $\lim X_{\bullet}$ is weakly equivalent to $\lim_{\mathbb{N}_{>n}^{\text{op}}} X_{\bullet}$ for every n (see [19, Theorem 19.6.13]). Hence it is enough to check that the map $\lim_{\mathbb{N}_{>n}^{\text{op}}} X_{\bullet} \to X_n$ is a weak equivalence in P_n sSet_{*} for all $n \ge 0$. For every $n \ge 0$ we have a map of towers

where each vertical map is a weak equivalence in P_{n+1} sSet_{*}. Using the Milnor exact sequence (see [15, Chap. VI, Proposition 2.15]) we get a morphism of short exact sequences

For $0 \leq i < n$ the left and right vertical morphisms are isomorphisms; hence the map $\lim_{N_{>n}^{op}} X_{\bullet} \to X_{n+1}$ is a weak equivalence in P_n sSet_{*}. Therefore, the map

 $\lim_{\mathbb{N}_{>n}^{\mathrm{op}}} X_{\bullet} \longrightarrow X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_n$

is a weak equivalence in P_n sSet_{*} for $n \ge 0$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $X \to Y$ be a map in $\text{Post}(\text{sSet}_*)$. Then $X \to Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\lim \widehat{X} \to \lim \widehat{Y}$ is a weak equivalence in sSet_* , where \widehat{X} and \widehat{Y} denote a fibrant replacement of X and Y, respectively.

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram:



The horizontal arrows are weak equivalences because they are either a fibrant replacement or because the Quillen pair const and lim is a Quillen equivalence. So f is a weak equivalence if and only if g is a weak equivalence. But since const preserves and reflects weak equivalences between cofibrant objects (because it is the left adjoint of a Quillen equivalence), it follows that g is a weak equivalence if and only if $\widehat{X} \to \lim \widehat{Y}$ is a weak equivalence. \Box

2.2. Chromatic Towers of Localizations

We can also use the homotopy limit model structure on towers of categories to obtain a categorified version of yet another classical result. The chromatic convergence theorem states that for a finite p-local spectrum X,

$$X \simeq \operatorname{holim}_n L_n X,$$

where L_n denotes left localization at the chromatic homology theory E(n) at a fixed prime p; see [26, Theorem 7.5.7]. The prime p is traditionally omitted from notation. We will see that the Quillen adjunction between spectra and the left Quillen presheaf of chromatic localizations of spectra induces an adjunction between the homotopy category of finite spectra and the homotopy category of chromatic towers subject to a suitable finiteness condition. The chromatic convergence theorem then shows that the derived unit of this adjunction is a weak equivalence. By Sp in this section we always mean the category of p-local spectra symmetric spectra [21] and the prime p will be fixed throughout the section.

Recall from [20, Section 6.1] that the homotopy category of a pointed model category supports a suspension functor with a right adjoint loop functor defined via framings. A model category is called stable if it is pointed and the suspension and loop operators are inverse equivalences on the homotopy category. Every combinatorial stable model category admits an enrichment over the category of symmetric spectra via stable frames; see [12, 22].

Let \mathcal{C} be a proper and combinatorial stable model category. Given a prime p, we define $L_n\mathcal{C}$ to be the left Bousfield localization of \mathcal{C} with respect to the E(n)-equivalences, where E(n) is considered at the prime p. By this, we mean Bousfield localisation at the set $I_{\mathcal{C}} \square \mathcal{S}_{E(n)}$, where $I_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of generating cofibrations of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{S}_{E(n)}$ the generating acyclic cofibrations of $L_{E(n)}$ Sp = L_n Sp. (The square denotes the pushout-product.) This defines a left Quillen presheaf

$$L_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} \colon \mathbb{N}^{op} \longrightarrow CAT$$
.

By Proposition 2.1 we get the following:

Proposition 2.7. There is a left proper, combinatorial and stable model structure on the category of sections $\text{Sect}(\mathbb{N}, L_{\bullet}C)$, such that a map is a weak equivalence or a cofibration if and only if each

$$f_n \colon X_n \longrightarrow Y_n$$

is a weak equivalence or a cofibration in L_nC , respectively. A map $f_n: X_n \to Y_n$ is a fibration if and only if f_0 is a fibration in L_0C and

$$X_{n+1} \longrightarrow Y_{n+1} \times_{Y_n} X_n$$

is a fibration in $L_{n+1}C$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Note that the resulting model structure is stable as each $L_n\mathcal{C}$ is stable. We then perform a right Bousfield localization to obtain the homotopy limit model structure. Note that this again results in a stable model category [2, Proposition 5.6] as this right localization is stable in the sense of [2, Definition 5.3]. As left localization with respect to E(n) is also stable in the sense of [2, Definition 4.2], $L_n\mathcal{C}$ is both left and right proper if \mathcal{C} is; see [2, Propositions 4.6 and 4.7]. Hence, Proposition 2.2 implies the following result:

Proposition 2.8. Let C be a proper, combinatorial and stable model category. There is a model structure Chrom(C) on $Sect(\mathbb{N}, L_{\bullet}C)$ with the following properties:

- (i) A morphism is a fibration in Chrom(C) if and only if it is a fibration in Sect(N, L_•C).
- (ii) An object X_{\bullet} is cofibrant in $Chrom(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if all the X_n are cofibrant in \mathcal{C} and $X_{n+1} \to X_n$ is an E(n)-equivalence for each n. \Box

The following is useful to justify the name "homotopy limit model structure". Recall that Sp denotes here the category of *p*-local spectra.

Lemma 2.9. Let $f: X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ be a weak equivalence in Chrom(Sp). Then

 $\operatorname{holim} X_{\bullet} \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim} Y_{\bullet}$

is a weak equivalence of spectra.

Proof. Let $f: X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ be a weak equivalence in Chrom(Sp). This implies that

 $Ho(Chrom(Sp))(const(A), X_{\bullet}) \longrightarrow Ho(Chrom(Sp))(const(A), Y_{\bullet})$

is an isomorphism for all cofibrant $A \in$ Sp. By Lemma 2.4, (const, lim) is a Quillen pair, so the above is equivalent to the claim that

 $[A, \operatorname{holim} X_{\bullet}] \longrightarrow [A, \operatorname{holim} Y_{\bullet}]$

is an isomorphism for all cofibrant $A \in C$, where the square brackets denote morphisms in the stable homotopy category. But as the class of all cofibrant spectra detects isomorphisms in the stable homotopy category, this is equivalent to

 $\operatorname{holim} X_{\bullet} \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim} Y_{\bullet}$

being a weak equivalence of spectra as desired.

Remark 2.10. It is important to note that we do not know if the converse is true. Looking at the proof of this lemma, we see that the following are equivalent:

- (i) There is a set of objects of the form const(G) in Chrom(Sp) that detect weak equivalences.
- (ii) The weak equivalences in Chrom(Sp) are precisely the holimisomorphisms.

Unfortunately, it is not known from the definition of the homotopy limit model structure whether any of those equivalent conditions hold.

We can now turn to the main result of this subsection. For this, we need to specify our finiteness conditions. Recall that a *p*-local spectrum is called finite if it is in the full subcategory of the stable homotopy category Ho(Sp) which contains the sphere spectrum and is closed under exact triangles and retracts. We denote this full subcategory by $Ho(Sp)^{fin}$.

Definition 2.11. We call a diagram X_{\bullet} in Chrom(Sp) finitary if holim X_{\bullet} is a finite spectrum. By Ho(Chrom(Sp))^{*F*} we denote the full subcategory of the finitary diagrams in the homotopy category of Chrom(Sp).

Theorem 2.12. The Quillen adjunction const : $Sp \rightleftharpoons Chrom(Sp)$: lim induces an adjunction

$$Ho(Sp)^{fin} \rightleftharpoons Ho(Chrom(Sp))^F$$

and the derived unit is a weak equivalence.

Proof. First, we notice that the derived adjunction

 $\mathbb{L}const : Ho(Sp) \rightleftharpoons Ho(Chrom(Sp)) : \mathbb{R} \lim = holim$

restricts to an adjunction

 \mathbb{L} const : Ho(Sp)^{fin} \Longrightarrow Ho(Chrom(Sp))^F : \mathbb{R} lim = holim.

By definition, the homotopy limit of each finitary diagram is assumed to be a finite spectrum. On the other side,

$$\operatorname{holim}(\operatorname{\mathbb{L}const}(X)) \simeq X$$

is exactly the chromatic convergence theorem for finite spectra. The derived unit of the above adjunction is a weak equivalence. For a cofibrant spectrum

$$X \longrightarrow (\operatorname{holim}(\operatorname{const}(X)) = \operatorname{holim}_n L_n X)$$

is again the chromatic convergence theorem.

We would really like to show that the above adjunction is an equivalence of categories, that is, that the counit is a weak equivalence, meaning that

$$\operatorname{const}(\operatorname{holim} Y_{\bullet}) \longrightarrow Y_{\bullet}$$

is a weak equivalence for Y_{\bullet} a fibrant and cofibrant finitary diagram in Chrom(Sp). However, to show this we would need to know that the weak equivalences in Chrom(Sp) are exactly the holim-isomorphisms; see Remark 2.10. Furthermore, we would not just have to know that Chrom(Sp)

has a constant set of generators but also that those generators are finitary, that is, the homotopy limit of each generator is finite.

2.3. Convergence of Towers

Let C be a left proper combinatorial model structure such that the model structures P_nC of *n*-types (see Sect. 2.1) are right proper, and hence the model structure Post(C) exists. In this section we are going to take a closer look at what it means for a tower in Post(C) to converge. Recall that we have a Quillen adjunction

$$\operatorname{const} : \mathcal{C} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Post}(\mathcal{C}) : \lim$$
.

The following terminology appears in [4, Definition 5.35].

Definition 2.13. The model category C is hypercomplete if the derived left adjoint of the previous Quillen adjunction is full and faithful, that is, if the composite

$$\operatorname{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\operatorname{const}} \operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Post}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{holim}} \operatorname{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$$

is isomorphic to the identity.

We have seen in Sect. 2.1 that this is true for $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{sSet}_*$. We have also seen in Theorem 2.12 that, under a finiteness assumption, the chromatic tower of spectra Chrom(Sp) is hypercomplete in this sense. We can also consider the case of left Bousfield localizations of sSet_{*}, that is, $\mathcal{C} = L_S \operatorname{sSet}_*$. In general, this model category will not be hypercomplete. Let X be fibrant in $L_S \operatorname{sSet}_*$, that is, fibrant as a simplicial set and S-local. If we take a fibrant replacement of the constant tower $\operatorname{const}(Y)$ in $\operatorname{Post}(L_S \operatorname{sSet}_*)$, we obtain a tower

$$(\operatorname{const}(Y))^{\operatorname{fib}} = (\cdots \longrightarrow Y_n \longrightarrow Y_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Y_0)$$

such that all the Y_i are S-local, Y_i is P_i -local for all i and $Y_n \to Y_{n-1}$ is a weak equivalence in $P_{n-1}L_S$ sSet_{*}. However, this is not a fibrant replacement of const(Y) in Post(sSet_{*}), unless L_S commutes with all the localizations P_n . In this case, a Postnikov tower in L_S sSet_{*} is also a Postnikov tower in sSet_{*}, and hypercompleteness holds. This would be the case for $L_S = L_{MR}$ for R a subring of the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , but it cannot be expected in general.

Let us recapture the classical case to get a more general insight into hypercompleteness. For X in sSet_{*} we know that $X \to \lim_n P_n X$ is a weak equivalence. This is equivalent to saying that for all i,

$$\pi_i(X) \longrightarrow \pi_i(\lim_n P_n X)$$

is an isomorphism of groups. But we have also seen that

$$\pi_i(\lim_n P_n X) = \lim_n \pi_i(P_n X)$$

as well as

$$\pi_i(P_n X) = \begin{cases} \pi_i(X) & \text{if } i \le n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > n. \end{cases}$$

Putting this together we get that, indeed, $\pi_i(\lim_n P_n X) \cong \pi_i(X)$ for all *i*. This is a special case of the following. A set of homotopy generators for a model category \mathcal{C} consists of a small full subcategory \mathcal{G} such that every object of \mathcal{C} is weakly equivalent to a filtered homotopy colimit of objects of \mathcal{G} and that by [11, Proposition 4.7] every combinatorial model category has a set of homotopy generators that can be chosen to be cofibrant. Let \mathcal{C} be a proper combinatorial model category with a set of homotopy generators \mathcal{G} and homotopy function complex map_{\mathcal{C}}(-,-). Then, for a cofibrant X, the map $X \to \operatorname{holim}_n P_n X$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} if and only if

$$\operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \operatorname{holim}_n P_n X) = \operatorname{holim}_n \operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, P_n X)$$

is a weak equivalence in sSet for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$, where the equality holds by [19, Theorem 19.4.4(2)].

So from this we can see that if we had $\operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, P_n X) \cong P_n \operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, X)$ for all G in \mathcal{G} , then we would get the desired weak equivalence because again

$$\pi_i \operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, P_n X) = \pi_i(P_n \operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, X)).$$

We could also reformulate this statement by not using the full set of generators \mathcal{G} , since we are only making use of the fact that they detect weak equivalences.

Proposition 2.14. Let $h\mathcal{G}$ be a set in \mathcal{C} that detects weak equivalences. If

$$\operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, P_n X) \cong P_n \operatorname{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, X)$$

for every G in $h\mathcal{G}$, then C is hypercomplete.

We can follow this through with a non-simplicial example, bounded chain complexes of \mathbb{Z} -modules $\operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})$. Let us briefly recall Postnikov sections of chain complexes, which are discussed in detail in [18, Section 3.4]. As mentioned in Sect. 2.1, $P_n \operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})$ is the left Bousfield localization of $\operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})$ at

$$W_k = I_{\operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})} \Box \{ f_k : S^{k+1} \longrightarrow D^{k+2} \}.$$

The generating cofibrations of the projective model structure of $\mathrm{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})$ are the inclusions

$$I_{\mathrm{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})} = \{ \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^n \mid n \ge 1 \},\$$

where \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is the chain complex which only contains \mathbb{Z} in degree n-1 and is zero in all other degrees, and \mathbb{D}^n is \mathbb{Z} in degrees n and n-1 with the identity differential and zero everywhere else. We can thus work out that

$$W_k = \{ \mathbb{S}^{n+k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{n+k+2} \mid n \ge 0 \}.$$

This means that a chain complex is a k-type if and only if its homology vanishes in degrees k + 1 and above. The localization $M \longrightarrow P_k M$ is simply truncation above degree k.

Let $\operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$ denote the mapping chain complex for M, N in $\operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})$, that is,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(M,N)_k = \prod_i \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_i, N_{i+k})$$

with differential $(df)(x) = d(f(x)) + (-1)^{k+1} f(d(x))$; see for example [20, Chap. 4.2]. We note that

$$\pi_i(\operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})}(M,N)) = H_i(\operatorname{Hom}(M,N))$$

because

$$\pi_{i}(\operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{Ch}_{b}(\mathbb{Z})}(M,N)) = [S^{i}, \operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{Ch}_{b}(\mathbb{Z})}(M,N)]_{\operatorname{sSet}_{*}} = [M \otimes^{L} S^{i}, N]_{\operatorname{Ch}_{b}(\mathbb{Z})}$$
$$= [M[i], N]_{\operatorname{Ch}_{b}(\mathbb{Z})} = [M \otimes \mathbb{Z}[i], N]_{\operatorname{Ch}_{b}(\mathbb{Z})}$$
$$= [\mathbb{Z}[i], \operatorname{Hom}(M,N)]_{\operatorname{Ch}_{b}(\mathbb{Z})} = H_{i}(\operatorname{Hom}(M,N)).$$

So $\operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})$ is hypercomplete if $\operatorname{Hom}(G, P_n N)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $P_n \operatorname{Hom}(G, N)$ for all G in $h\mathcal{G}$. For bounded below chain complexes, a set that detects weak equivalences can be taken to be

$$h\mathcal{G} = \{ \mathbb{S}^i = \mathbb{Z}[i] \mid i \ge 0 \}.$$

We have the following diagram of short exact sequences:

Using the 5-lemma we can read off that $H_i(\mathrm{Hom}(M,P_nN))=0$ for i>n as desired and that

$$H_i(\operatorname{Hom}(M, P_n N)) = H_i(\operatorname{Hom}(M, N))$$

for $i \leq n-1$, but unless $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(M), H_{n+1}(N)) = 0$ we do not get that

$$H_n(\operatorname{Hom}(M, P_n N)) = H_n(\operatorname{Hom}(M, N)).$$

Note that in general it is not true that $\operatorname{Hom}(M, P_n N) \simeq P_n \operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$. However, as we only require the case $M = \mathbb{S}^i$, we have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^i, N) = N[n],$$

where N[n] is the *n*-fold suspension of *N*. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(G, P_n N) = P_n \operatorname{Hom}(G, N)$$

for all G in $h\mathcal{G}$, so $\operatorname{Ch}_b(\mathbb{Z})$ is hypercomplete as expected.

Remark 2.15. Another important example of a tower of model structures occurring in nature is given by the Taylor tower of Goodwillie calculus, where for every n one considers the n-excisive model structure on the category of small endofunctors of simplicial sets; see [8, Section 4]. We do not discuss this example in this paper, and detailed relations to the aforementioned references could be a topic for future research.

3. Homotopy Fibered Products of Model Categories

Let \mathcal{I} be the small category

$$1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftarrow} 0 \stackrel{\beta}{\longrightarrow} 2.$$

A pullback diagram of model categories is a left Quillen presheaf $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to CAT$. The objects X_{\bullet} of the category of sections are given by three objects X_0, X_1 and X_2 in F(0), F(1) and F(2), respectively, together with morphisms

$$\alpha^* X_1 \longrightarrow X_0 \longleftarrow \beta^* X_2$$

in F(0). A morphism $\phi_{\bullet} \colon X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ consists of morphisms $\phi_i \colon X_i \to Y_i$ in F(i) for i = 0, 1, 2, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha^* X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longleftarrow & \beta^* X_2 \\ & & & & & \downarrow \phi_0 & & \downarrow \beta^* \phi_2 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \phi_0 & & \downarrow \beta^* \phi_2 \\ & & & & & & & & \uparrow \phi_0 & & & \downarrow \beta^* Y_2 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proposition 3.1. Let $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ be a pullback diagram of model categories such that F(i) is a combinatorial model category for every i in \mathcal{I} . Then there exists a combinatorial model structure on the category of sections $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$, where a map ϕ_{\bullet} is a weak equivalence or a cofibration if and only if ϕ_i is a weak equivalence or cofibration in F(i) for every i in \mathcal{I} . The fibrations are the maps $\phi_{\bullet}: X_{\bullet} \to Y_{\bullet}$ such that f_0 is a fibration in F(0) and

$$X_1 \longrightarrow Y_1 \times_{\alpha_* Y_0} \alpha_* X_0 \quad and \quad X_2 \longrightarrow Y_2 \times_{\beta_* Y_0} \beta_* X_0$$

are fibrations in F(1) and F(2), respectively. In particular, X_{\bullet} is fibrant if X_i is fibrant in F(i) and

$$X_1 \longrightarrow \alpha_* X_0 \quad and \quad X_2 \longrightarrow \beta_* X_0$$

are fibrations in F(1) and F(2), respectively.

Proof. The existence of the required model structure follows from Theorem 1.2. The description of the fibrations follows from [16, Theorem 3.1]. \Box

Proposition 3.2. Let $F: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$ be a pullback diagram of model categories such that F(i) is combinatorial and right proper for every i in \mathcal{I} . Then there is a model structure Fibpr(F) on the category of sections of F, called the homotopy fibered product model structure, with the following properties:

- (i) A morphism φ_• is a fibration in Fibpr(F) if and only if φ_• is a fibration in Sect(I, F).
- (ii) A section X_{\bullet} is cofibrant in Fibpr(F) if and only if X_i is cofibrant in F(i) for every i in \mathcal{I} and the morphisms $\alpha^* X_1 \to X_0$ and $\beta^* X_2 \to X_0$ are weak equivalences in F(0).
- (iii) A morphism φ_• between cofibrant sections is a weak equivalence if and only if φ_i is a weak equivalence in F(i) for every i in I.

MJOM

Proof. The existence of the model structure $\operatorname{Fibpr}(F)$ follows from Theorem 1.3 applied to the left Quillen presheaf F. The characterization of the weak equivalences between cofibrant objects follows since $\operatorname{Fibpr}(F)$ is a right Bousfield localization of $\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, F)$.

3.1. Bousfield arithmetic Squares of Homological Localizations

Let \mathcal{C} be a left proper combinatorial stable model category and E any spectrum. The model structure $L_E \mathcal{C}$ is the left Bousfield localization of \mathcal{C} with respect to the set $I_{\mathcal{C}} \square \mathcal{S}_E$. Here $I_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of generating cofibrations of \mathcal{C} , the set \mathcal{S}_E consists of the generating trivial cofibrations of the homological localization L_E Sp, and \square is the pushout-product defined via the action $\mathcal{C} \times \text{Sp} \to \mathcal{C}$. This model structure is an example of a left Bousfield localization along a Quillen bifunctor, as studied in [18].

Now, let J and K be a partition of the set of prime numbers. By \mathbb{Z}_J we denote the J-local integers, and by MG the Moore spectrum of the group G. Consider the model structures $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$, $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$. Since, for every set of primes P, every $M\mathbb{Z}_P$ -equivalence is an $M\mathbb{Q}$ -equivalence, the identities $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C} \to L_M\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C} \to L_M\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{C}$ are left Quillen functors.

Thus we have a pullback diagram of model categories $L_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \text{CAT}$, where $\mathcal{I} = 1 \leftarrow 0 \to 2$ and $L_0\mathcal{C} = L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$, $L_1\mathcal{C} = L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_2\mathcal{C} = L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$.

If C is a left proper combinatorial stable model category, then by Proposition 3.1 the model structure Sect $(\mathcal{I}, L_{\bullet}C)$ exists, and it is also a stable model structure because each of the involved model categories is stable.

Moreover, if in addition the model structures $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$, $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$ are right proper, then by Proposition 3.2 the model structure $\operatorname{Fibpr}(L_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$, which we denote by $\operatorname{Bou}(\mathcal{C})$, also exists. The model structure $\operatorname{Bou}(\mathcal{C})$ is also stable, since it is a right Bousfield localization with respect to a set of stable objects; see [2, Proposition 5.6].

Lemma 3.3. The adjunction const : $C \rightleftharpoons Bou(C)$: lim is a Quillen pair.

Proof. The proof is the same as the one for Lemma 2.4.

Note that for any spectrum E, the model structure L_E Sp is right proper [2, Proposition 4.7]; hence the model structure Bou(Sp) exists.

Theorem 3.4. Let C be a proper and combinatorial stable model category. The Quillen pair const : $C \rightleftharpoons Bou(C)$: lim is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. By [20, Proposition 1.3.13] it suffices to check that the derived unit and counit are weak equivalences.

Let X be a fibrant and cofibrant object in \mathcal{C} . We need to show that

$$X \longrightarrow \lim(\operatorname{const}(X)^{\operatorname{fib}})$$

is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} , where $(-)^{\text{fib}}$ denotes a fibrant replacement in $\text{Bou}(\mathcal{C})$. The constant diagram const(X) is cofibrant in $\text{Bou}(\mathcal{C})$ since const is a left Quillen functor. Let

$$L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}X \longrightarrow L_{M\mathbb{Q}}X \longleftarrow L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}X$$

be a fibrant replacement of $\operatorname{const}(X)$ in $\operatorname{Bou}(\mathcal{C})$. We have that $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}X$, $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}X$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}X$ are fibrant in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$, $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$, respectively, and the two maps are fibrations in \mathcal{C} and weak equivalences in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, the three localisations are smashing in Sp, so by [3, Lemma 6.7]

$$L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}X = X \wedge M\mathbb{Z}_K, L_{M\mathbb{Q}}X = X \wedge M\mathbb{Q}$$
 and $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}X = X \wedge M\mathbb{Z}_J$

By [9, Proposition 2.10] we have that

$$\lim(M\mathbb{Z}_K \longrightarrow M\mathbb{Q} \longleftarrow M\mathbb{Z}_J) = S,$$

where S denotes the sphere spectrum. Thus, the map

$$X \longrightarrow \lim(L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K} X \longrightarrow L_{M\mathbb{Q}} X \longleftarrow L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J} X)$$
$$= X \wedge \lim(M\mathbb{Z}_K \longrightarrow M\mathbb{Q} \longleftarrow M\mathbb{Z}_J)$$

is a weak equivalence. The last equality follows because homotopy pullbacks commute with the action of spectra coming from framings, since in stable categories they are equivalent to homotopy pushouts.

Now, let X_{\bullet} be any fibrant and cofibrant object in Bou(\mathcal{C}). We have to see that the map

$$\operatorname{const}(\lim X_{\bullet}) \longrightarrow X_{\bullet}$$

is a weak equivalence in Bou(\mathcal{C}). This is equivalent to saying that the map lim $X_{\bullet} \to X_1$ is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$, lim $X_{\bullet} \to X_2$ is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$ and lim $X_{\bullet} \to X_{12}$ is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$.

Note that if $A \to B$ is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$, A is fibrant in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}K}\mathcal{C}$ and B is fibrant in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$, then $A \to B$ is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$. To see this, let $A \to L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}A$ be a fibrant replacement of A in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$. We are going to use [3, Lemma 6.7] again, which says that the weak equivalences in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$ are morphisms f in \mathcal{C} such that $f \wedge M\mathbb{Z}_J$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} . This makes the following argument the same as it would be for $\mathcal{C} =$ Sp.

Since B is fibrant in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$, it is so in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$. Thus, there is a lifting



The left arrow is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$ and hence a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$. Therefore, the dotted arrow is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$ between fibrant objects in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$. (Observe that $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}A$ is fibrant in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$ and hence in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$.) Thus, it is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} . This completes the proof of the claim since weak equivalences in \mathcal{C} are weak equivalences in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$. Since X_{\bullet} is fibrant and cofibrant, we have that in the pullback diagram



 X_1, X_2 and X_{12} are fibrant in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}, L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$, respectively, and the right and bottom arrows are weak equivalences in $L_{M\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{C}$ and fibrations in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}\mathcal{C}$, respectively. By the previous observation and right properness of the model structures involved, the map $f_1: \lim X_{\bullet} \to X_1$ is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_J}$, and $f_2: \lim X_{\bullet} \to X_2$ is a weak equivalence in $L_{M\mathbb{Z}_K}\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Thus, the map $\lim X_{\bullet} \to X_{12}$ is also a weak equivalence in $M\mathbb{Q}$, which means that $const(\lim X_{\bullet}) \longrightarrow X_{\bullet}$ is an objectwise weak equivalence, and thus a weak equivalence in $Bou(\mathcal{C})$ as claimed. \Box

Remark 3.5. There is a higher chromatic version of the objectwise statement. Here Sp denotes the category of p-local spectra. There is a homotopy fiber square



see [13, Section 3.9]. However, we cannot apply the methods of this section to get a result analogously to Theorem 3.4. This is due to the fact that $L_{K(n)}L_{n-1}$ Sp is trivial as a model category. (By [25, Theorem 2.1], a spectrum is E(n-1)-local if and only if it is K(i)-local for $1 \le i \le n-1$. But the K(n)-localization of a K(m)-local spectrum is trivial for $n \ne m$.) Consider the homotopy fibered product model structure on

$$L_{n-1} \operatorname{Sp} \longrightarrow L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} \operatorname{Sp} \longleftarrow L_{K(n)} \operatorname{Sp}.$$

A fibrant and cofibrant diagram

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0 \xleftarrow{f_2} X_2$$

would have to satisfy that X_1 is E(n-1)-local and f_1 is an $L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}$ localization. By the universal property of localizations, this means that f_1 factors over $L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}X_1 \to X_0$. However, as X_1 is E(n-1)-local and thus K(n)-acyclic, this map (and thus f_1) is trivial. Thus we cannot reconstruct a pullback square like the above from this model structure.

3.2. Homotopy Fibers of Localized Model Categories

We will use the homotopy fibered product model structure to describe the homotopy fiber of Bousfield localizations. We can then use this to describe the layers of a Postnikov tower, among other examples.

Let \mathcal{C} be a left proper pointed combinatorial model category and let \mathcal{S} be a set of morphisms in \mathcal{C} . The identity $\mathcal{C} \to L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$ is a left Quillen functor and thus we have a pullback diagram of model categories $L^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{CAT}$,

where $\mathcal{I} = 1 \leftarrow 0 \rightarrow 2$, and $L_0^S \mathcal{C} = L_S \mathcal{C}$, $L_1^S \mathcal{C} = *$ and $L_2^S \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$. (Here * denotes the category with one object and one identity morphism with the trivial model structure.)

A section of $L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$ is a diagram $* \to Y \leftarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} where * denotes the zero object. There is an adjunction

$$\operatorname{const} : \mathcal{C} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}) : ev_2,$$

where $\operatorname{const}(X) = (* \to X \xleftarrow{1} X)$ and $ev_2(* \to Y \leftarrow X) = X$. We will denote $\operatorname{Fibpr}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet})$ by $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet})$ and we will call it the homotopy fiber of the Quillen pair $\mathcal{C} \rightleftharpoons L_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a proper pointed combinatorial model category and let \mathcal{K} be a set of objects and \mathcal{S} be a set of morphisms in \mathcal{C} . We say that the colocalized model structure $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$ and the localized model structure $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$ are compatible when for every object X in \mathcal{C} , X is \mathcal{K} -colocal if and only if X is cofibrant in \mathcal{C} and the map $* \to X$ is an \mathcal{S} -local equivalence.

The stable case is discussed in detail in [2, Section 10] where such model structures are called "orthogonal"; see also Sect. 3.5.

Remark 3.7. Note that if $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$ are compatible, then it follows from the definitions that $* \to Y \leftarrow X$ is cofibrant in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$ if and only if both X and Y are \mathcal{K} -colocal and cofibrant in \mathcal{C} . If $* \to Y \leftarrow X$ is moreover fibrant in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$, then Y is weakly contractible since Y is \mathcal{S} -local and $* \to Y$ is an \mathcal{S} -equivalence and $X \to Y$ is a fibration in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 3.8. Let C be a proper pointed combinatorial model category and let K be a set of objects and S be a set of morphisms in C. If $C_{\mathcal{K}}C$ and $L_{\mathcal{S}}C$ are compatible, then the adjunction

$$\operatorname{const}: C_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{C} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet} \mathcal{C}): ev_2$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. We will first show that the adjunction is a Quillen pair. By [19, Propostion 8.5.4(2)], it is enough to check that the left adjoint preserves trivial cofibrations and sends cofibrations between cofibrant objects to cofibrations.

Let f be a trivial cofibration in $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$. Then f is a trivial cofibration in \mathcal{C} and, therefore, $\operatorname{const}(f)$ is a trivial cofibration in $\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$ and thus a trivial cofibration in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$.

Now let $f: X \to Y$ be a cofibration between cofibrant objects in $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$. Then f is a cofibration between cofibrant objects in \mathcal{C} and hence $\operatorname{const}(f)$ is also a cofibration between cofibrant objects in $\operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{I}, L^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$. But $\operatorname{const}(X)$ and $\operatorname{const}(Y)$ are cofibrant in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$, since $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$ and $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$ are compatible and, therefore, the maps $* \to X$ and $* \to Y$ are \mathcal{S} -local equivalences. Hence $\operatorname{const}(f)$ is a cofibration in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$, by [19, Proposition 3.3.16(2)].

To prove that it is a Quillen equivalence, it suffices to show that the derived unit and counit are weak equivalences; see [20, Proposition 1.3.13]. Let X be a cofibrant object in $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$. Then we can construct a fibrant replacement for const(X) in Fib($L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$) as follows:



where the map $X \to L_{\mathcal{S}} X$ is a trivial cofibration in $L_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{C}$ and $X \to X' \to L_{\mathcal{S}} X$ is a factorization in \mathcal{C} of the previous map as a trivial cofibration followed by a fibration. Indeed, the map between the two sections is a trivial cofibration in Fib $(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet} \mathcal{C})$ since it is a levelwise trivial cofibration, and $* \to L_{\mathcal{S}} X \leftarrow X'$ is fibrant in Fib $(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet} \mathcal{C})$ since $L_{\mathcal{S}} X$ is fibrant in $L_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{C}, X'$ is fibrant in \mathcal{C} and $X' \to L_{\mathcal{S}} X$ is a fibration in \mathcal{C} .

Therefore, the map $X \to ev_2(\operatorname{const}(X)) \to ev_2(R(\operatorname{const}(X)))$, where R denotes fibrant replacement in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$, is precisely the map $X \to X'$, which is a weak equivalence in $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$ since it was already a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} .

Finally, let $* \to Y \leftarrow X$ be a fibrant and cofibrant section in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$. We need to check that the composite

$$\operatorname{const}(Q(ev_2(* \to Y \leftarrow X))) \longrightarrow \operatorname{const}(ev_2(* \to Y \leftarrow X)) \longrightarrow (* \to Y \leftarrow X)$$

is a weak equivalence in Fib $(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$. But $ev_2(* \to Y \leftarrow X) = X$ is already cofibrant in $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$, by Remark 3.7. Therefore, we need to show that the map of sections



is a weak equivalence in $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$. Since both sections are cofibrant, it is enough to see that the map in the middle is a weak equivalence in $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$, which follows again from Remark 3.7.

3.3. Postnikov Sections and Connective Covers of Simplicial Sets

We can use this setup to describe the "layers" of Postnikov towers. Let $sSet_*$ denote the category of pointed simplicial sets. Consider the model structure $P_k \operatorname{sSet}_* = L_S \operatorname{sSet}_*$ for k-types, that is, the left Bousfield localization of Set_* with respect to the set of inclusions $S = \{S^{k+1} \to D^{k+2}\}$. If $\mathcal{K} = \{S^{k+1}\}$, then the right Bousfield localization $C_k \operatorname{sSet}_* = C_K \operatorname{sSet}_*$ is the model structure for k-connective covers, and $P_k \operatorname{sSet}_*$ and $C_k \operatorname{sSet}_*$ are compatible, since for every X there is a fiber sequence

$$C_k X \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow P_k X,$$

where $C_k X$ denotes the kth connective cover of X. By Theorem 3.8 the model categories C_k sSet_{*} and Fib $(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}$ sSet_{*}) are Quillen equivalent.

Let $S = \{S^{n+1} \to D^{n+2}\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{S^{n+1}\}$, as before, and let \mathcal{C} be a proper combinatorial model category. Then we define $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$ as the left Bousfield localization of \mathcal{C} with respect to the set $I_{\mathcal{C}} \square S$ and $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$ as the right Bousfield localization of \mathcal{C} with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Here $I_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of generating cofibrations of \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a set of homotopy generators, \otimes denotes the simplicial action given by a framing and \square the pushout product. A fuller account of localized model structures along Quillen bifunctors can be found in [18]. In general, $L_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C}$ and $C_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}$ are not necessarily compatible, so Theorem 3.8 will not hold in this case for arbitrary \mathcal{C} . However, examples where compatibility holds include the category of chain complexes $\mathrm{Ch}_b(R)$ and stable localizations; see Sect. 3.5.

We can also consider $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet}P_{k+1}\operatorname{sSet}_{*})$. Since for every X we have a fibration

$$K(\pi_{k+1}X, k+1) \longrightarrow P_{k+1}X \longrightarrow P_kX,$$

the model structures $C_k P_{k+1}$ sSet_{*} and $P_k P_{k+1}$ sSet_{*} = P_k sSet_{*} are compatible. Hence Theorem 3.8 directly implies

Corollary 3.9. The model structures $C_k P_{k+1} \operatorname{sSet}_*$ and $\operatorname{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}}_{\bullet} P_{k+1} \operatorname{sSet}_*)$ are *Quillen equivalent.*

This means that we can view $C_k P_{k+1}$ sSet_{*} as the kth layer of the Postnikov tower model structure. Note that Ho $(C_k P_{k+1}$ sSet_{*}) is equivalent to the category of abelian groups for $k \geq 1$.

3.4. Nullifications and Cellularizations of Spectra

Let Sp be a suitable model structure for the category of spectra, for instance, symmetric spectra and let S be a single map $E \to *$. Then $L_S \text{Sp} = P_E \text{Sp}$ is called the *E*-nullification of Sp and $C_E \text{Sp}$ is called the *E*-cellularization of Sp. As follows from [17, Theorem 3.6] we have the following compatibility between localized and colocalized model structures:

- (i) If the induced map $\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})(\Sigma^{-1}E, C_EX) \to \operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})(\Sigma^{-1}E, X)$ is injective for every X, then C_E Sp and P_E Sp are compatible.
- (ii) If the induced map $\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})(E, X) \to \operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})(E, P_{\Sigma E}X)$ is the zero map for every X, then $C_E \operatorname{Sp}$ and $P_{\Sigma E} \operatorname{Sp}$ are compatible.

3.5. Stable Localizations and Colocalizations

Let \mathcal{C} be a proper combinatorial stable model category and let \mathcal{G}_{Sp} denote a set of cofibrant homotopy generators for the model category of symmetric spectra Sp. Recall that a set of homotopy generators for a model category \mathcal{C} consists of a small full subcategory $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that every object of \mathcal{C} is weakly equivalent to a filtered homotopy colimit of objects of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and that by [11, Proposition 4.7] every combinatorial model category has a set of homotopy generators that can be chosen to be cofibrant.

A set of maps S in a stable model category is said to be stable if the class of S-local objects is closed under suspension. Let S be a stable set of morphisms in C and let $\mathcal{K} = \operatorname{cof}(S)$ be the set of cofibers of the elements of S. Then we have that $\operatorname{cof}(S \otimes \mathcal{G}_{Sp}) = \operatorname{cof}(S) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{Sp} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{Sp}$, where

 \otimes denotes the action of Sp on C. Hence, by [2, Proposition 10.3] it follows that $L_{S \otimes \mathcal{G}_{Sp}} C$ and $C_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{Sp}} C$ are compatible. Therefore, Theorem 3.8 readily implies the following fact:

Corollary 3.10. The model categories $C_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Sp}}}\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathrm{Fib}(L^{\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Sp}}}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C})$ are Quillen equivalent.

Acknowledgments

J. J. Gutiérrez would like to thank Dimitri Ara for many useful conversations on some of the topics of this paper, and Ieke Moerdijk for suggesting the idea of studying towers of localized model structures. C. Roitzheim would like to thank David Barnes for motivating discussions and the Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen for their hospitality. Both authors thank the referee and the associate editor for very useful comments that helped improving the contents and presentation of the paper.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Adámek, J., Rosický, J.: Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 189. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994)
- [2] Barnes, D., Roitzheim, C.: Stable left and right Bousfield localisations. Glasg. Math. J. 56(1), 13–42 (2014)
- Barnes, D., Roitzheim, C.: Homological localisation of model categories. Appl. Categ. Struct. 23(3), 487–505 (2015)
- [4] Barwick, C.: On left and right model categories and left and right Bousfield localizations. Homol. Homotopy Appl. 12(2), 245–320 (2010)
- [5] Beke, T.: Sheafifiable homotopy model categories. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 129(3), 447–475 (2000)
- [6] Bergner, J: Homotopy fiber products of homotopy theories. Isr. J. Math. 185, 389–411 (2011)
- [7] Bergner, J.: Homotopy limits of model categories and more general homotopy theories. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 44(2), 311–322 (2013)
- [8] Biedermann, G., Chorny, B., Röndigs, O.: Calculus of functors and model categories. Adv. Math. 214, 92–115 (2007)
- [9] Bousfield, A.K.: The localization of spectra with respect to homology. Topology 18(4), 257–281 (1979)
- [10] Bousfield, A.K.: On the telescopic homotopy theory of spaces. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 353(6), 2391–2426 (2001)

- [11] Dugger, D.: Combinatorial model categories have presentations. Adv. Math. 164, 177–201 (2001)
- [12] Dugger, D: Spectral enrichments of model categories. Homol. Homotopy Appl. 8(1), 1–30 (2006)
- [13] Dwyer, W.G.: Localizations, Axiomatic, Enriched and Motivic Homotopy Theory, 3–28, NATO Science Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry, vol. 131. Kluwer Academic Publications, Dordrecht (2004)
- [14] Gabriel, P., Ulmer, F.: Lokal präsentierbare Kategorien. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 221. Springer, Berlin (1971)
- [15] Goerss, P.G., Jardine, J.F.: Simplicial Homotopy Theory. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174. Birkhäuser, Basel (1999)
- [16] Greenlees, J.P.C., Shipley, B.: Homotopy theory of modules over diagrams of rings. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. Ser. B 1, 89–104 (2014)
- [17] Gutiérrez, J.J.: Cellularization of structures in stable homotopy categories. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 153, 399–418 (2012)
- [18] Gutiérrez, J.J., Roitzheim, C.: Bousfield Localisations Along Quillen Bifunctors (2014) arXiv:1411.0500
- [19] Hirschhorn, P.S.: Model Categories and Their Localizations. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2003)
- [20] Hovey, M.: Model Categories. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1999)
- [21] Hovey, M., Shipley, B., Smith, J.H.: Symmetric spectra. J. Am. Math. Soc. 13(1), 149–208 (2000)
- [22] Lenhardt, F.: Stable frames in model categories. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216(5), 1080–1091 (2012)
- [23] Lurie, J.: Higher Topos Theory. Annals of Mathematical Studies, vol. 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2009)
- [24] Makkai, M., Paré, R.: Accessible Categories: The Foundations of Categorical Model Theory. Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 104. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1989)
- [25] Ravenel, D.C.: Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories. Am. J. Math. 106(2), 351–414 (1984)
- [26] Ravenel, D.C.: Nilpotence and Periodicity in Stable Homotopy Theory. Annals of Mathematical Studies, vol. 128. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1992)
- [27] Toën, B.: Derived Hall algebras. Duke Math. J. 135(3), 587–615 (2006)

Javier J. Gutiérrez Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen Heyendaalseweg 135 6525 AJ Nijmegen The Netherlands e-mail: j.gutierrez@math.ru.nl URL: http://www.math.ru.nl/gutierrez School of Mathematics Statistics and Actuarial Science University of Kent Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF UK e-mail: c.roitzheim@kent.ac.uk URL: http://www.kent.ac.uk/smsas/personal/csrr

Received: July 20, 2015. Revised: March 4, 2016. Accepted: March 30, 2016.

Constanze Roitzheim