Abstract

In this paper, we present a detailed study of Skyrmion-Skyrmion scattering for two $B = 1$ Skyrmions in the attractive channel where we observe two different scattering regimes. For large separation, the scattering can be approximated as interacting dipoles. We give a qualitative estimate when this approximation breaks down. For small separations we observe an additional short-range repulsion which is qualitatively similar to monopole scattering. We also observe the interesting effect of “rotation without rotating” whereby two Skyrmions, whose orientations remain constant while well-separated, change their orientation after scattering. We can explain this effect by following preimages through the scattering process, thereby measuring which part of an in-coming Skyrmion forms part of an out-going Skyrmion. This leads to a new way of visualising Skyrmions. Furthermore, we consider spinning Skyrmions and find interesting trajectories.

PACS-number: 12.39.Dc
1 Introduction

The Skyrme model is a nonlinear field theory model of atomic nuclei [1]. As a classical field theory, this model has soliton solutions, known as Skyrmions, which are stabilised by a conserved topological charge. Skyrmions have been calculated for various charges, see e.g. [2] for a comprehensive summary, and [3, 4, 5] for more recent results when it became apparent that massive pions play an important role. When these Skyrmions are quantised, as fermions, they model protons and neutrons [6, 7]. An important ingredient in the quantisation are the so-called Finkelstein-Rubinstein constraints [8], which guarantee that Skyrmions can be consistently quantised as fermions. Using the symmetries of classical Skyrmions, these constraints also allow the quantum numbers of the ground and excited states to be calculated [9, 10, 11]. Reference [12] included massive pions and found that the energies of quantum ground and excited states of Skyrmions had good qualitative and reasonable quantitative agreement with experimental results, for even topological charges. However, the approach does not produce good results for odd values of the topological charge greater than three. This may be related to the fact that Skyrmions deform when they are spinning [13] or isospinning [14]. More recently, properties of Carbon-12 have been successfully modelled using the Skyrme model [15]. These calculations helped to understand the structure of Carbon-12 and the so-called Hoyle state.

In nuclear physics, scattering experiments are very important. However, relatively little progress has been made with Skyrmion-Skyrmion scattering, and its applications to nuclear physics. Classical Skyrmion scattering was first discussed using an axially-symmetric approximation in [16]. The first numerical full field simulation of Skyrmion scattering for two $B = 1$ Skyrmions was performed in [17]. Skyrmion scattering for different charges with symmetric initial conditions was discussed in [18]. The similarity with monopole scattering led to various important developments [19] including the rational map ansatz [20]. From a more analytical point of view, Manton discussed low energy Skyrmion scattering using the idea of an unstable manifold [21, 22] and the geodesic approximation [23]. This unstable manifold can be mapped out exactly for well-separated Skyrmions [24] and has been calculated numerically in [25]. Schroers discussed the interaction of well-separated moving and spinning Skyrmions in [26]. Braaten discussed in [27] how to calculate scattering cross sections from the Skyrme model.

In this paper, we focus on classical scattering of two charge one Skyrmions with variable impact parameter. The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we review the Skyrme model with a particular emphasis on the dipol interaction. In section 3 we present a numerical study of Skyrmion scattering. We then describe Skyrmion-Skyrmion scattering in the attractive channel using the classical dipol approximation. We also derive the dynamics in the relativistic case and discuss the modifications for nonzero pion mass. We observe the interesting effect of “rotation without rotating”. In section 4 we introduce a new way of visualising Skyrmions which explains this effect. We then discuss scattering of two spinning Skyrmions. In section 5 we give a brief comparison of monopole and Skyrmion scattering. We end with a conclusion and discuss open problems.
2 The Skyrme model

The Skyrme model is a three dimensional non-linear theory of pions where the field $U(t,x)$ is an SU(2)-valued scalar. It is a low energy effective theory of QCD and is defined by the Lagrangian [19],

$$L = \int \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (R_\mu R^\mu) + \frac{1}{16} \text{Tr}([R_\mu, R_\nu][R^\mu, R^\nu]) - m_\pi^2 \text{Tr}(1_2 - U) \right\} d^3x,$$

(1)

where $R_\mu = \partial_\mu U U^\dagger$, $1_2$ is the unit matrix in two dimensions and $m_\pi$ parametrizes the pion mass. Here we have expressed the model in so-called Skyrme units, where we have chosen an energy unit $\frac{F_\pi^2}{4\epsilon}$ and a length unit $\frac{2}{\epsilon F_\pi}$. $F_\pi$ is the pion decay constant and $\epsilon$ is a dimensionless parameter. Field configurations can only have finite energy provided that the field $U(x,t) \to 1_2$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Hence, finite-energy fields are defined on the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^3$, namely $\mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\} \cong S^3$. Furthermore, the target space $SU(2)$ is homeomorphic to $S^3$. Therefore, finite-energy configurations belong to an element of the third homotopy group $\pi_3(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and are indexed by an integer. This integer is the topological charge, $B$, and is interpreted as the baryon number. In atomic nuclei, $B$ corresponds to the sum of the number protons and neutrons. The topological charge can be calculated as an integral over the baryon density $B(x)$ namely,

$$B = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(x) d^3x, \quad \text{where} \quad B(x) = -\frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{24\pi^2} \text{Tr} (R_i R_j R_k).$$

(2)

It is often more convenient to reparameterise the Skyrme field with three pion fields $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)^T$ and a constrained field $\sigma$ as $U = \sigma 1_2 + i \pi \cdot \tau$, where $\sigma^2 + \pi \cdot \pi = 1$ and $\tau$ is the triplet of Pauli matrices. We shall be making use of this later. Numerical evidence suggests that the $B = 1$ Skyrmion is spherically symmetric. This is best described with the so-called hedgehog ansatz,

$$U_H = \cos f(r) \ 1_2 + i \sin f(r) \hat{x} \cdot \tau,$$

(3)

where $r = |x|$ and $\hat{x} = x/r$. For minimum-energy solutions the shape function $f(r)$ has to be calculated numerically subject to the boundary conditions $f(0) = \pi$ and $f(\infty) = 0$.

For massless pions, $m_\pi = 0$, the interaction of two well-separated $B = 1$ Skyrmions can be approximated by the dipole-dipole interaction [19]

$$E_{\text{int}} = -\frac{2C^2}{3\pi} \frac{1 - 3(\hat{X} \cdot \hat{n})^2}{X^3},$$

(4)

where $C$ is the dipol strength, $X$ is the separation between the two Skyrmions and $X = |X|$. For a $B = 1$ Skyrmion the constant $C$ is given by $C = 2.16 \ (m_\pi = 0)$ [19]. The value of $C$ corresponds to the leading order term in the large $r$ expansion of the shape function $f(r) \sim \frac{C}{r^2}$. This can be shown by linearising the equations of motion for $f(r)$. In this paper we are only interested in when the interaction energy (4) is minimal, namely when $\psi = \pi$.
and $\hat{X} \cdot \hat{n} = 0$. We define this as the attractive channel, and the interaction energy simplifies to

$$E_{\text{int}}^{\text{att}} = -\frac{4C^2}{3\pi} \frac{1}{X^3}. \quad (5)$$

As a point of notation we define the Skyrmion locations as the points in $\mathbb{R}^3$ where $U(x) = -1_2, (\sigma = -1, \tau_a = 0)$. This is the antipodal point of the vacuum and is hence a region of large energy density.

### 3 Skyrmion Scattering

In this article we are investigating Skyrmion scattering. There has been some analytical progress using the instanton ansatz [28, 29], but so far the most productive method is to use numerical simulations.

To achieve this we first need an initial configuration to evolve. We create a suitable configuration by numerically solving the equations of motion for the hedgehog ansatz, for the value of $m_\pi$ which we are interested in. This gives us a shapefunction $f(r)$ for the single Skyrmion. We use this with the hedgehog ansatz and the product ansatz,

$$U(t, x, y, z) = U_1(\gamma(x - vt), y, z)U_2(\gamma(x + vt), y, z), \quad (6)$$

to give a two-Skyrmion initial configuration. Here, $U_1$ is the hedgehog solution $U_1 = U_H(x + \frac{D}{2}, y + \frac{b}{2}, z)$ and $U_2$ is the hedgehog solution $U_2 = \tau_3 U_H(x - \frac{D}{2}, y - \frac{b}{2}, z) \tau_3$, which has been rotated by $\pi$ about the $z$-axis in target space by the $SU(2)$ matrix $\tau_3$. This isorotation ensures that the Skyrmions are in the attractive channel. Here, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2}$ is the usual Lorentz factor.

Throughout this paper we consistently chose the hedgehog ansatz (3) to be orientated such that under $z \mapsto -z, \pi_3 \mapsto -\pi_3$. We then evolved this initial configuration using a finite difference leap-frog method on a discretised regular lattice. We chose a lattice spacing of $\delta_x = 0.1$ with either 100 lattice points or 120 lattice points for large $b$. Therefore, $x, y$ and $z$ had the ranges $(-5, 5)$ or $(-6, 6)$, depending on the number of lattice points. To minimise the effects of radiation, and to replicate the infinite plane, we damped the boundary of the box by smoothly introducing an extra $\dot{U}$ term in the equations of motion at the boundary. This term damped the radiation and reduced the reflection off the boundary. We chose to use leap-frog as it is a symplectic integrator, and we argue that preserving momentum is very important during a scattering process.

#### 3.1 Numerical Results

In figures [1] and [2] we display snapshots of the scattering of two $B = 1$ Skyrmions. Throughout the text we colour the Skyrmion baryon-density level-set plots to show the angle the pion fields have from the $\hat{\pi}_2$-axis on the $\hat{\pi}_1, \hat{\pi}_2$ plane. It is coloured such that when the field lies slightly above the $\hat{\pi}_2$-axis the colour is orange and when it is slightly below the colour is red. There is a detailed discussion of this colouring scheme and its physical interpretation in [30].
In figure 1 the top row shows Skyrmion scattering for $m_\pi = 0$ and zero impact parameter, $b = 0$, with initial speed $v = 0.2$. The initial configuration is on the left. With the colouring scheme it is easy to see that the second Skyrmion is rotated by $\pi$ around the $z$-axis. The Skyrmions keep their orientation even as they merge and form the torus. However, when they reemerge as individual Skyrmions after passing through the torus configuration their orientation has changed. This is a rather intriguing effect of changing orientation without actually rotating. We discuss this phenomenon further in section 4.1.

Figure 2 shows the same set of snapshots but for $m_\pi = 1$. In the initial configuration the Skyrmions are more spherical, since the interaction force is weaker, leading to less deformation. The torus in the intermediate configuration is more compact with a smaller hole as expected for massive Skyrmions, see [31] for a detailed discussion.

Throughout the numerical simulation we tracked the Skyrmion locations and to increase accuracy we interpolated field values in-between lattice points. This gives the curves in figures 3a and 3b which show the trajectories of the location in the scattering plane. These images show how the pion mass, $m_\pi$, affects the scattering process. For example it can be seen that for large separation the Skyrmion with $m_\pi = 0.5$ is deflected less.

### 3.2 Dipole approximation

As discussed earlier, for $m_\pi = 0$, the attractive channel has the interaction energy

$$E_{\text{int}}^{\text{att}} = -\frac{4C^2}{3\pi} \frac{1}{X^3}.$$
Figure 2: Skyrmion scattering plots for $m_\pi = 1$ and $v = 0.2$. Each row displays the initial, intermediate and final configuration. In the first row the impact parameter is $b = 0$, in the second row $b = 0.4$.

Figure 3: Trajectories of the location of a single Skyrmion throughout a scattering process.

For simplicity, we firstly describe the non-relativistic dynamics. Two $B = 1$ Skyrmions can be approximated as point particles of mass $M \approx 1.232$, which is the rest mass of a single $B = 1$ Skyrmion. We can then separate off the centre of mass motion, and the equations of motion can be written in terms of the relative coordinate $\mathbf{X}$ as

$$\mu \ddot{\mathbf{X}} = -\nabla E_{\text{int}}^a,$$

(7)
where $\mu = M/2$ is the reduced mass. Note that in the attractive channel the force between the Skyrmions is a central force, hence the relative angular momentum

$$l_{\text{rel}} = \mu \mathbf{X} \times \dot{\mathbf{X}}$$

is conserved, and the dynamics takes place in a plane orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}$. This two dimensional plane contains the non-trivial dynamics in the attractive channel and is known as the scattering plane. In the following we choose coordinates such that the scattering plane is given by $z = 0$.

We can generalise this approach in two ways. Firstly, we can introduce the pion mass $m_\pi \neq 0$. Then the interaction energy can be written as

$$E_{\text{int},m_\pi}^{\text{att}} = -2 C_{m_\pi}^2 \frac{m_\pi^2}{3\pi} \exp(-m_\pi X) \left( m_\pi^2 X^2 + 2m_\pi X + 2 \right) \frac{1}{X^3},$$

in the attractive channel. Note that $C_{m_\pi}$ is now a function of the pion mass $m_\pi$, which is plotted in figure 4. This figure agrees with the results in [32].

A point worth noting is that we find $C_{m_\pi} = 2.16$ for $m_\pi = 0$ as in [19, 32]. We also calculated $C_{m_\pi} = 1.93$ and $C_{m_\pi} = 1.79$ for $m_\pi = 0.5$ and $m_\pi = 1$, respectively. These are the values of $m_\pi$ which will be important later.

![Figure 4: The value of the constant $C_{m_\pi}$ as a function of the pion mass $m_\pi$.](image)

As a second generalisation we also include relativistic corrections since we are interested in describing high velocities. The relativistic Lagrangian for point particles interacting via a radial potential $V$ is given by

$$L_{\text{point}} = -\sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{M}{2} \sqrt{1 - (\mathbf{v}^{(k)})^2} - V \left( |\mathbf{r}^{(1)} - \mathbf{r}^{(2)}| \right),$$

(10)
where \( r^{(k)} \) and \( v^{(k)} = \frac{d}{dt}r^{(k)} \) are position and velocity of the \( k \)th particle\(^1\). Note that the relativistic momentum is given by
\[
P^{(k)} = \nabla r^{(k)} L_{\text{point}} = \frac{Mv^{(k)}}{\sqrt{1 - (v^{(k)})^2}}.
\]

The Euler-Lagrange equations then result in the usual force law
\[
\frac{dP^{(k)}}{dt} = F^{(k)}, \quad \text{where} \quad F^{(k)} = -\nabla r^{(k)} V (|r^{(1)} - r^{(2)}|).
\]

In the following, we work in the center of momentum frame \( p^{(1)} = -p^{(2)} \), and we restrict our consideration to the nontrivial part of the attractive channel, namely, \( r^{(1)} = -r^{(2)} \), with \( r^{(1)} \cdot n = 0 \) and \( p^{(1)} \cdot n = 0 \).\(^2\) Then we can use the identity
\[
\nabla r^{(1)} V (|r^{(1)} - r^{(2)}|) = -\nabla r^{(2)} V (|r^{(1)} - r^{(2)}|)
\]

(13)
to show that if (12) is satisfied for \( k = 1 \) it is also satisfied for \( k = 2 \). The relativistic particle equations of motion become
\[
\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{M\gamma(v)} \left( F - \frac{(F \cdot v)v}{c^2} \right),
\]

where, for simplicity, we have suppressed the superscripts and
\[
F = -\frac{r}{|r|} \frac{dV(R)}{dR} \bigg|_{R=2r}.
\]

The relativistic particle equations of motion (14) can now be solved for the dipole approximation \( V(X) = E^{\text{int}}(X) \) in (5), or the interaction potential for massive pions \( V(X) = E^{\text{int}, m_{\pi}}(X) \) in (9). Since we are interested in scattering processes our initial conditions are that the Skyrmions are located at \( \pm \frac{1}{2}(D, b, 0) \) with initial velocities \( \pm \frac{1}{2}(v, 0, 0)^T \). This gives the initial conditions for \( X \) as \( X(0) = (D, b, 0)^T \), and \( \dot{X} = -(v, 0, 0)^T \). Hence the relative angular momentum \( \mu \) is \( l_{\text{rel}} = \mu(0, 0, bv)^T \). Scattering is defined in the limit \( D \to \infty \). For finite \( D \) not all velocities \( v \) correspond to scattering solutions. For example for \( m_{\pi} = 0 \) in the dipole approximation, starting with \( b = 0 \) and \( v = 0 \) at infinity \( (D = \infty) \) gives rise to \( v = 0.08 \) at \( D = 10 \) by energy conservation. If \( v \) is chosen lower than 0.08 at \( D = 10 \) then the trajectories cannot escape to infinity.

In figures 5 we show the trajectories in the dipole approximation \( (m_{\pi} = 0) \) with \( v = 0.2 \) and \( v = 0.4 \) for various \( b \). Here \( D \) is chosen sufficiently large. As can be seen from figure 5 the Skyrmions attract each other for small impact parameter \( b \) and collide at the

---

\(^1\)Here we treat the particles relativistically, but we make the approximation that \( V \) can be treated as a function of separation only – ignoring retarded potentials.

\(^2\)There are different definitions of a relativistic center of mass in the literature. Working in the center of momentum frame avoids these difficulties.
Figure 5: Scattering trajectories of two Skyrmions in the dipol approximation with zero pion mass $m_\pi = 0$. The solid blue lines are the position of one Skyrmion for impact parameters $b = 0, 0.5, \ldots, 8$. The dashed red line is the trajectory for the critical value of the impact parameter $b$. The dashed-dotted green lines are the non-relativistic approximation. In the left figure the initial speed $v$ of one Skyrmion is $v = 0.2$ with $b_{\text{crit}}/2 = 2.35$, while in the right figure $v = 0.4$ and $b_{\text{crit}}/2 = 1.47$.

origin when the particle equation of motion is no longer well defined. Once the impact parameter $b$ is larger than a critical value $b_{\text{crit}}$ we observe scattering behaviour. The collision at the origin is an artefact of our approximation which does not include any short range repulsive force. Therefore the trajectories are only physical for $b > b_{\text{crit}}$. We plot both relativistic and non-relativistic dynamics and can see that there is reasonable agreement for most trajectories. The error becomes particularly noticeable for trajectories close to the critical impact parameter $b_{\text{crit}}$. Figure 6 shows the critical impact parameter $b_{\text{crit}}$ as a function of $v$ for $m_\pi = 0$. As can be expected $b_{\text{crit}}$ decreases as $v$ increases, and $b_{\text{crit}}$ tends to zero in the limit $v \to 1$. In figure 7 we show how the scattering changes when the pion mass is increased to $m_\pi = 0.5$. The scattering becomes less pronounced and $b_{\text{crit}}$ is smaller than in the massless case. Figure 6 also shows the critical impact parameter $b_{\text{crit}}$ as a function of $v$ for $m_\pi = 0.5$ and $m_\pi = 1$.

Tracking the Skyrmions location show how the pion mass, $m_\pi$, affects the scattering process. For example in figure 3, it can be seen that, for large separation, the Skyrmion with $m_\pi = 0.5$ is deflected less. Figure 3b also shows the two distinct scattering processes. One scattering process is for small $b$ where the Skyrmions combine and then repel. This repulsion is a consequence of the geometry of the Skyrmion moduli space and is analogous to monopole scattering. Only the scattering regime for large $b$ where the Skyrmions are deflected towards each other can be approximated by dipole scattering. In fact, for $m_\pi = 0$ in figure 3a all scattering trajectories have $b < b_{\text{crit}}$ and, therefore, cannot be described by the dipole approximation. For $m_\pi = 0.5$, the critical impact parameter is $b_{\text{crit}}/2 = 1.47$. Therefore, the outer three trajectories satisfy $b > b_{\text{crit}}$. The outer two show a qualitatively similar
Figure 6: The critical impact parameter $b_{\text{crit}}$ as a function of the initial velocity $v$. The solid red line corresponds to $m_\pi = 0$, the dashed blue line to $m_\pi = 0.5$ and the dashed-dotted green line to $m_\pi = 1$.

Figure 7: Scattering trajectories of two Skyrmions in the dipol approximation with pion mass $m_\pi = 0.5$ The solid blue lines are the position of one Skyrmion for impact parameters $b = 0, 0.5, \ldots, 8$. The dashed red line is the trajectory for the critical value of the impact parameter $b$. The dashed-dotted green lines are the non-relativistic approximation. In the left figure the initial speed $v$ of one Skyrmion is $v = 0.2$ with $b_{\text{crit}}/2 = 1.99$, while in the right figure $v = 0.4$ and $b_{\text{crit}}/2 = 1.28$. 


behaviour to the trajectories in figure 5b while the third trajectories clearly experiences an additional repulsive force. For intermediate impact parameters, \( b \approx 0.6 \), the geometric effect and the dipole attraction compete. A point worth noting is that this competitive effect might effectively cancel for a scattering with impact parameter between 0.6 and 0.8, for both \( m_\pi = 0 \) and \( m_\pi = 0.5 \). This is the range of trajectories for which the \( y \) value at \( x = 1.5 \) swaps from being below the corresponding impact parameter to above it. This cancelation would not give a flat trajectory, but it would have the same \( x \) values at \( y = 1.5 \) as at \( y = -1.5 \). Figure 3a shows that the trajectories for \( b = 1.8 \) and \( b = 2 \) crossover for \( m_\pi = 0 \). It can be seen from figure 3b that does not happen for the same trajectories when \( m_\pi = 0.5 \). This is can be understood because both the geometric repulsive and dipole attraction effects are less for the increasingly localised \( m_\pi = 0.5 \) Skyrmion.

4 Skyrmions visualisation

For a long time Skyrmions have been visualised as level sets of baryon density, and recently it has become standard practice to colour the level sets in order to show the value of the pion fields. This is a good method to visualise Skyrmions, especially as it uses an invariant of the model. It clearly displays the symmetry of Skyrmions and shows how the Skyrmions can potentially be combined to make larger Skyrmions. It is also a good method to visualise Skyrmion scattering as shown in the previous images. But level sets of baryon density do not show how the Skyrmions recombine during a scattering process. For example, as previously shown, when two Skyrmions are in the attractive channel and collide head-on then they scatter perpendicularly. From the simulations it seems as though half of each Skyrmion is exchanged, and the corresponding two halves recombine to make two new Skyrmions travelling perpendicularly to the original velocities. Our aim is to quantify this exchange and to visualise it in a new way which could shine light onto Skyrmion dynamics. Our construction is to track the preimages, \( U(p_i)^{-1} \), of a range of points \( p_i \in SU(2) \) throughout a collision.

4.1 Preimages

So far we have defined the location of Skyrmions as the points \( U(-1_2)^{-1} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). We shall now describe how we chose the preimages to track.

Our aim is to visualise a Skyrmion scattering using preimages. Our initial configuration and initial velocities are symmetric under the combined reflections

\[
\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ -z \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \\ \pi_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \\ -\pi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}
\]

where \( z = 0 \) corresponds to the scattering plane. The reflection symmetry implies that \( \pi_3 = 0 \) in the scattering plane, namely \( \pi_3(x, y, 0) = 0 \). Hence we can define the equatorial two-sphere as \( S_{eq}^2 = \{(\sigma, \pi_i) | \sigma^2 + \pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 = 1, \pi_3 = 0 \} \subset S^3 \cong SU(2) \). Then, for a single
$B = 1$ hedgehog all of the points $U(p_i)^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for $p_i \in S_{\text{eq}}^2$ will lie on the scattering plane. This gives a two-dimensional way to visualise the three-dimensional Skyrmion using preimages which lie in the scattering plane, namely, we track the preimages of points in $S_{\text{eq}}^2$ to visualise a scattering process.

As much as we would like to, numerically we cannot track all of the preimages of $S_{\text{eq}}^2$. As we know, Skyrmions in this model are not discrete objects, but they are actually extended objects. When visualising a two-Skyrmion solution, with large separation, as a level set of baryon density we have to arbitrarily choose a value of baryon density which shows two distinct Skyrmions. As our aim is to use preimages to represent a two-Skyrmion system, where we can identify single Skyrmions, we choose a cut-off and do not sample points on $S_{\text{eq}}^2$ where $\sigma > 0.5$. This is an arbitrary aesthetic choice. A cut-off is needed, so that we do not track points too near to the vacuum, $\sigma = 1$. These points can move very rapidly due to radiation propagating around the system since perturbations about the vacuum have very little mass. Therefore, tracking points near the vacuum would give an unrealistic representation of the collision.

We chose to track the points,

\begin{align}
\sigma_k &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3k}{2k_{\text{max}}}, \\
\pi_{1,n} &= \sqrt{1 - \sigma_k^2} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{n_{\text{max}} - 1} \right), \\
\pi_{2,n} &= \sqrt{1 - \sigma_k^2} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi n}{n_{\text{max}} - 1} \right),
\end{align}

where $k$ and $n$ are integers, $1 \leq k < k_{\text{max}}$ and $1 \leq n < n_{\text{max}}$. This range is appropriate because if $k = k_{\text{max}}$ then there would be $n_{\text{max}}$ points where $\sigma = -1, \pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0$. Hence this value of $k$ is excluded. The preimage of $\sigma = -1$ is also the location which we have already tracked. This defines $(n_{\text{max}} - 1)(k_{\text{max}} - 1)$ points on $S_{\text{eq}}^2$. Figure 8b shows, for a single $B = 1$ Skyrmion, the preimages of $n_{\text{max}} = k_{\text{max}} = 11$ points given by (17), and compares it with the standard baryon-density level-set image in figure 8a. Note that for a two-Skyrmion configuration there are $2(n_{\text{max}} - 1)(k_{\text{max}} - 1)$ points.
Figure 8: Comparison of the baryon-density plot, 8a, and the preimage plot, 8b, of a single Skyrmion.

For each time slice we tracked the movement of each preimage using a search algorithm to find the point in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which has the required field value and is the closest to the same point of the previous time step. We are only interested in tracking how the preimages in the initial configuration move. It should be noted that the algorithm interpolated the field values in between the lattice sites to increase accuracy. This gives us a new insight into scattering. We can now see how the preimages move during a scattering process. For example, for $b = 0$ the preimages scatter perpendicularly giving figure 9.
Figure 9: Preimages of perpendicularly scattering Skyrmions

This new way of visualising Skyrmions immediately shows that half of each Skyrmion is used to form two new Skyrmions, and the new recombined Skyrmions are now rotated. This is the cause of the rotationless rotation observed previously. This is implied by the baryon-density plots, and it clearly shown in the preimage plots. What is not obvious from the baryon-density plots is that this preimage exchange also occurs for large impact parameters.

An example of two Skyrmions scattering with impact parameter $b = 2$ is displayed in figure 10. Figure 10a shows the preimages of two Skyrmions. In figure 10b the two Skyrmions exchange four preimages as they pass each other. Figure 10c shows the preimages of the final scattered Skyrmions. Hence, Skyrmions do exchange preimages. Also, figure 10d shows the initial preimages (red circles) and the final preimages (green crosses) of a single Skyrmion. In figure 10d we have also included the trajectory of a preimage. This shows that the Skyrmion has rotated even for a large impact parameter.
In our algorithm we were also able to track preimages for different scattering processes in order to quantify how many preimages are exchanged as a function of the impact parameter $b$. This is shown in figure 11. As the Skyrmions pass each other they exchange preimages, and the number of exchanged preimages reduces with separation. This reduction in exchange is intuitive because Skyrmions are localized objects.
By carefully tracking these preimages we can measure the rotation angle of one Skyrmion during a scattering process. We achieved this by tracking the relative orientation between the location point and the set of preimages which are constant $\pi_1, \pi_2$ – this is one ‘arm’ of the preimage plot in figure 8b. Care must be taken not to choose points which are exchanged. By tracking the relative average orientation between the location and the set of points of constant $\pi_1, \pi_2$, and not just one point, reduces the effect of radiation. The rotation angle is shown as a function of time in figure 12. The oscillations in the rotation angle at large times are due to radiation propagating around the numerical lattice. Figure 12 shows that the Skyrmions maximally rotate for $b = 0$ when the rotation angle is approximately $\frac{\pi}{2}$. The rotation angle decreases as $b$ increases. This is can be understood because Skyrmions are localized objects. Hence as $b$ increases they exchange less preimages as they overlap less, and therefore the Skyrmions experience less rotation.
Another way of gaining an understanding of this phenomenon is to consider the attractive channel approximation in [26]. Since the initial configurations are not spinning or isospinning, the total isospin \( M_2 \) is zero. Since the total isospin is conserved, this sets the rotation angular frequency \( \omega_2 \) equal to the isorotation angular frequency \( \Omega_2 \) using the attractive Lagrangian in [26]. Since both rotation and isorotation angles are zero, initially, they remain equal during the scattering process. If there was right-angle scattering, then the position of one Skyrmion would be rotated by \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) and the phase would also be rotated by \( \frac{\pi}{2} \), as observed in figure 12. However, in this approximation, head-on collision does not lead to right angle scattering as the approximation breaks down for small separation.

### 4.2 Spinning Skyrmions

Instead of simply colliding Skyrmions, we also investigated colliding spinning Skyrmions. We achieved this by numerically evolving an initial condition of two rotating hedgehog Skyrmions boosted towards each other. We chose the Skyrmions to be orientated in the attractive channel, and rotate in the same direction and angular frequency. This is similar to a constant global isorotation, and the Skyrmions remain in the attractive channel. This is similar to a constant global isorotation. It is known that for \( m_\pi = 0 \) spinning Skyrmions are not stable as they radiate pions [26, 13]. This is not a problem when we considered \( m_\pi = 0 \) as the scattering takes place well before the Skyrmions stop spinning.

There has been some recent interest in spinning Skyrmions, namely [33] and [34], which investigate an extension of the collective coordinate quantisation procedure. The related question of isospin was examined in [14] where the authors considered the deformation introduced by isospinning Skyrmions.
Figures 13, 14 and 15 show the strange effect that the spinning Skyrmions exchange preimages in a spiral pattern. Also, the Skyrmions no longer scatter perpendicularly. This is obvious by the trajectories of the location, shown in figure 16. As the Skyrmions spin faster
they deflect more. These spinning scattering results could help gain a better understanding of the spin-orbit coupling of nuclei \cite{35, 36, 37}.

Figure 16: Trajectories for spinning scattering Skyrmions of different initial rotational speeds

5 Comparison to monopole scattering

In the following, we compare Skyrmion scattering in the attractive channel with monopole scattering. Two-monopole scattering for low velocities can be calculated from geodesic motion in the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold $M_0^2$ \cite{38}. This four dimensional manifold can be parametrized by a radial coordinate $\rho \in [\pi, \infty)$, and three angular coordinates $\theta, \phi, \text{and} \psi$. The radial coordinate is basically the separation of the two monopoles, and $\rho = \pi$ corresponds to the torus configuration. The angles $\theta$ and $\phi$ parametrize how the monopoles are positioned in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whereas the angle $\psi$ gives the orientation of the monopoles along the axis of separation. The moduli space of monopoles has two important geodesic submanifolds, namely the “trumpet” which describes head on collision of monopoles with time-dependent $\psi$, and the “cone” which describes monopole scattering in the plane (with $\psi$ constant). We are interested whether there is an analogy of “rotation without rotating” in the monopole picture. Skyrmion scattering without rotation in the plane corresponds to monopole scattering along the cone. As we have seen in section 4.1, the effect of “rotation without rotating” is related to how much the two Skyrmions overlap. On the monopole moduli space there is a quantity which measures this overlap: the Sen-form \cite{39} which is exponentially localised at the centre of the monopole moduli space, known as the bolt. The hyperkähler $SO(3)$ invariant metric on $M_0^2$ can be written as

$$ds^2 = f^2d\rho^2 + a^2\sigma_1^2 + b^2\sigma_2^2 + c^2\sigma_3^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)
where $\sigma_k$ are left-invariant one-forms and the coefficient functions satisfy the following differential equations

\[
\frac{2bc}{f} \frac{da}{d\rho} = (b - c)^2 - a^2, \\
\frac{2ca}{f} \frac{db}{d\rho} = (c - a)^2 - b^2, \\
\frac{2ab}{f} \frac{dc}{d\rho} = (a - b)^2 - c^2,
\]

where $a(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$, $b(\frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $c(\frac{\pi}{2}) = -\frac{\pi}{2}$. Here, we follow the conventions in [40] and set $f = -b/\rho$. Then, the Sen form is the unique normalisable anti-self dual harmonic two-form given by

\[
\omega = F(\rho) \left( d\sigma_1 - \frac{f}{bc} d\rho \wedge \sigma_1 \right), \tag{19}
\]

where

\[
F(\rho) = F_0 \exp \left( -\int_0^\rho \frac{f}{bc} d\rho' \right). \tag{20}
\]

The Sen form is exact as we can write $\omega = dA$ where $A = F(\rho)\sigma_1$. Note that $F(\pi) = F_0$ at the bolt. Now, consider a geodesic $\gamma$ in the moduli space $M_0^0$. Then the path integral $\int_{\gamma} A$ is equivalent to the loop integral $\oint_{\gamma} A$, where we closed the loop via a circle segment at infinity. This does not contribute to the integral due to the asymptotics of $F(\rho)$, namely, $F(\rho)$ is exponentially localised. Using Stokes theorem,

\[
\oint_{\gamma} A = \int_{D} \omega,
\]

where $D$ is the surface bounded by $\gamma$. This can be interpreted as a holonomy on $M_0^0$ with respect to the Sen form. This holonomy is conjectured to show a very similar behaviour to the “rotation without rotating” angle.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we discuss Skyrmion-Skyrmion scattering for non-zero impact parameter. Here we focus on the attractive channel where the two Skyrmions are orientated in such a way that the attraction between them is maximal. For large separation, the scattering can be described in the dipol approximation which ignores the short-range repulsive interaction. We also discussed the necessary modifications needed to include non-zero pion mass and relativistic corrections. This approximation clearly breaks down at the critical value $b_{\text{crit}}$ when the two dipoles no longer escape to infinity but collide with each other. For small velocities, Skyrmion scattering in the attractive channel is similar to monopole scattering which in turn can be described as geodesic motion on the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. We have calculated
Skyrmion trajectories numerically for different velocities and impact parameters, and find good qualitative agreement with the dipol approximation for large impact parameters.

When two non-rotating Skyrmions scatter head on, namely with zero impact parameter, in the attractive channel then they scatter by 90 degrees. Using our colouring scheme we observed the following. Initially, the Skyrmions have a relative phase of $\pi$. During scattering, the Skyrmions move towards each other but do not rotate. Then they form a torus and emerge again from the torus but in a different orientation. While both Skyrmions still have a relative phase of $\pi$ there overall phase has changed by $\frac{\pi}{2}$. What seemed to have happened is that half of the left Skyrmion has gone up and half of the left Skyrmion has gone down, and similar for the Skyrmion coming from the right. Hence the Skyrmions have rearranged each other, and this leads to a “rotation without rotating.” This effect can be explored further by looking at preimages. In a Skyrmion configurations of degree $B = 2$ each point in target space generically has at least two preimages. When there are more than two preimages there has to be negative baryon density, see [31] for further details. In our simulations, we did not find significants amounts of negative baryon density. Since for large separations, two Skyrmions are well approximated as hedgehog, we choose the position of the Skyrmions to be $U = -\frac{1}{2}$. During the scattering process we can generically track the preimages of any point on the sphere and calculate to which final state it belongs. This gives a way of quantifying rotation without rotating, also for non-zero impact parameter. By plotting preimages rather than baryon density we have created a novel way of visualizing Skyrmions.

We also briefly discussed the scattering of spinning Skyrmions. Spinning Skyrmion solutions are not stable for massless pions due to pion radiation. However, we observed pion radiation before the Skyrmions stopped spinning. Spinning Skyrmions no longer scatter at right angles during head-on collision. The configuration of closest approach is also no longer the torus but a configuration which is similar to the stationary solution of isorotation $B = 2$ Skyrmions found in [14]. It would be interesting to compare the dynamics of spinning Skyrmions with the attractive channel approximation in [26].

There are still many open problems in classical Skyrmion-Skyrmion scattering. For example, how do Skyrmions behave for more general initial conditions? To what extend can the attractive channel be used to approximate more general scattering events? Scattering for higher charges is also an interesting topic. Our preimage technique could provide novel insights into what happens to an individual Skyrmion during scattering.

While we are currently studying classical scattering, our long-term goal is to understand scattering of nucleons or even the scattering of nuclei. Braaten has outlined how to calculate scattering cross sections in the Skyrme model [27]. We intend to combine this approach with our scattering results to model experimental results.
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