



Kent Academic Repository

Hopker, James G. and Passfield, Louis (2013) *Comments on Point:Counterpoint: Skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency does/does not increase with age.* Journal of Applied Physiology, 114 (8). pp. 1114-1118. ISSN 8750-7587.

Downloaded from

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/44542/> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

<https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00185.2013>

This document version

Publisher pdf

DOI for this version

Licence for this version

UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our [Take Down policy](https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies) (available from <https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies>).

Comments on Point:Counterpoint: Skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency does/does not increase with age

HETEROGENEOUS EFFECT OF AGING ON MUSCLE EFFICIENCY

TO THE EDITOR: There is little doubt that the cost of locomotion is impaired with age as it is evident that centenarians, due to their exceptional longevity, present unique adaptations in their skeletal muscle efficiency to compensate for their extremely low $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$. However, the underlying question of whether skeletal muscle efficiency is altered with age is unsettled (4, 6). In fact, both opponents present compelling evidence in support of their opinion, and the reason for this disagreement is likely that both authors are looking at different muscles. Indeed, there is accumulating evidence that age-related alterations in skeletal muscle efficiency vary among muscle group. For instance, a selective atrophy, independent of the fiber type, has been documented in skeletal muscles with age (5). It is therefore likely that a similar phenomenon occurs for muscle energetics properties. The suggestion that ATP cost of contraction is improved with age (6) is based on examinations of the tibialis anterior. Interestingly, this muscle also exhibits preserved features with age in terms of mitochondrial efficiency (1) and oxidative capacity (3). In contrast, reduced oxidative capacity (3), in conjunction with impaired mitochondrial and contractile efficiency (2), has been documented in the quadriceps, which would, at least partially, explain the increased cost of locomotion with age as this muscle group is a major contributor to force production during these activities. The reasons for the heterogeneous effect of aging on skeletal muscle efficiency remain unclear, but likely stem from differences in fiber type and chronic load associated with locomotion.

REFERENCES

1. Amara CE, Shankland EG, Jubrias SA, Marcinek DJ, Kushmerick MJ, Conley KE. Mild mitochondrial uncoupling impacts cellular aging in human muscles in vivo. *Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 1057–1062, 2007.
2. Conley K, Jubrias S, Cress ME, Esselman P. Exercise efficiency is reduced by mitochondrial uncoupling in the elderly. *Exp Physiol*. In Press.
3. Larsen RG, Callahan DM, Foulis SA, Kent-Braun JA. Age-related changes in oxidative capacity differ between locomotory muscles and are associated with physical activity behavior. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 37: 88–99, 2012.
4. Ortega J. Counterpoint: Muscle efficiency decreases with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
5. Picard M, Ritchie D, Thomas MM, Wright KJ, Hepple RT. Alterations in intrinsic mitochondrial function with aging are fiber type-specific and do not explain differential atrophy between muscles. *Aging Cell* 10: 1047–1055, 2011.
6. Venturelli M, Richardson RS, Ortega J. Point: Mechanical efficiency increases with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.

Gwenael Layec
Research Associate
Joel D. Trinity
Corey R. Hart
Department of Medicine
Division of Geriatrics
University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

THE MEASUREMENT OF EXERCISE EFFICIENCY

TO THE EDITOR: It is important to consider the conceptual validity of measuring skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency from

whole body $\dot{V}O_2$ measured at the mouth, as conducted by Venturelli et al. (4). $\dot{V}O_2$ measured at the mouth incorporates contribution of nonpropulsive factors (e.g., basal metabolism and moving the legs), and so the oxygen cost exercise cannot be solely attributed to the working muscle (3). Therefore, the use of the term “skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency” in this context (4, 5) is a misnomer.

We agree with Ortega (2) that the use of a ramp protocol by Venturelli et al. (4) did not allow sufficient time for steady state to be achieved (at least 2–3 min is required at low to moderate intensities), which is critical for assessing exercise efficiency. As can be seen from the error bars of Fig. 2C of Venturelli et al. (4), the RER of a number of centenarians was considerably greater 1.00 for the majority of work rates they assessed. This indicates energy release from anaerobic energy pathways that is not reflected in the $\dot{V}O_2$ at the mouth and may result in overestimated efficiency values. Additionally, Venturelli et al. (4) used an online gas analysis system to determine $\dot{V}O_2$ in their study. Online breath-by-breath systems process expired gases in real time, and so gas volume measurement errors may occur with every breath, particularly at low and high flow rates (6). Thus, we propose that Douglas bags and steady-state exercise intensities should be used when assessing exercise efficiency (1).

[Due to reference restrictions, we apologize to authors who we could not cite in this letter.]

REFERENCES

1. Hopker J, Jobson SA, Gregson H, Coleman D, Passfield L. Reliability of cycling gross efficiency using the Douglas bag method. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 44: 290–296, 2012.
2. Ortega JD. Counterpoint: Skeletal muscle efficiency does not increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
3. Stainsby WN, Gladden LB, Barclay JK, Wilson BA. Exercise efficiency: validity of baseline subtractions. *J Appl Physiol* 48: 518–522, 1980.
4. Venturelli M, Schena F, Scarsini R, Muti E, Richardson RS. Limitations to exercise in female centenarians: evidence that muscular efficiency tempers the impact of failing lungs. *Age (Dodr)* (January 15, 2012); doi:10.1007/s11357-011-9379-1.
5. Venturelli M, Richardson RS. Point: Skeletal muscle efficiency does increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.
6. Yeh MP, Adams TD, Gardner RM, Yanowitz FG. Turbine flow-meter vs. Fleisch pneumotachometer: a comparative study for exercise testing. *J Appl Physiol* 63: 1289–1295, 1987.

James Hopker
Lecturer
Louis Passfield
University of Kent

REDUCED WORK EFFICIENCY WITH AGE WITHOUT CHANGE IN FIBER TYPE

TO THE EDITOR: Venturelli and Richardson (5) contend that selective loss of type II muscle fibers combined with greater metabolic efficiency of type I fibers contribute to an increased work efficiency in older adults. Our direct measurements reveal the opposite finding: reduced work efficiency with age

without change in fiber type composition but rather the result of lower mitochondrial efficiency with aging.

Data from our study of older adults ($n = 35$, 65–80 yr) with a wide range of muscle fiber composition (10–90% type I) found no relationship between delta (work) efficiency on a cycle ergometer and percent type I fiber content ($r^2 = 0.03$, $P = 0.33$) (4). The lower delta efficiency with aging occurred concomitantly with lower mitochondrial efficiency but not contractile-coupling efficiency (3). In an independent study, we demonstrated that lower mitochondrial efficiency and reduced aerobic capacity together are important factors in slower walking speed in older adults (1).

Another key factor that mediates work efficiency with aging is exercise training. A 6-mo endurance-training program in older adults (65–80 yr) improved leg energy coupling (mitochondrial efficiency) and work efficiency without a change in fiber type composition (2, 4). Together, these data suggest that the loss of mitochondrial efficiency is central to the physiology of reduced work efficiency and walking speed with age. These mitochondrial and work changes occur independent of age-related changes in muscle fiber type composition.

REFERENCES

1. Coen PM, Jubrias SA, Distefano G, Amati F, Mackey DC, Glynn NW, Manini TM, Wohlgenuth SE, Leeuwenburgh C, Cummings SR, Newman AB, Ferrucci L, Toledo FG, Shankland E, Conley KE, Goodpaster BH. Skeletal muscle mitochondrial energetics are associated with maximal aerobic capacity and walking speed in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. In press.
2. Conley K, Jubrias S, Cress E, Esselman P. Elevated energy coupling and aerobic capacity improves exercise performance in endurance trained elderly. *Exp Physiol*. In press.
3. Conley K, Jubrias S, Cress ME, Esselman P. Exercise efficiency is reduced by mitochondrial uncoupling in the elderly. *Exp Physiol*. In press.
4. Jubrias SA, Esselman PC, Price LB, Cress ME, Conley KE. Large energetic adaptations of elderly muscle to resistance and endurance training. *J Appl Physiol* 90: 1663–1670, 2001.
5. Venturelli M, Richardson RS, Ortega J. Point:Counterpoint: Skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency does/does not increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.

Paul M. Coen¹

Kevin E. Conley²

¹Department of Health and Physical Activity
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, PA

²Department of Radiology
Physiology & Biophysics, and Bioengineering
University of Washington Medical Center
Seattle, WA

VIEWPOINT “ON THE HYSTERESIS IN THE HUMAN ACHILLES TENDON”

TO THE EDITOR: A number of factors probably influence exercise efficiency: task, measurement technique, biomechanics, and body composition. Ortega (3) suggests that phosphorylation efficiency may decrease in older muscle due to an increase in uncoupled phosphorylation, whereas Venturelli and Richardson (4) emphasize that age-related loss of inefficient type II muscle cross-sectional area and a proportional increase in efficient type I muscle area contribute to muscle contraction coupling efficiency. However, others have found no association between uncoupling and muscle fiber type distribution, but

rather decreased mitochondrial efficiency associated with greater adiposity (2). It is possible age-related increase in body fat may primarily be responsible for the decrease in mitochondrial efficiency during aging. However, overall muscle efficiency is the product of phosphorylation and contraction coupling efficiencies, which are determined by both ATP demand and O₂ consumption, which is difficult to measure simultaneously.

Additionally, biomechanical factors should be considered when measuring whole body efficiency during locomotion. It is likely that an increase in activation of stabilization/balance muscles while walking can impair locomotion efficiency in frail older adults. Lastly, tissue elasticity decreases with age, therefore older adults may not use elastic energy during locomotion as well as younger adults. Despite showing a positive relationship between age and muscle economy and walking economy in older women (1), we have also shown a negative relationship between age and elastic energy potentiation and walking economy in older women (unpublished). In conclusion, we feel that the problem is too complex to make a blanket statement concerning the relationship between economy and age.

REFERENCES

1. Hunter GR, Newcomer BR, Larson-Meyer DE, Bamman MM, Weinsier RL. Muscle metabolic economy is inversely related to exercise intensity and type II myofiber distribution. *Muscle Nerve* 24: 654–661, 2001.
2. Johannsen DL, Conley KE, Bajpeyi S, Punyanitya M, Gallagher D, Zhang Z, Covington J, Smith SR, Ravussin E. Ectopic lipid accumulation and reduced glucose tolerance in elderly adults are accompanied by altered skeletal muscle mitochondrial activity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 97: 242–250, 2012.
3. Ortega J. Counterpoint: Muscle efficiency decreased with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
4. Venturelli M, Richardson RS. Point: Mechanical efficiency increases with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.

Gary R. Hunter

Professor

Gordon Fisher

University of Alabama at Birmingham

A FEW OTHER THINGS TO THROW INTO THE “POT” THAT IS MECHANICAL EFFICIENCY

TO THE EDITOR: The two protagonists (4, 6) present convincing arguments, despite the acknowledged differences in exercise tasks that underpin each of their arguments. Notwithstanding the usual factors that must be considered when measuring mechanical efficiency during human activity, i.e., baseline subtractions to provide the precise definition of efficiency used (gross, net, work, delta) (5) and the influence contraction speed and muscle temperature has on these measures (1), there are other aspects to considered.

Both sides consider the impact of changing phenotype with aging as a shift to a predominance of type I muscle fibers. However, the pattern of motor unit/muscle fiber recruitment must be considered alongside the age-related changes in muscle size and phenotype. In line with the size principle, low intensities would favor type I recruitment, with higher intensities requiring a greater contribution from type II, although a component of rate coding also probably exists. Although the precise recruitment patterns are not known during exercise in older people, it is likely that even at the very low external

workloads performed they will be working closer to their maximal muscle capacity and will thus rely more on the available type II fibers. Furthermore, the switch to an aerobic phenotype that is thought to contribute to the increased efficiency must be considered in light of observations that mechanical efficiency is higher when the majority of energy is provided from anaerobic sources than when provided from aerobic sources (3). These observations corroborate in vitro measurements of different efficiencies of the various metabolic pathways (2).

REFERENCES

1. **Bell MP, Ferguson RA.** Interaction between muscle temperature and contraction velocity affects mechanical efficiency during moderate intensity cycling exercise in young and older women. *J Appl Physiol* 107: 763–769, 2009.
2. **Curtin NA, Woledge RC.** Energy changes and muscular contraction. *Physiol Rev* 58: 690–761, 1978.
3. **Krustrup P, Ferguson RA, Kjaer M, Bangsbo J.** ATP turnover and heat production in human skeletal muscle during dynamic exercise: higher efficiency of anaerobic than aerobic ATP resynthesis. *J Physiol* 549: 255–269, 2003.
4. **Ortega J.** Counterpoint: Muscle efficiency decreases with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
5. **Stainsby WN, Gladden LB, Barclay JK, Wilson BA.** Exercise efficiency: validity of base-line subtractions. *J Appl Physiol* 48: 518–522, 1980.
6. **Venturelli M, Richardson RS.** Point: Mechanical efficiency increases with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.

Richard A. Ferguson
Senior Lecturer in Exercise Physiology
School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences
Loughborough University

TO THE EDITOR: I have read with great interest this Point: Counterpoint discussion on whether the muscle mechanical efficiency increases with age. In favor of the counterpoint argument (3), I have questioned the fiber-type difference in mechanical efficiency (i.e., slow-twitch fibers are more efficient than fast-twitch fibers). In my opinion, an approximately linear relationship between oxygen uptake and exercise intensity despite the hierarchical order of muscle fiber recruitment (the size principle) supports the view that mechanical efficiency does not critically depend on the fiber type. In fact, several studies, including He et al. (2) cited by Venturelli and Richardson (5), have provided evidence that the fiber-type difference is not in the fraction of ATP energy converted into mechanical work, but in the rate at which the energy conversion occurs.

In a recent paper, Venturelli et al. (6) demonstrated that oxygen uptake during cycling at a given absolute work rate was consistently lower in centenarians than in young subjects. It should be noted, however, that maximal work rate in the centenarians corresponded to less than 20% of that in their younger counterparts, where predominant recruitment of slow-twitch fibers can be assumed (1, 4). Therefore, it is unlikely that the higher metabolic demand in young subjects can be explained by their faster muscle phenotype. It is also unlikely that the muscle fibers recruited during daily activities (e.g., walking) are influenced by age or fiber composition, because most of daily activities are mainly achieved by activation of slow-twitch fibers even in young subjects.

REFERENCES

1. **Beltman JG, de Haan A, Haan H, Gerrits HL, van Mechelen W, Sargeant AJ.** Metabolically assessed muscle fibre recruitment in brief isometric contractions at different intensities. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 92: 485–492, 2004.
2. **He ZH, Bottinelli R, Pellegrino MA, Ferenczi MA, Reggiani C.** ATP consumption and efficiency of human single muscle fibers with different myosin isoform composition. *Biophys J* 79: 945–961, 2000.
3. **Ortega J.** Counterpoint: Skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency does not increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
4. **Sasaki K, Tomioka Y, Ishii N.** Activation of fast-twitch fibers assessed with twitch potentiation. *Muscle Nerve* 46: 218–227, 2012.
5. **Venturelli M, Richardson RS.** Point: Skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency does increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.
6. **Venturelli M, Schena F, Scarsini R, Muti E, Richardson RS.** Limitations to exercise in female centenarians: evidence that muscular efficiency tempers the impact of failing lungs. *Age (Dodr)*. In press.

Kazushige Sasaki
Japan Women's University

STIFFNESS AND HYSTERESIS OF HUMAN TENDONS

TO THE EDITOR: Venturelli et al. (4, 5) showed greater mechanical efficiency during cycling in centenarians than in young counterparts. This may be associated with the age-related increase in the proportion of type I muscle fibers (4, 5) that have a greater metabolic efficiency compared with type II fibers.

However, a large body of evidence supports the contention that mechanical efficiency during exercise does not increase with age (1–3). Moreover, no correlations were observed between myosin heavy chain composition and mechanical efficiency of cycling in elderly individuals (1). We concur with Ortega (3) that using a ramp test with step durations of 1 min may likely overestimate mechanical efficiency in elderly individuals. This may be due to their slower oxygen uptake but also to their higher relative effort, compared with young subjects pedaling at the same power output [e.g., 35 W represents ~30% and ~100% of maximal oxygen uptake in young and elderly individuals, respectively (5)]. As more metabolic energy via anaerobic pathways has to be generated at the same work rate in elderly than in young subjects, assessment of metabolic energy through oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$) measurement underestimates actual metabolic energy. This may contribute to overestimate mechanical efficiency in elderly individuals: delta efficiency and the slope of $\dot{V}O_2$ -power output relationship were ~80% and ~3.9 ml·min⁻¹·W⁻¹, respectively! [Estimated from Fig. 3 (5).] Estimation of mechanical efficiency from ramp tests should be restricted to the moderate intensity domain (below gas exchange threshold) for which the linearity of the $\dot{V}O_2$ -power output relationship can be reasonably assured (6).

REFERENCES

1. **Bell MP, Ferguson RA.** Interaction between muscle temperature and contraction velocity affects mechanical efficiency during moderate-intensity cycling exercise in young and older women. *J Appl Physiol* 107: 763–769, 2009.
2. **Mian OS, Thom JM, Ardigo LP, Narici MV, Minetti AE.** Metabolic cost, mechanical work, and efficiency during walking in young and older men. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 186: 127–139, 2006.
3. **Ortega J.** Counterpoint: Skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency does/does not increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.

4. **Venturelli M, Richardson RS.** Point: Skeletal muscle mechanical efficiency does/does not increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.
5. **Venturelli M, Schena F, Scarsini R, Muti E, Richardson RS.** Limitations to exercise in female centenarians: evidence that muscular efficiency tempers the impact of failing lungs. *Age (Dordr)*. In press.
6. **Whipp BJ, Wagner PD, Agustí A.** Determinants of the physiological systems responses to muscular exercise in healthy subjects. In: *Clinical Exercise Testing European Respiratory Society Journals Ltd*, 2007, p. 1–35.

Davide Malatesta

Senior Lecturer

Nicola A. Maffioletti

Fabio Borrani

Institute of Sport Sciences of University of Lausanne

STIFFNESS AND HYSTERESIS OF HUMAN TENDONS

TO THE EDITOR: Efficiency is a ratio: the experimental measure of the denominator is pretty safe, while mechanical work (in absence of other biases) depends on the considered components: external, internal work, and, within the latter, friction and other dissipations inside and outside the body. For instance, the internal mechanical work depends on pedaling frequency (2, 4). This should be taken into account when comparing studies about bicycling. Metabolic consumption, though, could include some unpredictable energy used to coactivate antagonist muscles (for the same mechanical work produced) and to generate isometric muscle contraction (with no work at all). These components could remarkably bias the estimate of “just” (working) muscle efficiency. Also, we need to remind that the Global efficiency referred to in the commented papers (5, 6) is the product of transmission and muscle efficiency (3). Joint-stabilizing cocontractions could be responsible for the lower global efficiency in elderly walking (1). The relationship between metabolic cost of transport and speed, although higher in old people, shows an age invariant optimal speed (at which the cost is minimum). Although there are clues supporting the role of the pendulumlike paradigm in determining that speed, the proposed shift in fiber types should have caused a lower optimum speed in elderly. It seems counterintuitive that older muscles become more efficient than young ones, which should have evolved to best perform in the demanding phase of their life span (vehicle mechanics and engines become less efficient with age).

REFERENCES

1. **Mian OS, Thom J, Ardigo LP, Narici MV, Minetti AE.** Metabolic cost, mechanical work, and efficiency during walking in young and older adults. *Acta Physiol Scand* 186: 127–139, 2006.
2. **Minetti AE, Pinkerton J, Zamparo P.** From bipedalism to bicyclism: evolution in bioenergetics and biomechanics of historic bicycles. *Proc R Soc B* 268: 1351–1360, 2001.
3. **Minetti AE.** Passive tools for enhancing muscle-driven motion and locomotion. *J Exp Biol* 207: 1265–1272, 2004.
4. **Minetti AE.** Bioenergetics and biomechanics of cycling: the role of “internal work”. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 111: 323–329, 2011.
5. **Ortega J.** Counterpoint: Muscle efficiency does not decrease with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
6. **Venturelli M, Richardson RS.** Point: Mechanical efficiency does increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.

Alberto E. Minetti

Professor of Physiology

Dept. Pathophysiology and Transplantation
University of Milan, Italy

TASK INFLUENCES MECHANICAL EFFICIENCY IN OLD AGE

TO THE EDITOR: Although perhaps not stated explicitly in these Point:Counterpoint arguments (4, 6), one feature to emerge is that a change of mechanical or muscle efficiency in relation to adult ageing is dependent on the type of contraction performed or the task being studied. For example, during rather artificial protocols that use only isometric contractions, older adults seem to be less fatigable or may not differ during intermittent isometric contractions (1). However, during dynamic shortening contractions, older adults are more fatigable (3), especially at faster velocities (2). In addition, during repetitive lengthening contractions, power loss is greater in old compared with young adults (5). Thus the improved efficiency attributed to fiber type changes and enhanced oxidative capacity does not seem to provide a functional benefit during repetitive shortening or lengthening contractions in muscles of older adults. Arguments have been put forth that age-related slowing of contractile capacity is advantageous (6) and sometimes matches with age-related lower motor unit firing rates, although importantly this is not always observed (discussed in 2) and may be muscle dependent. During dynamic contractions, however, slower contractile kinetics are not advantageous because of impaired power output, which is dependent on the combination of contractile velocity and torque. Sarcopenia and the associated losses of strength and power occur with healthy adult aging, but the key feature for muscle efficiency, at least when framed during the stress of fatigue, may be related to the limitations in shortening velocity when the task is dynamic.

REFERENCES

1. **Christie AE, Snook EM, Kent-Braun, JA.** Systematic review and meta-analysis of skeletal muscle fatigue in old age. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 43: 568–577, 2011.
2. **Dalton BH, Power GA, Vandervoort AA, Rice CL.** The age-related slowing of voluntary shortening velocity exacerbates power loss during repeated fast knee extensions. *Exp Gerontol* 47: 85–92, 2012.
3. **McNeil CJ, Rice CL.** Fatigability is increased with age during velocity dependent contractions of the dorsiflexors. *J Gerontol Biol Sci* 62: 624–629, 2007.
4. **Ortega J.** Counterpoint: Muscle efficiency does not decrease with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
5. **Power GA, Dalton BH, Rice CL, Vandervoort AA.** Power loss is greater following lengthening contractions in old versus young women. *Age* 34: 737–750, 2012.
6. **Venturelli M, Richardson RS.** Point: Muscle efficiency does decrease with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.

Charles L. Rice

Professor

Brian H. Dalton

Chris J. McNeil

Geoff A. Power

The University of Western Ontario

COMMENT: CONSIDER MECHANICAL EFFICIENCY AND COMORBIDITY

TO THE EDITOR: The debate regarding age-related changes in mechanical and muscle efficiency should consider the impact of comorbidity. Although several of the physiological studies mentioned by Drs. Venturelli, Richardson, and Ortega (5, 6) are excellently designed; the potential impact of comorbid conditions is not well considered. Approximately 90% of adults over 65 years old have at least one disease, and over

70% have two or more conditions (3). Therefore, participants in these previous studies might not be generalizable to the population as a whole. Additionally, many older adults have mobility impairments due to a combination of the aging processes and specific disease conditions. As we have demonstrated in our previous work, older adults who report mobility impairments have a higher metabolic cost of movement (4). There is a large literature demonstrating that common comorbid conditions that are closely connected with aging (e.g., arterial diseases, osteoarthritis lung disease, stroke, neurological conditions) result in a high level of output energy for a given workload (1, 2). It is extremely difficult to tease out these conditions from natural aging and the effect they may have on mechanical efficiency. Considering the effect of comorbid conditions could simultaneously support the point and counterpoint of this argument. Despite a transition to a higher proportion of type I fibers that increases muscle efficiency, comorbid conditions that contribute to gait alterations would at the same time reduce mechanical efficiency. Additional research is needed to consider whether underlying disease con-

ditions modify muscle and mechanical efficiency to an extent that influences healthy aging.

REFERENCES

1. **Christiansen CL, Schenkman ML, McFann K, Wolfe P, Kohrt WM.** Walking economy in people with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 24: 1481–1487, 2009.
2. **Detrembleur C, Dierick F, Stoquart G, Chantraine F, Lejeune T.** Energy cost, mechanical work, and efficiency of hemiparetic walking. *Gait Posture* 18: 47–55, 2003.
3. **Hoffman C, Rice D, Sung HY.** Persons with chronic conditions. Their prevalence and costs. *JAMA* 276: 1473–1479, 1996.
4. **Knaggs JD, Larkin KA, Manini TM.** Metabolic cost of daily activities and effect of mobility impairment in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 59: 2118–2123, 2011.
5. **Ortega J.** Counterpoint: Muscle efficiency does not decrease with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012a.
6. **Venturelli M, Richardson RS.** Point: Mechanical efficiency does increase with age. *J Appl Physiol*; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01438.2012.

Todd M. Manini
Assistant Professor
University of Florida